# Percolation and Random Walks on Graphs-revision note

## 1. Percolation

#### 1.1. Definition of the model

**Definition**)Bond/site Percolation on a graph G = (V, E) and parameter  $p \in [0, 1]$ .

-What is the probability space and the  $\sigma$ -algebra? What is the probability measure?

-denote the state by random variable  $\eta_p \in \{0, 1\}^E$ .

**Definition)** $x \leftrightarrow y$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}(x)$ 

**Definition 1.1)** Coupling of two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

## 1.2. Coupling of percolation processes

**Definition**)Percolation modelled via uniform random variables.

**Lemma 1.2.)** The probability  $\theta(p) = \mathbb{P}_p(|\mathcal{C}(0)| = \infty)$  is an increasing function of p.

## 1.3. Phase transition

**Definition**) $p_c(d)$ 

**Theorem 1.4.)** For all  $d \geq 2$  we have  $p_c(d) \in (0,1)$ .

-uses  $\Sigma_n$ , the number of open self-avoiding walks of length n and  $\sigma_n$ , the number of self-avoiding walks of length n

-come back to proof after **Definition 1.11.** Note that, the number of dual circuits of length n that surrounds 0 is at most  $n4^n$  using the following argument - a closed circuit surrounding 0 should pass at least one point among  $\{(1,0),\cdots,(n,0)\}$  so choose this as a start point, then there are at most  $4^n$  ways to proceed from this point, so the number is bounded by  $n4^n$ .

## 1.3.1. Self-avoiding walks

**Lemma 1.5.)** Let  $\sigma_n$  be the number of self-avoiding paths of length n> Then for all m,n we have

$$\sigma_{n+m} \le \sigma_n \sigma_m$$

Corollary 1.6.) There is a constant  $\lambda$  so that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \sigma_n}{n} = \lambda$$

**-Remark:** the corollary tells us that  $\sigma_n = e^{n\lambda(1+o(1))}$ . Define  $\kappa = e^{\lambda}$ .

Improved versions of the corollary includes: **Theorem 1.9.)**(Hammersley and Welsh) For all d the number of self-avoiding walks  $\sigma_n$  satisfies

$$\sigma_n \le \exp(c_d \sqrt{n}) (\kappa_d)^n$$

where  $c_d$  is a positive constant.

**Theorem 1.10.)**(Hutchcroft) For all d we have

$$\sigma_n \le \exp(o(\sqrt{n}))\kappa^n$$

-We do not prove 1.9. and 1.10.

### 1.3.2. Existence and uniqueness of the infinite cluster

**Definition 1.11.)** The dual of a planar graph G.

- -Remark : The  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  lattice is isomorphic to its dual, i.e. has duality property.
- -We may prove **Theorem 1.4.** using duality of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  lattice.

**Lemma 1.13.)** Let  $A_{\infty}$  be the event that there exists an infinite cluster. Then we have the following dichotomy:

- (a) If  $\theta(p) = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P}_p(A_\infty) = 0$ .
- (b) If  $\theta(p) > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P}_p(A_{\infty}) = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.14.)** Let N be the number of infinite clusters. For all  $p > p_c$  we have that

$$\mathbb{P}_p(N=1) = 1$$

-Refers to the fact that N is translational invariant, hence therefore is a.s. a constant.

(From Exercise 4. - proof : Let X be a random variable that is translational invariant. Let  $\Omega_x = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = x\}$  and that  $p_y = \mathbb{P}(\Omega_y) > 0$  for some y. As X is translational invariant, one has  $\Omega_y = T_a(\Omega_y)$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . !!!! I don't have any idea.

It is sufficient to show that translation map acts as an ergodic map on the lattice )

- -proving that the number of clusters is not  $\infty$  is difficult. Once assuming this, use the above fact to complete proof. (the remaining part is still hard)
- -Why do we have  $\#\{\text{vertices of degree} \ge 3\} \le \#\text{leaves}$ ? This is because we may make injection from the set  $\{\text{vertices of degree} \ge 3\}$  to the set of leaves by modifying the paths appropriately.

#### 1.4. Correlation inequalities

Let G = (V, E) be a graph,  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^E$  be endowed with the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by the cylinder sets and with the usual probability measure with parameter  $p \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 1.16.)** For configurations  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega, \omega' \geq \omega$ .

- -this defines a partial order on  $\Omega$ .
- -increasing/decreasing random variable X/event A.

**Example)** The event  $\{|\mathcal{C}()| = \infty\}$  is increasing.

**Theorem 1.18.)** If N is an increasing random variable and  $p_1 \leq p_2 <$  then

$$\mathbb{E}_{p_1}[N] \le \mathbb{E}_{p_2}[N]$$

Similarly if A is an increasing event, then

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_1}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}_{p_2}(A)$$

-proved by coupling

**Theorem 1.19)**(FKG inequality) Let X and Y be two increasing variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_p[X^2] < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}_p[Y^2] < \infty$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}_p[XY] \ge \mathbb{E}_p[X]\mathbb{E}_p[Y]$$

-Another way of writing this is

$$\mathbb{P}_p(A|B) \ge \mathbb{P}_p(A)$$

-The theorem tells us that whenever two random variables are increasing, then they are positively correlated.

#### Example:

- conditioning on  $x \leftrightarrow y$  increases the probability of having  $u \leftrightarrow v$  for any x, y, u, v.
- Let G be a graph and for every vertex x we define

$$p_c(x) = \sum \{ p \in [0, 1] : \mathbb{P}_p(|\mathcal{C}(x)| = \infty) = 0 \}$$

Then we get  $p_c(x) = p_c(y)$  for all x, y. (draw contradiction by assuming that for some p, we have  $\mathbb{P}_p(|\mathcal{C}(x)| = \infty) = 0$  but  $\mathbb{P}_p(|\mathcal{C}(y)| = \infty) > 0$ )

**Definition 1.24)**  $[\omega]_S$  for  $\omega \in \Omega = \{0,1\}^E$  and  $S \subset E$ .

-The disjoint occurrence  $A \circ B$  for events A, B.

**Theorem 1.25)**(BK inequality) Let F be a *finite set* and  $\Omega = \{0,1\}^F$ . For all increasing events A, B, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_p(A \circ B) \le \mathbb{P}_p(A)\mathbb{P}_p(B)$$

**Theorem 1.26)**(Reimer's inequality) Let F be a finite set and  $\Omega = \{0,1\}^F$ . For all A and B we have(without assuming that they are increasing)

$$\mathbb{P}_p(A \circ B) \le \mathbb{P}_p(A)\mathbb{P}_p(B)$$

-A generalized version of BK inequality, not proving

**Theorem 1.27)** Suppose that  $\chi(p) = \mathbb{E}_p[|\mathcal{C}(0)|] < \infty$ . Then there exists a positive constant c so that for all  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}_n(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathfrak{B}_n) < e^{-cn}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{-n, \dots, n\}^d$  is the box with diameter 2n + 1.
-uses BK inequality for proof.

## 1.5. Russo's formula

**Definition 1.28)** A pivotal edge e for A an event and  $\omega$  a percolation configuration.

-The event  $\{e \text{ is pivotal for } A\}$  is equal to  $\{\omega : e \text{ is pivotal for } (A, \omega)\}$ .

**Example** Let A be the event that 0 is in an infinite cluster. Then an edge e is pivotal for A if the removal of e leads to a finite component containing the origin.

**Theorem 1.30)** (Russo's formula) Let A be an increasing event that depends only on the states of a finite number of edges. Then

$$\frac{d}{dp}\mathbb{P}_p(A) = \mathbb{E}_p[N(A)]$$

where N(A) is the number of pivotal edges for A.

**Remark**: If A is an increasing event depending on an infinite number of edges, then

$$\liminf_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{p+\delta}(A) - \mathbb{P}_p(A)}{\delta} \ge \mathbb{E}_p[N(A)]$$

-Why do we need equation (1.8)?

Corollary 1.32) Let A be an increasing event depending on the states of m edges and  $p \leq q$  be in [0,1]. Then

$$\mathbb{P}_q(A) \le (\frac{q}{p})^m \mathbb{P}_p(A)$$

#### 1.6. Subcritical phase

In this section we focus on  $p < p_c$ . In this case we know that there is no infinite cluster a.s. However, oone can ask what is the size of the cluster of 0. How do probabilities like  $\mathbb{P}_p(|\mathfrak{C}(0)| \geq n)$  decay in n? Write  $\mathcal{B}_n = [-n, n]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

**Theorem 1.33)** Let  $d \geq 2$ . Then the following are true.

(a) If  $p < p_c$ , then there exists a constant c so that for all  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_n(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n) \leq e^{-cn}$$

(b) If  $p > p_c <$  then

$$\theta(p) = \mathbb{P}_b(0 \leftrightarrow \infty) \ge \frac{p - p_c}{p(1 - p_c)}$$

**proof**) Define

$$\varphi_p(S) = p \sum_{(x,y) \in \partial S} \mathbb{P}_p(0 \stackrel{S}{\longleftrightarrow} x)$$

and

$$\tilde{p}_c = \sup\{p \in [0,1] : \exists \text{a finite set } S \text{ s.t. } 0 \in S \text{ with } \varphi_p(S) < 1\}$$

We prove the theorem with  $p_c$  replaced by  $\tilde{p}_c$ , and from the results of the theorem, it follows that  $p_c = \tilde{p}_c$ .

(a) Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{x \in S : 0 \stackrel{S}{\longleftrightarrow} x\}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{p}(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_{kL}) = \mathbb{P}_{p}(\cup_{(x,y)\in\partial S} \cup_{A\subset S} 0 \overset{S}{\leftrightarrow} x, (x,y) \text{ is open, } \mathcal{C} = A, y \overset{A^{c}}{\longleftrightarrow} \partial \mathcal{B}_{kL})$$

$$\leq \sum_{(x,y)\in\partial S} \sum_{A\subset S} \mathbb{P}_{p}(0 \overset{S}{\leftrightarrow} x, (x,y) \text{ is open, } \mathcal{C} = A, y \overset{A^{c}}{\longleftrightarrow} \partial \mathcal{B}_{kL})$$

$$= p \sum_{(x,y)\in\partial S} \sum_{A\subset S} \mathbb{P}_{p}(0 \overset{S}{\leftrightarrow} x, \mathcal{C} = A)\mathbb{P}_{p}(y \overset{A^{c}}{\longleftrightarrow} \partial \mathcal{B}_{kL})$$

$$\leq p \sum_{(x,y)\in\partial S} \sum_{A\subset S} \mathbb{P}_{p}(0 \overset{S}{\leftrightarrow} x, \mathcal{C} = A)\mathbb{P}_{p}(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_{(k-1)L})$$

$$= p \sum_{(x,y)\in\partial S} \mathbb{P}_{p}(0 \overset{S}{\leftrightarrow} x)\mathbb{P}_{p}(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_{(k-1)L})$$

$$= \varphi_{p}(S)\mathbb{P}_{p}(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_{(k-1)L})$$

Iterating this inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_{kL}) \le (\varphi_p(S))^{k-1}$$

and hence has exponential decay.

(b) By Russo's formula, we have

$$\frac{d}{dp} \mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}_n} \mathbb{P}_p(e \text{ is pivotal for } \{0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n\})$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 - p} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}_n} \mathbb{P}_p(e \text{ is pivotal, } 0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n)$$

Define

$$S = \{x \in \mathcal{B}_n : x \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n\}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dp} \mathbb{P}_b(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathbb{B}_n) &= \frac{1}{1-p} \sum_{e \in \mathbb{B}_n} \sum_{A \subset \mathbb{B}_n, 0 \in A} \mathbb{P}_p(e \text{ is pivotal, } \mathbb{S} = A) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-p} \sum_{A \subset \mathbb{B}_n, 0 \in A} \sum_{(x,y) \in \partial A} \mathbb{P}_p(0 \overset{A}{\longleftrightarrow} x, \mathbb{S} = A) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-p} \sum_{A \subset \mathbb{B}_n, 0 \in A} \sum_{(x,y) \in \partial A} \mathbb{P}_p(0 \overset{A}{\longleftrightarrow} x) \mathbb{P}_p(\mathbb{S} = A) \\ &= \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \sum_{A \subset \mathbb{B}_n, 0 \in A} \varphi_p(A) \mathbb{P}_p(\mathbb{S} = A) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \inf_{S \subset \mathbb{B}_n, 0 \in S} \varphi_p(S) \mathbb{P}_p(0 \nleftrightarrow \partial \mathbb{B}_n) \end{split}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d}{dp}\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n) \ge \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \inf_{S \subset \mathcal{B}_n, 0 \in S} \varphi_p(S) (1 - \mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n))$$

For  $p > \tilde{p}_c$ , integrating from  $\tilde{p}_c$  to p gives

$$1 - \mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n) \le -\frac{1}{p(1-p)} (1 - \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{p}_c}(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n)) \exp(-\frac{p - \tilde{p}_c}{p(1-p)}) \le \exp(-\frac{p - \tilde{p}_c}{p(1-p)})$$

and we have the desired inequality as  $n \to \infty$ .

- •Remark: We have assumed that  $p < p_c$  but not  $\theta(p) = 0$ . For d = 2, at the critical probability 1/2, we do not have this exponential decay.
- •**Remark**: The probability  $\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n)$  is at least  $p^n$ , and hence we cannot hope for a faster convergence than exponential decay.
- •Remark: The theorem tells us that

$$\mathbb{P}_p(|\mathcal{C}(0)| \ge n) \le \mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_{n^{1/d}}) \le \exp(-cn^{1/d})$$

However, this bound can be replace by  $\exp(-cn)$ .

## 1.7. Supercritical phase in $\mathbb{Z}^2$

**Theorem 1.37.)** For bond percolation on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  the probability  $p_c = 1/2$  and  $\theta(1/2) = 0$ .

#### 1.8. Russo Seymour Welsh theorem

Let  $\mathcal{B}(kl,l) = [-l,(2k-1)l] \times [-l,l]$  and  $\mathcal{B}(l) = \mathcal{B}(l,l)$ . Denote LR(kl,l) the event that there exists a left to right crossing of  $\mathcal{B}(kl,l)$  and write LR(l) for a crossing of  $\mathcal{B}(l)$ . Also, let  $A(l) = \mathcal{B}(3l) \setminus \mathcal{B}(l)$ . Write O(l) for the event that there is an open circuit in A(l) containing 0 in its interior.

**Theorem 1.38)**(RSW) Suppose that  $\mathbb{P}_p(LR(l)) = \alpha$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}_p(O(l)) \ge (\alpha(1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha})^4)^{12}$$

**Lemma 1.40.)** Suppose that  $\mathbb{P}_p(LR(l)) = \alpha$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}_p(\mathrm{LR}(\frac{3}{2}l, l)) \ge (1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha})^3$$

Lemma 1.41.) We have

$$\mathbb{P}_p(\operatorname{LR}(2l,l)) \ge \mathbb{P}_p(\operatorname{LR}(l)) \Big( \mathbb{P}_p(\operatorname{LR}(3l/2,l)) \Big)^2$$

$$\mathbb{P}_p(\operatorname{LR}(3l,l)) \ge \mathbb{P}_p(\operatorname{LR}(l)) \big( \mathbb{P}_p(\operatorname{LR}(2l,l)) \big)^2$$

$$\mathbb{P}_p(O(l)) \ge (\mathbb{P}_p(\operatorname{LR}(3l,l)))^4$$

## 1.9 Power law inequalities at the critical point

**Theorem 1.42.)** There exist finite positive constants  $\alpha_1, A_1, \alpha_2, A_2, \alpha_3, A_3, \alpha_4, A_4$  so that for all  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \leq \mathbb{P}_{1/2}(0 \leftrightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}_n) \leq A_1 n^{-\alpha_1}$$
$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \leq \mathbb{P}_{1/2}(|\mathcal{C}(0)| \geq n) \leq A_2 n^{-\alpha_2}$$
$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{C}(0)|^{\alpha_3}] < \infty$$

Moreover, for all p > 1/2 we have

$$\theta(p) \le A_4(p - \frac{1}{2})^{\alpha_4}$$

**Lemma 1.44.)** Let O(l) be as in the previous section. Then there exists a positive constant  $\zeta$  such that for all  $l \geq 1$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(O(l)) \ge \zeta$$

## 1.10. Grimmett Marstrand theorem

## 1.11. Conformal invariance of crossing probabilities $p=p_c$