

Dynamic Memory Allocation-Advanced

CSCI 2021: Machine Architecture and Organization

Antonia Zhai

Department Computer Science and Engineering

University of Minnesota

<http://www.cs.umn.edu/~zhai>

With Slides from Bryant and O'Hallaron



Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- Method 1: *Implicit free list* using length—links all blocks



- Method 2: *Explicit free list* among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: *Segregated free list*
 - Different free lists for different size classes
- Method 4: *Blocks sorted by size*
 - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Explicit Free Lists

Allocated (as before)

Size	a
Payload and padding	
Size	a


Free

Size	a
Next	
Prev	
Size	a


- Maintain list(s) of *free* blocks, not *all* blocks
 - The "next" free block could be anywhere
 - So we need to store forward/back pointers, not just sizes
 - Still need boundary tags for coalescing
 - Luckily we track only free blocks, so we can use payload area

Explicit Free Lists

- Logically:




- Physically: blocks can be in any order



Allocating From Explicit Free Lists

conceptual graphic




Freeing With Explicit Free Lists


- **Insertion policy:** Where in the free list do you put a newly freed block?
 - **LIFO (last-in-first-out) policy**
 - Insert freed block at the beginning of the free list
 - **Pro:** simple and constant time
 - **Con:** studies suggest fragmentation is worse than address ordered
 - **Address-ordered policy**
 - Insert freed blocks so that free list blocks are always in address order:
$$\text{addr}(\text{prev}) < \text{addr}(\text{curr}) < \text{addr}(\text{next})$$
 - **Con:** requires search
 - **Pro:** studies suggest fragmentation is lower than LIFO

Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 1)

conceptual graphic




- Insert the freed block at the root of the list




Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 2)

conceptual graphic




- Splice out predecessor block, coalesce both memory blocks, and insert the new block at the root of the list




Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 3)

conceptual graphic




- Splice out successor block, coalesce both memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list




Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 4)

conceptual graphic



- Splice out predecessor and successor blocks, coalesce all 3 memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



Explicit List Summary

- Comparison to implicit list:
 - Allocate is linear time in number of **free** blocks instead of **all** blocks
 - **Much faster** when most of the memory is full
 - Slightly more complicated allocate and free since needs to splice blocks in and out of the list
 - Some extra space for the links (2 extra words needed for each block)
- Most common use of linked lists is in conjunction with segregated free lists
 - Keep multiple linked lists of different size classes, or possibly for different types of objects

Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- Method 1: **Implicit list** using length—links all blocks




- Method 2: **Explicit list** among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: **Segregated free list**
 - Different free lists for different size classes
- Method 4: **Blocks sorted by size**
 - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Segregated List (Seglist) Allocators

- Each *size class* of blocks has its own free list



- Often have separate classes for each small size
- For larger sizes: One class for each two-power size

Seglist Allocator

- Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class
- To allocate a block of size n :
 - Search appropriate free list for block of size $m \geq n$
 - If an appropriate block is found:
 - Split block and place fragment on appropriate list (optional)
 - If no block is found, try next larger class
 - Repeat until block is found
- If no block is found:
 - Request additional heap memory from OS (using `sbrk()`)
 - Allocate block of n bytes from this new memory
 - Place remainder as a single free block in largest size class.

Seglist Allocator (cont.)

- To free a block:
 - Coalesce and place on appropriate list (optional)
- Advantages of seglist allocators
 - Higher throughput
 - log time for power-of-two size classes
 - Better memory utilization
 - First-fit search of segregated free list approximates a best-fit search of entire heap.
 - Extreme case: Giving each block its own size class is equivalent to best-fit.

More Info on Allocators

- D. Knuth, "*The Art of Computer Programming*", 2nd edition, Addison Wesley, 1973
 - The classic reference on dynamic storage allocation
- Wilson et al, "*Dynamic Storage Allocation: A Survey and Critical Review*", Proc. 1995 Int'l Workshop on Memory Management, Kinross, Scotland, Sept, 1995.
 - Comprehensive survey
 - Available from CS:APP student site (csapp.cs.cmu.edu)

Garbage collection

~~Implicit Memory Management:~~ Garbage Collection

- *Garbage collection*: automatic reclamation of heap-allocated storage—application never has to free

```
void foo() {  
    int *p = malloc(128);  
    return; /* p block is now garbage */  
}
```

- Common in functional languages, scripting languages, and modern object oriented languages:
 - Lisp, ML, Java, Perl, Mathematica
 - Variants ("conservative" garbage collectors) exist for C and C++
 - However, cannot necessarily collect all garbage
-

Garbage Collection


- How does the memory manager know when memory can be freed?
 - In general we cannot know what is going to be used in the future since it depends on conditionals
 - But we can tell that certain blocks cannot be used if there are no pointers to them
- Must make certain assumptions about pointers
 - Memory manager can distinguish pointers from non-pointers
 - All pointers point to the start of a block
 - Cannot hide pointers
(e.g., by coercing them to an `int`, and then back again)

Classical GC Algorithms

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
 - Does not move blocks (unless you also "compact")
- Reference counting (Collins, 1960)
 - Does not move blocks (not discussed)
- Copying collection (Minsky, 1963)
 - Moves blocks (not discussed)
- Generational Collectors (Lieberman and Hewitt, 1983)
 - Collection based on lifetimes
 - Most allocations become garbage very soon
 - So focus reclamation work on zones of memory recently allocated
- For more information:
Jones and Lin, *"Garbage Collection: Algorithms for Automatic Dynamic Memory"*, John Wiley & Sons, 1996.

Memory as a Graph

- We view memory as a directed graph
 - Each block is a node in the graph
 - Each pointer is an edge in the graph
 - Locations not in the heap that contain pointers into the heap are called **root** nodes (e.g. registers, locations on the stack, global variables)




A node (block) is *reachable* if there is a path from any root to that node.

Non-reachable nodes are *garbage* (cannot be needed by the application)

Mark and Sweep Collecting

- Can build on top of malloc/free package
 - Allocate using `malloc` until you "run out of space"
- When out of space:
 - Use extra **mark bit** in the head of each block
 - Mark**: Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
 - Sweep**: Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked



Assumptions For a Simple Implementation

- Application
 - `new(n)`: returns pointer to new block with all locations cleared
 - `read(b,i)`: read location `i` of block `b` into register
 - `write(b,i,v)`: write `v` into location `i` of block `b`
- Each block will have a header word
 - addressed as `b[-1]`, for a block `b`
 - Used for different purposes in different collectors
- Instructions used by the Garbage Collector
 - `is_ptr(p)`: determines whether `p` is a pointer
 - `length(b)`: returns the length of block `b`, not including the header
 - `get_roots()`: returns all the roots

Mark and Sweep (cont.)

Mark using depth-first traversal of the memory graph


```
ptr mark(ptr p) {
    if (!is_ptr(p)) return;           // do nothing if not pointer
    if (markBitSet(p)) return;        // check if already marked
    setMarkBit(p);                    // set the mark bit
    for (i=0; i < length(p); i++)    // call mark on all words
        mark(p[i]);                  // in the block
    return;
}
```

Sweep using lengths to find next block


```
ptr sweep(ptr p, ptr end) {
    while (p < end) {
        if markBitSet(p)
            clearMarkBit();
        else if (allocateBitSet(p))
            free(p);
        p += length(p);
    }
}
```

Conservative Mark & Sweep in C

- A "conservative garbage collector" for C programs
 - `is_ptr()` determines if a word is a pointer by checking if it points to an allocated block of memory
 - But, in C pointers can point to the middle of a block



- So how to find the beginning of the block?
 - Can use a balanced binary tree to keep track of all allocated blocks (key is start-of-block)
 - Balanced-tree pointers can be stored in header (use two additional words)



Left: smaller addresses
Right: larger addresses

Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Memory-Related Perils and Pitfalls

- Dereferencing bad pointers
- Reading uninitialized memory
- Overwriting memory
- Referencing nonexistent variables
- Freeing blocks multiple times
- Referencing freed blocks
- Failing to free blocks

Dereferencing Bad Pointers

- The classic `scanf` bug

```
int val;  
...  
scanf("%d", val);
```

Reading Uninitialized Memory

- Assuming that heap data is initialized to zero

```
/* return y = Ax */
int *matvec(int **A, int *x) {
    int *y = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
    int i, j;

    for (i=0; i<N; i++)
        for (j=0; j<N; j++)
            y[i] += A[i][j]*x[j];
    return y;
}
```

Overwriting Memory

- Allocating the (possibly) wrong sized object

```
int **p;

p = malloc(N*sizeof(int));

for (i=0; i<N; i++) {
    p[i] = malloc(M*sizeof(int));
}
```

Overwriting Memory

- Off-by-one error

```
int **p;  
  
p = malloc(N*sizeof(int *));  
  
for (i=0; i<=N; i++) {  
    p[i] = malloc(M*sizeof(int));  
}
```

Overwriting Memory

- Not checking the max string size

```
char s[8];  
int i;  
  
gets(s); /* reads "123456789" from stdin */
```

- Basis for classic buffer overflow attacks

Overwriting Memory

- Misunderstanding pointer arithmetic

```
int *search(int *p, int val) {  
  
    while (*p && *p != val)  
        p += sizeof(int);  
  
    return p;  
}
```

Overwriting Memory

- Referencing a pointer instead of the object it points to

```
int *BinheapDelete(int **binheap, int *size) {  
    int *packet;  
    packet = binheap[0];  
    binheap[0] = binheap[*size - 1];  
    *size--;  
    Heapify(binheap, *size, 0);  
    return(packet);  
}
```

Referencing Nonexistent Variables

- Forgetting that local variables disappear when a function returns

```
int *foo () {  
    int val;  
  
    return &val;  
}
```

Freeing Blocks Multiple Times

- Nasty!

```
x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));  
    <manipulate x>  
free(x);  
  
y = malloc(M*sizeof(int));  
    <manipulate y>  
free(x);
```

Referencing Freed Blocks

- Evil!

```
x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));  
  <manipulate x>  
free(x);  
  ...  
y = malloc(M*sizeof(int));  
for (i=0; i<M; i++)  
  y[i] = x[i]++;
```

Failing to Free Blocks (Memory Leaks)

- Slow, long-term killer!

```
foo() {  
    int *x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));  
    ...  
    return;  
}
```

Failing to Free Blocks (Memory Leaks)

- Freeing only part of a data structure

```
struct list {
    int val;
    struct list *next;
};

foo() {
    struct list *head = malloc(sizeof(struct list));
    head->val = 0;
    head->next = NULL;
    <create and manipulate the rest of the list>
    ...
    free(head);
    return;
}
```

Dealing With Memory Bugs

- Conventional debugger (gdb)
 - Good for finding bad pointer dereferences
 - Hard to detect the other memory bugs
- Debugging malloc (UToronto CSRI malloc)
 - Wrapper around conventional malloc
 - Detects memory bugs at malloc and free boundaries
 - Memory overwrites that corrupt heap structures
 - Some instances of freeing blocks multiple times
 - Memory leaks
 - Cannot detect all memory bugs
 - Overwrites into the middle of allocated blocks
 - Freeing block twice that has been reallocated in the interim
 - Referencing freed blocks

Dealing With Memory Bugs (cont.)

- Some malloc implementations contain checking code
 - Linux glibc malloc: `setenv MALLOC_CHECK_ 2`
 - FreeBSD: `setenv MALLOC_OPTIONS AJR`
 - Binary translator: valgrind (Linux), Purify
 - Powerful debugging and analysis technique
 - Rewrites text section of executable object file
 - Can detect all errors as debugging malloc
 - Can also check each individual reference at runtime
 - Bad pointers
 - Overwriting
 - Referencing outside of allocated block
 - Garbage collection (Boehm-Weiser Conservative GC)
 - Let the system free blocks instead of the programmer.
- 