# EECS 340: Assignment 3

Shaochen (Henry) ZHONG, sxz517 Yuhui ZHANG, yxz2052

Due and submitted on 02/20/2020 EECS 340, Dr. Koyutürk

# Problem 1

(a) 
$$T(n) = bT(n/a) + \Theta(n)$$

For this recurrance, we have a comparsion between  $n^{\log_a b}$  and n. Since it is given that 1 < a < b, there must be  $\log_a b > 1$ . Therefore we may say that there must be a  $n^{\epsilon} = \frac{n^{\log_a b}}{n}$  where  $0 < \epsilon = \log_a b - 1$ .

Now we have  $f(n) = n = O(n^{(\log_a b) - \epsilon})$ , where  $0 < \epsilon = \log_a b - 1$ , we can apply case 1 of the master theorem and conclude that the solution is  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$ .

**(b)** 
$$T(n) = a^2 T(n/a) + \Theta(n^2)$$

For this recurrence, we have a comparison between  $n^{\log_a a^2}$  and  $n^2$ , thus  $n^2$  and  $n^2$ . As now we have  $f(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ , we can apply case 2 of the master theorem and conclude that the solution is  $T(n) = \Theta(n \cdot \log n)$ 

(c) 
$$T(n) = T(\lambda n) + n^{\lambda}$$

We may rewrite it as  $T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{\frac{1}{\lambda}}\right) + n^{\lambda}$ . Thus, we have a comparsion between  $n^{\log_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} 1}$  and  $n^{\lambda}$ , which is equivalent as  $n^0$  and  $n^{\lambda}$ , then we have  $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon = \lambda$ . We may also show  $af(\frac{n}{b}) \leq cf(n)$  for  $1 \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{\frac{1}{\lambda}}\right) = f(\lambda n)$ . Combined, together, we can apply case 3 of the master theorem and conclude that the solution is  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\lambda})$ .

(d) 
$$T(n) = aT(\frac{n}{a}) + \Theta(n^{\lambda}(\log n)^b)$$

For this recurrence, we have a comparsion between  $n^{\log_a a}$  and  $n^{\lambda}(\log n)^b$ , which is equivalent to comparing n and  $n^{\lambda}(\log n)^b$ . We may prove that n is polynomially larger than  $n^{\lambda}(\log n)^b$  by analyzing:

W.T.S. 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{\epsilon} \cdot n^{\lambda} (\log n)^{b}}{n} = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{\lambda} (\log n)^{b}}{n^{1 - \epsilon - \lambda}} = 0$$

$$\implies 1 - \epsilon - \lambda > 0 \Rightarrow \epsilon < 1 - \lambda$$
(2)

Thus, we have  $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon < 1 - \lambda$ . Then, we can apply *case 1* of the master theorem and conclude that the solution is  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$ .

## Problem 2

(a) For any constant  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , if  $T(n) = T(\alpha n) + T((1 - \alpha)n) + \Theta(n)$ , then  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ 

Guess  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ 

Thus there must be constants c, c' for  $c, c' \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t.  $T(n) \le cn \log n - c'n$ .

Given 
$$T(n) = T(\alpha n) + T((1 - \alpha)n) + \Theta(n)$$

*Proof.* We may rewrite it as  $T(n) = T(\alpha n) + T((1 - \alpha)n) + dn$  for  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Assume the claim  $T(k) = ck \log k - c'k$  holds true for T(k) for  $k \in [1, n)$ , and without loss of generality assume that  $\alpha \geq 0.5$ , we have:

$$T(k+1) = T(\alpha(k+1)) + T((1-\alpha)(k+1)) + d(k+1)$$

$$\leq [c \cdot \alpha(k+1)\log(\alpha(k+1)) - c'(k+1)] +$$

$$[c \cdot ((1-\alpha)(k+1))\log((1-\alpha)(k+1)) - c'(k+1)] + d(k+1)$$

$$\leq c \cdot \alpha(k+1)\log(\alpha(k+1)) + c(1-\alpha)(k+1)\log(\alpha(k+1)) + d(k+1) - 2c'(k+1)$$

$$\leq (c\alpha + c(k+1) - c\alpha) \cdot \log(\alpha(k+1)) + d(k+1) - 2c'(k+1)$$

$$\leq c(k+1) \cdot \log(\alpha(k+1)) + (d-2c')(k+1)$$

$$\leq c(k+1) \cdot \log(k+1) + (d-2c')(k+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow T(k+1) \leq c(k+1) \cdot \log(k+1) \quad \text{for } c' = \frac{1}{2}d$$

$$(4)$$

As now we have  $T(k+1) \le c(k+1) \cdot \log(k+1)$  for  $c' = \frac{1}{2}d$ , we may say it is true for T(k) for all  $k \in [1, n)$ .

(b) For any constant k > 0, if  $T(n) = \Theta(n) + \sum_{i=1}^k T(\frac{n}{2^i})$ , then T(n) = O(n)

Guess  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ 

Thus, there must be a constant c for  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t.  $T(n) \leq cn$ .

Given 
$$T(n) = \Theta(n) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} T(\frac{n}{2^i})$$

*Proof.* As there must be a constant d for  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t.  $\Theta(n) \leq dn$  – and therefore causes  $T(n) \leq dn + \sum_{i=1}^k T(\frac{n}{2^i})$  – with d for  $0 < d \leq c - \sum_{i=1}^k c(\frac{1}{2^i})$ . Now we may connect the two equations and get

$$T(n) \le dn + \sum_{i=1}^{k} T(\frac{n}{2^{i}}) \le cn$$

$$\le dn + \sum_{i=1}^{k} c \cdot (\frac{n}{2^{i}}) \le cn$$
(5)

Assume the claim  $T(n) \leq dn + \sum_{i=1}^{k} T(\frac{n}{2^i}) \leq cn$  holds true for T(m) for  $m \in [1, n)$ , consider:

$$T(m+1) \le d(m+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} T(\frac{m+1}{2^i})$$

$$\le d(m+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} c \cdot (\frac{m+1}{2^i})$$
(6)

$$\leq \underline{dm + \sum_{i=1}^{k} c \cdot (\frac{m}{2^{i}})} + \underline{d + \sum_{i=1}^{k} c \cdot (\frac{1}{2^{i}})}_{=T(1) \leq c(1)}$$
(7)

$$\Longrightarrow T(m+1) \le c(m+1) \tag{8}$$

As now we have  $T(m+1) \leq c(m+1)$  for  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we may say it is true for T(m) for all  $m \in [1, n)$ .

## Problem 3

### Problem 4

#### Algorithm 1 QuickMiss(C, D, p, r)

```
1: procedure QUICKMISS(C, D, p, r)

2: if p < r then

3: q \leftarrow \text{Partition}(C, p, r)

4: if C[q] == D[q] then

5: QUICKMISS(C, D, q + 1, r)

6: else

7: QUICKMISS(C, D, p, q - 1)

8: return D[q]
```

# Algorithm 2 Partition(A, p, r)

```
1: procedure Partition(A, p, r)
2:
       q \leftarrow p-1
       for i \leftarrow p to r do
3:
           if Compare-Strings(A[i], A[r]) then
4:
               q \leftarrow q + 1
5:
               SWAP(A[i], A[q])
6:
       SWAP(A[q], A[r])
                                                                                \triangleright Exchange the two elements.
7:
       \mathbf{return}\ q
8:
```