



Universität des Saarlandes  
Deutsches Forschungszentrum  
für Künstliche Intelligenz



# Immotion - Exergame for Warm Up Guidance and Motivation

Masterarbeit im Fach Informatik  
Master's Thesis in Computer Science  
von / by

Marko Vujić

angefertigt unter der Leitung von / supervised by

begutachtet von / reviewers

Saarbrücken, November 2017



## **Eidesstattliche Erklärung**

Ich erkläre hiermit an Eides Statt, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel verwendet habe.

## **Statement in Lieu of an Oath**

I hereby confirm that I have written this thesis on my own and that I have not used any other media or materials than the ones referred to in this thesis.

## **Einverständniserklärung**

Ich bin damit einverstanden, dass meine (bestandene) Arbeit in beiden Versionen in die Bibliothek der Informatik aufgenommen und damit veröffentlicht wird.

## **Declaration of Consent**

I agree to make both versions of my thesis (with a passing grade) accessible to the public by having them added to the library of the Computer Science Department.

Saarbrücken, November 2017

Marko Vujić

# *Abstract*

## *Acknowledgements*



# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>1 Study Design</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Description of the Experiment . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Introduction and Goals . . . . .	1
1.1.1.1 Assumptions . . . . .	1
1.1.2 Methods . . . . .	2
1.1.2.1 Participants . . . . .	2
1.1.2.2 Conditions . . . . .	2
1.1.2.3 Tasks . . . . .	3
1.1.2.4 Design . . . . .	3
1.1.2.5 Procedure . . . . .	3
1.1.2.6 Apparatus . . . . .	5
1.1.2.7 Independent and Dependent Variables . . . . .	5
1.1.2.8 Hypotheses . . . . .	5
1.1.3 Problems/Limitations . . . . .	5
1.2 Results . . . . .	5
1.3 Discussion . . . . .	6
1.3.1 Interpretation of Results . . . . .	6
1.3.2 Relation to other works . . . . .	6
1.3.3 Impact for practitioners . . . . .	6
1.3.4 Critical reflection . . . . .	6

1.3.5 Research agenda . . . . .	6
1.4 Conclusions . . . . .	7
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>9</b>



# List of Figures



# List of Tables



# Chapter 1

## Study Design

### 1.1 Description of the Experiment

This chapter outlines the evaluation of the second version of the Immotion exergame. This period of testing of our gamified solution is known as pilot testing. The main purpose of this evaluation is to answer the research questions outlined in Chapter One.

#### 1.1.1 Introduction and Goals

Based on the data from the first survey outlined in Chapter 1 and a variety of flow research outlined in Chapter 2, guidelines were followed which influenced our design and development of the second version of the Immotion exergame. In order to evaluate the effect of our solution the user base is divided into two groups: *experimental group* and *control group*. The first, experimental group, is the one that interacts with the exergame directly. Contrarily, the control group is presented with the video of a participant who interacted with the system, and does not engage with the exergame directly. This approach allows us to infer the influence of our gamified solution, as well as, to assess the main differences in completing the required activities between the two user groups.

##### 1.1.1.1 Assumptions

- The participants will be able to perform the requested movements.
- The participants will be able to play the exergame for at least 1 minute.
- The participants will answer all the questionnaires truthfully.
- The software and hardware that is used will function properly.

### 1.1.2 Methods

In this section we outline the methodology adopted for the Immotion exergame evaluation. We decide to follow a mixed-methods approach, and by doing so, utilize both qualitative and quantitative data sources in combination.

#### 1.1.2.1 Participants

Total of  $n = X$  individuals participated in the study that has been conducted DATE in DFKI. All participants were students from Saarland University. For recruiting participants, posters were distributed in print, and sent through social media and email (Appendix X). Each participant was given X euros for taking part in the study. All of the participants were amateur athletes who engage in some physical activity few times per week. For the study we particularly targeted individuals who exercise in gym or fitness centers and often avoid preforming warm up exercises before more strenuous physical activity. All participants were required to report to the laboratory in gym based clothing, preferably shorts and t-shirt, and all of them performed the required tests in the same location using the same equipment. Before the study, each participant signed a consent form (Appendix X). TODO: This should be updated later with real data.

#### 1.1.2.2 Conditions

Each participant of the study took part in a single test session one hour in duration. During this session, all the participants completed a pre-test questionnaire (Appendix X), after which they performed two exercise sessions, separated by a 10 minutes break. At the end of the session the participants completed a post-test questionnaire (Appendix X). Two conditions were evaluated:

1. Exercising with the game projected on a wall in front of the participant.
2. Exercising without a game with a video of a participant playing the exergame projected on the wall in front of the participant.

TODO: third condition participants who warm up without video or the exergame?

Depending on the group, each participant performed exercise that represent one of the conditions. The participants are assigned to each group randomly. At the beginning and the end of each exercise session, the measurements for participants' ROM are taken. For this purpose, a goniometer is utilized. Additionally, participants' heart rate were measured and recorded using XX. TODO: say the purpose of Microsoft Band.

### 1.1.2.3 Tasks

In order to interact with the gamified system, the participants in the experimental group were required to perform a set of general movements. By performing these movements, the participant controls the game avatar and, by doing so, avoids obstacles and collects coins. Based on the data collected from the first survey presented in Chapter X, in order to successfully finish the game, only movements that are, first, detectable with high accuracy using only one Kinect device and, second, simplistic enough to be accomplished easily without no prior exercise knowledge or experience were required. The movements the participant needed to perform included:

- right hand movement up,
- left hand movement up,
- jump right,
- jump left,
- jump up,
- star jump, and
- squat.

Participants who were in the control group and did not interact with the gamified system were required to perform the same movements.

### 1.1.2.4 Design

Write the formal experimental design (e.g., a 2 x 3 mixed factorial design, more specifically a 2 levels of expertise (between subjects) x 3 interfaces (within subjects) design).

### 1.1.2.5 Procedure

Before the experiment, the lab environment is set up. The Kinect sensor is placed in a correct position, the projector is turned on. In each session only one participant is present and guided by the researcher. The activities each participant followed are:

- The participant completes the pre-test survey.

- The researcher explains the sensors and tools that are required for the experiment, after which the participant puts them on. The sensors used in each session include a heart rate monitor and Microsoft Band. In order to measure the range of motion around a joint in the body, a goniometer is utilized. TODO: use kinect for this? After the researcher confirms that the sensors are placed in a correct position, we start recording heart rate data.
- For each participants the researcher measures the ROM of the following joints using double-armed goniometer: to be discussed.
- After the measurements are completed, the participant rests for up to 10 minutes in order to take the readings of the resting heart rate.
- While the participant rests, the researcher explains and presents the movements that are required from the participant to perform during the experiment.
- When the rest period completes, the participant is asked to practice the required movements.
- In order to avoid starting the game and warm up with already stimulated heart rate, the participant is required to rest for 5 minutes.
- The participant is asked to prepare for the warm up by positioning to the spot marked by the researcher.
- The researcher starts recording the session using to be discussed.
  - If this participant is part of the experimental group, the game starts with the start scene where the participant enters his or her name. After 5 seconds, the game proceeds with scenes in which the participant performs the previously presented movements in order to avoid obstacles and collect coins. The duration of the game is not fixed and it is played up to the point when the participant feels warmed up enough. During the experiment, the warm up procedure performed by the participant is recorded.
  - In case the participant is part of the control group, the video that displays a gameplay performed by another participant who was part of the experiment group is presented instead of the exergame. The participants performs the same movement as in the playing video. As with with the sessions in the experiment groups, the duration of the warm up is not fixed and the video is played up to the point when the participant feels warmed up enough. During the experiment, the warm up procedure performed by the participant is recorded.
- After the participant finished with the warm up, he or she takes a rest. During this period the researcher assesses the ROM of the participant.
- The participant in the experiment group plays the game and the participant in the control group watches the video for the second time with the same content as previously.



- After the participant finished with the gameplay (or video) for the second time, the sensors are removed.
- The participant rests and completes the post-test survey.

#### **1.1.2.6 Apparatus**

Describe the physical setup of the experiment (e.g., where was it conducted, on what kind of equipment, etc.)

#### **1.1.2.7 Independent and Dependent Variables**

Include exactly how you intend to measure each dependent variable.

#### **1.1.2.8 Hypotheses**

Remember to state these in terms of the independent and dependent variables. If it is not immediately clear why you would have a certain hypothesis (it often follows logically from the introduction of the experiment), then include a brief explanation separate from but following the hypothesis. You do not need to state the null hypothesis.

#### **1.1.3 Problems/Limitations**

Describe any problems/limitations encountered that will help other researchers avoid or account for them if they decide to replicate your experiment.

### **1.2 Results**

This section is an objective report on what the numbers show. You should not try to interpret the meaning of the numbers in this section. Some of the things you may do here are: report means and standard deviations in neat tables indicate the statistics used and levels of significance include graphs, plots, histograms, etc that tell a story about the actual figures obtained Only critical raw data and summary statistics should be included in the actual report. However, you must keep all your raw data in a separate archival report, should anyone (a reviewer in the case of real scientific reporting) need more detail than is provided in the paper.

## 1.3 Discussion

Interpret the results. Although you should still try to be as objective as possible, the discussion section should illuminate your critical thinking about the results. Explain what the statistics mean, account for anomalies, and so on.

### 1.3.1 Interpretation of Results

Discuss what you believe the results really mean. For example, if you find a significant difference for some effect, what does that mean to the hypothesis? Is the difference seen an important one?

### 1.3.2 Relation to other works

How do the results you've obtained relate to other research findings?

### 1.3.3 Impact for practitioners

As computer scientists, we are particularly concerned with the implications of our findings on practitioners. Should existing interface constructs be designed differently or used in a new context? Do you have suggestions for new designs? How can the findings be generalized?

### 1.3.4 Critical reflection

Critical reflection is one of the key foundations of science. You should criticize your work (constructively, if possible), indicate possible flaws, mitigating circumstances, the limits to generalization, conditions under which you would expect your findings to be reversed, and so on.

### 1.3.5 Research agenda

The best experiments suggest new avenues of exploration. In this section, you should reflect and refine your hypotheses, describe new hypotheses, and suggest future research, ie research that you would do if you continued along this path.

## **1.4 Conclusions**

Summarize the report, and speculate on what is to come. Acknowledgements. This section should give thanks to the major people (supervisors, associates) and organizations (sponsoring agencies, funders) that helped you. For example, I would like to thank Ben Shneiderman, whose report framework was used to build this one.



# Bibliography

- [1] 50 gamification mechanics and elements. <https://www.gamified.uk/user-types/gamification-mechanics-elements/>. Accessed: 2017-03-20.
- [2] Amateur vs. professional athletes. <https://education.uslegal.com/amateur-athletics/amateur-vs-professional-athletes/>. Accessed: 2017-07-01.
- [3] Bartle's taxonomy of player types. <https://gamedevelopment.tutsplus.com/articles/bartles-taxonomy-of-player-types-and-why-it-doesnt-apply-to-everything--gamedev-4173>. Accessed: 2017-03-10.
- [4] Codecademy- gamafication. <http://www.enterprise-gamification.com/mediawiki/index.php?title=Codecademy>. Accessed: 2017-01-20.
- [5] Codecademy- gamafication. <https://badgeville.com/>. Accessed: 2017-01-20.
- [6] Deloitte leadership academy. [https://badgeville.com/wiki/case\\_studies#Deloitte](https://badgeville.com/wiki/case_studies#Deloitte). Accessed: 2017-01-27.
- [7] Fifa 11+. <http://f-marc.com/11plus/home/>. Accessed: 2017-02-21.
- [8] Game design elements definition. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Game\\_design#Design\\_elements](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Game_design#Design_elements). Accessed: 2017-01-20.
- [9] Gamification - applications. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gamification#Applications>. Accessed: 2017-01-20.
- [10] Gamification 101, the psychology of motivation. <https://community.lithium.com/t5/Science-of-Social-blog/Gamification-101-The-Psychology-of-Motivation/ba-p/21864>. Accessed: 2017-02-27.
- [11] Gamification course by prof. kevin werbach, coursera.org. <https://www.coursera.org/learn/gamification/lecture/JPQNK/4-2-the-pyramid-of-elements>. Accessed: 2017-02-20.
- [12] Gamification examples. [https://badgeville.com/wiki/Gamification\\_Examples](https://badgeville.com/wiki/Gamification_Examples). Accessed: 2017-01-25.
- [13] Gamification examples. [http://www.enterprise-gamification.com/mediawiki/index.php?title=Gamification\\_Examples](http://www.enterprise-gamification.com/mediawiki/index.php?title=Gamification_Examples). Accessed: 2017-01-27.
- [14] Gamify. <https://badgeville.com/wiki/Gamify>. Accessed: 2017-01-20.
- [15] Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in gamification. <http://gamification-research.org/2014/08/flow/>. Accessed: 2017-02-20.

- [16] Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in gamification. <http://www.gamification.co/2011/10/27/intrinsic-and-extrinsic-motivation-in-gamification/>. Accessed: 2017-02-12.
- [17] Marketing gamification: Toyota turns charging your iphone into an epic game. <http://yukaichou.com/marketing-gamification/marketing-gamification-toyota-turns-charging-iphone-epic-game/>. Accessed: 2017-01-27.
- [18] My coke rewards. <https://www.mycokerewards.com/content/home.html>. Accessed: 2017-01-27.
- [19] My starbucks rewards. <https://badgeville.com/wiki/mystarbucksrewards>. Accessed: 2017-01-27.
- [20] Nike +. <https://badgeville.com/wiki/Nike>. Accessed: 2017-01-27.
- [21] Reem Altamimi and Geoff Skinner. A survey of active video game literature. *Journal of Computer and Information Technology*, 1(1):20–35, 2012.
- [22] Noël C Barengo, José Francisco Meneses-Echávez, Robinson Ramírez-Vélez, Daniel Dylan Cohen, Gustavo Tovar, and Jorge Enrique Correa Bautista. The impact of the fifa 11+ training program on injury prevention in football players: a systematic review. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 11(11):11986–12000, 2014.
- [23] Richard Bartle. Hearts, clubs, diamonds, spades: Players who suit muds. *Journal of MUD research*, 1(1):19, 1996.
- [24] David Bishop. Warm up i. *Sports medicine*, 33(6):439–454, 2003.
- [25] David Bishop. Warm up ii. *Sports Medicine*, 33(7):483–498, 2003.
- [26] Anja Broeck, Maarten Vansteenkiste, Hans Witte, Bart Soenens, and Willy Lens. Capturing autonomy, competence, and relatedness at work: Construction and initial validation of the work-related basic need satisfaction scale. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 83(4):981–1002, 2010.
- [27] Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi. Flow and the psychology of discovery and invention. *New Yprk: Harper Collins*, 1996.
- [28] Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi. Finding flow, 1997.
- [29] Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi, Sami Abuhamdeh, and Jeanne Nakamura. Flow. In *Flow and the foundations of positive psychology*, pages 227–238. Springer, 2014.
- [30] Edward L Deci and Richard M Ryan. Promoting self-determined education. *Scandinavian journal of educational research*, 38(1):3–14, 1994.
- [31] Edward L Deci and Richard M Ryan. The” what” and” why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological inquiry*, 11(4):227–268, 2000.
- [32] Carlos Delgado-Mata, Ricardo Ruvalcaba-Manzano, Oscar Quezada-Patino, Daniel Gomez-Pimentel, and Jesus Ibanez-Martinez. Low cost video game technology to measure and improve motor skills in children. In *AFRICON, 2009. AFRICON’09.*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2009.

- [33] Sebastian Deterding, Dan Dixon, Rilla Khaled, and Lennart Nacke. From game design elements to gamefulness: defining gamification. In *Proceedings of the 15th international academic MindTrek conference: Envisioning future media environments*, pages 9–15. ACM, 2011.
- [34] Pascal Edouard, Nina Feddermann-Demont, Juan Manuel Alonso, Pedro Branco, and Astrid Junge. Sex differences in injury during top-level international athletics championships: surveillance data from 14 championships between 2007 and 2014. *British journal of sports medicine*, 49(7):472–477, 2015.
- [35] Karl B Fields, Craig M Burnworth, and Martha Delaney. Should athletes stretch before exercise? *Chinese Journal of Sports Medicine*, 26(5):626, 2007.
- [36] Felix Fischer, Jacques Menetrey, Mirco Herbort, Peter Gföller, Caroline Hepperger, and Christian Fink. Causes of overuse in sports. In *Prevention of Injuries and Overuse in Sports*, pages 27–38. Springer, 2016.
- [37] Csikszentmihalyi Flow. The psychology of optimal experience. *Harper&Row, New York*, 1990.
- [38] Andrea J Fradkin, Belinda J Gabbe, and Peter A Cameron. Does warming up prevent injury in sport?: The evidence from randomised controlled trials? *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 9(3):214–220, 2006.
- [39] Andrea J Fradkin, Tsharni R Zazryn, and James M Smoliga. Effects of warming-up on physical performance: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 24(1):140–148, 2010.
- [40] Juho Hamari and Jonna Koivisto. Measuring flow in gamification: Dispositional flow scale-2. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 40:133–143, 2014.
- [41] Juho Hamari, Jonna Koivisto, and Harri Sarsa. Does gamification work?—a literature review of empirical studies on gamification. In *System Sciences (HICSS), 2014 47th Hawaii International Conference on*, pages 3025–3034. IEEE, 2014.
- [42] Allen Hedrick. Exercise physiology: Physiological responses to warm-up. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, 14(5):25–27, 1992.
- [43] Robin Hunicke, Marc LeBlanc, and Robert Zubek. Mda: A formal approach to game design and game research. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Workshop on Challenges in Game AI*, volume 4, 2004.
- [44] Susan A Jackson and Robert C Eklund. Assessing flow in physical activity: The flow state scale-2 and dispositional flow scale-2. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 24(2):133–150, 2002.
- [45] Jesper Juul. *Half-real: Video games between real rules and fictional worlds*. MIT press, 2011.
- [46] Karl M Kapp. *The gamification of learning and instruction: game-based methods and strategies for training and education*. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- [47] Juha Karvonen. Importance of warm-up and cool down on exercise performance. In *Medicine in Sports Training and Coaching*, pages 189–214. Karger Publishers, 1992.
- [48] Anthony D Kay and Anthony J Blazeovich. Effect of acute static stretch on maximal muscle performance: a systematic review. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 44(1):154–164, 2012.

- [49] Kristian Kiili. Evaluations of an experiential gaming model. *Human Technology: An Interdisciplinary Journal on Humans in ICT Environments*, 2006.
- [50] Kristian Kiili and Sari Merilampi. Developing engaging exergames with simple motion detection. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments*, pages 103–110. ACM, 2010.
- [51] DUANE V Knudson. Warm-up and flexibility. *Chandler TJ, Brown LE. Conditioning for Strength and Human Performance. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott-Williams & Wilkins*, 2008.
- [52] Matthew A Ladwig. The psychological effects of a pre-workout warm-up: An exploratory study. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 5(3):79, 2013.
- [53] Andrzej Marczewski. *Gamification: a simple introduction*. Andrzej Marczewski, 2013.
- [54] Amir Matallaoui, Jonna Koivisto, Juho Hamari, and Ruediger Zarnekow. How effective is exergamification? a systematic review on the effectiveness of gamification features in exergames. In *Proceedings of the 50th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 2017.
- [55] Hermann O Mayr, Stefano Zaffagnini, et al. *Prevention of Injuries and Overuse in Sports*. Springer, 2015.
- [56] Elisa D Mekler, Florian Brühlmann, Klaus Opwis, and Alexandre N Tuch. Do points, levels and leaderboards harm intrinsic motivation?: an empirical analysis of common gamification elements. In *Proceedings of the First International Conference on gameful design, research, and applications*, pages 66–73. ACM, 2013.
- [57] Jeanne Nakamura and Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi. The concept of flow. In *Flow and the foundations of positive psychology*, pages 239–263. Springer, 2014.
- [58] Yoonsin Oh and Stephen Yang. Defining exergames and exergaming. *Proceedings of Meaningful Play*, pages 1–17, 2010.
- [59] Ronald L Pardee. Motivation theories of maslow, herzberg, mcgregor & mcclelland. a literature review of selected theories dealing with job satisfaction and motivation. 1990.
- [60] Marko Pećina and Ivan Bojanić. *Overuse injuries of the musculoskeletal system*. CRC Press, 1993.
- [61] Daniel Pereles, Alan Roth, and Darby Thompson. A large, randomized, prospective study of the impact of a pre-run stretch on the risk of injury on teenage and older runners, 2012.
- [62] Richard M Ryan and Edward L Deci. Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary educational psychology*, 25(1):54–67, 2000.
- [63] Richard M Ryan and Edward L Deci. Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American psychologist*, 55(1):68, 2000.
- [64] Marc R Safran, Mr Anthony V Seaber, and William E Garrett Jr. Warm-up and muscular injury prevention an update. *Sports Medicine*, 8(4):239–249, 1989.
- [65] Katie Salen and Eric Zimmerman. *Rules of play: Game design fundamentals*. MIT press, 2004.
- [66] Frank G Shellock and William E Prentice. Warming-up and stretching for improved physical performance and prevention of sports-related injuries. *Sports Medicine*, 2(4):267–278, 1985.



- [67] Martin Sillaots. Achieving flow through gamification: A study on re-designing research methods courses. In *European Conference on Games Based Learning*, volume 2, page 538. Academic Conferences International Limited, 2014.
- [68] Gustavo F Tondello, Rina R Wehbe, Lisa Diamond, Marc Busch, Andrzej Marczewski, and Lennart E Nacke. The gamification user types hexad scale. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play*, pages 229–243. ACM, 2016.
- [69] Robert J Vallerand. Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in sport and physical activity. *Handbook of sport psychology*, 3:59–83, 2007.
- [70] Willem van Mechelen. The severity of sports injuries. *Sports medicine*, 24(3):176–180, 1997.
- [71] Elizabeth A Vandewater, Mi-suk Shim, and Allison G Caplovitz. Linking obesity and activity level with children’s television and video game use. *Journal of adolescence*, 27(1):71–85, 2004.
- [72] Darren ER Warburton, Crystal Whitney Nicol, and Shannon SD Bredin. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *Canadian medical association journal*, 174(6):801–809, 2006.
- [73] Kevin Werbach and Dan Hunter. *For the win: How game thinking can revolutionize your business*. Wharton Digital Press, 2012.
- [74] Krista Woods, Phillip Bishop, and Eric Jones. Warm-up and stretching in the prevention of muscular injury. *Sports Medicine*, 37(12):1089–1099, 2007.
- [75] Nick Yee. Motivations for play in online games. *CyberPsychology & behavior*, 9(6):772–775, 2006.
- [76] Gabe Zichermann and Christopher Cunningham. *Gamification by design: Implementing game mechanics in web and mobile apps*. ” O’Reilly Media, Inc.”, 2011.