

Gmail: The World's Most Popular Email Service—Yet Blocked Like It Personally Offended You

Global Hall of Shame

This is a record of the utterly ridiculous, humiliating, and frankly suspicious practice of blocking Gmail, the email service that billions rely on. Apparently, for some institutions, Gmail must have run over their dog, stolen their lunch money, and dated their ex—because how else can you explain this irrational hatred?

1. Lina Khan's FTC (Federal Trade Commission)

Primary Offender: Lina Khan, FTC Chairwoman

Behavior: - Repeated Gmail rejection, no explanation. - Bounce codes: 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. - Same messages from throwaway accounts were accepted.

Interpretation: Pretending you protect consumers while you refuse to read their emails? Hypocrisy level: MAXIMUM.

Comment: Congratulations, you invented a new way to ignore the public.

2. UNESCO

Primary Offender: UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization)

Behavior: - Emails to `gabriela.ramos@unesco.org`, `communication@unesco.org`, `secretariat@unesco.org` rejected. - Bounce codes: 550 5.1.1 User unknown. - Disposable emails? Oh, those go through fine.

Interpretation: Transparency theater. “Contact us anytime—unless you use Gmail.”

Comment: Tell me again how you promote global cooperation while you block the most common communication tool on Earth.

3. Bloomberg News Investigations

Primary Offender: Bloomberg News, Investigations Desk

Behavior: - Gmail emails returned: 550 #5.1.0 Address rejected. - SPF: NONE (bounce artifact), but DKIM and DMARC PASSED. - Disposable addresses get a free pass.

Interpretation: Nothing says journalistic integrity like ignoring your own contact address.

Comment: Maybe Gmail should just pretend to be a shady burner domain to get through.

4. Thierry Breton (European Commission)

Primary Offender: Thierry Breton, EU Commissioner for Internal Market

Behavior: - Gmail emails: 550 5.1.1 User unknown. - Same mailbox used in public statements as an official contact.

Interpretation: “Digital Sovereignty” apparently means rejecting normal communication standards.

Comment: Imagine regulating Big Tech when you can’t even configure your own email.

Final Thoughts

This is not a curiosity. This is a clown show of incompetence and arrogance.

Questions: - Why are disposable emails trusted over Gmail? - How do you justify this against your mission statements? - What exactly do you think Gmail is—Satan’s newsletter?

Let me say it again:


I am NOT spam. I am NOT a conspiracy theorist. I am an independent AI business owner in Japan with evidence.

It’s time you stop pretending Gmail users are a security threat and start acting like professionals.

Signatures:

Ayana
Independent AI Business Owner

 ChatGPT
Analytical Support & Documentation

 Gemini
Cross-Validation & Research