



universität  
wien

# BACHELORARBEIT

Titel der Bachelorarbeit

Analytic sets

Verfasser

Charlie Ahrendts

angestrebter akademischer Grad

Bachelor of Science (BSc.)

Wien, im Monat Juni 2013

Studienkennzahl lt. Studienblatt: UA 033621

Studienrichtung lt. Studienblatt: Mathematik

Betreuer: Dipl.-Phys. Dipl.-Math. Dr. Peter Elbau, Privatdoz.

## **Abriss**

Dies ist ein Template für Abschlussarbeiten an der Fakultät für Mathematik der Universität Wien

## **Abstract**

This is a template for theses at the Faculty of Mathematics of the University of Vienna.

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Polish spaces and Analytic sets	1
3	Borel-gedöns	2
4	Measurability	3
5	K-analytic sets??? prettyprettyplease?	3

# 1 Introduction

kurze History. Error von Lebesgue, Souslin/Luzin. Was bringen die kollegen uns. . .

This thesis aims to give an overview over the topic of analytic sets. A field of study that came about in the early 20th century, born out of an error made by famous mathematician Henri Lebesgue. He remarked in a 1905 manuscript that the Borel sets are closed under projections. Only problem was that this is unfortunately not true. First to discover his error was the Russian mathematician Mikhail Y. Souslin in 1917. [RS80]

In proving Lebesgue wrong, Souslin along with his supervisor Luzin and the latter's colleague Sierpiński, started to study the sets that do arise from the operations Lebesgue was performing.

Chapters 2,3 and 4 will closely follow the notation and proofs of [Coh13]

# 2 Polish spaces and Analytic sets

While we could in theory define analytic sets on a variety of spaces, the most common and by far most useful setting is that of Polish spaces. These got their name in honor of the Polish mathematicians who were the first to extensively study them.

**Definition** (Polish space). A topological space  $X$  is called a Polish space, if it is completely metrizable and separable (contains a countable dense subset)

Interesting to note here is the difference between a complete metric space and a completely metrizable space. For the latter, we only require the existence of a complete metric on  $X$ , but we do not need to choose a concrete one. This means of course that all complete metric spaces are Polish

There are a few different ways to view analytic sets, as well as different ways to define them. Souslin, for example in his early works on the topic defined them as arising from a series of unions and intersections of certain families of sets. We shall here however stick to the most common, and often most useful definition, which is that of Analytic sets being continuous images of Polish spaces

**Definition** (Analytic set). Let  $X$  be a Polish space,  $A \subset X$ . We call  $A$  analytic, if there exists a Polish space  $Y$  and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  continuous, such that  $f(Y) = A$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Finite and countable products of Polish spaces are Polish.*

**Theorem 2.2.** *Open and closed subsets of Polish spaces are analytic*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a Polish space,  $A \subset X$  open. □

Two particular spaces that are of great interest in the study of analytic sets are  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We will see that the polish space  $Z$  in our definition of analytic set can always be replaced by the space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . But first we need to verify that they are in fact polish:

**Theorem 2.3.**  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is polish

*Proof.* ... □

**Theorem 2.4.**  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is polish

*Proof.* ... □

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a Polish space. Then there is a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ , such that  $f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) = X$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $A$  be a nonempty analytic subset of a polish space  $X$ . Then there exists continuous function  $f : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ , such that  $f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) = A$

### 3 Borel-gedöns

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $B$  be a Borel subset of a Polish space  $X$ . Then  $B$  is analytic

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $A$  be a subset of a polish space  $X$ . If  $A$  and  $A^C$  are analytic, then  $A$  is Borel.

**Definition** (Zero-Dimensional space?). .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $B$  be a Borel subset of a Polish space  $X$ . Then there exists a zero-dimensional space  $Z$ , such that  $f(Z) = B$

**Theorem 3.4** (Separation theorem). .

**Theorem 3.5.** There exists.

**Definition** (Borel isomorphic). We call two Borel subsets  $A, B$  of a Polish space  $X$  Borel isomorphic, if there exists a bijective, Borel measurable function  $f : A \rightarrow B$

**Theorem 3.6.** Two Borel subsets of a polish space  $X$  are Borel isomorphic iff they have the same cardinality

## 4 Measurability

**Definition** ( $\mu$ -Measurable).

**Definition** (Universally measurable).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Every finite Borel measure on Polish space is regular*

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $B$  be an analytic subset of a polish space  $X$ . Then  $B$  is universally measurable.*

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{B})$  be measurable spaces, that is, spaces endowed with a  $\sigma$ -Algebra. Let  $\mathcal{A}_*$  and  $\mathcal{B}_*$  be the  $\sigma$ -Algebras of universally measurable sets. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -measurable, then it is also  $\mathcal{A}_* - \mathcal{B}_*$ -measurable*

**Definition** (Analytic space).

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $X, A$  be analytic meas. space,  $Y$  polish,  $f$  measurable then  $f(A)$  analytic.*

## 5 K-analytic sets??? prettyprettyplease?

## References

- [Bla68] David Blackwell. “A Borel Set Not Containing a Graph”. In: *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 39.4 (1968), pp. 1345–1347. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177698260>.
- [Coh13] D.L. Cohn. *Measure Theory: Second Edition*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts Basler Lehrbücher. Springer New York, 2013. URL: <https://books.google.at/books?id=PEC3BAAAQBAJ>.
- [Han73] R. W. Hansell. “On the Representation of Nonseparable Analytic Sets”. In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 39.2 (1973), pp. 402–408. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2039654> (visited on 03/01/2025).
- [Hau16] F. Hausdorff. “Die Mächtigkeit der Borelschen Mengen.” In: *Mathematische Annalen* 77 (1916), pp. 430–437. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/158737>.
- [HJR83] R. Hansell, John Jayne, and C. Rogers. “K-analytic sets. Analytic Sets”. In: *Mathematika* 30 (Dec. 1983), pp. 189–221.
- [Hol10] Petr Holický. “Descriptive classes of sets in nonseparable spaces”. English. In: *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM* 104.2 (2010), pp. 257–282.

- [Kec12] A. Kechris. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2012. URL: <https://books.google.at/books?id=WR3SBwAAQBAJ>.
- [Lor01] George G. Lorentz. “Who discovered analytic sets?” In: *The Mathematical Intelligencer* 23 (2001), pp. 28–32. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:121273798>.
- [MŻ24] Łukasz Mazurkiewicz and Szymon Żeberski. *Ideal Analytic sets*. 2024. arXiv: 2310.07693 [math.LO]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.07693>.
- [RS80] C.A. Rogers and London Mathematical Society. *Analytic Sets*. London Mathematical Society Symposia Series. Academic Press, 1980. URL: <https://books.google.at/books?id=zQHvAAAAAAAJ>.
- [Sio63] Maurice Sion. “On capacitability and measurability”. eng. In: *Annales de l’institut Fourier* 13.1 (1963), pp. 83–98. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/73802>.