# Advanced Probability

(N. O. J. 1994) P. J. (N. O. J. 1994)

(5th October 2018, Friday)

# 0. Review

#### 0.1. Measure Spaces

Let E be a set.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a set of subsets of E. We say that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$  and for  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  and for any sequence  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have  $E \setminus A \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\cup_n A_n \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  is called a **measurable space** and we call the elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  measurable.

Let  $\mu$  be a function  $\mathcal{E} \to [0, \infty]$ . We say that  $\mu$  is a **measure** if  $\mu(\phi) = 0$  and for all sequences  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  of disjoint elements, we have  $\mu(\cup_n A_n) = \sum_n \mu(A_n)$ .(countable additivity). The triple  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  is called the **measure space**.

Given a topological space E there is a smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all the open sets. This is the **Borel**  $\sigma$ -algebra, denoted  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ .

E.g. For  $\mathbb{R}$ , has  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{B}$ , and  $[0, \infty]$  (the extended real positive line) has analogous Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

#### 0.2. Integration of Measurable Functions

Let  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(E', \mathcal{E}')$  be measurable spaces. A function  $f: E \to E'$  is **measurable** if

$$f^{-1}(A) = \{x \in E : f(x) \in A\} \in \mathcal{E} \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{E}'$$

If we refer to a **measurable function** f without specifying range, the default is  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ .

If we refer to f as a **non-negative measurable function**, then we mean  $E' = [0, \infty]$ ,  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{B}([0, \infty])$ . Write  $m\mathcal{E}^+$  for the set of non-negative measurable functions.

**Theorem 0.2.1.)** Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then there exists a unique map  $\tilde{mu} : m\mathcal{E}^+ \to [0, \infty]$  such that

- (a)  $\tilde{mu}(1_A) = \mu(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ .
- (b)  $\tilde{m}u(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \tilde{m}u(f) + \beta \tilde{\mu}(g)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \infty)$  and all  $f, g \in m\mathcal{E}^+$ .
- (c) (monotone convergence)  $\tilde{\mu}(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{\mu}(f_n)$  for a non-decreasing sequence of functions  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $m\mathcal{E}^+$  such that  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  as  $n \to \infty$  for all  $x \in E$ .

(End of statement)  $\square$ 

For now on, write  $\mu$  for  $\tilde{\mu}$ . Call  $\mu(f)$  the **integral of** f w.r.t.  $\mu$ . Also write  $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f(x)\mu(dx)$ .

A simple function is one which is a finite linear combination of indicator functions of measurable sets with positive coefficients. So f is simple if  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k 1_{A_k}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha_k \in (0, \infty)$  and  $A_k \in \mathcal{E}$  for  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Form (a) and (b) for f simple, we have

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \mu(A_k)$$

Also, if  $f, g \in m\mathcal{E}^+$  with  $f \leq g$  then f + h = g where  $h = g - f1_{f < \infty} \in m\mathcal{E}^+$ . Since  $\mu(h) \geq 0$ , (b) implies  $\mu(f) \leq \mu(g)$ .

Take  $f \in m\mathcal{E}^+$ . Define for  $x \in E$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_n(x) = 2^{-n} [2^n f(x)] \wedge n$$

Then  $(f_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a non-decreasing sequence of simple functions such that  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . By monotone convergence(property (c)), we have

$$\mu(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(f_n)$$

These procedure characterizes  $\mu(f)$  uniquely in terms of the measure  $\mu: \mathcal{E} \to [0, +\infty]$ , (a), (b) and (c), so we have shown the uniqueness in **Theorem 0.2.1.** 

When is  $\mu(f) = 0$ ? (for  $f \in m\mathcal{E}^+$ )

For measurable functions f, g we say f = g almost everywhere (or a.e.) if

$$\mu(\{x \in E : f(x) \neq g(x)\}) = 0$$

Can show, for  $f \in m\mathcal{E}^+$ , we have  $\mu(f) = 0$  if and only if f = 0 a.e.

Let f be a measurable function. We say that f is **integrable** if  $\mu(|f|) < \infty$ . Write  $L^1 = L^1(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  for the set of all integrable functions. We extend the integral to  $L^1$  by setting  $\mu(f) = \mu(f^+) - \mu(f^-)$  where  $f^{\pm}(x) = 0 \vee (\pm f(x))$  so that  $f = f^+ + f^-$ . Then can show  $L^1$  is a vector space  $\mu: L^1 \to \mathbb{R}$  is linear.

**Lemma 0.2.2.)** (Fatou's lemma) Let  $(f_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a sequence in  $m\mathcal{E}^+$ . Then

$$\mu(\liminf_{n\to\infty} f_n) \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mu(f_n)$$

(End of statement)  $\square$ 

The proof is done by applying monotone convergence to the sequence ( $\inf_{m>n} f_m : n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

The proof is done sy approma monocome convergence to the sequence (mm\_zn jm + w C 1).

8th October, Monday

**Theorem 0.2.3)** (Dominated Convergence) Let  $(f_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a sequence of measurable functions on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ . Suppose  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  as  $n \to f$ or some function f and for every  $x \in E$ . Suppose furthermore that  $|f_n| \leq g$  for all n, for some measurable function g. Then  $f_n$  is integrable for all n and so is f. Moreover, we have  $\mu(f_n) \to \mu(f)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

We call a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$  a **probability space**, with the following terms replaced:

measurable functions $(f) \leftrightarrow \text{random variable}(X)$ 

measurable sets  $\leftrightarrow$  events

almost everywhere  $\leftrightarrow$  almost surely

integral 
$$\leftrightarrow$$
 expectation  $(\mathbb{E}X = \int_{\Omega} X d\mathbb{P}$  in place of  $\mathbb{P}(X)$ )

#### 0.3. Production measure and Fubini't theorem

Take finite (or  $\sigma$ -finite) measure spaces  $(E_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(E_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mu_2)$ . Write  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$  for the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $E_1 \times E_2$  generated by sets of the form  $A_1 \times A_2$  for  $A_1 \in \mathcal{E}_1$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{E}_2$  and call this **product**  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Theorem 0.3.1.)** There exists a unique measure  $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$  on  $(E_1 \times E_2, \mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2)$  such that

$$\mu(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2) \quad \forall A_1 \in \mathcal{E}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{E}_2$$

**Theorem 0.3.2.)** (Fubini's theorem) Let f be a non-negative measurable function on  $(E_1 \times E_2, \mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2)$ .

- $x_1 \in E_1$ , set  $f_{x_1}(x_2) = f(x_1, x_2)$ , then  $f_{x_1}$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable for all  $x_1 \in E_1$ .
- Set  $f_1(x_1) = \mu_2(f_{x_1})$ . Then  $f_1$  is  $\mathcal{E}_1$ -measurable, and  $\mu_1(f_1) = \mu(f)$ .

(End of statement)  $\square$ 

Now define  $\hat{f}$  on  $E_2 \times E_1$  by  $\hat{f}(x_2, x_1) = f(x_1, x_2)$ . Then we can show that  $\hat{f}$  is  $\mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \mathcal{E}_1$ -measurable and  $\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1(\hat{f}) = (\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(f)$ . So by Fubini, we have  $\mu_2(f_2) = \hat{\mu}(\hat{f}) = \mu(f)\mu_1(f_1)$  where  $f_2$  is defined as for  $f_1$ .

i.e. 
$$\int_{E_2} \left( \int_{E_1} f(x_1, x_2) \mu_1(dx_1) \right) \mu_2(dx_2) = \int_{E_1} \left( \int_{E_2} f(x_1, x_2) \mu_2(dx_2) \right) \mu_1(dx_1).$$

Note, f being integrable is also sufficient to for Fubini's theorem.

# Chapter 1. Conditional Expectation

#### 1.1. Discrete Case

Suppose  $(G_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a sequence of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\bigcup_n G_n = \Omega$ . Let X be an integrable random variable.

- Set  $\mathfrak{G} = \sigma(G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) = \{ \bigcup_{n \in I} G_n : I \subset \mathbb{N} \}.$
- Define a new random variable  $Y = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}(X|G_n) 1_{G_n}$  where  $\mathbb{E}(X|G_n) = \mathbb{E}(X1_{G_n})/\mathbb{P}(G_n)$  (used convention 0/0=0). Then,
  - (a) Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable.
  - (b) Y is integrable and  $\mathbb{E}(Y1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X1)A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ .

We denote  $Y = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G})$  and call (a version of) the **conditional expectation** of X given  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

#### 1.2. Gaussian Case

Let (W, X) be a Gaussian random variable in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that has distribution  $N(\mu, \Sigma)$ . Take  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(W) = \{W^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$ .

Consider, for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , the random variable Y = aW + b.

We can choose a, b so that  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = a\mathbb{E}(X) + b = \mathbb{E}(X)$  and Cov(Y - X, W) = aVar(W) - Var(X, W) = 0. Then

- (a) Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable.
- (b) Y is integrable and  $\mathbb{E}(Y1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X1_A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ .

To see this, note Y-X and W are independent as Cov(Y-X,W)=0 so  $\mathbb{E}((Y-X)1_A)=\mathbb{E}(Y-X)\mathbb{P}(A)=0$  for all  $A\in \mathcal{G}$ .

## 1.3. Conditional density functions

Let (U, V) be a random variable in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with density function f(u, v). That is,  $\mathbb{P}((U, V) \in A) = \int_A f(u, v) du dv$ . Take  $\mathfrak{G} = \sigma(U) = \{U^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ . Take a Borel measurable function h on  $\mathbb{R}$  and set X = h(V). Assume  $X \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ . Note U has density function  $f(u) = \int f(u, v) dv$ . Define the **conditional density function** f(u|v) = f(u, v)/f(v) (again with convention 0/0=0). Now set Y = g(U) where  $g(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(v) f(v|u) dv$ . Then g is a Borel function on  $\mathbb{R}$  so

- (a) Y is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable random variable.
- (b) Y is integrable and for all  $A = U^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}(Y1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X1_A)$ .

To see this,

$$\mathbb{E}(Y1_A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(u)1_B(u)f(u)du$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int h(v)f(v|u)dv1_B(u)f(u)du$$
$$= \mathbb{E}(X1_A)$$

using Fubini's theorem to go from second to the third line.

\_\_\_\_\_

(10th October, Wednesday)

### 1.4. Existence and uniqueness of conditional expectation

 $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is always the probability space behind.

**Theorem 1.4.1.)** Let X be integrable random variable and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$ . There exist a random variable Y such that

- (a) Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable,
- (b) Y is integrable and for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(Y1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X1_A)$ .

Moreover, if Y' is another random variable satisfying (a) and (b) then Y' = Y a.s.

We will write  $Y = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G})$  a.s.(because  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G})$  is not a fully specified random variable) and we say Y is a (version of) the conditional expectation of X given  $\mathcal{G}$ . In case  $X = 1_A$  write  $Y = \mathbb{P}(A|\mathcal{G})$  a.s.

An analogous statement holds with 'integrable' replaced by 'non-negative' throughout the statement.

**proof)** (Uniqueness) Suppose Y satisfies (a) and (b), and Y' satisfies (a) and (b) for an integrable random variable X', with  $X \leq X'$ . Consider the non-negative random variable  $Z = (Y - Y')1_A$  where  $A = \{Y \geq Y'\} \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(Y1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X1_A) < \mathbb{E}(X'1_A) = \mathbb{E}(Y'1_A)$$

so  $\mathbb{E}(Z) \leq 0$  so Z = 0 a.s. and so  $Y \leq Y'$  a.s.

By symmetry, if X = X', we see that Y = Y' a.s.

(Existence) Consider for now the case  $X \in L^2(\mathfrak{F})$  (that is,  $\mathbb{E}(|X|^2) < \infty$ , which is stronger than being integrable). Since  $L^2(\mathfrak{F})$  is a Hilbert space, and in fact  $L^2(\mathfrak{F})$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\mathfrak{F})$ , there exists an orthogonal projection Y of X on  $L^2(\mathfrak{F})$ . That is,  $Y \in L^2(\mathfrak{F})$  and for all  $Z \in L^2(\mathfrak{F})$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}((Y-X)Z)=0$ . (This is because (X-Y) should be orthogonal to  $L^2(\mathfrak{F})$ , and the inner product is given by  $\langle X-Y,Z\rangle=\mathbb{E}((X-Y)Z)=0$ .) So for any  $A\in \mathfrak{F}$ , take  $Z=1_A$  to see  $\mathbb{E}(Y1_A)=\mathbb{E}(X1_A)$ . So Y satisfies (a) and (b).

Suppose now that  $X \geq 0$ . Set  $X_n = X \wedge n$  then  $X_n \in L^2(\mathfrak{F})$ . We have shown there exist  $Y_n \in L^2(\mathfrak{F})$  such that for all  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}(Y_n 1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X_n 1_A)$ ,  $0 \leq X_n \leq X_{n+1}$  and  $0 \leq Y_n \leq Y$  for all n. Set  $\Omega_0 = \{\omega \in \Omega : 0 \leq Y_n(\omega) \leq Y_{n+1}(\omega) \text{ for all } n\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$  (because it is a countable intersection of sets of measure 1). Define a non-negative  $\mathfrak{F}$ -measurable random variable

$$Y_{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} 1_{\Omega_0} Y_n$$

Then  $0 \le 1_{\Omega_0} Y_n \nearrow Y_\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  so by monotone convergence, we have for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{\infty}1_A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(Y_n1_A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X1_A)$$

In particular, taking  $A = \Omega$ , we see  $Y_{\infty}$  is integrable, so  $\mathbb{P}(Y_{\infty}) = 0$ . So if we set  $Y = Y_{\infty} 1_{Y_{\infty} < \infty}$ , then Y is a random variable and satisfies (a) and (b).

In the general case we can apply the proceeding to  $X^{\pm} = 0 \lor (\pm X)$  (so  $X = X^{+} - X^{-}$ ) to obtain  $Y^{\pm}$  and then check  $Y = Y^{+} - Y^{-}$  satisfies (a) and (b).

(End of proof)  $\square$ 

#### 1.5. Properties of conditional expectation

Conditional expectations plays a central role in many core materials of the course. e.g. martingales and Brownian motion. So it would be useful to investigate some properties of conditional expectation.

Fix an integrable random variable X and sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ . Theorem 1.4.1 has useful consequences:

(i) 
$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G})) = \mathbb{E}(X)$$

- (ii) If X is G-measurable then  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G}) = X$  a.s.
- (iii) If X is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$  then  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}(X)$  a.s.

: check for 
$$A \in \mathcal{G}$$
,  $\mathbb{E}(X1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X)1_A)$  so  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  satisfies condition (b).

- (iv) If  $X \ge 0$  a.s. then  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G}) \ge 0$  a.s. (follows from the proof of **Theorem 1.4.1**)
- (v) For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , all integrable random variables X, Y, has

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha X + \beta Y | \mathcal{G}) = \alpha \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) + \beta \mathbb{E}(Y | \mathcal{G})$$
 a.s.

: check that the expression on the RHS satisfies condition (b) to show that it is a version of conditional expectation of  $\alpha X + \beta Y$  given  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Now consider a sequence of random variables  $(X_n)_n$ .

- (vi) (conditional monotone convergence) If  $0 \le X_n \nearrow X$  pointwise then  $\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathfrak{G}) \to \mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G})$  a.s.
  - : To see this, we know  $\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathfrak{G})\nearrow Y$  a.s. for some non-negative  $\mathfrak{G}$ -measurable random variable Y. Take  $A\in\mathfrak{G}$  then by monotone convergence, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(Y1_A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{G})1_A)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n 1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X1_A)$$

so Y satisfies (b) for X.

(vii) (Conditional Fatou's lemma) For any non-negative random variables  $X_n$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(\liminf_{n\to\infty} X_n | \mathfrak{G}) \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathfrak{G})$$

: By conditional monotone convergence, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\liminf_{n \to \infty} X_n | \mathcal{G}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(\inf_{m \ge n} X_m | \mathcal{G}) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{m \ge n} \mathbb{E}(X_m | \mathcal{G})$$
$$= \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{G})$$

so we have the result.

(viii) (Conditional dominated convergence) If  $X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)$  for all  $\omega$  as  $n \to \infty$  and there exist Y integrable so  $|X_n| \le Y$  for all n, then

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathfrak{G}) \to \mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G})$$
 a.s.

: First, note that  $X_n$  and X are integrable, since they are bounded by Y. Consider the sequence of non-negative random variables  $Z_n = Y - X_n$ . By Fatou's lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}(\liminf_{n} Z_{n}|\mathfrak{G}) = \mathbb{E}(Y - X|\mathfrak{G}) \leq \liminf_{n} \mathbb{E}(Y - X_{n}|\mathfrak{G}) = \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathfrak{G}) - \limsup_{n} \mathbb{E}(X_{n}|\mathfrak{G})$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{n} \mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathfrak{G}) \le \mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G})$$

On the other hand, if we let  $W_n = Y + X_n$ , applying Fatou's lemma gives

$$\mathbb{E}(\liminf_{n} W_{n}|\mathfrak{G}) = \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathfrak{G}) + \mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G}) \leq \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathfrak{G}) + \liminf_{n} \mathbb{E}(X_{n}|\mathfrak{G})$$

and so

$$\liminf_{n} \mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathfrak{G}) \ge \mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G})$$

The two inequalities give the result.

(12th October, Friday)

- (ix) (Conditional Jensen) Let  $c: \mathbb{R} \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be convex. Then  $c(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G})) \leq \mathbb{E}(c(X)|\mathcal{G})$  a.s.
  - : To see this, since c is convex there exist real sequences  $(a_n),(b_n)$  such that  $c(x) = \sup_n (a_n x + b_n)$ . Then  $c(X) \ge a_n X + b_n$  for all n. So

$$\mathbb{E}(c(X)|\mathfrak{G}) \ge \mathbb{E}(a_nX + b|\mathfrak{G}) = a_n\mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G}) + b_n$$
 a.s.

so  $\mathbb{E}(c(X)|\mathcal{G}) \ge c(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G}))$  a.s.

- (x)  $\| \mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G}) \|_p \le \| X \|_p$  for all  $p \in [0, \infty)$  (where  $\| X \|_p = \mathbb{E}(|X|^p)$ )
  - : To see this, take  $c(x) = |x|^p$  in conditional Jensen's inequality.
- (xi) (Tower property) This is also important for martingales.

Suppose  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be sub- $\sigma$ -algebras. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G})|\mathcal{H})$$
 a.s.

- : Proof is an exercise.
- (xii) (Taking out what is known) This is related to the 'filtration' that is to be introduced in the theory of martingales.

Let Y be a bounded  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable random variable. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(YX|\mathfrak{G}) = Y\mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G})$$
 a.s.  $\cdots (\star)$ 

: To see this, consider first the case when  $Y = 1_B$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ . Take  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}(Y\mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G})1_A) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G})1_A) = \mathbb{E}(X1_{AB}) = \mathbb{E}(YX1_A)$$

so above identity  $(\star)$  holds. The general case follows by a monotone class argument. i.e.  $(\star)$  extends by linearity to case where Y is simple. Next consider  $X,Y \geq 0$  and set  $Y_n = (2^{-n}\lfloor 2^n \rfloor) \wedge n$ . Then  $Y_n$  is simple, so  $\mathbb{E}(Y_nX1_A) = \mathbb{E}(Y_n\mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G}))$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Let  $n \to \infty$  using monotone convergence to obtain  $(\star)$  for Y. Finally extend to X,Y general using  $X = X^+ - X^-$  and  $Y = Y^+ - X^-$ .(Or instead, use monotone class theorem, that abstracts this process)

(xiii) Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra and suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  is independent of  $\sigma(X,\mathcal{G})$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(X|\sigma(\mathfrak{G},\mathcal{H})) = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{H})$$
 a.s.

: To see this, put  $Z = \mathbb{E}(X|\sigma(\mathfrak{G},\mathcal{H}))$  and  $Y = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{H})$ . Take  $A \in \mathfrak{G}, B \in \mathcal{H}$ .

$$\mathbb{E}(Y1_{A\cap B}) = \mathbb{E}(X1_A1_B) = \mathbb{E}(X1_A)\mathbb{P}(B)$$
  
=\mathbb{E}(Y1\_A)\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{E}(Y1\_{A\cappa B})

Hence  $\mathbb{E}((Z-Y)1_{A\cap B})=0$  for all  $A\in\mathcal{G},\,B\in\mathcal{H}$ . Also, (Z-Y) is  $\sigma(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H})$ -measurable, and therefore Y=Z by standard argument, e.g. using the following lemma.

**Lemma)** Suppose X is integrable and  $\mathbb{E}(X1_A) = 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\pi$ -system generating  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then X = 0 a.s.

**proof**) Consider  $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{E}(X1_A) = 0\}$  then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a *d*-system, contains  $\mathcal{A}$  so  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{F}$  by Dynkin's lemma. Finally,  $\mathbb{E}(X1_{\{X>0\}}) = 0$  so X = 0 a.s.

We end the chapter with a lemma that is going to be useful.

**Lemma 1.5.1.)** Let  $X \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ . Set  $\mathscr{Y} = \{\mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G}) : \mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{F} \text{ a sub-}\sigma\text{-algebra }\}$ . Then  $\mathscr{Y}$  is  $\mathrm{UI}(uniformly\ integrable)$ . That is,

$$\sup_{Y \in \mathscr{Y}} \mathbb{E}(|Y|1_{|Y| \ge \lambda}) \to 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty$$

**proof)** Since X is integrable, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $\mathbb{E}(|X|1_A) \le \epsilon$  whenever  $\mathbb{P}(A) \le \delta$ . (Suppose not, then we should find  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $A_n \in F$  s.t.  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) \le 2^{-n}$  and  $\mathbb{E}(|X|1_{A_n}) > \epsilon$ . Set  $A = \bigcap_n \bigcup_{m \ge n} A_m$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  by Borel-Cantelli lemma. But  $\mathbb{E}(|X|1_{U_{m \ge n}A_m}) \ge \epsilon$ , while this converges to  $\mathbb{E}(|X|1_A) = 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , which is a contradiction.)

Choose  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  so that  $\mathbb{E}(|X|) \leq \lambda \delta$ . Take  $Y = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathfrak{G}) \in \mathscr{Y}$ . Since  $x \mapsto |x|$  is a convex function, by conditional Jensen's inequality,

$$Y \leq \mathbb{E}(|X||\mathfrak{G})$$
 so  $\mathbb{E}(|Y|) \leq \mathbb{E}(|X|)$ 

(In fact, by decomposition  $X = X^+ + X^-$ , we see that  $|Y| = \mathbb{E}(X^+|\mathfrak{G}) + \mathbb{E}(X^-|\mathfrak{G}) = \mathbb{E}(|X||\mathfrak{G})$  a.s.... but does not need this at this point)

By Chebyshev's inequality,  $\mathbb{P}(|Y| \ge \lambda) \le \lambda^{-1} \mathbb{E}(|Y|) \le \delta$  hence

$$\mathbb{E}(|Y|1_{|Y| \ge \lambda}) \le \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(|X||\mathcal{G})1_{|Y| \ge \lambda}) \text{ as } 1_{Y \ge \lambda} \in \mathcal{G}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}(|X|1_{|Y| \ge \lambda}) \le \epsilon$$

So  $\sup_{x \in \mathscr{Y}} \mathbb{E}(|Y|1_{|Y| \ge \lambda}) \le \epsilon$ . This is true for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , therefore we have the uniform integrability.

(End of proof)  $\square$