

SCHOOL OF COMPUTING
ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FOR CSC3032 Poster - March 2022

The poster provides a structured overview of the project at the time of submission, including a very brief indication of motivation, aim, objectives, work undertaken and results to date, and directions for future work. The readers are assumed to be competent professional computer scientists (graduate level), but not necessarily with expertise specific to the project's topic.

Posters are submitted electronically by the student and printed at A1 size by the University. At the Poster Event, the posters are mounted on display boards. Students are invited to discuss their poster with other students and colleagues, including the supervisor and second examiner. Marks are given for Presentation and Technical Content, with equal weighting. Each of these categories is marked out of 5 (to give a total out of 10).

	0 No submission	1 Failing	2 Pass	3 Good	4 Excellent	5 Outstanding
Presentation	No submission	Poster lacks structure or has significant elements that are illegible or not comprehensible .	Poster shows logical organization. It demonstrates knowledge of the project aim, objectives, work and results to date. The poster is legible with few spelling or grammar defects.	The poster shows logical organization and is sufficiently comprehensive to cover the project aim, objectives, work and results to date. It demonstrates an understanding of the appropriate use of both text and graphics to convey content in a clear way.	The poster demonstrates the ability to organize project material logically and comprehensively. It shows the ability to combine text and graphics appropriately to provide a project summary that is both engaging. It demonstrates clear technical writing with few language defects, an appropriate choice of clear and consistent type style and size.	The poster shows the ability to combine text and graphics appropriately and creatively to provide a project summary that is logically organized, comprehensive and engaging. It shows the ability to edit content appropriately for the audience, and to describe work in a clear, concise way, with no noticeable language defects and an appropriate choice of clear and consistent type style and size.
Technical Content	No technical content	The technical content is largely unsound.	The poster demonstrates valid technical content, including a basic summary of the project aims and objectives, evidence of progress to date, including methods and technology applied.	The poster shows an understanding of motivation, leading logically to aim and objectives. There is evidence of engagement with the background literature where appropriate. The poster shows progress in the project and shows the ability to identify appropriate next steps.	Technical content is comprehensive and valid. with clear, sound motivation leading to aim, objectives, choice of technology and methods, and progress to date. There is evidence of engagement with the background literature where appropriate. The poster shows a sound assessment of progress and future work.	Content is technically sound and ambitious with clear motivation, aims, objectives, progress and future work. The background literature and highlighted features of the project, including methods and technologies used, are sound and clearly well understood. There is a clear capacity to evaluate the strengths and limitations of the project to date and identify important and viable areas for future work.