

# Bios372 Proposal for Final Exam Project

## Task-induced fMRI Data Analysis

Minchun Zhou

November 6, 2014

I agree to share this project report for Bios372 class only.

### 1 Description of the project

There are two type of data in fMRI analysis, task induced and resting state. In this project, I will focus on task induced type of fMRI data analysis. In task induced fMRI analysis, we are interested in testing the hypothesis that if a stimulus activates different region of interests(ROIs). Each ROI contains different number of voxels. During the fMRI scan, the brain was scanned every two seconds. A signal value was recorded for each voxel at each scan.

### 2 Description of the data

We have one subject. The subject was scanned six times. There are three ROIs( $C=3$ ). Each ROI contains 20 voxels( $V=20$ ). Each voxel has 128 data points( $T=128$ ). There are three stimulus during each scan( $P=3$ ). Stimulus can happen multiple times between  $t=0$  and  $t=128$ .

### 3 Frequentist approach Model

$$Y_{cv}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^P [\beta_c^p + b_{cv}^p] X_p(t) + d_c(t) + \epsilon_{cv}(t)$$

where  $c = 1, \dots, C$ ,  $v = 1, \dots, V$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, T$

$\beta_c^p$  is the ROI-specific activation level fixed effect due to stimulus  $p$ ;

$b_{cv}^p$  is a zero-mean voxel-specific random deviation that accounts for the local spatial covariance between voxels within an ROI.

$d_c(t)$  is a zero-mean ROI-specific signal to model connectivity across ROIs

$\epsilon_{cv}(t)$  is the noise that takes into account temporal correlation within a voxel

$X_p(t)$  is the convolution between the  $p$  impulse function and the haemodynamic response function(HRF)

Table1: Frequentist approach result

	Point estimate	SE	p-value
ROI1	0.98	0.61	0.05
ROI2	0.63	0.40	0.06
ROI3	-0.35	0.26	0.91

## 4 Bayesian candidate Model

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{cv}(t+1)|Y_{cv}(t), b_0, b_1 &\sim N(b_0 + b_1 Y_{cv}(t), \sigma_0^2) \\
Y_{c.}(t)|\beta_{c.}^p, X_p(t), \Sigma_{c.} &\sim N_c(\sum_{i=1}^P \beta_{c.}^p X_p(t) + \alpha_{c.}, \Sigma_{c.}) \\
\beta_{1v}^p|\mu_1, \Sigma_v &\sim N_v(\mu_1, \Sigma_v) \\
\beta_{2v}^p|\mu_2, \Sigma_v &\sim N_v(\mu_2, \Sigma_v) \\
\beta_{3v}^p|\mu_3, \Sigma_v &\sim N_v(\mu_3, \Sigma_v) \\
\alpha, b_0, b_1, \sigma_0^2, \sigma_1^2, \Sigma_v, \Sigma_{c.} &\sim \text{non-informative-prior}
\end{aligned}$$

$\mu_c$  using frequentist result  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = (0.98, 0.63, 0.35)$  as prior.