Analysis of PDEs

Claude Warnick(cmw50)

www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/ cmw50 Example Classes : Dr. Ivan Moyano

Texts: (1)Evans. PDEs, (2)Rauch, PDEs, (3)F.John, PDEs, (4)Gilberg + Raudinger, Elliptic PDE, (5) Ladyzhenskay, The Boundary Value Problems of Mathematical Physics.

(5th October 2018, Friday)

Introduction

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open. A partial differential equation of order k is an expression of the following form:

$$F(x, u(x), Du(x), \dots, D^{(k)}u(x)) = 0$$
 (1)

Here, $F: U \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{n^k} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given function and $u: U \to \mathbb{R}$ is the 'unknown'. We say $u \in C^k(U)$ is a classical solution of 1 if 1 is satisfied on U when we substitute u into the expression.

We could also consider the case where $u:U\to\mathbb{R}^p$ and F takes values in \mathbb{R}^q , then we speak of a system of PDE's.

Examples)

1. The Transport Equation: Suppose $V: \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) + V(x,t,u(t,x)) \cdot D_x u(x,t) = f(x,t) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a PDE for $u: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$. This describes evolution of some chemical produced at rate f(x,t) and being advected by a flow of velocity V(x,t,u(t,x)).

2. The Laplace and Poisson Equations:

$$\Delta u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) = 0$$
 (Laplace Equation)

This describes:

- + Electrostatic potential in empty space
- + Static distribution of heat in a solid body
- + Applications to steady flows in 2D
- + Connections to complex analysis

$$\Delta u(x) = f(x)$$
 some given $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ (Poisson's Equation)

This describes:

- + Electric field produced by charge distribution f
- + Gravitational field in Newton's Theory (f is mass density)
- 3. Heat/Diffusion Equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u$$

This describes evolution of temperature in a solid homogeneous body.

4. Wave Equation:

$$-\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \Delta u = 0$$

This describe:

- + Displacement of a stretched string (dimension=1)
- + Ripples on surface of water (dimension=2)
- + Density of air in a sound wave (dimension=3)
- 5. Maxwell's Equations: With $E, B : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{split} \nabla \cdot E &= \rho \quad \nabla \cdot B = 0 \\ \nabla \times E + \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} &= 0 \quad \nabla \times B - \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = J \end{split}$$

 $\rho,\,J$ are charge density/current respectively, are given.

6. Ricci Flow:

$$\partial_t g_{ij} = -2R_{ij}$$

where g_{ij} is a Riemannian metric, R_{ij} is its Ricci curvature.

7. Minimal Surface Equation: For $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\operatorname{div}(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1-|Du|^2}})=0$$

Condition for the graph $\{(x, y, u(x, y))\}$ to locally extremise area.

8. Eikonal Equation: for $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $u: U \to \mathbb{R}$

$$|Du| = 1$$

Level sets parametrise a wave-front moving according to the ray theory of light.

9. Schrödinger's Equation: For $u: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \equiv \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta u - Vu = 0$$

for $V:\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}$ given. u is the wavefunction of a quantum mechanical particle moving in a potential V.

10. Einstein's Equations for General Relativity:

$$R_{\mu\nu}[g] = 0$$

where g is Lorentzian metric. $R_{\mu\nu}$ is Ricci tensor. This describes gravitational field in vacuum.

-. There are Many more examples.

Data and Well-Posedness

In all examples, there is extra information required beyond the equation. We call this the *data*. An important question is what data is appropriate. We typically ask of a PDE problem that:

- a) A solution exists,
- b) for given data the solution is unique,
- c) the solution depends on the data continuously.

If these hold, we say the problem is 'well-posed'. To make these precise, we have to (usually) specify function spaces for the data and solution to belong to.

8th October, Monday

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $u: U \to \mathbb{R}$ be unknown. Then our system of interest will be

$$F(x; u, Du, \cdots, D^k u) = 0 \tag{2}$$

Notations) Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be a multi-index(where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$). Then we let:

- $D^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}f}{\partial x^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x^{\alpha_n}}$ where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ is the order of α .
- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times x_n^{\alpha_n}$
- $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!$.
- For $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n), \beta \leq \alpha$ is equivalent to having $\beta_k \leq \alpha_k$ for all k.

Classifying PDEs

• We say (2) is **linear** if F is a linear function of u and its derivatives. We can write (2) as

$$\sum_{|\alpha| < k} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} u(x) = f(x)$$

• We say (2) is **semi-linear** if it is of the form

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} u(x) + a_0(x; u(x), \cdots, D^{k-1} u(x)) = 0$$

• We say (2) is **quasi-linear** if it is of the form

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_{\alpha}(x; u(x), \cdots, D^{k-1}u(x)) D^{\alpha}u(x) + a_{0}(x; u(x), \cdots, D^{k-1}u(x)) = 0$$

• We say (2) is fully non-linear if its not linear, semi-linear, nor quasi-linear

Examples)

- $\Delta u = f$ is linear
- $\Delta u = u^3$ is semi-linear
- $uu_{xx} + u_x u_{yy} = f$ is quasi-linear
- $u_{xx}u_{yy} u_{xy}^2 = f$ is fully non-linear.

Cauchy-Kovalevskaya Theorem

For motivation, we recall some ODE theory. Fix $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and assume $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given. Consider the ODE

$$\dot{u}(t) = f(u(t)), u(0) = u_0 \in U \tag{3}$$

with $u:I\subset\mathbb{R}\to U$.

Theorem) (Picard-Lindelöf) Suppose there exist r, K > 0 s.t. $B_r(u_0) = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n : |w - u_0| < r\}$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| \le K|x - y|$ for all $x, y \in B_r(x_0)$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ (depending in r and K) and a unique C^1 -function $u : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to U$ solving (3). **proof)** Use U solves (3), then

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t f(u(s))ds$$
 (4)

and conversely, if U is C^0 and solves (4), then in fact U is C^1 by FTC, and u solves (3).(in context of PDEs, this is called weak formulation)

Then our solution, if exists, is a fixed point of the map $B: w \mapsto u_0 + \int_0^t f(w(s))ds$. (use Banach fixed point theorem)

Observations:

- We start by reformulating the problem in a weak form and find a unique C^0 solution. Then C^1 the regularity follows a posteriori.
- to construct the fixed point map, we solve the linear problem $\dot{w}(t) = f(w(t))$.

Lets consider an alternative approach to solving (3). Assuming f is differentiable, we have

$$u^{(1)}(t) = f(u(t))$$

$$u^{(2)}(t) = f'(u(t))\dot{u}(t)$$

$$u^{(3)}(t) = f''(u(t))(\dot{u}(t))^{2} + f'(u(t))\ddot{u}(t)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$u^{(k)}(t) = f_{k}(u(t), \dot{u}(t), \dots, u^{(k-1)})(t)$$

So in principle, given $u(0) = u_0$, we can determine $u_k = u^{(k)}(0)$ for all $k \ge 0$. Formally at least, we can write

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k t^k / k! \tag{5}$$

ignoring the issues of convergence. Call this a **formal power series solution**. When will this agree with the Picard-Lindelöf solution we have constructed?

Theorem) (Cauchy-Kovalevskaya, for the case of ODEs) The series in (5) converges to a solution of (3) in a neighbourhood of t = 0 if f is real analytic at u_0 .

-This will follow from a more general result later.

Definition) Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and suppose $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$. f is called **real analytic** near $x_0 \in U$ if $\exists r > 0$ and constants $f_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ are multi-indices) such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(x - x_0)^{\alpha}$$
 for $x \in B_r(x_0)$

Note: if f is real analytic, then it is C^{∞} . Furthermore, the constants f_{α} are given by $f_{\alpha} = D^{\alpha} f(x_0)/\alpha!$. Thus f equals its Taylor expansion about x_0 , in a neighbourhood of x_0 .

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(x_0)}{\alpha!} (x - x_0)^{\alpha} \quad \text{for } x \in B_r(x_0)$$

By translation, we usually assume $x_0 = 0$

(40)1 O + 1 - W 1 - 1 - 1

(10th October, Wednesday)

• Last lecture : $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ is real analytic at $x_0 \in U$ if $\exists f_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0$ s.t.

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(x - x_0)^{\alpha} \quad \forall |x - x_0| < r$$

Properties of real analytic functions

• f is real analytic at x_0 if and only if $\exists s > 0$ and $C, \rho > 0$ such that:

$$\sup_{|x-x_0| < s} \left| D^{\alpha} f(x) \right| \le C \frac{|\alpha|!}{\rho^{|\alpha|}}$$

- If f is RA(real analytic) at x_0 , it is RA for all x close enough to x_0 .
- If $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$ is real analytic everywhere on a connected set U, then f is determined by its values on any open subset of U. (Or by its Taylor expansion at a single point.)

Example : If r > 0 set

$$f(x) = \frac{r}{r - (x_1 + \dots + x_n)} \quad \text{for } |x| < r/\sqrt{n}$$

Then for $|x| < r/\sqrt{n}$,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 - (x_1 + \dots + x_n)/r} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{r}\right)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^k} \sum_{|\alpha| = k} {|\alpha| \choose \alpha} x^{\alpha}$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} \frac{|\alpha|!}{r^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} x^{\alpha}$$

by multinomial theorem. This is valid for $|x_1 + \cdots + x_n|/r < 1$, which holds for $|x| < r/\sqrt{n}$. In fact, on this domain, the series converges absolutely. Indeed:

$$\sum_{\alpha} \frac{|\alpha|!}{r^{|\alpha|} \alpha!} |x|^{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|x_1| + \dots + |x_n|}{r} \right)^k < \infty$$

since $|x_1| + \cdots + |x_n| \le |x|\sqrt{n} < r$.

Definition) Let $f = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$, $g = \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ be two formal power series. We say g majorises f, written $g \gg f$ if

$$|f_{\alpha}| \leq g_{\alpha}$$

for all α , and say that g is a **majorant** of f.

Lemma)

- (i) If $g \gg f$ and g converges for |x| < r then f also converges (absolutely) for |x| < r.
- (ii) If f converges for |x| < r, then for any $s \in (0, r/\sqrt{n})$, f has a majorant that converges for $|x| < s/\sqrt{n}$. (n is the dimension of the space)

proof)

(i) We note that

$$\sum_{\alpha} |f_{\alpha}x^{\alpha}| \leq \sum_{\alpha} |f_{\alpha}| |x_{1}|^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots |x_{n}|^{\alpha_{n}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}\tilde{x}^{\alpha}$$

where $\tilde{x} = (|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|)$. Now $|\tilde{x}| = |x| < r$ so $\sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha} \tilde{x}^{\alpha}$ converges, hence $\sum_{\alpha} |f_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}|$ converges. Hence f converges on |x| < r absolutely.

(ii) Pick s s.t. $0 < s\sqrt{n} < r$, and set $y = s(1, \dots, 1)$. Then $|y| = s\sqrt{n} < r$. Hence $\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} y^{\alpha}$ converges. A convergent series has bounded terms, $\exists C > 0$ s.t. $|f_{\alpha} y^{\alpha}| \leq C$ for all α , and therefore

$$|f_{\alpha}| \le \frac{C}{y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n}} = \frac{C}{s^{|\alpha|}} \le \frac{C|\alpha|!}{s^{\alpha} \alpha!}$$

But then g(x) defined by

$$g(x) = \frac{Cs}{s - (x_1 + \dots + x_n)} = C \sum_{\alpha} \frac{|\alpha|!}{s^{\alpha} \alpha!} x^{\alpha}$$

majorises f and converges for $|x| < s/\sqrt{n} < r/n$.

(End of proof) \square

Remark: If $f = (f^1, \dots, f^m)$ and $g = (g^1, \dots, g^m)$ are formal power series, then we say

$$g \gg f$$
 if $g^i \gg f^i$ $i = 1, \dots, m$

Cauchy-Kovalevskaya for First Order Systems

We will study a problem that generalises the Cauchy problem for ODEs we have already discussed.

As coordinates on \mathbb{R}^n we take (x',t)=x where

$$x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad t = x^n \in \mathbb{R}$$

Set

$$B_r^n = \{t^2 + |x'|^2 < r^2\}, \quad B_r^{n-1} = \{|x'| < r, t = 0\}$$

We consider a system of equations for unknown $\underline{u}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. More concretely, we seek a solution to

$$\underline{u}_t = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \underline{\underline{B}}_j(\underline{u}, x') \cdot \underline{u}_{x_j} + \underline{c}(\underline{u}, x') \quad \text{on } B_r^n
\underline{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } B_r^{n-1}$$
(6)

where $\underline{u}_{x_i} = \partial u/\partial x_j$ etc. We assume that we are given the real analytic functions

$$\underline{\underline{B}}_j : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \operatorname{Mat}(m \times m)$$

$$\underline{\underline{c}} : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^m$$

(these functions do not have to defined on the entire space, but just have to be defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times B_r^{n-1}$) Note we assume $\underline{\underline{B}}_j$ and \underline{u} do not depend explicitly on t. We can always introduce u^{m+1} satisfying $\partial_t u^{m+1} = 1$, $u^{m+1} = 0$ on B_r^{n-1} and extending the system. We will write $\underline{\underline{B}}_j = ((b_j^{kl}))$ and $\underline{c} = (c^1, \cdots, c^m)^T$. Then in components (6) reads:

$$u_t^k = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^m b_j^{kl}(\underline{u}, x') u_{x_j}^l + c^k(\underline{u}, x') \quad k = 1, \dots, m$$

Examples: Take m = 2, write $\underline{u} = (f, g)^T$.

(a)

$$\begin{cases} f_t = g_x + F \\ g_t = f_x \end{cases}$$

together imply $f_{tt} - f_{xx} = F_t$

(b)

$$\begin{cases} f_t = -g_x + F \\ g_t = f_x \end{cases}$$

together imply $f_{tt} + f_{xx} = F_t$. (Note F = 0 gives Cauchy-Riemann equation)

Theorem) (Cauchy-Kovalevskaya) Assume $\{\underline{\underline{B}}_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ and \underline{c} are real analytic. Then for sufficiently small r>0 there exists a unique real analytic function $\underline{u}:B_r^n\to\mathbb{R}^m$ solving the problem (6).

(12th October, Friday)

Theorem) (Cauchy-Kovalevskaya) Assume $\{\underline{\underline{B}}_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ and \underline{c} are real analytic. Then for sufficiently small r>0 there exists a unique real analytic function $\underline{u}:B_r^n\to\mathbb{R}^m$ solving the problem (6).

proof)

1. The strategy will be to write

$$\underline{u}(x) = \sum_{\alpha} \underline{u}_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \tag{7}$$

and compute coefficients

$$\underline{u}_{\alpha} = \frac{D^{\alpha}\underline{u}(0)}{\alpha!}$$

in terms of $\underline{\underline{B}}_i$, $\underline{\underline{c}}$ and show that the series (7) converges on B_r^n for r small enough.

2. As $\underline{\underline{B}}_i$ and \underline{c} are real analytic, we can write

$$\underline{\underline{B}}_{j}(z,x') = \sum_{\gamma,\delta} \underline{\underline{B}}_{j,\gamma,\delta} z^{\gamma}(x')^{\delta} \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{m}, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1} \text{ multiindices}$$

$$\underline{\underline{c}}(z,x') = \sum_{\gamma,\delta} \underline{\underline{c}}_{\gamma,\delta} z^{\gamma}(x')^{\delta}$$

where these power series converge for $|z|^2 + |x'|^2 < s^2$, wlog s > r. Thus:

$$\underline{\underline{B}}_{j,\gamma,\delta} = \frac{D_z^{\delta} D_{x'}^{\delta} \underline{\underline{B}}_j(0,0)}{\gamma! \delta!}$$

$$\underline{\underline{c}}_{\gamma,\delta} = \frac{D_z^{\delta} D_{x'}^{\delta} \underline{\underline{c}}(0,0)}{\gamma! \delta!}$$
(8)

3. Since $\underline{u} \equiv 0$ on $\{t = x^n = 0\}$, we have

$$\underline{u}_{\alpha} = \frac{D^{\alpha}\underline{u}(0)}{\alpha!} = 0$$

for all multi-indices α with $\alpha_n = 0$.

Now, we use the evolution equation (6) to deduce

$$\underline{u}_{x_n}(0) = \underline{u}_t(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \underline{\underline{B}}_j(\underline{u}(0), 0)\underline{u}_{x_j}(0) + \underline{c}(\underline{u}(0), 0) = \underline{c}(0, 0)$$

Fix $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, differentiate (6) with respect to x^i :

$$\underline{u}_{tx_{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\partial_{x_{i}} \underline{\underline{B}}_{j}(\underline{u}, x') \underline{u}_{x_{j}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{z_{i}} \underline{\underline{B}}_{j}(\underline{u}, x') \frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial x^{j}} \underline{u}_{x_{j}} \right) + \underline{\underline{B}}_{j}(\underline{u}, x') \underline{u}_{x_{i}x_{j}} \right] \\
+ \partial_{x_{i}} \underline{c}(\underline{u}, x') + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{z_{l}} \underline{c}(\underline{u}, x') \frac{\partial u^{l}}{\partial x^{i}} \\
\underline{u}_{tx_{i}}(0) = \partial_{x_{i}} \underline{c}(0, 0)$$

Iterating this, we deduce $D^{\alpha}\underline{u}(0) = D^{\delta}\underline{c}(\underline{0},0)$ where $\alpha = (\delta,1)$.

4. Now, suppose $\alpha = (\delta, 2)$, for $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$. Then

$$\begin{split} D^{\alpha}u^{k} &= D^{\delta}(u_{x_{n}x_{n}}^{k}) = D^{\delta}(u_{t}^{k})_{t} \\ &= D^{\delta}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{m}b_{j}^{kl}u_{x_{j}}^{l} + c^{k}\Big)_{t} \\ &= D^{\delta}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{m}\left[b_{j}^{kl}u_{x_{j}t}^{l} + \sum_{n=1}^{m}(b_{j}^{kl})_{z_{p}}u_{x_{j}}^{l}u_{t}^{p}\right] + \sum_{n=1}^{m}c_{z_{p}}^{k}u_{t}^{p}\Big) \end{split}$$

so

$$D^{\alpha}u^{k}(0) = D^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{m}b_{j}^{kl}u_{x_{j}t}^{l} + \sum_{n=1}^{m}c_{z_{p}}^{k}u_{t}^{p}\right)\Big|_{x=0,\underline{u}=0}$$

Now crucially, the expression on the right can be expanded to produce a polynomial with non-negative coefficients involving derivative of $\underline{\underline{B}}_j$ and \underline{c} , and derivatives $D^{\beta}\underline{u}$ where $\beta_n \leq 1$. More generally, for each multi-index α and each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we can compute

$$D^{\alpha}u^k(0)=p_{\alpha}^k\Big(D_z^{\alpha}D_{x'}^{\delta}\underline{\underline{B}}_j,D_z^{\alpha}D_{x'}^{\delta}\underline{c},D^{\beta}\underline{u}\Big)\big|_{x=0,u=0}$$

where $\beta_n \leq \alpha_n - 1$ and p_{α}^k is some polynomial in its arguments with non-negative coefficients. Equivalently, for each α, k

$$u_{\alpha}^{k} = q_{\alpha}^{k} (\underline{B}_{i,\alpha,\delta}, \underline{c}_{\gamma,\delta}, u_{\beta})$$

where q_{α}^{k} is a polynomial with non-negative coefficients, with $\beta_{n} \leq \alpha_{n} - 1$.

5. We have shown that if a solution exists, we can compute all derivatives at 0 in terms of known quantities. We will construct a series which majorises the formal sum $\sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$.

First suppose

$$\underline{\underline{B}}_{i}^{*} \gg \underline{\underline{B}}_{i} \quad \underline{c}^{*} \gg \underline{c}$$

where

$$\underline{\underline{B}}_{j}^{*} = \sum_{\gamma,\delta} \underline{\underline{B}}_{j,\gamma,\delta}^{*} z^{\gamma} (x')^{\delta}$$

$$\underline{c}^{*} = \sum_{\gamma,\delta} \underline{c}_{\gamma,\delta}^{*} z^{\gamma} (x')^{\delta}$$

Assume these converge for $|z|^2 + |x'|^2 < s^2$ (decrease s if necessary). For all j, γ, δ, k, l ,

$$0 \leq |B^{kl}_{j,\gamma,\delta}| \leq (B^*)^{kl}_{j,\gamma,\delta}, \quad 0 \leq |c^k_{\gamma,\delta}| \leq (c^*)^{kl}_{\gamma,\delta}$$

We consider the modified problem:

$$\underline{u}_t^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \underline{\underline{B}}_j^*(\underline{u}^*, x') \underline{u}_{x_j}^* + \underline{c}^*(\underline{u}^*, x') \quad \text{for } |x| < r$$

$$\underline{u}^* = \underline{0} \quad \text{on } B_r^{n-1}$$

As above, seek a real analytic solution

$$\underline{u}^* = \sum_{\alpha} \underline{u}_{\alpha}^* x^{\alpha}$$
 where $\underline{u}_{\alpha}^* = \frac{D^{\alpha} \underline{u}(0)}{\alpha!}$

6. We claim $0 \le |u_{\alpha}^k| \le (u^*)_{\alpha}^k$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We do this by proof by induction on α_n . For $\alpha_n = 0$, $u_{\alpha}^* = u_{\alpha} = 0$ For the induction step: (for $\beta_{\alpha} \leq \alpha_n - 1$)

$$|u_{\alpha}^{k}| = |q_{\alpha}^{k}(\underline{B}_{j,\gamma,\delta},\underline{c}_{\gamma,\delta},\underline{u}_{\beta})|$$

$$\leq q_{\alpha}^{k}(|B_{j,\gamma,\delta}^{kl}|,|C_{\gamma,\delta}^{k}|,|u_{\beta}^{k}|)$$

$$\leq q_{\alpha}^{k}((B^{*})_{j,\gamma,\delta}^{kl},(c^{*})_{\gamma,\delta}^{k},(u^{*})_{\beta}^{k})$$

$$= (u*)_{\alpha}^{k}$$

Using positivity of coefficients of q and induction assumption. Thus $\underline{\underline{u}}^* \gg \underline{\underline{u}}$. Remains to show we can find $\underline{\underline{B}}_{j}^*$, $\underline{\underline{c}}^*$ s.t. a solution $\underline{\underline{u}}^*$ exists and converges near 0.

(15th October, Monday)

Last lecture :

- a formal power series solution $\underline{u} = \sum_{\alpha} \underline{u}_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ exists.
- If $\underline{\underline{B}}_{j}^{*} \gg \underline{\underline{B}}_{j}$, $\underline{c}^{*} \gg \underline{c}$ and \underline{u}^{*} satisfies

$$\underline{u}_t^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \underline{\underline{B}}_j^*(\underline{u}^*, x') \underline{u}_{x_j}^* + \underline{c}^*(\underline{u}^*, x') \quad \text{for } |x| < r$$

$$\underline{u}^* = \underline{0} \quad \text{on } B_r^{n-1}$$

then the power series for $\underline{u}^* = \sum_{\alpha} \underline{u}_{\alpha}^* x^{\alpha}$.

proof, continued) To complete the proof, it suffices to show that for any $\underline{\underline{B}}_j$, \underline{c} , we can find $\underline{\underline{B}}_j^*$, \underline{c}_j^* such that the corresponding \underline{u}_j^* is a convergent series.

We make a particular choice for $\underline{\underline{B}}_{i}^{*}$, \underline{c}^{*} . For this we recall from an earlier lemma that

$$\underline{\underline{B}}_{j}^{*} = \frac{Cr}{r - (x_{1} + \dots + x_{n-1}) - (z_{1} + \dots + z_{m})} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{c}^{*} = \frac{Cr}{r - (x_{1} + \dots + x_{n-1}) - (z_{1} + \dots + z_{m})} (1, \dots, 1)^{T}$$

will majorise $\underline{\underline{B}}_j$, \underline{c} , provided C is large enough, r is small enough and $\underline{\underline{B}}_j^*$, \underline{c}^* are given by convergent series for $|x'|^2 + |z|^2 < r^2$. With these choices of majorants, the modified equation takes the form :

$$(u^*)_t^k = \frac{Cr}{r - (x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}) - ((u^*)^1 + \dots + (u^*)^m)} \left(\sum_{j,l} (u^*)_{x_j}^l + 1 \right) \quad \text{for } |x'|^2 + t^2 < r^2$$

$$u^* = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{for } t = 0, |x'| < r$$

This problem has an explicit solution.

$$u^* = v^*(1, \cdots, 1)^T$$

where

$$v^* = \frac{1}{mn} \left(r - (x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}) - \sqrt{(r - (x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}))^2 - 2nmCrt} \right)$$

(Check this is indeed the solution!!) v^* is real analytic for $|x'|^2 + t^2 < r^2$, provided r is small enough. Hence \underline{u}^* is given by a convergent series since $\underline{u}^* \gg \underline{u}$. Our formal power series for \underline{u} converges.

Initial condition hold for \underline{u} since

$$\underline{u}_{\alpha} = \underline{0}$$
 if $\alpha_n = 0$

Moreover, the functions \underline{u}_t and $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \underline{\underline{B}}_j(\underline{u}, x')\underline{u}_{x_j} + \underline{c}(\underline{u}, x')$ are both real analytic near 0 and by construction, have the same Taylor expansion. Hence they must agree on a neighbourhood of 0, so the equation holds in some ball about 0.

(End of proof) \square

Reduction to a First Order System

Example)

Consider the PDE problem for $u: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$

$$u_{tt} = uu_{xy} - u_{xx} + u_t$$

$$u\big|_{t=0} = u_0$$

$$u_t\big|_{t=0} = u_1$$

$$(9)$$

where $u_0, u_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are given real analytic functions (near 0).

First note that $f = u_0 + tu_1$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $f\big|_{t=0} = u_0$, $f_t\big|_{t=0} = u_1$. Set w = u - f, then

$$w_{tt} = ww_{xy} - w_{xx} + w_t + fw_{xy} + f_{xy}w + F$$

$$w|_{t=0} = w_t|_{t=0} = 0$$

where $F = f f_{xy} - f_{xx} + f_t - f_{tt}$.

Let $(x, y, t) = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$ and set $\underline{u} = (w, w_x, w_y, w_t) = (u^1, u^2, u^3, u^4)$. Then

$$\begin{split} u_{x^3}^1 &= w_t = u^4 \\ u_{x^3}^2 &= w_{xt} = u_{x_1}^4 \\ u_{x^3}^3 &= w_{yt} = u_{x_2}^4 \\ u_{x^3}^4 &= w_{tt} = u^1 u_{x^2}^2 - u_{x^1}^2 + u^4 + f u_{x^2}^2 + f_{xy} u^1 + F \end{split}$$

Now, defining:

$$\underline{c} = (u^4, 0, 0, u^4 + f_{xy}u^1 + F)^T$$

The system of equations is in the form

$$\underline{u}_{x_2} = \sum_{j=1} 2\underline{\underline{B}}_j \underline{u}_{x_j} + \underline{c}$$

where $\underline{\underline{B}}_j$, \underline{c} are real analytic near 0. By Cauchy-Kovalevskaya, a real analytic solution to (9) exists near 0.

Note: this procedure relied on

- (a) being able to solve for u_{tt} ,
- (b) u_{tt} depending on at most two derivatives of u (in a quasilinear fashion)

More generally, suppose we wish to solve the quasilinear problem :

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_{\alpha}(D^{k-1}u, \dots, u, x)D^{\alpha}u + a_{0}(D^{k-1}u, \dots, u, x) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| < r$$

$$u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}} = \dots = \frac{\partial^{k-1}u}{\partial x_{n}^{k-1}} = 0 \quad \text{for } |x'| < r, x_{n} = 0$$

called a Cauchy problem.

We introduce

$$\underline{u} = (u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}, \cdots, D^{\alpha}u, \cdots)_{|\alpha| \le k-1} = (u^1, \cdots, u^m)$$

 \underline{u} contains all derivative of u up to order k-1. Wlog, (by changing the order if necessary) put $u^m = \partial^{k-1} u/\partial x_n^{k-1}$. For j < m, we can compute $\partial u^j/\partial x^n$ in terms of $\partial u^l/\partial x^p$ for some $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and p < n. To computed $\partial u^m/\partial x_n$ we need to use the equation. Suppose that

$$a_{(0,\dots,0,k)}(0,\dots,0) \neq 0$$

Then we can write the equation as:

$$\frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_n^k} = \frac{-1}{a_{(0,\dots,k)}(D^{k-1}u,\dots,u,x)} \left[\sum_{|\alpha|=k,\alpha_n < k} a_\alpha D^\alpha u + a_0 \right]$$

Assuming a_{α} are real analytic, the denominator will be non-zero near the origin. The RHS can be written in terms of $\frac{\partial u^l}{\partial x^p}$ for p < n and \underline{u} . We see we can write the equation as a first ordered system for \underline{u} , provided (this condition is important! would come back to this later)

$$a_{(0,\dots,k)}(0,\dots,0) \neq 0$$
 (non-characteristic condition)

In this case we can apply Cauchy-Kovalevskaya.