Posets of twisted involutions in Coxeter groups

Verbände getwisteter Involutionen in Coxetergruppen

Abschlussarbeit zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades
Master of Science (M. Sc.) im Studiengang Mastematik
an der Technischen Universität Braunschweig

eingereicht von

Christian Hoffmeister (B. Sc.)

Erstprüfer:	Professor Dr. Harald Löwe
Zweitprüfer:	Professor Dr. Thomas Sonar
Betreuer:	Dr. Max. Horn
Matr.-Nr:	2944344
E-Mail:	choffmeister@choffmeister.de
Tag der Anmeldung:	01.06.2012
Tag der Einreichung:	

Eidesstattliche Erklärung

Ich erkläre hiermit an Eides statt, dass ich die vorliegende Masterarbeit „Verbände getwisteter Involutionen in Coxetergruppen“ selbstständig verfasst sowie alle benutzten Quellen und Hilfsmittel vollständig angegeben habe und dass die Arbeit nicht bereits als Prüfungsarbeit vorgelegen hat.

Ort, Datum — Christian Hoffmeister

Deutsche Zusammenfassung

Regelmäßige Flächen und Körper sowie ihre Symmetriegruppen sind in der Mathematik seit jeher von großem Interesse. Mit der Einführung von Coxetergruppen durch Harold Scott MacDonald Coxeter im Jahr 1934 konnten diese Symmetriegruppen abstrakt gefasst werden und zugleich eine deutlich größere Klasse von Gruppen untersucht werden. Heutzutagen spielen Coxetergruppen in vielen Bereichen der Mathematik eine große Rolle.

Für ein sogenanntes Coxetersystem (W, S), bestehend aus einer Menge von involutorischen Erzeugern S und der von ihnen erzeugten Gruppe W, sei θ ein Automorphismus von W der S festhält und maximal Ordnung 2 hat. Dann heißt die Menge $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ der Elemente $w \in W$, die von θ auf ihr inverses abgebildet werden, die Menge der θ-getwisteten Involutionen. Es existiert dann eine spezielle Abbildung $(w, s) \mapsto w\underline{s}$, welche die Eigenschaft hat, dass der Orbit des neutralen Elements von W bzgl. dieser Abbildung gerade die Menge der θ-getwisteten Involutionen ist und mit dessen Hilfe sich eine bestimmte Halbordnung $\preceq$ auf dieser Menge definieren lässt. Der Verband $(\mathcal{I}_\theta, \preceq)$ heißt dann getwistete schwache Ordnung $Wk(W, \theta)$. Für ein Element $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ und eine Teilmenge von Erzeugern $S' \subseteq S$ heißt die Menge aller getwisteten Involutionen, die von der Form $w\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_n$ mit $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S'$ sind, das S'-Residuum von w, geschrieben als $wC_{S'}$.

Im Rahmen dieser Arbeit heißt $Wk(W, \theta)$ 3-residuell zusammenhängend, falls folgendes gilt: Seien $K, S_1, S_2, S_3 \subseteq S$ Mengen von Erzeugern, wobei K spährisch ist und von θ festgehalten wird und sich die S_1, S_2, S_3 paarweise nicht leer schneiden. Weiter sei w_K das maximale Element im Residuum wC_K. Dann gilt

$$wC_{S_1} \cap wC_{S_2} \cap wC_{S_3} \subseteq wC_{S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3}.$$

Die offene Fragestellung, um die es in dieser Arbeit gehen soll, ist, für welche Paare $((W, S), \theta)$ die getwistete schwache Ordnung 3-residuell zusammenhängend ist. Um dies zu überprüfen, wird in dieser Arbeit nach einer Einleitung in die Theorie zuerst ein effizienter Algorithmus entwickelt, um den Verband $Wk(W, \theta)$ berechnen zu können. Dann werden diese Ergebnisse benutzt, um mit einem weiteren Algorithmus nach Gegenbeispielen für den 3-residuellen Zusammenhang zu suchen. Dies ist für endliche Coxetersysteme in Gänze möglich. Für unendliche Coxetersystem jedoch, scheitert dieses Vorgehen im Allgemeinen. Es wird jedoch gezeigt, wie sich mit diesem Vorgehen zumindest einige unendliche Coxetersysteme behandlen lassen, nämlich die affinen und kompakten hyperbolischen. Zum Abschluss der Arbeit wird dann noch ein kurzer Exkurs in die Gebäudetheorie gemacht. Dabei wird gezeigt, dass für alle $Wk(W, \theta)$, für die der 3-residuelle Zusammenhang gezeigt werden konnte, auch gilt, dass für jedes sogenannte Zwillingsgebäude vom Typ W das sogenannte Flipflop-System $\mathcal{C}^\theta$ residuell zusammenhängend ist.

Contents

Introduction

TODO

1. Preliminaries

We start up with collecting some definitions and facts to ensure a uniform terminology and state of knowledge.

1.1. Posets

Posets are sets M with a partial order $\leq$. In particular, there are pairs $(a, b) \in M \times M$ of distinct elements such that neither $a \leq b$ nor $a \geq b$. The following definitions and examples define this more precisely.

Definition 1.1. Let M be a set. A binary relation $\leq$ is called a **partial order** over M if for all $a, b, c \in M$ it satisfies the conditions

1. $a \leq a$ (**reflexivity**),
2. $a \leq b \wedge b \leq a \Rightarrow a = b$ (**antisymmetry**) and
3. $a \leq b \wedge b \leq c \Rightarrow a \leq c$ (**transitivity**).

In this case $(M, \leq)$ is called a **poset**. If two elements $a \leq b \in M$ are immediate neighbors, i.e. there is no third element $c \in M$ with $a < c < b$ we say that b **covers** a.

Definition 1.2. A poset is called **graded poset** if there is a map $\rho : M \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $a, b \in M$ with b covers a we have $\rho(b) = \rho(a) + 1$. In this case ρ is called the **rank function** of the graded poset.

Definition 1.3. A poset is called **directed poset** if for any two elements $a, b \in M$ there is an element $c \in M$ with $a \leq c$ and $b \leq c$. It is called **bounded poset** if it has a unique minimal and maximal element, denoted by $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$.

Definition 1.4. Let $(M, \leq)$ be a poset and $a, b \in M$. Then we call $\{c \in M : a \leq c \leq b\}$ an **interval** and denote it by $[a, b]_{\leq}$. The set $\{c \in M : a < c < b\}$ is called an **open interval** and is denoted by $(a, b)_{\leq}$. In both cases we can omit the $\leq$-index if the relation is clear from context.

Definition 1.5. The **Hasse diagram** of the poset $(M, \leq)$ is the graph obtained in the following way: Add a vertex for each element in M. Then add a directed edge from vertex a to b whenever b covers a.

Example 1.6. Suppose we have an arbitrary set M. Then the powerset $\mathcal{P}(M)$ can be partially ordered by the subset relation, so $(\mathcal{P}(M), \subseteq)$ is a poset. Indeed this poset is always graded with the cardinality function as rank function. In Figure 1.1 we see the Hasse diagram of this poset with $M = \{x, y, z\}$.

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & \{x,y,z\} & \\
\{x,y\} \arrow[ur] & \{x,z\} \arrow[u] & \{y,z\} \arrow[ul] \\
\{x\} \arrow[u] \arrow[ur] & \{y\} \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & \{z\} \arrow[u] \arrow[ul] \\
 & \{\} \arrow[ul] \arrow[u] \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Figure 1.1.: Hasse diagram of the set of all subsets of $\{x, y, z\}$ ordered by the subset relation

Definition 1.7. Let $(M_i, \leq_i), i = 1, \ldots, n$ be a finite set of posets. We call the poset $(M_1 \times \ldots \times M_n, \leq)$ with $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \leq (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ iff $a_i \leq_i b_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ a **direct product of posets** and denote it by $(M_1, \leq_1) \times \ldots \times (M_n, \leq_n)$.

1.2. Coxeter groups

A Coxeter group, named after Harold Scott MacDonald Coxeter, is an abstract group generated by involutions with specific relations between these generators. A simple class of Coxeter groups are the symmetry groups of regular polyhedras in the Euclidean space.

The symmetry group of the square for example can be generated by two reflections s, t, whose stabilized hyperplanes enclose an angle of $\pi/4$. In this case the map st is a rotation in the plane by $\pi/2$. So we have $s^2 = t^2 = (st)^4 = \text{id}$. In fact, this reflection group is determined up to isomorphy by s, t and these three relations [Hum92, Theorem 1.9]. Furthermore it turns out, that the finite reflection groups in the Euclidean space are precisely the finite Coxeter groups [Hum92, Theorem 6.4].

In this chapter we compile some basic well-known facts on Coxeter groups, based on [Hum92].

Definition 1.8. Let $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ be a finite set of symbols and

$$R = \{m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$$

a set numbers (or ∞) with $m_{ii} = 1$, $m_{ij} \geq 1$ and $m_{ij} = m_{ji}$. Then the free represented group

$$W = \langle S \mid (s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} \rangle$$

is called a **Coxeter group** and (W, S) the corrosponding **Coxeter system**. The cardinality of S is called the **rank** of the Coxeter system (and the Coxeter group).

From the definiton we see, that Coxeter groups only depend on the cardinality of S and the relations between the generators in S. A common way to visualize this information are Coxeter graphs.

Definition 1.9. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Create a graph by adding a vertex for each generator in S. Let $(s_i s_j)^m = 1$. In case $m = 2$ the two corrosponding vertices have no connecting edge. In case $m = 3$ they are connected by an unlabed edge. For $m > 3$ they have an connecting edge with label m. We call this graph the **Coxeter graph** of our Coxeter system (W, S).

Definition 1.10. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. For an arbitrary element $w \in W$ we call a product $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n} = w$ of generators $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_n} \in S$ an **expression** of w. Any expression that can be obtained from $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n}$ by omitting some (or all) generators is called a **subexpression** of w.

The present relations between the generators of a Coxeter group allow us to rewrite expressions. Hence an element $w \in W$ can have more than one expression. Obviously any element $w \in W$ has infinitly many expressions, since any expression $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n} = w$ can be extended by applying $s_1^2 = 1$ from the right. But there must be a smallest number of generators needed to receive w. For example the neutral element e can be expressed by the empty expression. Or each generator $s_i \in S$ can be expressed by itself, but any expression with less factors (i.e. the empty expression) is unequal to s_i.

Definition 1.11. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $w \in W$ an element. Then there are some (not necessarily distinct) generators $s_i \in S$ with $s_1 \cdots s_r = w$. We call r the **expression length**. The smallest number $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for that w has an expression of length r is called the **length** of w and each expression of w that is of minimal length is called **reduced expression**. The map

$$l : W \to \mathbb{N}_0$$

that maps each element in W to its length is called **length function**.

Definition 1.12. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. We define

$$D_R(w) := \{s \in S : l(ws) < l(w)\}$$

as the **right descending set** of w. The analogue left version

$$D_L(w) := \{s \in S : l(sw) < l(w)\}$$

is called **left descending set** of w. Since the left descending set is not need in this paper we will often call the right descending just **descending set** of w.

The next lemma yields some useful identities and relations for the length function.

Lemma 1.13. [Hum92, Section 5.2] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $s \in S$, $u, w \in W$ and $l : W \to \mathbb{N}$ the length function. Then*

1. $l(w) = l(w^{-1})$,
2. $l(w) = 0$ *iff* $w = e$,
3. $l(w) = 1$ *iff* $w \in S$,

4. $l(uw) \leq l(u) + l(w)$,

5. $l(uw) \geq l(u) - l(w)$ *and*

6. $l(ws) = l(w) \pm 1$.

Remark 1.14. Note, that $l(ws) = l(w) \pm 1$ has a left analogue by $l(sw) = l(w^{-1}s) = l(w^{-1}) \pm 1 = l(w) \pm 1$.

1.3. Exchange and Deletion Condition

We now obtain a way to get a reduced expression of an arbitrary element $s_1 \cdots s_r = w \in W$.

Definition 1.15. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Any element $w \in W$ that is conjugated to an generator $s \in S$ is called **reflection**. Hence the set of all reflections in W is

$$T = \bigcup_{w \in W} wSw^{-1}.$$

Theorem 1.16 (Strong Exchange Condition)**.** [Hum92, Theorem 5.8] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $w \in W$ an arbitrary element and $s_1 \cdots s_r = w$ with $s_i \in S$ a not necessarily reduced expression for w. For each reflection $t \in T$ with $l(wt) < l(w)$ there exists an index i for which $wt = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots s_r$, where $\hat{s}_i$ means omission. In case we start from a reduced expression, then i is unique.*

The Strong Exchange Condition can be weaken, when insisting on $t \in S$ to receive the following corollary.

Corollary 1.17 (Exchange Condition)**.** [Hum92, Theorem 5.8] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $w \in W$ an arbitrary element and $s_1 \cdots s_r = w$ with $s_i \in S$ a not necessarily reduced expression for w. For each generator $s \in S$ with $l(ws) < l(w)$ there exists an index i for which $ws = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots s_r$, where $\hat{s}_i$ means omission. In case we start from a reduced expression, then i is unique.*

Proof. Directly from Strong Exchange Condition. □

Remark 1.18. Note that both, Strong Exchange Condition and Exchange Condition, have an analogue left-sided version

$$l(tw) < l(w) \Rightarrow tw = ts_1 \cdots s_k = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots s_k$$

for all reflections $t \in T$, hence for all generators $s \in S$ in particular.

Corollary 1.19 (Deletion Condition)**.** [Hum92, Corollary 5.8] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $w \in W$ and $w = s_1 \cdots s_r$ with $s_i \in S$ an unreduced expression of w. Then there exist two indices $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$ with $i < j$, such that $w = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots \hat{s}_j \cdots s_r$, where $\hat{s}_i$ and $\hat{s}_j$ mean omission.*

Proof. Since the expression is unreduced there must be an index j for that the twisted length shrinks. That means for $w' = s_1 \cdots s_{j-1}$ is $l(w's_j) < l(w')$. Using the Exchange Condition we get $w's_j = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots s_{j-1}$ yielding $w = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots \hat{s}_j \cdots s_r$. □

This corollary allows us to reduce expressions, i.e. to find a subexpression that is reduced. Due to the Deletion Condition any unreduced expression can be reduced by omitting an even number of generators (we just have to apply the Deletion Condition inductively).

The Strong Exchange Condition, the Exchange Condition and the Deletion Condition, are some of the most powerful tools when investigating properties of Coxeter groups. The second can be used to prove a very handy property of Coxeter groups. The intersection of two parabolic subgroups is again a parabolic subgroup.

Definition 1.20. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. For a subset of generators $I \subset S$ we call the subgroup $W_I \leq W$ that is generated by the elements in I with the corrosponding relations, a **parabolic subgroup** of W.

Lemma 1.21. [Hum92, Section 5.8] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $I, J \subset S$ two subsets of generators. Then $W_I \cap W_J = W_{I \cap J}$.*

A related fact, is the following lemma.

Lemma 1.22. [Hum92, Section 5.8] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $w \in W$. Let $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$ be any reduced expression for w. Then the set of used generators $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\} \subset S$ is independent of the particular choosen reduced expression. It only depends on w itself.*

This means that two reduced expressions for an element $w \in W$ use exactly the same generators.

1.4. Finite Coxeter groups

Coxeter groups can be finite and infinite. A simple example for the former category is the following. Let $S = \{s\}$. Due to definition it must be $s^2 = e$. So W is isomorph to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and finite. An example for an infinite Coxeter group can be obtained from $S = \{s, t\}$ with $s^2 = t^2 = e$ and $(st)^\infty = e$ (so we have no relation between s and t). Obviously the element st has infinite order forcing W to be infinite. But there are infinite Coxeter groups without a ∞-relation, as well. An example for this is W obtained from $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ with $s_1^2 = s_2^2 = s_3^2 = (s_1s_2)^3 = (s_2s_3)^3 = (s_3s_1)^3 = e$. But how can one decide whether W is finite or not?

To provide a general answer to this question we fallback to a certain class of Coxeter groups, the irreducible ones.

Definition 1.23. A Coxeter system is called **irreducible** if the corresponding Coxeter graph is connected. Else, it is called **reducible**.

If a Coxeter system is reducible, then its graph has more than one component and each component corrosponds to a parabolic subgroup of W.



Figure 1.2.: All types of irreducible finite Coxeter systems

Proposition 1.24. [Hum92, Proposition 6.1] *Let (W, S) be a reducible Coxeter system. Then there exists a partition of S into I, J with $(s_i s_j)^2 = e$ whenever $s_i \in I, s_j \in J$ and W is isomorph to the direct product of the two parabolic subgroups W_I and W_J.*

This proposition tells us, that an arbitray Coxeter system is finite iff its irreducible parabolic subgroups are finite. Therefore we can indeed fallback to irreducible Coxeter systems without loss of generality. If we could categorize all irreducible finite Coxeter systems, then we could categorize all finite Coxeter systems. This is done by the following theorem:

Theorem 1.25. [Hum92, Theorem 6.4] *The irreducible finite Coxeter systems are exactly the ones in Figure 1.2.*

This allows us to decide with ease whether a given Coxeter system is finite. Take its irreducible parabolic subgroups and check if each is of type A_n, B_n, D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, H_3, H_4 or $I_2(m)$.

1.5. Affine and compact hyperbolic Coxeter groups

Two other important classes of Coxeter systems are the so-called affine and compact hyperbolic ones. The affine ones arise from the finite ones by appending another generator in a certain manner. The compact hyperbolic seem quite exceptional, but we will see what makes them interesting soon.

Definition 1.26. A irreducible Coxeter systems (W, S) is called **affine** if it is one of those from Figure 1.3.

Definition 1.27. A irreducible Coxeter systems (W, S) is called **compact hyperbolic** if one of the following holds:

1. $|S| = 3$ and (W, S) is neither finite nor affine.



Figure 1.3.: All types of affine Coxeter systems

2. $|S| \geq 4$ and (W, S) is one of those from Figure 1.4.

For a more informative introduction of affine and compact hyperbolic Coxeter systems refer to [Hum92, Section 2.5, 6.7 – 6.9]. We use this approach, since we are only interested in them because of the following corollary and lemma.

Corollary 1.28. *Let (W, S) be a affine or compact hyperbolic Coxeter system. Then every proper parabolic subgroup $W_{S'}$ with $S' \subsetneq S$ is either itself irreducible and finite or a direct product of irreducible finite Coxeter systems. In particular every proper parabolic subgroup is finite.*

Proof. This is immediate by simple inspection. □

Lemma 1.29. *The affine and compact hyperbolic Coxeter systems (W, S) are precisely the ones, satisfying the following two conditions:*

1. *(W, S) is irreducible.*
2. *For each $S' \subsetneq S$ the parabolic subgroup $W_{S'}$ is finite.*

Proof. Obviously they are irreducible and by Corollary 1.28 each proper parabolic subgroup is finite. For a proof that they are the only ones satisfying this see [Hum92], [Che69]. □

1.6. Bruhat ordering

We now investigate ways to partially order the elements of a Coxeter group. Futhermore, this ordering should be compatible with the length function, i.e. for $w, v \in W$ we have $l(w) < l(v)$ whenever $w < v$.



Figure 1.4.: All compact hyperbolic Coxeter systems with rank ≥ 4

Definition 1.30. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $T = \cup_{w \in W} wSw^{-1}$ the set of all reflections in W. We write $w' \to w$ if there is a $t \in T$ with $w't = w$ and $l(w') < l(w)$. If there is a sequence $w' = w_0 \to w_1 \to \ldots \to w_m = w$, we say $w' < w$. The resulting relation $w' \leq w$ is called **Bruhat ordering**, denoted by $\mathrm{Br}(W)$.

Lemma 1.31. [Hum92, Section 5.9] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Then* $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ *is a poset.*

Proof. The Bruhat ordering is reflexive by definition. Since the elements in sequences $e \to w_1 \to w_2 \to \ldots$ are strictly ascending in length, it must be antisymmetric. By concatenation of sequences we get the transitivity. $\square$

We now show, that $Br(W)$ is graded. By definition we already have $v < w$ iff $l(v) < l(w)$, but its not that obvious that two immediately adjacent elements differ in length by exactly 1. Beforehand let us just mention two other partial orderings that are graded by definition:

Definition 1.32. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. The ordering $\leq_R$ defined by $u \leq_R w$ iff $uv = w$ for some $u \in W$ with $l(u) + l(v) = l(w)$ is called the **right weak ordering**. The left-sided version $u \leq_L w$ iff $vu = w$ is called the **left weak ordering**.

To ensure the Bruhat ordering is graded as well, we need another characterization of the Bruhat ordering in terms of subexpressions.

Proposition 1.33. [Hum92, Proposition 5.9] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $u, w \in W$ with $u \leq w$ and $s \in S$. Then $us \leq w$ or $us \leq ws$ or both.*

Proof. We can reduce the proof to the case $u \to w$, i.e. $ut = w$ for a $t \in T$ with $l(v) < l(u)$. Let $s = t$. Then $us \leq w$ and we are done. In case $s \neq t$ there are two alternatives for the lengths. We can have $l(us) = l(u) - 1$ which would mean $us \to u \to w$, so $us \leq w$. So assume $l(us) = l(u) + 1$. For the reflection $t' = sts$ we get $(us)t' = ussts = uts = ws$. So we have $us \leq ws$ iff $l(us) < l(ws)$. Suppose this is not the case. Since we have assumed $l(us) = l(u) + 1$ any reduced expression $u = s_1 \cdots s_r$ for u yields a reduced expression $us = s_1 \cdots s_r s$ for us. With the Strong Exchange Condition we can obtain $ws = ust'$ from us by omitting one factor. This omitted factor cannot be s since $s \neq t$. This means $ws = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots s_r s$ and so $ws = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots s_r$, contradicting to our assumption $l(u) < l(w)$. □

Theorem 1.34 (Subword property)**.** [Hum92, Theorem 5.10] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $w \in W$ with a fixed, but arbitrary, reduced expression $w = s_1 \cdots s_r$, $s_i \in S$. Then $u \leq w$ (in the Bruhat ordering) iff u can be obtained as a subexpression of this reduced expression.*

Proof. First we show that any $w' < w$ occurs as a subexpression. For that we start with the case $w' \to w$, say $w't = w$. We have $l(w') < l(w)$ and hence by Strong Exchange Condition we get

$$w' = w'tt = wt = s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots s_r$$

for some i. This step can be iterated. In return, suppose we have a subexpression $w' = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q}$. We induce on $r = l(w)$. For $r = 0$ we have $w = e$, hence $w' = e$, too and so $w' \leq w$. Now suppose $r > 0$. If $i_q < r$, then $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q}$ is a subexpression of $ws_r = s_1 \cdots s_{r-1}$, too. Since $l(ws_r) = r - 1 < r$, we can conclude

$$s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q} \leq s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} = ws_r < w$$

by induction hypothesis. If $i_q = r$, then we use our induction hypothesis to get $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{q-1}} \leq s_1 \cdots s_{r-1}$. By Proposition 1.33 we get

$$s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q} \leq s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} < w$$

or

$$s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q} \leq s_1 \cdots s_r = w,$$

both finishing our induction. □

Corollary 1.35. *Let $u, w \in W$. Then the interval $[u, w]$ in the Bruhat order $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ is finite.*

Proof. We have $[u, w] \subseteq [e, w]$. All elements $v \in [e, w]$ can be obtained as subexpressions of one fixed reduced expression for w. Let $s_1 \ldots s_k = w$ be such a reduced expression. Then there are at most 2^k many subexpressions, hence $[u, w]$ is finite. □

This characterization of the Bruhat ordering is very handy. With it and the following short lemma we will be in the position to show that $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ is graded with rank function l.

Lemma 1.36. [Hum92, Lemma 5.11] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $u, w \in W$ with $u < w$ and $l(w) = l(u) + 1$. In case there is a generator $s \in S$ with $u < us$ but $us \neq w$, then both $w < ws$ and $us < ws$.*

Proof. Due to Proposition 1.33 we have $us \leq w$ or $us \leq ws$. Since $l(us) = l(w)$ and $us \neq w$ the first case is impossible. So $us \leq ws$ and because of $u \neq w$ already $us < ws$. In turn, $l(w) = l(us) < l(ws)$, forcing $w < ws$. □

Proposition 1.37. [Hum92, Proposition 5.11] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $u < w$. Then there are elements $w_0, \ldots, w_m \in W$ such that $u = w_0 < w_1 < \ldots < w_m = w$ with $l(w_i) = l(w_{i-1}) + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. We induce on $r = l(u) + l(w)$. In case $r = 1$ we have $u = e$ and $w = s$ for a $s \in S$ and are done. Conversely suppose $r > 1$. Then there is a reduced expression $w = s_1 \cdots s_r$ for w. Let us fix this expression. Then $l(ws_r) < l(w)$. Thanks to Subword property there must be a subexpression of w with $u = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q}$ for some $i_1 < \ldots < i_q$. We distinguish between two cases:

$u < us$: If $i_q = r$, then $us = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q} s = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{q-1}}$ which is also a subexpression of ws. This yields $u < us \leq ws < w$. Since $l(ws) < r$ there is, by induction, a sequence of the desired form. The last step from ws to w also differs in length by exactly 1, so we are done. If $i_q < r$ then u is itself already a subexpression of ws and we can again find a sequence from u to ws strictly ascending in length by 1 in each step and have one last step from ws to w also increasing in length by 1.

$us < u$: Then by induction we can find a sequence from us to w, say $us = w_0 < \ldots < w_m = w$, where the lengths of neighbored elements differ by exactly 1. Since $w_0 s = u > us = w_0$ and $w_m s = ws < w = w_m$ there must be a smallest index $i \geq 1$, such that $w_i s < w_i$, which we choose. Suppose $w_i \neq w_{i-1}s$. We have $w_{i-1} < w_{i-1}s \neq w_i$ and due to Lemma 1.36 we get $w_i < w_i s$. This contradicts to the minimality of i. So $w_i = w_{i-1}s$. For all $1 \leq j < i$ we have $w_j \neq w_{j-1}s$, because of $w_j < w_j s$. Again we apply Lemma 1.36 to receive $w_{j-1}s < w_j s$. Alltogether we can construct a sequence

$$u = w_0 s < w_1 s < \ldots < w_{i-1} s = w_i < w_{i+1} < \ldots w_m = w,$$

which matches our assumption. □

Corollary 1.38. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and* $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ *the Bruhat ordering poset of W. Then* $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ *is graded with $l : W \to \mathbb{N}$ as rank function.*

Proof. Let $u, w \in W$ with w covering u. Then Proposition 1.37 says there is a sequence $u = w_0 < \ldots < w_m = w$ with $l(w_i) = l(w_{i-1}) + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since w covers u it must be $m = 1$ and so $u < w$ with $l(w) = l(u) + 1$. □

Theorem 1.39 (Lifting Property)**.** [Deo77, Theorem 1.1] *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $v, w \in W$ with $v \leq w$. Suppose $s \in S$ with $s \in D_R(w)$. Then*

1. *$vs \leq w$,*
2. *$s \in D_R(v) \Rightarrow vs \leq ws$.*

Proof. We use the alternative subexpression characterization of the Bruhat ordering from Subword property.

1. Since $s \in D_R(w)$ there exists a reduced expression $w = s_1 \cdots s_r$ with $s_r = s$. Due to $v \leq w$ we can obtain v as a subexpression $v = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q}$ from w. If $i_q = r$ then $vs = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q} s = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{q-1}}$ is also a subexpression of w. Else if $i_q \neq r$, then v is a subexpression of $ws = s_1 \cdots s_{r-1}$ and so vs is again a subexpression of $w = s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} s$. In both cases we get $vs \leq w$.

2. If we additionally assume $s \in D_R(v)$ then we can always find a reduced expression $w = s_1 \cdots s_r$ with $s_r = s$ having $u = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_q}$ as subexpression with $s_{i_q} = s$. This yields $vs = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{q-1}} \leq s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} = ws$. □

Remark 1.40. Note that the Lifting Property has an analogue left-sided version: Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $v, w \in W$ with $v \leq w$. Suppose $s \in S$ with $s \in D_L(w)$. Then

1. $sv \leq w$,

2. $s \in D_L(v) \Rightarrow sv \leq sw$.

The Lifting Property seems quite innocent, but when trying to investigate facts around the Bruhat ordering it proves to be one of the key tools in many cases.

Proposition 1.41. [Den09, Proposition 7] *The poset* $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ *is directed.*

Proof. Let $u, v \in W$. We need to find an element $w \in W$ with $u \leq w$ and $v \leq w$. For that, we induce on $r = l(u) + l(w)$. For $r = 0$ we have $u = v = e$ and can choose $w = e$. So let $r > 0$. Because of symmetry we can assume $l(u) > 0$, hence $u \neq e$ and so there is a $s \in S$ with $us < u$. By induction hypothesis there is a $w \in W$ with $us \leq w$ and $v \leq w$. Consider two cases:

$ws < w$: Then $s \in D_R(w)$ and with Lifting Property we have $u = uss \leq w$, so both $u \leq w$ and $v \leq w$.

$ws > w$: Then $s \in D_R(ws)$ and $us \leq w < ws$, hence again by Lifting Property we have $u = uss \leq ws$, so both $u \leq ws$ and $v \leq w < ws$. □

Corollary 1.42. [Den09, Proposition 8]

1. *Let W be finite, then there exists an unique element $w_0 \in W$ with $w \leq w_0$ for all $w \in W$.*

2. *If W contains an element w, with $D_R(w) = S$, then W is finite and w is the unique element w_0.*

Proof. 1. Assume there are two elements $u, v \in W$ of maximal rank. Since $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ is directed, there is an element $w \in W$ with $u \leq w$ and $v \leq w$. Because $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ is graded, we have $l(w) > l(u) = l(v)$, contradicting to the maximality of u and v.

2. We want to show, that $v < w$ for all $v \in W$. For that, we induce on $r = l(v)$. If $r = 0$, then $v = e \leq w$. Let $r > 0$. Then there is a $s \in S$ with $us < u$. By induction, $us \leq w$. Since $s \in D_R(w)$, we have $uss = u \leq w$ by Lifting Property and are done with our induction. This yields $W = [e, w]$ and since by Corollary 1.35 intervals in the Bruhat order are finite, W is finite, too. □

Corollary 1.43. *Let* (W, S) *be a finite Coxeter system. Then* $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ *is graded, directed and bounded.*

Proof. $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ is graded due to Corollary 1.38, directed due to Proposition 1.41 and bounded due to Corollary 1.42. □

Corollary 1.44. *Let* (W, S) *be a Coxeter system and* $w, v \in W$ *with* $w < v$. *Then the interval* $[w, v]$ *is a finite, graded, directed and bounded poset.*

Proof. The poset structure and the graduation transfers directly from $Br(W)$. By Corollary 1.35 intervals in $\mathrm{Br}(W)$ are finite. Since v is the unique maximal element and w the unique minimal element, it is bounded. By definition of intervals we have $u \leq v$ for every element $u \in [w, v]$, hence it is directed. □

2. Twisted involutions in Coxeter groups

In this section we focus on a certain subset of elements in Coxeter groups, the so called twisted involutions. From now on (and in the next sections) we fix some symbols to have always the same meaning (some needed definitions follow later):

(W, S)	A Coxeter system with generators S and Coxeter group W.
s	A generator in S.
u, v, w	A element in the Coxeter group W.
θ	A Coxeter system automorphism of (W, S) with $\theta^2 = \mathrm{id}$.
$\mathcal{I}_\theta$	The set of θ-twisted involutions of W.
$\underline{S}$	A set of symbols, $\underline{S} = \{\underline{s} : s \in S\}$.

2.1. Introduction to twisted involutions

Twisted involutions generalize the property of being involutive with respect to an involutory automorphism θ. For $\theta = \mathrm{id}$ the set of θ-twisted involutions, denoted by $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ coincides with the set of ordinary involutions in W (cf. Example 2.3). As we will see the set of this θ-twisted involutions equals the e-orbit of a special action, defined in Definition 2.5. For $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ and the mentioned map many properties of ordinary Coxeter groups hold. In particular there is a analogue to the Exchange Condition and Deletion Condition.

Definition 2.1. An automorphism $\theta : W \to W$ with $\theta(S) = S$ is called a **Coxeter system automorphism** of (W, S). We always assume $\theta^2 = \mathrm{id}$.

Definition 2.2. We define the **set of θ-twisted involutions** of W as

$$\mathcal{I}_\theta(W) := \{w \in W : \theta(w) = w^{-1}\}.$$

If θ is clear from the context we just say **set of twisted involutions**. Every element $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta(W)$ is called a **θ-twisted involution**, resp. **twisted involution**. Often, when W is clear from the context, we will omit it and just write $\mathcal{I}_\theta$.

Example 2.3. Let $\theta = \mathrm{id}_W$. Then θ is a Coxeter system automorphism and the set of all id-twisted involutions coincides with the set of all ordinary involutions of W.

The next example is more helpfull, since it reveals a way to think of $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ as a generalization of ordinary Coxeter groups.

Example 2.4. [Hul07, Example 3.2] Let θ be a automorphism of $W \times W$ with $\theta : (u, w) \mapsto (w, u)$. Then θ is a Coxeter system automorphism of the Coxeter system $(W \times W, S \times S)$ and the set of twisted involutions is

$$\mathcal{I}_\theta = \{(w, w^{-1}) \in W \times W : w \in W\}.$$

This yields a canonical bijection between $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ and W.

The map we define right now is of great importance to this whole paper, since it is needed to define the poset, the main thesis is about.

Definition 2.5. Let $\underline{S} := \{\underline{s} : s \in S\}$ be a set of symbols. Each element in $\underline{S}$ acts from the right on W by the following definition:

$$w\underline{s} = \begin{cases} ws & \text{if } \theta(s)ws = w, \\ \theta(s)ws & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

This action can be extended on the whole free monoid over $\underline{S}$ by

$$w\underline{s}_1\underline{s}_2 \ldots \underline{s}_k = (\ldots((w\underline{s}_1)\underline{s}_2)\ldots)\underline{s}_k.$$

If $w\underline{s} = \theta(s)ws$, then we say $\underline{s}$ **acts by twisted conjugation** on w. Else we say $\underline{s}$ **acts by multiplication** on w.

Note that this is no group action. For example let W be a Coxeter group with two generators s, t satisfying $\mathrm{ord}(st) = 3$ and let $\theta = \mathrm{id}$. Then $sts = tst$, but

$$e\underline{sts} = st\underline{s} = tst\underline{s} = sts\underline{s} = t \neq s = tst\underline{t} = sts\underline{t} = t\underline{st} = e\underline{tst}.$$

Definition 2.6. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_i \in S$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then an expression $e\underline{s}_1 \ldots \underline{s}_k$, or just $\underline{s}_1 \ldots \underline{s}_k$, is called **$\theta$-twisted expression**. If θ is clear from the context, we omit θ and call it **twisted expression**. A twisted expression is called **reduced twisted expression** if there is no $k' < k$ with $\underline{s}'_1 \ldots \underline{s}'_{k'} = \underline{s}_1 \ldots \underline{s}_k$.

Lemma 2.7. [Hul07, Lemma 3.4] *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and $s \in S$. Then*

$$w\underline{s} = \begin{cases} ws & \text{if } l(\theta(s)ws) = l(w), \\ \theta(s)ws & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by multiplication on w. Then $\theta(s)ws = w$ and so $l(\theta(s)ws) = l(w)$. Conversely, suppose $l(\theta(s)ws) = l(w)$. If $w\underline{s} = ws$, then we are done. So assume $\theta(s)ws \neq w$. Then w must have a reduced expression beginning with $\theta(s)$ or ending with s (else, we could not have $l(\theta(s)ws) = l(w)$). Without loss of generality suppose that $\theta(s)s_1 \cdots s_k$ is such an expression for w. Since w is a θ-twisted involution, i.e. $\theta(w) = w^{-1}$, we have $l(ws) < l(w)$. Since $l(\theta(s)ws) = l(w)$, no reduced expression for w both begins with $\theta(s)$ and ends with s and hence Exchange Condition yields $ws = s_1 \cdots s_k$, which implies $\theta(s)ws = w$, contradicting to our assumption. $\square$

Lemma 2.8. *We have $l(ws) < l(w)$ iff $l(w\underline{s}) < l(w)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by multiplication on w. Then $w\underline{s} = ws$ and there is nothing to prove. So suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by twisted conjugation on w. If $l(ws) < l(w)$, then Lemma 1.13 yields $l(ws) + 1 = l(w)$. Assuming $l(w\underline{s}) = l(\theta(s)ws) = l(w)$ would imply, that $\underline{s}$ acts by multiplication on w due to Lemma 2.7, which is a contradiction. So $l(w\underline{s}) = l(\theta(s)ws) < l(w)$. Conversely, suppose $l(w\underline{s}) < l(w)$. Then Lemma 1.13 says $l(w\underline{s}) = l(\theta(s)ws) = l(w) - 2$ and so $l(ws) = l(w) - 1$. $\square$

Lemma 2.9. *For all $w \in W$ and $s \in S$ we have $w\underline{s}\underline{s} = w$.*

Proof. For $w\underline{s}$ there are two cases. Suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by multiplication on w, i.e. $\theta(s)ws = w$. For $ws\underline{s}$ there are again two possible options:

$$ws\underline{s} = \begin{cases} wss = w & \text{if } \theta(s)wss = ws, \\ \theta(s)wss = ws & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The second option contradicts itself.

Now suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by twisted conjugation on w. This means $\theta(s)ws \neq w$ and for $(\theta(s)ws)\underline{s}$ there are again two possible options:

$$(\theta(s)ws)\underline{s} = \begin{cases} \theta(s)wss = \theta(s)w & \text{if } \theta(s)\theta(s)wss = \theta(s)ws, \\ \theta(s)\theta(s)wss = w & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The first option is impossible since $\theta(s)\theta(s)wss = w$ and we have assumed $\theta(s)ws \neq w$. Hence the only possible cases yield $w\underline{s}\underline{s} = w$. $\square$

Remark 2.10. Lemma 2.9 allows us to to rewrite equations of twisted expressions. For example

$$u = w\underline{s} \iff u\underline{s} = w\underline{s}\underline{s} = w.$$

This can be iterated to get

$$u = w\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_k \iff u\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_1 = w.$$

Lemma 2.11. *For all θ, $w \in W$ and $s \in S$ it holds that $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ iff $w\underline{s} \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$.*

Proof. Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$. For $w\underline{s}$ there are two cases. Suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by multiplication on w. Then we get

$$\theta(ws) = \theta(\theta(s)wss) = \theta^2(s)\theta(w) = sw^{-1} = (ws^{-1})^{-1} = (ws)^{-1}.$$

Suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by twisted conjugation on w. Then we get

$$\theta(\theta(s)ws) = \theta^2(s)\theta(w)\theta(s) = sw^{-1}\theta(s) = (\theta^{-1}(s)ws^{-1})^{-1} = (\theta(s)ws)^{-1}.$$

In both cases $w\underline{s} \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$.

Now let $w\underline{s} \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$. Suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by multiplication on w. Then

$$\theta(w) = \theta(\theta(s)ws) = \theta^2(s)\theta(ws) = s(ws)^{-1} = ss^{-1}w^{-1} = w^{-1}.$$

Suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by twisted conjugation on w. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(w) &= \theta(\theta(s)\theta(s)wss) = \theta^2(s)\theta(\theta(s)ws)\theta(s) \\ &= s(\theta(s)ws)^{-1}\theta(s) = s(s^{-1}w^{-1}\theta(s^{-1})\theta(s) = w^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

In both cases $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$. $\square$

A remarkable property of the action from Definition 2.5 is its e-orbit. As the following lemma shows, it coincides with $\mathcal{I}_\theta$.

Lemma 2.12. [Hul07, Proposition 3.5] *The set of θ-twisted involutions coincides with the set of all θ-twisted expressions.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.11, each twisted expression is in $\mathcal{I}_\theta$, since $e \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$. So let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$. If $l(w) = 0$, then $w = e \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$. So assume $l(w) = r > 0$ and that we have already proven, that every twisted involution $w' \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ with $\rho(w') < r$ has a twisted expression. If w has a reduced twisted expression ending with $\underline{s}$, then w also has a reduced expression (in S) ending with s and so $l(ws) < l(w)$. With Lemma 2.8 we get $l(w\underline{s}) < l(w)$. By induction $w\underline{s}$ has a twisted expression and hence $w = (w\underline{s})\underline{s}$ has one, too. □

In the same way, we can use regular expressions to define the length of an element $w \in W$, we can use the twisted expressions to define the twisted length of an element $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$.

Definition 2.13. Let $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ be the set of twisted involutions. Then we define $\rho(w)$ as the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for that a twisted expression $w = \underline{s}_1 \ldots \underline{s}_k$ exists. This is called the **twisted length** of w.

Lemma 2.14. [Hul05, Theorem 4.8] *The Bruhat ordering, restricted to the set of twisted involutions $\mathcal{I}_\theta$, is a graded poset with ρ as rank function. We denote this poset by* $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{I}_\theta)$.

We now establish many properties from ordinary Coxeter groups for twisted expressions and $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{I}_\theta)$. As seen in Example 2.4 there is a Coxeter system (W', S') and an Coxeter system automorphism θ with $\mathrm{Br}(W) \cong \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{I}_\theta(W'))$. So the hope that many properties can be transfered is eligible.

Lemma 2.15. [Hul07, Lemma 3.8] *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and $s \in S$. Then $\rho(w\underline{s}) = \rho(w) \pm 1$. In fact it is $\rho(w\underline{s}) = \rho(w) - 1$ iff $s \in D_R(w)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{I}_\theta)$ is graded with rank function ρ and either $w\underline{s}$ covers w or w covers $w\underline{s}$ we have $\rho(w\underline{s}) = \rho(w) \pm 1$. Now suppose $w\underline{s} < w$. Then we have $\rho(w\underline{s}) < \rho(w)$ iff $w\underline{s} < w$ iff $l(w\underline{s}) < l(w)$ iff $l(ws) < l(w)$ iff $s \in D_R(w)$. □

Lemma 2.16 (Lifting property 2)**.** [Hul07, Lemma 3.9] *Let $v, w \in W$ with $v \leq w$. Suppose $s \in S$ with $s \in D_R(w)$. Then*

1. $v\underline{s} \leq w$,
2. $s \in D_R(v) \Rightarrow v\underline{s} \leq w\underline{s}$.

Proof. Whenever a relation comes from the ordinary Lifting Property, we denote it by $<_{LP}$ in this proof.

$v\underline{s} = vs \wedge w\underline{s} = ws$: Same situation as in Lifting Property.

$v\underline{s} = vs \wedge w\underline{s} = \theta(s)ws$: The first part $v\underline{s} = vs \leq_{LP} w$ is immediate. Suppose $s \in D_R(v)$. Then $vs \leq_{LP} ws \Rightarrow v = \theta(s)vs \leq ws \Rightarrow v\underline{s} = vs \leq \theta(s)ws = w\underline{s}$.

$v\underline{s} = \theta(s)vs \wedge w\underline{s} = ws$: We have $\theta(s)w = ws$ and therefore $\theta(s) \in D_L(w)$. Suppose $s \in D_R(v)$. Then $\theta(s) \in D_R(vs)$ and hence $v\underline{s} = \theta(s)vs \leq vs \leq_{LP} ws = w\underline{s} \leq w$. In return suppose $s \notin D_R(v)$. Since $vs \leq_{LP} w$ and $\theta(s) \in D_L(w)$ we can apply the left analogue of Lifting Property on $vs, w, \theta(s)$ to get $v\underline{s} = \theta(s)vs \leq_{LP} w$.

$v\underline{s} = \theta(s)vs \wedge w\underline{s} = \theta(s)ws$: Let $s \in D_R(w)$. Then $vs \leq_{LP} ws$. Since $\theta(s) \in D_L(vs)$ and $\theta(s) \in D_L(ws)$ we can apply the left-sided Lifting Property to get $v\underline{s} = \theta(s)vs \leq_{LP} \theta(s)ws = w\underline{s} \leq w$. In return let $s \notin D_R(w)$. Since $l(\theta(s)ws) = l(w) - 2$ we have $\theta(s) \in D_L(w)$. So we can use the Lifting Property to get $vs \leq_{LP} w$ and then with the left-sided Lifting Property $v\underline{s} = \theta(s)vs \leq_{LP}$ w. □

Proposition 2.17 (Exchange property for twisted expressions)**.** [Hul07, Proposition 3.10] *Suppose $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_k$ is a reduced twisted expression. If $\rho(\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_k\underline{s}) < k$ for some $s \in S$, then $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_k\underline{s} = \underline{s}_1 \dots \hat{\underline{s}}_i \dots \underline{s}_k$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.*

Proof. Let $w = s_1 \dots \underline{s}_k$ and $v = s_1 \dots \underline{s}_k\underline{s}$. Assume $v\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_{i+1}\underline{s}_i < v\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_{i+1}$ for all i. Then we would get $\rho(v\underline{s}_k \dots s_1) < k - k = 0$. Hence there is an index i with $v\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_{i+1}\underline{s}_i > v\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_{i+1}$ and we choose i maximal with this property. Since $w > v$ we conclude by repetition of Lifting property 2, that $w\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_{i+1} \geq v\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_i$. By Lemma 2.15 we have $\rho(v) = k - 1$ and so $\rho(w\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_{i+1}) = \rho(v\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_i)$. Because $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{I}_\theta)$ is graded with rank function ρ, both twisted expresssions must represent the same element. Therefore we have $w\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_{i+1} = v\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_i$ yielding $v = w\underline{s}_k \dots \underline{s}_{i+1}\underline{s}_i \dots \underline{s}_k = \underline{s}_1\hat{\underline{s}}_i \dots \underline{s}_k$. □

Proposition 2.18 (Deletion property for twisted expressions)**.** [Hul07, Proposition 3.11] *Let $w = s_1 \dots \underline{s}_k$ be a not reduced twisted expression. Then there are two indices $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ such that $w = \underline{s}_1 \dots \hat{\underline{s}}_i \dots \hat{\underline{s}}_j \dots \underline{s}_k$.*

Proof. Choose j minimal, so we have $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_j$ is not reduced. By Exchange property for twisted expressions there is an index i with $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_j = s_1 \dots \hat{\underline{s}}_i \dots \underline{s}_{j-1}$ yielding our hypothesis $w = \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_j \dots \underline{s}_k = \underline{s}_1 \dots \hat{\underline{s}}_i \dots \hat{\underline{s}}_j \dots \underline{s}_k$. □

When applying the Exchange property for twisted expressions to a twisted expression, there is no hint which $\underline{s}_i$ can be omitted. Consider the following situation: Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and $w\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_k = w\underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_k$ two reduced twisted expressions. Then in the twisted expression $w\underline{s}_1 \dots \dots \underline{s}_k\underline{t}_k$ we can omit the $\underline{t}_k$ and one other $\underline{s}$ by Exchange property for twisted expressions and get still the same element. It would be nice, when the second omitted $\underline{s}$ is one of the $\underline{s}_i$ in general, but unfortunately this proves to be false:

Example 2.19. Let $W = A_3$, $\theta = \mathrm{id}$ and $w = \underline{s}_3$. Then $w\underline{s}_2\underline{s}_1\underline{s}_2 = w\underline{s}_1\underline{s}_2\underline{s}_3$, but $w\underline{s}_1\underline{s}_2\underline{s}_3\underline{s}_2 \notin \{w\underline{s}_1\underline{s}_2, w\underline{s}_1\underline{s}_3, w\underline{s}_2\underline{s}_3\}$. Hence the omission cannot be choosen after the prefix w, but at least $w\underline{s}_1\underline{s}_2\underline{s}_3\underline{s}_2 = \underline{s}_1\underline{s}_2\underline{s}_3$ works, as guaranteed by Exchange property for twisted expressions.

2.2. Twisted weak ordering

In this section we introduce the twisted weak ordering $Wk(\theta)$ on the set $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ of θ-twisted involutions.

Definition 2.20. For $v, w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ we define $v \preceq w$ iff there are $\underline{s}_1, \ldots, \underline{s}_k \in \underline{S}$ with $w = v\underline{s}_1 \ldots \underline{s}_k$ and $\rho(v) = \rho(w) - k$. We call the poset $(\mathcal{I}_\theta, \preceq)$ **twisted weak ordering**, denoted by $Wk(W, \theta)$. When the Coxeter group W is clear from the context, we just write $Wk(\theta)$.

Lemma 2.21. *The poset $Wk(\theta)$ is a graded poset with rank function ρ.*

Proof. Follows immediately from the definition of $\preceq$. □

By a diagram of a poset $Wk(\theta)$, we do not just mean the ordinary Hasse diagram. Suppose $w, v \in Wk(\theta)$ with $w\underline{s} = v$. We encode the information if $\underline{s}$ acts as twisted involution or as multiplication on w by drawing either a solid or a dashed edge from w to v. Another possible extension is to label (or color) the edges to encode the involved $\underline{s}$. For simplification of terminology we still just speak of the Hasse diagram of $Wk(\theta)$. The next example shows such a (extended) Hasse diagram.

Example 2.22. In Figure 2.1 we see the (extended) Hasse diagram of $Wk(A_4, \mathrm{id})$.



Figure 2.1.: Hasse diagram of $Wk(A_4, \mathrm{id})$

Lemma 2.23. *The poset $Wk(\theta)$ is a subposet of* $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{I}_\theta)$.

Proof. Both posets are defined on $\mathcal{I}_\theta$. Let $w, v \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ be two twisted involutions. Assume $w \preceq v$ with $w\underline{s} = v$ for some $s \in S$. If $\underline{s}$ acts by multiplication on w, then

$ws = v$ and since $s \in T$ (T the set of all reflections in W) and $l(w\underline{s}) = l(w) + 1$ we have $w \leq v$. If conversely $\underline{s}$ acts by twisted conjugation on w, then $v = \theta(s)ws = w(w^{-1}\theta(s)w)(e^{-1}se)$ and since $w^{-1}\theta(s)w, s \in T$ and $l(w\underline{s}) = l(\theta(s)w)+1 = l(w)+2$ we have again $w \leq v$. $\square$

Proposition 2.24. *For all $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and $s \in S$ we have $w\underline{s} \prec w$ iff $s \in D_R(w)$ and $w\underline{s} \succ w$ iff $s \notin D_R(w)$ as well as $w\underline{s} < w$ iff $s \in D_R(w)$ and $w\underline{s} > w$ iff $s \notin D_R(w)$.*

Proof. We have $w\underline{s}\underline{s} = w$ and $\rho(w\underline{s}) = \rho(w) - 1$ iff $s \in D_R(w)$ and $\rho(w\underline{s}) = \rho(w) + 1$ iff $s \notin D_R(w)$ by Lemma 2.15. By Lemma 2.23 both statements are true for $\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{I}_\theta)$, too. $\square$

Definition 2.25. Let $v, w \in W$ with $\rho(w) - \rho(v) = n$. A sequence $v = w_0 \prec w_1 \prec \ldots \prec w_n = w$ is called a **geodesic** from v to w.

Proposition 2.26. *Let $v, w \in W$ with $v \prec w$. Then all geodesics from v to w have the same count of twisted conjugated and multiplicative steps.*

Proof. Suppose we have two geodesics from v to w, where the first has n and the second m multiplicative steps. Then $l(w)+n+2(k-n) = l(v) = l(w)+m+2(k-m)$, hence $n = m$. $\square$

Proposition 2.27. *Let $w \in W$ and $w\underline{s} \succ w$. Then $|\{t \in S \setminus D_R(w) : w\underline{t} = w\underline{s}\}| \in \{1, 2\}$.*

Proof. Suppose $t \in S \setminus D_R(w)$ with $w\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$. Because of the ordinary length either both $\underline{s}$ and $\underline{t}$ act by multiplication on w, or both act by twisted conjugation on w. Suppose they act by multiplication, then $ws = w\underline{s} = w\underline{t} = wt$, hence $s = t$. Conversely, assume they act by twisted conjugation. Then $\theta(s)ws = w\underline{s} = w\underline{t} = \theta(t)wt$. Because of $\theta(t)wtt = \theta(t)w = \theta(s)wst$ we have $l(\theta(s)wst) < l(\theta(s)ws)$ and so by Exchange Condition there are three possible cases

$$\theta(t)w = \theta(s)wst = \begin{cases} \theta(s)w & \Rightarrow s = t, \\ ws & \Rightarrow \theta(t) = wsw^{-1} \text{ or} \\ \theta(s)\overline{w}s & \Rightarrow w = \theta(t)\theta(s)\overline{w}s, \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{w}$ denotes a well choosen subexpression of w. The first case is trivial, the second determines t unambiguously. The third case is impossible, since by Exchange Condition and Remark 1.18 we would have a reduced expression for w beginning with $\theta(s)$ or ending with s (or both), yielding $l(\theta(s)ws) \leq l(w)$, which contradicts to $\rho(w\underline{s}) = \rho(\theta(s)ws) > \rho(w)$. Therefore, there cannot be more than two distinct $s, t \in S \setminus D_R(w)$ with $w\underline{s} = w\underline{t}$. $\square$

Corollary 2.28. *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators. If $w\underline{s} = w\underline{t}$, then* $\mathrm{ord}(st) = 2$.

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 2.27 we see, that $w\underline{s} = w\underline{t}$ for two distinct $s, t \in S$ implies that $\theta(t)w = ws$ holds and that $\underline{s}$ and $\underline{t}$ act by twisted conjugation on w. Since $\theta(w) = w^{-1}$ we also have $\theta(s)w = wt$ by

$$\theta(t)w = ws \iff \theta(\theta(t)w) = \theta(ws) \iff tw^{-1} = w^{-1}\theta(s) \iff wt = \theta(s)w.$$

Hence we have $wts = \theta(s)ws = \theta(t)wt = wst$, yielding $st = ts$ and $\mathrm{ord}(st) = 2$. □

2.3. Residues

Residues in $Wk(\theta)$ are subsets of θ-twisted involutions that can be "reached" from a fixed starting point by using just certain $\underline{s} \in \underline{S}$ as the following definition specifies.

Definition 2.29. Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and $I \subseteq S$ be a subset of generators. Then we define

$$wC_I := \{w\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0, s_i \in S\}$$

as the **I-residue** of w or just **residue**. To emphasize the size of I, say $|I| = n$, we also speak of a **rank-n-residue**.

Example 2.30. Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$. Then $wC_\emptyset = \{w\}$ and $wC_S = \mathcal{I}_\theta$.

Lemma 2.31. *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and $I \subset S$. If $v \in wC_I$, then $vC_I = wC_I$.*

Proof. Suppose $v \in wC_I$. Then $v = w\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_n$ for some $s_i \in I$. Suppose $u = w\underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_m \in wC_I$ is any other element in wC_I with $t_i \in I$. Then

$$u = w\underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_m = (v\underline{s}_n \dots \underline{s}_1)\underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_m$$

and so $u \in vC_I$. This yields $wC_I \subset vC_I$. Since $w \in vC_I$ we can swap v and w to get the other inclusion. □

Corollary 2.32. *Let $v, w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and $I \subset S$. Then either $vC_I \cap wC_I = \emptyset$ or $vC_I = wC_I$.*

Proof. Immediately follows from Lemma 2.31. □

Proposition 2.33. [Hul07, Lemma 5.6] *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$, $I \subseteq S$ be a set of generators. Then there exists a unique element $w_0 \in wC_I$ with $w_0 \preceq w_0\underline{s}$ for all $s \in I$.*

Proof. Suppose there is no such element. Then for each $w \in wC_I$ we can find a $s \in I$ with $w' = w\underline{s} \preceq w$ and $e' \in wC_I$. By repetition of Deletion property for twisted expressions we get $e \in wC_I$, but e has the property, which we assumed that no element in wC_I has. Hence there must be at least one such element. Now suppose there are two distinct elements u, v with the desired property. Note that this means, that u and w have no reduced twisted expression ending with some $\underline{s} \in I$. Let v have a reduced twisted expression $v = \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_k$. Since u and v are both in wC_I there must be a twisted v-expression for u

$$u = v\underline{s}_{k+1} \dots \underline{s}_{k+l} = \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_{k+l}$$

with $s_n \in I$ for $k+1 \leq n \leq k+l$. This twisted expression cannot be reduced, since it ends with $\underline{s}_{k+l} \in I$. Then Deletion property for twisted expressions yields that this twisted expression contains a reduced twisted subexpression for u. It cannot end with $\underline{s}_n$ for $k+1 \leq n \leq k+l$. Hence, it is a twisted subexpression of $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_k = v$, too. So $u \leq v$ by Subword property. Because of symmetry we have $v \leq u$ and so $u = v$, contradicting to our assumption $u \neq v$. □

Corollary 2.34. *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$, $I \subseteq S$ be a set of generators and let $\rho_{min} := \min\{\rho(v) : v \in wC_I\}$ be the minimal twisted length within the residue wC_I. Then there is a unique element $w_{min} \in wC_I$ with $\rho(w_{min}) = \rho_{min}$. We denote this element by $\min(w, I)$.*

Proof. The minimal rank ρ_{min} exists, since the image of ρ is in $\mathbb{N}_0$, which is well-ordered, and $wC_I \neq \emptyset$. Suppose we have an element w_{min} with $\rho(w_{min}) = \rho_{min}$. This means, that in particular all $w_{min}\underline{s}$ with $s \in I$ must be of larger twisted length, i.e. $w_{min} \prec w_{min}\underline{s}$ for all $s \in I$. With Proposition 2.33 this element must be unique. □

We proceed with some properties of rank-2-residues. Our interest in these residues stems from the fact, that their properties are needed later in Section 2.4 to construct an effective algorithm for calculating the twisted weak ordering, i.e. calculating the Hasse diagram of $Wk(W, \theta)$ for arbitrary Coxeter systems (W, S) and Coxeter system automorphisms θ.

Definition 2.35. Let $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators. We define:

$$[\underline{st}]^n := \begin{cases} (\underline{st})^{\frac{n}{2}} & n \text{ even,} \\ (\underline{st})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\underline{s} & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

This definition allows us to express rank-2-residues differently. Suppose we have an element $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and two distinct generators $s, t \in S$. Thanks to Lemma 2.31 and Corollary 2.34 we can assume, that $w = min(w, \{s, t\})$. Then

$$wC_{\{s,t\}} = \{w\} \ \cup \ \{w[\underline{st}]^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \ \cup \ \{w[\underline{ts}]^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

This encourages the following definition.

Definition 2.36. Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and let $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators. Suppose $w = min(w, \{s, t\})$. Then we call $\{w[\underline{st}]^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the **s-branch** and $\{w[\underline{ts}]^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the **t-branch** of $wC_{\{s,t\}}$.

One question arises immediately: Are the s- and the t-branch disjoint? With the following propositions, corollaries and lemmas we will get a much better idea of the structure of rank-2-residues and answer this question.

Proposition 2.37. *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and let $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators. Without loss of generality suppose $w = \min(w, \{s, t\})$. If there is a $v \in wC_{\{s,t\}}$ with $v\underline{s} \prec v$ and $v\underline{t} \prec v$, then it is unique with this property in $wC_{\{s,t\}}$. Hence $wC_{\{s,t\}}$ consists of two geodesics from w to v intersecting only in these two elements. Else, the s- and t-branch are disjoint, strictly ascending in twisted length and of infinite size.*

Proof. Suppose there is a v in the s-branch with $v\underline{s} \prec v$ and $v\underline{t} \prec v$, say $v = w[\underline{st}]^n$ and n is minimal with this property. Because of the uniqueness of a minimal element from Proposition 2.33 we have $w[\underline{st}]^{m+1} \prec w[\underline{st}]^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq m \leq 2n-1$. With the same argument we have $w[\underline{st}]^{2n} = w$. If no such v exists, then the s- and t-branch must be disjoint, strictly ascending in twisted length and so of infinite size. $\square$

The assertion that Proposition 2.37 makes can be thought of some kind of convexity of rank-2-residues. A rank-2-residue cannot have a concave structure like in Figure 2.2.



Figure 2.2.: Impossible concave structure of a rank-2-residues

Proposition 2.38. *Let $w \in S$ and $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators with $w\underline{s} \prec w$. If $\underline{s}$ acts by multiplication on w, then $w\underline{st} \succ w\underline{s}$ or $w\underline{t} \prec w$.*

Proof. Suppose $w\underline{st} \prec w\underline{s} \prec w$, hence $l(w\underline{st}) < l(w\underline{s}) < l(w)$ in particular. If $\underline{t}$ acts by multiplication on $w\underline{s}$, then we have $l(w\underline{st}) = l(\theta(s)(wt)) = l(w) - 2$. If it acts by twisted conjugation, then we have $l(w\underline{st}) = l(\theta(t)\theta(s)(wt)) = l(w) - 3$. In both cases we have $l(wt) < l(w)$, hence $t \in D_R(w)$ and so $w\underline{t} \prec w$. $\square$

Note that this proposition could be strengthen by insisting on an exclusive or, since we cannot have both cases at the same time. By the proof of Proposition 2.27 we see that we cannot have $w\underline{st} = w$, since double edges are always twisted conjugations. Hence having $w\underline{st} \succ w\underline{s} \prec w \succ w\underline{t}$ would contradict to the convexity from Proposition 2.37. The next corollary ensures that multiplicative actions in $Wk(\theta)$ can only occur at the top or bottom end of rank-2-residues.

Corollary 2.39. *Let $w \in S$ and let $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators and suppose $\underline{s}$ acts by multiplication on w. Then w or $w\underline{s}$ is the unique minimal or maximal element in $wC_{\{s,t\}}$.*

Proof. Suppose w is not maximal, i.e. $w\underline{t} \succ w$. Then by Proposition 2.38 we have $w\underline{st} \succ w\underline{s}$, hence $w\underline{s}$ is minimal. Suppose w is not minimal, i.e. $w\underline{st} \prec w\underline{s}$. Then with the same argument we have $w\underline{t} \prec w$, hence w is maximal. Supposing $w\underline{s}$ not to be maximal or not to be minimal yields analogue results. $\square$

Again, this corollary can be strengthen by insisting on an exclusive or with the same arguments as before.

Definition 2.40. Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$, $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators with $\mathrm{ord}(st) < \infty$ and $C := wC_{\{s,t\}}$ the corrosponding rank-2-residue. We classify rank-2-residues according to Figure 2.3.



Figure 2.3.: Classification of rank-2-residues

Lemma 2.41. *Let $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators and $w \in S$ with $w = min(w, \{s, t\})$. Suppose $v \in wC_{\{s,t\}}$ with $v\underline{s} \prec v$ and $v\underline{t} \prec v$. Then $wC_{\{s,t\}}$ is either non-, maximal-, bottom-, top- or diagonal-multiplicative. In particular the twisted conjugations and mulitplications are distributed axisymmetrically or pointsymmetrically.*

Proof. If u covers w, then there are only two edges and the assumption holds. So suppose $wC_{\{s,t\}}$ contains at least four edges. Due to Corollary 2.39 the actions by multiplication can only occur next to w and v. Hence there are $2^4 = 16$ configurations possible. Proposition 2.26 wipes out ten out of the 16 configurations. The remaining are those from Figure 2.3. □

Example 2.42. In Figure 2.4 we see three Hasse diagrams of $Wk(A_4, \mathrm{id})$. The left one only contains edges with labels s_1, s_2, the middle one only edges with labels s_1, s_3 and the right one only edges with labels s_1, s_4.

Corollary 2.43. *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ with $\rho(w) = k$, s, t be two distinct generators and $s \notin D_R(w)$. Suppose $w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1} = w\underline{s}$ and suppose n to be the smallest number with this property. Then $w[\underline{ts}]^{n-1}$ is the minimal element* $\min(w, \{s, t\})$ *and $w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1}$ is*



Figure 2.4.: Hasse diagrams of $Wk(A_4, \mathrm{id})$ after removing s_3, s_4 edges in the left, s_2, s_4 edges in the middle and s_2, s_3 edges in the right diagram

the maximal element. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= l(w\underline{s}) - l(w) - 1,\\
a_2 &= l(w[\underline{ts}]^{n-1}) - l(w[\underline{ts}]^{n-2}) - 1,\\
a_3 &= l(w[\underline{ts}]^{n}) - l(w[\underline{ts}]^{n-1}) - 1 \text{ and}\\
a_4 &= l(w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1}) - l(w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-2}) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \{0, 1\}$ contain the information if edges next to the minimal and the maximal element of $wC_{\{s,t\}}$ are twisted conjugations or multiplications. Then each can be deduced from the three remaining ones with the equation $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 + a_4$.

Proof. The minimality of $w[\underline{ts}]^{n-1}$ and the maximality of $w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1}$ is due to Proposition 2.37. The soundness of the equation follows from the symmetric distribution of twisted conjugations and mutipliations from Lemma 2.41. $\square$

Lemma 2.44. *Let $w \in S$, $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators and $m = \mathrm{ord}(st) < \infty$. Then $|wC_{\{s,t\}}| \leq 2m$.*

Proof. Let w be the Wk-minimal element and v be the Wk-maximal element in our residue. Due to Lemma 2.41 there are five different cases we have to consider:

Non-multiplicative: We have $w(\underline{st})^m = (ts)^m w(st)^m = w$.

Maximal-multiplicative: Due to $\theta(s)w = ws$ and $\theta(t)w = wt$ we have

$$w(\underline{st})^{m/2+1} = \theta(\hat{t}(st)^{m/2-1}\hat{s})w(st)^{m/2+1} = w(st)^m = w.$$

(**TODO** Show that this situation only occurs for even m)

Bottom-multiplicative: Again we are in a case, where $\theta(s)w = ws$ and $\theta(t)w = wt$ hold. Hence we have

$$w(\underline{st})^{(m+1)/2} = \theta(\hat{t}(st)^{(m-1)/2}\hat{s})w(st)^{(m+1)/2} = w(st)^m = w.$$

(**TODO** Show that this situation only occurs for odd m)

Top-multiplicative: Analogue to the previous case if we start from u instead of w.

Diagonal-multiplicative: Suppose m is even. Then we have

$$w(\underline{st})^m = \theta(\underbrace{ts\cdots st}_{m-1}\hat{s}\underbrace{ts\cdots st}_{m-1}\hat{s})w(st)^m = \theta(\underbrace{ts\cdots s}_{m-2}\underbrace{s\cdots st}_{m-2})w = \ldots = w.$$

If m is odd, then we have the completely analogue situation

$$w(\underline{st})^m = \theta(\underbrace{ts\cdots ts}_{m-1}\hat{t}\underbrace{st\cdots st}_{m-1}\hat{s})w(st)^m = \theta(\underbrace{ts\cdots t}_{m-2}\underbrace{t\cdots st}_{m-2})w = \ldots = w.$$

So in all cases we have $w(\underline{st})^k = w$ for a $k \leq \operatorname{ord}(st)$ and hence the residue can have at most $2 \cdot \operatorname{ord}(st)$ many distinct elements. □

Proposition 2.45. *Let $w \in S$ and $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators with* $\operatorname{ord}(st) < \infty$*. Suppose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the smallest number with $w = w(\underline{st})^k$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w = w(\underline{st})^n$ we have $k \mid n$.*

Proof. Let $n = qk + r$ for $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$. Then

$$w = w(\underline{st})^n = w(\underline{st})^{qk+r} = w(\underline{st})^{qk}(\underline{st})^r = w(\underline{st})^{q(k-1)}(\underline{st})^r = \ldots = w(\underline{st})^r.$$

For $r > 0$ we would have a contradiction to the minimality of k, hence $r = 0$, $q > 0$ and therefore $k \mid n$. □

Corollary 2.46. *Let $w \in S$ and $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators with $w\underline{s} \neq w\underline{t}$. Suppose $w = w(\underline{st})^m = w(\underline{st})^n$. Then* $\gcd(m, n) > 1$.

Proof. Let k be the same as in Proposition 2.45. Since $w\underline{s} \neq w\underline{t}$ we have $k > 1$. Both, $k \mid n$ and $k \mid m$, hence $\gcd(m, n) \geq k > 1$. □

This constraints the possible size of rank-2-residues.

2.4. Twisted weak ordering algorithms

Now we address the problem of calculating $Wk(\theta)$ for an arbitrary Coxeter group W, given in form of a set of generating symbols $S = \{s_1, \ldots s_n\}$ and the relations in form of $m_{ij} = \operatorname{ord}(s_i s_j)$. From this input we want to calculate the Hasse diagram, i.e. the vertex set $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ and the edges labeled with $\underline{s}$. Thanks to Lemma 2.12 the vertex set can be obtained by walking the e-orbit of the action from Definition 2.5. The only element of twisted length 0 is e. Suppose we have already calculated the Hasse diagram until the twisted length k, i.e. we know all vertices $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ with $\rho(w) \leq k$ and all edges connecting two vertices u, v with $\rho(u) + 1 = \rho(v) \leq k$.

Let $\rho_k := \{w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta : \rho(w) = k\}$. Then all vertices in ρ_{k+1} are of the form $w\underline{s}$ for some $w \in \rho_k, s \in S$. For each $(w, s) \in \rho_k \times S$, we calculate $w\underline{s}$. If $\rho(w\underline{s}) = k + 1$ then $w \prec w\underline{s}$. To avoid having to check the twisted length we use Lemma 2.15. We already know the set $S_w \subseteq S$ of all generators yielding an edge into w. Due to the lemma we have $\rho(w\underline{s}) = k - 1$ for all $s \in S_w$ and $\rho(w\underline{s}) = k + 1$ for all $s \in S \setminus S_w$. Hence we only calculate $w\underline{s}$ for $s \in S \setminus S_w$ and know $w \prec w\underline{s}$ without checking the twisted length explicitly. The last problem to solve is the possibility of two different $(w, s), (v, t) \in \rho_k \times S$ with $w\underline{s} = v\underline{t}$. To deal with this, we have to compare a potential new twisted involution $w\underline{s}$ with each element of twisted length $k+1$, already calculated. The concrete problem of comparing two elements in a free presented group, called **word problem for groups**, will not be addressed here. We suppose, that whatever computer system is used to implement our algorithm, supplies a suitable way to do that. The only thing to note is that solving the wordproblem is not a cheap operation. Reducing the count of element comparisions is a major demand to any algorithm, calculating $Wk(\theta)$. For a general approach on effective element multiplication in arbitrary Coxeter groups see [Cas01, Cas08].

The steps discussed have been compiled in to an algorithm by [BHH06, Algorithm 2.4] and [HH12, Algorithm 3.1.1]. We take this as our starting point. Since the runtime is far from being optimal, we use the structural properties of rank-2-residues from Section 2.3 to improve the algorithm. As we will show, these optimizations yield an algorithm with an asymptotical perfect runtime behavior. TWOA1 and its optimizations have essentially the same structure in common. This is shown in TWOABase.

Algorithm 2.47 (TWOABase)**.**

procedure TwistedWeakOrderingAlgorithmBase($(W, S), k_{max}$)
  $V \leftarrow \{(e, 0)\}$
  $E \leftarrow \{\}$
  for $k \leftarrow 0$ **to** k_{max} **do**
    for all $(w, k_w) \in V$ **with** $k_w = k$ **do**
      for all $s \in S$ **with** $\nexists(\cdot, w, s) \in E$ **do** ▷ Only for $s \notin D_R(w)$
        if $w\underline{s} \notin V$ **then** ▷ Check if $w\underline{s}$ already known
          $V \leftarrow V \cup \{(w\underline{s}, k + 1)\}$
        end if
        $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(w, w\underline{s}, l(w\underline{s}) - l(w)), s\}$
      end for
    end for
    $k \leftarrow k + 1$
  end for
  return (V, E) ▷ The poset graph
end procedure

Remark 2.48. Note, that if W is finite, k_{max} does not have to be evaluated explicitly. When k reaches the maximal twisted length in $Wk(\theta)$, then the only vertex of twisted length k is the unique element $w_0 \in W$ of maximal ordinary length. Since $s \in D_R(w_0)$ for all $s \in S$, there is no $s' \in S$ remaining to calculate $w_0\underline{s}'$ for. This condition can be checked to terminate the algorithm without knowing k_{max} before. When W is infinite, there is no maximal element and $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ is infinite, too. In this case k_{max} is used to terminate after having calculated a finite part of $Wk(\theta)$.

Lemma 2.49. *TWOABase is a deterministic algorithm iff the decision at line 7 is taken by a deterministic algorithm.*

Proof. The outer loop (line 4) is strictly ascending in $k \in \{0, \dots, k_{max}\}$ and so finite. The innermost loop (line 6) is finite since S is finite and the inner loop (line 5) is finite, since V starts as finite set and in each step there are added at most $|V| \cdot |S|$ many new vertices. Therefore the algorithm terminates. The soundness is due to the arguments at the beginning of Section 2.4. □

For all runtime investigations in the paper, we consider $|S|$ and $\operatorname{ord}(st)$ (for all $s, t \in S$ with $\operatorname{ord}(st) < \infty$) to be constant. This is suitable, since they are tiny compared to $|\mathcal{I}_\theta|$.

Proposition 2.50. *Let A be a concrete algorithm instance of TWOABase. By this we mean an algorithm A, that has the form of TWOABase together with an algorithm D to decide $w\underline{s} \in V$ at line 7. Then for $k = k_{max}$ and $n = \{w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta : \rho(w) \leq k\}$ we have $A \in \mathcal{O}(n \cdot D)$.*

Proof. The body of the inner loop (line 5) is executed precisely n times and the body contains of D and some instructions with constant runtime. □

The poset graph is build up from the unique element of rank 0, the neutral element e. Then all elements of rank 1 are calculated including all edges between elements of rank 0 and rank 1. This is repeated until the rank k_{max} is reached. As we will see, the if-statement at line 7 in TWOABase is the crucial point in the algorithm. The naive way of checking $w\underline{s} \in V$ is to calculate $w\underline{s}$ as group element in W and then do a element comparison of $w\underline{s}$ in W with all elements already in V with twisted length $k+1$. This is exactly what TWOA1 does.

Algorithm 2.51 (TWOA1)**.** This algorithm is based on TWOABase. It uses the following function to determine if $w\underline{s} \in V$ at line 7 in TWOABase.

procedure CHECKIFALREADYKNOWN($(W, S), w, s, V, E$)
    $y \leftarrow ws$
    $z \leftarrow \theta(s)y$
    if $z = w$ **then** ▷ Explicit element comparison in W
        $x \leftarrow y$
    else
        $x \leftarrow z$
    end if
    for all $(v, k_v) \in V$ **with** $k_v = k + 1$ **do** ▷ Check if x already known
        if $x = v$ **then** ▷ Explicit element comparison
            return true
        end if
    end for

14: **return false**
15: **end procedure**

Lemma 2.52. *TWOA1 is a deterministic algorithm.*

Proof. Since the algorithm for $w\underline{s} \in V$ just compares $w\underline{s}$ with all elements in V of same twisted length it is sound. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $|\{w \in W : \rho(w) = k\}| < \infty$ and therefore it terminates. $\square$

Lemma 2.53. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = |\{w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta : \rho(w) \leq k\}|$. Then TWOA1 $\in \mathcal{O}(n^2/k)$.*

Proof. We omit the detailed worst case analysis of TWOA1. Instead we give an outline of the proof. Let D be the algorithm to check $w\underline{s} \in V$. When D is executed, then $w\underline{s}$ is compared to all $w \in V$ with $\rho(w) = k + 1$. If $w\underline{s}$ is the first element of twisted length $k + 1$, then there is nothing to compare. If it is the last element with this twisted length, that is not already known, then there are almost as many comparisons needed, as elements with this twisted length exist in $\mathcal{I}_\theta$. Overall we can assume $D \in \mathcal{O}(n/k)$. By Proposition 2.50 we get TWOA1 $\in \mathcal{O}(n^2/k)$. $\square$

Any algorithm calculating $Wk(\theta)$ must be at least linear in the size of $\mathcal{I}_\theta$ (since this is the size of the vertice result set). Our goal is to improve TWOA1 so that we get an algorithm in $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{I}_\theta|)$, i.e. an asymptotical perfect algorithm for calculating $Wk(\theta)$. As already seen the element comparison of a potential new element with all already known elements of same twisted length (TWOA1 at line 9) is the bottleneck. Here the rank-2-residues become key. Suppose we have a $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ with $\rho(w) = k$ and $s \in S$. In TWOA1 we would now check if $w\underline{s}$ is a new vertex or if we already calculated it by comparing it with all already known vertices of twisted length $k + 1$. Assume we have already calculated it. This means there is another twisted involution v with $\rho(v) = k$ and another generator $t \in S$ with $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$. With Proposition 2.37 $w\underline{s}$ is the unique element of maximal twisted length in the rank-2-residue $wC_{\{s,t\}}$. This yields a necessary condition for $w\underline{s}$ to be equal to a already known vertex, allowing us to replace the ineffective search all method in TWOA1 at line 9.



Figure 2.5.: Optimization of TWOA1

Corollary 2.54. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose we are in the sitation described at the beginning of Section 2.4. Let $\rho_i := \{w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta : \rho(w) = i\}$ and ρ'_{k+1} the set of the already calculated vertices with twisted length $k + 1$. If $w\underline{s} \in \rho'_{k+1}$ for some $w \in \rho_k, s \in S$, say $w\underline{s} = v\underline{t}$ with $v \in \rho_k$ and $t \in S \setminus \{s\}$, then $w\underline{s} = w[\underline{ts}]^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w[\underline{ts}]^j \in \rho_0 \cup \ldots \cup \rho_k \cup \rho'_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof. The equality $w\underline{s} = w[\underline{ts}]^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is due to Proposition 2.37. All vertices in this rank-2-residue except $v\underline{t}$ have a twisted length of k or lower. For $v\underline{t}$ we supposed it is already known, hence $v\underline{t} \in \rho'_{k+1}$. Therefore all vertices $w[\underline{ts}]^j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ are in $\rho_0 \cup \ldots \cup \rho_k \cup \rho'_{k+1}$. $\square$

This can be checked effectively. Both, w and s are fixed. Start with $M = \emptyset$. For all already known edges from or to w being labeled with $\underline{t} \in \underline{S} \setminus \{\underline{s}\}$ we do the following: Walk $w[\underline{ts}]^i$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots$ until $\rho(w[\underline{ts}]^i) = k + 1$. Note that walking in this case really means walking the graph. All involved vertices and edges have already been calculated. So there is no need for more calculations in W to find $w[\underline{ts}]^i$. By Proposition 2.37 such a path must exist (in a completely calculated graph). But we could be in the case, where the last step from $w[\underline{ts}]^{i-1}$ to $w[\underline{ts}]^i$ has not been calculated yet. If it is already calculated, then add this element to M by setting $M = M \cup \{w[\underline{ts}]^i\}$. If not, do not add it to M.

Now M contains all already known elements of twisted length $k+1$, satisfying the necessary condition from Corollary 2.54. Furthermore $|M| < |S|$. So for each pair (w, s) we have to do at most $|S| - 1$ many element comparisons the determine if $w\underline{s}$ is new or already known, no matter how many elements of twisted length $k + 1$ are already known. This can be used to massively improve TWOA1:

Algorithm 2.55 (TWOA2)**.** This algorithm is based on TWOABase. It uses the following function to determine if $w\underline{s} \in V$ at line 7 in TWOABase.

procedure CheckIfAlreadyKnown($(W, S), w, s, V, E$)
    $y \leftarrow ws$
    $z \leftarrow \theta(s)y$
    if $z = w$ **then** ▷ Explicit element comparison in W
        $x \leftarrow y$
    else
        $x \leftarrow z$
    end if
    for all $t \in S \setminus \{S\}$ **do**
        if $\mathrm{ord}(st) < \infty$ **then**
            $v \leftarrow w$
            $k \leftarrow 1$
            $(z_0, z_1) \leftarrow (s, t)$
            while true do ▷ Walk $wC_{\{s,t\}} \cap V$ down
                $e \leftarrow (v_0, v_1, a, l) \in E$ with $v_1 = v$ and $a = z_{k \bmod 2}$
                if $e =$ **null then**
                    break
                end if
                $v \leftarrow v_0$
                $k \leftarrow k + 1$
            end while
            while true do ▷ Walk $wC_{\{s,t\}} \cap V$ up the other branch
                $e \leftarrow (v_0, v_1, a, l) \in E$ with $v_0 = v$ and $a = z_{k \bmod 2}$
                if $e =$ **null then**

25: **break**
26: **end if**
27: $v \leftarrow v_1$
28: $k \leftarrow k - 1$
29: **end while**
30: **if** k $= 0$ **then** ▷ Check if $\rho(v) = \rho(w) + 1$
31: **if** $x = v$ **then** ▷ Explicit element comparison in W
32: **return true**
33: **end if**
34: **end if**
35: **end if**
36: **end for**
37: **return false**
38: **end procedure**

Lemma 2.56. *TWOA2 is a deterministic algorithm.*

Proof. The outer loop (line 9) is executed $|S| - 1$ times. Its body is only called if $\mathrm{ord}(st)$ is finite. Due to Lemma 2.44 the both inner while loops (lines 14,22) are executed at most $2 \cdot \mathrm{ord}(st)$ times. So TWOA2 terminates. The soundness of this improvement is due to Corollary 2.54. □

Lemma 2.57. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = |\{w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta : \rho(w) \leq k\}|$. Then $TWOA2 \in \mathcal{O}(n)$.*

Proof. Let D be the algorithm to check $w\underline{s} \in V$. As seen in the proof of Lemma 2.56, the execution count for each while loop in D does not exceed

$$(|S| - 1) \cdot \max\{\mathrm{ord}(st) : t \in S \setminus \{s\}, \mathrm{ord}(st) < \infty\}.$$

Since we considered $|S|$ and $\mathrm{ord}(st)$ constant we have $D \in \mathcal{O}(1)$ and so with Proposition 2.50 we have TWOA2 $\in \mathcal{O}(n)$. □

Many more explicit element comparisons can be avoided. In some cases we can deduce the equality $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$ as well as $l(w\underline{s}) - l(w)$ just from the already calculated structure of the rank-2-residue $wC_{\{s,t\}}$, while in other cases we can preclude that $v\underline{t}$ equals $w\underline{s}$. The following two corollaries show examples of restrictions that rank-2-residues are subjected to:

Corollary 2.58. *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ with $\rho(w) = k$, s, t be two distinct generators and $s \notin D_R(w)$. Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the smallest number for that $\rho(w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1}) = k + 1$ holds. Then:*

1. *If $n = \mathrm{ord}(st)$, then $w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1} = w\underline{s}$.*
2. *If $n \geq 2$ and $l(w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1}) - l(w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-2}) = 1$, then $w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1} = w\underline{s}$.*

Proof. 1. Follows immediately from Lemma 2.44.

2. Because of the length difference the step from $w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-2}$ to $w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1}$ is a multiplication, not a twisted conjugation, and because of $n \geq 1$ this step cannot be next to the smallest element in $wC_{\{s,t\}}$. Hence $w[\underline{ts}]^{2n-1} = w\underline{s}$ by Corollary 2.39. □

Corollary 2.59. *Let $w \in S$ and $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators. Then the following table shows all possible $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w(\underline{st})^n = w$ regarding $\operatorname{ord}(st)$ and the distribution of multiplications and twisted conjugations in $wC_{\{s,t\}}$ (see Figure 2.3).*

	ord(st)						
	2	*3*	*4*	*5*	*6*	*7*	*8*
non-multiplicative	*1,2*	*3*	*2,4*	*5*	*2,3,4,6*	*7*	*2,4,6,8*
diagonal-multiplicative	*2*	*3*	*2,4*	*5*	*2,3,4,6*	*7*	*2,4,6,8*
maximal-multiplicative	*2*	–	*3*	–	*2,4*	–	*5*
bottom- and top-multiplicative	–	*2*	–	*3*	–	*2,4*	–

Proof. In each case we get a m with $w = (\underline{st})^m$ from the proof of Lemma 2.44. By Corollary 2.46 any n with this property has a non trivial divisor in common with m if $w\underline{s} \neq w\underline{t}$. The situation $w\underline{st} = w$ for $s \neq t$ can only occur if $\operatorname{ord}(st) = 2$ and if $\underline{s}$ and $\underline{t}$ act by twisted conjugation on w due to Corollary 2.28 and the proof of Proposition 2.27. □

We use these restrictions to further improve TWOA2:

Proposition 2.60. *Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ with $\rho(w) = k$, $s, t \in S$ be two distinct generators with $m := \operatorname{ord}(st) < \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the smallest number with $\rho(w[\underline{ts}]^n) = k+1$. Note that n has to be odd in this case. We define $v := w[\underline{ts}]^{n-1}$, $h := (n+1)/2$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= l(w\underline{s}) - l(w) - 1, \\ a_2 &= l(w[\underline{ts}]^{h-1}) - l(w[\underline{ts}]^{h-2}) - 1, \\ a_3 &= l(w[\underline{ts}]^{h}) - l(w[\underline{ts}]^{h-1}) - 1 \text{ and} \\ a_4 &= l(w[\underline{ts}]^{2h-1}) - l(w[\underline{ts}]^{2h-2}) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then the following decision tree allows to decide of $w\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$ or $w\underline{t} \neq w\underline{s}$ in many cases without explicit element comparison.

1. $h = 1$:
   a) $m = 2$:
      i. $a_4 = 1$: *Maybe $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$. If it is the case, then $a_1 = 1$.*
      ii. $a_4 = 0$: *Then $v\underline{t} \neq w\underline{s}$.*
   b) $m > 2$: *Then $v\underline{t} \neq w\underline{s}$.*
2. $h > 1$:
   a) $a_4 = 0$: *Then $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$ and $a_1 = a_3 + a_4 - a_2$.*
   b) $(a_2, a_3) = (1, 1)$:
      i. $h = m$: *Then $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$ and $a_1 = 1$.*

ii. $\gcd(h, m) > 1$: *Maybe* $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$. *If it is the case, then* $a_1 = 1$.

iii. *else: Then* $v\underline{t} \neq w\underline{s}$.

c) $(a_2, a_3) = (1, 0)$:

i. $h = m$: *Then* $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$ *and* $a_1 = 0$.

ii. $\gcd(h, m) > 1$: *Maybe* $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$. *If it is the case, then* $a_1 = 0$.

iii. *else: Then* $v\underline{t} \neq w\underline{s}$.

d) $(a_2, a_3) = (0, 0)$:

i. $h = (m + 1)/2$: *Then* $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$ *and* $a_1 = 1$.

ii. $\gcd(h, (m + 1)/2) > 1$: *Maybe* $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$. *If it is the case, then* $a_1 = 1$.

iii. *else: Then* $v\underline{t} \neq w\underline{s}$.

Proof. First of all we convince ourselves that this decision tree is complete. This is immediate, since by $h \geq 0$, $m \geq 2$ and Lemma 2.41. Suppose $h = 1$. This means $v = w$. In case $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$, then we have a double edge between w and $w\underline{s}$. By Corollary 2.28 this is possible only if $m = \mathrm{ord}(st) = 2$ and $a_4 = l(w\underline{t}) - l(w) - 1 = 1$. Now suppose $h > 1$ and $a_4 = 0$. By Proposition 2.38 either $v\underline{s} \succ v$ or $v\underline{ts} \prec v\underline{t}$. Since $h > 1$ we cannot have $v\underline{s} \succ v$, hence $v\underline{ts} \prec v\underline{t}$. Then $v\underline{t}$ is the unique maximal element in $wC_{\{s,t\}}$ and so $w\underline{s} = v\underline{t}$. Now suppose $h > 1$ and $a_4 = 1$ and furthermore suppose $(a_2, a_3) = (1, 1)$ (the other cases are analogue). If $h = m$, then by Lemma 2.44 $v\underline{t}$ is again the unique maximal element and $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$. If $h < m$ then by Corollary 2.46 $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$ is only possible if $\gcd(h, m) > 1$. In all cases the deduction of a_1 is possible with Corollary 2.43. □

Algorithm 2.61 (TWOA3)**.** In general this algorithm proceeds like TWOA2. But instead of comparing $w\underline{s}$ with the list of all possible already known elements $v\underline{t}$, it uses the decision tree from Proposition 2.60 to either directly find $v\underline{t}$ with $v\underline{t} = w\underline{s}$ or at least to sort out elements from the list, that cannot be equal to $w\underline{s}$. The information needed for the decision tree, namely $w, s, t, h, a_2, a_3, a_4$ (cf. Proposition 2.60), can easily be extracted, when searching for the already calculated elements $v\underline{t}$ with $\rho(v\underline{t}) = \rho(w) + 1$. This algorithm then applies the decision tree to each of them to decide if $w\underline{s} = v\underline{t}$, or if $w\underline{s} \neq v\underline{t}$ or if explicit element comparison is needed, to get a final answer to this question. We will omit the concrete details and refer to the appendix, where a implementation of this algorithm can be found.

Lemma 2.62. *TWOA3 is a deterministic algorithm.*

Proof. By construction TWOA3 has the same loops as TWOA2, which is an deterministic algorithm. In addition TWOA3 uses the decision tree from Proposition 2.60. Since the decision tree has no loops, is terminates and we have already proved its correctness. Hence TWOA3 is correct and it terminates. □

Lemma 2.63. *Let* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = |\{w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta : \rho(w) \leq k\}|$. *Then* $TWOA3 \in \mathcal{O}(n)$.

Proof. Since the decision tree has constant runtime the asymptotical runtime of TWOA3 cannot be worse than the asymptotical runtime of TWOA2. □

2.5. Implementing the twisted weak ordering algorithms

In this section we will look at a concrete implementation of the algorithm TWOA1 from [BHH06] and [HH12] and of the improved versions TWOA2 and TWOA3 that we have just introduced. The source codes of the test implementations can be found in the appendix, Section A. They are written in [GAP12], using the [Neu12] package for reading and writing the results to hard disk. It supplies a powerful programming language and can handle with free represented groups, in particular it allows comparisons of elements in such groups. The following algorithm benchmarks have been executed on a computer running Debian Linux in Verion 6.0.5 with an Intel® Core™ i7-965 CPU (4 cores at 3.2 GHz) and 8 GiB RAM. Note that our implementations do not support multithreding.

At first we compare the count of element comparisons needed for our three algorithms. For this we calculate $Wk(W, \mathrm{id})$ for a selection of finite Coxeter systems and count the comparisons. In Figure 2.6 we see the count of needed element comparisons plotted against the size of the set of id-twisted involutions.



Figure 2.6.: Element comparisons needed for calculating $Wk(\mathrm{id})$

The first observation is the much lower count of needed element comparisons of TWOA2 and TWOA3 in comparison to TWOA1, just as we intended it with our improvements. Figure 2.7 plots the runtimes against the size of $Wk(\theta)$. The complete table of benchmark results can be found in the appendix, Section B.



Figure 2.7.: Runtime for calculating $Wk(\mathrm{id})$

3. Twisted weak ordering 3-residually connectedness

Definition 3.1. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $\theta : W \to W$ an automorphism of W with $\theta^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and $\theta(S) = S$. We call $Wk(\theta)$ **3-residually connected** if the following holds: For every possible spherical $K \subseteq S$ (i.e. $\langle K \rangle \leq W$ is finite) and every $S_1, S_2, S_3 \subseteq S$ with pairwise non-empty intersection the statement

(3RC) $w_K C_{S_{12}} \cap w_K C_{S_{23}} \cap w_K C_{S_{31}} \subseteq w_K C_T$

holds, whereas w_K denotes the longest element in $\langle K \rangle$, $S_{ij} = S_i \cap S_j$ and $T = S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3$.

For arbitrary sets S_{12}, S_{23}, S_{31} that do not come from pairwise intersections it is easy to find pairs of Coxeter systems and Coxeter system automorphism that do not satisfy the (modified) 3-residually connectedness, as seen in Example 3.3. The following proposition shows us, what distinguishes our special configuration of sets of generators from the arbitrary configuration.

Proposition 3.2. *Let M be a set and $S_{12}, S_{23}, S_{31} \subseteq M$ three subsets. Then there are three sets $S_1, S_2, S_3 \subseteq M$ with $S_{ij} = S_i \cap S_j$ iff no element $x \in M$ is precisely in two of the sets S_{ij}.*

Proof. Let S_{12}, S_{23}, S_{31} be the pairwise intersection of three sets S_1, S_2, S_3. If an element $x \in M$ is in none or in one of the sets S_i, then it is in none of the sets S_{ij}. If it is in two of the sets S_i, say $x \in S_1, S_2$, then $x \in S_{12}$, but x is not in one of the other two S_{ij}. If x is in all three S_i, then it is in all three S_{ij}, too. Hence there is no $x \in M$, that is in precisely two of the sets S_{ij}. Conversely, suppose S_{12}, S_{23}, S_{31} to be arbitrary with the constraint, that there is no element $x \in M$ in precisely two of them. Then we can construct three sets S_1, S_2, S_3, whose pairwise intersections coincides with the sets S_{ij} by $x \in S_i \wedge x \in S_j$ iff $x \in S_{ij}$. With this construction and the previous considerations, it is clear that these S_i have the S_{ij} as pairwise intersection. Note that this construction is not unique in general, since when there is a $x \in M$, that is in none of the sets S_{ij}, then we could add it to S_1, S_2 or S_3 or just omit it without changing there pairwise intersection. $\square$

3.1. Special cases

In this section we investigate some results and examples, in special situations. We fix some notation, namely let $K \subseteq S$ be spherical, $S_1, S_2, S_3 \subseteq S$ have a pairwise non-empty intersection, $S_{ij} = S_i \cap S_j$, $T = S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3$ and w_K denote the longest element in $\langle K \rangle$.

Example 3.3. Let $W = A_3$ and θ be the Coxeter system autmorphism swapping s_1 and s_3 and let $w = s_1s_3 = s_3s_1$. We have $e\underline{s_1} = s_3s_1 = w = s_1s_3 = e\underline{s_3}$. Hence $w \in eC_{\{s_1\}}$ and $w \in eC_{\{s_3\}}$ but $w \notin eC_{\{s_1\}\cap\{s_1\}\cap\{s_3\}} = eC_\emptyset = \{e\}$.

Such a trivial counterexample like in Example 3.3 can not occur in the situation from Definition 3.1.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $w, v \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ with $\rho(v) - \rho(w) = 1$ and let $v \in wC_{S_{ij}}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Then we have $v \in wC_T$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.27 there are at most two (not necessarily distinct) $s, t \in S$ with $w\underline{s} = v$ and $w\underline{t} = v$. Each set S_{12}, S_{23}, S_{31} must at least contain s or t, hence s or t is at least in two sets, say $s \in S_{12}, S_{23}$. Hence $s \in S_1, S_2, S_3$ and therefore $v \in wC_T$. ☐

A property, that is much stronger than 3-residually connectedness, reads $wC_I \cap wC_J = wC_{I\cap J}$. If $Wk(\theta)$ satisfies this, then its 3-residually connectedness could be concluded immediately. Unfortunately it proves to be false in general. Again, double-edges yield a simple counterexample.

Example 3.5. Let $w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ and s, t two distinct generators with $w\underline{s} = w\underline{t} = v$. Then $wC_{\{s\}} \cap wC_{\{t\}} = \{w, v\} \neq \{w\} = wC_\emptyset = wC_{\{s\}\cap\{t\}}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose one of the following cases is current for some pairwise distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:*

1. *$S_i = \emptyset$,*
2. *$S_i \subseteq S_j$ or*
3. *$S_i = S$.*

*Then **(3RC)** holds.*

Proof.

1. We have $\bigcap_{1\leq m<n\leq 3} w_K C_{S_{mn}} \subseteq w_K C_{S_{ij}} \subseteq w_K C_{S_i} = w_K C_\emptyset = w_K C_T$.
2. We have $S_{ij} = S_i$, hence $T = S_i \cap S_j \cap S_k = S_{ij} \cap S_k = S_i \cap S_k = S_{ik}$. Therefore $\bigcap_{1\leq m<n\leq 3} w_K C_{S_{mn}} \subseteq w_K C_{S_{ik}} = w_K C_T$.
3. We have $S_j \subseteq S = S_i$ and so with 3.6.2 we are done. ☐

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose $|S| \leq 2$. Then $Wk(\theta)$ is 3-residually connected.*

Proof. If one set of S_1, S_2, S_3 is empty or equal to S, then we are done by 3.6.1 and 3.6.3. Else at least two sets of S_1, S_2, S_3 must be equal. In this case we are done by 3.6.2. ☐

3.2. Reducible case

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(W, S_1 \dot\cup S_2)$ be a reducible Coxeter system with* $\operatorname{ord}(st) = 2$ *for $s \in S_1, t \in S_2$. Let $\theta = \mathrm{id}$, $s_1, \dots, s_m, s \in S_1$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n, t \in S_2$. Then*

1. *$\underline{s}$ acts by twisted conjugation on $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n$ if and only if it acts by twisted conjugation on $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m$,*
2. *$\underline{t}$ acts by twisted conjugation on $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n$ if and only if it acts by twisted conjugation on $\underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_m$, and*
3. *$\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \underline{s} = \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{s} \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n$.*

Proof. We have $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n = t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m t_1 \cdots t_n$ for some well chosen indices $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_q \leq m$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n$.

1. We prove this by a straight forward chain of equivalences.

$$\begin{aligned}
& s(\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n)s = \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \\
\iff & s(t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m t_1 \cdots t_n)s = t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m t_1 \cdots t_n \\
\iff & (t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} t_1 \cdots t_n) s s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m s = (t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} t_1 \cdots t_n) s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m \\
\iff & s s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m s = s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m \\
\iff & s(\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m)s = \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m
\end{aligned}$$

2. This part is almost the same as before.

$$\begin{aligned}
& t(\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n)t = \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \\
\iff & t(t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m t_1 \cdots t_n)t = t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m t_1 \cdots t_n \\
\iff & t t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} t_1 \cdots t_n t (s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m) = t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} t_1 \cdots t_n (s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m) \\
\iff & t t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} t_1 \cdots t_n t = t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} t_1 \cdots t_n \\
\iff & t(\underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n)t = \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n
\end{aligned}$$

   Note that the last equivalence is not true in general. Suppose $v \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ to be an arbitrary twisted expression. In general we cannot deduce the action of $\underline{s}$ on a subexpression of v from the action of $\underline{s}$ on v itself. But with the first part of this lemma we can first conclude, that $\underline{t}_1$ acts by twisted conjugation on e if and only if it acts by twisted conjugation on $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m$. Again with the same argument $\underline{t}_2$ acts by twisted conjugation on $\underline{t}_1$ iff it acts by twisted conjugation on $\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1$ and so forth.

3. To avoid having to repeat the proof for twisted conjugative and multiplicative action of $\underline{s}$ we set $s' = s$ if $\underline{s}$ acts by twisted conjugation and else $s' = e$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underline{s}_1 \cdots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \cdots \underline{t}_n \underline{s} \\
= & s'(t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m t_1 \cdots t_n)s \\
= & t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} (s' s_{j_r} \cdots s_{j_1} s_1 \cdots s_m s) t_1 \cdots t_n \\
= & t_{i_q} \cdots t_{i_1} (s_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{s}) t_1 \cdots t_n \\
= & s_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{s} \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n
\end{aligned}$$

Again note that the last to equalities need the two previous parts of this lemma. $\square$

Corollary 3.9. *Let $(W, S_1 \dot\cup S_2)$ be Coxeter system with $\operatorname{ord}(st) = 2$ whenever $s \in S_1, t \in S_2$. In particular W is reducible. Let $W := W_{S_1}$ and $W_2 := W_{S_2}$ be the parabolic subgroups of W corrosponding to S_1 and S_2. Then we have $Wk(W, \mathrm{id}) \cong Wk(W_1, \mathrm{id}) \times Wk(W_2, \mathrm{id})$.*

Proof. We denote the relation in W (resp. in W_1, W_2) by $\preceq_W$ (resp. by $\preceq_{W_1}$, $\preceq_{W_2}$). By Lemma 3.8 for every element $w \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{id}}(W)$ we can find a twisted expression like $w = \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n$ with $s \in S_1, t \in S_2$. Hence the map

$$\varphi : \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{id}}(W_1) \times \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{id}}(W_2) \to \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{id}}(W) : (\underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m, \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n) \mapsto \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n$$

is surjective. The injectivity is due to Proposition 1.24. It remains to show that $\preceq_W$ satisfies Definition 1.7. Let $v_1, w_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{id}}(W_1)$, $v_2, w_2 \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{id}}(W_2)$ and $v = v_1 v_2 = \varphi(v_1, v_2), w = w_1 w_2 = \varphi(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{id}}(W)$. Suppose $v_i \preceq_{W_i} w_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m, & w_1 &= \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \dots \underline{s}_{m'} = v_1 \underline{s}_{m+1} \dots \underline{s}_{m'}, \\
v_2 &= \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \text{ and} & w_2 &= \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \dots \underline{t}_{n'} = v_2 \underline{t}_{n+1} \dots \underline{t}_{n'}
\end{aligned}$$

for some well chosen generators $s_i \in S_1, t_i \in S_2$ and $0 \le m \le m', 0 \le n \le n'$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
v = v_1 v_2 &= \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \preceq_W \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \underline{s}_{m+1} \dots \underline{s}_{m'} \underline{t}_{n+1} \dots \underline{t}_{n'} \\
&= \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{s}_{m+1} \dots \underline{s}_{m'} \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \underline{t}_{n+1} \dots \underline{t}_{n'} = w_1 w_2 = w.
\end{aligned}$$

In return suppose $v \preceq_W w$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v &= \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \text{ and} \\
w &= \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \underline{s}_{m+1} \dots \underline{s}_{m'} \underline{t}_{n+1} \dots \underline{t}_{n'}
\end{aligned}$$

for some well chosen generators $s_i \in S_1, t_i \in S_2$ and $0 \le m \le m', 0 \le n \le n'$. Again with similar arguments we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \preceq_{W_1} \underline{s}_1 \dots \underline{s}_m \underline{s}_{m+1} \dots \underline{s}_{m'} = w_1 \text{ and} \\
w_1 &= \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \preceq_{W_2} \underline{t}_1 \dots \underline{t}_n \underline{t}_{n+1} \dots \underline{t}_{n'} = w_2.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 3.10. Note that Lemma 3.8 and Corollary 3.9 still hold if we drop the premise $\theta = \mathrm{id}$ and instead insist on $\theta(S_i) = S_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. They also remain true if we have a partition of the generator set in more than two subsets. Hence for $(W, S_1 \dot\cup \dots \dot\cup S_n)$ with $\operatorname{ord}(st) = 2$ whenever $s \in S_i, t \in S_j, i \neq j$ we have

$$Wk(W, \mathrm{id}) = Wk(W_{S_1}, \mathrm{id}) \times \dots \times Wk(W_{S_n}, \mathrm{id}).$$

Theorem 3.11. *Let (W, S) be a reducible Coxeter system with $S = S' \cup S''$ and $\operatorname{ord}(st) = 2$ whenever $s \in S', t \in S''$ and let $\theta = \mathrm{id}$. Then $Wk(W, \mathrm{id})$ is 3-residually connected if and only if $Wk(W_{S'}, \mathrm{id})$ and $Wk(W_{S''}, \mathrm{id})$ are 3-residually connected.*

Proof. If $Wk(W, \mathrm{id})$ is 3-residually connected, then $Wk(W_{S'}, \mathrm{id})$ and $Wk(W_{S''}, \mathrm{id})$ are so in particular. In return suppose $Wk(W_{S'}, \mathrm{id})$ and $Wk(W_{S''}, \mathrm{id})$ to be 3-residually connected. For a set $M \subseteq S$ we define $M' := M \cap S'$ and $M'' := M \cap S''$, hence $M = M' \dot\cup M''$. This is compatible with our definition of S_{ij} and T:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij} &= S_i \cap S_j = (S_i' \dot\cup S_i'') \cap (S_j' \dot\cup S_j'') = (S_i' \cap S_j') \dot\cup (S_i'' \cap S_j'') = S_{ij}' \dot\cup S_{ij}'' \\ T &= S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3 = (S_{12}' \dot\cup S_{12}'') \cap (S_3' \dot\cup S_3'') = (S_{12}' \cap S_3') \dot\cup (S_{12}'' \cap S_3'') = T' \dot\cup T'' \end{aligned}$$

Let $w_K = \underline{s}_1' \ldots \underline{s}_{m'}' \underline{s}_1'' \ldots \underline{s}_{m''}''$ with $s_i' \in K', s_i'' \in K''$. Then $w_{K'} = \underline{s}_1' \ldots \underline{s}_{m'}'$ (resp. $w_{K''} = \underline{s}_1'' \ldots \underline{s}_{m''}''$) is the corrosponding longest elements in $\langle K' \rangle \leq W_{S'} \leq W$ (resp. $\langle K'' \rangle \leq W_{S''} \leq W$). We have three twisted expressions

$$\begin{aligned} w &= w_K \underline{a}_1' \ldots \underline{a}_{n'}' \underline{a}_1'' \ldots \underline{a}_{n''}'' \\ &= w_K \underline{b}_1' \ldots \underline{b}_{n'}' \underline{b}_1'' \ldots \underline{b}_{n''}'' \\ &= w_K \underline{c}_1' \ldots \underline{c}_{n'}' \underline{c}_1'' \ldots \underline{c}_{n''}'' \end{aligned}$$

with $a_i', a_i'' \in S_1$, $b_i', b_i'' \in S_2$ and $c_i', c_i'' \in S_3$. Thanks to Lemma 3.8 we can assume without loss of generality that $a', b', c' \in S'$ and $a'', b'', c'' \in S''$. Hence we have also

$$\begin{aligned} w' &= w_{K'} \underline{a}_1' \ldots \underline{a}_{n'}' = s_1' \ldots \underline{s}_m' \underline{a}_1' \ldots \underline{a}_{n'}' \\ &= w_{K'} \underline{b}_1' \ldots \underline{b}_{n'}' = s_1' \ldots \underline{s}_m' \underline{b}_1' \ldots \underline{b}_{n'}' \\ &= w_{K'} \underline{c}_1' \ldots \underline{c}_{n'}' = s_1' \ldots \underline{s}_m' \underline{c}_1' \ldots \underline{c}_{n'}' \end{aligned}$$

and so $w' \in w_{K'} C_{T'}$, since **(3RC)** holds in $Wk(W_{S'}, \mathrm{id})$. Analogue we get $w'' \in w_{K''} C_{T''}$. Hence

$$w' = \underline{s}_1' \ldots \underline{s}_{m'}' \underline{d}_1' \ldots \underline{d}_{l'}' \text{ and } w'' = \underline{s}_1'' \ldots \underline{s}_{m''}'' \underline{d}_1'' \ldots \underline{d}_{l''}''$$

for $d_i' \in T'$ and $d_i'' \in T''$. This yields a twisted expression

$$\begin{aligned} w = w'w'' &= \underline{s}_1' \ldots \underline{s}_{m'}' \underline{d}_1' \ldots \underline{d}_{l'}' \underline{s}_1'' \ldots \underline{s}_{m''}'' \underline{d}_1'' \ldots \underline{d}_{l''}'' \\ &= \underline{s}_1' \ldots \underline{s}_{m'}' \underline{s}_1'' \ldots \underline{s}_{m''}'' \underline{d}_1' \ldots \underline{d}_{l'}' \underline{d}_1'' \ldots \underline{d}_{l''}'' \\ &= w_K \underline{d}_1' \ldots \underline{d}_{l'}' \underline{d}_1'' \ldots \underline{d}_{l''}'' \end{aligned}$$

with $d_i', d_i'' \in T' \dot\cup T'' = T$. Thus $w \in w_K C_T$. □

3.3. Computional testing for 3-residually connectedness

In section 2.4 we introduced an effective algorithm to calculate the poset graph for an arbitrary $Wk(\theta)$ until a given maximal twisted length. So the idea is obvious to use these data and simply test for every combination of K, S_1, S_2, S_3 if they yield a counterexample to **(3RC)**. If no counterexample can be found we have proved that $Wk(\theta)$ is 3-residually connected. If (W, S) is itself finite, then there is not problem with this approach. For infinite Coxeter systems we cannot calculate the whole poset graph. But there are some infinte ones, that can also be addressed in this way.

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose the parabolic subgroup $W_{S'}$ to be finite for any $S' \subsetneq S$. Define*

$$E := \{w \in \mathcal{I}_\theta : K, S_1, S_2, S_3 \subseteq S, w \in w_K C_{S_{12}} \cap w_K C_{S_{23}} \cap w_K C_{S_{31}}, w \notin w_K C_T\}$$

and assume $E \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $Wk(\theta)$ is not 3-residually connected. Then there is a $\rho_E \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\max_{w \in E} \rho(w) \leq \rho_E$.

Proof. For any counterexample the sets S_1, S_2, S_3 cannot equal S by 3.6.3, hence by assumption the sets S_1, S_2, S_3 are spherical. But then S_{12}, S_{23}, S_{31} as well as $\theta(S_{12}), \theta(S_{23}), \theta(S_{31})$ must be spherical, too. Let $w \in E$, then $w \in W_{\theta(S_{12})} w_K W_{S_{12}}$, hence $l(w) \leq l(w_{\theta(S_{12})}) + l(w_K) + l(w_{S_{12}})$. Since there is only a finite count of proper subsets of S, we can choose

$$l_E = \max_{K, S' \subsetneq S} l(w_{\theta(S')}) + l(w_K) + l(w_{S'}) \leq \max_{S' \subsetneq S} 3 \cdot l(w_{S'}) = 3 \cdot \max_{s \in S} l(w_{S \setminus \{s\}}) < \infty$$

as upper bound for $l(w), w \in E$. For all $w' \in \mathcal{I}_\theta$ we have $\rho(w') \leq l(w')$ and so $\rho_E = l_E$ is an upper bound for $\rho(w), w \in E$, too. □

Remark 3.13. Note, that this proposition also gives a manual, how to calculate the upper bound, since the length of the longest element in a finite Coxeter group can be easily calculate. There are 4 families of finite Coxeter groups and 6 finite Coxeter groups of exceptional type (cf. Theorem 1.25). The length of the longest element in each of them can be seen in Table 3.1. For more details on how to calculate those values (resp. formulas) see [Fra01, Section 1.2] and [Hum92, Section 2.11].

Proposition 3.14. *Let $(W, S) = (W_1 \times W_2, S_1 \cup S_2)$ be a reducible Coxeter group. Then the length of the longest element in W is the sum of the lengths of the longest elements in W_1 and W_2.*

Proof. This is immediate, since $\mathrm{ord}(st) = 2$ for $s \in S_1$, $t \in S_2$. □

W	A_n	B_n	D_n	E_6	E_7	E_8	F_4	H_3	H_4	$I_2(m)$
$l(w_0)$	$n(n+1)/2$	n^2	$n(n-1)$	36	63	120	24	15	60	m

Table 3.1.: Length of longest element in finite Coxeter groups

Example 3.15. Let $W = \tilde{A}_2$ with $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$. Because of symmetry we can calculate l_E with the set $S' = \{s_1, s_2\}$. Then $\langle S' \rangle \cong A_2$, hence the length of the longest word in $\langle S' \rangle$ is 3. Therefore $l_E = \rho_E = 9$. This means, to validate if $\tilde{A}_2$ is 3-residually connected, we only need to calculate the poset graph of $Wk(\theta)$ until we have all twisted involutions of twisted length 9.

Now we actually want to calculate the maximum element length over all proper parabolic subgroups for some Coxeter groups, where this value is finite. Table 3.2 shows the results for some interesting one, e.g. the affine Coxeter groups. In order to simplify notation in the table we sometimes does not properly distinguish between certain cases, i.e. we use types like B_{n-3} without insisting on $n \geq 5$. For $n = 3$ we would have B_0. In this case, we will consider this type as invalid possibility. For $n = 4$ we would have B_1, which is not defined either. But in this case we will threat this type as A_1, which seems suitable.

W	All possible types for $W_{S\setminus\{s\}}$	$\max_{s\in S} l(w_{S\setminus\{s\}})$
$\tilde{A}_1$	A_1	1
$\tilde{A}_n (n \geq 2)$	A_n	$n(n+1)/2$
$\tilde{B}_2 = \tilde{C}_2$	$A_1 \times A_1$, $I_2(4)$	4
$\tilde{B}_n (n \geq 3)$	B_n, D_n, $D_{n-1} \times A_1$, $D_{n-m} \times B_m$, $A_3 \times B_{n-3}$, $B_{n-2} \times A_1 \times A_1$	n^2
$\tilde{C}_n (n \geq 3)$	B_n, $B_{n-1} \times A_1$, $B_{n-m} \times B_m$	n^2
$\tilde{D}_n (n \geq 4)$	D_n, $D_{n-2} \times A_1 \times A_1$, $D_{n-m} \times D_m$	$n(n-1)$
$\tilde{E}_6$	E_6, $A_5 \times A_1$, $A_2 \times A_2 \times A_2$	36
$\tilde{E}_7$	E_7, $D_6 \times A_1$, $A_5 \times A_2$, A_7, $A_3 \times A_3 \times A_1$	63
$\tilde{E}_8$	E_8, $E_7 \times A_1$, $E_6 \times A_2$, $D_5 \times A_3$, $A_4 \times A_4$, $A_5 \times A_2 \times A_1$, A_8, $A_7 \times A_1$, D_8	120
$\tilde{F}_4$	F_4, $B_3 \times A_1$, $A_2 \times A_2$, $A_3 \times A_1$, B_4	24
$\tilde{G}_2$	$I_2(6)$, $A_1 \times A_1$, A_2	6

Table 3.2.: Maximum element lengths in proper parabolic subgroups

Theorem 3.16. *For all pairs (W, θ) of Coxeter groups and Coxeter system automorphisms from Table 3.3 the twisted weak ordering $Wk(W, \theta)$ is 3-residually connected.*

W	θ
A_n, $1 \leq n \leq 9$	id, $(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \mapsto (s_n, \ldots, s_1)$
BC_n, $3 \leq n \leq 7$	id
D_n, $4 \leq n \leq 6$	id
E_6	id, $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6) \mapsto (s_6, s_5, s_3, s_4, s_2, s_1)$
E_7	id
E_8	id
F_4	id
H_3	id
H_4	id
$I_2(m)$, $1 \leq m$	id, $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto (s_2, s_1)$
$\tilde{A}_n$, $1 \leq n \leq 4$	id
$\tilde{B}_2$	id
$\tilde{B}_3$	id
$\tilde{C}_3$	id
$\tilde{G}_2$	id

Table 3.3.: Some 3-residually connected weak orderings

Proof. The cases with $|S| \leq 2$ are immediate by Corollary 3.7. The other cases from the table have been proved by a simple algorithm. In the calculated poset graph we iterate over all $K \subseteq S$ and then over all... **TODO** $\square$

4. Residually connectedness of flip-flop systems

This section is heavily based on [Hor09], but we will only introduce the bare minimum needed for our purposes. So for more details refer to [Hor09].

4.1. Chamber systems

Definition 4.1. A **chamber system over** I is a pair $\mathcal{C} = (C, (\sim_i, i \in I))$, with a nonempty set C, whose members are called **chambers** and a family of equivalence relations $\sim_i$, indexed by $i \in I$, that satisfies the implication

$$c \sim_i d \wedge c \sim_j d \Rightarrow c = d \vee i = j$$

for all $c, d \in C$ and $i, j \in I$. The cardinality $|I|$ is called the **rank** of $\mathcal{C}$. For all chamber systems we will assume that they have finite rank. If for two chambers c, d we have $c \sim_i d$, then c is called **i-adjacent** to d or just **adjacent**.

So the main assertion for chamber systems is, that two distinct chambers $c, d \in C$ are at most adjacent by one $i \in I$. For the rest of this section $\mathcal{C} = (C, (\sim_i, i \in I))$ will denote a chamber system.

Example 4.2. For an arbitrary Coxeter system let W act as set of chambers and for each generator $s \in S$ define a equivalence relation $w \sim_s v$ if and only if either $w = v$ or $ws = v$. That this are really equivalence relations is easy to check. So suppose $w \sim_s v$, $w \sim_t v$ for two distinct generators $s, t \in S$. The assumption $w \neq v$ immediately yields a contradiction by $ws = v = wt \iff s = t$. Hence this is indeed a chamber system.

The previous example is just a special case of a quite general recipe to create chamber systems from groups, the so-called coset chamber systems.

Definition 4.3. [BC, Defnition 3.6.3] Let G be an arbitrary group with a subgroup B and a family of subgroups $(G_i, i \in I)$ such that $B \subseteq G_i$ for $i \in I$. Choose the chamber set C as the set of all B-cosets gB for some $g \in G$ and define the equivalence relations $(\sim_i, i \in I)$ by $gB \sim_i hB$ iff $gG_i = hG_i$. Then we call this chamber system the **coset chamber system** of G on B with respect to $(G_i, i \in I)$.

Lemma 4.4. *Coset chamber systems are chamber systems.*

Proof. As easy to check the $\sim_i$ are equivalence relations. So suppose $gB \sim_i hB$ and $gB \sim_j hB$ and let $gB \neq hB$, i.e. $h^{-1}g \notin B$. **TODO** Different definitions of chamber system at Horn and Buekenhout/Cohen? □

If two chambers $c, d \in C$ in a chamber system are not adjacent, then there might be a chain of subsequent adjacent chambers with c as first and d as last chamber.

Definition 4.5. Let $G = (c_0, \dots, c_k)$ be a finite sequence of chambers $c_i \in C$ with c_{i-1} adjacent to c_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then G is called a **gallery** in $\mathcal{C}$ whereas the integer k is called the **length** of G. The first element c_0 of a gallery G is denoted by $\alpha(G)$ and the last by $\omega(G)$. If for two chambers $c, d \in C$ there is a gallery G with $\alpha(G) = c$ and $\omega(G) = d$, then we say that G **joins** c and d. A gallery with G with $\alpha(G) = \omega(G)$ is called **closed** and a gallery $G = (c_0, \dots, c_k)$ with $c_{i-1} \neq c_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ is called **simple**. If a gallery G of length k joins two chambers c, d and there is no joining gallery of shorter length, then we call G a **minimal gallery joining c and d.**

Note, that two chambers are adjacent if and only if they can be joined by a gallery of length 1.

Definition 4.6. The chamber system $\mathcal{C}$ is called **connected** if any two chambers $c, d \in C$ can be joined by a gallery.

Definition 4.7. Let $G = (c_0, \dots, c_k)$ be a gallery and let $J \subset I$ be a subset. If for $1 \leq i \leq k$ there is a $j \in J$ with $c_{i-1} \sim_j c_i$, then we call G a **J-gallery**. Two chambers $c, d \in C$, that have a J-gallery joining them, are called **J-equivalent**, denoted by $c \sim_J d$.

Definition 4.8. For a chamber $c \in C$ and a subset $J \subseteq I$, we call the set $R_J(c) := \{d \in C : c \sim_J d\}$ a **J-residue**. The set J is also called the **type** of a residue $R_J(c)$. If $|J| = 1$, say $J = \{i\}$, then $R_J(c) = R_{\{i\}}(c)$ is called a **i-panel**.

Note that for any chamber system $(C, (\sim_i, i \in I))$, $c \in C$ and $J \subseteq I$, the chamber system $(R_J(c), (\sim_j, j \in J))$ is connected by construction.

Definition 4.9. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a chamber system over I. We call it a **residually connected** chamber system if the following holds: For every $J \subseteq I$ and every family of residues $(R_{I \setminus \{j\}}, j \in J)$ with pairwise nonempty intersection we have

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} R_{I \setminus \{j\}} = R_{I \setminus J}(c)$$

for some $c \in C$.

Lemma 4.10. [BC, Lemma 3.4.9] *For a connected chamber system $\mathcal{C}$ over I the following statements are equivalent.*

1. *$\mathcal{C}$ is residually connected.*

2. *If J, K, L are subsets of I and if R_J, R_K, R_L are J-, K-, L-residues which have pairwise non-empty intersections, then $R_J \cap R_K \cap R_L$ is a $(R \cap K \cap L)$-residue.*

4.2. Buildings

Definition 4.11. A **building** of type (W, S) is a pair $(\mathcal{C}, \delta)$ with a nonempty set $\mathcal{C}$ and a map $\delta : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to W$, called **distance function**, so that for $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $w = \delta(x, y)$ we have

(Bu1) $w = e \iff x = y$;

(Bu2) for $z \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\delta(y, z) = s \in S$ we have $\delta(x, z) \in \{w, ws\}$, and if in addition $l(ws) = l(w) + 1$ then we have $\delta(x, z) = ws$;

(Bu3) for $s \in S$ there exists a $z \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\delta(y, z) = s$ and $\delta(x, z) = ws$.

For the rest of the subsection let $(\mathcal{C}, \delta)$ always be a building of type (W, S).

Definition 4.12. Then cardinality of S is called the **rank** of the building.

Definition 4.13. For each $s \in S$ we define $c, d \in C$ to be s-adjacent if and only iff $\delta(c, d) \in \{e, s\}$. Then $(\mathcal{C}, (\sim_s, s \in S))$ is called the **associated chamber system** to $(\mathcal{C}, \delta)$.

Lemma 4.14. *Then the associated chamber system is a chamber system.*

Proof. Let $c, d \in \mathcal{C}$ and $s, t \in S$ with $c \sim_s d$ and $c \sim_t d$. If $c \neq d$, then $\delta(c, d) = s$ and $\delta(c, d) = t$, hence $s = t$. $\square$

Definition 4.15. A **gallery**, **residue** or **panel** in a building is a gallery, residue or panel in the associated chamber system.

Definition 4.16. We call the building $(\mathcal{C}, \delta)$ **thick** (resp. **thin**), if for every chamber $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and every $s \in S$ there are at least three (resp. exactly two) chambers s-adjacent to c.

Example 4.17. For a Coxeter system (W, S) define a map

$$\delta_S : W \times W \to W : (x, y) \mapsto x^{-1}y.$$

Then $\delta_S(x, y) = e \iff x = y$. Furthermore for $z \in W$ with $\delta_S(y, z) = s$, i.e. $z = ys$, we have $\delta_S(x, z) = x^{-1}z = x^{-1}ys = \delta(x, y)s$. For $s \in S$ and $x, y \in W$ choose $z = ys$. Then $\delta_S(y, z) = s$ and as before $\delta_S(x, z) = \delta_S(x, y)s$. Hence (W, δ_S) is a building of type (W, S). More precisely, it is a thin building, since for every $s \in S$ and $x, y \in W$ we have $\delta_S(x, y) = x^{-1}y \in \{e, s\}$ if and only if $x = y$ or $y = xs$, hence there are excatly two chambers s-adjacent to x.

This example for a thin building of type (W, S) can be indeed called "the" thin building of type (W, S) as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 4.18. [BC, Theorem 4.2.8] *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \delta)$ be a thin. Then it is isometric to the building (W, δ_S) (cf. Example 4.17).*

Definition 4.19. We call a subset $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ an **apartment** if $(\Sigma, \delta|_\Sigma)$ is isometric to (W, δ_S) from Example 4.17, or equivalent if $(\Sigma, \delta|_\Sigma)$ is thin.

Theorem 4.20. [BC, Theorem 11.2.5] *For any two chambers $c, d \in \mathcal{C}$ there is an apartment Σ with $c, d \in \Sigma$. In particular every building contains at least one apartment.*

Proof. The proof for the first statement can be found in [BC, Theorem 11.2.5]. The second is an immediate conclusion of the first, since because of $|S| \geq 1$ and the third building axiom every building must at least contain two chambers. And so there is at least one pair of chambers, that has to be contained in an apartment by the first statement. $\square$

So thin buildings are precisely those, that contain excatly one apparment, i.e. are apartments themself.

Definition 4.21. The building $(\mathcal{C}, \delta)$ is called **spherical** if W is finite. In this case W has a longest element w_0 and two chambers c, d are called **opposite** if $\delta(c, d) = w_0$, denoted by $c \text{ opp } d$.

Definition 4.22. A set of chambers $M \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is called **connected** if any two chambers in M can be joined by a gallery completeley contained in M. If in addition, every minimal gallery joining two chambers in M is completeley contained in M, then M is called **convex**.

4.3. Twin buildings

Definition 4.23. Let $(\mathcal{C}_+, \delta_+)$ and $(\mathcal{C}_-, \delta_-)$ be two buildings of same type (W, S). Then we call the triple $(\mathcal{C}_+, \mathcal{C}_-, \delta^*)$ with

$$\delta^* : (\mathcal{C}_+ \times \mathcal{C}_-) \cup (\mathcal{C}_- \times \mathcal{C}_+) \to W$$

a **twin building of type** (W, S) and δ^* a **codistance function** if for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, $x \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$, $y \in \mathcal{C}_{-\varepsilon}$ and $w = \delta^*(x, y)$ we have

- **(Tw1)** $\delta^*(y, x) = w^{-1}$;
- **(Tw2)** for $z \in \mathcal{C}_{-\varepsilon}$ with $\delta_{-\varepsilon}(y, z) = s \in S$ and $l(ws) = l(w) - 1$ we have $\delta^*(x, z) = ws$;
- **(Tw3)** for every $s \in S$ there is a $z \in \mathcal{C}_{-\varepsilon}$ with $\delta_{-\varepsilon}(y, z) = s$ and $\delta^*(x, z) = ws$.

For the rest of this subsection let $(\mathcal{C}_+, \mathcal{C}_-, \delta^*)$ be a twin building.

Definition 4.24. A **gallery**, **residue** or **panel** in a twin building $(\mathcal{C}_+, \mathcal{C}_-, \delta^*)$ is a gallery, residue or panel in either $\mathcal{C}_+$ or $\mathcal{C}_-$.

Definition 4.25. Two chambers $c \in \mathcal{C}_+$, $d \in \mathcal{C}_-$ are called **opposite**, denoted by $c \text{ opp } d$ if $\delta^*(c, d) = e$. Two residues $R_+ \subseteq \mathcal{C}_+$, $R_- \subseteq \mathcal{C}_-$ are called **opposite** if they have the same type and contain opposite chambers.

Definition 4.26. A pair (Σ_+, Σ_-) with $\Sigma_+ \subseteq \mathcal{C}_+$ and $\Sigma_- \subseteq \mathcal{C}_-$ is called a **twin apartment** if Σ_+ is an apartment in $\mathcal{C}_+$, Σ_- is an apartment in $\mathcal{C}_-$ and every chamber in $\mathcal{C}_+ \cup \mathcal{C}_-$ is precisely opposite to one other chamber in $\mathcal{C}_+ \cup \mathcal{C}_-$.

Example 4.27. [Hor09, Example 1.6.8] For an arbitrary spherical building $(\mathcal{C}_+, \delta_+)$ of type (W, S) there is a natural associated twin building $(\mathcal{C}_+, \mathcal{C}_-, \delta^*)$. Here $\mathcal{C}_-$ is just a copy of $\mathcal{C}_+$, i.e. for every chamber $c_+ \in \mathcal{C}_+$ there is a chamber $c_- \in \mathcal{C}_-$, with distance function

$$\delta_- : (c_-, d_-) \mapsto w_0 \delta_+(c_+, d_+) w_0.$$

As codistance function we defined

$$\delta^* : (c_\varepsilon, d_{-\varepsilon}) \mapsto \begin{cases} \delta_+(c_+, d_+) w_0, & \varepsilon = +; \\ w_0 \delta_+(c_+, d_+), & \varepsilon = -. \end{cases}$$

In this case, being opposite as defined for buildings and being opposite as defined for twin buildings coincide, by

$$c_+ \text{ opp } d_+ \iff \delta_+(c_+, d_+) = w_0 \iff \delta_+(c_+, d_+) w_0 = e \iff c_+ \text{ opp } d_-.$$

4.4. Building flips and flip-flop systems

In this section let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_+, \mathcal{C}_-, \delta^*)$ be a twin building of type (W, S).

Definition 4.28. Let $\tilde{\theta}$ be a permutation of $\mathcal{C}_+ \cup \mathcal{C}_-$ satisfying

- **(Fl1)** $\tilde{\theta}^2 = \mathrm{id}$,
- **(Fl2)** $\tilde{\theta}(\mathcal{C}_+) = \mathcal{C}_-$ and
- **(Fl3)** for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}, x, y \in \mathcal{C}_+$ and $z \in \mathcal{C}_-$ we have $x \sim y$ iff $\tilde{\theta}(x) \sim \tilde{\theta}(y)$ and x opp z iff $\tilde{\theta}(x)$ opp $\tilde{\theta}(z)$.

Then we call $\tilde{\theta}$ a **building quasi-flip** of $\mathcal{C}$. If in addition

- **(Fl3a)** for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}, x, y \in \mathcal{C}_+$ and $z \in \mathcal{C}_-$ we have $\delta_\varepsilon(x, y) = \delta_{-\varepsilon}(\tilde{\theta}(x), \tilde{\theta}(y))$ and $\delta^*(x, z) = \delta^*(\tilde{\theta}(x), \tilde{\theta}(y))$,

then we call $\tilde{\theta}$ a **building flip** of $\mathcal{C}$.

So building (quasi-)flips permute the two halfes of a twin building while preserving adjacency and opposition and building flips also flip the distance and preserver the codistance. The next lemma gives a first idea, how building quasi-flips are coherent to the poset $Wk(\theta)$.

Lemma 4.29. [Hor09, Lemma 2.1.4] *Let $\tilde{\theta}$ be a building quasi-flip of $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\tilde{\theta}$ induces an involutory (i.e. order at most 2) Coxeter system automorphism θ on (W, S), so that for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}, x, y \in \mathcal{C}_+$ and $z \in \mathcal{C}_-$ we have $\theta(\delta_\varepsilon(x, y)) = \delta_{-\varepsilon}(\tilde{\theta}(x), \tilde{\theta}(y))$ and $\theta(\delta^*(x, z)) = \delta^*(\tilde{\theta}(x), \tilde{\theta}(z))$.*

Of course the coherence between building quasi-flips and $Wk(\theta)$ is not clear by any means, but at least do building quasi-flips admit a Coxeter system and an involutory Coxeter system automorphism, hence every building quasi-flip has a corrosponding twisted weak ordering poset $Wk(W, \theta)$. But there are some definitions left until we have our objects of interest.

Definition 4.30. For a chamber $c \in \mathcal{C}_+ \cup \mathcal{C}_-$ we call $\delta^{\tilde{\theta}}(c) := \delta^*(c, \tilde{\theta}(c))$ the **$\tilde{\theta}$-codistance** of c and $l^{\tilde{\theta}}(c) = l(\delta^{\tilde{\theta}}(c))$ the **numerical θ-codistance** of c.

Definition 4.31. We call a building (quasi-)flip **proper** if there is a chamber $c \in \mathcal{C}_+ \cup \mathcal{C}_-$ with $\delta^{\tilde{\theta}}(c) = e \iff l^{\tilde{\theta}}(c) = 0$.

Definition 4.32. Let $\tilde{\theta}$ be a building quasi-flip of $\mathcal{C}$ and let $R \subseteq \mathcal{C}_+$ be an arbitrary residue. The **minimal numerical $\tilde{\theta}$-codistance** of R is defined as $\min_{c \in R} l^{\tilde{\theta}}(c)$.

According to the definition of c_+ opp d_-, i.e. $l(\delta^*(c_+, d_-)) = 0$, we can consider the chambers that actually reach the minimal numerical $\tilde{\theta}$-codistance as those, that are mapped away "as far as possible". In particular if $\min_{c \in R} l^{\tilde{\theta}}(c) = 0$, this are precisely those chambers, mapped to their opposite.

Definition 4.33. Let $\tilde{\theta}$ be a building quasi-flip of $\mathcal{C}$ and let $R \subseteq \mathcal{C}_+$ be an arbitrary residue. The (sub)chamber system of all chambers with minimal numerical $\tilde{\theta}$-codistance

$$R^{\tilde{\theta}} := \{c \in R : l^{\tilde{\theta}}(c) = \min_{d \in R} l^{\tilde{\theta}}(d)\}$$

together with the equivalence relations inherited from $\mathcal{C}_+$ is called the **induced flip-flop system** on R. In case $R = \mathcal{C}_+$, we call $C^{\tilde{\theta}} := C_+^{\tilde{\theta}} = R^{\tilde{\theta}}$ the **flip-flop system** associated to $\tilde{\theta}$.

Appendix

A. Source codes

File misc.gap

```
GroupAutomorphismByPermutation := function (G, generatorPermutation)
    local automorphism, generators;

    generators := GeneratorsOfGroup(G);

    if generatorPermutation = "id" or generatorPermutation = [1..Length(generators)] then
        automorphism := IdentityMapping(G);
        SetName(automorphism, "id");

        return automorphism;
    elif generatorPermutation = "-id" then
        generatorPermutation := Reversed([1..Length(GeneratorsOfGroup(G))]);
    fi;

    automorphism := GroupHomomorphismByImages(G, G, generators, generators{generatorPermutation});
    SetName(automorphism, Concatenation("(", JoinStringsWithSeparator(generatorPermutation, ","), ")"));

    return automorphism;
end;

GroupAutomorphismIdNeg := function (G)
    return GroupAutomorphismByPermutation(G, Reversed([1..Length(GeneratorsOfGroup(G))]));
end;

GroupAutomorphismId := function (G)
    return GroupAutomorphismByPermutation(G, [1..Length(GeneratorsOfGroup(G))]);
end;

FindElement := function (list, selector)
    local i;

    for i in [1..Length(list)] do
        if (selector(list[i])) then
            return list[i];
        fi;
    od;

    return fail;
end;

StringToFilename := function(str)
    local result, c;

    result := "";

    for c in str do
        if IsDigitChar(c) or IsAlphaChar(c) or c = '-' or c = '_' then
```

```
            Add(result, c);
        else
            Add(result, '_');
        fi;
    od;

    return result;
end;

IO_ReadLinesIterator := function (file)
    local IsDone, Next, ShallowCopy;

    IsDone := function (iter)
        return iter!.nextLine = "" or iter!.nextLine = fail;
    end;

    Next := function (iter)
        local line;

        line := iter!.nextLine;

        if line = fail then
            Error(LastSystemError());
            return fail;
        fi;

        iter!.nextLine := IO_ReadLine(iter!.file);

        return Chomp(line);
    end;

    ShallowCopy := function (iter)
        return fail;
    end;

    return IteratorByFunctions(rec(IsDoneIterator := IsDone, NextIterator :=
        Next,
        ShallowCopy := ShallowCopy, file := file, nextLine := IO_ReadLine(file
            )));
end;

IO_ReadLinesIteratorCSV := function (file, seperator)
    local IsDone, Next, ShallowCopy;

    IsDone := function (iter)
        return iter!.nextLine = "" or iter!.nextLine = fail;
    end;

    Next := function (iter)
        local line, lineSplitted, result, i;

        line := iter!.nextLine;
        if line = fail then
            Error(LastSystemError());
            return fail;
        fi;
        iter!.nextLine := IO_ReadLine(iter!.file);

        lineSplitted := SplitString(Chomp(line), iter!.seperator);
        result := rec();

        for i in [1..Minimum(Length(iter!.headers), Length(lineSplitted))] do
            result.(iter!.headers[i]) := EvalString(lineSplitted[i]);
        od;
```

```

        return result;
    end;

    ShallowCopy := function (iter)
        return fail;
    end;

    return IteratorByFunctions(rec(IsDoneIterator := IsDone, NextIterator := Next,
        ShallowCopy := ShallowCopy, file := file, seperator := seperator,
        headers := SplitString(Chomp(IO_ReadLine(file)), seperator),
        nextLine := IO_ReadLine(file)));
end;
```

File coxeter.gap

```
Read("coxeter-generators.gap");

coxeterElementComparisons := 0;

CoxeterElementsCompare := function (w1, w2)
    coxeterElementComparisons := coxeterElementComparisons + 1;
    return w1 = w2;
end;

CoxeterMatrixEntry := function(matrix, i, j)
    local temp, rank;
    rank := -1/2 + Sqrt(1/4 + 2*Length(matrix)) + 1;

    if (i = j) then
        return 1;
    fi;

    if (i > j) then
        temp := i;
        i := j;
        j := temp;
    fi;

    return matrix[(rank-1)*(rank)/2 - (rank-i)*(rank-i+1)/2 + (j-i-1) + 1];
end;
```

File coxeter-generators.gap

```
# Generates a coxeter group with given rank and relations. The relations have to
# be given in a linear list of the upper right entries (above diagonal) of the
# coxeter matrix.
#
# Example:
# To generate the coxeter group A_4 with the following coxeter matrix:
#
# | 1 3 2 2 |
# | 3 1 3 2 |
# | 2 3 1 3 |
# | 2 2 3 1 |
#
# A4 := CoxeterGroup(4, [3,2,2, 3,2, 3]);
CoxeterGroup := function (rank, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix)
    local generatorNames, relations, F, S, W, i, j, k;

```

```
    generatorNames := List([1..rank], n -> Concatenation("s", String(n)));

    F := FreeGroup(generatorNames);
    S := GeneratorsOfGroup(F);

    relations := [];

    Append(relations, List([1..rank], n -> S[n]^2));

    k := 1;
    for i in [1..rank] do
        for j in [i+1..rank] do
            Add(relations, (S[i]*S[j])^(upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix[k]));
            k := k + 1;
        od;
    od;

    W := F / relations;

    return W;
end;

CoxeterGroup_An := function (n)
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := Flat(List(Reversed([1..n-1]), m ->
        Concatenation([3], List([1..m-1], o -> 2))));

    #W := CoxeterGroup(n, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
    W := GroupWithGenerators(List([1..n], s -> (s,s+1)));

    SetName(W, Concatenation("A_{", String(n), "}"));
    SetSize(W, Factorial(n + 1));

    return rec(group := W, rank := n, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_BCn := function (n)
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := Flat(List(Reversed([1..n-1]), m ->
        Concatenation([3], List([1..m-1], o -> 2))));
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix[Length(upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix)] := 4;

    W := CoxeterGroup(n, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, Concatenation("BC_{", String(n), "}"));
    SetSize(W, 2^n * Factorial(n));

    return rec(group := W, rank := n, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_Dn := function (n)
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := Flat(List(Reversed([1..n-1]), m ->
        Concatenation([3], List([1..m-1], o -> 2))));
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix[Length(upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix)] := 2;
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix[Length(upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix) - 1] :=
        3;
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix[Length(upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix) - 2] :=
        3;

    W := CoxeterGroup(n, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
```

```

    SetName(W, Concatenation("D_{", String(n), "}"));
    SetSize(W, 2^(n-1) * Factorial(n));

    return rec(group := W, rank := n, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_E6 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [3, 2, 2, 2, 2,  3, 2, 2, 2,  3, 3, 2,  2,
         2,  3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(6, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "E_6");
    SetSize(W, 2^7 * 3^4 * 5);

    return rec(group := W, rank := 6, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_E7 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,  3, 2, 2, 2, 2,  3, 3,
        2, 2,  2, 2, 2,  3, 2,  3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(7, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "E_7");
    SetSize(W, 2^10 * 3^4 * 5 * 7);

    return rec(group := W, rank := 7, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_E8 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,  3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,
        3, 3, 2, 2, 2,  2, 2, 2, 2,  3, 2, 2,  3, 2,  3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(8, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "E_8");
    SetSize(W, 2^14 * 3^5 * 5^2 * 7);

    return rec(group := W, rank := 8, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_F4 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [3, 2, 2, 4, 2, 3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(4, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "F_4");
    SetSize(W, 2^7 * 3^2);

    return rec(group := W, rank := 4, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_H3 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;
```

```
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [5, 2, 3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(3, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "H_3");
    SetSize(W, 120);

    return rec(group := W, rank := 3, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_H4 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [5, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(4, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "H_4");
    SetSize(W, 14400);

    return rec(group := W, rank := 4, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_I2m := function (m)
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [m];

    W := CoxeterGroup(2, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, Concatenation("I_2(", String(m), ")"));
    SetSize(W, 2*m);

    return rec(group := W, rank := 2, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_TildeAn := function (n)
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W;

    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := Flat(List(Reversed([1..n]), m ->
        Concatenation([3], List([1..m-1], o -> 2))));

    if n = 1 then
        upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix[1] := 0;
    else
        upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix[n] := 3;
    fi;

    W := CoxeterGroup(n + 1, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, Concatenation("\\tilde A_{", String(n), "}"));
    SetSize(W, infinity);

    return rec(group := W, rank := n + 1, matrix :=
        upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_A1xA1 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W, n;

    n := 2;
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [2];

```

```
    W := CoxeterGroup(n, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "A_1 \\times A_1");
    SetSize(W, Factorial(2)*Factorial(2));

    return rec(group := W, rank := n, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_A2xA2 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W, n;

    n := 4;
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [3,2,2, 2,2, 3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(n, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "A_2 \\times A_2");
    SetSize(W, Factorial(3)*Factorial(3));

    return rec(group := W, rank := n, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_A3xA3 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W, n;

    n := 6;
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [3,2,2,2,2, 3,2,2,2, 2,2,2, 3,2, 3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(n, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "A_3 \\times A_3");
    SetSize(W, Factorial(4)*Factorial(4));

    return rec(group := W, rank := n, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_A1xA1xA1 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W, n;

    n := 3;
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [2,2, 2];

    W := CoxeterGroup(n, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "A_1 \\times A_1 \\times A_1");
    SetSize(W, Factorial(2)*Factorial(2)*Factorial(2));

    return rec(group := W, rank := n, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

CoxeterGroup_A2xA2xA2 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W, n;

    n := 6;
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [3,2,2,2,2, 2,2,2,2, 3,2,2, 2,2, 3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(n, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "A_2 \\times A_2 \\times A_2");
    SetSize(W, Factorial(3)*Factorial(3)*Factorial(3));

    return rec(group := W, rank := n, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;

```

```
CoxeterGroup_A3xA3xA3 := function ()
    local upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix, W, n;

    n := 9;
    upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix := [3,2,2,2,2,2,2,2, 3,2,2,2,2,2,2,
        2,2,2,2,2,2, 3,2,2,2,2, 3,2,2,2, 2,2,2, 3,2, 3];

    W := CoxeterGroup(n, upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);

    SetName(W, "A_3 \\times A_3 \\times A_3");
    SetSize(W, Factorial(4)*Factorial(4)*Factorial(4));

    return rec(group := W, rank := n, matrix := upperTriangleOfCoxeterMatrix);
end;
```

File twistedinvolutionweakordering.gap

```
LoadPackage("io");

Read("misc.gap");
Read("coxeter.gap");
Read("twoa-persist.gap");
Read("twoa-misc.gap");
Read("twoa1.gap");
Read("twoa2.gap");
Read("twoa3.gap");

TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingResiduum := function (vertex, labels)
    local visited, queue, residuum, current, edge;

    visited := [ vertex.absIndex ];
    queue := [ vertex ];
    residuum := [];

    while Length(queue) > 0 do
        current := queue[1];
        Remove(queue, 1);
        Add(residuum, current);

        for edge in current.outEdges do
            if edge.label in labels and not edge.target.absIndex in visited
                then
                Add(visited, edge.target.absIndex);
                Add(queue, edge.target);
            fi;
        od;

        for edge in current.inEdges do
            if edge.label in labels and not edge.source.absIndex in visited
                then
                Add(visited, edge.source.absIndex);
                Add(queue, edge.source);
            fi;
        od;
    od;

    return residuum;
end;

TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingLongestWord := function (vertex, labels)
    local current;

    current := vertex;
```

```

    while Length(Filtered(current.outEdges, e -> e.label in labels)) > 0 do
        current := Filtered(current.outEdges, e -> e.label in labels)[1].target;
    od;

    return current;
end;
```

File twoa-misc.gap

```
DetectPossibleRank2Residuums := function(startVertex, startLabel, labels)
    local comb, trace, v, e, k, possibleTraces;
    possibleTraces := [];

    for comb in List(Filtered(labels, label -> label <> startLabel), label -> rec(startVertex := startVertex, st := [startLabel, label])) do
        trace := [ rec(vertex := startVertex, edge := rec(label := comb.st[1], type := -1)) ];

        v := startVertex;
        e := fail;
        k := 1;

        while true do
            e := FindElement(v.inEdges, e -> e.label = comb.st[k mod 2 + 1]);
            if e = fail then
                break;
            fi;

            v := e.source;
            k := k + 1;
            Add(trace, rec(vertex := v, edge := e));
        od;

        while true do
            e := FindElement(v.outEdges, e -> e.label = comb.st[k mod 2 + 1]);
            if e = fail then
                break;
            fi;

            v := e.target;
            k := k - 1;
            Add(trace, rec(vertex := v, edge := e));
        od;

        if k = 0 then
            Add(possibleTraces, trace);
        fi;
    od;

    return possibleTraces;
end;
```

File twoa-persist.gap

```
TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistReadResults := function(filename)
    local fileD, fileV, fileE, csvLine, data, vertices, edges, newEdge, source, target, i;

    fileD := IO_File(Concatenation("results/", filename, "-data"), "r");
    fileV := IO_File(Concatenation("results/", filename, "-vertices"), "r", 1024*1024);
```

```
    fileE := IO_File(Concatenation("results/", filename, "-edges"), "r",
        1024*1024);

    data := NextIterator(IO_ReadLinesIteratorCSV(fileD, ";"));
    vertices := [];
    edges := [];

    i := 1;
    for csvLine in IO_ReadLinesIteratorCSV(fileV, ";") do
        Add(vertices, rec(absIndex := i, twistedLength := csvLine.
            twistedLength, name := csvLine.name, inEdges := [], outEdges :=
            []));
        i := i + 1;
    od;

    i := 1;
    for csvLine in IO_ReadLinesIteratorCSV(fileE, ";") do
        source := vertices[csvLine.sourceIndex + 1];
        target := vertices[csvLine.targetIndex + 1];
        newEdge := rec(absIndex := i, source := source, target := target,
            label := csvLine.label, type := csvLine.type);

        Add(source.outEdges, newEdge);
        Add(target.inEdges, newEdge);
        Add(edges, newEdge);
        i := i + 1;
    od;

    IO_Close(fileD);
    IO_Close(fileV);
    IO_Close(fileE);

    return rec(data := data, vertices := vertices, edges := edges);
end;

TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInit := function(filename)
    local fileD, fileV, fileE;

    if (filename = fail) then return fail; fi;

    fileD := IO_File(Concatenation("results/", filename, "-data"), "w");
    fileV := IO_File(Concatenation("results/", filename, "-vertices"), "w",
        1024*1024);
    fileE := IO_File(Concatenation("results/", filename, "-edges"), "w",
        1024*1024);
    IO_Write(fileD, "name;rank;size;generators;matrix;automorphism;wk_size;
        wk_max_length\n");
    IO_Write(fileV, "twistedLength;name\n");
    IO_Write(fileE, "sourceIndex;targetIndex;label;type\n");

    return rec(fileD := fileD, fileV := fileV, fileE := fileE);
end;

TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsClose := function(persistInfo)
    if (persistInfo = fail) then return; fi;

    IO_Close(persistInfo.fileD);
    IO_Close(persistInfo.fileV);
    IO_Close(persistInfo.fileE);
end;

TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInfo := function(persistInfo, W,
    matrix, theta, numVertices, maxTwistedLength)
    if (persistInfo = fail) then return; fi;
```

```
    IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, "\"", ReplacedString(Name(W), "\\", "\\\\"), "\";");
    IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, Length(GeneratorsOfGroup(W)), ";");
    if (Size(W) = infinity) then
        IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, "\"infinity\";");
    else
        IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, Size(W), ";");
    fi;
    IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, "[", JoinStringsWithSeparator(List(GeneratorsOfGroup(W), n -> Concatenation("\"", String(n), "\"")), ","), "];");
    IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, "[", JoinStringsWithSeparator(matrix, ","), "];");
    IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, "\"", Name(theta), "\";");

    if (Size(W) = infinity) then
        IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, "\"infinity\";");
        IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, "\"infinity\"");
    else
        IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, numVertices, ";");
        IO_Write(persistInfo.fileD, maxTwistedLength, "");
    fi;
end;

TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResults := function(persistInfo, vertices, edges)
    local n, e, i, tmp, bubbles;

    if (persistInfo = fail) then return; fi;

    # bubble sort the edges, to make sure, that double edges are neighbours in the list
    bubbles := 1;
    while bubbles > 0 do
        bubbles := 0;
        for i in [1..Length(edges)-1] do
            if edges[i].source.absIndex = edges[i+1].source.absIndex and edges[i].target.absIndex > edges[i+1].target.absIndex then
                tmp := edges[i];
                edges[i] := edges[i+1];
                edges[i+1] := tmp;
                bubbles := bubbles + 1;
            fi;
        od;
    od;

    for n in vertices do
        if n.absIndex = 1 then
            IO_Write(persistInfo.fileV, n.twistedLength, ";\"e\"\n");
        else
            IO_Write(persistInfo.fileV, n.twistedLength, ";\"", String(n.element), "\"\n");
        fi;
    od;

    for e in edges do
        IO_Write(persistInfo.fileE, e.source.absIndex-1, ";", e.target.absIndex-1, ";", e.label, ";", e.type, "\n");
    od;
end;
```

File twoa1.gap

```
# Calculates the poset Wk(theta).
TwistedInvolutionWeakOrdering1 := function (filename, W, matrix, theta)
    local persistInfo, maxOrder, vertices, edges, absVertexIndex, absEdgeIndex
        , prevVertex, currVertex, newEdge,
        label, type, deduction, startTime, endTime, S, k, i, s, x, y, n;

    persistInfo := TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInit(filename);

    S := GeneratorsOfGroup(W);
    maxOrder := Minimum([Maximum(Concatenation(matrix, [1])), 5]);
    vertices := [ [], [ rec(element := One(W), twistedLength := 0, inEdges :=
        [], outEdges := [], absIndex := 1) ] ];
    edges := [ [], [] ];
    absVertexIndex := 2;
    absEdgeIndex := 1;
    k := 0;

    while Length(vertices[2]) > 0 do
        if not IsFinite(W) then
            if k > 200 or absVertexIndex > 10000 then
                break;
            fi;
        fi;

        for i in [1..Length(vertices[2])] do
            Print(k, " ", i, "         \r");

            prevVertex := vertices[2][i];
            for label in Filtered([1..Length(S)], n -> Position(List(
                prevVertex.inEdges, e -> e.label), n) = fail) do
                x := prevVertex.element;
                s := S[label];

                type := 1;
                y := s^theta*x*s;
                if (CoxeterElementsCompare(x, y)) then
                    y := x * s;
                    type := 0;
                fi;

                currVertex := fail;
                for n in vertices[1] do
                    if CoxeterElementsCompare(n.element, y) then
                        currVertex := n;
                        break;
                    fi;
                od;

                if currVertex = fail then
                    currVertex := rec(element := y, twistedLength := k + 1,
                        inEdges := [], outEdges := [], absIndex :=
                        absVertexIndex);
                    Add(vertices[1], currVertex);

                    absVertexIndex := absVertexIndex + 1;
                fi;

                newEdge := rec(source := prevVertex, target := currVertex,
                    label := label, type := type, absIndex := absEdgeIndex);

                Add(edges[1], newEdge);
                Add(currVertex.inEdges, newEdge);
```

```
                Add(prevVertex.outEdges, newEdge);

                absEdgeIndex := absEdgeIndex + 1;
            od;
        od;

        TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResults(persistInfo, vertices[2], edges[2]);

        Add(vertices, [], 1);
        Add(edges, [], 1);
        if (Length(vertices) > maxOrder + 1) then
            for n in vertices[maxOrder + 2] do
                n.inEdges := [];
                n.outEdges := [];
            od;
            Remove(vertices, maxOrder + 2);
            Remove(edges, maxOrder + 2);
        fi;
        k := k + 1;
    od;

    TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInfo(persistInfo, W, matrix, theta, absVertexIndex - 1, k - 1);
    TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsClose(persistInfo);

    return rec(numVertices := absVertexIndex - 1, numEdges := absEdgeIndex - 1, maxTwistedLength := k - 1);
end;
```

File twoa2.gap

```
# Calculates the poset Wk(theta).
TwistedInvolutionWeakOrdering2 := function (filename, W, matrix, theta)
    local persistInfo, maxOrder, vertices, edges, absVertexIndex, absEdgeIndex, prevVertex, currVertex, newEdge, possibleResiduums,
        label, type, deduction, startTime, endTime, S, k, i, s, x, y, n, h, res;

    persistInfo := TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInit(filename);

    S := GeneratorsOfGroup(W);
    maxOrder := Minimum([Maximum(Concatenation(matrix, [1])), 5]);
    vertices := [ [], [ rec(element := One(W), twistedLength := 0, inEdges := [], outEdges := [], absIndex := 1) ] ];
    edges := [ [], [] ];
    absVertexIndex := 2;
    absEdgeIndex := 1;
    k := 0;

    while Length(vertices[2]) > 0 do
        if not IsFinite(W) then
            if k > 200 or absVertexIndex > 10000 then
                break;
            fi;
        fi;

        for i in [1..Length(vertices[2])] do
            Print(k, " ", i, "         \r");

            prevVertex := vertices[2][i];
            for label in Filtered([1..Length(S)], n -> Position(List(prevVertex.inEdges, e -> e.label), n) = fail) do
```

```
                x := prevVertex.element;
                s := S[label];

                type := 1;
                y := s^theta*x*s;
                if (CoxeterElementsCompare(x, y)) then
                    y := x * s;
                    type := 0;
                fi;

                possibleResiduums := DetectPossibleRank2Residuums(prevVertex,
                    label, [1..Length(S)]);
                currVertex := fail;
                for res in possibleResiduums do
                    h := Length(res) / 2;

                    if CoxeterElementsCompare(res[h*2].vertex.element, y) then
                        currVertex := res[h*2].vertex;
                        break;
                    fi;
                od;

                if currVertex = fail then
                    currVertex := rec(element := y, twistedLength := k + 1,
                        inEdges := [], outEdges := [], absIndex :=
                        absVertexIndex);
                    Add(vertices[1], currVertex);

                    absVertexIndex := absVertexIndex + 1;
                fi;

                newEdge := rec(source := prevVertex, target := currVertex,
                    label := label, type := type, absIndex := absEdgeIndex);

                Add(edges[1], newEdge);
                Add(currVertex.inEdges, newEdge);
                Add(prevVertex.outEdges, newEdge);

                absEdgeIndex := absEdgeIndex + 1;
            od;
        od;

        TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResults(persistInfo, vertices[2],
            edges[2]);

        Add(vertices, [], 1);
        Add(edges, [], 1);
        if (Length(vertices) > maxOrder + 1) then
            for n in vertices[maxOrder + 2] do
                n.inEdges := [];
                n.outEdges := [];
            od;
            Remove(vertices, maxOrder + 2);
            Remove(edges, maxOrder + 2);
        fi;
        k := k + 1;
    od;

    TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInfo(persistInfo, W, matrix,
        theta, absVertexIndex - 1, k - 1);
    TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsClose(persistInfo);

    return rec(numVertices := absVertexIndex - 1, numEdges := absEdgeIndex -
        1, maxTwistedLength := k - 1);
```

```
end;
```

File twoa3.gap

```
# Calculates the poset Wk(theta).
TwistedInvolutionWeakOrdering3 := function (filename, W, matrix, theta)
    local persistInfo, maxOrder, vertices, edges, absVertexIndex, absEdgeIndex, prevVertex, currVertex, newEdge, possibleResiduums,
        label, type, deduction, startTime, endTime, endTypes, S, k, i, s, x, _y, y, n, m, h, res;

    persistInfo := TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInit(filename);

    S := GeneratorsOfGroup(W);
    maxOrder := Minimum([Maximum(Concatenation(matrix, [1])), 5]);
    vertices := [ [], [ rec(element := One(W), twistedLength := 0, inEdges := [], outEdges := [], absIndex := 1) ] ];
    edges := [ [], [] ];
    absVertexIndex := 2;
    absEdgeIndex := 1;
    k := 0;

    while Length(vertices[2]) > 0 do
        if not IsFinite(W) then
            if k > 200 or absVertexIndex > 10000 then
                break;
            fi;
        fi;

        for i in [1..Length(vertices[2])] do
            Print(k, " ", i, "         \r");

            prevVertex := vertices[2][i];
            for label in Filtered([1..Length(S)], n -> Position(List(prevVertex.inEdges, e -> e.label), n) = fail) do
                x := prevVertex.element;
                s := S[label];
                y := x*s;
                _y := s^theta*y;
                type := -1;

                possibleResiduums := DetectPossibleRank2Residuums(prevVertex, label, [1..Length(S)]);
                currVertex := fail;
                for res in possibleResiduums do
                    m := CoxeterMatrixEntry(matrix, res[1].edge.label, res[2].edge.label);
                    h := Length(res) / 2;

                    if h = 1 then
                        if m = 2 and res[h*2].edge.type = 1 and CoxeterElementsCompare(res[h*2].vertex.element, _y) then
                            currVertex := res[h*2].vertex;
                            type := 1;
                            break;
                        fi;
                    else
                        endTypes := [-1, res[h].edge.type, res[h+1].edge.type, res[h*2].edge.type];
                        endTypes[1] := endTypes[3] + endTypes[4] - endTypes[2];

```

```
                        if endTypes[4] = 0 then
                            currVertex := res[h*2].vertex;
                            type := endTypes[1];
                            break;
                        elif endTypes = [1,1,1,1] then
                            if m = h or (Gcd(m,h) > 1 and CoxeterElementsCompare(res[h*2].vertex.element, _y)) then
                                currVertex := res[h*2].vertex;
                                type := 1;
                                break;
                            fi;
                        elif endTypes = [0,1,0,1] then
                            if m = h or (Gcd(m,h) > 1 and CoxeterElementsCompare(res[h*2].vertex.element, y)) then
                                currVertex := res[h*2].vertex;
                                type := 0;
                                break;
                            fi;
                        elif endTypes = [1,0,0,1] and m mod 2 = 1 then
                            if (m+1)/2 = h or (Gcd((m+1)/2,h) > 1 and CoxeterElementsCompare(res[h*2].vertex.element, _y)) then
                                currVertex := res[h*2].vertex;
                                type := 1;
                                break;
                            fi;
                        fi;
                    fi;
                od;

                if currVertex = fail then
                    if CoxeterElementsCompare(x, _y) then
                        type := 0;
                        _y := y;
                    else
                        type := 1;
                    fi;

                    currVertex := rec(element := _y, twistedLength := k + 1, inEdges := [], outEdges := [], absIndex := absVertexIndex);
                    Add(vertices[1], currVertex);

                    absVertexIndex := absVertexIndex + 1;
                fi;

                newEdge := rec(source := prevVertex, target := currVertex, label := label, type := type, absIndex := absEdgeIndex);

                Add(edges[1], newEdge);
                Add(currVertex.inEdges, newEdge);
                Add(prevVertex.outEdges, newEdge);

                absEdgeIndex := absEdgeIndex + 1;
            od;
        od;

        TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResults(persistInfo, vertices[2], edges[2]);

        Add(vertices, [], 1);
        Add(edges, [], 1);
```

```
        if (Length(vertices) > maxOrder + 1) then
            for n in vertices[maxOrder + 2] do
                n.inEdges := [];
                n.outEdges := [];
            od;
            Remove(vertices, maxOrder + 2);
            Remove(edges, maxOrder + 2);
        fi;
        k := k + 1;
    od;

    TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsInfo(persistInfo, W, matrix,
        theta, absVertexIndex - 1, k - 1);
    TwistedInvolutionWeakOrderingPersistResultsClose(persistInfo);

    return rec(numVertices := absVertexIndex - 1, numEdges := absEdgeIndex -
        1, maxTwistedLength := k - 1);
end;
```

B. Benchmarks

W	$\lvert Wk(W, \mathrm{id})\rvert$	Time in seconds	Element comparisons
A_1	2	5.087_{-5}	1
A_2	4	3.769_{-4}	6
BC_2	6	5.359_{-4}	9
A_3	10	1.618_{-3}	31
BC_3	20	3.586_{-3}	75
A_4	26	6.173_{-3}	173
H_3	32	4.781_{-3}	126
D_4	44	1.551_{-2}	345
A_5	76	4.200_{-2}	1,181
BC_4	76	3.778_{-2}	802
F_4	140	1.056_{-1}	1,906
D_5	156	1.218_{-1}	3,502
A_6	232	3.453_{-1}	9,700
BC_5	312	4.253_{-1}	11,024
H_4	572	7.100_{-1}	12,938
D_6	752	2.589_{0}	65,308
A_7	764	3.552_{0}	95,797
E_6	892	3.540_{0}	85,857
BC_6	1,384	8.073_{0}	193,218
A_8	2,620	4.420_{1}	1,074,392
A_9	9,496	6.342_{2}	13,531,414
E_7	10,208	4.236_{2}	7,785,186
A_{10}	35,696	9.201_{3}	185,791,174
A_{11}	140,152	1.507_{5}	2,778,111,763
E_8	199,952	2.258_{5}	2,029,454,701

Table B.1.: Benchmark results for TWOA1

W	$\lvert Wk(W, \mathrm{id})\rvert$	Time in seconds	Element comparisons
A_1	2	5.322_{-5}	1
A_2	4	4.189_{-4}	6
BC_2	6	6.300_{-4}	9
A_3	10	1.828_{-3}	29
BC_3	20	3.586_{-3}	57
A_4	26	5.369_{-3}	120
H_3	32	4.405_{-3}	93
D_4	44	1.304_{-2}	193
A_5	76	2.372_{-2}	501
BC_4	76	2.390_{-2}	344
F_4	140	5.200_{-2}	640
D_5	156	4.655_{-2}	975
A_6	232	1.032_{-1}	2,043
BC_5	312	9.964_{-2}	2,009
H_4	572	1.900_{-1}	2,578
D_6	752	3.347_{-1}	6,206
A_7	764	4.667_{-1}	8,569
E_6	892	4.013_{-1}	7,210
BC_6	1,384	6.580_{-1}	11,794
A_8	2,620	2.032_{0}	36,218
A_9	9,496	9.837_{0}	157,611
E_7	10,208	7.208_{0}	100,996
A_{10}	35,696	4.633_{1}	697,613
A_{11}	140,152	2.329_{2}	3,172,316
E_8	199,952	3.206_{2}	2,399,476

Table B.2.: Benchmark results for TWOA2

W	$\lvert Wk(W, \mathrm{id})\rvert$	Time in seconds	Element comparisons
A_1	2	5.419_{-5}	1
A_2	4	1.921_{-4}	3
BC_2	6	4.286_{-4}	5
A_3	10	1.122_{-3}	11
BC_3	20	2.110_{-3}	22
A_4	26	3.984_{-3}	40
H_3	32	2.950_{-3}	37
D_4	44	6.877_{-3}	62
A_5	76	1.818_{-2}	164
BC_4	76	1.527_{-2}	116
F_4	140	3.175_{-2}	219
D_5	156	2.811_{-2}	307
A_6	232	6.456_{-2}	691
BC_5	312	6.118_{-2}	655
H_4	572	1.044_{-1}	916
D_6	752	2.072_{-1}	1,989
A_7	764	3.413_{-1}	3,048
E_6	892	2.296_{-1}	2,347
BC_6	1,384	3.827_{-1}	3,942
A_8	2,620	1.532_{0}	13,635
A_9	9,496	7.580_{0}	62,630
E_7	10,208	3.881_{0}	33,468
A_{10}	35,696	2.999_{1}	291,699
A_{11}	140,152	1.530_{2}	1,388,533
E_8	199,952	1.501_{2}	844,805

Table B.3.: Benchmark results for TWOA3

Bibliography

[BC] Francis Buekenhout and Arjeh M. Cohen, *Diagram geometry - related to classical groups and buildings*, Draft from `http://www.win.tue.nl/~amc/buek/book1n2.pdf`. 43, 44, 45, 46

[BHH06] Kathryn Brenneman, Ruth Haas, and Aloysius G. Helminck, *Implementing an algorithm for the twisted involution poset for weyl groups*, 2006. 26, 33

[Cas01] Bill Casselman, *Computation in coxeter groups i: Multiplication*, 2001. 26

[Cas08] ———, *Computation in coxeter groups ii: Constructing minimal roots*, An Electronic Journal of the American Mathematical Society Volume 12 (2008), 260–293. 26

[Che69] M. Chein, *Recherche des graphes des matrices de coxeter hyperboliques d'ordre* ≤ 10, Rev. Francaise Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle 3, Sér. R-3 (1969), 3–16. 7

[Den09] Tom Denton, *Lifting property and poset structure of finite coxeter groups*, 2009. 11

[Deo77] Vinay V. Deodhar, *Some characterizations of bruhat ordering on a coxeter group and determination of the relative möbius function*, Invent. Math. 39 (1977), 187–198, MR0435249. 10

[Fra01] William N. Franzsen, *Automorphisms of coxeter groups*, Ph.D. thesis, 2001. 40

[GAP12] The GAP Group, *Gap – groups, algorithms, and programming, version 4.5.5*, 2012. 33

[HH12] Ruth Haas and Aloysius G. Helminck, *Algorithms for twisted involutions in weyl groups*, Algebra Colloquium 19 (2012), 263–272. 26, 33

[Hor09] Max Horn, *Involutions of kac-moody groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Darmstadt, 2009. 43, 47

[Hul05] Axel Hultman, *Fixed points of involutive automorphisms of the bruhat order*, Adv. Math. 195 (2005), 283–296, MR2145798. 16

[Hul07] ———, *The combinatorics of twisted involutions in coxeter groups*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 359 (2007), 2787–2798, MR2286056. 14, 16, 17, 20

[Hum92] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and coxeter groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1992. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 40

[Neu12] Max Neunhoeffer, *Io – a gap package, version 4.2*, 2012. 33

Index