

### 0.0.1 recap

Defined  $\mathbb{N}$

$$0 = \emptyset$$

$$1 = \{\emptyset\}$$

$$2 = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$$

### 0.0.2 Today

Arithmetic on  $\mathbb{N}$

How can we make sense of "1+2 = 3?"

Strategy: Define the function "addition of m" for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

**Theorem 0.1** For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a function  $S_m \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that

- $S_m(0) = m$
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, S_m(n^+) = [S_m(n)]^+$

**Proof:** Let's construct a relation  $S'_m = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  with the "right" properties, then we'll show  $S'_m$  is a function.

$$S_m = \{R \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid (0, m) \in R, \text{ and if } (n, x) \in R \text{ then } (n^+, x^+) \in R\}$$

$$S_m \ni \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \text{ so } S \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{Define } s'_m = \cap_{R \in S} R$$

Notice :

1.  $S + m' \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$
2.  $(0, m) \in s'_m$
3. If  $(n, x) \in s'_m$ , then  $(n^+, x^+) \in s'_m$

Strategy: Show that  $S'_m$  is a function.

Show

1.  $\text{Domain}(s'_m) = \mathbb{N}$
2. If  $(n, x)$  and  $(n, y)$  are both in  $s'_m$  then  $x = y$

**Proof:** of 1

Let  $p(n)$  be true if there is some  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(n, x) \in s'_m$

$p(0)$  is true because  $(0, m) \in s'_m$

Suppose  $p(n)$  is true, so there is some  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(n, x) \in s_m \implies (n^+, x^+)$ , so  $p_n$  is true.

So domain  $s'_m = \mathbb{N}$

**Proof:** of 2 Let  $p(n)$  be true if for  $\forall r \in n$ , there is a unique  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(r, x) \in s'_m$ .

$p(0)$  is vacuously true.

Suppose  $p(n)$  is true.

We want to show  $p(n^+)$ , i.e.  $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}, r \in n^+, \exists! x \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(r, x) \in s'_m$

If  $r \in n^+$ , then either  $r \in n$  or  $r = n$ . Consider any  $r \in n^+$ .

If  $R \in n$ , then we know  $\exists! x \in \mathbb{N}$ , s.t.  $(r, x) \in s'_m$

Now lets prove the statement for  $r = n$ .

Suppose that  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(n, a)$  and  $(n, b)$  are both in  $s'_m$ .

Claim: If  $a \neq b$ , not both of these can have "predecessors".

Suppose  $a \neq b$

Suppose that there are  $p_1, x_1, p_2, x_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(p_1, x_1) \in s'_m, (p_2, x_2) \in s'_m$  and  $(p_1, x_1) = (n, a), (p_2, x_2) = (n, b)$

$$\implies p_1^+ = p_2^+, \implies p_1 = p_2 = p$$

$(p, x_1) \in s'_m, (p, x_2) \in s'_m$  and  $p^+ = n$  so  $p \in n$ .

Induction hypothesis  $x_1 = x_2 \implies a = b$ . Contradiction.

Suppose  $a \neq b$ . Suppose WLOG that  $(n, a)$  doesn't have a predecessor. Define  $s''_m := s'_m(n, a)$ .

$s''_m$  satisfies the properties of being in  $\mathcal{S}_m$  - Exercise.

Problem, because  $s'_m$  was the intersection of all  $R \in \mathcal{S}_m$ .

$$\implies s'_m \subseteq s''_m. \text{ Contradiction.}$$

Write  $s'_m = s_m$  Addition by m function.

Properties

1.  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, s_1(n) = n^+$
2.  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, s_0(n) = n$
3.  $\forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $S_{s_m(n)}(k) = s_m(s_n(k))$  (Assoc)
4.  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $s_m(n) = s_n(m)$ .

- Let  $p(n)$  be true if  $s_1(n) = n^+, p(0)$ . Suppose  $p(n)$  is true.  $s_1(n^+) = [s_1(n)]^+ = (n^+)^+ p(n^+)$  is true.