

ML Methods for KW Weights

– Methods overview –

Christoph Kern

November 27, 2018

1 Classification and Regression Trees (CART)

Breiman et al. (1984)

- Building blocks (base learner) for random forests, boosting trees
- Basic idea: Recursive binary splitting – repeatedly split the predictor space into subgroups that are homogeneous with respect to the outcome
- Splitting criterion for classification trees: Reduction in impurity

$$\Delta I_{Gini}(s, \tau) = I_{Gini}(\tau) - p(\tau_L)I_{Gini}(\tau_L) - p(\tau_R)I_{Gini}(\tau_R)$$

with

$$I_{Gini}(\tau) = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k\tau}(1 - \hat{p}_{k\tau})$$

- Final tree is a set of regions (terminal nodes) with associated scores for prediction

$$\mathcal{T}(x; \Theta) = \sum_{m=1}^M \gamma_m I(x \in \tau_m)$$

Algorithm 1: Tree growing process

Parameter : Stopping criteria

Initialization : Assign training data to root node

```
1 if stopping criterion is reached then
2   |   end splitting;
3 else
4   |   find the optimal split point;
5   |   split node into two subnodes at this split point;
6   |   for each node of the current tree do
7   |   |   continue tree growing process;
8   |   end
9 end
```

2 Model-based Recursive Partitioning (MOB)

Zeileis et al. (2008)

- Combines parametric regression with tree growing
- Utilizes parameter instability tests to determine whether distinct models for different subgroups are needed
- Final model structure: A tree with GLMs in the terminal nodes
- Tuning parameters
 - p -value threshold (**alpha**)
 - Minimum number of observations in a node (**minsplit**)
 - Maximum depth of the tree (**maxdepth**)

Algorithm 2: Recursive partitioning with GLMs

Parameter : p -value threshold

Initialization: Fit initial model using all observations

```
1 Perform M-fluctuation tests for each partitioning variable;
2 if minimum p-value exceeds threshold then
3   |   end partitioning;
4 else
5   |   choose partitioning variable associated with the smallest  $p$ -value;
6   |   find the optimal split point;
7   |   split node into two subnodes at this split point;
8   |   for each node of the current tree do
9   |   |   continue partitioning process;
10  |   end
11 end
```

3 Random Forests (RF)

Breiman (2001)

- Combines many CART-like trees into an ensemble
- Uses bootstrapping and sampling of predictors to build individual trees
- Final model: A large number of trees whose predictions are averaged over trees
- Tuning parameters
 - Number of predictors to sample at each split point (`mtry`)
 - Number of trees (`num.trees`)
 - Minimum number of observations in a node (`min.node.size`)

Algorithm 3: Grow a Random Forest

Parameter: Number of trees B , predictor subset size m , stopping criteria

```
1 for  $b = 1$  to  $B$  do
2   draw a bootstrap sample from the training data;
3   assign sampled data to root node;
4   if stopping criterion is reached then
5     end splitting;
6   else
7     draw a random sample  $m$  from the  $p$  predictors;
8     find the optimal split point among  $m$ ;
9     split node into two subnodes at this split point;
10    for each node of the current tree do
11      continue tree growing process;
12    end
13  end
14 end
```

4 Extremely Randomized Trees (XTREE)

Geurts et al. (2006)

- Alternative approach to grow a tree ensemble
- Unlike RF, uses whole sample to build each tree but randomly samples split points for each sampled predictor
- Final model structure: Same as RF
- Tuning parameters
 - Number of predictors to sample at each split point (`mtry`)
 - Number of random splits to consider for each sampled predictor (`num.random.splits`)
 - Number of trees (`num.trees`)
 - Minimum number of observations in a node (`min.node.size`)

5 Boosting Trees (GBM)

Friedman et al. (2000), Friedman (2001)

- Class of ensemble methods that focuses on sequential learning
- Trees are grown in sequence using the pseudo-residuals given the previous trees as the outcome

- Final model structure: A sequence of trees whose predictions are added up over trees
- Tuning parameters
 - Number of trees (`n.trees`)
 - Maximum depth of each tree (`interaction.depth`)
 - Shrinkage applied to terminal node estimates of each tree (`shrinkage`)
 - Fraction of randomly sampled observations to grow next tree (`bag.fraction`)

Algorithm 4: Gradient Boosting for regression

Parameter : Number of trees T , interaction depth D , shrinkage λ

Initialization: Use \bar{y} as initial predicted values

```

1 for  $t = 1$  to  $T$  do
2   compute residuals based on current predictions;
3   assign data to root node, using the residuals as the outcome;
4   while current tree depth  $< D$  do
5     | tree growing process;
6   end
7   compute the predicted values of the current tree;
8   add the  $(\lambda)$ -shrunk new predictions to the previous predicted values;
9 end

```

6 More methods...

- Model-based Boosting (Hofner et al. 2014)
- Bayesian Additive Regression Trees (Chipman et al. 2010)
- Support Vector Machines (Cortes and Vapnik 1995)
- ...

References

- Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine Learning*, 45(1):5–32.
- Breiman, L., Friedman, J., Olshen, R., and Stone, C. (1984). *Classification and Regression Trees*. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole Publishing.
- Chipman, H. A., George, E. I., and McCulloch, R. E. (2010). BART: Bayesian additive regression trees. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 4(1):266–298.
- Cortes, C. and Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-vector networks. *Machine Learning*, 20(3):273–297.

- Friedman, J. (2001). Greedy function approximation: A gradient boosting machine. *The Annals of Statistics*, 29(5):1189–1232.
- Friedman, J., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R. (2000). Additive logistic regression: A statistical view of boosting. *The Annals of Statistics*, 28(2):337–407.
- Geurts, P., Ernst, D., and Wehenkel, L. (2006). Extremely randomized trees. *Machine Learning*, 63(1):3–42.
- Hofner, B., Mayr, A., Robinzonov, N., and Schmid, M. (2014). Model-based boosting in R: a hands-on tutorial using the R package mboost. *Computational Statistics*, 29(1-2):3–35.
- Zeileis, A., Hothorn, T., and Hornik, K. (2008). Model-based recursive partitioning. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 17(2):492–514.