

BACHELORARBEIT

Titel der Bachelorarbeit

Analytic sets

Verfasser Charlie Ahrendts

angestrebter akademischer Grad Bachelor of Science (BSc.)

Wien, im Monat Juni 2013

Studienkennzahl It. Studienblatt: UA 033621 Studienrichtung It. Studienblatt: Mathematik

Betreuer: Dipl.-Phys. Dipl.-Math. Dr. Peter Elbau, Privatdoz.

Abriss

Dies ist ein Template für Abschlussarbeiten an der Fakultät für Mathematik der Universität Wien

Abstract

This is a template for theses at the Faculty of Mathematics of the University of Vienna.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Polish spaces and Analytic sets	1
3	Borel-gedöns	3
4	Measurability	4
5	Alternative description	4
6	K-analytic sets??? prettyprettyplease?	4

1 Introduction

kurze History. Error von Lebesgue, Souslin/Luzin. Was bringen die kollegen

This thesis aims to give an overview over the topic of analytic sets. A field of study that came about in the early 20th century, born out of an error made by famous mathematician Henri Lebesgue. He remarked in a 1905 manuscript that the Borel sets are closed under projections. Only problem was that this is unfortunately not true. First to discover his error was the Russian mathematician Mikhail Y. Souslin in 1917. [RS80]

In proving Lebesgue wrong, Souslin along with his supervisor Luzin and the latters colleague Sierpiński, started to study the sets that do arise from the operations Lebesgue was performing.

Chapers 2,3 and 4 will closely follow the notation and proofs of [Coh13]

2 Polish spaces and Analytic sets

While we could in theory define analytic sets on a variety of spaces, the most common and by far most useful setting is that of polish spaces. These got their name in honor of the polish mathematicians who were the first to extensively study them.

Definition (Polish space). A topological space X is called a Polish space, if it is completely metrizable and separable (contains a countable dense subset)

Interesting to note here is the difference between a complete metric space and a completely metrizable space. For the latter, we only require the existence of a complete metric on X, but we do not need to choose a concrete one. This means of course that all complete metric spaces are Polish

There are a few different ways to view analytic sets, as well as different ways to define them. Souslin, for example in his early works on the topic defined them as arising from a series of unions and intersections of certain families of sets. We shall here however stick to the most common, and often most useful definition, which is that of Analytic sets being continuous images of Polish spaces

Definition (Analytic set). Let X be a polish space, $A \subset X$. We call A analytic, if there exists a Polish space Y and $f: Y \to X$ continuous, such that f(Y) = A

Lemma 2.1. Finite and countable products of Polish spaces are polish.

Proof. Let $X_1, X_2, ...$ be a sequence of (nonempty) Polish spaces. We can choose a complete metric $\overline{d_i}$ for each of the spaces X_i . Now for each i, let

$$d_i(x,y) := \min \left\{ 1, \overline{d_i}(x,y) \right\}$$

This again defines a complete metric with the additional property that $d_i(x, y) \le 1$ for all $x, y \in X_i$ [Genauer beweisen? Anhang?] This new metric retains only information about small distances in $\overline{d_i}$.

Now we can turn towards the cartesian product $X := \prod X_i$. Let $d(x,y) := \sum \frac{1}{2^n} d_i(x_i, y_i)$ where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in X$ This sum converges for all $x, y \in X$ since we constructed the d_i to be bounded by 1. Moreover, d defines a metric on X. This is easily seen by the fact that positive definieteness, symmetry and the triangle inequality all hold in each term of our sum individually and thusfor the whole sum. The topology generated by d is exactly the product topology on X [Genauer?].

[Ab hier nicht im Buch, hoffe der Beweis passt so]

To show that d is indeed complete, we take an arbitrary Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X. The projection onto each space X_i is also a cauchy sequence x_{i_n} . Since X_i is complete, x_{i_n} converges to some value x_i . Let $x:=(x_1,x_2,\ldots)$ be the componentwise limit of our Cauchy sequences. We need to show that x_n converges to x in the metric d:

Choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sum_{i \geq m} \frac{1}{2^i} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. For each $i \leq m$, we can find an N_i , such that $d_i(x_{i_n}, x_i) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $n \geq N_i$. If we choose $N := \max_{i < m} \{N_i\}$, we get the following esimate for $n \geq N$:

$$d(x_n, x) = \sum_{i \le m} \frac{1}{2^i} d_i(x_{i_n}, x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i \le m} \frac{1}{2^i} d_i(x_{i_n}, x_i) + \sum_{i \ge m} \frac{1}{2^i} d_i(x_{i_n}, x_i)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \le m} \frac{1}{2^i} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{i \ge m} \frac{1}{2^i}$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

So we get convergence of x_n and thus completeness of the metric space (X,d)

What remains to be shown is seperability. We want to make use of the equivalence of seperability and second countability for metric spaces. [cite] For each i, we can find a countable Basis \mathcal{U}_i for the topology on X_i . The sets of the form $U_1 \times U_2 \times \ldots \times U_N \times X_{N+1} \times X_{N+2} \times \ldots$ form a countable Basis for the product topology on X, so X is a seperable metrisable space and thus Polish.

Corollary 2.2. Open and closed subsets of polish spaces are polish

Proof. Let X be a polish space, A be an open or closed subset of X

Theorem 2.3. Open and closed subsets of Polish spaces are analytic

Proof. Let X be a Polish space, $A \subset X$ open or closed. We know that A, as a space is polish. So there exists a polish space Y and a continuous function $f: Y \to A$, such that f(Y) = A. Let $\iota := A \hookrightarrow X$ be the canonical embedding of A into X. Then we can define $\tilde{f} := f \circ \iota$. Then $\tilde{f}: Y \to X$ and $\tilde{f}(Y) = A$ hold. So A is an analytic subset of X

Two particular spaces that are of great interest in the study of analytic sets are $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. We will see that the polish space Z in our definition of analytic set can always be replaced by the space $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. But first we need to verify that they are in fact polish:

Theorem 2.4. $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is polish

$$Proof.$$
 ...

Theorem 2.5. $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is polish

$$Proof.$$
 ...

Theorem 2.6. Let X be a Polish space. Then there is a continuous function $f: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \to X$, such that $f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) = X$

Theorem 2.7. Let A be a nonempty analytic subset of a polish space X. Then there exists continuous function $f: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \to X$, such that $f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) = A$

3 Borel-gedöns

The sets maybe closest in nature to the analytic sets are the Borel sets. This of course is a natural consequence of the way analytic sets were discovered. In some sense, Analytic sets are a just a generalization of the Borel sets which are closed under projections. We will try to formalise this notion as well as some other results about these two classes of sets in this section.

Definition (Borel set). We call a subset of a topological space Borel, if it is a member of \mathcal{B} , the σ -Algebra generated by the open sets

Theorem 3.1. Let B be a Borel subset of a Polish space X. Then B is analytic

Theorem 3.2. Let A be a subset of a polish space X. If A and A^C are analytic, then A ist Borel.

Definition (Zero-Dimensional space?). .

Theorem 3.3. Let B be a Borel subset of a Polish space X. Then there exists a zero-dimensional space Z, such that f(Z) = B

Theorem 3.4. Let B be an uncountable Borel subset of a polish space X. Then B contains a subset which is homeomorphic to $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$

Theorem 3.5 (Separation theorem). .

Theorem 3.6. There exists.

Definition (Borel isomorphic). We call two Borel subsets A,B of a Polish space X Borel isomorphic, if there exists a bijective, Borel measurable function $f:A\to B$

Theorem 3.7. Two Borel subsets of a polish space X are Borel isomorphic iff they have the same cardinality

4 Measurability

Definition (μ -Measurable).

Definition (Universally measurable).

Theorem 4.1. Every finite Borel measure on Polish space is regular

Theorem 4.2. Let B be an analytic subset of a polish space X. Then B is universally measurable.

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces, that is, spaces endowed with a σ -Algebra. Let \mathcal{A}_* and \mathcal{B}_* be the σ -Algebras of universally measurable sets. If $f: X \to Y$ is $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -measurable, then it is also $\mathcal{A}_* - \mathcal{B}_*$ -measurable

Definition (Analytic space).

Theorem 4.4. Let X,A be analytic meas. space, Y polish, f measurable then f(A) analytic.

5 Alternative description

6 K-analytic sets??? prettyprettyplease?

References

[Bla68] David Blackwell. "A Borel Set Not Containing a Graph". In: *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 39.4 (1968), pp. 1345–1347. URL: https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177698260.

- [Coh13] D.L. Cohn. *Measure Theory: Second Edition*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts Basler Lehrbücher. Springer New York, 2013. URL: https://books.google.at/books?id=PEC3BAAAQBAJ.
- [Han73] R. W. Hansell. "On the Representation of Nonseparable Analytic Sets". In: Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 39.2 (1973), pp. 402-408. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2039654 (visited on 03/01/2025).
- [Hau16] F. Hausdorff. "Die M\u00e4chtigkeit der Borelschen Mengen." In: Mathematische Annalen 77 (1916), pp. 430-437. URL: http://eudml.org/ doc/158737.
- [HJR83] R. Hansell, John Jayne, and C. Rogers. "K-analytic sets. Analytic Sets". In: *Mathematika* 30 (Dec. 1983), pp. 189–221.
- [Hol10] Petr Holický. "Descriptive classes of sets in nonseparable spaces". English. In: Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM 104.2 (2010), pp. 257–282.
- [Kec12] A. Kechris. Classical Descriptive Set Theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2012. URL: https://books.google.at/books?id=WR3SBwAAQBAJ.
- [Lor01] George G. Lorentz. "Who discovered analytic sets?" In: *The Mathematical Intelligencer* 23 (2001), pp. 28-32. URL: https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:121273798.
- [MŻ24] Łukasz Mazurkiewicz and Szymon Żeberski. Ideal Analytic sets. 2024. arXiv: 2310.07693 [math.L0]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.07693.
- [RS80] C.A. Rogers and London Mathematical Society. Analytic Sets. London Mathematical Society Symposia Series. Academic Press, 1980. URL: https://books.google.at/books?id=zQHvAAAAMAAJ.
- [Sio63] Maurice Sion. "On capacitability and measurability". eng. In: Annales de l'institut Fourier 13.1 (1963), pp. 83-98. URL: http://eudml.org/ doc/73802.