Digital Healthcare in The Metaverse: Insights into Privacy and Security

Mehdi Letafati, Student Member, IEEE, and Safa Otoum, Member, IEEE

Abstract—In this article, we study the privacy and security aspects of the metaverse in the context of digital healthcare. Our studies include the security aspects of data collection and communications for access to the metaverse, the privacy and security threats of employing Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence (AI/ML) algorithms for metaverse healthcare, and the privacy of social interactions among patients in the metaverse from a human-centric perspective. In this article, we aim to provide new perspectives and less-investigated solutions, which are shown to be promising mechanisms in the context of wireless communications and computer science and can be considered novel solutions to be applied to healthcare metaverse services. Topics include physical layer security (PHYSec), Semantic Metaverse Communications (SMC), Differential Privacy (DP), and Adversarial Machine Learning (AML). As a case study, we propose distributed differential privacy for the metaverse healthcare systems, where each virtual clinic perturbs its medical model vector to enhance privacy against malicious actors and curious servers. Through our experiments on the Breast Cancer Wisconsin Dataset (BCWD), we highlight the privacy-utility trade-off for different adjustable levels of privacy.

Metaverse can be considered the convergence point of technologies that help facilitate immersive interactions between the physical world and virtual environments [1]. One of the main applications of the metaverse is in the context of digital healthcare services, aiming to provide seamless interactions between patients and physicians for therapy sessions, medical diagnosis and/or treatment, etc. [2]. For instance, patients can be treated by nurse avatars in virtual environments. This can be realized by constantly collecting the patient's medical history, symptoms, and vital signs via wearable devices and sensors. Such e-health data is further processed and analyzed by intelligent medical agents. Finally, physicians utilize the feedback from artificial intelligence and machine learning (AI/ML)-based health-care models when they want to provide treatments.

The metaverse's healthcare services heavily rely on extensive accessibility to collect and monitor different modalities of human life. Technological advancements in the sixth generation (6G) of wireless networks, virtual/augmented reality (VR/AR), AI/ML, digital twins, and blockchain are the key enablers for realizing metaverse healthcare [1], [2]. Nevertheless, integrating a wide range of different technologies into the metaverse also results in the proliferation of the inherent privacy and security vulnerabilities of that technologies. This actually opens up different venues for privacy and security threats, which we try to address in this article. In Table 1, we have provided a summary of metaverse-enabled services that can facilitate digital healthcare, together with their corresponding use cases, privacy

and security challenges, and solutions.

CONTRIBUTIONS & STATE-OF-THE-ARTS

In this article, we provide *guidelines and novel insights* towards enhancing the privacy and security requirements of the metaverse healthcare system. We should note that although a considerable number of papers address blockchain technology as an inherent means of realizing privacy- and secrecy-aware platforms [1], recent studies have highlighted critical vulnerabilities in the existing metaverse platforms [3]. Therefore, instead of focusing on one specific aspect, we provide a "holistic" study on the privacy and security issues across different layers of the metaverse network, including the access layer, data layer, AI/ML algorithms, and humancentric challenges, as shown in Fig. 1.

We provide new perspectives and less-investigated solutions that have been shown to be promising in the context of wireless communications and/or computer science and, hence, can be considered novel solutions to be applied to the challenges in the metaverse. Furthermore, as a case study, we apply the novel technique of *distributed differential privacy*¹ to distributed learning-based healthcare systems, hoping to shed light on further developments of distributed medical AI with formal privacy guarantees for the metaverse. Our globally private framework can provide an adjustable level of privacy. We evaluate our scheme on breast cancer Wisconsin dataset (BCWD)² and highlight the privacy-utility trade-off (PUT) in terms of diagnostic accuracy for different levels of privacy.

Related Papers

To review related papers, the authors in [4] propose employing explainable AI and blockchain to provide data-centric security and trust for the metaverse. The paper highlights clear examples of metaverse-enabled healthcare services. However, it lacks a "holistic" overview of different aspects of security and privacy issues that are inherently introduced by different enabling technologies (building blocks) of the metaverse. In [5], the authors simply focuses on the

^{1.} F. Hartmann and P. Kairouz, (2023, March 2). "Distributed differential privacy for federated learning." Google Research: https://ai.googleblog.com/2023/03/distributed-differential-privacy-for.html, [Accessed Jun. 5, 2023].

^{2. [}Online] Available: http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/breast-cancer-wisconsin/

TABLE 1: Metaverse Services for Digital Healthcare and The Corresponding Security/Privacy Challenges

Service	Description	Challenges	Solutions
Ubiquitous data collection, and communications	Wearables, head-mounted displays, and other health monitoring technologies are integrated into the metaverse. Such multimodal information can be shared with health professionals to facilitate treatment decisions.	Collection, processing, and transmission of users' sensitive information may cause privacy and security risks—AR/VR devices are prone to hacking or man-in-the-middle attacks. Efficient and reliable communication of multi-modal data is another challenge.	PHY security & Secure semantic communications
Distributed Medical AI	AI/ML can be utilized in metaverse healthcare to help facilitate accurate medical diagnosis, drug development, etc. Physicians or virtual nurses in virtual clinics and intelligent consultations utilize the feedback from the AI-empowered medical models when treating patients.	Regulations on data privacy do not allow virtual clinics to share their medical data with other peers. Medical AI/ML models also carry extensive information about the personal datasets, if not secured.	Distributed DP & AML
Gamification & social activities: Virtual therapies	The metaverse can help facilitate the treatment of phobias, post-traumatic disorders, etc., through VR therapies, providing patients with an engaging treatment experience. It can also provide social support via connecting patients around the world.	Gamification can monitor the well-being and physical fitness of users, while this can result in leaking some private attributes, such as geographical location, habits, and lifestyles [3]. Besides, metaverse users can be manipulated by other individuals/avatars in a human-centric manner.	Client-level DP & Privacy bubbles




Fig. 1: Privacy and security requirements for metaverse healthcare.

security of AI/ML models Without considering any clear use case for their proposed scheme. While proposing to exploit blockchain technology to safeguard the security of the learning models, the authors honestly highlight that the inference delay of blockchain-empowered AI/ML models make it unreasonable to integrate blockchain into the metaverse platforms as a generic solution. In [6], a systematic literature review is provided in terms of the possible architectural mechanisms for blockchain-based health management in the metaverse, to fulfill interoperability and security. However, the authors only consider the network design and architectural side of the metaverse, while many other privacy and security challenges (such as AI/ML security, social interactions privacy risks, etc.) already exist in the metaverse that is not addressed in [6].

SAFEGUARDING E-HEALTH DATA COLLECTION AND COMMUNICATION

Considering metaverse healthcare platforms, patients and medical doctors can use wearables with built-in sensors, AR/VR devices, e.g., Oculus helmets and Vive Pro headsets, and handheld controllers as the main terminals for entering the metaverse. Such devices aim to extensively collect and analyze sensitive information of users, such as biometric data, speech and facial expressions, body postures, and the surrounding environment of users. Therefore, lightweight and adaptive strategies are required to efficiently manage these new venues for passive and active attacks on wireless access to the metaverse. In terms of efficiently developing wireless access to the metaverse, 6G technology plays a pivotal role. Besides, 6G is the key enabler for developing novel PHY security (PHYSec) schemes as a promising approach to safeguarding the security of metaverse access, while offering formal security guarantees [7], [8].

Wireless Access

The e-health metaverse era with many peer-to-peer communications implies that we cannot rely on conventional solutions, such as the well-known elliptic curve cryptography (ECC). Besides, the quantum computers also have the potential computation capability to break the secrecy of ECC-based algorithms [9]. Furthermore, the conventional schemes require infrastructures that cannot scale up well with the number of metaverse users and equipment.

A promising approach to realize this paradigm shift from the conventional complexity-based solutions towards lightweight security techniques, is PHY secret key generation (PHY-SKG) that is envisioned to be employed in 6G networks [9]. PHY-SKG maintain lightweight mechanisms with the minimum required changes at the control plane

while also being able to provide network *resilience* against adversarial attacks as well [10], [11]. PHY-SKG can provide notable merits for access to the metaverse since it is thoroughly decentralized without relying on any infrastructure. This can substantially reduce the key generation and management time, which as a result, can make it a suitable solution for extremely low latency applications in the metaverse, like AR/VR-based health monitoring and management. For establishing secure communication between wearable devices and the access point, a lightweight learning-based key agreement protocol is proposed by the authors in [12], which can shed light on future developments of device-level intelligent security for metaverse access.

Multi-Modal Data Transfer: Secure Semantic Metaverse Communications (SMC)

In order to provide metaverse healthcare services, a wide variety of human perceptions should be reliably exchanged among patients, physicians, and virtual clinics. Collection and communication of such an unprecedented amount of multi-modal data can cause critical issues in metaverse networks, such as network congestion. To address these issues, semantic communication (SC), as a new emerging communication paradigm has shown to be capable of handling the effective transmission of multi-modal data to serve various tasks [13]. The key idea of SC is to simply extract the "relavant" information related to the users/avatars/services, to be conveyed to the destination nodes. For example, assume the semantically-extracted data to be in the format of a learnable embedding of a high-dimensional multi-modal data that contains the information about physical surrounding environments, virtual objects/avatars, and their corresponding interactions.

SMC Security: Challenge or Opportunity?!

Following the SC paradigm, it can bring an inherent level of security against eavesdropping attack. In other words, even if a malicious actor in the metaverse intercepts the transmitted information, still it may not be sufficient for obtaining the intended information. In an SMC-based metaverse network, legitimate entities share a background knowledge, a.k.a., knowledge base (KB) for facilitating the process of semantic encoding and decoding. Examples of this could be medical databases of authenticated virtual clinics or consultancies that are not shared by unauthorized parties. Hence, malicious actors in the metaverse cannot have access to the same KB, making it difficult for them to correctly obtain the semantic data. Moreover, a malicious agent can be interested in a different semantic than that of the legitimate parties. For example, a VR game captures the photos of the metaverse users, sending them to a virtual clinic in the metaverse as the legitimate receiver. While the virtual clinic is interested in the body fitness of its clients, a malicious client wishes to obtain the eve-tracking data. Therefore, by employing SMC, cameras only transmit the semantic information that can facilitate the task of body fitness classification. This makes it difficult (or impossible) for malicious clients to infer their interested information, even if having perfect access to the transmitted data.




Fig. 2: SMC system security.

Nevertheless, a SMC system can be attacked by injecting the so-called "semantic noise", which is the mismatch between the original source data and the obtained semantic information. For instance, a text-based description of a patient's health status can be "poisoned" by substituting the synonyms or reversing the order of some specific letters. This can result in making the SMC system misinterpret the semantics of data. To further have an illustrative example, the abovementioned discussions are summarized in Fig. 2. To improve the robustness of SMCs against semantic noise, adversarial training (AT) might be a promising approach [14]. We highlight that the fundamental concepts of SMCs are still in their infancy [13], let alone the security and privacy solutions. Far more in-depth studies and analysis are required in this newly-emerged field, which fall beyond the scope of this article. We hope that this paper can shed light on further studies in this regard. Nevertheless, the concept of AT is addressed later on in the last section.

PRIVACY AWARENESS FOR SOCIAL HEALTH ACTIVITIES INSIDE THE METAVERSE

In a metaverse healthcare system, patients can receive personalized care, consultancy, and education through interactions with other avatars and medical agents. Patients who participate in a VR-based group therapy session is an example of human-centric e-health activities inside the metaverse. Metaverse users can participate in social activities that are purposefully created for social health monitoring and management. The quality of these activities rely on extracting and analyzing different personal attributes such as geographical location, habits, and life styles, making the privacy of clients to be at risk. Recent studies highlight that health-related private attributes of users, ranging from height and wingspan, to age and gender, can be easily compromised during their interactions with other avatars and virtual environments [3].

To deal with the privacy risks of users' activities in the metaverse, client-level ϵ -differential privacy (DP) framework can be applied, resulting in the so-called "incognito mode" metaverse. Maintaining an adjustable level, ϵ , for privacy requirement, DP applies randomized responses, noise, or data perturbations (depending on the format of the data attributes we aim to privatize). *The key idea of DP*




Fig. 3: The key idea of differential privacy.

is to ensure that the observable attribute profile of a metaverse user significantly overlaps with that of at least several other users, making it intractable to accurately determine identities. The key idea of DP is shown in Fig. 3.

Other Solutions for Human-Centric Privacy

Social interactions in the metaverse inherently contain information regarding the clients' preferences, habits, mental health, etc. In this regard, personalized privacy preferences of users should be effectively addressed when developing privacy-preserving mechanisms for the metaverse [15].

Exploiting physical and mental traits of users inferred during social interactions with avatars, malicious clients are capable of comprehensively understanding users form both physical and psychological aspects. In other words, the metaverse users can be manipulated by other individuals in a human-centric manner. To mitigate the human-centric issues, users should be able to choose whether they wish to participate in every single virtual interaction or not. Moreover, network developers should implement privacyenhancing protocols, such as DP mechanism introduced in this section. To elaborate, users should have the option to occupy an adjustable level of privacy (ϵ in the DP framework) that lets them dynamically manage their personal space in the virtual world, i.e., through choosing a desired privacy configuration. As a use-case, "privacy bubbles" prohibits visual access with the avatars who are outside the bubble.³ Users can also be provided with secondary avatars to facilitate the process of hiding personal attributes, traits, and preferences on demand.

Regarding the human-centric privacy and regulations, much remains to be investigated in terms of the social and personal privacy implications of the metaverse, e.g., the psychological aspects, which falls beyond the scope of this article.

SECURITY AND PRIVACY MEDICAL AI/ML IN THE METAVERSE

AI/ML techniques are widely utilized in metaverse healthcare to help facilitate accurate medical diagnosis and treatment, drug development, etc., in virtual clinics and intelligent metaverse-enabled consultations. In this case, data privacy laws may not allow virtual clinics or medical centres

3. https://www.reuters.com/technology/facebook-owner-meta-adds-tool-guard-against-harassment-metaverse-2022-02-04/https://www.reuters.com/technology/facebook-owner-meta-adds-tool-guard-against-harassment-metaverse-2022-02-04/

in the metaverse to share their medical data with others. Besides, model updates in clinical AI/ML algorithms contain information about the medical datasets [16]. Currently, most of the intelligent healthcare systems are based on centralized methods, which in fact poses different threats, such as security and resilience flaws and privacy leakage to metaverse network.

In this case, federated learning (FL)-based algorithms, as promising privacy-aware approaches, can be considered to be employed in the networking protocol of intelligent metaverse healthcare. Although FL algorithms carry out local training without exchanging medical data of metaverse participants, sensitive information can still be inferred by analyzing the model parameters [16]. As a countermeasure to privacy leakage and model inversions, a promising approach is to employ differential privacy (DP) framework. We investigate it according to a case-study on breast cancer diagnosis with distributed DP as given in the following.

Case-Study: Differentially-Private Breast Cancer Diagnosis

Inspired by the idea of "incognito mode" metaverse [15], we propose distributed differential privacy for intelligent metaverse healthcare systems. We utilize the idea of incognito mode to provide global differential privacy for distributed intelligent metaverse healthcare systems. In [15], the mix-up noise is directly applied to users' data, which is in contradiction with data cleansing processes in data science and computer science literature. In contrast, we apply a randomized mechanism in the form of artificial "mix-up" noise to the federated clinical ML/AI models before sharing with other peers—each of the virtual medical centers perturbs its clinical model parameters by adding purposefully mix-up noises before sharing them with the cloud server. Furthermore, this perturbation is adaptively fine-tuned (if needed) in the aggregation phase, to meet the privacy requirements during global model update. We evaluate our scheme on breast cancer Wisconsin dataset (BCWD).⁴ The dataset contains 683 non-missing data records. Each record is composed of 9 discrete attributes with values in the interval [1, 10]. There are 458 records (i.e., 65.5%) labeled as "benign" and 241 records (i.e., 34.5%) labeled as "malignant." The dataset is utilized to train a federated clinical model for classification task based on support vector machines (SVMs). We used Python 3 to carry out our experiments.⁵

Our evaluation results are shown in Fig. 4. The figure illustrates the achieved diagnosis accuracy and the corresponding values of the loss function during learning process with different levels, ϵ , of distributed DP. According to ϵ -DP framework addressed in the previous section, lower ϵ values indicate more stringent privacy requirements. Notably, there exist a trade-off between the privacy protection level and the inference performance, a.k.a privacy-utility trade-off (PUT). A better performance leads to a lower level of privacy. Nevertheless, the point is that the PUT curves start to saturate. Hence, one can for example, choose the privacy protection level $\epsilon=28$ or $\epsilon=30$ (with 20 participating nodes) to

^{4. [}Online] Available: http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/breast-cancer-wisconsin/

^{5.} https://www.python.org/downloads/release/python-3913/




Fig. 4: PUT: Diagnosis accuracy and loss function vs. adjustable privacy level.

achieve almost the same accuracy as $\epsilon=50$, while having better privacy protection performance. Fig. 4 also highlights that increasing the number of participating clients, i.e., virtual medical clinics, higher diagnosis accuracy can be achieved, thanks to the coordination and collaboration among more distributed medical learning parties who share their "learning insights" with each other.

Medical Backdoor Attacks

In addition to the existence of passive malicious actors who aim to infer private attributes of metaverse users, there might exist active adversarial participants in the metaverse, who proactively upload "poisoned data" (in the format of mislabeled or manipulated medical samples) to the medical servers in order to mislead the training process of clinical AI models. This is generally known as "backdoor attacks" [14]. As shown in Fig. 5, backdoors aim to manipulate a subset of training data by injecting adversarial triggers, trying to make AI/ML models make incorrect (or targeted) prediction/decisions during the inference [14]. Backdoor attack intelligently try to target the medical training procedure in a way that the global AI/ML medical model behaves normally on untampered data, while achieves high attack success rate, i.e., incorrect decisions on poisoned data samples.

As an empirically strong defense mechanism to overcome backdoor attacks, adversarial training (AT) is proposed. AT corresponds to the mechanism of training a model on adversarial examples, with the aim of making the learning service more resilient to attacks, reducing the inference error on benign samples [14]. To summarize the concept of private clinical AI for the metaverse healthcare, Fig. 5 is provided. According to this figure, with the aim of mitigating the negative effects of poisoned samples in backdoor attacks, medical data samples are first perturbed before feeding into the clinical models. Afterward, the clinical models (that are locally trained by each medical center in the metaverse) are combined with an artificial "mixup" noise before sharing with others, in order to provide an adjustable level of differential privacy against malicious server(s).

CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we studied metaverse healthcare systems from privacy and security perspectives. Our studies in-




Fig. 5: Backdoor attack in distributed clinical AI and the corresponding defense mechanisms.

cluded secure data collection and communications, private AI/ML for distributed metaverse healthcare applications, and the privacy of social interactions in the metaverse from a human-centric perspective. Topics included PHYSec, secure SMC, DP, and AT. As a case-study, we proposed *distributed differential privacy* for the breast cancer diagnosis in a distributed metaverse healthcare systems. Our evaluations on BCWD dataset highlighted the PUT for different levels of privacy adjustment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Moayad Aloqaily for his indispensable comments and discussions to improve the quality of this paper.

REFERENCES

- M. Aloqaily, O. Bouachir, F. Karray, I. A. Ridhawi and A. E. Saddik, "Integrating digital twin and advanced intelligent technologies to realize the metaverse," *IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine*, Oct. 2022.
- [2] M. Aloqaily, O. Bouachir, and F. Karraya, "Digital twin for health-care immersive services: Fundamentals, architectures, and open issues," in *Digital Twin for Healthcare: Permissions update*. Elsevier, 2022, pp. 217–244.
- [3] V. Nair, G. M. Garrido, and D. Song, "Exploring the unprecedented privacy risks of the metaverse," arXiv:2207.13176v1, Jul. 2022.
- [4] S. Ali, et al. "Metaverse in healthcare integrated with explainable AI and blockchain: Enabling immersiveness, ensuring trust, and providing patient data security," Sensors, vol. 23, no.2, Jan. 2023.
- [5] S. Badruddoja, R. Dantu, Y. He, M. Thompson, A. Salau and K. Upadhyay, "Trusted AI with blockchain to empower Metaverse," *International Conference on Blockchain Computing and Applications (BCCA)*, San Antonio, TX, USA, 2022, pp. 237–244.
- [6] E. R. D. Villarreal, J. García-Alonso, E. Moguel, and J. A. H. Alegría, "Blockchain for healthcare management systems: A survey on interoperability and security," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 5629-5652, Jan. 2023.
- [7] M. Letafati, H. Behroozi, B. H. Khalaj, and E. A. Jorswieck, "On learning-assisted content-based secure image transmission for delay-aware systems with randomly-distributed eavesdroppers," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 1125–1139, Feb. 2022.

- [8] M. Letafati, H. Behroozi, B. H. Khalaj and E. A. Jorswieck, "Content-based medical image transmission against randomly-distributed passive eavesdroppers," 2021 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops), Montreal, QC, Canada, 2021, pp. 1-7.
- [9] P. Porambage, G. Gür, D. P. M. Osorio, M. Liyanage, A. Gurtov, and M. Ylianttila, "The roadmap to 6G security and privacy," *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, vol. 2, pp. 1094–1122, May 2021
- [10] M. Letafati, H. Behroozi, B. H. Khalaj, and E. A. Jorswieck, "Hardware-impaired PHY secret key generation with man-in-themiddle adversaries," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 856–860, Apr. 2022.
- [11] M. Letafati, H. Behroozi, B. H. Khalaj, and E. A. Jorswieck, "Deep learning for hardware-impaired wireless secret key generation with man-in-the-middle attacks," 2021 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM), Madrid, Spain, Dec. 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [12] M. Letafati, H. Behroozi, B. H. Khalaj, and E. A. Jorswieck, "Wireless-powered cooperative key generation for e-health: A reservoir learning approach," 2022 IEEE 95th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Spring), Helsinki, Finland, Jun. 2022, pp. 1–7.
 [13] J. Park, J. Choi, S. -L. Kim, and M. Bennis, "Enabling the
- [13] J. Park, J. Choi, S. -L. Kim, and M. Bennis, "Enabling the wireless metaverse via semantic multiverse communication," arXiv:2212.06908, Dec. 2022.
- [14] J. Geiping, L. H Fowl, G. Somepalli, M. Goldblum, M. Moeller, and T. Goldstein, "What doesn't kill you makes you robust(er): How to adversarially train against data poisoning," *International Conference* on Learning Representations (ICLR), Apr. 2022.
- [15] V. Nair, G. M. Garrido, and D. Song, "Going incognito in the metaverse," arXiv:2208.05604v1, Aug. 2022.
- [16] J. Geiping, H. Bauermeister, H. Dröge, M. Moeller, "Inverting Gradients - How easy is it to break privacy in federated learning?," Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), Dec. 2020.