

Design Report

Agreed Marks

Student Name: Thomas, Stordy-Allison **Examiner Name** David Budgen, *Andrei Kookhin*

The sections in the assessment tables refer to the sections in the Design Report and the assessment items are from the Design Report Template used by the students.

Note the Assessment Criteria to be used are in the enclosed document.

Writing Skills (10%)

Quality	Fail	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
Clarity of presentation of ideas				✓	
Conformance to paper format standards as specified in Paper Template					✓
Quality of writing (readability, grammar)				✓	
References				✓	

	Mark	Rationale for mark
Writing Skills (as a percentage)	67	Generally clear, with some grammatical errors. Good use of figures to illustrate the concepts. Limited set of references and would have expected more for this type.

Comment on the Paper

--

	Mark	Rationale for mark
Structured Abstract (10%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of summary of project Uses required headings of Background/Context, Aims, Method, Proposed Solution 	70/100	<p>Good summary and well structured.</p> <p><u>Aims</u> might benefit from a little more detail.</p>
Introduction (15%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Description of purpose of project Specification of deliverables and aims 	65/100	<p>Good introduction in terms of purpose, but the deliverables need to be presented as a phased model.</p>
Design (65%) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of the proposed solution Specification and design Identification of requirements Description of tools used Overview of architecture Description of life cycle 	65/100	<p>Architecture was not clearly described but design and requirements were good.</p> <p>Good there is a test plan, but this could have been clearer. (What's the structure of it.)</p>