

default.glsplain



Technische
Universität
Braunschweig

Technische Universität Braunschweig
Institut Computational Mathematics

Posets of twisted involutions in Coxeter groups

Christian Hoffmeister

July 23, 2012

Contents

1	Coxeter groups	5
1.1	Introduction to Coxeter groups	5
2	The twisted weak ordering in Coxeter groups	7
3	Residuums of rank 2	8
4	Twisted weak ordering	10
5	Miscellaneous	13
A	Source codes	15
B	Bibliography	20

1 Coxeter groups

1.1 Introduction to Coxeter groups

A Coxeter group, named after Harold Scott MacDonald Coxeter, is an abstract group generated by involutions with specific relations between these generators. A simple class of a Coxeter groups are the symmetry groups of regular polyhedras in the Euclidean space.

The symmetry group of the square for example can be generated by two reflections s, t , whose stabilized hyperplanes enclose an angle of $\pi/4$. In this case the map st is a rotation in the plane by $\pi/2$. So we have $s^2 = t^2 = (st)^4 = \text{id}$. In fact this reflection group is determined up to isomorphy by s, t and these three relations [Humphreys, 1992, Theorem 1.9]. Furthermore it turns out, that the finite reflection groups in the Euclidean space are precisely the finite Coxeter groups [Humphreys, 1992, Theorem 6.4].

In this chapter we will compile some basic facts on Coxeter groups. First of all the definition:

Definition 1.1 (Coxeter system). Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be a finite set of symbols and

$$R = \{m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$$

a set numbers (or ∞) with $m_{ii} = 1$ and $m_{ij} = m_{ji}$. Then the free represented group

$$W = \langle S \mid (s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} \rangle$$

is called a **Coxeter group** and (W, S) the corresponding **Coxeter system**.

For a arbitrary element $w \in W$, (W, S) a Coxeter system, we call a product $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n} = w$ of generators $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_n} \in S$ an **expression** of w . The present relations between the generators of a Coxeter group allow us to rewrite expressions. Hence an element $w \in W$ can have more than one expression. Obviously any element $w \in W$ has infinitely many expressions, since any expression $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n} = w$ can be extended by applying $s_i^2 = 1$ from the right. But there must be a smallest number of generators needed to receive w . For example the neutral element e can be expressed by the empty expression. Or each generator $s_i \in S$ can be expressed by itself, but any expression with less factors (i.e. the empty expression) is unequal to s_i .

Definition 1.2 (Length function). Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $w \in W$ an element. Then there are some (not necessarily distinct) generators $s_i \in S$ with $s_1 \cdots s_r = w$. We call r the **expression length**. The smallest number $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for that w has an expression of length r is called the **length** of w and each expression of w , that is of minimal length, is called **reduced expression**. The map

$$l : W \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$$

that maps each element in W to its length is called **length function**.

The next theorem yields a way to obtain a reduced expression of an arbitrary element $s_1 \cdots s_r = w \in W$. But first we define what a reflection is. Any element $w \in W$ that is conjugated to an generator $s \in S$ is called **reflection**. Hence the set of all reflections in W is

$$T = \bigcup_{w \in W} wSw^{-1}.$$

Theorem 1.3 (Strong Exchange Condition). *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $w \in W$ an arbitrary element and $s_1 \cdots s_r = w$ with $s_i \in S$ a not necessarily reduced expression for w . For each reflection $t \in T$ with $l(wt) < l(w)$ there exists an index i for which $wt = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots s_r$, where \hat{s}_i means omission. In case we started from an reduced expression, then i is unique.*

Proof. sad

□

2 The twisted weak ordering in Coxeter groups

In this section we will introduce the twisted weak ordering $Wk(\theta)$ on Coxeter groups.

3 Residuums of rank 2

Definition 3.1 (One- and twosided operation). Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $w \in W, s \in S$. If $w\underline{s} = \theta(s)ws$, then we say s to operate twosided on w . Else we say s to operate onesided on w .

Definition 3.2 (Ein- und beidseitige endende Gesamtwirkung). Seien (W, S) ein Coxeter-system, $w \in W$ und $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$. Falls $w\underline{s_1 \cdots s_n} = \theta(s_n)(ws_1 \cdots s_{n-1})s_n$ ist, so sagen wir, dass $s_1 \cdots s_n$ eine beidseitig endende Gesamtwirkung auf w hat. Andernfalls sagen wir $s_1 \cdots s_n$ hat eine einseitig endende Gesamtwirkung auf w .

Definition 3.3. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $s, t \in S$ two distinct generators. We define:

$$[st]^n := \begin{cases} (st)^{\frac{n}{2}}, & n \text{ even} \\ (st)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}s, & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Assumption 3.4. Seien (W, S) ein Coxetersystem und $s, t \in S$ zwei verschiedene Erzeuger von W . Dann gilt:

1. Sei $m = \text{ord}(st) < \infty$. Falls $w\underline{[st]^n} \neq w$ ist für alle $n \in \mathbb{N}, n < 2m$, dann gilt $w\underline{(st)^{2m}} = w$.
2. In $wC_{\{s,t\}}$ existieren keine drei Elemente derselben getwisteten Länge.
3. Falls s einseitig auf w wirkt, dann gilt $w\underline{st} < w\underline{s}$ oder $w\underline{t} > w$.
4. Sei $w\underline{[st]^n} = w$ für ein $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Dann ist n gerade und es gilt eine der beiden folgenden Eigenschaften:
 - a) Für jedes $m \in \mathbb{N}$ hat das Element $[st]^m$ genau dann eine beidseitig endende Gesamtwirkung auf w , wenn $[st]^{n/2+m}$ eine beidseitig endende Gesamtwirkung auf w hat.
 - b) Für jedes $m \in \mathbb{N}$ hat das Element $[st]^m$ genau dann eine beidseitig endende Gesamtwirkung auf w , wenn $[st]^{n-m+1}$ eine beidseitig endende Gesamtwirkung auf w hat.

Lemma 3.5. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $w \in W$ and $s, t \in S$ two distinct generators. Then $wC_{\{s,t\}}$ does not contain three elements of same twisted length.

Proof. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $w \in W$ with $\text{rank } w = k$, $s, t \in S$ with $s \neq t$. Without loss of generality we can choose w such that $w < w\underline{s}$ and $w < w\underline{t}$. Assume the existence of an element $u \in wC_{\{s,t\}}$ with $u\underline{s} < u$ and $u\underline{t} < u$. Then [Hultman, 2007, Lemma 3.8] yields $s, t \in D_R(u)$. By using [Hultman, 2007, Lemma 3.9] we conclude that $w\underline{s} \leq u$ and $w\underline{t} \leq u$. Hence there cannot exist more than two Elements of same twisted length.

If no such u exists, then $wC_{\{s,t\}} = w \cup \{w\underline{[st]^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{w\underline{[ts]^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and the assumption still holds. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $w \in S$ and $s, t \in S$ two distinct generators. If s operates onesided on w and $w\underline{s} < w$, then either $w\underline{st} < w\underline{s}$ or $w\underline{t} > w$.*

Proof. We have $\theta(s)ws = w$ and $s \in D_R(w)$. If $t \notin D_R(w)$, then we are done. So suppose $t \in D_R(w)$. This means $w\underline{s} \leq w$ and $w\underline{t} \leq w$ and [Hultman, 2007, Lemma 3.9] yields $w\underline{st} < w$ and $w\underline{ts} < w$. If $t \in D_R(w\underline{s})$, then we are done. So suppose $t \notin D_R(w\underline{s})$. Then $t \in D_R(w\underline{st})$. Together with $w\underline{st} \leq w$ [Hultman, 2007, Lemma 3.9(2)] says $(w\underline{st})\underline{t} \leq w\underline{t}$. Finally we get

$$ws = w\underline{s} = (w\underline{st})\underline{t} \leq w\underline{t} = wt.$$

Since $w\underline{s}$ and $w\underline{t}$ are of same twisted length they have to be equal and therefore $s = t$ which contradicts to our assumption of two distinct generators s and t . \square

4 Twisted weak ordering

Wir wollen nun einen Algorithmus zur Berechnung der getwisteten schwachen Ordnung $Wk(\theta)$ einer beliebigen Coxetergruppe W erarbeiten. Also Ausgangspunkt werden wir den Algorithmus aus [Haas and Helmnick, 2012, Algorithm 3.1.1] verwenden, der im wesentlichen benutzt, dass für jede getwistete Involution $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ entweder $w_{\underline{s}} < w$ oder aber $w_{\underline{s}} > w$ gilt.

Algorithm 4.1 (Algorithmus 1).

```

1: procedure TWISTEDWEAKORDERINGALGORITHM1( $W$ )      ▷  $W$  sei die Coxetergruppe
2:    $V \leftarrow \{(e, 0)\}$ 
3:    $E \leftarrow \{\}$ 
4:   for  $k \leftarrow 0$  to  $k_{\max}$  do
5:     for all  $(w, k_w) \in V$  with  $k_w = k$  do
6:       for all  $s \in S$  with  $\nexists(\cdot, w, s) \in E$  do      ▷ Nur die  $s$ , die nicht schon nach  $w$ 
        führen
7:          $y \leftarrow ws$ 
8:          $z \leftarrow \theta(s)y$ 
9:         if  $z = w$  then
10:            $x \leftarrow y$                                 ▷  $s$  operiert ungetwistet auf  $w$ 
11:            $t \leftarrow s$ 
12:         else
13:            $x \leftarrow z$                                 ▷  $s$  operiert getwistet auf  $w$ 
14:            $t \leftarrow \underline{s}$ 
15:         end if
16:          $isNew \leftarrow \mathbf{true}$ 
17:         for all  $(w', k_{w'}) \in V$  with  $k_{w'} = k + 1$  do  ▷ Prüfen, ob  $x$  nicht schon in
         $V$  liegt
18:           if  $x = w'$  then
19:              $isNew \leftarrow \mathbf{false}$ 
20:           end if
21:         end for
22:         if  $isNew = \mathbf{true}$  then
23:            $V \leftarrow V \cup \{(x, k + 1)\}$ 
24:            $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(w, x, t)\}$ 
25:         else
26:            $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(w, x, t)\}$ 
27:         end if
28:       end for
29:     end for
30:      $k \leftarrow k + 1$ 
31:   end for

```

			Timings		Element compares	
W	$ Wk(id, W) $	$\rho(w_0)$	TWOA1	TWOA2	TWOA1	TWOA2
A_9	9496	25	00:02.180	00:01.372	13,531,414	42,156
A_{10}	35696	30	00:31.442	00:06.276	185,791,174	173,356
A_{11}	140152	36	11:04.241	00:29.830	2,778,111,763	737,313
E_6	892	20	00:03.044	00:00.268	85,857	2,347
E_7	10208	35	06:11.728	00:02.840	7,785,186	29,687
E_8	199952	64	–	11:03.278	–	682,227

Table 4.1: Benchmark

32: **return** (V, E)

▷ The poset graph

33: **end procedure**

Dieser Algorithmus berechnet alle getwisteten Involutionen und deren getwistete Länge (w, k_w) und deren Relationen (w', w, s) bzw. (w', w, \underline{s}) . Zu bemerken ist, dass zur Berechnung der getwisteten Involutionen der Länge k nur die Knoten aus V benötigt werden, mit der getwisteten Länge $k - 1$ und k sowie die Kanten aus E , die Knoten der Länge $k - 2$ und $k - 1$ bzw. $k - 1$ und k verbinden. Alle vorherigen Ergebnisse können schon persistiert werden, so dass nie das komplette Ergebnis im Speicher gehalten werden muss.

Eine Operation, die hier als elementar angenommen wurde ist der Vergleich von Elementen in W . Für bestimmte Gruppen wie z.B. die A_n , welche je isomorph zu $Sym(n + 1)$ sind, lässt sich der Vergleich von Element effizient implementieren. Will man jedoch mit Coxetergruppen im Allgemeinen arbeiten, so liegt W als frei präsentierte Gruppe vor und der Vergleich von Element ist eine sehr aufwendige Operation. Bei Algorithm 4.1 muss jedes potentiell neue Element x mit allen schon bekannten w' von gleicher getwisteter Länge verglichen werden um zu bestimmen, ob x wirklich ein noch nicht bekanntes Element aus \mathcal{I}_θ ist.

Algorithm 4.2 (Algorithmus 2).

1: **procedure** TWISTEDWEAKORDERINGALGORITHM2(W)

▷ W sei die Coxetergruppe

2: $V \leftarrow \{(e, 0)\}$

3: $E \leftarrow \{\}$

4: **for** $k \leftarrow 0$ **to** k_{\max} **do**

5: **TODO**

6: **end for**

7: **return** (V, E)

▷ The poset graph

8: **end procedure**

Im Anhang findet sich eine Implementierung der Algorithms 4.1 and 4.2 in GAP 4.5.4. Table ?? zeigt ein Benchmark anhand von fünf ausgewählten Coxetergruppen.

Dabei sind die A_n als symmetrische Gruppen implementiert und die E_n als frei präsentierte Gruppen. Ausgeführt wurden die Messungen auf einem Intel Core i5-3570k mit

vier Kernen zu je 3,40 GHz. Der Algorithmus ist dabei aber nur single threaded und kann so nur auf einem Kern laufen. Um die Messergebnisse nicht durch Limitierungen des Datenspeichers zu beeinflussen, wurden die Daten in diesem Benchmark nicht stückweise persistiert sondern ausschließlich berechnet.

Wie zu erwarten ist der Geschwindigkeitsgewinn bei den Coxetergruppen vom Typ E_n deutlich größer, da in diesem Fall die Elementvergleiche deutlich aufwendiger sind als bei Gruppen vom Typ A_n .

5 Miscellaneous

Definition 5.1 (Geodesic). Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $w, u \in W$ with $\rho(u) - \rho(w) = n$. Each sequence $w = w_0 < w_1 < \dots < w_n = u$ is called a geodesic from w to u .

Question 5.2. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $\theta : W \rightarrow W$ an automorphism of W with $\theta^2 = \text{id}$ and $\theta(S) = S$, and $K \subset S$ a subset of S generating a finite subgroup of W with $\theta(K) = K$. Furthermore let $T, S_1, S_2, S_3 \subset S$ be four pairwise disjoint sets of generators. For which Coxeter groups W does the implication

$$w \in w_K C_{T \cup S_i}, i = 1, 2, 3 \Rightarrow w \in w_K C_T \quad (5.2.1)$$

hold for any possible $K, \theta, T, S_1, S_2, S_3$ and w ?

Proposition 5.3. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and K, T, S_1, S_2, S_3 be like in Question 5.2. Suppose we have $w \in W$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in T \cup S_1, b_1, \dots, b_n \in T \cup S_2, c_1, \dots, c_n \in T \cup S_3$ with

$$\begin{aligned} w &= w_K \underline{a_1 \cdots a_n} \\ &= w_K \underline{b_1 \cdots b_n} \\ &= w_K \underline{c_1 \cdots c_n} \end{aligned}$$

and (5.2.1) does not hold for these three expressions, i.e. $w \notin w_K C_T$. Then there exist $t_1, \dots, t_m \in T$ and $a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-m} \in T \cup S_1, b'_1, \dots, b'_{n-m} \in T \cup S_2, c'_1, \dots, c'_{n-m} \in T \cup S_3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w \underline{t_1 \cdots t_m} &= w_K \underline{a'_1 \cdots a'_{n-m}} \\ &= w_K \underline{b'_1 \cdots b'_{n-m}} \\ &= w_K \underline{c'_1 \cdots c'_{n-m}} \end{aligned}$$

with $a'_{n-m}, b'_{n-m}, c'_{n-m} \notin T$.

Proof. Suppose at least one element of a_n, b_n, c_n to be in T , for example $a_n \in T$. Then we can apply a_n to all three expressions. Since $\rho(wa_n) < \rho(w)$ the exchange condition for \mathcal{I}_θ [Hultman, 2007, Proposition 3.10] yields

$$\begin{aligned} wa_n &= w_K \underline{a_1 \cdots a_n a_n} = w_K \underline{a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}} \\ &= w_K \underline{b_1 \cdots b_n a_n} = w_K \underline{b_1 \cdots \hat{b}_i \cdots b_n} \\ &= w_K \underline{c_1 \cdots c_n a_n} = w_K \underline{c_1 \cdots \hat{c}_j \cdots c_n} \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{}$ means omission. The omission cannot occur within w_K since all three expressions are still of same twisted length and in the first expression we can see, that $w_K \leq wa_n$ still holds. This step can be repeated until $w = w_K$ or $a_n, b_n, c_n \notin T$. \square

Lemma 5.4. A counterexample to Question 5.2 can only exist, if there is an element $u \in w_C T$ and three distinct generators $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in D_r(u)$ such that $us_i \notin w_C T$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. According to Proposition 5.3. □

Lemma 5.5. *A counterexample to Question 5.2 can only exist, if there are three not necessarily distinct elements $a, b, c \in w_K C_{S \setminus T}$, three distinct generators $s_1 \in A_r(a)$, $s_2 \in A_r(b)$, $s_3 \in A_r(c)$ and an element $u \notin w_K C_{S \setminus T}$ such that*

$$as_1 = bs_2 = cs_3 = u.$$

Proof. If there is a counterexample, then the two residuums $w_K C_{S \setminus T}$ and $w C_T$ are disjunct. Since we are only interested in w with $w_K \leq w$ it follows, that any geodesic from w_K to w is contained in the union set of both residuums. Hence having one element in $u \in w C_T$ with three distinct generators s_1, s_2, s_3 with $us_i \notin w C_T$ is equivalent to having three elements $a, b, c \notin w C_T$ and the same three generator s_1, s_2, s_3 with $as_1 = bs_2 = cs_3 = u \in w C_T$. □

Lemma 5.6. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $w \in W$ and $s \in S$. Then $s \in D_R(w)$ iff $ws < w$.*

Proof. TODO □

A Source codes

```

1  LoadPackage("io");
2
3  Read("misc.gap");
4  Read("coxeter.gap");
5  Read("twistedinvolutionweakordering-persist.gap");
6
7  TwistedInvolutionDeduceNodeAndEdgeFromGraph := function(matrix, startNode, startLabel,
8    labels)
9
10     rank := -1/2 + Sqrt(1/4 + 2*Length(matrix)) + 1;
11     possibleEqualNodes := [];
12
13     for comb in List(Filtered(labels, label -> label <> startLabel), label -> rec(
14       startNode := startNode, s := [startLabel, label], m := CoxeterMatrixEntry(
15         matrix, rank, startLabel, label))) do
16       trace := [];
17       k := 1;
18       n := comb.startNode;
19
20       Add(trace, rec(node := n, edge := rec(label := comb.s[1], type := -1)));
21
22       while k < comb.m do
23         e := FindElement(n.inEdges, e -> e.label = comb.s[k mod 2 + 1]);
24         if e = fail then break; fi;
25         n := e.source;
26
27         Add(trace, rec(node := n, edge := e));
28         k := k + 1;
29       od;
30
31       while k > 0 do
32         e := FindElement(n.outEdges, e -> e.label = comb.s[k mod 2 + 1]);
33         if e = fail then break; fi;
34         n := e.target;
35
36         Add(trace, rec(node := n, edge := e));
37         k := k - 1;
38       od;
39
40       if k <> 0 then continue; fi;
41
42       if Length(trace) = 2*comb.m then
43         return rec(result := 0, node := trace[Length(trace)].node, type := trace[
44           comb.m + 1].edge.type, trace := trace);
45       fi;
46
47       if Length(trace) >= 4 then
48         if trace[Length(trace) / 2 + 1].edge.type <> trace[Length(trace) / 2].edge.
49           type then
50           # cannot be equal
51         else
52           if trace[Length(trace)].edge.type = 0 then
53             return rec(result := 0, node := trace[Length(trace)].node, type :=
54               0, trace := trace);
55           else
56             Add(possibleEqualNodes, trace[Length(trace)].node);
57           fi;
58         fi;
59       fi;
60     fi;
61   end;

```

```

53         fi;
54     else
55         Add(possibleEqualNodes, trace[Length(trace)].node);
56     fi;
57 od;
58
59 return rec(result := -1, possibleEqualNodes := possibleEqualNodes);
60 end;
61
62 # Calculates the poset Wk(theta).
63 TwistedInvolutionWeakOrdering := function (filename, W, matrix, theta)
64     local persistInfo, maxOrder, nodes, edges, absNodeIndex, absEdgeIndex, prevNode,
65         currNode, newEdge,
66         label, type, deduction, startTime, endTime, S, k, i, s, x, y, n;
67
68     persistInfo := TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInit(filename);
69
70     S := GeneratorsOfGroup(W);
71     maxOrder := Minimum([Maximum(Concatenation(matrix, [1])), 5]);
72     nodes := [ [], [ rec(element := One(W), twistedLength := 0, inEdges := [], outEdges
73         := [], absIndex := 1) ] ];
74     edges := [ [], [] ];
75     absNodeIndex := 2;
76     absEdgeIndex := 1;
77     k := 0;
78
79     while Length(nodes[2]) > 0 do
80         if not IsFinite(W) then
81             if k > 200 or absNodeIndex > 10000 then
82                 break;
83             fi;
84         fi;
85
86         for i in [1..Length(nodes[2])] do
87             Print(k, " ", i, " \r");
88
89             prevNode := nodes[2][i];
90             for label in Filtered([1..Length(S)], n -> Position(List(prevNode.inEdges,
91                 e -> e.label), n) = fail) do
92                 deduction := TwistedInvolutionDeduceNodeAndEdgeFromGraph(matrix,
93                     prevNode, label, [1..Length(S)]);
94
95                 if deduction.result = 0 then
96                     type := deduction.type;
97                     currNode := deduction.node;
98                 elif deduction.result = 1 then
99                     type := deduction.type;
100
101                     currNode := rec(element := y, twistedLength := k + 1, inEdges :=
102                         [], outEdges := [], absIndex := absNodeIndex);
103                     Add(nodes[1], currNode);
104
105                     absNodeIndex := absNodeIndex + 1;
106                 else
107                     x := prevNode.element;
108                     s := S[label];
109
110                     type := 1;
111                     y := s^theta*x*s;
112                     if (CoxeterElementsCompare(x, y)) then
113                         y := x * s;

```



```

109         type := 0;
110     fi;
111
112     currNode := FindElement(deduction.possibleEqualNodes, n ->
        CoxeterElementsCompare(n.element, y));
113
114     if currNode = fail then
115         currNode := rec(element := y, twistedLength := k + 1, inEdges
            := [], outEdges := [], absIndex := absNodeIndex);
116         Add(nodes[1], currNode);
117
118         absNodeIndex := absNodeIndex + 1;
119     fi;
120 fi;
121
122 newEdge := rec(source := prevNode, target := currNode, label := label,
    type := type, absIndex := absEdgeIndex);
123
124 Add(edges[1], newEdge);
125 Add(currNode.inEdges, newEdge);
126 Add(prevNode.outEdges, newEdge);
127
128 absEdgeIndex := absEdgeIndex + 1;
129 od;
130 od;
131
132 TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResults(persistInfo, nodes[2], edges[2]);
133
134 Add(nodes, [], 1);
135 Add(edges, [], 1);
136 if (Length(nodes) > maxOrder + 1) then
137     for n in nodes[maxOrder + 2] do
138         n.inEdges := [];
139         n.outEdges := [];
140     od;
141     Remove(nodes, maxOrder + 2);
142     Remove(edges, maxOrder + 2);
143 fi;
144 k := k + 1;
145 od;
146
147 TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInfo(persistInfo, W, matrix, theta,
    absNodeIndex - 1, k - 1);
148 TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsClose(persistInfo);
149
150 return rec(numNodes := absNodeIndex - 1, numEdges := absEdgeIndex - 1,
    maxTwistedLength := k - 1);
151 end;
152
153 # Calculates the poset Wk(theta).
154 TwistedInvolutionWeakOrdering1 := function (filename, W, matrix, theta)
155     local persistInfo, maxOrder, nodes, edges, absNodeIndex, absEdgeIndex, prevNode,
        currNode, newEdge,
156     label, type, deduction, startTime, endTime, S, k, i, s, x, y, n;
157
158     persistInfo := TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInit(filename);
159
160     S := GeneratorsOfGroup(W);
161     maxOrder := Minimum([Maximum(Concatenation(matrix, [1])), 5]);
162     nodes := [ [], [ rec(element := One(W), twistedLength := 0, inEdges := [], outEdges
        := [], absIndex := 1) ] ];

```

```

163 edges := [ [], [] ];
164 absNodeIndex := 2;
165 absEdgeIndex := 1;
166 k := 0;
167
168 while Length(nodes[2]) > 0 do
169   if not IsFinite(W) then
170     if k > 200 or absNodeIndex > 10000 then
171       break;
172     fi;
173   fi;
174
175   for i in [1..Length(nodes[2])] do
176     Print(k, " ", i, " \r");
177
178     prevNode := nodes[2][i];
179     for label in Filtered([1..Length(S)], n -> Position(List(prevNode.inEdges,
180       e -> e.label), n) = fail) do
181       x := prevNode.element;
182       s := S[label];
183
184       type := 1;
185       y := s^theta*x*s;
186       if (CoxeterElementsCompare(x, y)) then
187         y := x * s;
188         type := 0;
189       fi;
190
191       currNode := FindElement(nodes[1], n -> CoxeterElementsCompare(n.element
192         , y));
193
194       if currNode = fail then
195         currNode := rec(element := y, twistedLength := k + 1, inEdges :=
196           [], outEdges := [], absIndex := absNodeIndex);
197         Add(nodes[1], currNode);
198
199         absNodeIndex := absNodeIndex + 1;
200       fi;
201
202       newEdge := rec(source := prevNode, target := currNode, label := label,
203         type := type, absIndex := absEdgeIndex);
204
205       Add(edges[1], newEdge);
206       Add(currNode.inEdges, newEdge);
207       Add(prevNode.outEdges, newEdge);
208
209       absEdgeIndex := absEdgeIndex + 1;
210     od;
211   od;
212
213   TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResults(persistInfo, nodes[2], edges[2]);
214
215   Add(nodes, [], 1);
216   Add(edges, [], 1);
217   if (Length(nodes) > maxOrder + 1) then
218     for n in nodes[maxOrder + 2] do
219       n.inEdges := [];
220       n.outEdges := [];
221     od;
222     Remove(nodes, maxOrder + 2);
223     Remove(edges, maxOrder + 2);

```

```

220         fi;
221         k := k + 1;
222     od;
223
224     TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInfo(persistInfo, W, matrix, theta,
225         absNodeIndex - 1, k - 1);
226     TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsClose(persistInfo);
227     return rec(numNodes := absNodeIndex - 1, numEdges := absEdgeIndex - 1,
228         maxTwistedLength := k - 1);
229 end;
230
231 TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderungResiduum := function (vertex, labels)
232     local visited, queue, residuum, current, edge;
233
234     visited := [ vertex ];
235     queue := [ vertex ];
236     residuum := [];
237
238     while Length(queue) > 0 do
239         current := queue[1];
240         Remove(queue, 1);
241         Add(residuum, current);
242
243         for edge in current.outEdges do
244             if edge.label in labels and not edge.target in visited then
245                 Add(visited, edge.target);
246                 Add(queue, edge.target);
247             fi;
248         od;
249     od;
250     return residuum;
251 end;
252
253 TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderungLongestWord := function (vertex, labels)
254     local current;
255
256     current := vertex;
257
258     while Length(Filtered(current.outEdges, e -> e.label in labels)) > 0 do
259         current := Filtered(current.outEdges, e -> e.label in labels)[1].target;
260     od;
261
262     return current;
263 end;

```

B Bibliography

- R. Haas and A. G. Helmnick. Algorithms for twisted involutions in weyl groups. *Algebra Colloquium* 19, 2012.
- A. Hultman. The combinatorics of twisted involutions in coxeter groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 359, pages 2787–2798, 2007.
- J. E. Humphreys. *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*. Cambridge University Press, 1992.