ADR-5

Use of a Dedicated API Gateway Microservice for Routing

Date: 15-04-2025

Status

Accepted

Author

System Architect

Context

With multiple microservices powering different domains of the system (user, facility, booking, and payment), secure and efficient communication between clients and services is crucial. Direct exposure of internal services can introduce security vulnerabilities and tight coupling. A routing solution is needed to centralize request entry and policy enforcement.

Decision

We will introduce a dedicated API Gateway microservice responsible for routing all incoming requests to appropriate downstream services. This gateway will be the only exposed entry point for clients and will handle routing, rate limiting, request authentication, and basic logging.

Alternatives

Option	Pros	Cons
No Gateway (Expose each service)	Simple to start, no added layer	Insecure, harder to manage auth/routing, multiple open ports
Shared monolithic API router	Unified control logic	Violates separation of concerns, low scalability

ADR-5 1

Use existing open- source gateway	Mature, feature-rich, community- supported	Might be overkill or hard to customize for a course-scale project
Dedicated API Gateway Microservice (Chosen)	Centralizes access, improves security, supports cross-cutting concerns like auth and logging	Adds one more deployment unit, potential bottleneck if not scaled

Rationale

Using a gateway abstracts and centralizes routing logic, reduces security risks by not exposing internal service ports, and improves maintainability. It enables consistent enforcement of access policies and eases future enhancements like load balancing, service versioning, and API rate-limiting.

Consequences

- **Positive**: Improved security, modularity, and scalability; simpler client interface; better observability.
- **Negative**: Slight added complexity and potential single point of failure if gateway is not properly managed.

References

• https://microservices.io/patterns/apigateway.html

ADR-5