

# Equivariant localization, parity sheaves, and cyclic base change

Tony Feng

MIT/IAS

September 17, 2020

# Global Langlands correspondence

Notation:

- $F$  = global function field, e.g.  $\mathbb{F}_\ell(t)$
- $G$  = reductive group over  $F$ , e.g.  $\mathrm{SL}_n$
- $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  (coefficients),  $p \neq \mathrm{char}(F)$

# Global Langlands correspondence

Notation:

- $F$  = global function field, e.g.  $\mathbb{F}_\ell(t)$
- $G$  = reductive group over  $F$ , e.g.  $\mathrm{SL}_n$
- $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  (coefficients),  $p \neq \mathrm{char}(F)$

Vincent Lafforgue constructed

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{irreducible cuspidal} \\ \text{automorphic representations} \\ \text{of } G \text{ over } k \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Langlands parameters} \\ \mathrm{Gal}(F^s/F) \rightarrow {}^L G(k) / \sim \end{array} \right\}.$$

Does it have expected properties?

# Global Langlands correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{irreducible} \\ \text{automorphic representations} \\ \text{of } G \text{ over } k \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Langlands parameters} \\ \text{Gal}(F^s/F) \rightarrow {}^L G(k) / \sim \end{array} \right\}.$$

Langlands functoriality:

# Global base change

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{irreducible} \\ \text{automorphic representations} \\ \text{of } G \text{ over } k \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{GLC}(G)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Langlands parameters} \\ \text{Gal}(F^s/F) \rightarrow {}^L G(k) / \sim \end{array} \right\}.$$

Suppose

- $H$  reductive over  $F$ ,
- $E/F$  field extension,  $G := \text{Res}_{E/F}(H_E)$ .

There's a diagonal embedding  $\phi_{\text{BC}}: {}^L H \rightarrow {}^L G$ .

# Global base change

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{irreducible} \\ \text{automorphic representations} \\ \text{of } G \text{ over } k \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{GLC}(G)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Langlands parameters} \\ \text{Gal}(F^s/F) \rightarrow {}^L G(k) / \sim \end{array} \right\}.$$

Suppose

- $H$  reductive over  $F$ ,
- $E/F$  field extension,  $G := \text{Res}_{E/F}(H_E)$ .

There's a diagonal embedding  $\phi_{\text{BC}}: {}^L H \rightarrow {}^L G$ .

## Theorem 1 (Existence of global base change)

Assume  $p$  is good for  $\widehat{G}$  and  $\text{Gal}(E/F) \approx \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

Then  $\rho \in \text{Im GLC}(H) \implies \phi_{\text{BC}} \circ \rho \in \text{Im GLC}(G)$ .

# Base change

Not optimal: should be true even with characteristic 0 coefficients.

- Follows from bijectivity of GLC for  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  by L. Lafforgue.
- Known for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  over number fields by Arthur-Clozel.

All proofs use the trace formula.

# Base change

Not optimal: should be true even with characteristic 0 coefficients.

- Follows from bijectivity of GLC for  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  by L. Lafforgue.
- Known for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  over number fields by Arthur-Clozel.

All proofs use the trace formula.

Novelty for general  $G$ : can have

$f, f'$  generating *isomorphic*  
automorphic representations  $\rightarrow$  different  $L$ -parameters.

Indistinguishable by the trace formula!



# Local Langlands correspondence

Notation:

- $F_v$  = local function field, e.g.  $\mathbb{F}_\ell((t))$ .
- $H$  = reductive group over  $F_v$ .

# Local Langlands correspondence

Notation:

- $F_v$  = local function field, e.g.  $\mathbb{F}_\ell((t))$ .
- $H$  = reductive group over  $F_v$ .

Genestier-Lafforgue constructed:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{irreducible representations} \\ \text{of } H(F_v) \text{ over } k \end{array} \right\} / \sim \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{semi-simple} \\ \text{Langlands parameters} \\ \text{Weil}(F_v) \rightarrow {}^L H(k) / \sim \end{array} \right\}.$$

# Local base change

Notation:

- $E_v/F_v$  extension,  $\text{Gal}(E_v/F_v) \approx \mathbb{Z}/p = \langle \sigma \rangle$ .
- $G = \text{Res}_{E_v/F_v}(H_{E_v})$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{irreducible representations} \\ \text{of } H(F_v) \text{ over } k \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{BC}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{irreducible representations} \\ \text{of } G(F_v) \text{ over } k \end{array} \right\}$$

$\text{Im}(\text{BC})$  should be  $\{\Pi : \Pi \circ \sigma \approx \Pi\} \implies G \rtimes \sigma \curvearrowright \Pi$ .

$\text{BC}^{-1}(\Pi) = ?$

# Local base change

Tate cohomology.  $\sigma \curvearrowright \Pi$

$$0 = \sigma^p - 1 = (\sigma - 1) \underbrace{(1 + \sigma + \dots + \sigma^{p-1})}_N$$

# Local base change

Tate cohomology.  $\sigma \curvearrowright \Pi$

$$0 = \sigma^p - 1 = (\sigma - 1) \underbrace{(1 + \sigma + \dots + \sigma^{p-1})}_N$$

$$T^0(\Pi) := \frac{\ker(\sigma - 1 \mid \Pi)}{\operatorname{Im}(N \mid \Pi)}$$

$$T^1(\Pi) := \frac{\ker(N \mid \Pi)}{\operatorname{Im}(\sigma - 1 \mid \Pi)}$$

# Treumann-Venkatesh Conjecture

## Conjecture (Treumann-Venkatesh)

*Let  $\Pi$  be an irreducible  $\sigma$ -fixed representation of  $G(F_v)$ . Then any irreducible subquotient of  $T^i(\Pi)$  transfers under LLC to*

$$\Pi^{(p)} := \Pi \otimes_{k, \text{Frob}_p} k.$$

# Treumann-Venkatesh Conjecture

## Conjecture (Treumann-Venkatesh)

*Let  $\Pi$  be an irreducible  $\sigma$ -fixed representation of  $G(F_v)$ . Then any irreducible subquotient of  $T^i(\Pi)$  transfers under LLC to*

$$\Pi^{(p)} := \Pi \otimes_{k, \text{Frob}_p} k.$$

## Theorem 2 (F.)

*Assume  $p$  is good for  $\widehat{G}$ . Then any irreducible subquotient of  $T^i(\Pi)$  transfers under the Genestier-Lafforgue correspondence to  $\Pi^{(p)}$ .*

# Treumann-Venkatesh Conjecture

## Conjecture (Treumann-Venkatesh)

*Let  $\Pi$  be an irreducible  $\sigma$ -fixed representation of  $G(F_v)$ . Then any irreducible subquotient of  $T^i(\Pi)$  transfers under LLC to*

$$\Pi^{(p)} := \Pi \otimes_{k, \text{Frob}_p} k.$$

## Theorem 2 (F.)

*Assume  $p$  is good for  $\widehat{G}$ . Then any irreducible subquotient of  $T^i(\Pi)$  transfers under the Genestier-Lafforgue correspondence to  $\Pi^{(p)}$ .*

Previously proved by Ronchetti for depth zero supercuspidals of  $\text{GL}_n$  induced from cuspidal Deligne-Lusztig representations.



# Summary of Lafforgue's correspondence

$\Gamma$  = a group.

Excursion algebra  $\text{Exc}(\Gamma, \widehat{G}) \sim$  Functions on  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \widehat{G})/\widehat{G}$ .

$\{\text{Exc}(\Gamma, \widehat{G}) \rightarrow k\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{semi-simple L-parameters } \Gamma \rightarrow \widehat{G}(k)\}.$

$[G] := G(F) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}_F).$

**Idea:** construct  $\text{Exc}(\text{Gal}(F^s/F), \widehat{G}) \curvearrowright C_c^\infty([G]; k).$

# The excursion algebra

Can present  $\text{Exc}(\Gamma, \widehat{G})$  explicitly by generators and relations.

Generators:  $s_{n,f,(\gamma_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}}$

# The excursion algebra

Can present  $\text{Exc}(\Gamma, \widehat{G})$  explicitly by generators and relations.

4.2.2. *Relations.* Next we describe the relations. (Compare [Laf18a, §10].)

- (i)  $S_{\emptyset, f, *} = f(1_G)$ .
- (ii) The map  $f \mapsto S_{I, f, (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}}$  is a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism in  $f$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{I, f+f', (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}} &= S_{I, f, (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}} + S_{I, f', (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}}, \\ S_{I, f f', (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}} &= S_{I, f, (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}} \cdot S_{I, f', (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_{I, \lambda f, (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}} = \lambda S_{I, f, (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}} \text{ for all } \lambda \in k.$$

- (iii) For all maps of finite sets  $\zeta: I \rightarrow J$ , all  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{G}_k \setminus ({}^L G_k^{\text{alg}})^I / \widehat{G}_k)$ , and all  $(\gamma_j)_{j \in J} \in \Gamma^J$ , we have

$$S_{J, f^\zeta, (\gamma_j)_{j \in J}} = S_{I, f, (\gamma_{\zeta(i)})_{i \in I}}$$

where  $f^\zeta \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{G}_k \setminus ({}^L G_k^{\text{alg}})^J / \widehat{G}_k)$  is defined by  $f^\zeta((g_j)_{j \in J}) := f((g_{\zeta(i)})_{i \in I})$ .

- (iv) For all  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{G}_k \setminus ({}^L G_k^{\text{alg}})^I / \widehat{G}_k)$  and  $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}, (\gamma'_i)_{i \in I}, (\gamma''_i)_{i \in I} \in \Gamma^I$ , we have

$$S_{I \sqcup I \sqcup I, \tilde{f}, (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \times (\gamma'_i)_{i \in I} \times (\gamma''_i)_{i \in I}} = S_{I, f, (\gamma_i (\gamma'_i)^{-1} \gamma''_i)_{i \in I}},$$

where  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{G}_k \setminus ({}^L G_k^{\text{alg}})^{I \sqcup I \sqcup I} / \widehat{G}_k)$  is defined by

$$\tilde{f}((g_i)_{i \in I} \times (g'_i)_{i \in I} \times (g''_i)_{i \in I}) = f((g_i (g'_i)^{-1} g''_i)_{i \in I}).$$

# Actions of the excursion algebra

How to construct  $\text{Exc}(\Gamma, \hat{G}) \curvearrowright ?$

# Actions of the excursion algebra

How to construct  $\text{Exc}(\Gamma, \widehat{G}) \curvearrowright ?$

**Tannakian construction:** given a family of functors

$$\text{Rep}(\widehat{G}') \xrightarrow{H_l} \text{Mod}(\Gamma')$$

# Actions of the excursion algebra

How to construct  $\text{Exc}(\Gamma, \widehat{G}) \curvearrowright ?$

# Summary of Lafforgue's correspondence

Where does this structure come from?

$F \leftrightarrow X$  smooth projective curve.

# Summary of Lafforgue's correspondence

Where does this structure come from?

Geometric Satake equivalence:

$$\mathrm{Rep}_k(\widehat{G}) \cong P_{G(\mathcal{O}_v)}(\underbrace{G(F_v)/G(\mathcal{O}_v)}_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}).$$

$\mathrm{Sht}_I$



$X^I$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x_i)_{i \in I} \in X^I(S) \\ \mathcal{E} = G\text{-bundle over } X \times S \\ \varphi: \mathcal{E}|_{X \times S - \bigcup_{i \in I} \Gamma_{x_i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^\sigma \mathcal{E}|_{X \times S - \bigcup_{i \in I} \Gamma_{x_i}} \end{array} \right\}$$



$\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$



# Lafforgue's correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sht}_{\emptyset} &= \mathrm{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \stackrel{\mathrm{Weil}}{\sim} G(F) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}_F) / \prod G(\mathcal{O}_v) \\ \implies H_{\emptyset}(\mathbb{1}) &= H_c^0(\mathrm{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}); k) \sim C_c([G] / \prod G(\mathcal{O}_v)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies \text{get } \mathrm{Exc}(\Gamma, {}^L G) \curvearrowright C_c(\mathrm{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})).$$

# Equivariant localization

$S$  = set with  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \approx \langle \sigma \rangle$ -action.

$$T^0 C_c(S) \cong T^0 C_c(S^\sigma).$$

# Equivariant localization and automorphic forms

$$T^0 C_c(S) \cong T^0 C_c(S^\sigma).$$

$$\text{Take } S = [\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_\ell)] \implies S^\sigma = [\text{Bun}_H(\mathbb{F}_\ell)].$$

$$T^0 C_c(\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_\ell)) \cong T^0 C_c(\text{Bun}_H(\mathbb{F}_\ell)).$$

# Equivariant localization and excursion operators

Excursion operators:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T^0 H_c^0(\mathrm{Sht}_G; \mathrm{Sat}(\mathbb{1})) & \longrightarrow & T^0(\mathrm{Sht}_G; \mathrm{Sat}(W)) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \parallel & & \downarrow ? & & \downarrow ? \\ T^0 H_c^0(\mathrm{Sht}_H; \mathrm{Sat}(\mathbb{1})) & \longrightarrow & T^0(\mathrm{Sht}_H, \mathrm{Sat}(\mathrm{Res}(W))) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

# Equivariant localization and excursion operators

## Excursion operators:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T^0 H_c^0(\mathrm{Sht}_G; \mathrm{Sat}(\mathbb{1})) & \longrightarrow & T^0(\mathrm{Sht}_G; \mathrm{Sat}(W)) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \parallel & & \downarrow ? & & \downarrow ? \\ T^0 H_c^0(\mathrm{Sht}_H; \mathrm{Sat}(\mathbb{1})) & \longrightarrow & T^0(\mathrm{Sht}_H, \mathrm{Sat}(\mathrm{Res}(W))) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

Want to identify all steps of the excursion.

- **Topological** aspect: relating (Tate) cohomology of a space with (Tate) cohomology of its fixed points.
- **Representation-theoretic** aspect: geometric interpretation of restriction functor on dual groups.

# Tate cohomology

Suppose  $\langle \sigma \rangle \approx \mathbb{Z}/p \curvearrowright X$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \in D_{\sigma}^b(X; k)$ .

$$T^i(X; \mathcal{F}) :=$$

$$H^i(\mathrm{Tot}(\dots \xrightarrow{N} C^*(X; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} C^*(X; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{N} C^*(X; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} \dots))$$

# Smith theory

(Smith, Quillen, Treumann, etc.)

$$T^i(X; \mathcal{F}) \cong T^i(X^\sigma; \mathcal{F}|_{X^\sigma}).$$

# Representation-theoretic aspects

Treumann-Venkatesh construct *Brauer homomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hecke algebra for } G & \longrightarrow & \text{Hecke algebra for } H \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Representation ring of } {}^L G & \longrightarrow & \text{Representation ring of } {}^L H \end{array}$$



# Representation-theoretic aspects

Treumann-Venkatesh construct *Brauer homomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hecke algebra for } G & \longrightarrow & \text{Hecke algebra for } H \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Representation ring of } {}^L G & \longrightarrow & \text{Representation ring of } {}^L H \end{array}$$

With Loneragan we construct a *categorification*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hecke category for } G & \longrightarrow & \text{Hecke category for } H \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Representation category of } {}^L G & \longrightarrow & \text{Representation category of } {}^L H \end{array}$$

using recent tools in geometric representation theory

- parity sheaves (Juteau-Mautner-Williamson)
- Smith-Treumann theory (Treumann, Leslie-Loneragan).