

Algebra: Chapter 0, Aluffi Paolo
Exercises solutions

Kim Doyoung,
Department of Computer Science & Engineering,
Seoul National University

Last updated at August, 11th, 2023. (UTC+9)

CONTENTS

Prologue	5
Introduction	5
Some important points	6
I Preliminaries: Set theory and categories	7
1 Naive set theory	7
2 Functions between Sets	9

Copyright (C) 2023 doyoung @ <https://github.com/choco-bear/>.
Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 3.0 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with the Invariant Sections being just "GNU Manifesto" and just "Prologue", with no Front-Cover Texts, and with no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

PROLOGUE

Introduction

“Algebra: Chapter 0.” Even the title of this textbook suggests a beginning, a starting point, a foundation from which to explore the complex and captivating world of algebra. It’s a signal to the reader that this is where the journey begins, an invitation to set out on a path filled with intriguing problems, elegant solutions, and a deeper understanding of the mathematical principles that govern our world.

As an undergraduate student, I found myself drawn to this beginning, to “Chapter 0,” and to the idea of delving into algebra from the ground up. My curiosity was piqued, but I also recognized that curiosity alone might not be enough to navigate the intricacies of algebra. The challenges could be overwhelming, the concepts elusive, and the solutions often just out of reach.

That realization led me to embark on a personal journey of self-study and exploration, and this solution manual is the result.

In these pages, I have documented my path through “Algebra: Chapter 0,” providing solutions, insights, and explanations along the way. This manual is not the work of an expert; it’s the work of a fellow traveler, one who has grappled with the same questions, puzzled over the same problems, and rejoiced in the same moments of understanding.

My goal is to make this journey more accessible to others who are drawn to the world of algebra. Whether you’re a fellow student, a teacher, or simply someone with a curiosity about mathematics, I hope you’ll find value in these pages. Each solution is presented with clarity and care, reflecting not only the method to arrive at the answer but the thought process and insights that led me there.

So, here’s to “Chapter 0,” to beginnings, and to the joy of learning. Join me on this adventure, and let’s explore the fascinating landscape of algebra together. Let’s embrace the challenges, celebrate the discoveries, and take pleasure in the knowledge that we are part of a community of learners, all striving to understand, all starting from the same point: Chapter 0.

Welcome to the journey.

Some important points

There are a few important points to note here:

- The solution is *only* hosted on my GitHub page

<https://github.com/choco-bear/algebra-chapter-0-solutions>.

If you find this document outside this page, you might have an outdated version of the solution which might have errors, so please be aware.

- I will update the solution irregularly.
- I've tried to reflect errata <https://www.math.fsu.edu/~aluffi/algebraerrata.2009/Errata.html> as much as possible.
- If you found an error in the solutions, typos, bad grammar or want to give an advise on LaTeX formatting, etc., don't hesitate to open an issue or a pull request on my repo.

Best,

doyoung @ <https://github.com/choco-bear/>
Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Seoul National University
Updated August, 11th, 2023

CHAPTER I

PRELIMINARIES: SET THEORY AND CATEGORIES

1 Naive set theory

Exercise 1.1.

Locate a discussion of Russell's paradox, and understand it.

Solution. Recall that, in naive set theory, any collection of objects satisfying some properties can be called a set. Russel's paradox can be illustrated as follows:

Let R be the set of all sets that do not contain themselves. Then, if $R \notin R$, then by definition it must be the case that $R \in R$. Similarly, if $R \in R$ then it must be the case that $R \notin R$.

This is the reason why we need the axiomatic set theory instead of the naive set theory. ■

Exercise 1.2.

▷ Prove that if \sim is an equivalence relation on a set S , then the corresponding family \mathcal{P}_\sim defined in §1.5 is indeed a partition of S ; that is, its elements are nonempty, disjoint, and their union is S . [§1.5]

Solution. Let S be a set with an equivalence relation \sim . Consider the family of equivalence classes with respect to \sim over S :

$$\mathcal{P}_\sim = \{[a]_\sim \mid a \in S\}$$

Let $[a]_\sim \in \mathcal{P}_\sim$. Then by reflexivity of \sim , we have $a \sim a$ and thus $[a]_\sim$ is nonempty.

Now, take any two elements $[a]_\sim$ and $[b]_\sim$ of \mathcal{P}_\sim . If $[a]_\sim \cap [b]_\sim$ is nonempty, then we can take an element $c \in [a]_\sim \cap [b]_\sim$. By definition, we get $c \sim a$ and $c \sim b$. By symmetricity of \sim , we get $a \sim c$ and so $a \sim b$ by transitivity of \sim . This means that $a \in [b]_\sim$, and by transitivity of \sim , we can conclude that $[a]_\sim \subseteq [b]_\sim$.

In the same way, we also can conclude that $[b]_\sim \subseteq [a]_\sim$ when $[a]_\sim \cap [b]_\sim$ is nonempty, and hence $[a]_\sim = [b]_\sim$ if $[a]_\sim \cap [b]_\sim$ is nonempty. In the other words, the elements of \mathcal{P}_\sim are disjoint.

Finally, for any $a \in S$, $a \in [a]_\sim$, and thus $S \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{P}_\sim$, obviously. Also, since \sim is a relation on the set S , $\bigcup \mathcal{P}_\sim \subseteq S$, indeed.

Therefore, \mathcal{P}_\sim is a partition of S . ■

Exercise 1.3.

▷ Given a partition \mathcal{P} on a set S , show how to define an equivalence relation \sim on S such that \mathcal{P} is the corresponding partition. [§1.5]

Solution. Let S be a set with a partition \mathcal{P} . Consider a relation \sim on S as:

$$a \sim b \iff \exists P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ s.t. } a, b \in P.$$

Then, it is quite obvious that \sim is an equivalence relation. ■

Exercise 1.4.

How many different equivalence relations may be defined on the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$?

Solution. Since there is a correspondence between equivalence relations and partitions, the number of equivalence relations is the same with the number of partitions. Since there are 5 different partitions of the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$, there are 5 different equivalence relations can be defined on the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$. ■

Exercise 1.5.

Give an example of a relation that is reflexive and symmetric but not transitive. What happens if you attempt to use this relation to define a partition on the set?

Solution. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, define $a \mathcal{R} b$ to be true if and only if $|a - b| \leq 1$. Then, it is obvious that \mathcal{R} is reflexive and symmetric. However, since $0 \mathcal{R} 2$ even though $0 \mathcal{R} 1$ and $1 \mathcal{R} 2$, \mathcal{R} is not transitive. The corresponding family $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{R}$, defined as in §1.5, is not a partition of \mathbb{R} , indeed because the elements of $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{R}$ are not disjoint. ■

Exercise 1.6.

▷ Define a relation \sim on the set \mathbb{R} of real numbers by setting $a \sim b \iff b - a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Prove that this is an equivalence relation, and find a ‘compelling’ description for \mathbb{R}/\sim . Do the same for the relation \approx on the plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by declaring $(a_1, a_2) \approx (b_1, b_2) \iff b_1 - a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_2 - a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. [§II.8.1, II.8.10]

Solution. Since $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $-n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $n + m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the given relation \sim on \mathbb{R} is an equivalence relation. Moreover, \mathbb{R}/\sim can be considered as $[0, 1)$ with operation on modulo 1. (It can be considered as S^1 .)

Now define a relation \approx on \mathbb{R}^2 by setting $(a_1, a_2) \approx (b_1, b_2) \iff a_1 - a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_1 - b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by the similar way with the above, the relation \approx on \mathbb{R}^2 is an equivalence relation. Additionally, \mathbb{R}^2/\approx is isomorphic to $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ with operation on modulo 1. (It can be considered as T^2 .) ■

2 Functions between Sets

Exercise 2.1.

▷ How many different bijections are there between a set S with n elements and itself?

Solution. The answer is $n!$, obviously. ■

Exercise 2.2.

▷ Prove statement (2) in Proposition 2.1. You may assume that given a family of disjoint nonempty subsets of a set, there is a way to choose one element in each member of the family. [§2.5, V.3.3]

Solution.

(\implies) Let’s say that $f : A \rightarrow B$ has a right-inverse $g : B \rightarrow A$. Then since $f \circ g = \text{id}_B$, $(f \circ g)(B) = B$, clearly.

By the fact that $(f \circ g)(B) = f(g(B)) \subseteq f(A) \subseteq B$, it is immediately shown that $f(A) = B$, i.e., f is surjective.

(\impliedby) Let’s say that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is surjective. Then $f^{-1}(b) = \{a \in A \mid f(a) = b\}$ is nonempty for any b and also $\{f^{-1}(b) \mid b \in B\}$ is a partition of A , clearly.

Thus, by the axiom of choice—the given statement is the stronger version of the axiom of choice, we can take a function $g : B \rightarrow A$ such that $g(b) \in f^{-1}(b)$ for any $b \in B$.

Then $(f \circ g)(b) = b$ for any $b \in B$ and f therefore has a right-inverse $g : B \rightarrow A$. ■

Exercise 2.3.

Prove that the inverse of a bijection is a bijection and that the composition of two bijection is a bijection.

Solution. By Proposition 2.1, it is clear that the inverse of a bijection is also a bijection and that the composition of two bijections is also a bijection. ■

Exercise 2.4.

▷ Prove that ‘isomorphism’ is an equivalence relation (on any set of sets). [§4.1]

Solution. Let U be a set of sets and define a relation \sim on U by setting $S \sim T \iff S$ is isomorphic to T . Then it is clear that $S \sim S$ for any $S \in U$ since id_S is a bijection. Moreover, by the result of the Exercise 2.3, the relation \sim on U is symmetric and transitive. Therefore, the relation \sim on U is an equivalence relation. ■

Exercise 2.5.

▷ Formulate a notion of epimorphism, in the style of the notion of monomorphism seen in §2.6, and prove a result analogous to Proposition 2.3, for epimorphism and surjections. [§2.6, §4.2]

Solution. A function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an epimorphism if the following holds:

$$\text{for all sets } Z \text{ and all functions } \alpha', \alpha'' : B \rightarrow Z, \alpha' \circ f = \alpha'' \circ f \implies \alpha' = \alpha''.$$

Now claim that a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an epimorphism if and only if it is a surjection. The below is the proof of that:

(\implies) Let’s say that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an epimorphism and suppose that f is not surjective. Then $B \setminus f(A)$ is nonempty and so there exists $b \in B \setminus f(A)$. Now say that $\alpha' = \text{id}_B$ and $\alpha'' : B \rightarrow B$ is defined by

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \neq b \\ b' & \text{if } x = b \end{cases} \text{ where } b' \text{ is an element of } f(A).$$

Then it is obvious that $\alpha' \circ f = \alpha'' \circ f$ and this contradicts the fact that f is an epimorphism.

Therefore, f has to be surjective.

(\impliedby) Let’s say that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a surjection and $\alpha' \circ f = \alpha'' \circ f$ for some $\alpha', \alpha'' : B \rightarrow Z$ for a fixed set Z . Then since $f(A) = B$, $\alpha' \circ f = \alpha'' \circ f$ implies that $\alpha'(b) = \alpha''(b)$ for any $b \in B$, and this clearly implies that $\alpha' = \alpha''$.

Therefore, f is an epimorphism. ■

Exercise 2.6.

With notation as in Example 2.4, explain how any function $f : A \rightarrow B$ determines a section of π_A .

Solution. Define $\gamma_f : A \rightarrow A \times B$ as $a \mapsto (a, f(a))$. Then $(\pi_A \circ \gamma_f)(a) = \pi_A(a, f(a)) = a$ and thus makes γ_f be a right-inverse of π_A , i.e., γ_f is a section of π_A . ■

Exercise 2.7.

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be any function. Prove that the graph Γ_f of f is isomorphic to A .

Solution. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow \Gamma_f$ be a function defined as $\varphi : a \mapsto (a, f(a))$.

Then it is obvious that φ is a bijection by the definition of a graph of a function. Therefore, A and Γ_f are isomorphic to each other. ■

Exercise 2.8.

Describe as explicitly as you can all terms in the canonical decomposition (cf. §2.8) of the function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $r \mapsto e^{2\pi ir}$. (This exercise matches one assigned previously. Which one?)

Solution. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $r \mapsto e^{2\pi ir}$.

Now let's say that $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1)$, $\tilde{f} : [0, 1) \hookrightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$, and $\iota : \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi : r &\mapsto r \bmod 1 \\ \tilde{f} : r &\mapsto e^{2\pi ir} \\ \iota : z &\mapsto z.\end{aligned}$$

Then, $f = \iota \circ \tilde{f} \circ \pi$ is the canonical decomposition of f . Also, this exercise matches Exercise 1.6. ■

Exercise 2.9.

▷ Show that if $A' \cong A''$ and $B' \cong B''$, and further $A' \cap B' = \emptyset$ and $A'' \cap B'' = \emptyset$, then $A' \cup B' \cong A'' \cup B''$. Conclude that the operation $A \sqcup B$ (as described in §1.4) is well-defined up to isomorphism (cf. §2.9). [§2.9, 5.7]

Solution. Since $A' \cap B' = \emptyset$, if $x \in A' \cup B'$, only one of the following holds:

- a) $x \in A'$
- b) $x \in B'$

Furthermore, A'' and B'' also satisfy the same property.

Now let $\alpha : A' \rightarrow A''$ and $\beta : B' \rightarrow B''$ be bijections. Then we can define a function $\varphi : A' \cup B' \rightarrow A'' \cup B''$ as $x \mapsto \begin{cases} \alpha(x) & \text{if } x \in A' \\ \beta(x) & \text{if } x \in B'. \end{cases}$

By the facts proved above, φ is obviously a bijection. Therefore, $A' \cong A''$, $B' \cong B''$, $A' \cap B' = \emptyset$, and $A'' \cap B'' = \emptyset$ imply that $A' \cup B' \cong A'' \cup B''$ and, hence, the operation $A \sqcup B$ is well-defined up to isomorphism. ■

Exercise 2.10.

▷ Show that if A and B are finite sets, $|B^A| = |B|^{|A|}$. [§2.1, 2.11, §II.4.1]

Solution. Since A^B is the set of the functions to A from B , $|B^A|$ is the number of functions from A to B . For each element of A , there exist $|B|$ possible function values and thus $|B^A| = |B|^{|A|}$. ■

Exercise 2.11.

▷ In view of Exercise 2.10, it is not unreasonable to use 2^A to denote the set of functions from an arbitrary set A to set with 2 elements (say $\{1, 2\}$). Prove that there is a bijection between 2^A and the power set of A (cf. §1.2). [§1.2, III.2.3]

Solution. Define $f : 2^A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ as $\varphi \mapsto \{a \in A \mid \varphi(a) = 1\}$. Then it is quite obvious that f is a bijection. Therefore, $2^A \cong \mathcal{P}(A)$. ■