

# A Pedagogical and Architectural Blueprint for an Interactive DNS Education Platform

## I. Blueprint for an Interactive DNS Learning Platform

### A. Core Philosophy: "Overview, Zoom, Details-on-Demand"

The foundational user experience (UX) and pedagogical paradigm for this application will be built upon Ben Shneiderman's renowned mantra: "Overview first, zoom and filter, then details-on-demand." This approach was identified as highly effective in the RIPE DNSMON system for presenting complex network data. For an educational tool aimed at novices, this model is not just a design choice, but a pedagogical necessity.

1. **Overview First:** The user's journey begins with a high-level, animated, node-link diagram. This graph will present a simplified, holistic view of the entire DNS query process. For a basic query, this will include nodes representing the **Client** (the user's browser), the **Recursive Resolver** (their ISP), the **Root Server**, the **TLD Server**, and the **Authoritative Server**. This immediate overview orients the user, providing a "map" of the journey before they are asked to understand the individual steps.
2. **Zoom and Filter:** The visualization must be interactive. The user will be able to "zoom" by focusing on a specific part of the process. For example, a filter or button could allow them to isolate and follow only the "A" record query, temporarily hiding the "AAAA" (IPv6) or "MX" (mail) queries that might occur in a more complex, real-world scenario. This filtering is crucial for reducing cognitive load on a novice learner.
3. **Details-on-Demand:** This is the core interactive loop that binds the visualization to the pedagogy. Every element in the D3.js visualization—every server node, every query path (or "link")—will be an interactive target. This will be implemented using D3's event-handling capabilities, such as `d3.selection.on("click",...)`.<sup>2</sup>

When a user clicks a node (e.g., the "TLD Server" node), a React-controlled side panel will appear or update.<sup>3</sup> This panel is the "details" view and will contain three layers of context-sensitive information:

- **Pedagogical Text:** A simple, human-readable explanation. (e.g., "This is a Top-Level Domain (TLD) server. Think of it as a phone book specifically for all domains ending in `.com`. It doesn't know the full IP address, but it *does* know where to find the server that does.").
- **Simulation Data:** A summary of the action that just occurred at that node. (e.g., "Received query for `example.com`. Responded with a *referral* to the next server: `ns1.example.com`").
- **Raw Data View:** For advanced users or as a "final exam" for a module, this view will show the (simulated) raw data. This could be a syntax-highlighted log<sup>4</sup> or a simplified

packet header, allowing the user to connect the high-level concept to the underlying technical artifact.

This "Overview, Zoom, Details-on-Demand" model allows the user to self-direct their learning. They can stay at the 10,000-foot "overview" or dive as deep as the raw packet data, all within a single, consistent interface.

## B. The Dual-Mode Simulator: A Pedagogical Imperative

A central requirement of the application is a simulator with "dummy" and "live" modes. This dual-mode system is the engine that drives the entire "zero-to-hero" learning path.<sup>5</sup> The distinction between these modes is critical for scaffolding the user's knowledge from simple, abstract concepts to complex, real-world application.<sup>6</sup>

### 1. "Dummy / Tutorial Mode": A Controlled Narrative

- **Purpose:** The "Dummy" mode provides a sandboxed, noise-free, and, most importantly, *story-driven* environment. This is essential for a user with no prior knowledge. Real-world DNS resolution is often messy, involving CNAME records, multiple "A" records for load balancing, query retries, and complex DNSSEC chains. Exposing a novice to this complexity immediately would be overwhelming and counter-productive.
- **Implementation:** This mode will run against a dedicated Python "Simulator" Service. This service will serve hard-coded, predictable responses from a simple, predefined data model (e.g., a JSON file or Python dictionary) representing a simplified DNS hierarchy.<sup>8</sup>

This fake DNS server<sup>10</sup> allows the application to *guarantee* the exact steps of the animation. For example, in the "Caching" module, this mode can guarantee that the *first* query is a "cache miss" and the *second* is a "cache hit," allowing for a perfect, two-step animation.

### 2. "Live Mode": Real-World Exploration

- **Purpose:** This mode acts as the "graduation" for each module. After a user has learned about iterative queries in the controlled "Dummy Mode," they can be prompted to "Try it for real!" This mode allows them to query any domain (e.g., [google.com](https://www.google.com), [whatsmydns.net](https://www.whatsmydns.net)<sup>12</sup>) and see the actual, complex, and often unpredictable results.
- **Implementation:** This mode will utilize a second, separate Python "Resolver" Service. This service will perform a *real*, live iterative query, effectively recreating the functionality of `dig +trace`.<sup>13</sup> This will be implemented using `dnspython`<sup>15</sup> to manually query the root servers and follow the chain of referrals.<sup>16</sup> The UI will then stream and visualize the

messy, real-world data, including all the complexities (CNAMEs, multiple IPs, DNSSEC records) that the "Dummy Mode" abstracted away.

## C. Differentiating the Modes to Prevent Cognitive Dissonance

A critical usability challenge must be addressed: a novice user, having just learned a simple 5-step query for `example.com` in "Dummy Mode," will be deeply confused when the "Live Mode" query for `google.com` returns a complex, branching graph with dozens of records. The application must, therefore, be explicit in differentiating these two modes.<sup>7</sup>

A simple toggle switch is insufficient. The solution is to use persistent visual cues to manage user expectations.

- The "Dummy / Tutorial Mode" will feature a constant, clear visual banner (e.g., "Tutorial Mode: You are in a controlled simulation").
- The visual "language" of the two modes will be distinct. The "Dummy Mode" will use simple, "cartoon-like" icons and a clean color palette.
- The "Live Mode" will be visually framed as a more advanced, "expert" tool. It might use a "matrix"-like color-scheme (similar to RIPE's DNSMON ) or more technical iconography.

This visual distinction frames "Live Mode" as an advanced, exploratory feature—the "final exam"—and frames "Dummy Mode" as the safe, guided "classroom".<sup>6</sup> This approach supports the "zero-to-hero" journey by preventing the cognitive dissonance that would arise from mixing a simplified emulation with a complex, real-world simulation.

## II. The "Zero-to-Hero" Pedagogical Framework

### A. Framework Overview

The application's curriculum will be structured as a series of sequential modules, guiding a user from the most basic "What is DNS?" question to advanced topics like DNSSEC validation. The application's main navigation will likely be a "checklist" or "learning path" UI, which has been shown to be effective for user onboarding and guiding users to activation.<sup>17</sup>

The core UI/UX mechanic for all tutorials will be the **"Show Me How"** button.<sup>18</sup> Each tutorial will be broken into small, digestible steps. Each step will consist of:

1. A short, simple text description (e.g., "Next, your resolver needs to ask the '.com' TLD server.").
2. An interactive button labeled "Show Me How".<sup>19</sup>

When the user clicks this button, the application will execute *only that single step* as an animation. For example, a packet animation will "draw" itself from the Resolver node to the TLD node<sup>20</sup>, and the TLD node will "glow"<sup>21</sup> to indicate it is processing. This *directly* and *kinesthetically* links the pedagogical text ("what you're learning") to the visual action ("what's happening"), which is a profoundly effective method for teaching abstract technical processes.

## B. Pedagogical Framework Table

The following table serves as the master blueprint for the curriculum, connecting the learning objectives, key concepts, visualization mechanics, and the underlying simulator mode required for each module. This table will synchronize the work of curriculum designers, frontend (D3) engineers, and backend (Python) engineers.

| Module # | Module Title             | Learning Objective  | Key Concepts   | Primary Visualization  | Simulator Mode | "Show Me How" Action (Button Click)  |
|----------|--------------------------|---|--|--|----------------|--|
| 1        | The Internet's Phonebook | Understand <i>why</i> DNS exists and the problem it solves. | IP Address, Domain Name, Client, Server, The "DNS Problem" (memorizing IPs). | A simple 2-node animation : User -> Website. First shown with an IP, then with a domain. | Dummy          | <b>Click 1:</b> Shows a user trying to connect to "172.67.173.55" (hard).<br><b>Click 2:</b> Shows a "DNS" node appearing, translating "example.com" to "172.67.173.55" for the user (easy). |

|   |                               |   |  |   |                |   |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--|---|----------------|---|
| 2 | <b>The Journey of a Query</b> | See the full, end-to-end path of a standard DNS lookup.             | DNS Resolver, Recursive Query, Iterative Query, dig +trace. 13   | A 5-node animation : Client -> Resolver -> Root -> TLD -> Authoritative.                                | Dummy          | Each click animates one "packet flow" 20 from one node to the next, following the precise path of the iterative query. The side panel provides "details-on-demand" for each step. |
| 3 | <b>The Cast of Characters</b> | Learn the <i>specific role</i> of each server in the DNS hierarchy. | Recursive Resolver (your ISP), Root Server (.), TLD Server (.com), Authoritative Server (example.com). | An interactive , collapsible d3-hierarchy tree diagram 22 showing the DNS namespace from the root down. | Static / Dummy | Clicking a node (e.g., "TLD Servers") on the tree highlights it and populates the side panel 3 with its detailed role, responsibilities, and "real world" examples.               |

|   |                               |  |   |  |       |  |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|-------|--|
| 4 | <b>Why So Fast? The Cache</b> | Understand how caching makes DNS efficient and what TTL means. | Caching, Time-to-Live (TTL) <sup>24</sup> , Cache Hit vs. Cache Miss. | Re-runs the Module 2 visualization, but on a second attempt. | Dummy | <p><b>1st Click (Cache Miss):</b> Runs the full 5-node query. The final answer is shown with a "TTL: 3600s" label. <sup>25</sup></p> <p><b>2nd Click (Cache Hit):</b> User clicks "Resolve" again. The animation is now 1 step: Client -&gt; Resolver. The Resolver node "glows" <sup>21</sup> with a "CACHE HIT" label. The query stops there, demonstrating the speed.</p> |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|-------|--|

|   |                      |  |  |  |       |   |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|-------|---|
| 5 | Securing the Channel | Learn how queries themselves can be kept private from eavesdroppers. | Plaintext DNS, Packet Sniffing, DNS-over-TLS (DoT) <sup>26</sup> , DNS-over-HTTPS (DoH). <sup>26</sup> | A 3-node animation : Client -> (Attacker) -> Resolver. | Dummy | <p><b>Click 1 (Plaintext):</b><br/>Shows a "plaintext" packet (visualized as an open envelope) being <i>read</i> by an "Attacker" node as it passes by.<sup>29</sup></p> <p><b>Click 2 (DoT/DoH):</b><br/>Shows a "locked" packet (with a lock icon) traveling <i>through</i> the Attacker node, which "glows" red<sup>30</sup> to show it cannot be read.<sup>31</sup></p> |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|-------|---|

|     |                           |  |   |  |                  |   |
|-----|---------------------------|--|---|--|------------------|---|
| 6   | <b>The Chain of Trust</b> | Learn how we can be sure a query <i>response</i> is authentic and not-spoofed. | DNS Spoofing (MITM), DNSSEC <sup>32</sup> , Digital Signatures <sup>33</sup> (RRSIG) <sup>33</sup> , Public Keys (DNSKEY), Chain of Trust (DS). <sup>34</sup> | A <code>dnsviz</code> -style graph <sup>35</sup> showing the chain of keys (Root -> TLD -> Authoritative) and the "BOGUS" state. <sup>37</sup> | Dummy (Advanced) | This module is a "storyboard" (see Section VI). It runs the full animated scenarios for: 1. A MITM attack. <sup>38</sup> 2. A successful DNSSEC validation. <sup>3</sup> 3. A <i>failed</i> DNSSEC validation. <sup>3</sup> 9 |
| 7.0 | <b>Advanced: Denial</b>   | Learn how DNSSEC proves a domain <i>doesn't</i> exist (authenticated denial).  | <code>NXDOMAIN</code> (Non-Existent Domain), <code>NSEC</code> record <sup>32</sup> , Zone Walking.   | A 3-node (Client, Resolver, Auth) animation.   | Dummy (Advanced) | This is a "storyboard" (see Section VI.D). It shows the server returning a <i>signed</i> <code>NSEC</code> record <sup>32</sup> and the resolver validating it to prove the domain  |



|     |                                  |   |   |   |                |   |
|-----|----------------------------------|---|---|---|----------------|---|
|     |                                  |   |   |   |                | does not exist.   |
| 7.1 | <b>Advanced: Hiding the Zone</b> | Understand the fix for NSEC's "zone walking" vulnerability. | NSEC3 record <sup>40</sup> , Hashing <sup>41</sup> , NSEC3 Opt-Out. <sup>42</sup> | A static animation showing a list of domains being "fed" into a hashing function. | Static / Dummy | An animation shows a list of domain names (blog.com, shop.com, admin.com) being fed into a "hash" function. The output shows that the NSEC3 record is a <i>hashed range</i> , which prevents an attacker from simply listing (or "walking") the entire zone's contents. |

### III. System Architecture for a Multi-Modal Learning Environment

#### A. Service Decomposition: A Microservice-Based Approach

The requested technology stack (React, Node.js, Python) is a natural fit for a distributed, microservice-based architecture.<sup>44</sup> This approach isolates concerns, allows for granular scaling<sup>46</sup>, and lets each component be built with the best technology for its specific job.<sup>47</sup>

The system will be decomposed into four primary services:

1. **frontend (React.js / D3.js)**: A client-side application that runs in the user's browser. It is responsible for all UI rendering, managing the application's visual state, and handling all user interaction. It will render all D3.js visualizations and communicate with the backend exclusively via the `api-gateway`.
2. **api-gateway (Node.js)**: This is the "control panel" and single entry point for the frontend. It serves several critical functions: it authenticates user sessions, proxies simple REST API calls, and (most importantly) manages the persistent WebSocket connections from the frontend.<sup>49</sup> It acts as the "glue" that connects the real-time frontend to the various backend services.
3. **resolver-service (Python)**: A backend microservice that exposes the "Live Mode" functionality. It will be built using the `dnspython` library<sup>51</sup> to perform *real, iterative* DNS queries from the root servers.<sup>15</sup> This is a computationally-focused service.
4. **simulator-service (Python)**: A second backend microservice that exposes the "Dummy Mode" functionality. This service will run a fake, scriptable DNS server<sup>10</sup> and use packet-crafting libraries like `scapy`<sup>52</sup> to deterministically simulate advanced attack scenarios like DNS spoofing and packet loss.<sup>54</sup>

## B. Inter-Service (Internal) Communication: gRPC

For communication *between* the `api-gateway` and the two Python services, a traditional REST (JSON over HTTP/1.1) architecture is a poor fit. The "Live Mode" resolver, in particular, must stream a *sequence* of events (e.g., "querying root," "got referral," "querying TLD") as they happen. We cannot wait for the entire 10-second resolution to finish before sending a single, massive JSON response.

Therefore, **gRPC** will be used for all internal, server-to-server communication.<sup>55</sup> This choice is deliberate for several reasons:

- **Performance**: gRPC uses HTTP/2 for transport and Protocol Buffers (Protobufs), a binary serialization format, which is significantly smaller and faster than text-based JSON.<sup>56</sup>
- **Streaming**: gRPC has first-class, built-in support for bi-directional streaming.<sup>56</sup> This is the *exact* pattern required by the `resolver-service`. The Python service can define a *streaming* RPC, and the Node.js gateway will consume this stream of events as they are generated.

- **Polyglot:** gRPC is designed for cross-language ecosystems (Node.js <-> Python) and provides strong, contract-based API definitions using `.proto` files, which reduces integration errors.<sup>58</sup>

## C. Client (External) Communication: WebSockets

While gRPC is ideal for the backend, it is not well-suited for direct browser-to-server communication. The `frontend` (React) will instead use a persistent **WebSocket** connection<sup>59</sup> to the `api-gateway`.<sup>50</sup>

This establishes a critical architectural pattern: **The `api-gateway` (Node.js) acts as a protocol translation layer.**

1. The React frontend opens a single, persistent WebSocket (e.g., using `socket.io-client`<sup>50</sup>) to the Node.js `api-gateway`.<sup>60</sup> Node.js is exceptionally well-suited to handling tens of thousands of concurrent, persistent I/O-bound connections.<sup>49</sup>
2. When the user clicks "Show Me How," the React app sends a JSON message over the WebSocket (e.g., `sim:start`).<sup>62</sup>
3. The Node.js gateway receives this message. Its event handler will then open a *new, internal gRPC stream*<sup>55</sup> to the appropriate Python service (e.g., `ResolverService.startIterativeQuery(...)`).
4. As the Python service performs its work, it *yields* gRPC messages (Protobufs) back to the gateway.<sup>63</sup>
5. The gateway's gRPC event handler receives each message, *immediately* translates it back to JSON, and forwards it over the correct WebSocket to the specific React client.<sup>64</sup>

This architecture is optimal: Node.js handles the stateful, persistent I/O (WebSockets), while the Python services handle the stateless, CPU-intensive computation (DNS logic) and communicate via high-performance gRPC streams.

## D. Microservice API & Event Contract

The following table defines the communication contract for the entire system, detailing the API boundaries between services.

| Path / Endpoint  | Protocol          | From -> To               | Payload / Event   | Description  |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| /api/v1/tutorial | REST (GET)        | frontend -> api-gateway  | N/A   | Retrieves the list of tutorial modules to build the "learning path" UI.  |
| /socket.io       | WebSocket         | frontend <-> api-gateway | connection  | Establishes the persistent real-time communication channel. <sup>50</sup>  |
| sim:start        | WebSocket (Event) | frontend -> api-gateway  | { domain: 'example.com', scenario: 'MODULE_2_ITERATIVE' }                 | Triggers the api-gateway to start a new simulation by calling a backend gRPC service.                            |
| sim:step         | WebSocket (Event) | api-gateway -> frontend  | { "type": "NODE_QUERY", "from": "resolver", "to": "root", "data": {...} } | Pushes a single, discrete step of the simulation/resolution to the React client for visualization. <sup>65</sup> |
| sim:end          | WebSocket (Event) | api-gateway -> frontend  | { "status": "SUCCESS", "final_data": {...} }                              | Notifies the client that the simulation/query is complete.   |

|                        |               |                                  |   |  |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| resolve.IterativeQuery | gRPC (Stream) | api-gateway -> resolver-service  | ResolveRequest {<br>domain: string,<br>query_type: string,<br>want_dnssec: bool }               | Calls the "Live" resolver service to perform a real iterative query. <sup>55</sup>                                   |
| (stream)               | gRPC (Stream) | resolver-service -> api-gateway  | ResolveStep { type: string, from_node: string, to_node: string, data: bytes }                   | Streams back each step of the live query (e.g., "QUERYING_ROOT", "GOT_REFERRAL_TLD").                                |
| sim.RunScenario        | gRPC (Stream) | api-gateway -> simulator-service | SimRequest {<br>scenario_id: 'MODULE_6_MITM' }  | Calls the "Dummy" simulator service to run a pre-scripted tutorial. <sup>55</sup>                                    |
| (stream)               | gRPC (Stream) | simulator-service -> api-gateway | SimStep { type: string, from_node: string, to_node: string, data: bytes, pedagogy_key: string } | Streams back each <i>scripted</i> step of the tutorial animation, with a key to unlock the correct pedagogical text. |

## E. Recommended Project Structure

A well-organized, scalable project structure is essential for long-term maintainability. The following structure is recommended, drawing from best practices for each technology.

### 1. frontend (React)

This "feature-sliced" structure<sup>66</sup> is preferable to role-based (e.g., /components, /containers) for large apps, as it co-locates all logic for a given feature.

/src

```

|-- /components    # Dumb, Reusable UI elements (Button, Loader, SidePanel) [67]
|-- /features      # "Smart" feature modules
| |-- /tutorial-panel
| | |-- TutorialPanel.jsx # Manages tutorial state, text
| | |-- "ShowMeHow"Button.jsx
| |-- /dns-visualizer
| | |-- DnsGraph.jsx      # React component (manages state, refs)
| | |-- d3Logic.js        # Pure D3 logic (init, update, transitions)
| | |-- useDnsSocket.js   # Custom hook to manage WebSocket logic [65, 69]
|-- /hooks          # Global custom hooks (e.g., useD3) [67]
|-- /services        # WebSocket connection / socket.io setup
|-- /store           # Global state (React Context, Redux, or Zustand)
|-- App.jsx          # Main application layout

```

## 2. api-gateway (Node.js)

A standard, modular Node.js/Express structure.<sup>70</sup>

/src

```

|-- /api           # REST route controllers (e.g., tutorial.controller.js)
|-- /config        # Environment vars, database config
|-- /services      # gRPC client implementations (the "glue")
| |-- resolver.service.js # Contains gRPC client for resolver-service
| |-- simulator.service.js # Contains gRPC client for simulator-service
|-- /socket        # WebSocket connection manager and event handlers
| |-- index.js     # Main socket.io setup
| |-- simulationHandler.js # Logic for handling "sim:start" events
|-- server.js      # Main server entry point (Express + Socket.io + gRPC)

```

## 3. Python Services (resolver-service / simulator-service)

Both services can follow a similar, clean Python service structure.

/app

```

|-- /protos        #.proto files defining the gRPC services
|-- /services      # Core application logic
| |-- iterative_resolver.py # Manual iterative query logic
| |-- dummy_server.py      # Fake DNS server for dummy mode
| |-- attack_simulator.py  # Scapy MITM/spoofing logic
|-- server.py      # Main gRPC server entry point (spins up the service)
|-- requirements.txt

```

## IV. The Python Simulation & Resolution Engine

### A. The "Live" Iterative Resolver (`resolver-service`)

This service provides the "Live Mode" functionality. A critical implementation detail is that this service *cannot* use the standard, high-level `dns.resolver.query()` or `socket.getaddrinfo()` functions.<sup>51</sup> These are *stub resolvers* that perform recursion and caching themselves, hiding the very iterative steps we need to visualize.

Instead, this service must implement a *manual, iterative resolver* from scratch.<sup>15</sup> The logic, based on<sup>16</sup> and<sup>16</sup>, will be as follows:

1. The gRPC method `resolve.IterativeQuery(domain)` is called.
2. The service initializes a list of known root server IPs (e.g., `198.41.0.4` for `a.root-servers.net`).
3. It yields its first `ResolveStep` message: `{"type": "START", "domain": domain}`.
4. It enters a loop, starting with a query for the domain's 'A' record (or other type) against a root server IP.
5. It will use the low-level `dns.query.udp(query, server_ip, timeout=5)` function.<sup>26</sup>
6. It yields a `ResolveStep` message: `{"type": "QUERY", "to_node": "root", "data": ...}`.
7. Upon receiving a response, it inspects the packet:
  - **If `response.answer` contains the final 'A' record:** The query is complete. The service yields the final answer and returns.
  - **If `response.authority` contains NS records (a referral):** This is the core iterative step. The service yields a `ResolveStep` message: `{"type": "REFERRAL", "from_node": "root", "to_node": "tld", "data": ...}`. It extracts the new NS target (e.g., `a.gtld-servers.net`).
  - **Crucially,** the service must now resolve the IP address of that new NS target (e.g., `a.gtld-servers.net`). It does this by *recursively calling its own internal logic*, starting from the root again, to find the IP for `a.gtld-servers.net`. This "tree-walking" is the essence of iteration.<sup>16</sup>
  - Once the NS record's IP is found, it updates its `server_ip` to this new address and continues the loop, now querying the TLD server for the original domain.
  - This process repeats until an authoritative answer is found or an error occurs.

### B. The "Dummy" Server & Simulator (`simulator-service`)

This service provides the 100% predictable, controlled "Dummy Mode" environment, including the advanced attack scenarios.<sup>6</sup> It will be composed of two main parts:

1. **Fake DNS Hierarchy:** The service will load a simple Python dictionary or JSON file that represents the entire "dummy" DNS hierarchy.<sup>8</sup> This data model defines the "correct" answers for all tutorial-related queries.
2. Python

# Data model for the dummy DNS hierarchy [8, 73]

```
DUMMY_ZONES = {
    '': {
        'NS': ['ns.tld-com.'],
    },
    'tld-com.': {
        'A': '10.0.0.2',
        'NS': ['ns.example.com.'],
    },
    'example.com.': {
        'NS': ['ns.example.com.'],
        'A': '10.0.0.3'
    },
    #... etc. for mybank.com, etc.
}
```

- 3.
- 4.
5. **Fake DNS Server:** The service will run a simple, lightweight UDP server bound to port

53.<sup>10</sup> When its gRPC `RunScenario` method is called, this server will:

- Receive a raw UDP DNS query.
- Parse the query name (e.g., `example.com.`).
- Look up the name in the `DUMMY_ZONES` dictionary.
- Construct a hard-coded, fake response packet using `dnspython`'s message-building tools.
- Send this fake response back to the querier.
- Simultaneously, it yields `SimStep` messages to the `api-gateway` describing what it just did, allowing the UI to animate the step.

## C. Simulating Packet Loss and Retries

This section directly addresses a key user requirement: visualizing packet loss and the resulting query retries. Random, probabilistic packet loss<sup>75</sup> is a poor teaching tool because it is unpredictable. For a tutorial, a *controlled, deterministic* scenario is required.

The `simulator-service` will have a special "Packet Loss" scenario:



1. The React frontend requests scenario: 'MODULE\_X\_PACKET\_LOSS'.
2. The api-gateway calls the simulator-service's RunScenario method.
3. The simulator-service yields the first step: "Client is sending a query..."
4. The resolver-service (acting as the client in this tutorial) sends its first dns.query.udp() query.
5. The simulator-service's fake DNS server <sup>10</sup> receives this query. Its scenario logic is programmed to *intentionally drop this first packet*. It simply does not respond.
6. The simulator-service yields a SimStep message: {"type": "PACKET\_DROP", "node": "tld-server", "reason": "Simulated packet loss"}.
7. On the resolver-service side, the dns.query.udp() call (which has a set timeout) will eventually fail. <sup>76</sup>
8. This failure will raise a dns.exception.Timeout exception. <sup>77</sup>
9. The resolver-service's logic will be wrapped in a try...except block to catch this specific exception. <sup>78</sup>
10. Upon catching the Timeout, the resolver-service yields a ResolveStep message: {"type": "NODE\_FAIL", "reason": "TIMEOUT\_RETRY"}. <sup>79</sup>
11. The resolver-service then *retries* the query by sending a new dns.query.udp() packet.
12. The simulator-service's fake server receives this *second* query. Its scenario logic states: "If this is a retry, send the correct hard-coded response."
13. The simulator-service sends the valid response, and yields the "SUCCESS" message.

This deterministic, choreographed sequence allows the frontend to execute a perfect, step-by-step animation:

1. Packet 1 animates from Client to Server.
2. Server node flashes red with a "PACKET DROPPED" icon.
3. Client node shows a "Waiting..." timer animation. <sup>81</sup>
4. Timer expires; Client node flashes red "TIMEOUT". <sup>30</sup>
5. Packet 2 (labeled "Retry") animates from Client to Server.
6. Server node flashes green "RECEIVED".
7. Response packet animates back to Client.
8. Client node flashes green "SUCCESS".

## V. The React/D3 Visualization Engine

### A. The React + D3 Integration Pattern: The "Ref" Escape Hatch

A core technical challenge in this stack is the "fight" between React's virtual DOM and D3's direct DOM manipulation.<sup>82</sup> If both libraries try to control the same set of `<svg>` elements, the application will break.

The established best-practice solution, and the one that will be used here, is to let React manage the *state* and the *container*, while D3 manages the *rendering* and *transitions* of everything *inside* that container.<sup>69</sup> This is achieved with React's `useRef` hook, which provides an "escape hatch" to an imperative API (D3).<sup>68</sup>

## Implementation Plan:

### 1. React Component (`DnsGraph.jsx`):

- This component will be "stateful" but will render *almost no DOM*. It will render a single `<svg>` element and attach a ref to it: `<svg ref={d3ContainerRef} />`.<sup>68</sup>
- It will initialize the ref: `const d3ContainerRef = useRef(null);`.<sup>69</sup>
- It will hold the visualization data (nodes, links) in React state: `const [nodes, setNodes] = useState(...)`. This state will be populated by the `useDnsSocket` custom hook.<sup>65</sup>

### 2. D3 Logic (`d3Logic.js`):

- A `D3Graph` class will be created.
- **`useEffect(...)` (on mount):** Inside a `useEffect` with an empty dependency array (so it runs only once), the `D3Graph` class will be instantiated. It will be passed `d3ContainerRef.current`. The D3 `.init()` method will then `d3.select()` this raw DOM node and append the permanent SVG groups (e.g., `<g class="nodes-layer">`, `<g class="links-layer">`).
- **`useEffect(..., [nodes, links])` (on data change):** A *second* `useEffect` hook will re-run *every time* the `nodes` or `links` state data changes.<sup>85</sup> This hook will call a method like `D3Graph.update(nodes, links)`.
- The D3 `.update()` function will use D3's powerful `data(...).join(...)` pattern. This pattern handles all data-driven transitions:
  - `join('enter')`: Creates new SVG elements for data points that just appeared.
  - `join('update')`: Applies *transitions*<sup>87</sup> to existing elements (e.g., changing a node's color from grey to green).
  - `join('exit')`: Animates and removes elements that are no longer in the dataset.

This pattern gives the best of both worlds: React's declarative state management drives the application, while D3's unparalleled transition and visualization engine handles the complex animations.<sup>84</sup>

## B. Core Visualization Component: The Packet Flow Animation

A primary animation will be the "packet" moving from one server node to another. A common but inefficient approach is to animate a `<circle>` element along a `<path>`.<sup>29</sup> A far more performant, standard, and visually compelling D3 technique is to animate the *drawing* of the path (link) itself.<sup>20</sup>

### Implementation:

1. When a query is sent (e.g., a `sim:step` message of type: 'QUERY' is received), D3 will draw the `<path>` element that connects the `resolver` node to the `root` node.
2. The D3 code will get the total length of this new path: `const totalLength = path.node().getTotalLength();`<sup>20</sup>
3. It will *immediately* set the path's styling to be "invisible" but "ready" to be drawn, using the `stroke-dasharray` and `stroke-dashoffset` SVG attributes<sup>90</sup>:
4. JavaScript

```
path
.attr("stroke-dasharray", totalLength + " " + totalLength)
.attr("stroke-dashoffset", totalLength);
```

- 5.
6. This creates a "dash" that is the full length of the line, followed by a "gap" of the same length, and then *offsets* the start of the pattern by the full length, effectively hiding the line.
7. It will then *immediately* call a D3 transition<sup>87</sup> to animate this offset to zero:
8. JavaScript

```
path.transition()
.duration(1000) // 1 second animation
.ease(d3.easeLinear)
.attr("stroke-dashoffset", 0);
```

- 9.
- 10.

11. This transition will "pull" the dash pattern into view, making the line appear to "draw" itself from the start node to the end node.<sup>90</sup> This is a highly effective and performant visualization of a packet's journey.

## C. Core Visualization Component: "Glowing" and "Flashing" Nodes

To direct the user's attention, the animation must highlight the "active" or "failed" node. A

"glowing" effect<sup>21</sup> is excellent for an active node, while a "flashing" red<sup>30</sup> is clear for a failure.

These effects should *not* be implemented with complex JavaScript-based D3 timers.<sup>81</sup> This is inefficient. The "React + D3" pattern (Section V.A) allows for a much cleaner, more performant solution using CSS and SVG filters.

1. SVG Filter (for "Glow"):  
Inside the React component, a static SVG <defs> element will be defined to hold an SVG filter for the glow effect 21:
2. XML

```
<svg>
<defs>
  <filter id="glow">
    <feGaussianBlur stdDeviation="3.5" result="coloredBlur" />
    <feMerge>
      <feMergeNode in="coloredBlur" />
      <feMergeNode in="SourceGraphic" />
    </feMerge>
  </filter>
</defs>
</svg>
```

- 3.
- 4.
5. CSS Animations (for "Flash"):  
A standard CSS file will define the classes for these states 91:
6. CSS

```
@keyframes flash-red {
  0% { fill: #ff0000; }
  50% { fill: #f8d7da; }
  100% { fill: #ff0000; }
}
```

- 7.
- 8.

```
.node.glowing {  
  
filter: url(#glow); /* Applies the SVG filter */  
  
stroke: #00ff00;  
  
}  
  
.node.failed {  
  
animation: flash-red 0.5s infinite; /* Applies the keyframe animation */  
  
}
```

3. **D3 Logic:** When the D3 `update()` function receives new data (e.g., from a `sim:step` message like `{"type": "NODE_FAIL"}`), its job is *not* to run a complex transition. Its job is simply to apply the correct class:javascript

```
// D3's update logic  
  
if (step.type === 'NODE_FAIL') {  
  
d3.select('#' + step.node_id).attr('class', 'node failed');  
  
} else if (step.type === 'NODE_ACTIVE') {  
  
d3.select('#' + step.node_id).attr('class', 'node glowing');  
  
}  
...
```

This approach is fast, declarative, and leverages the browser's native CSS and SVG rendering engines, keeping the D3 JavaScript logic clean and focused on data-binding.

## VI. Animated Storyboards for Advanced Security Scenarios

This section details the implementation of the application's most critical educational feature: the step-by-step animated storyboards for complex security scenarios. These are not just animations; they are *scripted narratives* driven by the `simulator-service`. Each "Scene" is a `SimStep` message (or series of messages) yielded by the Python backend and visualized by the D3 frontend, with accompanying pedagogical text appearing in the "Details-on-Demand" panel.

## A. Scenario: The Man-in-the-Middle (MITM) Attack

- Objective:** To visually demonstrate *why* plaintext DNS (RFC 1035) is insecure and *how* a DNS spoofing or cache poisoning attack works.<sup>92</sup>
- Technical Mechanism:** The `simulator-service` will use the `scapy` library.<sup>52</sup> It will be programmed to `sniff`<sup>94</sup> for the client's query for 'mybank.com' and then use `scapy.send()`<sup>54</sup> to inject a *forged* response packet that gets to the resolver *before* the real answer can.

| Scene # | Visual Action (D3 Animation)  | Pedagogical Text (On-screen)  | Technical Mechanism (Python simulator-service Logic)   |
|---------|---|---|--|
| 1       | Client node "glows". <sup>21</sup> Packet "draws" <sup>20</sup> to Resolver.  | "You type 'mybank.com' into your browser. Your computer sends a query to your recursive resolver (e.g., your ISP) to find the IP address."          | <code>frontend</code> sends <code>sim:start</code> event. <code>simulator-service</code> yields <code>SimStep</code> (Scene 1).  |
| 2       | An "Attacker" node <sup>38</sup> appears on the network path. Resolver packet "draws" towards Authoritative Server, but is "intercepted" by Attacker node, which "glows". | "Your resolver's query is sent in <i>plaintext</i> . A Man-in-the-Middle (MITM) attacker <sup>93</sup> on the network can see and read this query." | <code>resolver-service</code> (as client) sends query. <code>simulator-service</code> 's <code>scapy.sniff()</code> <sup>53</sup> captures this packet. yields <code>SimStep</code> (Scene 2). |

|   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| 3 | Attacker node "glows" red. A <i>new, red, "fake"</i> packet is generated at the Attacker.   | "The attacker <i>spoofs</i> <sup>96</sup> a fake response. They craft a packet claiming 'mybank.com' is at <i>their</i> malicious IP address (e.g., 1.2.3.4)."    | simulator-service uses <code>scapy</code> to build a <i>forged</i> DNS response packet with a fake IP. <sup>54</sup> yields SimStep (Scene 3). |
| 4 | The <i>red, fake</i> packet animates back to the Resolver, arriving <i>before</i> the (still in-flight) <i>real</i> packet. The Resolver "accepts" the fake packet.                       | "Because the attacker is closer or faster, their <i>fake</i> response arrives first. The resolver accepts it as truth. It has no way to check if it's authentic." | simulator-service <code>scapy.send()</code> s the spoofed packet. <sup>53</sup> yields SimStep (Scene 4).                                      |
| 5 | The <i>red, fake</i> IP is sent from the Resolver to the Client. The Client node is now connected to a "Fake Bank" icon. The <i>real</i> response (green) arrives later and is discarded. | "The resolver, now "poisoned" <sup>92</sup> , sends you the <i>wrong</i> IP. Your browser is now being sent to the attacker's fake website."                      | simulator-service streams the "FAILED" (poisoned) state to the UI. yields SimStep (Scene 5).   |

## B. Scenario: DNSSEC Validation (The Solution)

- **Objective:** To show *how* DNSSEC <sup>32</sup> uses cryptography to *detect* and *prevent* the exact MITM attack visualized in Scenario A.
- **Technical Mechanism:** The resolver will now add `want_dnssec=True` to its query. <sup>98</sup> The `simulator-service` will mimic the `scapy` attack, but the resolver's `dnspython` logic will now perform `dns.dnssec.validate()` <sup>98</sup> on the response.

| Scene # | Visual Action (D3 Animation)  | Pedagogical Text (On-screen)   | Technical Mechanism (Python Logic)   |
|---------|---|--|--|
| 1       | Client asks for 'mybank.com' + DNSSEC=True (visualized as a "shield" icon on the packet).                           | "Let's try again, this time with DNSSEC (DNS Security Extensions). Your resolver now requests the records <i>and</i> their digital signatures."            | sim:start event. resolver-service sets want_dnssec=True. <sup>98</sup>   |
| 2       | Same MITM (Scene 2-3 from above). Attacker sends the <i>red, fake</i> packet (which has no valid signature).        | "The attacker tries the same trick... but the resolver is now looking for something new."  | scapy.sniff() and scapy.send(). <sup>53</sup>  |
| 3       | Resolver receives the <i>red, fake</i> packet. The packet "shatters" or is "rejected" with a red "X". <sup>30</sup> | "The resolver <i>rejects</i> the response! Why? It was expecting a digital signature (RRSIG) <sup>33</sup> , but the fake packet didn't have a valid one." | resolver-service logic sees an answer with no valid RRSIG and flags it as "BOGUS". <sup>37</sup> yields SimStep. |



|   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| 4 | <p>Resolver ignores the attacker. The <i>real</i>, green packet from the Authoritative Server arrives. It contains two items: A (the IP) and RRSIG (the signature).</p>                 | <p>"The resolver discards the fake data and waits for the <i>real</i> response. The real server responds with the IP (A record) AND its digital signature (RRSIG)."</p> | <p>simulator-service (as dummy server) sends a valid, signed response. yields SimStep.</p> |
| 5 | <p>Resolver animates a <i>new</i> query to the server for its public key (DNSKEY).</p>  | <p>"To <i>verify</i> the signature, the resolver must now get the 'mybank.com' public key (DNSKEY).<sup>32</sup>"</p>   | <p>resolver-service automatically queries for DNSKEY. yields SimStep.</p>                  |
| 6 | <p>Server sends the DNSKEY. A "Chain of Trust" visual (a la dnsviz<sup>35</sup>) appears, showing (Root DS) -&gt; (TLD DNSKEY) -&gt; ('mybank.com' DS) -&gt; ('mybank.com' DNSKEY).</p> | <p>"This DNSKEY is itself trusted because it's part of a "Chain of Trust".<sup>34</sup> The TLD ('.com') vouches for the DNSKEY of 'mybank.com'."</p>                   | <p>This is a "details-on-demand" graphic. yields SimStep.</p>                              |

|   |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| 7 | An animation <sup>100</sup> shows: 1. A record is "hashed". 2. RRSIG is "decrypted" with DNSKEY to reveal a second hash. | "The resolver now performs the cryptographic check: It hashes the A record and uses the DNSKEY to decrypt the RRSIG." <sup>33</sup> | resolver-service logic calls dns.dnssec.validate(answer, answer,...). <sup>98</sup> |
| 8 | The two hash values animate, come together, and <i>match</i> . A large green checkmark appears. <sup>33</sup>            | "It's a match! The signature is valid. The resolver <i>knows</i> this IP is authentic."   | dns.dnssec.validate() succeeds. yields SimStep.                                     |
| 9 | The <i>green, valid</i> IP is sent to the Client. The Client node is connected to a "Real Bank" icon.                    | "You are now <i>safely</i> connected to the real 'mybank.com'. DNSSEC has protected you from the attack." <sup>101</sup>            | simulator-service streams the "SUCCESS" state.                                      |

### C. Scenario: DNSSEC Validation *Failure* (BOGUS State)

- **Objective:** To show what happens when a signature is *present* but *incorrect*—e.g., the data was tampered with in transit, or the zone is misconfigured.<sup>37</sup>
- **Action:** This scenario re-runs Scenario B. However, in Scene 7, the simulator-service provides a *mismatched* RRSIG (one that does not match the hash of the A record).

- **Result (Scene 8):** The cryptographic check animation<sup>102</sup> will show the two hash values coming together, but they *do not match*. A large red "X" appears.
- **Result (Scene 9):** The "Details-on-Demand" panel will show "Validation Failure: Signature Mismatch".<sup>101</sup> The Resolver node will flash red<sup>30</sup> and show a "BOGUS" status.<sup>37</sup> The client is *not* connected to any bank. The animation stops. The final text: "The connection *failed*, but you are *safe*. DNSSEC detected the tampered data and *prevented* you from connecting to a potentially malicious site."

#### D. Scenario: Authenticated Denial of Existence (NSEC/NSEC3)

- **Objective:** To explain the non-intuitive but critical DNSSEC concept of proving that a domain *does not exist* (NXDOMAIN).<sup>32</sup>
- **Technical Mechanism:** The simulator-service will respond to a query for a non-existent domain with a valid, signed NSEC record. The dnsviz tool<sup>35</sup> provides a good visual metaphor (showing ranges).

| Scene # | Visual Action (D3 Animation)                          | Pedagogical Text (On-screen)   | Technical Mechanism (Python simulator-service Logic) |
|---------|---|--|--|
| 1       | Client asks for 'nonexistent-bank.com' + DNSSEC=True. | "But what if an attacker just <i>deletes</i> a DNS response, pretending the site doesn't exist? How can we trust a 'Not Found' (NXDOMAIN) response?" | sim:start event for NXDOMAIN scenario.               |

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Resolver queries the Authoritative Server for 'nonexistent-bank.com'.                            | "The resolver asks the authoritative server for 'nonexistent-bank.com'."   | resolver-service queries.  |
| 3 | Server sends back <i>not</i> NXDOMAIN, but a <i>signed</i> NSEC record. <sup>32</sup>            | "The server doesn't just say 'Not Found'. It responds with a special, <i>signed</i> record called NSEC (Next Secure)."   | simulator-service (as dummy server) sends a crafted NSEC record and its RRSIG.                   |
| 4 | The NSEC record's contents are shown in the side panel :<br>NSEC: mybank.com --> other-bank.com. | "This NSEC record is a <i>signed proof</i> that 'nonexistent-bank.com' does not exist, because it proves that the <i>next</i> record in the zone (alphabetically) is 'other-bank.com'. <sup>3</sup><br>2," | The UI visualizes this as a "range" (a la dnsviz <sup>36</sup> ).<br>yields SimStep.             |
| 5 | Resolver validates the NSEC record's signature (like in Scenario B). A green checkmark appears.  | "The resolver validates the signature on the NSEC record. It's authentic!"   | resolver-service calls dns.dnssec.validate() on the NSEC RRset. <sup>99</sup><br>yields SimStep. |

|   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| 6 | Resolver confidently tells the Client "NXDOMAIN". | "The resolver can now <i>securely</i> tell you the domain does not exist. This is <i>Authenticated Denial of Existence</i> ."  | Final "SUCCESS" (NXDOMAIN) state is streamed.  |
| 7 | A "Learn More" hotspot <sup>4</sup> appears.      | " <b>Advanced Topic:</b> This NSEC record allows attackers to 'walk the zone' (list all domains). Click to learn how NSEC3 <sup>40</sup> and NSEC3 Opt-Out <sup>42</sup> solve this by hashing the names." | This links to the "Module 7.1" tutorial, which visualizes the hashing. <sup>41</sup> |

## VII. Implementation Blueprint and Strategic Recommendations

### A. Phased Development Roadmap (Sprints)

This project should be implemented in a phased approach, building from the core API contract outward to the visualization layer.

#### 1. Sprint 1 (Core Backend & API Contract):

- **Goal:** Define the system's "spinal cord."
- **Actions:** Define the gRPC .proto files and the WebSocket sim:step event schemas. This API contract is the *most critical* foundational piece. Build the resolver-service with the manual iterative query logic.<sup>16</sup> Build the simulator-service with the basic dummy data server.<sup>10</sup> Implement the gRPC server entry points.

#### 2. Sprint 2 (Core Frontend & Connection):

- **Goal:** Prove the full, end-to-end data flow.

- **Actions:** Build the `api-gateway` (Node.js) <sup>71</sup> to proxy gRPC calls to WebSockets.  
Build the base React app <sup>104</sup> with the `useDnsSocket` hook. <sup>69</sup> Prove the "Hello World" data flow: React -> `sim:start` (WebSocket) -> `api-gateway` -> gRPC -> `simulator-service` -> gRPC stream -> `api-gateway` -> `sim:step` (WebSocket) -> React UI (e.g., printing to console).
- 3. **Sprint 3 (Visualization Engine):**
  - **Goal:** Bring the data to life.
  - **Actions:** Implement the React+D3 "Ref" pattern. <sup>68</sup> Build the core D3 `update()` function using the `data().join()` pattern. Implement the "packet flow" `stroke-dashoffset` animation <sup>20</sup> and the CSS-based "glow" and "flash" states. <sup>21</sup>
- 4. **Sprint 4 (Pedagogy & Basic Modules):**
  - **Goal:** Build the core learning experience.
  - **Actions:** Build the React-based "Details-on-Demand" side panel <sup>3</sup> and the "Show Me How" button. <sup>18</sup> Implement the full tutorial logic for Modules 1-4, including the `d3-hierarchy` tree <sup>22</sup> for Module 3 and the cache simulation logic for Module 4.
- 5. **Sprint 5 (Advanced Backend):**
  - **Goal:** Build the "attack" and "defense" logic.
  - **Actions:** Implement the `scapy`-based MITM/Spoofing logic <sup>52</sup> in the `simulator-service`. Implement the `dns.dnssec.validate()` <sup>98</sup> logic in the `resolver-service` and add `want_dnssec=True` to the live resolver.
- 6. **Sprint 6 (Advanced Storyboards):**
  - **Goal:** Deliver the unique, high-value security modules.
  - **Actions:** Implement the full, multi-step, animated storyboards for MITM <sup>38</sup>, DNSSEC Validation (Success) <sup>32</sup>, DNSSEC Failure (BOGUS) <sup>39</sup>, and NSEC/NSEC3 <sup>32</sup> as defined in Section VI. This involves scripting the `simulator-service` to emit the precise sequence of `SimStep` events to tell these stories.

## B. Final Strategic Recommendation

The success of this project is not in its "Live Mode." A "Live Mode" visualizer is, at its core, a graphical dig +trace<sup>13</sup>—a commodity tool. Many such tools exist, like DNSViz<sup>35</sup> and  
whatsmydns.net.<sup>12</sup>

The *real, unique, and differentiating value* of this application is in the **"Dummy / Tutorial Mode."** The project's unique selling proposition is its "Zero-to-Hero" pedagogical framework<sup>5</sup> and the high-fidelity, animated, narrative "storyboards"<sup>105</sup> for complex threats—a feature that no other tool provides at this level of simplicity.

Therefore, it is the strong recommendation of this report that **at least 70% of development resources** be allocated to the simulator-service and the D3.js "storyboard" animation engine. The "Live Mode" can be a simple, read-only visualizer, but the *tutorials* must be flawless, cinematic, and deeply educational. This is the feature that will attract, retain, and successfully educate users with no prior knowledge.