

A World Bank Group Flagship Report



# Doing Business 2018

## Reforming to Create Jobs



© 2018 International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank  
1818 H Street NW  
Washington DC 20433  
Telephone: 202-473-1000  
Internet: [www.worldbank.org](http://www.worldbank.org)

This work is a product of the staff of The World Bank with external contributions. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect the views of The World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors, or the governments they represent.

The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply any judgment on the part of The World Bank concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

#### **Rights and Permissions**

The material in this work is subject to copyright. Because The World Bank encourages dissemination of its knowledge, this work may be reproduced, in whole or in part, for noncommercial purposes as long as full attribution to this work is given.

Any queries on rights and licenses, including subsidiary rights, should be addressed to World Bank Publications, The World Bank Group, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; fax: 202-522-2625; e-mail: [pubrights@worldbank.org](mailto:pubrights@worldbank.org).

Cover design: Corporate Visions, Inc.

# Doing Business 2018

## Reforming to Create Jobs



COMPARING BUSINESS REGULATION FOR DOMESTIC FIRMS IN **190** ECONOMIES

*A World Bank Group Flagship Report*



# Resources on the Doing Business website

## Current features

News on the *Doing Business* project  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org>

## Rankings

How economies rank—from 1 to 190  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/rankings>

## Data

All the data for 190 economies—topic rankings, indicator values, lists of regulatory procedures and details underlying indicators  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/data>

## Reports

Access to *Doing Business* reports as well as subnational and regional reports, case studies and customized economy and regional profiles  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/reports>

## Methodology

The methodologies and research papers underlying *Doing Business*  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/methodology>

## Research

Abstracts of papers on *Doing Business* topics and related policy issues  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/research>

## Doing Business reforms

Short summaries of DB2018 business regulation reforms and lists of reforms since DB2006  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/reforms>

## Historical data

Customized data sets since DB2004  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/custom-query>

## Law library

Online collection of business laws and regulations relating to business  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/law-library>

## Contributors

More than 13,000 specialists in 190 economies who participate in *Doing Business*  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/contributors/doing-business>

## Entrepreneurship data

Data on new business density (number of newly registered companies per 1,000 working-age people) for 143 economies  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/entrepreneurship>

## Distance to frontier

Data benchmarking 190 economies to the frontier in regulatory practice and a distance to frontier calculator  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/distance-to-frontier>

## Information on good practices

Showing where the many good practices identified by *Doing Business* have been adopted  
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/good-practice>



# Contents

- iv Foreword
- 1 Overview
- 11 About *Doing Business*
- 22 Reforming the Business Environment in 2016/17

---

## Case studies

- 39 Starting a Business  
*Transparency of information at business registries*
- 45 Dealing with Construction Permits  
*Private sector participation in construction regulation*
- 51 Registering Property  
*Using information to curb corruption*
- 56 Resolving Insolvency  
*The challenges of successfully implementing insolvency reforms*

- 
- 62 References
  - 67 Data Notes
  - 119 Distance to Frontier and Ease of Doing Business Ranking
  - 126 Summaries of *Doing Business* Reforms in 2016/17
  - 142 Country Tables
  - 206 Labor Market Regulation
  - 222 Acknowledgments

- *Doing Business 2018* is the 15th in a series of annual reports investigating the regulations that enhance business activity and those that constrain it. *Doing Business* presents quantitative indicators on business regulation and the protection of property rights that can be compared across 190 economies—from Afghanistan to Zimbabwe—and over time.
- *Doing Business* measures aspects of regulation affecting 11 areas of the life of a business. Ten of these areas are included in this year's ranking on the ease of doing business: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. *Doing Business* also measures features of labor market regulation, which is not included in this year's ranking.
- Data in *Doing Business 2018* are current as of June 1, 2017. The indicators are used to analyze economic outcomes and identify what reforms of business regulation have worked, where and why.



# Foreword

In its 14 years of publication, *Doing Business* has come a long way. At a recent international forum, I heard the leaders of India and the Russian Federation talking about how important it is for their countries to improve their *Doing Business* rankings and create more jobs for young workers.

When the first edition was published in September 2003, little data was available on regulation affecting business activity. *Doing Business* created a new approach to policy reform—one informed by hard data and focused on domestic companies. The objectives of *Doing Business* are as clear as they are ambitious: to inform the design of reforms and motivate these reforms through country benchmarking. Behind each set of indicators lies rigorous academic analysis, done in cooperation with leading scholars. For example, the indicators on efficient insolvency systems were created with the help of Professor Oliver Hart from Harvard University, the 2016 Nobel Prize winner in economics.<sup>1</sup> In the years since the start of the project, over 3,000 peer-reviewed academic papers and another 7,000 working papers have been written using the *Doing Business* data. Their findings improve our knowledge of how economic policy works.

Since its inception—when *Doing Business* covered 145 economies—the scope of the report has expanded to 190 economies worldwide. The regulatory areas measured by the report have also been expanded to include more aspects that are relevant to the daily operations of domestic small and medium-size firms. For eight of the 11 *Doing Business* indicator sets, the report’s traditional focus on efficiency—defined as the time, cost and number of interactions necessary to incorporate a new business or connect a warehouse to the electrical grid—has been complemented with a new focus on regulatory quality. *Doing Business* data shows that efficiency and quality go hand in hand, reinforcing each other.

Despite these additions and improvements, one aspect of *Doing Business* has remained unchanged: its focus on promoting regulatory reform that strengthens the ability of the private sector to create jobs, lift people out of poverty and create more opportunities for the economy to prosper. The notion that the private sector has substantial economic, social and development impact is now universally recognized. Responsible for an estimated 90% of employment in developing economies, the private sector


---

1. Djankov and others 2008.

is ideally placed to alleviate poverty by providing the opportunities to secure a good and sustainable standard of living.

Policy reforms catalyze private investment. Promoting a well-functioning private sector is a major undertaking for any government. It requires long-term policies of removing administrative barriers and strengthening laws that promote entrepreneurship.

Hard data helps do that. It gives a voice to the people to demand improved public services. It also increases government accountability. Over the past decade, more than 60 economies have established regulatory reform committees that use the *Doing Business* indicators. As a result, governments have reported more than 3,180 regulatory reforms, including about 920 reforms that have been inspired by *Doing Business*. This is true impact.



**Kristalina Georgieva**  
Chief Executive Officer  
The World Bank  
Washington, DC



# Overview

This year marks the 15th *Doing Business* report. Since the inception of the project in 2003, the global business regulatory environment has changed dramatically. Governments around the world have embraced and nurtured advances in information technology to reduce bureaucratic hurdles and increase transparency. Today, in 65 of the 190 economies covered by *Doing Business*, entrepreneurs can complete at least one business incorporation procedure online, compared with only nine of the 145 economies measured in *Doing Business 2004*. Furthermore, in 31 economies it is now possible to initiate a commercial dispute online. This kind of progress can also be observed in the other areas measured by *Doing Business*.

*Doing Business* measures aspects of business regulation and their implications for firm establishment and operations. It does not include all the issues that are relevant for businesses' decisions, but it does cover important areas that are under the control of policy makers. Governments worldwide recognize the economic and political benefits of improved business regulation. In fact, 119 of the 190 economies measured by *Doing Business 2018* enacted at least one business regulation reform in 2016/17. Of these, 79.8% implemented at least one reform for a second consecutive year and 64.7% for a third.

Business regulation can enable new ideas to come to life. When a software engineer realizes that she can develop a better and less-expensive product than is currently available, she may choose to start her own company to develop the idea. She will be more likely to become an entrepreneur in an economy where the rules governing start-ups are accessible, transparent

and predictable. Conversely, in an economy where business regulation is cumbersome or ambiguous, she may be less willing to start her own company. In this case, the economy forfeits a new entrepreneur—as well as the associated capital investment and job creation. In turn, consumers have fewer, lower quality and more expensive product choices. Such a scenario highlights the way in which cumbersome regulation can distort resource allocation by stifling entrepreneurial endeavors in favor of maintaining a less optimal status quo.

Consider the case of the potential software entrepreneur. If she were a national of Canada, it would take just two procedures, one and a half days and less than 1% of income per capita to start her business in Toronto. First, she would need to file for federal incorporation and provincial registration online via Industry Canada's Electronic Filing Centre; this costs 200 Canadian dollars (\$159) and is completed within a day. Second, she would need to

- *Doing Business* uses 11 indicator sets to measure aspects of business regulation that matter for entrepreneurship.
- Although good regulatory practices can be found around the world, they are most common in OECD high-income economies and the economies of Europe and Central Asia.
- Sub-Saharan Africa has the widest variation in performance among the areas measured by *Doing Business*, with Mauritius standing at 25 in the ranking and Somalia at 190.
- South Asia is the only region not represented in the top 50 ranking for ease of doing business. However, India stands out this year as one of the 10 economies that improved the most in the areas measured by *Doing Business*.
- The regions with the highest share of reforming economies in *Doing Business 2018* are Europe and Central Asia, South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa.
- Crises are opportunities for reform; economies are more likely to implement regulatory reforms in the areas measured by *Doing Business* when there is fiscal distress. Evidence shows that an economic crisis creates a stronger motivation for reform than a change of government.
- Better performance in *Doing Business* is associated with lower levels of unemployment and poverty.

register online for value added tax; this costs nothing and is completed within half a day. She can perform these steps online from the comfort of her home. As her business expands and becomes profitable, she would be expected to pay 20.9% of her commercial profits in taxes and contributions annually. However, if the same entrepreneur were a national of the Philippines, living in Quezon City, the business incorporation process would require 16 procedures, take 28 days and cost around 16% of income per capita. She would need to make 20 different tax and contribution payments and visit multiple agencies in person. Furthermore, her business would be expected to pay 42.9% of its commercial profits in taxes and contributions annually. Cumbersome business regulatory structures such as these constrain the ability of entrepreneurs to transform their ideas into viable businesses.

*Doing Business* measures the processes for starting a business, obtaining a building permit, getting an electricity connection, transferring property, paying taxes, taking a commercial dispute to court, and resolving an insolvency

case, as well as credit and equity market regulations and logistics of importing and exporting goods (figure 1.1). There are many other factors that influence firm decisions—such as the availability of skilled labor or market size—that are not captured in *Doing Business*. But *Doing Business* focuses on key areas of interaction between the government and entrepreneurs, where policy makers and regulators can directly influence procedures to facilitate these interactions. For more information on what is measured and what is not, see the chapter About *Doing Business*.

Specifically, incumbent firms are more likely to continue operating despite poor productivity because there is little competition from new, more productive firms. In the absence of effective regulation, firms are also less inclined to leave the informal sector.<sup>1</sup>

In addition, *Doing Business* measures the coverage, scope and quality of credit information available from credit registries and bureaus. When functioning well, these institutions form an essential element of an economy's financial infrastructure by strengthening access to financial services, particularly credit. By collecting and sharing credit information, such agencies reduce information asymmetries, increase access to credit for small firms, lower interest rates, improve borrower discipline and strengthen bank supervision and credit risk monitoring. Indeed, a study of a credit bureau serving the equipment finance industry in the United States found that better exchange of information between lenders results in improved repayment behavior by firms, including lower incidences of delinquencies and defaults. This impact was stronger for firms that typically lack

## WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS OF IMPROVED BUSINESS REGULATION?

The 11 *Doing Business* indicator sets capture the effectiveness and quality of business regulation. Research findings substantiate the economic relevance of the aspects of business regulation measured by *Doing Business*. Recent research, for example, examines the impact of improving business regulation. One study finds that high start-up costs can result in lower overall productivity.

**FIGURE 1.1** What is measured in *Doing Business*?



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: Labor market regulation is not included in the ease of doing business ranking.

informational transparency, such as small and young firms.<sup>2</sup>

*Doing Business* places emphasis on the quality of legal infrastructure and the strength of legal institutions. The protecting minority investors indicator set, for example, measures the protection of minority shareholders. For businesses to secure equity finance, legal mechanisms are needed to prevent the use of corporate assets by company insiders for personal gain—especially during financial crises or times of market distress. Research has shown that during the 2008 global financial crisis, for example, companies in economies with better investor protections and stronger corporate governance experienced a smaller decrease in their market value. Conversely, firms in economies with weak legal structures saw a more significant decline in value.<sup>3</sup>

The *Doing Business* indicators on resolving insolvency provide evidence of a strong relationship between regulatory quality and efficient outcomes. The indicator set measures the quality of regulation as the recovery rate for secured creditors and the extent to which domestic law has incorporated certain internationally-accepted principles on liquidation and reorganization proceedings. Efficient outcomes occur when viable businesses are given a chance to survive, while loss-prone, inefficient firms exit the market, putting resources to better use elsewhere in the economy. In the absence of strong legal bankruptcy legislation, however, the balance between firm survival and efficient exit is distorted. This distortion was highlighted by research using data from Hungary, where the majority of firms in bankruptcy were preserved and allowed to continue operating as going concerns—despite generating substantial operating losses and resulting in low recovery rates for creditors. The main cause of this distortion was the inadequate allocation of control rights between secured and unsecured creditors, which decreased the recovery value

by not allowing creditors to take important decisions related to the company assets during insolvency procedures. Another cause was the establishment of a compensation scheme for agents managing bankruptcy proceedings based on assets sold and operating revenues of a firm, which created a significant increase in the cost of bankruptcy procedures and reduced creditors' recovery rate.<sup>4</sup>

In the area of cross-border trade, *Doing Business* measures the effectiveness of trade logistics. Several studies have underscored the importance of port automation and efficiency for both trade facilitation and regional economic development. These studies have found that ports that are more automated require less maintenance, are more cost-effective and ensure better worker safety. Furthermore, a study of the determinants of shipping costs from Latin America to the United States found that—for most exporting economies—high transportation costs pose even greater barriers to trade than import tariffs, and that port inefficiencies significantly add to these costs. One of the most striking findings is that by improving port efficiency from the 25th to the 75th percentile, shipping costs are lowered by 12%, substantially increasing the volume of bilateral trade.<sup>5</sup> One of the principal causes of port inefficiency is excessive regulation—precisely what *Doing Business* advocates to curb.

## WHERE IS BUSINESS REGULATION BETTER?

The overall measure of the ease of doing business gives an indication of where it is easier for domestic small and medium-size firms to do business. Although the economies with the most business-friendly regulation in this year's ease of doing business ranking are relatively diverse, the economies within the top 20 share some common features. Fourteen of the top 20 are OECD high-income economies; three are from Europe and

Central Asia and three from East Asia and the Pacific. Eighteen of the top 20 are classified as high-income economies. The top 5 performers are New Zealand, Singapore, Denmark, the Republic of Korea and Hong Kong SAR, China. The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia is the only upper-middle-income economy on the list, while Georgia is the only lower-middle-income one (table 1.1). To date, no low-income economy has reached the top 20 group. However, being wealthy does not guarantee a front-runner position in the ease of doing business ranking; many high-income economies still have room for progress. Having few bureaucratic hurdles, robust legal institutions and laws and regulations that are based on international good practices is what matters most for a good performance in the ease of doing business ranking.

Among the top 20 economies, Georgia, with a ranking of 9, has implemented the highest number of business regulation reforms since the launch of *Doing Business* in 2003—a total of 47. With 41, FYR Macedonia has carried out the second highest number of reforms among the top 20. During the same period, Latvia and Lithuania have also actively reformed their business regulatory environments, with 28 and 31 reforms respectively. Among other reforms, Lithuania has made six reforms to its business incorporation processes, five reforms to bankruptcy proceedings and four reforms to its taxation system. Many other top-ranked economies have followed this pattern of continuous reform, demonstrating that comprehensive reform efforts can lead to considerable improvements in an economy's regulatory and business environment. Another feature that the top 20 economies have in common—albeit not measured by *Doing Business*—is that on average they have higher labor force participation rates and lower levels of income inequality. Indeed, the average Gini coefficient<sup>6</sup> of the top 20 economies is 0.3 (with 0 representing perfect equality and 1 representing perfect inequality), compared to 0.4 for the lowest 20.<sup>7</sup>

TABLE 1.1 Ease of doing business ranking


DB 2018 Rank	Economy	DTF score	DTF change	DB 2018 Rank	Economy	DTF score	DTF change	DB 2018 Rank	Economy	DTF score	DTF change
1	New Zealand	86.55	-0.18	65	Albania	68.70	+0.96	129	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	55.72	+0.01
2	Singapore	84.57	+0.04	66	Bahrain	68.13	+0.01	130	Palau	55.58	+0.46
3	Denmark	84.06	-0.01	67	Greece	68.02	+0.01	131	Nicaragua	55.39	+0.09
4	Korea, Rep.	83.92	0.00	68	Vietnam	67.93	+2.85	132	Barbados	55.20	-0.09
5	Hong Kong SAR, China	83.44	+0.29	69	Morocco	67.91	-0.03	133	Lebanon	54.67	-0.10
6	United States	82.54	-0.01	70	Jamaica	67.27	+0.57	134	St. Kitts and Nevis	54.52	+0.18
7	United Kingdom	82.22	-0.12	71	Oman	67.20	+0.08	135	Cambodia	54.47	+0.23
8	Norway	82.16	-0.25	72	Indonesia	66.47	+2.25	136	Maldives	54.42	+0.64
9	Georgia	82.04	+2.12	73	El Salvador	66.42	+3.54	137	Tanzania	54.04	+0.11
10	Sweden	81.27	+0.03	74	Uzbekistan	66.33	+4.46	138	Mozambique	54.00	+0.97
11	Macedonia, FYR	81.18	-0.21	75	Bhutan	66.27	+1.06	139	Côte d'Ivoire	53.71	+2.04
12	Estonia	80.80	+0.05	76	Ukraine	65.75	+1.90	140	Senegal	53.06	+3.75
13	Finland	80.37	-0.11	77	Kyrgyz Republic	65.70	+0.54	141	Lao PDR	53.01	+0.43
14	Australia	80.14	0.00	78	China	65.29	+0.40	142	Grenada	52.94	-0.11
15	Taiwan, China	80.07	+0.41	79	Panama	65.27	+1.25	143	Mali	52.92	+0.30
16	Lithuania	79.87	+1.05	80	Kenya	65.15	+2.59	144	Niger	52.34	+2.26
17	Ireland	79.51	-0.19	81	Botswana	64.94	+0.07	145	Nigeria	52.03	+3.85
18	Canada	79.29	-0.09	82	South Africa	64.89	-0.08	146	Gambia, The	51.92	-0.01
19	Latvia	79.26	-0.79	83	Qatar	64.86	+0.61	147	Pakistan	51.65	+0.71
20	Germany	79.00	-0.19	84	Malta	64.72	+0.43	148	Burkina Faso	51.54	+0.20
21	United Arab Emirates	78.73	+1.87	85	Zambia	64.50	+3.92	149	Marshall Islands	51.45	+0.03
22	Austria	78.54	-0.15	86	Bosnia and Herzegovina	64.20	+0.42	150	Mauritania	50.88	+1.56
23	Iceland	78.50	+0.01	87	Samoa	63.89	+2.06	151	Benin	50.47	+1.85
24	Malaysia	78.43	+0.96	88	Tunisia	63.58	-0.20	152	Bolivia	50.18	+0.32
25	Mauritius	77.54	+2.09	89	Tonga	63.43	+0.50	153	Guinea	49.80	+0.32
26	Thailand	77.44	+5.68	90	Vanuatu	63.08	+0.02	154	Djibouti	49.58	+3.99
27	Poland	77.30	+0.18	91	St. Lucia	62.88	+0.01	155	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	48.99	+0.01
28	Spain	77.02	0.00	92	Saudi Arabia	62.50	+2.92	156	Togo	48.88	+0.64
29	Portugal	76.84	-0.14	93	San Marino	62.47	-0.03	157	Kiribati	48.74	-0.31
30	Czech Republic	76.27	+0.03	94	Uruguay	61.99	+0.35	158	Comoros	48.52	+0.47
31	France	76.13	-0.06	95	Seychelles	61.41	+1.01	159	Zimbabwe	48.47	+0.80
32	Netherlands	76.03	+0.51	96	Kuwait	61.23	+1.52	160	Sierra Leone	48.18	-0.06
33	Switzerland	75.92	+0.19	97	Guatemala	61.18	-0.43	161	Ethiopia	47.77	+2.08
34	Japan	75.68	+0.07	98	Dominica	60.96	+0.34	162	Madagascar	47.67	+3.05
35	Russian Federation	75.50	+0.81	99	Dominican Republic	60.93	+2.52	163	Cameroon	47.23	+2.18
36	Kazakhstan	75.44	+1.06	100	India	60.76	+4.71	164	Burundi	46.92	+0.06
37	Slovenia	75.42	+0.99	101	Fiji	60.74	+0.04	165	Suriname	46.87	+0.11
38	Belarus	75.06	+0.55	102	Trinidad and Tobago	60.68	-0.19	166	Algeria	46.71	-0.01
39	Slovak Republic	74.90	-0.25	103	Jordan	60.58	+2.38	167	Gabon	46.19	+1.33
40	Kosovo	73.49	+4.98	104	Lesotho	60.42	+0.54	168	Iraq	44.87	+0.48
41	Rwanda	73.40	+3.21	105	Nepal	59.95	+2.35	169	São Tomé and Príncipe	44.84	+0.39
42	Montenegro	73.18	+1.64	106	Namibia	59.94	+0.54	170	Sudan	44.46	+0.17
43	Serbia	73.13	+0.26	107	Antigua and Barbuda	59.63	+0.98	171	Myanmar	44.21	+0.30
44	Moldova	73.00	+0.20	108	Paraguay	59.18	+0.06	172	Liberia	43.55	+3.10
45	Romania	72.87	+0.17	109	Papua New Guinea	59.04	+0.17	173	Equatorial Guinea	41.66	+1.77
46	Italy	72.70	+1.15	110	Malawi	58.94	+6.33	174	Syrian Arab Republic	41.55	+0.08
47	Armenia	72.51	+0.59	111	Sri Lanka	58.86	+0.13	175	Angola	41.49	+1.38
48	Hungary	72.39	+0.26	112	Swaziland	58.82	+0.25	176	Guinea-Bissau	41.45	+0.23
49	Mexico	72.27	+0.18	113	Philippines	58.74	+0.42	177	Bangladesh	40.99	+0.15
50	Bulgaria	71.91	+0.10	114	West Bank and Gaza	58.68	+3.80	178	Timor-Leste	40.62	-0.07
51	Croatia	71.70	+0.05	115	Honduras	58.46	-0.07	179	Congo, Rep.	39.57	-0.52
52	Belgium	71.69	-0.23	116	Solomon Islands	58.13	-0.01	180	Chad	38.30	-0.28
53	Cyprus	71.63	-0.49	117	Argentina	58.11	+0.07	181	Haiti	38.24	+0.01
54	Israel	71.42	+0.05	118	Ecuador	57.83	-0.01	182	Congo, Dem. Rep.	37.65	+0.22
55	Chile	71.22	+0.37	119	Bahamas, The	57.47	+0.82	183	Afghanistan	36.19	-1.80
56	Brunei Darussalam	70.60	+5.83	120	Ghana	57.24	+0.34	184	Central African Republic	34.86	+0.78
57	Azerbaijan	70.19	+3.12	121	Belize	57.11	+0.03	185	Libya	33.21	+0.03
58	Peru	69.45	+0.01	122	Uganda	56.94	+0.42	186	Yemen, Rep.	33.00	+0.06
59	Colombia	69.41	-0.11	123	Tajikistan	56.86	+0.93	187	South Sudan	32.86	-0.33
60	Turkey	69.14	+1.16	124	Iran, Islamic Rep.	56.48	+0.26	188	Venezuela, RB	30.87	-0.79
61	Costa Rica	69.13	+1.23	125	Brazil	56.45	+0.38	189	Eritrea	22.87	+0.42
62	Mongolia	69.03	+1.27	126	Guyana	56.28	+0.39	190	Somalia	19.98	-0.31
63	Luxembourg	69.01	+0.35	127	Cabo Verde	56.24	+0.42				
64	Puerto Rico (U.S.)	68.85	+0.05	128	Egypt, Arab Rep.	56.22	+0.10				

Source: Doing Business database.

Note: The DB 2018 rankings are benchmarked to June 2017 and based on the average of each economy's distance to frontier (DTF) scores for the 10 topics included in the aggregate ranking. For the economies for which the data cover two cities, scores are a population-weighted average for the two cities. A positive change indicates an improvement in the score between 2016 and 2017 (and therefore an improvement in the overall business environment as measured by Doing Business), while a negative change indicates a deterioration and 0.00 indicates no change in the score.

What can the *Doing Business* 2018 data tell us about global patterns? Good regulatory practices are present in almost all of the world's regions. Aside from 28 OECD high-income economies, the 50 highest-ranked economies include 13 from Europe and Central Asia, five from East Asia and the Pacific, two from Sub-Saharan Africa and one each from the regions of Latin America and the Caribbean and the Middle East and North Africa. Each region also has a relatively wide spectrum of strong and weak performers. Economies are ranked based on the distance to frontier score. This measure shows the distance of each economy to the "frontier," which represents the best performance observed on each of the indicators across all economies in the *Doing Business* sample (box 1.1). In OECD high-income economies, for example, New Zealand, Denmark and Korea have the highest overall distance to frontier scores at 86.55, 84.06 and 83.92, respectively. Conversely, Greece, Luxembourg and Chile have the lowest scores in this group, at 68.02, 69.01 and 71.22. However, the OECD high-income group has the smallest gap between the highest and the lowest scores, of only 18.53 percentage points (figure 1.2). Sub-Saharan Africa has the widest gap (57.56 percentage points), with a regional average score of only 50.43—the lowest

**FIGURE 1.2** Where it is easier to do business and where it is more difficult



Source: *Doing Business* database.

across all regions. Among the economies of Sub-Saharan Africa, Mauritius has the highest distance to frontier score (77.54), while Somalia the lowest (19.98).

Regional rankings across different *Doing Business* indicator sets also show large variations. South Asia, for example—the only region not represented in the top 50 list—scores comparatively well for starting a business, with an average distance to frontier score of 83.27. In contrast, South Asia's regional average score for resolving

insolvency is only 33.04. Indeed, *Doing Business* data show considerable variation in performance between economies within the same region and within the same regulatory area. Within South Asia, India has the highest score (80) for protecting minority investors compared to Afghanistan's score of 10. Similarly, there is a substantial difference in scores between economies in the Middle East and North Africa region. Malta, for example, has a distance to frontier score for trading across borders of 91.01, while Algeria only scores 24.15. Interestingly, all regions have at least one economy in the top 20 ranking on the protecting minority investors indicators and all regions—except the OECD high-income group—have at least one economy in the bottom 20 ranking on the protecting minority investors indicators. These patterns indicate that there is further room for improvement across all regions and at all income levels.

### BOX 1.1 What is the distance to frontier score?

*Doing Business* measures many different dimensions of business regulation. To combine measures with different units such as the number of days to obtain a construction permit and the number of procedures to start a business into a single score, *Doing Business* computes the distance to frontier score. The distance to frontier score captures the gap between an economy's current performance and the best practice across the entire sample of 41 indicators across 10 *Doing Business* indicator sets. For example, according to the *Doing Business* database across all economies and over time, the least time to start a business is 0.5 days while in the worst 5% of cases it takes more than 100 days to incorporate a company. Half a day is, therefore, considered the frontier of best performance, while 100 days is the worst. Higher distance to frontier scores show absolute better ease of doing business (as the frontier is set at 100 percentage points), while lower scores show absolute poorer ease of doing business (the worst performance is set at 0 percentage points). The percentage point distance to frontier scores of an economy on different indicators are averaged to obtain an overall distance to frontier score. For more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking.

### WHICH ECONOMIES IMPROVED THE MOST IN DOING BUSINESS 2018?

*Doing Business* 2018 captures 264 business regulation reforms across the 10 measured indicator sets. As in previous years, Sub-Saharan Africa is the region

with the highest number of reforms (83 in total), followed by East Asia and the Pacific (45) and Europe and Central Asia (44). The regions with the highest share of reforming economies are Europe and Central Asia (79%), South Asia (75%) and Sub-Saharan Africa (79%), while the OECD high-income group has the lowest share (46%). The indicator sets for starting a business and getting credit record the highest number of reforms (38 each) in 2016/17. They are closely followed by the trading across borders indicator set with 33 reforms. The least-reformed areas as captured by *Doing Business* continue to be the indicators with a legal focus—for example, resolving insolvency (13 reforms) and enforcing contracts (20). Legal reforms are typically slow to advance, mainly because they require long-term political commitments, substantial resources and close collaboration between multiple regulatory agencies and rulemaking institutions.

It is important to look at both the number of reforms and their impact on the distance to frontier score because they provide different information. The number of reforms indicates *how many* areas an


economy chose to target for improvement, while the change in the distance to frontier score indicates *the size* of the impact those changes had on the *Doing Business* data. Across all economies, the average distance to frontier score increase is 0.76 percentage points, with the highest regional increase in Sub-Saharan Africa (1.18), although this region does not have the highest percentage of economies implementing at least one business regulatory reform. Nevertheless, there is a strong correlation between the number of reforms and the actual improvement in the distance to frontier score.<sup>8</sup> *Doing Business* data show that it has become easier for small and medium-size enterprises to do business in 62.6% of economies worldwide (or 119 of the 190 economies measured by *Doing Business*).

While economies in the Sub-Saharan Africa region show the highest average increase in the distance to frontier score, economies in the OECD high-income group have the lowest average increase (0.11 percentage points). This is not surprising as most OECD high-income economies are already near to global good practices. The *Doing Business*

indicator sets capturing the most business regulation reforms across regions in 2016/17 are paying taxes and trading across borders. Indeed, the reform agendas of OECD high-income and East Asia and the Pacific economies appear to be dominated by regulatory changes captured by the paying taxes indicator set (figure 1.3). Lower-middle-income economies have the highest average reform count at 1.9 reforms each; low-income economies are second highest at 1.3 reforms. Unsurprisingly, high-income economies recorded the lowest average reform count (1).

Of the 10 economies showing the most improvement in performance on the *Doing Business* indicators, three are from Sub-Saharan Africa, two from East Asia and the Pacific, two from Europe and Central Asia, one from Latin America and the Caribbean, one from the Middle East and North Africa and one from South Asia. Brunei Darussalam, the only high-income economy on the list of top 10 improvers, showed the largest advance toward the global good practice frontier after implementing eight reforms in 2016/17; it joins this list for the second year in a

**FIGURE 1.3** The average number of reforms per economy is highest in South Asia but the average impact is biggest in Sub-Saharan Africa



Source: *Doing Business* database.


Note: The average change in the distance to frontier score shows the change between *Doing Business* 2018 and *Doing Business* 2017.

row. El Salvador, India, Malawi, Nigeria and Thailand also made impressive strides and joined the 10 top improvers for the first time. Among top improvers, Brunei Darussalam, India and Thailand implemented the highest number of business regulation reforms in 2016/17, with eight reforms each. The remaining four economies in the list of top improvers are: Kosovo, Uzbekistan, Zambia and Djibouti. For details on the reforms these countries undertook, see the chapter on reforming the business environment in 2016/17.

The database of *Doing Business* reforms indicates differences in reform momentum, both within topics and across regions. Why are reforms more common in some years than others? When do economies tend to reform in the areas covered in *Doing Business*? Two main theories explain the timing of regulatory reform. The first suggests that economies reform when they must—that is, when there is no choice but to implement a regulatory change. In this case, an increase in reforms would be more likely during crises.<sup>9</sup> A second theory argues that economies reform when they can—that is, when governments are recently elected and are in the “honeymoon period.”<sup>10</sup>

*Doing Business* data can be used to explore which theory is more likely to hold true in practice. Recent research shows that governments are more likely to reform business regulation when their economy is experiencing a fiscal crisis.<sup>11</sup> This is particularly true for regulation concerning resolving insolvency, which showed a spike in reform activity in 2010/11,<sup>12</sup> a couple of years after the 2008/09 financial crisis. The reason is that these kinds of reforms take time to be implemented and captured by *Doing Business* (figure 1.4). However, the effect of fiscal crises on reform intensity is less robust when public debt is lower. When a fiscal crisis can be solved—albeit temporarily—by increasing borrowing, the need for reform becomes less urgent. In contrast, the “honeymoon” theory of reforms has less evidence to support

**FIGURE 1.4** Reform intensity tends to rise in response to crises



Source: Djankov, Georgieva and Ramalho 2017a.


it. In general, political change is not associated with more reform unless the political change takes place after the fiscal crisis. Indeed, economies tend to reform when they must, rather than when they can.

### WHAT IMPACT DOES BUSINESS REGULATION HAVE ON EMPLOYMENT AND POVERTY?

Many factors explain poverty. These can include vulnerability to natural disasters, remoteness, quality of governance, property rights, availability of infrastructure and services, proximity to markets, social relationships, the gender of the head of household, employment status, hours worked, property owned and educational attainment.<sup>13</sup> Several of these factors have a direct link to the areas measured in *Doing Business* since the *Doing Business* indicators measure factors such as the quality of governance and property rights. Furthermore, *Doing Business* can have an indirect link to these factors as improvements to business regulation can drive additional job creation. And ultimately, as a reliable source of income, employment can lift people out of poverty.

Reforming in the areas measured by *Doing Business* can be particularly beneficial to employment creation when those reforms take place in the areas of starting a business and labor market regulation.<sup>14</sup> Such an assertion, however, is made with some caveats from other research exploring causal relationships between business entry regulation and job creation.<sup>15</sup> Nonetheless, one of the mechanisms through which business regulation can impact employment directly is the simplification of business start-up regulations. Across economies there is a significant positive association between employment growth and the distance to frontier score (figure 1.5). While this result shows an association, and cannot be interpreted in a causal fashion, it is reassuring to see that economies with better business regulation, as measured by *Doing Business*, also tend to be the economies that are creating more job opportunities.<sup>16</sup> When it comes to unemployment, the expected opposite result is evident. Economies with less streamlined business regulation are those with higher levels of unemployment on average. In fact, a one-point improvement in the distance to frontier score is associated with a 0.02 percentage point decline in unemployment growth rate.<sup>17</sup>

**FIGURE 1.5** Better business regulation is associated with employment growth and poorer regulation with higher unemployment



Sources: *Doing Business* database; International Labor Organization data (<http://www.ilo.org/ilostat>).

Note: The relationships are significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita. The left-hand side relationship also holds when using employment growth rate and distance to frontier average score between 2010 and 2015.

*Doing Business* 2017 reported that there is a negative association between the Gini index, which measures income inequality within an economy, and the distance to frontier score. Economies with poor quality business regulation have higher levels of income inequality on average. This relationship can be partially explained by the strong association between measures of poverty and the distance to frontier score. When business regulation is overly cumbersome, entrepreneurs and workers are pushed out of the formal sector and must resort to operating in the informal sector.<sup>18, 19</sup> The informal sector is characterized by a lack of regulation, minimal social protection and increased levels of poverty.<sup>20</sup> Individuals living in poverty are likely to gain the most from smarter and more streamlined business regulation. When bureaucratic hurdles are high, only the most privileged members of society can get things done, either through hiring third parties or paying bribes. In economies with complex company incorporation processes, for example, entrepreneurs tend to hire lawyers to

assist with the process of registering their businesses.

The data support this interpretation as there is a strong association between inequality, poverty and business regulation. In fact, economies with better business regulation have lower levels of poverty on average. Indeed, a 10 percentage point improvement in the distance to frontier is associated with a 2 percentage point reduction in the poverty rate, measured as the percentage of people earning less than \$1.90 a day.<sup>21</sup> Fragility is also a factor linked to poverty. However, even fragile economies can improve in areas that ultimately reduce poverty levels. Despite their fragile status, several economies implemented reforms as captured by *Doing Business* 2018 (box 1.2).

business analyzes new data about the information available at business registries. It finds that economies with more transparent and accessible information have lower levels of corruption on average. The case study on registering property analyzes the transparency of information as captured by the quality of land administration index and shows that transparent land administration systems are associated with a lower incidence of bribery.

The case study on dealing with construction permits analyzes private sector participation in construction regulation. It demonstrates that economies which employ some form of private sector involvement in construction regulation tend to have more efficient processes and better quality controls. However, they also exhibit higher costs and a propensity for conflicts of interest. Finally, the case study on resolving insolvency discusses three successful insolvency reforms—in France, Slovenia and Thailand—and the lessons learned that are transferable to other economies.

## WHAT IS NEW IN THIS YEAR'S REPORT?


This year's report presents four case studies, two of which focus on transparency. The case study on starting a

### BOX 1.2 Crises as opportunities?

Fragile states, often characterized by weak governance, residual violence, concentrated poverty and inequality, face myriad development and humanitarian challenges. Depleted human capital, minimal rule of law and violence all contribute to significant—and often extreme—rates of poverty in fragile states.<sup>a</sup> While fragile states are not home to the majority of the world's poor, the poor are disproportionately located in fragile states,<sup>b</sup> underscoring the need to address poverty in these economies. In poor and fragile states, the private sector is often constrained by a lack of infrastructure, political instability, high rates of informality and poor business skills. Private sector job creation is one of the factors that can diminish the incentives to engage in violence, thereby reducing both fragility and poverty.<sup>c</sup>

*Doing Business* data show that fragile economies are reforming and approaching crises as opportunities for better business regulations. As a result, the gap with non-fragile economies in some areas of business regulation has been narrowing over time (see figure). In 2016/17, of the 34 economies classified as most vulnerable by the World Bank Group's 2017 Harmonized List of Fragile Situations,<sup>d</sup> 14 implemented at least one business regulation reform and six economies implemented two reforms or more. Getting credit was the most reformed area of business regulation, accounting for eight of the 24 reforms implemented by this group. Djibouti recorded five reforms, the highest number among all fragile states. Djibouti reduced the fees associated with starting a business and construction inspections, implemented decennial liability for all professionals involved in construction projects, increased the transparency of its land administration system and established a new credit information system. As a result of these reforms, Djibouti's distance to frontier score improved by 3.79 percentage points.

#### Fragile states are converging with non-fragile states on the cost to register property and start a business



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: Fragile states are classified based on the World Bank Group's Harmonized List of Fragile Situations for fiscal year 2017. The sample includes 174 economies where data is available back to *Doing Business* 2006.

Kosovo, the second most-reformed country in the fragile states group, implemented three business regulation reforms. Iraq, Madagascar, Myanmar, and Sierra Leone made two reforms each in 2016/17. Iraq simplified the process of starting a business by combining multiple registration procedures and reducing the time to register a company. It also launched a new credit registry, improving access to credit information. Similarly, Myanmar adopted a regulation that allows the creation of credit bureaus, while Madagascar increased the coverage of its credit registry. Kosovo and Liberia undertook reforms in the area of resolving insolvency in 2016/17. Both of these economies introduced a legal framework for corporate insolvency, making liquidation and reorganization procedures available to debtors and creditors.

a. World Bank 2011.

b. Burt, Hughes and Milante 2014.

c. Collier and Hoeffer 2004.

d. The harmonized list also includes Tuvalu, the only economy from the list that is not measured by *Doing Business*.

---

## NOTES

1. Moscoso Boedo and Mukoyama 2012.
2. Doblas-Madrid and Minetti 2013.
3. Enikolopov, Petrova and Stepanov 2014.
4. Franks and Loranth 2014.
5. Clark, Dollar and Micco 2004.
6. The Gini coefficient, the most commonly-used measure of inequality, is a measure of statistical dispersion intended to represent the income or wealth distribution of an economy's residents.
7. The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita.
8. The correlation between the number of reforms and the actual improvement in the distance to frontier score is 0.57.
9. Drazen and Grilli 1993; Ranciere and Tornell 2015.
10. Haggard and Williamson 1994.
11. Djankov, Georgieva and Ramalho 2017a.
12. The second peak is explained by substantial business regulation reforms undertaken by the 17 member states of the Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa, known by its French acronym OHADA. The organization adopted a revised Uniform Act Organizing Collective Proceedings for Wiping Off Debts in 2015, which introduced a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies and a new reconciliation procedure for companies facing financial difficulties, encouraging an agreement between a debtor and its main creditors. The OHADA Uniform Act also introduced provisions on cross-border insolvency that were implemented in all 17 OHADA member states.
13. Kraay and McKenzie 2014; Banerjee and Duflo 2011; Rodrick, Subramanian and Trebbi 2004; Buvanic and Gupta 1997.
14. Bruhn 2011; Bruhn 2013; Branstetter and others 2014.
15. Bruhn 2013; Fajnzylber, Maloney and Montes-Rojas 2011; Kaplan, Piedra and Seira 2011.
16. The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita.
17. The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita and population size; it is shown in figure 1.5.
18. De Soto 1989.
19. Dabla-Norris, Gradstein, and Inchauste 2008.
20. Loayza and Serven 2010.
21. Djankov, Georgieva and Ramalho 2017b.  
This association is significant when using the following indicator sets individually: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting credit and enforcing contracts. The relationship holds after controlling for income per capita and government expenditure.



# About Doing Business

The foundation of *Doing Business* is the notion that economic activity benefits from clear and coherent rules: rules that set out and clarify property rights and facilitate the resolution of disputes. And rules that enhance the predictability of economic interactions and provide contractual partners with essential protections against arbitrariness and abuse. Such rules are much more effective in shaping the incentives of economic agents in ways that promote growth and development where they are reasonably efficient in design, are transparent and accessible to those for whom they are intended and can be implemented at a reasonable cost. The quality of the rules also has a crucial bearing on how societies distribute the benefits and finance the costs of development strategies and policies.

Good rules create an environment where new entrants with drive and good ideas can get started in business and where good firms can invest, expand and create new jobs. The role of government policy in the daily operations of domestic small and medium-size firms is a central focus of the *Doing Business* data. The objective is to encourage regulation that is designed to be efficient, accessible to all and simple to implement. Onerous regulation diverts the energies of entrepreneurs away from developing their businesses. But regulation that is efficient, transparent and implemented in a simple way facilitates business expansion and innovation, and makes it easier for aspiring entrepreneurs to compete on an equal footing.

*Doing Business* measures aspects of business regulation for domestic firms through an objective lens. The focus of the project is on small and medium-size companies in the largest business city of an economy. Based on standardized case studies, *Doing Business* presents quantitative indicators on the regulations that

apply to firms at different stages of their life cycle. The results for each economy can be compared with those for 189 other economies and over time.

## FACTORS DOING BUSINESS MEASURES

*Doing Business* captures several important dimensions of the regulatory environment as it applies to local firms. It provides quantitative indicators on regulation for starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency (table 2.1). *Doing Business* also measures features of labor market regulation. Although *Doing Business* does not present rankings of economies on the labor market regulation indicators or include the topic in the aggregate distance to frontier score or ranking on the ease of doing business, it does present the data for these indicators.

- *Doing Business* measures aspects of business regulation affecting domestic small and medium-size firms defined based on standardized case scenarios and located in the largest business city of each economy. In addition, for 11 economies a second city is covered.
- *Doing Business* covers 11 areas of business regulation across 190 economies. Ten of these areas—starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency—are included in the distance to frontier score and ease of doing business ranking. *Doing Business* also measures features of labor market regulation, which is not included in these two measures.
- *Doing Business* relies on four main sources of information: the relevant laws and regulations, *Doing Business* respondents, the governments of the economies covered and the World Bank Group regional staff.
- More than 43,000 professionals in 190 economies have assisted in providing the data that inform the *Doing Business* indicators over the past 15 years.
- *Doing Business* data are widely used by governments, researchers, international organizations and think tanks to guide policies, conduct research and develop new indexes.

TABLE 2.1 What *Doing Business* measures—11 areas of business regulation

Indicator set	What is measured
Starting a business	Procedures, time, cost and paid-in minimum capital to start a limited liability company
Dealing with construction permits	Procedures, time and cost to complete all formalities to build a warehouse and the quality control and safety mechanisms in the construction permitting system
Getting electricity	Procedures, time and cost to get connected to the electrical grid, the reliability of the electricity supply and the transparency of tariffs
Registering property	Procedures, time and cost to transfer a property and the quality of the land administration system
Getting credit	Movable collateral laws and credit information systems
Protecting minority investors	Minority shareholders' rights in related-party transactions and in corporate governance
Paying taxes	Payments, time and total tax and contribution rate for a firm to comply with all tax regulations as well as post-filing processes
Trading across borders	Time and cost to export the product of comparative advantage and import auto parts
Enforcing contracts	Time and cost to resolve a commercial dispute and the quality of judicial processes
Resolving insolvency	Time, cost, outcome and recovery rate for a commercial insolvency and the strength of the legal framework for insolvency
Labor market regulation	Flexibility in employment regulation and aspects of job quality

### How the indicators are selected

The design of the *Doing Business* indicators has been informed by theoretical insights gleaned from extensive research and the literature on the role of institutions in enabling economic development.<sup>1</sup> In addition, the background papers developing the methodology for each of the *Doing Business* indicator sets have established the importance of the rules and regulations that *Doing Business* focuses on for such economic outcomes as trade volumes, foreign direct investment, market capitalization in stock exchanges and private credit as a percentage of GDP.<sup>2</sup>

The choice of the 11 sets of *Doing Business* indicators has also been guided by economic research and firm-level data, specifically data from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys.<sup>3</sup> These surveys provide data highlighting the main obstacles to business activity as reported by entrepreneurs in more than 131,000 companies in 139 economies. Access to finance and access to electricity, for example, are among the factors identified by the surveys as important to businesses—inspiring the design of the *Doing*

*Business* indicators on getting credit and getting electricity.


Some *Doing Business* indicators give a higher score for more regulation and better-functioning institutions (such as courts or credit bureaus). Higher scores are given for stricter disclosure requirements for related-party transactions, for example, in the area of protecting minority investors. Higher scores are also given for a simplified way of applying regulation that keeps compliance costs for firms low—such as by easing the burden of business start-up formalities with a one-stop shop or through a single online portal. Finally, *Doing Business* scores reward economies that apply a risk-based approach to regulation as a way to address social and environmental concerns—such as by imposing a greater regulatory burden on activities that pose a high risk to the population and a lesser one on lower-risk activities. Thus, the economies that rank highest on the ease of doing business are not those where there is no regulation—but those where governments have managed to create rules that facilitate interactions in the

marketplace without needlessly hindering the development of the private sector.

### The distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking

To provide different perspectives on the data, *Doing Business* presents data both for individual indicators and for two aggregate measures: the distance to frontier score and the ease of doing business ranking. The distance to frontier score aids in assessing the absolute level of regulatory performance and how it improves over time. This measure shows the distance of each economy to the “frontier,” which represents the best performance observed on each of the indicators across all economies in the *Doing Business* sample since 2005 or the third year in which data were collected for the indicator. The frontier is set at the highest possible value for indicators calculated as scores, such as the strength of legal rights index or the quality of land administration index. This underscores the gap between a particular economy’s performance and the best performance at any point in time and is used to assess the absolute change in the economy’s regulatory environment over time as measured by *Doing Business*. The distance to frontier is first computed for each topic and then averaged across all topics to compute the aggregate distance to frontier score. The ranking on the ease of doing business complements the distance to frontier score by providing information about an economy’s performance in business regulation relative to the performance of other economies as measured by *Doing Business*.

*Doing Business* uses a simple averaging approach for weighting component indicators, calculating rankings and determining the distance to frontier score.<sup>4</sup> Each topic covered by *Doing Business* relates to a different aspect of the business regulatory environment. The distance to frontier scores and rankings of each economy vary, often considerably, across topics, indicating that a strong performance by an economy in one area of regulation can coexist with weak performance in another (figure 2.1). One way to assess the variability of

**FIGURE 2.1** An economy's regulatory environment may be more business-friendly in some areas than in others

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The distance to frontier scores reflected are those for the 10 *Doing Business* topics included in this year's aggregate distance to frontier score. The figure is illustrative only; it does not include all 190 economies covered by this year's report. See the country tables for the distance to frontier scores for each *Doing Business* topic for all economies.

an economy's regulatory performance is to look at its distance to frontier scores across topics (see the country tables). Morocco, for example, has an overall distance to frontier score of 67.91, meaning that it is about two-thirds of the way from the worst to the best performance. Its distance to frontier score is 92.46 for starting a business, 85.72 for paying taxes and 81.12 for trading across borders. At the same time, it has a distance to frontier score of 34.03 for resolving insolvency, 45 for getting credit and 58.33 for protecting minority investors.

## **FACTORS DOING BUSINESS DOES NOT MEASURE**

Many important policy areas are not covered by *Doing Business*; even within the areas it covers its scope is narrow (table 2.2). *Doing Business* does not measure the full range of factors, policies and institutions that affect the quality of an economy's business environment or its national competitiveness. It does not, for example, capture aspects of macroeconomic stability, development of the financial system,

market size, the incidence of bribery and corruption or the quality of the labor force.

The focus is deliberately narrow even within the relatively small set of indicators included in *Doing Business*. The time and cost required for the logistical process of exporting and importing goods is captured in the trading across borders indicators, for example, but they do not measure the cost of tariffs or of international transport. *Doing Business* provides a narrow perspective on the infrastructure challenges that firms face, particularly in the developing world, through these indicators. It does not address the extent to which inadequate roads, rail, ports and communications may add to firms' costs and undermine competitiveness (except to the extent that the trading across borders indicators indirectly measure the quality of ports and border connections). Similar to the indicators on trading across borders, all aspects of commercial legislation are not covered by those on starting a business or protecting minority investors. And while *Doing Business* measures only a few aspects within each area that it covers, business regulation

reforms should not focus only on these aspects, because those that it does not measure are also important.

*Doing Business* does not attempt to quantify all costs and benefits of a particular law or regulation to society as a whole. The paying taxes indicators measure the tax and contribution rate, which, in isolation, is a cost to businesses. However, the indicators do not measure—nor are they intended to measure—the benefits of the social and economic programs funded with tax revenues. Measuring the quality and efficiency of business regulation provides only one input into the debate on the regulatory burden associated with achieving regulatory objectives, which can differ across economies. *Doing Business* provides

**TABLE 2.2** Examples of areas not covered by *Doing Business*

Macroeconomic stability
Development of the financial system
Quality of the labor force
Incidence of bribery and corruption
Market size
Lack of security

a starting point for this discussion and should be used in conjunction with other data sources.

## ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE METHODOLOGY

The *Doing Business* methodology is designed to be an easily replicable way to benchmark specific aspects of business regulation. Its advantages and limitations should be understood when using the data (table 2.3).

Ensuring comparability of the data across a global set of economies is a central consideration for the *Doing Business* indicators, which are developed using standardized case scenarios with specific assumptions. One such assumption is the location of a standardized business—the subject of the *Doing Business* case study—in the largest business city of the economy. The reality is that business regulations and their enforcement may differ within a country, particularly in federal states and large economies. But gathering data for every relevant jurisdiction in each of the 190 economies covered by *Doing Business* is infeasible. Nevertheless, where policy makers are interested in generating data at the local level, beyond

the largest business city, and learning from local good practices, *Doing Business* has complemented its global indicators with subnational studies (box 2.1). Also, coverage was extended to the second largest business city in economies with a population of more than 100 million (as of 2013) in *Doing Business 2015*.

*Doing Business* recognizes the limitations of the standardized case scenarios and assumptions. But while such assumptions come at the expense of generality, they also help to ensure the comparability of data. Some *Doing Business* topics are complex, and so it is important that the standardized cases are defined carefully. For example, the standardized case scenario usually involves a limited liability company or its legal equivalent. There are two reasons for this assumption. First, private limited liability companies are the most prevalent business form (for firms with more than one owner) in many economies around the world. Second, this choice reflects the focus of *Doing Business* on expanding opportunities for entrepreneurship: investors are encouraged to venture into business when potential losses are limited to their capital participation.

Another assumption underlying the *Doing Business* indicators is that entrepreneurs have knowledge of and comply

with applicable regulations. In practice, entrepreneurs may not be aware of what needs to be done or how to comply with regulations and may lose considerable time trying to find out. Alternatively, they may intentionally avoid compliance—by not registering for social security, for example. Firms may opt for bribery and other informal arrangements intended to bypass the rules where regulation is particularly onerous—an aspect that helps explain differences between the *de jure* data provided by *Doing Business* and the *de facto* insights offered by the World Bank Enterprise Surveys.<sup>5</sup> Levels of informality tend to be higher in economies with particularly burdensome regulation. Compared with their formal sector counterparts, firms in the informal sector typically grow more slowly, have poorer access to credit and employ fewer workers—and these workers remain outside the protections of labor law and, more generally, other legal protections embedded in the law.<sup>6</sup> Firms in the informal sector are also less likely to pay taxes. *Doing Business* measures one set of factors that help explain the occurrence of informality and provides policy makers with insights into potential areas of regulatory reform.

## DATA COLLECTION IN PRACTICE

The *Doing Business* data are based on a detailed reading of domestic laws and regulations as well as administrative requirements. The report covers 190 economies—including some of the smallest and poorest economies, for which little or no data are available from other sources. The data are collected through several rounds of communication with expert respondents (both private sector practitioners and government officials), through responses to questionnaires, conference calls, written correspondence and visits by the team. *Doing Business* relies on four main sources of information: the relevant laws and regulations, *Doing Business* respondents, the governments of the economies covered and the World Bank Group regional staff (figure 2.2). For a

TABLE 2.3 Advantages and limitations of the *Doing Business* methodology

Feature	Advantages	Limitations
Use of standardized case scenarios	Makes data comparable across economies and methodology transparent	Reduces scope of data; only regulatory reforms in areas measured can be systematically tracked
Focus on largest business city <sup>a</sup>	Makes data collection manageable (cost-effective) and data comparable	Reduces representativeness of data for an economy if there are significant differences across locations
Focus on domestic and formal sector	Keeps attention on formal sector—where regulations are relevant and firms are most productive	Unable to reflect reality for informal sector—important where that is large—or for foreign firms facing a different set of constraints
Reliance on expert respondents	Ensures that data reflect knowledge of those with most experience in conducting types of transactions measured	Indicators less able to capture variation in experiences among entrepreneurs
Focus on the law	Makes indicators “actionable”—because the law is what policy makers can change	Where systematic compliance with the law is lacking, regulatory changes will not achieve full results desired

a. In economies with a population of more than 100 million as of 2013, *Doing Business* covers business regulation in both the largest and second largest business city.

### BOX 2.1 Subnational *Doing Business* indicators: regional-level benchmarking in the European Union

Subnational *Doing Business* studies point to differences in business regulation and its implementation—as well as in the pace of regulatory reform—across locations in a single economy or region. For several economies, subnational studies are now periodically updated to measure change over time or to expand geographic coverage to additional cities. Six economies completed sub-national studies this year: Afghanistan, Colombia, three EU member states (Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania) and Kazakhstan. In addition, an ongoing study updated data for Nigeria.

With funding from the European Commission's Directorate-General for Regional and Urban Policy (DG REGIO), the first of a series of new subnational reports was launched focusing on the European Union member states. *Doing Business in the European Union 2017: Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania* builds on subnational studies completed in Italy, Spain and Poland. The next study in the subnational series will cover Croatia, the Czech Republic, Portugal and the Slovak Republic.


These studies will provide valuable input to individual country reports produced for the European Semester, the European Union's economic and fiscal policy coordination framework, and will be closely linked with the Lagging Regions initiative launched by the European Commission in June 2015, which studies constraints to growth and investment in the European Union's low-income and low-growth regions.

*Doing Business in the European Union 2017: Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania* goes beyond the largest business cities of Sofia, Budapest and Bucharest to benchmark an additional 19 locations. In total, the study measures business regulation in 22 locations—six in Bulgaria, seven in Hungary and nine in Romania. The study benchmarks the locations using five *Doing Business* indicator sets: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property and enforcing contracts.

The study finds that there are locations in each economy that outperform the EU average in at least one area. In Bulgaria, for example, Varna and Pleven outperform the EU average on the starting a business indicators. This is also the case in Pecs and Szeged (Hungary), which outperform the EU average on the dealing with construction permits indicators. All Hungarian cities and Oradea (Romania) perform above the EU average for registering property; most locations also do so for enforcing contracts. However, none of the subnational locations surveyed came close to the EU average on the indicators for getting electricity.

While no single location excels in all five areas covered by the study, most demonstrate a noteworthy performance in at least one area, providing reform-minded officials with examples of existing good practices that can be replicated. For example, Bulgarian cities could make starting a business easier by adopting the good practices observed in Varna. Cities in Hungary could make it easier to get electricity by emulating the good practices of Szeged and Szekesfehervar. And Romanian cities could strengthen their own contract enforcement regimes by studying the example of Timisoara. The study, which also includes comparisons with 187 other economies worldwide, provides practical recommendations and showcases good practices for improving the business environment.

**FIGURE 2.2** How *Doing Business* collects and verifies the data



detailed explanation of the *Doing Business* methodology, see the data notes.

### Relevant laws and regulations

The *Doing Business* indicators are based mostly on laws and regulations: approximately two-thirds of the data embedded in the *Doing Business* indicators are based on a reading of the law. In addition to filling out questionnaires, *Doing Business* respondents submit references to the relevant laws, regulations and fee schedules. The *Doing Business* team collects the texts of the relevant laws and regulations and checks the questionnaire responses for accuracy. The team will examine the civil procedure code, for example, to check the maximum number of adjournments in a

commercial court dispute, and read the insolvency code to identify if the debtor can initiate liquidation or reorganization proceedings. These and other types of laws are available on the *Doing Business* law library website.<sup>7</sup> Since the data collection process involves an annual update of an established database, having a very large sample of respondents is not strictly necessary. In principle, the role of the contributors is largely advisory—helping the *Doing Business* team to locate and understand the laws and regulations. There are quickly diminishing returns to an expanded pool of contributors. This notwithstanding, the number of contributors rose by 60% between 2010 and 2017.

Extensive consultations with multiple contributors are conducted by the team to minimize measurement error for the rest of the data. For some indicators—for example, those on dealing with construction permits, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency—the time component and part of the cost component (where fee schedules are lacking) are based on actual practice rather than the law on the books. This introduces a degree of judgment by respondents on what actual practice looks like. When respondents disagree, the time indicators reported by *Doing Business* represent the median values of several responses given under the assumptions of the standardized case (box 2.2).


#### BOX 2.2 Where is the implementation of regulation more predictable and does it matter?

*Doing Business* measures the median duration of each procedure or process individually across the different indicator sets with time components. However, in practice, the time it takes to complete the same transaction can differ significantly from one entrepreneur to another. Because entrepreneurs place a premium on reliability and low risk, this variability in time can have important implications.

This year, *Doing Business* sets out to better understand these differences for the eight indicators with a time component, namely starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. To do so, *Doing Business* collected data estimating the time to complete a procedure in both the best and worst case scenarios in an economy. The data show that in Spain, for example, a commercial dispute trial takes 280 days on average in a normal case, but can range from 180 days to 550 days depending on the individual circumstances.

The data show that—across the eight *Doing Business* indicators mentioned above—high-income economies have lower time variability and, therefore, more predictable regulatory environments than low- or middle-income economies (see figure below for an example). In addition, the data confirm that the median is very much at the center of the time distribution. In the United Kingdom, for example, the median time for dealing with construction permits is 90 days. The worst case scenario is 120 days and the best case scenario is 60 days, meaning that the distribution is centered around the median plus or minus 30 days.

#### High-income economies have the smallest difference between the best and worst case scenario time estimates




Source: *Doing Business* database.

(continued)

### BOX 2.2 Where is the implementation of regulation more predictable and does it matter? (continued)

*Doing Business* data also show that the median is positively correlated with the difference between the best and worst case scenarios (see figure below). The longer the median time to comply with a regulation, the more difficult it becomes to predict the time needed to do so—the median becomes a measure for the unpredictability in time. In fact, economies with more variability in time do not experience higher levels of corruption on average than what is already predicted by the median.

#### In economies where it takes longer to start or close a business, the time to do so is less predictable



Source: *Doing Business* database.

### Doing Business respondents

More than 43,000 professionals in 190 economies have assisted in providing the data that inform the *Doing Business* indicators over the past 15 years.<sup>8</sup> This year's report draws on the inputs of more than 13,000 professionals.<sup>9</sup> The *Doing Business* website shows the number of respondents for each economy and each indicator set.

Selected on the basis of their expertise in these areas, respondents are professionals who routinely administer or advise on the legal and regulatory requirements in the specific areas covered by *Doing Business*. Because of the focus on legal and regulatory arrangements, most of the respondents are legal professionals such as lawyers, judges or notaries. In addition, officials of the credit bureau or registry complete the credit information questionnaire. Accountants, architects, engineers, freight forwarders and other professionals answer the questionnaires related to paying taxes, dealing with construction permits, trading across borders

and getting electricity. Information that is incorporated into the indicators is also provided by certain public officials (such as registrars from the company or property registry).

The *Doing Business* approach is to work with legal practitioners or other professionals who regularly undertake the transactions involved. Following the standard methodological approach for time-and-motion studies, *Doing Business* breaks down each process or transaction, such as starting a business or registering a building, into separate steps to ensure a better estimate of time. The time estimate for each step is given by practitioners with significant and routine experience in the transaction.

There are two main reasons that *Doing Business* does not survey firms. The first relates to the frequency with which firms engage in the transactions captured by the indicators, which is generally low. For example, a firm goes through the start-up process once in its existence, while

an incorporation lawyer may carry out 10 such transactions each month. The incorporation lawyers and other experts providing information to *Doing Business* are therefore better able to assess the process of starting a business than are individual firms. They also have access to current regulations and practices, while a firm may have faced a different set of rules when incorporating years before. The second reason is that the *Doing Business* questionnaires mostly gather legal information, which firms are unlikely to be fully familiar with. For example, few firms will know about all the main legal procedures involved in resolving a commercial dispute through the courts, even if they have gone through the process themselves. But a litigation lawyer should have little difficulty in providing the requested information on all the procedures.

### Governments and World Bank Group regional staff

After receiving the completed questionnaires from the *Doing Business*

respondents, verifying the information against the law and conducting follow-up inquiries to ensure that all relevant information is captured, the *Doing Business* team shares the preliminary descriptions of regulatory reforms with governments (through the World Bank Group's Board of Executive Directors) and regional staff of the World Bank Group. Through this process, government authorities and World Bank Group staff working on the economies covered by *Doing Business* can alert the team about, for example, regulatory reforms not reported by the respondents or additional achievements of regulatory reforms. The *Doing Business* team can then turn to the local private sector experts for further consultation and, as needed, corroboration. In addition, the team responds formally to the comments of governments or regional staff and provides explanations of the scoring decisions.

### Data adjustments

Information on data corrections is provided in the data notes and on the *Doing Business* website. A transparent complaint procedure allows anyone to challenge the data. From November 2016 to October 2017 the team received and responded to over 180 queries on the data.

## USES OF THE DOING BUSINESS DATA

*Doing Business* was designed with two main types of users in mind: policy makers and researchers. It is a tool that governments can use to design sound business regulatory policies. Nevertheless, the *Doing Business* data are limited in scope and should be complemented with other sources of information. *Doing Business* focuses on a few specific rules relevant to the specific case studies analyzed. These rules and case studies are chosen to be illustrative of the business regulatory environment, but they are not a comprehensive description of that environment. By providing a unique data set that enables analysis aimed at better

understanding the role of business regulation in economic development, *Doing Business* is also an important source of information for researchers.

### Governments and policy makers

*Doing Business* offers policy makers a benchmarking tool useful in stimulating policy debate, both by exposing potential challenges and by identifying good practices and lessons learned. Despite the narrow focus of the indicators, the initial debate in an economy on the results they highlight typically turns into a deeper discussion on areas where business regulatory reform is needed, including areas well beyond those measured by *Doing Business*. In economies where subnational studies are conducted, the *Doing Business* indicators go one step further in offering policy makers a tool to identify good practices that can be adopted within their economies (box 2.1).

Many *Doing Business* indicators can be considered "actionable." For example, governments can set the minimum capital requirement for new firms, invest in company and property registries to increase their efficiency, or improve the efficiency of tax administration by adopting the latest technology to facilitate the preparation, filing and payment of taxes by the business community. And they can undertake court reforms to shorten delays in the enforcement of contracts. But some *Doing Business* indicators capture procedures, time and costs that involve private sector participants, such as lawyers, notaries, architects, electricians or freight forwarders. Governments may have little influence in the short run over the fees these professions charge, though much can be achieved by strengthening professional licensing regimes and preventing anticompetitive behavior. And governments have no control over the geographic location of their economy, a factor that can adversely affect businesses.

While many *Doing Business* indicators are actionable, this does not necessarily

mean that they are all "action-worthy" in a particular context. Business regulatory reforms are only one element of a strategy aimed at improving competitiveness and establishing a solid foundation for sustainable economic growth. There are many other important goals to pursue—such as effective management of public finances, adequate attention to education and training, adoption of the latest technologies to boost economic productivity and the quality of public services, and appropriate regard for air and water quality to safeguard public health. Governments must decide what set of priorities best suits their needs. To say that governments should work toward a sensible set of rules for private sector activity (as embodied, for example, in the *Doing Business* indicators) does not suggest that doing so should come at the expense of other worthy policy goals.

Over the past decade governments have increasingly turned to *Doing Business* as a repository of actionable, objective data providing unique insights into good practices worldwide as they have come to understand the importance of business regulation as a driving force of competitiveness. To ensure the coordination of efforts across agencies, economies such as Colombia, Malaysia and the Russian Federation have formed regulatory reform committees. These committees use the *Doing Business* indicators as one input to inform their programs for improving the business environment. More than 60 other economies have also formed such committees. In East Asia and the Pacific, they include Brunei Darussalam; Indonesia; the Republic of Korea; the Philippines; Taiwan, China; and Thailand. In the Middle East and North Africa: the Arab Republic of Egypt, Kuwait, Morocco, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates. In South Asia: Bangladesh, India and Pakistan. In Europe and Central Asia: Albania, Croatia, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kosovo, the Kyrgyz Republic, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Moldova, Montenegro, Poland, Tajikistan, Turkey, Ukraine and Uzbekistan. In Sub-Saharan Africa: Benin,

Burundi, the Comoros, the Democratic Republic of Congo, the Republic of Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Liberia, Malawi, Mali, Mauritius, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Sudan, Tanzania, Togo, Zambia and Zimbabwe. And in Latin America and the Caribbean: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Jamaica, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Peru and St. Lucia. Since 2003, governments have reported more than 3,180 regulatory reforms, about 920 of which have been informed by *Doing Business*.<sup>10</sup>

Many economies share knowledge on the regulatory reform process related to the areas measured by *Doing Business*. Among the most common venues for this knowledge sharing are peer-to-peer learning events—workshops where officials from different governments across a region or even across the globe meet to discuss the challenges of regulatory reform and to share their experiences.

### **Think tanks and other research organizations**

*Doing Business* data are widely used by think tanks and other research organizations, both for the development of new indexes and to produce research papers.

Many research papers have shown the importance of business regulation and how it relates to different economic outcomes.<sup>11</sup> One of the most cited theoretical mechanisms on how excessive business regulation affects economic performance and development is that it makes it too costly for firms to engage in the formal economy, causing them not to invest or to move to the informal sector. Recent studies have conducted extensive empirical testing of this proposition using *Doing Business* and other related indicators. According to one study, for example, a reform that simplified business registration in Mexican municipalities increased registration by 5% and wage employment by 2.2%—and, as a result of increased competition, reduced the income of incumbent businesses by 3%.<sup>12</sup> Business

registration reforms in Mexico also resulted in 14.9% of informal business owners shifting to the formal economy.<sup>13</sup>

Efficient and non-distortionary business regulations are important drivers of productivity. A study on India, for example, shows that inefficient licensing and size restrictions cause a misallocation of resources, reducing total factor productivity by preventing efficient firms from achieving their optimal scale and allowing inefficient firms to remain in the market.<sup>14</sup>

The study shows that removing these restrictions would boost total factor productivity by an estimated 40-60%. In the European Union and Japan, implicit taxes on capital use were shown to reduce the average size of firms by 20%, output by 8.1% and output per firm by 25.6%.<sup>15</sup> A recent study on Côte d'Ivoire, Ethiopia, Ghana and Kenya demonstrates large productivity gains following the removal of firm-level distortions caused by uneven regulations and a poor business environment.<sup>16</sup> Research also shows that raising the efficiency level of bankruptcy laws in select OECD high-income economies to that of the United States would increase the total factor productivity of the former by about 30% through a rise in bank loans to large firms.<sup>17</sup>

Considerable effort has been devoted to studying the link between government regulation of firm entry and employment growth. In Portugal, business reforms resulted in a reduction of the time and cost needed for company formalization, increasing the number of business start-ups by 17% and creating 7 new jobs per 100,000 inhabitants per month. New start-ups were more likely to be female-owned, were smaller and headed by less experienced, less-educated entrepreneurs than before the reform, suggesting that the reform created a more inclusive environment for aspiring entrepreneurs.<sup>18</sup>

In many economies, companies engaged in international trade struggle with high trade costs arising from transport, logistics and regulations that impede their

competitiveness and prevent them from taking full advantage of their productive capacity. With the availability of *Doing Business* indicators on trading across borders—which measure the time, procedural and monetary costs of exporting and importing—several empirical studies have assessed how trade costs affect the export and import performance of economies. A rich body of empirical research shows that efficient infrastructure and a healthy business environment are positively linked to export performance.<sup>19</sup>

Improving infrastructure efficiency and trade logistics bring documented benefits to an economy's balance of trade and individual traders. However, delays in transit time can reduce exports: a study analyzing the importance of trade logistics found that a 1-day increase in transit time reduces exports by an average of 7% in Sub-Saharan Africa.<sup>20</sup> Another study found that a 1-day delay in transport time for landlocked economies and for time-sensitive agricultural and manufacturing products has a particularly large negative impact, reducing trade by more than 1% for each day of delay.<sup>21</sup> Delays while clearing customs procedures also negatively impact a firm's ability to export, particularly when goods are destined for new clients.<sup>22</sup> And in economies with flexible entry regulations, a 1% increase in trade is associated with an increase of more than 0.5% in income per capita, but has no positive income effects in economies with more rigid regulation.<sup>23</sup> Research has also found that—although domestic buyers benefit from having goods of varying quality and price to choose from—import competition only results in minimal quality upgrading in OECD high-income economies with cumbersome regulation while it has no effect on quality upgrading in non-OECD economies with cumbersome regulation.<sup>24</sup> Therefore, the potential gains for consumers from import competition are reduced where regulation is cumbersome.

*Doing Business* measures aspects of business regulation affecting domestic firms.

However, research shows that better business regulation—as measured by *Doing Business*—is associated with higher levels of foreign direct investment.<sup>25</sup> Furthermore, foreign direct investment can either impede or promote domestic investment depending on how business friendly entry regulations are in the host economy. In fact, foreign direct investment has been shown to crowd out domestic investment in economies with costly processes for starting a business.<sup>26</sup> Another study showed that economies with higher international market integration have, on average, easier and simpler processes for starting a business.<sup>27</sup>

Recent empirical work shows the importance of well-designed credit market regulations and well-functioning court systems for debt recovery. For example, a reform making bankruptcy laws more efficient significantly improved the recovery rate of viable firms in Colombia.<sup>28</sup> In a multi-economy study, the introduction of collateral registries for movable assets was shown to increase firms' access to finance by approximately 8%.<sup>29</sup> In India the establishment of debt recovery tribunals reduced non-performing loans by 28% and lowered interest rates on larger

loans, suggesting that faster processing of debt recovery cases cut the cost of credit.<sup>30</sup> An in-depth review of global bank flows revealed that firms in economies with better credit information sharing systems and higher branch penetration evade taxes to a lesser degree.<sup>31</sup> Strong shareholder rights have been found to lower financial frictions, especially for firms with large external finance relative to their capital stock (such as small firms or firms in distress).<sup>32</sup>

There is also a large body of theoretical and empirical work investigating the distortionary effects of high tax rates and cumbersome tax codes and procedures. According to one study, business licensing among retail firms rose 13% after a tax reform in Brazil.<sup>33</sup> Another showed that a 10% reduction in tax complexity is comparable to a 1% reduction in effective corporate tax rates.<sup>34</sup>

Labor market regulation—as measured by *Doing Business*—has been shown to have important implications for the labor market. According to one study, graduating from school during a time of adverse economic conditions has a persistent, harmful effect on workers' subsequent employment opportunities. The persistence of

this negative effect is stronger in economies with stricter employment protection legislation.<sup>35</sup> Rigid employment protection legislation can also have negative distributional consequences. A study on Chile, for example, found that the tightening of job security rules was associated with lower employment rates for youth, unskilled workers and women.<sup>36</sup>

By expanding the time series dimension and the scope of the data, *Doing Business* hopes to continue being a key reference for the debate on the importance of business regulation for economic development both within and outside the World Bank Group (box 2.3).

## Indexes

*Doing Business* identified 17 different data projects or indexes that use *Doing Business* as one of its sources of data.<sup>37</sup> Most of these projects or institutions use indicator level data and not the aggregate ease of doing business ranking. The indicator set most widely used is starting a business, followed by labor market regulation and paying taxes. These indexes typically combine *Doing Business* data with data from other sources to assess an economy along a particular aggregate dimension such as competitiveness or innovation.

### BOX 2.3 Recent *Doing Business* research drawing on new data from *Doing Business* and World Bank Enterprise Surveys

The *Doing Business* team conducted several studies in 2016/17 analyzing how the current data on business regulations from *Doing Business* and the World Bank Enterprise Surveys are associated with various economic and institutional outcomes. These studies found that:

- Small and medium-size firms are more likely to be credit constrained. In addition, a more advanced credit information system is associated with lower levels of credit constraints, particularly for smaller firms, firms that are not externally audited or firms that lack a quality certification.<sup>a</sup>
- Fiscal pressures encourage regulatory reform. However, the effect of fiscal imbalances on reform weakens when governments can rely on low borrowing costs.<sup>b</sup>
- Service unreliability is a significant factor in low-income economies, where power outages fluctuate significantly from year to year. Furthermore, burdensome electricity connections are associated with utility corruption and higher electricity sector constraints reduce firm demand for energy inputs.<sup>c</sup>
- There is a significant negative relationship between corruption and firm productivity when business regulation is high, but there is no significant relationship when business regulation is low.<sup>d</sup>

a. Chávez 2017.

b. Djankov, Georgieva and Ramalho 2017a.

c. Arlet 2017.

d. Amin and Ulku 2017.

The Heritage Foundation's Index of Economic Freedom, for example, has used 22 *Doing Business* indicators to measure the degree of economic freedom in the world in four areas, including rule of law, government size, regulatory efficiency and market openness.<sup>38</sup> Economies that score better in these four areas also tend to have a high degree of economic freedom.

Similarly, the World Economic Forum uses *Doing Business* data in its Global Competitiveness Index to demonstrate how competitiveness is a global driver of economic growth. The organization also uses 13 *Doing Business* indicators in five indexes that measure institutions, product market efficiency, labor market efficiency, financial market development and business dynamism. These publicly accessible sources expand the general business environment data generated by *Doing Business* by incorporating it into the study of other important social and economic issues across economies and regions. They prove that, taken individually, *Doing Business* indicators remain a useful starting point for a rich body of analysis across different areas and dimensions in the research world.

## NOTES

1. Djankov 2016.
2. These papers are available on the *Doing Business* website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org/methodology>.
3. For more on the World Bank Enterprise Surveys, see the website at <http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>.
4. For getting credit, indicators are weighted proportionally, according to their contribution to the total score, with a weight of 60% assigned to the strength of legal rights index and 40% to the depth of credit information index. In this way, each point included in these indexes has the same value independent of the component it belongs to. Indicators for all other topics are assigned equal weights. For more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking.
5. Hallward-Driemeier and Pritchett 2015.
6. Schneider 2005; La Porta and Shleifer 2008.
7. For the law library, see the website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org/law-library>.
8. The annual data collection exercise is an update of the database. The *Doing Business* team and the contributors examine the extent to which the regulatory framework has changed in ways relevant for the features captured by the indicators. The data collection process should therefore be seen as adding each year to an existing stock of knowledge reflected in the previous year's report, not as creating an entirely new data set.
9. While about 13,000 contributors provided data for this year's report, many of them completed a questionnaire for more than one *Doing Business* indicator set. Indeed, the total number of contributions received for this year's report is more than 16,000, which represents a true measure of the inputs received. The average number of contributions per indicator set and economy is more than seven. For more details, see <http://www.doingbusiness.org/contributors/doing-business>.
10. These are reforms for which *Doing Business* is aware that information provided by *Doing Business* was used in shaping the reform agenda.
11. The papers cited here are just a few examples of research done in the areas measured by *Doing Business*. Since 2003, when the *Doing Business* report was first published, more than 10,000 working papers and research articles published in peer-reviewed academic journals have discussed how regulation in the areas measured by *Doing Business* influences economic outcomes.
12. Bruhn 2011.
13. Bruhn 2013.
14. Hsieh and Klenow 2009.
15. Guner, Ventura and Xu 2008.
16. Cirera, Fattal Jaef and Maemir 2017.
17. Neira 2017.
18. Branstetter and others 2014.
19. Portugal-Perez and Wilson 2011.
20. Freund and Rocha 2011.
21. Djankov, Freund and Pham 2010.
22. Martincus, Carballo and Graziano 2015.
23. Freund and Bolaky 2008.
24. Amiti and Khandelwal 2011.
25. Corcoran and Gillanders 2015.
26. Munemo 2014.
27. Norbäck, Persson and Douhan 2014.
28. Giné and Love 2006.
29. Love, Martinez-Peria and Singh 2013.
30. Visaria 2009.
31. Beck, Lin and Ma 2014.
32. Claessens, Ueda and Yafeh 2014.
33. Monteiro and Assunção 2012.
34. Lawless 2013.
35. Kawaguchi and Murao 2014.
36. Montenegro and Pagés 2003.
37. The projects or indexes using *Doing Business* as a source of data are the following: Fraser Institute's Economic Freedom of the World (EFW); The Heritage Foundation's Index of Economic Freedom (IEF); The World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Index (GCI); Networked Readiness Index (NRI, jointly with INSEAD); Human Capital Index (HCI); Enabling Trade Index (ETI); Travel and Tourism Competitiveness Index (TTCI); INSEAD's Global Talent Competitiveness Index (GTCI); Global Innovation Index (GII, jointly with Cornell University and the World Intellectual Property Organization); KPMG's Change Readiness Index (CRI); Citi and Imperial College London's Digital Money Index; International Institute for Management Development's World Competitiveness Yearbook; DHL's Global Connectedness Index (GCI); PricewaterhouseCoopers' Paying Taxes 2016: The Global Picture; Legatum Institute's Legatum Prosperity Index; The Millennium Challenge Corporation's Open Data Catalog; International Civil Service Effectiveness (InCiSE) Index of Oxford University, Blavatnik School of Government and The Institute for Government.
38. For more on the Heritage Foundation's Index of Economic Freedom, see the website at <http://heritage.org/index>.



- From June 2, 2016, to June 1, 2017, *Doing Business* recorded 264 regulatory reforms making it easier to do business—with 119 economies implementing at least one reform across the different areas measured by *Doing Business*.
- The economies that showed the most notable improvement in *Doing Business* 2018 are Brunei Darussalam, Thailand, Malawi, Kosovo, India, Uzbekistan, Zambia, Nigeria, Djibouti and El Salvador.
- Starting a business and getting credit were the areas with the highest incidence of reforms in 2016/17, with 38 reforms recorded in each area. Simplifying registration formalities was the most common feature of reforms making it easier to start a business. The most common feature of reforms making it easier to get credit was the introduction of new credit bureaus and registries.
- Europe and Central Asia continued to be the region with the highest share of economies (79%) implementing at least one business regulation reform, a trend that began over a decade ago. Sub-Saharan Africa, however, was the region with the highest total number of reforms in 2016/17, with 83 reforms recorded across all areas measured by *Doing Business*.
- East Asia and the Pacific had the highest number of economies recording the greatest overall number of reforms making it easier to do business in 2016/17. Brunei Darussalam and Thailand each implemented eight reforms while Indonesia implemented seven reforms.

# Reforming the Business Environment in 2016/17

Starting a business in Thailand used to take 27.5 days. Today, thanks to a series of business regulation reforms, the process takes only 4.5 days. First, Thailand eliminated the requirement that companies obtain a company seal. Previously, every certificate of shares had to be signed by at least one director and bear the company seal. And second, Thailand repealed the requirement to obtain approval of the company's work regulations from the Labor Department. Before the reform, companies with more than 10 employees were required to submit their work regulations to the Labor Department for approval. The company's work regulations are now checked during regular labor inspections. Thailand's case is not unique. In all, 38 economies reduced the complexity and cost of business incorporation processes in 2016/17, making it easier and faster for entrepreneurs to start a business.

Reform pays off. Reducing administrative burdens, simplifying regulation, strengthening competition and cutting red tape are reforms that are positively associated with higher manufacturing productivity growth in low-income economies and aggregate productivity growth in middle-income economies.<sup>1</sup> There is ample evidence of the positive impact of reforming in the *Doing Business* areas with a historically higher number of reforms—namely starting a business, paying taxes and trading across borders. Regulatory reforms that make it easier to start a formal business, for example, are associated with an increase in the number of registered firms and with a higher level of employment and productivity.<sup>2</sup> The composition and quality of taxation can have a significant impact on productivity and economic growth.<sup>3</sup> Tax policies can negatively impact productivity by creating disincentives for firms to engage in innovative activities or distorting the capital-labor allocation when considering labor taxes, including mandatory social contributions. Research shows that eliminating such fiscal barriers would lift

real GDP growth rates by about 1 percentage point per year on average over the next two decades.<sup>4</sup> Improving infrastructure efficiency and trade logistics bring documented benefits to an economy's external trade balance and individual traders but transit delays can reduce exports. A study analyzing the importance of trade logistics found that a 1-day increase in transit time reduces exports by an average of 7% in Sub-Saharan Africa.<sup>5</sup>

## WHO REFORMED THE MOST IN 2016/17?

From June 2, 2016, to June 1, 2017, *Doing Business* recorded 264 regulatory reforms making it easier to do business—with 119 economies implementing at least one reform across the different areas measured by *Doing Business* (see table 3A.1 at the end of this chapter). However, starting a business, getting credit and trading across borders are the topics with the highest incidence of reforms in 2016/17 (table 3.1).

**TABLE 3.1** Economies in Europe and Central Asia recorded the highest share of reforms making it easier to do business in 2016/17

Area of reform	Number of reforms in 2016/17	Region with the highest share of reformers in 2016/17
Starting a business	38	South Asia
Dealing with construction permits	22	Sub-Saharan Africa
Getting electricity	20	Europe & Central Asia
Registering property	29	Europe & Central Asia
Getting credit	38	South Asia
Protecting minority investors	21	South Asia
Paying taxes	30	East Asia & Pacific
Trading across borders	33	South Asia
Enforcing contracts	20	South Asia
Resolving insolvency	13	South Asia

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The labor market regulation indicators also recorded 17 regulatory changes in the *Doing Business 2018* report. These changes are not included in the total reform count.

The region with the highest share of economies reforming across all topics is Europe and Central Asia, continuing a trend that began over a decade ago. Indeed, 79% of economies in the region implemented at least one business regulation reform recorded by *Doing Business 2018*. With five reforms, Uzbekistan is the regional leader on the total count of reforms, followed by Lithuania and Azerbaijan with four reforms each. However, Sub-Saharan Africa is the region with the highest total

number of reforms in 2016/17 with 83 reforms recorded across all areas measured by *Doing Business*. Three-quarters of economies in the region implemented at least one business regulation reform in 2016/17. Similarly, 75% of economies in South Asia have implemented at least one business regulation reform captured in *Doing Business 2018*.

East Asia and the Pacific has the greatest number of economies recording the

greatest overall number of reforms making it easier to do business in 2016/17; Brunei Darussalam and Thailand each implemented eight reforms while Indonesia implemented seven reforms. Latin America and the Caribbean and the OECD high-income group had the smallest shares of economies implementing business regulation reforms. The Middle East and North Africa was also among the regions with a relatively small share of economies reforming (65%). Nonetheless, Saudi Arabia implemented six reforms.

The 10 economies showing the most notable improvement in performance on the *Doing Business* indicators in 2016/17 were Brunei Darussalam, Thailand, Malawi, Kosovo, India, Uzbekistan, Zambia, Nigeria, Djibouti and El Salvador (table 3.2). These economies together implemented 53 business regulation reforms across 10 of the areas measured by *Doing Business*. Overall, the 10 top improvers implemented the most regulatory reforms in the area of getting credit (eight reforms), starting a business, dealing with construction permits and paying taxes (seven reforms in each area).

**TABLE 3.2** The 10 economies improving the most across three or more areas measured by *Doing Business* in 2016/17

Economy	Ease of doing business rank	Change in DTF score	Reforms making it easier to do business									
			Starting a business	Dealing with construction permits	Getting electricity	Registering property	Getting credit	Protecting minority investors	Paying taxes	Trading across borders	Enforcing contracts	Resolving insolvency
Brunei Darussalam	56	5.77	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Thailand	26	5.65	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
Malawi	110	5.42		✓			✓			✓		✓
Kosovo	40	4.94	✓				✓					✓
India	100	4.66	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Uzbekistan	74	4.50	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓			
Zambia	85	3.94					✓		✓	✓		
Nigeria	145	3.82	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓			
Djibouti	154	3.79	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓				
El Salvador	73	3.56		✓	✓				✓	✓		

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: Economies are selected on the basis of the number of reforms and ranked on how much their distance to frontier (DTF) score improved. First, *Doing Business* selects the economies that implemented reforms making it easier to do business in three or more of the 10 areas included in this year's aggregate distance to frontier score. Regulatory changes making it more difficult to do business are subtracted from the number of those making it easier. Second, *Doing Business* ranks these economies on the increase in their distance to frontier score due to reforms from the previous year (the impact due to changes in income per capita and the lending rate is excluded). The improvement in their score is calculated not by using the data published in 2016 but by using comparable data that capture data revisions and methodology changes. The choice of the most improved economies is determined by the largest improvements in the distance to frontier score among those with at least three reforms.

Among the 10 top improvers, Brunei Darussalam made the biggest advance toward the regulatory frontier for the second consecutive year by implementing eight reforms making it easier to do business. Brunei Darussalam removed post-incorporation procedures and implemented new building guidelines for construction, eliminating the requirement to obtain a hoarding permit and to submit both the commencement and completion notice to the one-stop shop. Additionally, Brunei Darussalam adopted a new secured transactions law that strengthened the rights of borrowers and creditors and strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholders' rights and role in major corporate decisions, clarifying ownership and control structures and requiring greater corporate transparency. The economy also introduced an electronic case management system for use by judges and lawyers and introduced an online system for filing and payment of the contributions to the employee provident fund. Finally, in 2016/17 Brunei Darussalam enhanced its National Single Window for goods clearance.

Thailand, the other economy in East Asia and the Pacific that made it to the list of the 10 top improvers, implemented changes in eight areas measured by *Doing Business*. Thailand streamlined the post-registration process to start a new business. Thailand also adopted a new secured transactions law that strengthened the rights of borrowers and creditors, introduced an automated risk-based system for selecting companies for tax audit and increased the automation and efficiency of enforcement processes in Bangkok. In addition, Thailand strengthened its land administration system by implementing a geographic information system and scanning the majority of maps in Bangkok.

Three Sub-Saharan African economies—Nigeria, Malawi and Zambia—made it to the list of 10 top improvers in 2016/17. Nigeria made starting a business faster by introducing the electronic approval of registration documents. Nigeria also

increased the transparency of dealing with construction permits by publishing all relevant regulations, fee schedules and pre-application requirements online. In addition, Nigeria improved access to credit information by legally guaranteeing borrowers the right to inspect their own data and by starting to provide credit scores to banks, financial institutions and borrowers. Nigeria also introduced new centralized electronic payment channels for the payment of all federal taxes. Malawi halved the fees charged by the city council and reduced the time to process building plan approvals. It also improved access to credit information by establishing a new credit bureau. Zambia made exporting and importing easier by implementing the ASYCUDA World data management system and made tax compliance easier by introducing an online platform for filing and paying taxes. All three economies introduced or made amendments to their secured transactions laws.

Kosovo and Uzbekistan are the two economies in Europe and Central Asia that made the biggest advances toward the frontier in 2016/17. Kosovo recorded three reforms making it easier to do business, including adopting a new law that establishes clear priority rules inside bankruptcy for secured creditors and clear grounds for relief from a stay for secured creditors during reorganization procedures. Uzbekistan, which recorded five reforms, streamlined the process of obtaining an electricity connection by introducing a "turnkey" service at the utility that fulfills all connection-related services, including the design and construction completion of the external connection.

With eight reforms making it easier to do business in 2016/17, India was the only economy in South Asia to join the list of the 10 top improvers. India made obtaining a building permit faster by implementing an online Single Window System for the approval of building plans; the new system allows for the submission and approval of building plans prior to requesting the building permit. India also streamlined

the business incorporation process by introducing the SPICe form (INC-32), which combined the application for the Permanent Account Number (PAN) and the Tax Account Number (TAN) into a single submission. Furthermore, following improvements to the online system in 2016, the time needed to complete the applications for Employee's Provident Fund Organization (EPFO) and the Employee's State Insurance Corporation (ESIC) decreased. The joint application for the Mumbai Value Added Tax (VAT) and the Profession Tax (PT) also was fully implemented in January 2017. India also strengthened access to credit by amending the rules on priority of secured creditors outside reorganization proceedings and adopting a new insolvency and bankruptcy code that introduced a reorganization procedure for corporate debtors. In trading across borders, India reduced border compliance time by improving infrastructure at the Nhava Sheva Port in Mumbai. Export and import border compliance costs were also reduced in both Delhi and Mumbai after merchant overtime fees were abolished. Thanks to the increased use of electronic and mobile platforms, since July 2016 importers under the Authorized Economic Operator (AEO) program have been able to clear cargo faster through simplified customs procedures.

With four reforms—captured in the indicators for dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, paying taxes and trading across borders—El Salvador is the only economy in Latin America and the Caribbean on this year's list of 10 top improvers. Similarly, Djibouti (with five reforms) is the only economy in the Middle East and North Africa region on the list.

## REMOVING OBSTACLES TO STARTING A BUSINESS

Entrepreneurs in many economies continue to face significant barriers to entry when starting a business. Burdensome

and costly regulation can prevent entrepreneurs from entering the formal economy, negatively impacting both the public and private sectors. Formalization allows entrepreneurs and employees to access the legal and financial services available to registered companies (such as obtaining loans and social security benefits). There is clear evidence that streamlining regulatory procedures can encourage business entry, business growth, job creation and rising national incomes.

Thirty-eight economies made starting a business easier in 2016/17 by reducing the procedures, time or cost associated with the process. Two-thirds of these economies simplified registration formalities by, for example, abolishing requirements to obtain various approvals or consolidating several registration processes into one. Others streamlined postregistration procedures by eliminating the need to obtain a general business license or company seal. And still others set up or improved one-stop shops, reduced or eliminated minimum capital requirements and set up online platforms for entrepreneurs. Of the 38 economies that reformed in this area, 12 implemented complex improvements associated with two or more types of reforms.

Equatorial Guinea advanced the most toward the frontier in starting a business in 2016/17. It did this by abolishing the requirement to obtain an authorization of establishment from the Office of the Prime Minister to start a business. Previously, it took four months on average for each new business to obtain this authorization.

Niger, another economy that notably improved the ease of starting a business, reduced its minimum capital requirement, allocated more personnel to its one-stop shop—resulting in a reduction in the time required to register a company—and allowed for the publication of the notice of company incorporation online free of charge.

Since its inception, *Doing Business* has captured at least one reform making it easier to start a business in almost 95% of economies. These reforms have made it faster and easier for firms to launch and formally operate. Fifteen years ago, it took entrepreneurs worldwide 52 days on average to start and formally operate a firm. Today, it takes 20 days.


Simplifying registration requirements can range from merging registration procedures to eliminating redundant processes. Several economies in Sub-Saharan Africa took steps to streamline these formalities in 2016/17 (figure 3.1). By eliminating the requirement that a woman must obtain her husband's permission to operate a business, the Democratic Republic of Congo made it easier for women to register firms. And by combining multiple business registration procedures, the Democratic Republic of Congo also reduced the time required to start a business by nearly a business week.


## STREAMLINING THE PROCESS OF OBTAINING A BUILDING PERMIT

The construction sector is a critical indicator of the health of an economy. An abundance of stalled construction projects is a visible sign of economic hardship, while a booming construction industry is indicative of economic growth. Although various obstacles remain—including the fragmented nature of the construction industry and its hesitancy to adapt to technological change—governments around the world are focused on implementing reforms that reduce the time and cost to obtain permission to build.<sup>6</sup> In 2016/17, five of the 22 economies that reformed their construction permitting processes focused their reforms on reducing the time to obtain the building permit itself (figure 3.2).

Côte d'Ivoire, which showed the most significant improvement in this area in

**FIGURE 3.1** Economies in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa have the highest share of reforms making it easier to start a business in 2016/17



**FIGURE 3.2** Many economies made getting construction permits faster in 2016/17

Source: *Doing Business* database.

2016/17, established a one-stop shop for building permits and published deadlines, costs and procedures related to obtaining the urban planning certificate. As a result, Côte d'Ivoire reduced the number of required procedures by four and the time to process applications by 210 days.

Notable progress was also made elsewhere in Sub-Saharan Africa, where 15 economies reformed multiple aspects of their construction permitting processes. Gabon streamlined procedures and reduced the time to obtain a building permit by setting up an internal pre-approval meeting of relevant technical experts who examine the application prior to a formal committee meeting. Gabon also made its building regulations, fee schedules and requirements to obtain a building permit available online. Similarly, Benin and Ghana improved transparency by making regulations concerning construction openly accessible online while Rwanda increased quality control during construction by introducing risk-based inspections. Kenya reduced construction fees by eliminating clearance fees from the National Environment Management Authority and the National Construction Authority. Malawi halved building permit fees. Tanzania streamlined

its permitting process by improving the efficiency of its one-stop shop and increasing the frequency of building permit council meetings to once a month.

In Europe and Central Asia, Ukraine reduced the cost of construction by significantly lowering mandatory investor contributions to Kyiv's social and engineering-transport infrastructure. Lithuania reduced the time needed to obtain technical conditions and the building permit. Uzbekistan streamlined the process for obtaining approvals of land plot allocations from various agencies.

### MAKING ACCESS TO ELECTRICITY MORE EFFICIENT AND RELIABLE


World Bank Enterprise Surveys data show that business owners in developing economies identify access to reliable electrical services as the fourth largest obstacle to doing business.<sup>7</sup> However, electricity sector constraints vary. A difficult connection process is associated with utility corruption and may hamper firms,<sup>8</sup> while an unreliable electricity supply is linked to low firm productivity.<sup>9</sup>

Both an efficient connection process and safeguards to mitigate outage risks are crucial to business owners. Effective customer protections and regulations also provide predictability for firms, enabling them to better forecast risks.

Given the importance of the electricity sector, many economies aim to improve access to electricity and the quality of supply to strengthen the operating environment for small and medium-size enterprises. *Doing Business* recorded reforms in 20 economies making it easier to get electricity in 2016/17. Of these, 12 economies focused on improving the connection process and eight on the reliability of electricity supply.

The most common feature of electricity reforms in the past year was improvement to the connection process. Regulatory changes that reduce the number of interactions required between the utility or other third parties and customers when they apply for an electricity connection are an effective way to improve the connection process. Armenia successfully reduced the number of interactions required in 2016/17 by installing a geographic information system, eliminating the need for a site inspection to issue the technical conditions. As a result, the total time to obtain a connection was reduced from 138 days in 2016 to 127 days in 2017.

In the Dominican Republic and Kenya changes were made to improve the reliability of power supply. Major upgrades were made to the network infrastructure in Santo Domingo and Nairobi, resulting in a notable reduction in the duration of outages (figure 3.3). In Kenya, the utility in Nairobi invested in its distribution lines and transformers and set up a squad specializing in restoring power when outages occur. In the Dominican Republic, the utility in Santo Domingo built new substations, redesigned the network zoning plan and established a response squad to quickly restore service after an outage. The initiatives implemented by

**FIGURE 3.3** The duration of power outages has decreased in the Dominican Republic and Kenya

Source: Doing Business database.

Note: The figures show the average number of hours without electricity supply (as measured by SAIDI) and the average number of power outages (as measured by SAIFI) per customer served over the course of a year in the largest business city in each economy.

the utilities in both economies resulted in significant improvements in the reliability of electric supply. As a result, Kenya and the Dominican Republic became eligible to score on *Doing Business*' reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index as their System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) and System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) scores are now below 100.

improving the quality of land administration in 2016/17. The most common improvements included increasing transparency of information and increasing administrative efficiency by reducing the time to transfer property.


Mauritius made the biggest improvement in the ease of registering property in 2016/17. It did this by eliminating the

10% transfer tax and registration duty, implementing a complaint mechanism and publishing service standards. Rwanda also made improvements to its property registration process in 2016/17. Rwanda reduced the time for a property transfer by introducing new online services such as user searches of property information and online property transfer filing and registration (figure 3.4). It is

## IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LAND ADMINISTRATION

Valid property rights are necessary to support investment, productivity and economic growth. Evidence from economies around the world suggests that property owners with registered titles are more likely to invest. They also have a better chance of getting credit when using their property as collateral. Likewise, having reliable, up-to-date information in cadasters and land registries is essential for governments to correctly assess and collect property taxes.

Twenty-nine economies made registering a property easier by increasing the efficiency of property transfers and

**FIGURE 3.4** Rwanda has consistently reduced the time it takes to transfer property

Source: Doing Business database.

now possible to search online for owners of specific properties, locations and the encumbrances affecting the property. In addition, the parties, or their notary, can file the property transfer deed for registration online. Niger significantly reduced registration costs by reducing notary fees from 4% of the property value to a regressive fee scheme based on the property value. The government also made changes to the General Tax Code to lower property transfer registration fees.

Among regions, Europe and Central Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa tie as the regions with the most reforms relating to the transfer of property in 2016/17. In Europe and Central Asia, Croatia passed the Real Estate Transfer Act, which decreased the real estate transfer tax from 5% to 4%, while Kazakhstan made cadastral plans in Almaty available to the public via the government's website and began publishing statistics on land disputes. The Russian Federation made property registration services available at its one-stop shop and passed legislation requiring that property registrations be completed within nine working days. In Sub-Saharan Africa, the land registry in Mauritania launched a website that provides relevant information to the public on land registry services, including property transfer regulations, procedures and fees. Senegal decreased property registration times by streamlining the interactions between different departments at the property registry, introducing internal mechanisms to identify bottlenecks and enacting internal time limits to speed up the registration process.

## STRENGTHENING ACCESS TO CREDIT

Twenty-four economies implemented reforms improving their credit information systems in 2016/17. The most common feature of reform was the introduction of new credit bureaus and registries to improve the sharing of credit information. Malawi made the most improvement in

credit reporting by operationalizing a new credit bureau, Credit Data CRB, in July 2016. The credit bureau distributes positive and negative credit information on both firms and individuals and borrowers have a legally-guaranteed right to inspect their own data. Cameroon, Indonesia, Iraq, Jordan and Slovenia all established a new credit bureau or registry in 2016/17. Azerbaijan, Djibouti and Myanmar improved their regulatory framework for credit reporting, enabling the creation of new credit bureaus in the near future.

Economies in West Africa also implemented reforms in 2016/17 to improve their credit reporting systems. All West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU) member states have now formally adopted the Uniform Law on the Regulation of Credit Information Bureaus. WAEMU's regional credit bureau, Creditinfo VoLo, began operations in Burkina Faso, Guinea-Bissau and Togo in early 2017. These economies joined Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, Niger and Senegal, where Creditinfo VoLo was launched in 2016 (figure 3.5).

Elsewhere, economies adopted global good practices in credit reporting. The

credit bureaus in Nigeria, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates began offering credit scores to their data users as a value-added service. Improvements were also made in the distribution of data from sources other than financial institutions. In Bhutan, two utility companies began submitting positive and negative information on consumer accounts to the credit bureau. In Kenya, public utility companies and savings and credit cooperative organizations are now required to share credit information. In the Islamic Republic of Iran, a vehicle dealership began sharing information on credit-based transactions with the credit bureau.

In 2016/17, 18 economies made it easier for businesses to obtain credit by modifying legislation to encourage the use of moveable property as collateral. The most common feature of reform included improvements in the legislative framework for secured transactions encompassing functional equivalents to security interests and creating modern, searchable collateral registries which are accessible on-line for the registration, modification and cancelation of security interests. West Bank and Gaza

**FIGURE 3.5** Timeline of West African Economic and Monetary Union regional credit bureau



*Source: Doing Business database.*

made the most noteworthy improvement in 2016/17 by adopting a secured transactions law in 2016 that establishes a modern collateral registry and allows a general description of present and future assets used as security interests. The new rules also establish priority for secured creditors outside insolvency and permit out-of-court enforcement.

Belarus created the Registry of Encumbrances on Movable Property in 2016 to record, store and provide information on security interests in movable assets. Mongolia's Law on Movable and Intangible Property Pledges, which entered into force in March 2017, regulates the assignment of receivables, financial leases and retention-of-title sales, requiring their registration with the collateral registry. Similarly, Brunei Darussalam, the Kyrgyz Republic, Mongolia, Malaysia, Nepal, Nigeria, Russia, Samoa, Turkey and Zambia introduced new laws establishing modern collateral registries.

## PROTECTING THE RIGHTS OF MINORITY SHAREHOLDERS

Djibouti made the most noteworthy improvements to minority investor protections in 2016/17. A new law, Law No. 191/AN/17/7, which modified the Code of Commerce, takes significant steps to mitigate the risk of prejudicial conflicts of interest in companies. The law requires directors to inform their board in detail of any conflict of interest they may have on a proposed transaction. If they decide to proceed, they must also include the terms of the transaction and the extent of the conflict of interest in the annual report. Even after these precautions, shareholders can file in court to cancel the transaction and recover any profits made by the interested parties if the transaction was prejudicial to the company. Shareholders can also inspect transaction documents before filing a suit and seek reimbursement of their legal expenses. In addition, the law stipulates that transactions representing

51% of a company's assets must be authorized by its shareholders and that the notice of meeting should be sent 21 days in advance. As a result of these and other amendments, Djibouti improved its score on all six indices of the indicator set, resulting in a 21.67-percentage point increase in its distance to frontier score for minority investor protections (figure 3.6).


Twenty other economies also strengthened minority shareholder protections in 2016/17.<sup>10</sup> Costa Rica enacted Law No. 9392 in October 2016 which provides specific protections for minority investors and strengthens safeguards against conflicts of interest. The board of directors now must vote on transactions with interested parties and board members who have a personal interest must clearly disclose their interest and abstain from voting in this case. Should shareholders choose to file a claim against the transaction, the law also increases their access to evidence both before and during court proceedings. As a result, Costa Rica's score improved significantly on both the extent of disclosure index and the ease of shareholder suits index, resulting in a 10 percentage point increase in its distance to frontier score for minority investor protections.

Thirteen economies—Azerbaijan, Brunei Darussalam, Djibouti, Arab Republic of Egypt, France, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Lithuania, Malaysia, Nepal, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia and Uzbekistan—passed legislation in 2016/17 that increased corporate transparency requirements. These laws give more agenda-setting power to shareholders and disclose board member activities in other companies, executive compensation and audit reports. As a result, all of these economies improved their scores on the extent of corporate transparency index.

Azerbaijan, Bhutan, Brunei Darussalam, Djibouti, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia and Thailand took steps to clarify corporate governance, ownership and control structures by, for example, enacting legislation that requires companies to nominate independent board members and set up an audit committee. These changes resulted in improvements in the scores of these nine economies on the extent of ownership and control index.

Finally, 11 economies enacted regulation in 2016/17 enhancing approval and disclosure requirements for related-party transactions. Among them, Luxembourg

**FIGURE 3.6 Djibouti strengthened minority investor protections the most in 2016/17**



Source: Doing Business database.

made it easier for shareholders representing 10% of the share capital of their company to get access to corporate information and to sue directors in cases of prejudicial third-party transactions. These 11 economies—Costa Rica, Djibouti, Georgia, India, Kazakhstan, Luxembourg, Pakistan, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Thailand and Ukraine—improved on the extent of approval, extent of director liability and ease of shareholder suits indices.

## ENHANCING TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEMS

Properly developed, effective taxation systems are crucial for a well-functioning society. In most economies, taxes are the main source of revenue to fund public spending on education, health care, public transport, infrastructure and social programs, among others. Tax policy is one of the most contentious areas of public policy. A large body of theoretical and empirical work examines the effects of high tax rates and complex fiscal systems. Although determining the optimal tax system can be challenging because context matters when economies want to maximize their welfare, there is less uncertainty—from both theoretical and empirical perspectives—about the distortionary effects of high taxes and cumbersome tax systems. A good tax system should ensure that taxes are proportionate and certain (not arbitrary) and that the method of paying taxes is convenient to taxpayers. Lastly, taxes should be easy to administer and collect.

El Salvador made the greatest advances in tax payment systems in 2016/17. Following regulatory changes, all companies are now required to submit their tax returns electronically. Electronic payments are now used by a majority of companies in El Salvador for profit taxes, value added taxes and labor taxes, including mandatory contributions. The tax administration also moved to a

different assessment criteria for selecting companies for a tax audit, with its focus now primarily on larger companies. Low-risk companies and small businesses would not be selected for a tax audit in the case of an underpayment or self-reporting an error in the corporate income tax return.


The most common feature of reforms in the area of paying taxes over the past year was the implementation or enhancement of electronic filing and payment systems. Besides El Salvador, 16 other economies—Botswana, Brunei Darussalam, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Lithuania, Maldives, Morocco, New Zealand, the Philippines, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Uruguay, Uzbekistan, Vietnam and Zambia—introduced or enhanced systems for filing and paying taxes online. India eased tax compliance on businesses by implementing an online platform for the electronic payment of the Employee Provident Fund and introducing administrative measures to ease corporate income tax compliance (figure 3.7).

The use of electronic tax filing and payment systems has increased substantially since 2006, with the most notable

progress in the economies of Europe and Central Asia. Sub-Saharan Africa remains the region with the smallest share of economies using electronic filing or payments. However, in 2016 the use of online systems for filing and payment of taxes resulted in efficiency gains in several economies in the region, including Botswana, Kenya, Rwanda and Zambia. Angola, Mauritania, Senegal and Togo are improving their systems to enable taxpayers to shift from manual to online filing of tax returns in the near future.

Other economies directed efforts at reducing the financial burden of taxes on businesses and keeping tax rates at a reasonable level to encourage private sector development. With the objective of promoting more stable employment conditions, Italy exempted employers from social security contributions for a maximum of 36 months for hires with open-ended contracts from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015. Japan reduced the corporate income tax rate at the national level from 25.5% to 23.9% for tax years beginning on or after April 1, 2015. The Bahamas reduced the rate of stamp duty on land sales from 10% in 2015 to 2.5% in 2016.

**FIGURE 3.7** India made paying taxes faster by requiring the electronic payment of some taxes



Source: Doing Business database.

## FACILITATING INTERNATIONAL TRADE

International trade is a cornerstone of economic development, as access to international markets is strongly correlated with economic growth.<sup>11</sup> Although tariffs on exports and imports have fallen on average in recent decades, non-tariff measures have gained increasing prominence.<sup>12</sup> Optimizing time and costs in the trade sector is strongly associated with trade growth, diversification and economic expansion.<sup>13</sup> Accordingly, global trade policies have shifted their focus from tariffs to trade facilitation, including the elimination of trade-related transactions costs. *Doing Business* tracks global trade policies and reforms that facilitate trade by implementing cost-effective, time-efficient and transparent regulatory practices (figure 3.8).

Of the 33 economies that undertook reforms making it easier to trade across borders in 2016/17, 22 improved their existing electronic systems for exports or imports, reducing the time

of documentary and border compliance by more than 760 hours overall. More than half of this time savings is associated with the enhancement of existing electronic systems. Zambia reduced the time to complete documentary and border compliance by about 30%, underscoring the impact of roll out of the ASYCUDA World system, an automated customs data management system, to multiple customs offices nationwide. In 2017 Zambia increased the functionality of the platform, enabling the electronic submission of declarations, supporting documents and the online payment of customs fees. Following its upgrade from ASYCUDA to the *Sistema Único de Modernización Aduanera* (Single Customs Modernization System; SUMA), Bolivia has enabled traders to clear their goods electronically, submitting customs declarations and supporting documents online and eliminating the need for visits to multiple government agencies to obtain clearance. As a result, Bolivia reduced the time required to prepare and submit all required documentation by 72 hours overall.


Eleven economies significantly upgraded their trade logistics infrastructure in 2016/17. Inadequate infrastructure is one of the main burdens in international trade.<sup>14</sup> As part of its National Development Plan 2013–2017, Angola has significantly rehabilitated and upgraded the port of Luanda, expanding the terminals, adding new berths and acquiring equipment. This has resulted in improvements in handling processes and reduced border compliance time for both exports and imports.

The regions implementing the most reforms making it easier to trade across borders in 2016/17 were Sub-Saharan Africa (46% of reforms in this area) and East Asia and the Pacific (18%). Together, the economies in these two regions account for nearly 64% of reforms in this area as captured by *Doing Business* 2018. The remainder of reforms were made by economies in Latin America and the Caribbean (15%), the Middle East and North Africa (9%), South Asia (9%) and Europe and Central Asia (3%).

## ENHANCING JUDICIAL EFFICIENCY

A judicial system that provides effective commercial dispute resolution is crucial to a healthy economy.<sup>15</sup> Case management systems supporting manual case flow through forms and files contribute to the overall timeliness and efficiency of the justice system, especially when combined with increased court automation and information communication technology solutions.<sup>16</sup> The introduction of new case management features, or the expansion of existing case management or court automation systems, was the most common reform feature recorded in 2016/17. Some economies—Guyana and Kazakhstan, for example—focused on strengthening regulatory case management principles by introducing tighter time standards for key court events. Others—such as Switzerland and Taiwan, China—focused on the

**FIGURE 3.8** Reforms affecting customs, especially those regarding the implementation of electronic systems, produce the highest time savings across regions



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The time reduction captures reforms that were implemented and had a positive impact on time for the trading across borders indicator set from 2016 to 2017. The reforms recorded during this period are aggregated in four wide-ranging categories: electronic systems, customs administration, risk-based inspections and infrastructure. Regions with no reforms on time are excluded from the figure.

implementation of a platform for the electronic submission of the initial complaint. Hungary strengthened its existing electronic-filing system by integrating it with a platform that allows litigants to pay court fees electronically.

Namibia, the economy that improved most notably in the area of enforcing contracts in 2016/17, is witnessing the results of a seven-year reform process in case management and information communication technology systems that began with a peer learning exercise with some of the top-performing economies on the enforcing contracts indicators. The reform process led to the approval of new court rules in 2014 that incorporated many case management principles such as time limits for key court events, early case management through pre-trial conferences, earlier intervention by the judge, tools to dispose of cases that have been “abandoned” by the parties and court-connected mediation. The court also upgraded its information communication technology systems and court users are now able to submit their initial complaint online, while judges and lawyers have access to a dedicated

online case management system. Today the Windhoek High Court has a case clearance rate of above 110% (figure 3.9), higher than some of the most sophisticated economies in the world, including Finland and Sweden.<sup>17</sup>

Other economies have strengthened judicial efficiency through the introduction of a specialized commercial court. Bhutan introduced dedicated benches that only hear commercial cases. Guyana, Nicaragua, the Slovak Republic and Vietnam strengthened their regulatory environment by introducing a new Code of Civil Procedure.

## PROMOTING EFFICIENT BANKRUPTCY REGIMES


Efficient regulation of corporate insolvency is associated with increased access to credit for firms and on better terms.<sup>18</sup> Creditors are more willing to lend because they are more likely to recover their loans. Additionally, economies that reform their insolvency law to provide a mechanism for business rescue may reduce the failure rate among firms, help maintain

a higher overall level of entrepreneurship in the economy and preserve jobs.<sup>19</sup> By facilitating the efficient business exit and liquidation of nonviable companies, an insolvency framework supports the efficient reallocation of resources across the economy.<sup>20</sup>

In 2016/17 *Doing Business* recorded 13 reforms making it easier to resolve insolvency. The most common feature of reform was the introduction of a reorganization procedure as an alternative to liquidation. Cabo Verde, the Dominican Republic, Grenada, India, Kosovo, Liberia, Malawi, Panama, Singapore and the United Arab Emirates adopted legal regulations enabling parties to make use of reorganization procedures for the purpose of saving viable businesses where there is a prospect of financial recovery.

The reform in Kosovo is particularly noteworthy. A comprehensive insolvency law, which was adopted in July 2016, introduced a number of modern features that are aligned with international good practices. In addition to establishing reorganization and liquidation procedures, the law provided the debtor with

**FIGURE 3.9** Namibia has reduced its case backlog by implementing a case management system



the option to submit a pre-packaged rehabilitation plan before the commencement of reorganization proceedings and established expedited insolvency proceedings for small and medium-size enterprises. These new elements not only streamline liquidation and reorganization proceedings in Kosovo in general, but are also likely to shorten the timeframe for resolving insolvency. The law also allows the debtor to obtain new financing after the commencement of insolvency proceedings to facilitate continued operations, regulates the treatment of contracts and establishes a cross-border insolvency regime (table 3.3).

Upper-middle-income and high-income economies mainly focused their efforts on strengthening the rights of creditors in insolvency proceedings in 2016/17. Azerbaijan, the Dominican Republic, Grenada, and Panama made important amendments to their legal frameworks to provide creditors with additional safeguards and enable their participation in important decisions that affect their interests. The Dominican Republic and Grenada granted creditors the right to approve the sale of substantial assets of the debtor. Azerbaijan and Grenada provided creditors with the right to request

information on the financial affairs of the debtor at any time. Additionally, Azerbaijan and Georgia granted creditors the right to object to the decision accepting or rejecting creditors' claims.

## CHANGING LABOR MARKET REGULATION

Regulation of labor markets is essential for the achievement of primary economic goals, such as the efficient allocation of resources—that is, the distribution of resources to their most productive uses.<sup>21</sup> Labor regulation is also indispensable in protecting vulnerable groups from market failures, such as forced labor and discrimination.<sup>22</sup> In addition to these fundamental functions, smart labor regulation can help advance a myriad of economic and social goals, ranging from better responses to economic shocks to the promotion of equal opportunities and social cohesion. The challenge in developing labor policies is to prevent both over- and under-regulation by balancing labor flexibility with worker protection.<sup>23</sup>

In 2016/17, *Doing Business* recorded 17 reforms in the areas covered by the indicators for labor market regulation,

**TABLE 3.4 Puerto Rico (U.S.)'s Transformation and Labor Flexibility Act (TLFA)**

Old framework	Labor reform
Length of the maximum probationary period for permanent employees	
3 months	9 months
Wage premium for daily overtime work and weekly holiday work	
100% premium rate	50% premium rate
Mandatory paid annual leave, workers with 1 year tenure	
15 days	9 days
Mandatory paid annual leave, workers with 5-10 years tenure	
15 days	12 days

Source: *Doing Business* database.

including the hiring of workers, working hours, redundancy rules and job quality. Some economies made their labor regulation more rigid while others made it more flexible; in some economies, the changes were in both directions. Puerto Rico (U.S.), for example, undertook a substantial regulatory reform effort by adopting the Transformation and Labor Flexibility Act (TLFA), which introduced comprehensive changes to regulation in all areas measured by the indicator for labor market regulation (table 3.4). The TLFA increased the length of the maximum probationary period for permanent employees, decreased the premium for daily overtime work and the wage premium for weekly holiday, decreased the mandatory paid annual leave and established severance payments for all employees wrongfully made redundant.

Kiribati also implemented significant changes to labor regulation by approving the Employment and Industrial Relation Code (EIRC) which regulates the number of work hours per day, establishes paid annual leave and paid sick-leave and clarifies rules governing redundancies.

Changes to the regulation of working hours was a common feature of reform in 2016/17. Albania reduced the number of work hours to 48 per week. Similarly, the Democratic Republic of Congo

**TABLE 3.3 Kosovo's previous and new insolvency frameworks**

Previous framework	New framework
Can a debtor initiate liquidation or reorganization procedures?	
No liquidation or reorganization available.	Yes. Debtors can initiate both procedures.
Do creditors vote on the reorganization plan?	
No reorganization available.	Yes. Creditors whose rights are affected by the proposed plan vote on it.
Can a court invalidate preferential and undervalued transactions concluded before insolvency proceedings?	
No provisions.	Yes.
Can a debtor obtain credit after commencement of insolvency proceedings?	
No provisions.	Yes. The debtor or the administrator may obtain new financing after the commencement of insolvency proceedings and the priorities of the new financing are clearly established.
Can creditors participate in important decisions?	
No provisions.	Yes. Every creditor has the right to request information on the debtor's financial situation from the insolvency representative and may object to the decision regarding its own claims as well as claims of other creditors.

Source: *Doing Business* database.

established a standard workday of eight hours per day and designated Sunday as a weekly rest day. Taiwan, China, increased the number of weekly rest days from one to two and also extended the length of mandatory paid annual leave. Bosnia and Herzegovina decreased wage premiums for overtime work, night work and weekly holiday work. Tajikistan abolished restrictions on night work by non-pregnant women and non-nursing mothers.

In addition, some economies made changes to legislation regulating redundancy rules and costs. Tajikistan increased the amount of severance pay that an employer must provide when making an employee redundant. The Bahamas amended its legislation to introduce priority rules that apply to reemployment and Singapore adopted legislation requiring employers to notify the Ministry of Manpower when terminating a group of nine redundant workers.

Economies also implemented legislation in the area of job quality in 2016/17. The United States (Los Angeles) established a maximum of six working days of paid sick leave a year. Colombia, the Dominican Republic, India and Paraguay increased the duration of paid maternity leave.

## NOTES

1. Dabla-Norris and others 2013.
2. Klapper and Love 2011.
3. IMF 2015.
4. IMF 2017.
5. Freund and Rocha 2011.
6. World Economic Forum 2017.
7. Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org/>), World Bank.
8. Geginat and Ramalho 2015.
9. Grimm, Hartwig and Lay 2012.
10. The economies that strengthened minority shareholder rights in 2016/17 are Azerbaijan, Bhutan, Brunei Darussalam, Costa Rica, Djibouti, Egypt, France, Georgia, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Nepal, Pakistan, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Thailand, Ukraine and Uzbekistan.
11. World Bank Group and WTO 2015.
12. Hoekman and Nicita 2011.
13. Arvis and others 2016.
14. Lanz, Roberts and Taal 2016.
15. Ramello and Voigt 2012.
16. Gramckow and others 2016.
17. CEPEJ 2016.
18. Cirmizi, Klapper and Uttamchandani 2010.
19. Klapper and Love 2011.
20. For more on how insolvency frameworks support the efficient reallocation of resources across the economy, see Djankov 2009, Funchal 2008, Klapper 2011 and Visaria 2009.
21. World Bank 2012.
22. Agell 1999.
23. Kuddo and others 2015.

TABLE 3A.1 Who reduced regulatory complexity and cost and/or strengthened legal institutions in 2016/17—and what did they do?

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
<b>Making it easier to start a business</b>		
Simplified preregistration and registration formalities (publication, notarization, inspection, and other requirements)	Bhutan; China; Democratic Republic of Congo; Republic of Congo; Czech Republic; Djibouti; Dominican Republic; Equatorial Guinea; Ethiopia; Gabon; Indonesia; Iraq; Jamaica; Kenya; Madagascar; Mauritius; Morocco; Nigeria; Pakistan; Saudi Arabia; Senegal; Serbia; Thailand; Uzbekistan	Djibouti made starting a business more affordable by reducing the fees to register and publish the notice of commencement of activity.
Cut or simplified postregistration procedures (tax registration, social security registration, licensing)	The Bahamas; Brunei Darussalam; Greece; India; Iraq; Kenya; Kosovo; Madagascar; Malta; Niger; Tajikistan; Thailand; Zimbabwe	The Bahamas made starting a business easier by merging the process of registering for the business license and value added tax. Greece made starting a business easier by creating a unified social security institution.
Introduced or improved online procedures	India; Kuwait; Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia made starting a business easier through the use of an online system, which merges the name reservation and submission of the articles of association into one procedure. Saudi Arabia also improved the online payment system, removing the need to pay fees in person.
Created or improved one-stop shop	Democratic Republic of Congo; Kuwait; Mauritania; Moldova; Niger; Sierra Leone	Mauritania made starting a business easier by combining multiple registration procedures.
Abolished or reduced minimum capital requirement	Cameroon; Republic of Congo; Ethiopia; Gabon; Niger	Cameroon made starting a business easier by reducing the minimum capital requirement. Gabon made starting a business easier by reducing the minimum capital requirement and by making the notarization of incorporation documents optional.
<b>Making it easier to deal with construction permits</b>		
Reduced time for processing permit applications	Angola; Brunei Darussalam; Côte d'Ivoire; El Salvador; Gabon; India; Kenya; Lithuania; Mauritius; Niger; Nigeria; Tanzania; United Arab Emirates; Uzbekistan	Lithuania reduced the time it takes to obtain technical conditions and the building permit. Niger introduced new rules to obtain a water connection as well as service delivery objectives, resulting in a reduction in the time to obtain a water connection. The Waste Water Management Authority (WMA) in Mauritius outsourced the design and construction of sewage connection works to five private companies, thereby reducing the time to provide sewage connection.
Improved transparency	Benin; Cabo Verde; Gabon; Ghana; Niger; Nigeria; Seychelles	Gabon improved the transparency of information by publishing legislation related to the construction industry online. Nigeria (Kano and Lagos) increased transparency by publishing all relevant regulations, fee schedules and pre-application requirements online.
Streamlined procedures	Brunei Darussalam; Gabon; Niger; Nigeria; Uzbekistan	Brunei Darussalam eliminated the requirement to obtain a hoarding permit and to submit both the commencement and completion notice to the one-stop shop. Niger streamlined its internal processes and set up a building permit commission which meets every Thursday to rule on permit applications.
Adopted new building regulations	Djibouti; El Salvador; Niger; Rwanda; United Arab Emirates; Uzbekistan	Djibouti implemented a decree clearly establishing decennial liability for all professionals engaged in construction projects. Uzbekistan introduced a new system of allocating land through a competitive selection process for land plots of up to a hectare.
Reduced fees	Djibouti; Kenya; Malawi; Niger; Ukraine; United Arab Emirates	Kenya eliminated fees to obtain clearance from the National Environment Management Authority and the National Construction Authority. Malawi halved the fees to obtain a building permit. The National Laboratory of Djibouti published new official fees for its services, reducing the cost of concrete inspections.
Improved or introduced electronic platforms or online services	Angola; El Salvador; India	El Salvador introduced a single window system, making preliminary construction fees payable online. The Municipality of Greater Mumbai introduced an online single window system that allows for the submission and approval of building plans prior to requesting the building permit along with various other services.
Introduced or improved one-stop shop	Côte d'Ivoire; Tanzania	Côte d'Ivoire created a one-stop shop for processing building permits. Tanzania increased the efficiency of its one-stop shop by improving coordination among agencies.
<b>Making it easier to get electricity</b>		
Facilitated more reliable power supply and transparency of tariff information	Dominican Republic; El Salvador; Jamaica; Kenya; Mexico; Montenegro; Senegal; Vietnam	Jamaica improved the reliability of supply in Kingston by investing in the distribution network through several initiatives, including the installation of smart meters and distribution automation switches.
Improved process efficiency	Angola; Armenia; Indonesia; Italy; Niger; Philippines	Armenia made getting electricity easier by imposing new deadlines for connection procedures and introducing a new geographic information system at the utility.
Streamlined approval process	Indonesia; Lithuania; Mozambique; Thailand; United Arab Emirates; Uzbekistan	Mozambique reduced the time to get an electricity connection by streamlining procedures through the utility instead of various agencies. Mozambique also reduced costs by eliminating the security deposit for large commercial clients.
Improved regulation of connection processes and costs	Georgia; Indonesia; Mozambique; United Arab Emirates	Georgia made getting electricity more affordable by reducing connection costs for new customers.

TABLE 3A.1 Who reduced regulatory complexity and cost and/or strengthened legal institutions in 2016/17—and what did they do?

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
<b>Making it easier to register property</b>		
Increased transparency of information	Benin; Brunei Darussalam; Djibouti; Hong Kong SAR, China; Kazakhstan; Kuwait; Mauritania; Mauritius; Nigeria; Pakistan; Seychelles; Suriname	Mauritania created a new section on the government website containing information on the services provided by the land registry. Kazakhstan made cadastral plans in Almaty available to the public and began publishing statistics on the number of land disputes.
Increased administrative efficiency	Antigua and Barbuda; Costa Rica; Guyana; Kuwait; Nigeria; Russian Federation; Rwanda; Saudi Arabia; Senegal; Tajikistan	Saudi Arabia implemented an online system to check for property ownership and encumbrances. Rwanda reduced the time to complete a property transfer from 12 to seven days, by reducing the time needed to conduct a title search and registration.
Reduced taxes or fees	Benin; Croatia; Indonesia; Mauritius; Myanmar; Niger; Senegal; Turkey	Niger decreased registration fees, effectively lowering the cost to register a property by 15%. Turkey made registering property easier by reducing mortar dues (property transfer registration fees) from 4% to 3%.
Increased reliability of infrastructure	Hong Kong SAR, China; Romania; Serbia; Seychelles; Thailand	Serbia and Thailand improved the reliability of their land administration systems by implementing a geographic information system. Hong Kong SAR, China, linked information recorded by the Lands Department with that of the Land Registry. Romania digitized its land book system in Bucharest.
Improved the accessibility of the land dispute resolution mechanism	Armenia; Brunei Darussalam; Kazakhstan; Saudi Arabia	Armenia, Brunei Darussalam, Kazakhstan and Saudi Arabia made their land dispute mechanism more accessible by publishing statistics on land-related cases filed at the court of first instance.
<b>Strengthening legal rights of borrowers and lenders</b>		
Created a unified and/or modern collateral registry for movable property	Belarus; Brunei Darussalam; Kyrgyz Republic; Malaysia; Mongolia; Nepal; Nigeria; Russian Federation; Samoa; Turkey; West Bank and Gaza; Zambia	Zambia strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law on secured transactions that establishes a modern and centralized collateral registry.
Introduced a functional and secured transactions system	Brunei Darussalam; Mongolia; Nepal; Nigeria; Samoa; West Bank and Gaza; Zambia	West Bank and Gaza strengthened access to credit by adopting the Security Interests in Moveable Property Act. The new law on secured transactions implements a functional secured transactions system. The law regulates functional equivalents to loans secured with movable property, such as financial leases and retention-of-title sales.
Allowed for general description of assets that can be used as collateral	Albania; Thailand; West Bank and Gaza	Albania implemented new laws allowing for the general description of assets that can be used as collateral.
Expanded range of movable assets that can be used as collateral	Thailand; Vietnam	Thailand introduced a law that broadens the scope of assets which can be used as collateral to secure a loan.
Granted absolute priority to secured creditors or allowed out-of-court enforcement	Albania; Brunei Darussalam; India; Kosovo; Malawi; Thailand; Turkey; West Bank and Gaza	Turkey introduced a law that allows out-of-court enforcement.
Granted exemptions to secured creditors from automatic stay in insolvency proceedings	India; Kosovo; Thailand	Kosovo adopted a new bankruptcy law that includes protections for secured creditors during an automatic stay in reorganization proceedings.
<b>Improving the sharing of credit information</b>		
Established a new credit bureau or registry	Burkina Faso; Cameroon; Guinea-Bissau; Indonesia; Iraq; Jordan; Malawi; Slovenia; Togo	Indonesia improved access to credit information by launching a new credit bureau.
Improved regulatory framework for credit reporting	Azerbaijan; Benin; Djibouti; Guinea-Bissau; Kyrgyz Republic; Myanmar; Turkey	Djibouti improved access to credit information by adopting a law that creates a new credit information system.
Expanded scope of information collected and reported by credit bureau or registry	Bhutan; Islamic Republic of Iran; Kenya; Netherlands	In Bhutan, two utility companies began submitting positive and negative information on consumer accounts to the credit bureau.
Introduced bureau or registry credit scores as a value-added service	Nigeria; Qatar; United Arab Emirates	In the United Arab Emirates, the credit bureau began offering consumer credit scores to banks and financial institutions as a value-added service to help them assess the creditworthiness of borrowers.
Guaranteed by law borrowers' right to inspect data	Nigeria; Swaziland	Swaziland adopted the Consumer Credit Act 2016 guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their own data.
Expanded borrower coverage by credit bureau or registry	Madagascar	In Madagascar, the credit registry for microfinance institutions was consolidated with the registry for banks, expanding the number of borrowers listed in the registry's database with information on their borrowing history from the past five years to more than 5% of the adult population.

TABLE 3A.1 Who reduced regulatory complexity and cost and/or strengthened legal institutions in 2016/17—and what did they do?

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
<b>Strengthening minority investor protections</b>		
Expanded shareholders' role in company management	Azerbaijan; Bhutan; Brunei Darussalam; Djibouti; Arab Republic of Egypt; France; Georgia; Indonesia; Kazakhstan; Lithuania; Malaysia; Nepal; Rwanda; Saudi Arabia; Thailand; Uzbekistan	Lithuania enacted a law requiring the disclosure of information about board members' other directorships as well as basic information on their primary employment.
Enhanced access to information in shareholder actions	Costa Rica; Djibouti; Georgia; Kazakhstan; Luxembourg; Rwanda; Thailand	Luxembourg adopted legislation allowing shareholders that represent at least 10% of the share capital to inspect transactions documents before filing a suit.
Increased disclosure requirements for related-party transactions	Costa Rica; Djibouti; India; Saudi Arabia; Ukraine	Costa Rica adopted a law requiring board members who have a personal interest in a proposed transaction to clearly disclose it and not participate in the decision.
Increased director liability	Djibouti; India; Luxembourg; Pakistan	Djibouti adopted a law allowing shareholders to hold interested directors (as well as other board members) liable when a transaction with interested parties is unfair or prejudicial to the company and to have them repay profits made from the transaction upon a successful claim.
<b>Making it easier to pay taxes</b>		
Introduced or enhanced electronic systems	Botswana; Brunei Darussalam; El Salvador; India; Indonesia; Kenya; Lithuania; Maldives; Morocco; New Zealand; Philippines; Rwanda; Saudi Arabia; Uruguay; Uzbekistan; Vietnam; Zambia	El Salvador mandated all business taxpayers to file their annual income tax return through one of the available electronic methods (DET software or online processing). The general online tax processing and payment system was also consolidated.
Reduced profit tax rate	Japan; Norway	Japan adopted the 2016 Tax Reform Bill on February 5, 2016, which reduced the corporate income tax rate at the national level from 25.5% to 23.9% for tax years beginning on or after April 1, 2015.
Reduced labor taxes and mandatory contributions	Belgium; France; Italy; Japan; Ukraine	Ukraine introduced in 2016 a flat rate of 22% for the Unified Social Contribution tax paid by employers, which replaced the previous differentiated rates ranging from 36.76% to 49.7%.
Reduce taxes other than profit and labor	The Bahamas; Indonesia; Thailand; Zambia	Indonesia reduced the statutory rate for capital gains tax from 5% to 2.5% in 2016.
Simplified tax compliance processes or decreased number of tax filings or payments	China; India; Italy; Nigeria; Mauritania; Palau; Ukraine	India introduced the Income Computation and Disclosure Standards (ICDS) in 2016 to standardize the methods of computing taxable income and other tax accounting standards. Data gathering became more automated in India due to the use of modern enterprise resource planning (ERP) software.
Introduced a risk-based tax audit selection system	El Salvador; Thailand	Thailand implemented a new automatic risk-based system for selecting companies for a tax audit in 2016. The system does not flag for a tax audit in cases of self-reporting an error or an underpayment of tax liability due.
Introduced time limits for processing VAT cash refunds	Senegal	Senegal mandated by law that value added tax refunds be paid within 90 days from the moment the tax authority receives the documents from the taxpayer and the request for value added tax credit refund must be taken into account by the administration within 30 days from the time the request has been submitted. These changes were applied in practice.
<b>Making it easier to trade across borders</b>		
Introduced or improved electronic submission and processing of documents for exports	Bolivia; Botswana; Brazil; Brunei Darussalam; Cabo Verde; Comoros; Malawi; Mauritius; Oman; Pakistan; Sierra Leone; Sri Lanka; St. Kitts and Nevis; Swaziland; Taiwan, China; Uganda; Vietnam; Zambia	Bolivia upgraded its automated customs system (SUMA) and reduced documentary compliance time to export. Zambia expanded its customs management system nationwide, allowing electronic payments.
Introduced or improved electronic submission and processing of documents for imports	Bolivia; Brazil; Brunei Darussalam; Cabo Verde; Comoros; Indonesia; Jamaica; Kenya; Malawi; Mauritius; Oman; Pakistan; Sri Lanka; Swaziland; Vietnam; Zambia	Due to improvements made to their respective electronic customs platforms, Cabo Verde and Kenya both reduced import documentary compliance time by 24 hours. Brazil made trading across borders faster by enhancing its electronic system—integrating customs, tax and administrative agencies—reducing import documentary compliance time by 72 hours.
Strengthened transport or port infrastructure for exports	Angola; India; Malaysia; Mauritania; Mauritius; Mozambique; Pakistan; Qatar; Russian Federation; Singapore; Uganda	Angola rehabilitated the Port of Luanda, improving handling processes and reducing border compliance time. The Russian Federation opened a deep water port on the coast of the Gulf of Finland, increasing competition and reducing the cost of border compliance at the Port of St. Petersburg.
Strengthened transport or port infrastructure for imports	Angola; India; Malaysia; Mauritania; Mauritius; Pakistan; Qatar; Russian Federation; Singapore	Qatar made trading across borders easier by inaugurating the Hamad Port. Expansion of existing ports in Singapore and Malaysia improved the terminal handling process.
Facilitated customs administration for exports and imports	Botswana; Brunei Darussalam; El Salvador; Ethiopia; India; Mauritania; Mauritius; São Tomé Príncipe; Saudi Arabia; Sierra Leone; Vietnam	El Salvador increased the number of customs officers for clearance and inspections, reducing border compliance time. Mauritius decreased the number of intrusive inspections, which reduced border compliance time for both exports and imports by 10 hours.

TABLE 3A.1 Who reduced regulatory complexity and cost and/or strengthened legal institutions in 2016/17—and what did they do?

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
<b>Making it easier to enforce contracts</b>		
Introduced significant changes to the applicable civil procedure or enforcement rules	Guyana; Kazakhstan; Nicaragua; Senegal; Serbia; Slovak Republic; Spain; Vietnam	Nicaragua and the Slovak Republic each introduced a new Code of Civil Procedure. Serbia adopted a new enforcement law that broadens and clarifies the responsibilities of enforcement agents. Spain reduced the fees to file new cases.
Expanded court automation by introducing electronic payment or by publishing judgments	Azerbaijan; Hungary; Mauritania; Rwanda; Thailand	Azerbaijan, Hungary and Thailand implemented platforms to pay fees electronically. Mauritania and Rwanda made decisions rendered at all levels in commercial cases publicly available.
Introduced or expanded the electronic case management system	Brunei Darussalam; India; Namibia; Saudi Arabia	India introduced the possibility of generating performance measurement reports. Brunei Darussalam, Namibia and Saudi Arabia introduced electronic case management systems.
Introduced electronic filing	Namibia; Switzerland; Taiwan, China	Namibia, Switzerland and Taiwan, China, introduced electronic filing systems for commercial cases, allowing attorneys to submit the initial summons online.
Introduced or expanded specialized commercial court	Bhutan	Bhutan introduced a dedicated bench to resolve commercial disputes.
Expanded the alternative dispute resolution framework	Vietnam	Vietnam introduced a new law regulating voluntary mediation.
<b>Making it easier to resolve insolvency</b>		
Improved the likelihood of successful reorganization	Azerbaijan; Cabo Verde; Dominican Republic; Georgia; Grenada; India; Kosovo; Liberia; Malawi; Panama; Thailand; United Arab Emirates	Cabo Verde established the possibility for the debtor to receive new financing after the commencement of insolvency proceedings and introduced corresponding priority rules.
Introduced a new restructuring procedure	Cabo Verde; Dominican Republic; Grenada; India; Kosovo; Liberia; Malawi; Panama; Singapore; United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates introduced the option of reorganization for commercial entities as an alternative to liquidation.
Strengthened creditors' rights	Azerbaijan; Cabo Verde; Dominican Republic; Georgia; Grenada; Kosovo; Liberia; Panama	The Dominican Republic granted creditors the right to object to decisions of special importance made by the insolvency representative, such as the sale of substantial assets of the debtor in the course of insolvency proceedings.
Improved provisions on treatment of contracts during insolvency	Azerbaijan; Cabo Verde; Dominican Republic; Georgia; Kosovo; Liberia; Malawi; Panama; United Arab Emirates	Liberia allowed avoidance of preferential and undervalued transactions concluded prior to commencement of insolvency proceedings.
Regulated the profession of insolvency administrators	India; Liberia; Malawi; Panama	Malawi regulated the profession of insolvency administrator, including its duties, powers and liabilities.
<b>Changing labor legislation</b>		
Altered hiring rules and probationary period	Finland; Mongolia; Puerto Rico (U.S.)	Puerto Rico (U.S.) increased the length of the maximum probationary period for permanent employees, hired after the effective date of the Transformation and Labor Flexibility Act (TLFA).
Amended regulation of working hours	Albania; Bosnia and Herzegovina; Democratic Republic of Congo; Kiribati; Taiwan, China; Tajikistan	Kiribati established rules for the number of work hours per day and the maximum number of working days per week.
Changed redundancy rules and cost	The Bahamas; Kiribati; Puerto Rico (U.S.); Singapore; Tajikistan	Singapore adopted legislation requiring employers to notify the Ministry of Manpower when terminating a group of nine redundant workers.
Reformed legislation regulating worker protection and social benefits	Albania; Colombia; Dominican Republic; India; Kiribati; Latvia; Paraguay; Puerto Rico (U.S.); United States	The United States (Los Angeles) adopted the Paid Sick Leave Ordinance, allowing for a maximum of six working days of paid sick leave a year upon the oral or written request of an employee.

Source: Doing Business database.

Note: Reforms affecting the labor market regulation indicators are included here but do not affect the ranking on the ease of doing business.

# Starting a Business

## Transparency of information at business registries

Governments and civil society have come together in recent years to increase the transparency of business information. New regulations have been adopted to improve transparency, particularly regarding the dissemination of company data. These changes have been made in the wake of a series of revelations—such as the Panama papers and the Bahamas leaks—that showed the extent to which individuals take advantage of obscure company ownership structures to illicitly move money around the globe. There is now strong momentum behind expanding access to corporate information, including company ownership.

One way to increase transparency in the business environment is to disclose beneficial ownership information publicly—that is, to reveal the identity of individuals who ultimately enjoy the benefits of property rights in equity, even if they are not legal owners. Disclosure of beneficial ownership is useful in identifying suspected money laundering and potential terrorist financing.

To make ownership more transparent, Singapore amended its Companies Act to require locally-incorporated companies and foreign companies registered in Singapore to maintain beneficial ownership information and to make the data public upon request. Ghana amended its Companies Act in 2016 to regulate disclosure of information on beneficial ownership. In May 2017 Germany's parliament passed a law requiring that the owners of all German enterprises (including offshore entities) be identified in an electronic beneficial ownership registry. Access to corporate information is vital for individuals and institutions looking to make sound investment decisions. It is crucial for investors to know who they are doing business with in the global economy.

Providing public access to company information through business registries strengthens confidence in businesses and institutions, but it also helps to manage financial exposure and increase market stability, thereby reducing the risks associated with doing business. Improving transparency necessitates the drafting of laws that expand public access to additional corporate data, such as the identities of the company directors, shareholders and beneficial owners.

This year, *Doing Business* has collected preliminary data on the information gathered and shared by business registries in 190 economies. *Doing Business* collects data on the public availability of both detailed corporate information (such as company name, directors, shareholders, beneficial owners and so on) and the information needed to start a business (for example, a documents list, fee schedule, services standards and official statistics on firm creation). Each type of information obtained without the need for any personal interaction is assigned points to reflect greater transparency. The features of transparency of information range from 0 (least transparent) to 18



- Transparent information provided to the public by business registries can reduce transactions costs and facilitate investment decisions.
- The most common types of information shared by business registries include the company's name, its legal address and the names of its directors.
- Information on beneficial ownership, corporate structure and annual financial returns is less commonly collected and made available to the public.
- Technological advances have greatly enhanced access to information.
- There is a strong association between a transparent business registry and higher efficiency, as well as a lower incidence of bribery.

(most transparent). The aim is to capture new and actionable aspects related to the business incorporation framework and to understand how transparency of information in business registration varies across regions and how it is associated with other institutional and economic outcomes.

## HOW IMPORTANT IS TRANSPARENCY IN BUSINESS REGISTRIES?

As the principal agency responsible for registering firms, business registries allow companies to acquire a legal identity. This legal status enables a firm to enter into contracts with other firms, access formal financial systems and bid on public-sector contracts.<sup>1</sup> Business registries play a vital role by ensuring that the information they collect from these companies is not only captured within their systems but is also available to the public. Sharing information publicly makes it easier to ensure that the information is accurate.

Business registry transparency can increase the accountability of firms and public officials. By improving the

predictability of transactions, transparency can also benefit financial institutions and company services providers as it becomes easier for them to obtain the information they need to comply effectively with due diligence requirements.<sup>2</sup> Registries with clearly-stipulated requirements facilitate the process of registering and verifying information. There tends to be a culture of greater competition and economic growth in economies where it is easier for companies to enter the market.<sup>3</sup>

Transparency of information can broaden the pool of potential investors by reducing the need for personal connections. It can level the playing field for a budding entrepreneur who may lack the necessary connections to formally launch his or her company. By reducing the risk associated with an investment, transparency can help investors determine the viability of a transaction.<sup>4</sup> Revealing public information to curb information asymmetry can also reduce a firm's cost of capital by attracting increased demand from large investors (due to increased liquidity of its securities).<sup>5</sup> The financial cost of a lack of data transparency can be significant: in


2011 alone it is estimated that developing economies suffered nearly \$1 trillion in illicit financial outflows.<sup>6</sup> Transparent data on company ownership are vital in combating money laundering, tax evasion, corruption and other illegal activities.

## WHAT KIND OF BUSINESS INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC?

Most business registries share some data with the public about the companies registered with them. The types of information that are most commonly made public by the business registry are the company's name, legal address, year of incorporation, type of business activity and the names of its directors.<sup>7</sup>

Information regarding a firm's corporate structure, annual returns and beneficial ownership is less commonly made available to the public. It is possible to access information on a company's corporate structure—that is, whether it has subsidiaries or belongs to a holding company—and its beneficial ownership in only a few economies (figure 4.1).

**FIGURE 4.1** Data on shareholders and directors are more accessible than data on beneficial owners



Data on a firm's corporate structure, for example, is readily available in less than one-third of economies worldwide. Furthermore, the identity of a company's beneficial owners is made public in fewer than one-quarter of economies globally; only 8% of economies in East Asia and the Pacific and 15% of OECD high-income economies collect data on beneficial ownership and make it publicly available.

In general, the types of business registry information made available to the public vary little across economies. The names of a company's directors, for example, are publicly available in 92% of high-income economies covered by *Doing Business*; this figure is only slightly lower in low-income economies (84%). For other categories of information, however, public access is not equally provided across economies. In 75% of high-income economies, for example, one can verify the number and type of company shares. This information can be publicly accessed at business registries in fewer than half of low-income economies. Similarly, while businesses' annual accounts are available for public consultation in most high-income economies, these are available in only 10% of low-income economies. Registries in many developing economies either do not require companies to file annual accounts with the business registry or provide limited access to businesses' annual accounts. As a result, the economy may be negatively impacted as poor quality corporate governance regimes can restrict access to information and reduce investment returns.<sup>8</sup>

Some kinds of business data are more accessible than others. In general, public access is greater when the information available is considered less sensitive. Basic data such as a company's type of activity or year of incorporation can be found easily in most economies, but for business reasons some companies

may prefer not to disclose their annual returns or annual accounts.

## HOW IS INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC?

During the past decade government agencies around the world have explored ways to increase business registry transparency. The need for access to corporate information in the name of greater transparency pushed many economies to digitize their business registries and publish data online. By allowing around-the-clock, online access to registry information, the need for personal visits has been reduced, significantly enhancing the utility of these registries.


Where company details can be searched remotely, information and transaction costs are reduced. Basic information underlying potential trade or business dealings—for example, whether an entity has the legal authority to commit to an export contract or whether a particular company is in good financial standing—can be obtained quickly. The majority of the business registries in the economies covered by *Doing Business* share some company information online. Business registries in OECD high-income

economies publish most of the information collected on their websites.

The business registry information most widely available online includes the name of the company, its identification number, its legal address and the year the company was incorporated. The most basic information—the company name—is the information most commonly made available online. The names of existing companies are available online in 62% of economies covered by *Doing Business*, primarily because a company name must be verified before it can be registered as a new business. The business registries in all OECD high-income economies offer online company name search. In contrast, business registries in fewer than 10 economies in Sub-Saharan Africa—including Nigeria and Rwanda—offer this service (figure 4.2). More detailed information—such as information on a firm's shareholders, directors or beneficial ownership—is less commonly made available online.

Information on a company's directors, shareholders and beneficial owners is particularly important because it allows both business representatives and private individuals to identify the ownership of companies with which they may choose to do business or invest. In some

**FIGURE 4.2** Company name search is mostly done in person in Sub-Saharan Africa




Source: *Doing Business* database.

regions, however, this information is either not available or can only be obtained in person. In South Asia, for example, business registries in 75% of economies only allow information about shareholders to be made available in person. In contrast, less than 20% of economies in Europe and Central Asia require that those seeking this information appear in person at the business registry; in the vast majority of economies in this region, shareholder information can be obtained online. In Sub-Saharan Africa, business registries in 77% of economies do not make the names of registered companies available online. In East Asia and the Pacific, one-third of economies do not publish the legal address or the names of company directors online. This type of information would allow a company, before doing business with an individual, to verify, for example, whether that person is a legitimate company representative.

Even when business registry information is published online, it is not always provided free of charge or made easily searchable. In many economies, online access to company information is only available after preregistration or the payment of a subscription fee, limiting the information to those people who can pay for it. In contrast, Denmark and the

**FIGURE 4.3** Higher levels of transparency at the business registry are associated with higher overall levels of transparency in an economy



Sources: *Doing Business* database; Worldwide Governance Indicators (<https://www.govindicators.org>), World Bank.


Note: The Worldwide Governance Indicators control of corruption indicator captures perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as "capture" of the state by elites and private interests. Estimate gives the economy's score on the aggregate indicator in units of a standard normal distribution, ranging from approximately -2.5 to 2.5, with higher values representing lower corruption perceptions. The features of transparency of information range from 0 (not transparent) to 18 (transparent). The sample includes 189 economies covered by both *Doing Business* and the control of corruption estimate (data on control of corruption estimate not available for San Marino). The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita.

United Kingdom publish their entire business registry database free of charge.<sup>9</sup> The presentation of the information can also be a barrier. If data are available only through record-by-record searches, for example, a person must begin their search with the company name or identification number to access information about it.

## HOW IS TRANSPARENCY OF INFORMATION ASSOCIATED WITH EFFICIENCY AND CORRUPTION?

Business registries facilitate the operation of firms in the formal economy and they are often the first public institution

**FIGURE 4.4** The time and cost to start a business tend to be lower in economies with higher transparency of information at the business registry



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The cost of starting a business is recorded as percentage of GNI per capita. The time required to start a business is recorded in calendar days. The features of transparency of information range from 0 (not transparent) to 18 (transparent). Samples include 185 and 184 economies after the five highest cost estimates and the six highest time estimates are removed as outliers, respectively. The relationship between the cost of starting a business and the features of transparency of information is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita. The same applies when the analysis is done using the time to start a business.

with which entrepreneurs interact. This first interaction can have a formative impact on the entrepreneur's perception of the efficacy of the public administration. Indeed, the level of transparency and trust in an economy has been shown to be highly correlated with the level of transparency of information at the business registry (figure 4.3). When business registry information is easily accessible and can be searched remotely, it can facilitate business transactions by removing unnecessary steps and reducing transaction costs.

The level of transparency of information at business registries is also associated with the time and cost to start a business. Data show that, on average, economies with greater transparency of information tend to have faster and less costly processes for starting a business (figure 4.4). In economies where official information on how to incorporate a business is not made readily available, entrepreneurs may have to seek legal advice from third parties or visit various government offices to find reliable information. In contrast, when the information is consolidated and easily obtained, entrepreneurs can spend less time and money finding it; they can dedicate more time to running their business.

Transparency can be approached from multiple aspects. Beyond providing readily available and reliable information about existing companies, it is important that entrepreneurs can openly access information about the requirements to establish a business (such as a list of required documents, fee schedules and services standards). When public access to information on company incorporation requirements is limited, it can represent a substantial obstacle to entrepreneurs who want to start a business. However, when transparency is a priority for business registries and all requirements are made public, more firms are able to enter the formal sector. If anyone can easily obtain transparent information before a business transaction, it can increase the ability of companies to conduct proper Know-Your-Client procedures, raising the level of trust in transactions and counterparts. Easy access to relevant information is also correlated with increased transparency of interactions with public officials. *Doing Business* data show that economies with transparent business registries tend to have lower incidences of bribery, both asked and given (figure 4.5). Transparent information provides citizens with the data they need to hold their counterparts accountable and improves trust in public agencies (including business

registries), particularly when transparency is conveyed on multiple levels (such as clearly stating business registration fees and the expected time to receive incorporation documents).

## CONCLUSION

The transparency of the information provided by the business registry plays a vital role in an economy. Transparent business registries reduce information asymmetry among entrepreneurs and broaden the pool of potential investors by reducing the need for personal connections. Transparency can also raise the accountability of public officials and strengthen trust in public agencies. In the past decade, government agencies around the world have used technology to increase the transparency of public services. Technology can be utilized by governments to improve transparency of company ownership and the procedures to start a business. This case study has shown that the public availability of information on company ownership and starting a business is associated with an increase in an economy's overall level of transparency, an increase in the efficiency of business registration and a decrease in bribery.

**FIGURE 4.5** Levels of bribery tend to be lower in economies with higher transparency of information at the business registry



Sources: *Doing Business* database; Transparency International database (<https://www.transparency.org>).

Note: The features of the transparency of information range from 0 (not transparent) to 18 (transparent). The samples include 100 and 89 economies covered by both the *Doing Business* database and the Transparency International database. The relationships are significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita.

## NOTES

This case study was written by Cyriane Coste, Frederic Meunier, Nadia Novik, Morgann Reeves and Erick Tjong.

1. ASORLAC, CRF, ECRF and IACA 2016.
2. De Simone and Fagan 2014.
3. Klapper, Laeven and Rajan 2006.
4. Malesky, McCulloch and Duc Nhat 2015.
5. Diamond and Verrecchia 1991.
6. Palstra 2014.
7. Accessibility to 14 types of business information is included in this case study. These are the following: name of company, name of directors, name of shareholders, name of beneficial owners, articles of association, year of incorporation, company identification number, legal address, physical address, type of activity, annual accounts, annual returns, capital structure and corporate structure. In addition, the documents to start a business, fees, service standards and statistics are also included.
8. Bradley 2003.
9. Quintanilla and Darbshire 2016.

# Dealing with Construction Permits

## Private sector participation in construction regulation

The world has witnessed an unparalleled expansion of cities in recent decades. The urban population of developing economies is projected to double by 2030, while the area covered by cities could triple.<sup>1</sup> In tandem with this trend, the construction industry is forecast to grow by more than 70%,<sup>2</sup> reaching \$15 trillion by 2025.<sup>3</sup> With the population of cities rising around the world, municipal authorities are struggling to keep up with increased demand for their services. In developing economies, in particular, building departments operating under tight budgets and resource constraints are finding it increasingly difficult to enforce building codes, ensure that quality standards are met and adhere to efficient service delivery processing times.

In some economies, local municipalities have partnered with the private sector to supplement their strained capacity to oversee construction. However, faster and more efficient services provided by third-party inspectors inevitably cost more. *Doing Business* data show that the need to hire qualified third-party professionals on construction projects raises the cost of regulatory compliance by 1% on average in lower-middle-income economies and by 1.3% on average in upper-middle-income economies. The average cost of regulatory compliance in low-income economies without third-party involvement is 7.8% lower; the tradeoff is that it takes longer than in those with third-party involvement.

The use of independent, private-sector entities in construction regulation has provided a conduit for the increased participation of the private sector in the regulatory process and—when appropriate safeguards are in place—has offered an innovative way of addressing regulatory gaps. Low compensation for public sector regulators has resulted in a scarcity of qualified building professionals in

local governments. Hiring private sector experts has addressed this critical gap while improving the efficiency of the regulatory process. When it solicits the experts of private third-party engineering and architectural firms, the public sector taps into specialized skills that enable more robust compliance checks. These firms play a key role in monitoring the enforcement of building regulations and ensuring adherence to adequate standards of quality control at various stages of construction.

Initially pioneered in high-income economies—such as Australia, Japan and the United Kingdom—the trend toward involving private third-party engineers or specialized construction firms in public service delivery has been gradually gaining traction in lower-middle-income and upper-middle-income economies. Modern construction systems increasingly involve licensed or approved private engineers or firms, often enabled by the municipality and local enforcement agencies, to fulfill a building control function. Indeed, data show that 93 out of the 190 economies covered by *Doing Business* use




- Involving private sector engineers or firms in construction regulation is a trend that has been gaining traction in economies around the world.
- Some form of private sector participation in construction regulation is employed in 93 of the 190 economies covered by *Doing Business*.
- Private sector participation in building regulatory processes has shown positive results in achieving regulatory goals. However, the delegation of authority from the public to the private sector has generated significant challenges.
- Economies that employ some form of private sector involvement in construction regulation tend to have more efficient processes and better quality controls. Yet, they also exhibit higher costs and a propensity for conflicts of interest.
- The policy choice to integrate private sector entities in construction regulation should be accompanied by appropriate safeguards that favor the public interest over private profits.

some form of private third-party service in construction regulation. Of high-income and upper-middle-income economies, 66.1% and 56.9%, respectively, use third-party services in construction regulation, while 37.7% of lower-middle-income economies use third-party services. In contrast, only 25% of low-income economies make use of private third-party services in construction regulation (figure 5.1).

## THE PRIVATE SECTOR'S ROLE IN CONSTRUCTION REGULATION

Over the past two decades, several models of private sector participation in building regulatory processes have emerged in economies around the world. Private participation in construction regimes can range from a very limited role for the private sector—such as in the Arab Republic of Egypt, where the Syndicate of Licensed Engineers merely certifies the qualifications of the supervising engineer—to a more comprehensive role where a private firm has complete authority over the entire process—such as in Australia, where private building surveyors directly oversee building design, control and inspection. In the United Kingdom, builders are given the option of either working with an approved private inspector or completing the required procedures with the public authorities. In other economies, such as France and the Republic of Congo, building controls are associated with an insurance-driven regulatory regime in which insurance and warranty firms engage private inspection firms in third-party reviews. While these two economies share the same insurance regime, there is a large disparity in terms of their performance on the quality control index, where France scores significantly higher than the Republic of Congo. At least two parties are held liable for any construction failure for a period of 10 years in 32% of high-income economies allowing third-party involvement, but this figure falls to just 9% for

**FIGURE 5.1** Private third-party services are more commonly used in construction regulation in high-income and upper-middle-income economies




Source: Doing Business database.

low-income economies. Under this legal framework, only buildings deemed safe by independent third-party entities can be insured by an insurance company.

The degree to which the private sector is engaged in regulatory activities varies significantly across economies (figure 5.2). However, the primary function of private third-party entities involved in construction regulation tends to focus on

building inspections during project execution, as is the case in 92% of economies with private participation mechanisms. Of these economies, 61% engage private entities in reviewing building plans, 54% in conducting final inspections upon the completion of construction and 33% in conducting risk assessments of projects. Nonetheless, the issuance of building and occupancy permits remains largely under the purview of local authorities with only

**FIGURE 5.2** Almost all economies employing private-sector regulatory support allow third-party inspections during construction



Source: Doing Business database.

9% of economies delegating these regulatory roles to the private sector.

## BENEFITS OF THIRD-PARTY INVOLVEMENT IN CONSTRUCTION REGULATION

Economies can reap numerous benefits when private sector involvement is carefully implemented within a coherent regulatory framework. In most EU economies, there has been a complete shift from public to private governance mechanisms in building regulation, reflecting a desire to improve the quality of regulation, reduce the administrative burden for applicants and support a greater focus on risk mitigation.<sup>4</sup>

Public-private collaboration on construction regulation has shown positive results including improved compliance with building regulations, more rigorous quality control throughout the project lifecycle and better processing efficiency. *Doing Business* data show that private third-party involvement is associated with better building quality in construction as measured by the building quality control index.<sup>5</sup> Private sector involvement in construction regulation can support the enforcement of building codes and other applicable regulations. It effectively promotes compliance with the existing legal framework, particularly in economies where clear, transparent rules and specific technical instructions are prescribed.

Economies that integrated the private sector into regulatory functions decades ago have seen notable improvements in building quality control. Japan, for example, suffers from an extremely high exposure to natural hazards such as typhoons and earthquakes. The authorities reformed building regulations in 1998 by introducing private third-party services to significantly expand its capacity to carry out building inspections. By doing so, it managed to increase the rate of final inspections to more than 90% in 2016

compared with just 40% before June 2000. By establishing a successful regulatory system that relies on third-party checks, Japan increased its capacity to detect deficiencies in building design and construction, offering timely and appropriate remedies. Private third-party firms now play an instrumental and dominant role in inspection works (figure 5.3).

Similarly, to improve the energy efficiency of its large stock of new buildings, in 2005 the Chinese government introduced an innovative private third-party mechanism to carry out compliance checks of green building code provisions, effectively tapping a vast and readily-available pool of private sector expertise. Five years after the reform, compliance rates with regulatory requirements had effectively doubled.<sup>6</sup>


The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia initiated sweeping construction reforms in 2007/08 mandating the use of private engineers licensed by the Chamber of Engineers to undertake independent building plan reviews. Since then, FYR Macedonia has seen significant improvements in the efficiency of construction regulation as measured by *Doing Business*. The tradeoff has been an increase

in regulatory cost (figure 5.4). Even the Netherlands—one of the few EU economies that has maintained exclusive public enforcement of building regulation—is now preparing to shift toward a more hybrid system of enforcement involving private third-party mechanisms.

Introducing private third-party involvement in construction regimes can also expand regulatory capacity through efficiency gains. The use of private sector third-party services allows for the flexibility to hire specialized expertise that is usually scarce in local municipal governments, particularly in low- and middle-income economies. Local governments are often subject to hiring restrictions and operate with less competitive pay scales that limit their capacity to hire well-qualified staff or contain the high level of staff turnover. These limitations are usually compounded by a wide range of factors, including inadequate local tax bases to fund service delivery, limited transfers from national governments and institutional capacity constraints.

*Doing Business* data show that the process of dealing with construction permits tends to be faster in economies with private participation in construction

**FIGURE 5.3** Private third-party inspection firms have become instrumental players in Japan



Source: Japan, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism database (<http://www.mlit.go.jp>).

**FIGURE 5.4** FYR Macedonia reduced the number of procedures and time it takes to build a warehouse following the introduction of private third-party building plan review



Source: Doing Business database.

regulation. High-income economies employing private sector regulatory support experience time savings of up to 60 days on average compared to economies that do not rely on third-party participation. Private sector involvement in building control activities has the potential to promote administrative efficiency, which in turn results in favorable economic outcomes. A study of the economic impact of expediting permit processing reveals that improving administrative efficiency results in a 16.5% increase in property tax collection, a 5.7% increase in construction spending and a 0.6% increase in the rate of financial return for the investor.<sup>7</sup> In contrast, regulatory delays could undermine the profitability of building projects,<sup>8</sup> adding a financial burden that amounts to 5% of total construction costs incurred by developers<sup>9</sup> and reducing the likelihood of further investment.

Economies with the least efficient construction permitting procedures have enforcement systems that rely exclusively on public authorities. Conversely, some economies that have transitioned from a public approach to a more open system involving partnerships with the private sector have experienced significant

gains in efficiency. The planning office in Bogotá, Colombia, for example, reduced the average time needed to process a construction permit from three years in 1995 to 73 days in 2012 after it began using private professionals to carry out plan reviews and issue building permits. Given the successful integration of third-party professionals in building control activities, the authorities are now considering extending the use of specialized engineers to building inspections, which remain under the jurisdiction of local public officials.

## CHALLENGES OF THIRD-PARTY INVOLVEMENT IN CONSTRUCTION REGULATION

Models of private sector participation in construction regimes vary. While third-party involvement in construction regulation can facilitate doing business in the construction industry by reducing the burden on local authorities, it comes with tradeoffs—including higher construction costs. Privatization of public services should be implemented carefully, with due regard to standards

of transparency and accountability. The delegation of such a key regulatory mandate to the private sector should always be coupled with strict oversight safeguards designed to hold public interest above private profits.

For the private sector to successfully assume such an important regulatory role, a robust vetting system should be in place. Private third-party entities carrying out controls on construction are entrusted to promote compliance with building codes and regulations and enforce rigorous safeguards in favor of the public interest. For such an arrangement to work as intended, the public sector should regulate private third-party professionals and firms. Public sector agencies do so by enforcing professional certification criteria that render individuals and firms eligible to take on a regulatory mandate. Insufficient qualifications of private individuals or firms would undermine the objective of such a regulatory mechanism as the quality of service provided by these professionals would fail to meet the required standards of safety.

Economies with third-party involvement in regulatory functions often adopt specific standards of eligibility for private sector entities to be able to fulfill such a critical regulatory role. These standards typically include a minimum number of years of professional experience, certification by a recognized professional body and proof of performance on previous contracts. When private certification requirements were not properly implemented in New Zealand in the 1990s, the authorities quickly abandoned the shift to private sector building controls and reverted to the traditional public sector regulatory role. New Zealand's attempt to adopt third-party inspections failed due to the lack of strong regulatory safeguards. This resulted in the "leaky building syndrome." In 2008, the cost to repair 42,000 leaky buildings was estimated around 11.3 billion New Zealand dollars (approximately \$8.3 billion).<sup>10</sup> Third-party involvement in construction regulation holds the

promise of improving the regulatory framework, but it could also result in unintended adverse consequences if inadequately implemented. Although 22.2% of high-income economies with third-party involvement covered by *Doing Business* have standard eligibility requirements—including number of years of experience, a university degree and proof of performance on similar projects—only 3.3% of low-income economies require these standard qualifications.

Certifying agencies are mandated with monitoring the enforcement of professional standards. Government agencies represent the largest share of certifying bodies (68.5%) in those economies covered by *Doing Business*, followed by the national order of engineers (19.6%) and other independent bodies (13%) (table 5.1). In the United States, professional certification for third-party services is provided by the International Code Council (ICC), a non-governmental organization. Japan and China, by contrast, host this important function under central ministerial authorities.<sup>11</sup> The United Kingdom has mandated an independent organization—the Construction Industry Council—to administer the registration system for Approved Inspectors (AIs).

Having strict qualification standards in place is an essential and necessary element of a third-party regulatory regime, but this alone is insufficient to ensure that qualified professionals are delivering a satisfactory service. Special attention should be given to the effective enforcement of these professional certification

requirements. This may entail the introduction of oversight mechanisms, a liability and insurance regime and a disciplinary framework that accompanies the transfer of regulatory authority from public officials to third-party entities as part of an essential quality assurance mechanism of third-party providers. China, for example, directed the Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development to certify private third-party companies to carry out compliance checks of green building code provisions. The ministry maintains a comprehensive online public database that contains information on certified third-party firms. It requires the management of construction inspection companies to maintain accountability and quality of service, enforcing penalties when violations are discovered by regular inspections of third-party firms.

When the regulatory framework clearly defines the roles and responsibilities of private service providers, third-party entities are aware of their rights and obligations under the law and can exercise their authority within a legally transparent environment. Furthermore, accountability provisions governing conflicts of interest should be put in place to minimize their incidence and promote unbiased and independent regulatory control. Regulations in 76% of economies that make use of third-party inspectors explicitly require the independence of third-party inspectors; they should have no financial interests in the project and should not be related to the investor or builder.

Without strong liability and insurance regimes and rigorous professional certification mechanisms, third-party involvement in construction regulation can become inefficient or fail to ensure high quality building standards. Moreover, builders could incur the high costs that often accompany private-sector regulatory control without fully benefiting from the advantages that this control is intended to offer. Some economies regulate the cost of such services to

acceptable levels by enforcing fee schedules (within suggested industry guidelines) or by requiring fewer external professionals to be engaged by investors or local construction companies. In the Republic of Korea, for example, an independent third-party may not charge more than 1.29% of the estimated construction cost, in accordance with the Regulation for Scope of Architect Services and Fee Standard. In other economies, the local building authority either conducts all construction oversight or absorbs the cost of engaging external third-party professionals in the process through outsourcing. In South Africa, local authorities can temporarily appoint external building inspectors to conduct inspections on behalf of the local authority.

## CONCLUSION

Sound construction regulation can save human lives, improve health and safety and support a prosperous and sustainable building sector and economy.<sup>12</sup> It can help facilitate doing business by safeguarding lucrative investments, strengthening property rights and protecting the public from faulty building practices. Private sector involvement in the enforcement of building regulations has shown positive results in achieving regulatory goals.<sup>13</sup> However, several challenges should be addressed before a policy of private sector involvement in construction regulation is pursued. The transfer of authority from the public to the private sphere could undermine the public interest. Public-private collaboration in building regulation has delivered successful results when authorities have enforced strict qualification requirements, effective oversight mechanisms and provisions on conflicts of interest, among other fundamental safeguards. A wealth of peer experience accumulated over the past 20 years is now available to economies considering integrating third-party entities in construction regulation.

**TABLE 5.1 Distribution of certifying bodies for third-party entities involved in construction regulation**

Certification agency for third-party entities in construction	Number of economies
Government	63
Order of architects or engineers	18
Other independent body	12

Source: *Doing Business* database.

---

## NOTES

This case study was written by Baria Nabil Daye, Marie Lily Delion, Imane Fahli, Thomas Moullier, Keiko Sakoda, Jayashree Srinivasan and Yelizaveta Yanovich.

1. UN-Habitat 2016.
2. Moullier 2013.
3. Global Construction Perspectives and Oxford Economics 2013.
4. Meijer and Visscher 2005.
5. For more on the building quality control index, see the data notes.
6. As reported by the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy. <http://aceee.org/research-report/i121>.
7. PricewaterhouseCoopers 2005.
8. Wrenn and Irwin 2015.
9. Hsueh 2010.
10. Lovegrove 2016.
11. The Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT) in Japan and the Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development (MoHURD) in China.
12. Van der Heijden 2009.
13. Moullier 2017.

# Registering Property

## Using information to curb corruption

Transparency is a key element of the quality of land administration systems. Transparency eliminates asymmetrical information between users and officials with respect to services provided by the land administration, thereby increasing the efficiency of the real estate market. Transparent systems also strengthen public confidence in governments and facilitate substantial reductions in the cost of doing business.

In 2013 Transparency International reported that one in five users of land services globally claimed to have paid a bribe for services such as registering a land title or obtaining updated property ownership information. The prevalence of bribery in the land sector creates a substantial informal cost for those trying to register or transfer land. For those unable to afford illegal payments, it can also reduce access to land administration services, hindering property registration and increasing land tenure informality. In addition to bribes, corruption can take the form of land record fraud or alteration, land document forgery and multiple allocations of the same plot of land. Officials may also leverage their position to benefit from parties with an interest in acquiring, disposing of and developing land.<sup>1</sup>

Integral components of a transparent and efficient land administration system include easy access to clear and credible information on property ownership, open public access to information on procedures and fees for public services as well as active public dissemination of regulations affecting land rights. These measures can reduce corruption and increase accountability of land administration authorities.<sup>2</sup>

As a component of its registering property indicator set, *Doing Business* has measured the transparency of land administration systems for the past four years.<sup>3</sup> This research has focused on whether information concerning the ownership and physical location of a property is public, whether essential information on the property transfer process is made accessible, if there is an independent and specific complaint mechanism to respond to issues raised by land registry users and whether statistics on property transfers in the largest business city of an economy are published.<sup>4</sup>

Since 2013, 25 economies have improved transparency by launching websites, publishing fee schedules, setting time limits and implementing specific complaint mechanisms. Senegal introduced a comprehensive website for its land administration system, which includes a list of procedures, required documents, service standards and official fees to complete any property transaction.<sup>5</sup> Similarly, Qatar and Guyana have increased transparency in their land administration systems by expanding web-based land administration portals to include dedicated and comprehensive sections on the services provided.<sup>6, 7</sup>



- Transparency of information provided by land administration systems can reduce transaction costs and facilitate investment in immovable property.
- In economies where information on fee schedules and documentary requirements is easily available, the process of completing property transfers tends to be more efficient.
- Since 2013, 25 economies have become more transparent by launching websites, publishing fee schedules, setting time limits and implementing specific complaint mechanisms.
- In 51 economies, the only way to obtain information about documentary requirements for property registration is by having an in-person interaction with a public official.
- Property-specific and independent complaint mechanisms are not common around the globe, indicating an area for improvement to increase transparency.
- A transparent land administration system reduces opportunities for corruption.

## ACCESS TO INFORMATION DURING DUE DILIGENCE

Information on the property, the parties and the transfer process is fundamental for a property transaction to occur. Buyers and sellers will only be able to make informed decisions when this information is widely available, either at a low cost or free of charge. The parties should know the costs, required documents and the expected duration of the transfer process before the transaction takes place. Although these conditions are necessary for a sound land administration, whether an agency can deliver its services with efficiency and accountability depends on other variables such as the capacity and reliability of its infrastructure.

Around the world, 158 economies publish fee schedules for services offered at the land registry. If a fee schedule is public, it is also likely to be available online. In 131 economies, this information can be accessed through a dedicated website. Although the use of online platforms is common in high-income economies—where 80% publish fees on websites—only a third of low-income economies have such portals. One example is Zimbabwe. In 2016, the economy launched an official website that includes a list of documents and fees required to complete a land transaction, as well as a specific time frame for delivering legally-binding documents proving property ownership.

The documentary requirements for land registration should also be made available to the public. Parties involved in a property transaction can streamline their interactions with the agency in charge of property registration if they know beforehand what documents they will be required to submit. This greatly reduces the risk of unforeseen delays or obstacles to submitting a property transfer—including the incidence of informal payments. When the list of required documents is public and complete, for example, the likelihood that the parties would be requested to come back with additional documents is reduced, expediting the registration process.

Transparency of documentary requirements may also simplify a transaction by potentially reducing the need to resort to third-party professionals to prepare a property transfer application (figure 6.1). In 51 economies, the only way to obtain information about documentary requirements for property registration is by having an in-person interaction with a public official. In Zambia, for example, where the list of required documents is not publicly available, a lawyer is hired to complete most of the property transfer steps for a commercial warehouse, costing an entrepreneur an additional 2.5% of the property value.


To promote full transparency, in addition to document and fee schedules, all services provided by land registries—such as title search, ownership certificate or

transfer of ownership—should be clearly specified, including the timeframes for their completion. This allows the public to know beforehand what level of service they can expect to receive, how much it will cost and how long it will take. Moreover, by providing clear public guidelines, governments set the standard for accountability of services offered by their land administration systems. Land registry services that lack established timeframes for completion can foster corruption in the form of bribes. An official might purposely delay registration, for example, to encourage clients to make facilitation payments to accelerate the process. Furthermore, in the absence of enforced time limits, land registry users are unable to monitor the status of their transactions.

Service standards at land registries are rare. Land registry users are not aware of any specific time limits promulgated by law in 122 economies covered by *Doing Business*. In addition, economies that do not establish service standards, such as specific time limits, tend to complete property transfers less efficiently (figure 6.2).

The Land Revenues Office charter, published in June 2013 by Nepal's Department of Land Reform and Management, provides a good example of how to set effective time limits. The charter contains a comprehensive list of services provided by the Land Revenue Office, the list of documents needed to

**FIGURE 6.1** Transparency in land systems can bring efficiency gains



**FIGURE 6.2** Economies that publish effective time limits tend to be more efficient in completing property transfers



Source: *Doing Business* database.

complete each service, the applicable fees and the effective deadline within which the agency commits to deliver specific services. Similarly, the government of Thailand established a one-day service standard to register property transactions. To comply with this commitment, the number of staff is determined based on the average number of transactions, preventing delays.<sup>8</sup>

### ACCESS TO INFORMATION DURING A PROPERTY TRANSACTION

Land administration is defined by the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) as “the processes of determining, recording and disseminating information about the ownership, value and use of land when implementing land management policies.”<sup>9</sup> One of the major roles of a land registry is to make land transactions public. By doing so, it safeguards the interests of all parties involved in sales or leases.

When parties engage in a property transaction, it is essential that they obtain legally reliable information regarding the actual property involved in the transaction. The availability of information on the property—as well as

its owners or creditors—helps to eliminate uncertainty over property rights or obligations that may encumber the property. In the absence of any public records or any related rights to a property, the transaction costs can become overwhelming, risking that ownership becomes untraceable.


In 127 of the 190 economies covered by *Doing Business*, the information recorded by the land registry is openly available to the public. In the remaining economies, mainly because of privacy concerns, only owners or third parties who prove legitimate interest can access the information

kept in the land registry. In those economies, parties must hire an authorized professional to obtain ownership information, making the process more burdensome. In both cases, the agency in charge of registering immovable property can reject applications to access and retrieve ownership information on a discretionary basis. Public access should be embedded in land administration systems.

Among the economies covered by *Doing Business*, more than 70% of upper-middle-income and high-income economies make information on property ownership available to the public, whether for a nominal fee or free of charge. By contrast, only 50% of low-income economies open their records on land ownership to the public. Globally, information about land ownership is restricted to intermediaries and interested parties in 31% of economies. In 27 out of 190 economies—including Chile, Poland and the United States—this information is freely available (figure 6.3).

Because cadastral maps do not usually contain any personal information about the property owner, privacy concerns do not typically impact mapping agencies. However, the number of economies offering open access to maps is similar to the ones with open ownership information.<sup>10</sup> Overall, among the economies covered by *Doing Business*, 33% do not

**FIGURE 6.3** Citizens in low-income economies have limited access to land ownership information



Source: *Doing Business* database.

make information on land boundaries publicly available. Sweden, on the other hand, has an online system allowing anyone to freely access property ownership information and maps dating back 400 years.<sup>11</sup>

## ACCESS TO INFORMATION AFTER COMPLETION OF A PROPERTY TRANSACTION

After property transactions are completed, it is important to provide citizens with a safe environment where they can register complaints. Unlike courts, an informal structure allows users to be more forthcoming about possible abuses, relieving the courts of additional cases. In addition to allowing citizens to contribute to a better business environment, an independent and specific mechanism for filing complaints would also help governments to track issues and respond accordingly.<sup>12</sup>

Such complaint mechanisms promote three desired outcomes. First, the rights of citizens are safeguarded against any sub-standard service—whether by mistake or fault—provided by the land registry. Consequently, citizens can expect the land registry to provide services in accordance with the applicable rules and service guidelines. Second, citizens can have more confidence in a land tenure governance system where information is transparent and the officials providing land transfer services are held accountable for their actions. Third, candid feedback can help improve the administrative tasks performed by the land registry, resulting in a higher quality of service.

Only 24 economies measured by *Doing Business* have established complaint mechanisms that improve the overall quality of land registries; half of these (12) are OECD high-income economies or East Asia and the Pacific economies. Such complaint mechanisms are not in place in any of the economies of South Asia or the Middle East and North Africa

(figure 6.4). Globally, 22 economies offer complaint mechanisms in their cadastre or mapping agency. *Doing Business* data suggest that this is one of the areas with the most room for improvement worldwide.

An independent and specific complaint mechanism is important in the fight against corruption. A study by Transparency International conducted in Burundi, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda found that about 90% of respondents that encountered a bribery incident did not report it or make a complaint to any authority or official; the reasons differed from economy to economy. In Kenya, most of the respondents indicated that they did not know where to report the incident, while in Tanzania most felt that no action would be taken to resolve their complaint.<sup>13</sup> As of June 2017 it was possible to file a complaint online in only 19 economies covered by *Doing Business*. The Singapore Land Authority recently introduced a web portal to file complaints about any issues related to their services. The Swedish Land and Cadastral Authority introduced a new mechanism for filing complaints regarding errors identified on maps of land plots.<sup>14</sup> Similarly, Guatemala and Vanuatu have successfully implemented alternative offline solutions. In Guatemala, an agency within the public ministry investigates claims related to the land registry. In 2014 Vanuatu appointed the first Land's


Ombudsman, an official responsible for following up on all complaints, whose duty is to report to the lands ministry as well as the client within 30 days.

Governments can keep their stakeholders engaged by collecting and publishing statistics on land transactions. Transaction statistics benefit regulators as well as the real estate sector, serving as a data analysis tool for policy makers to monitor the real estate market. Currently, 122 economies covered by *Doing Business* publish statistics on land transactions. In Japan, for example, data on land transactions are published monthly at the municipal level. In the United Arab Emirates, numbers on land transactions in Dubai are compiled daily and published on the land registry's web portal.

## REDUCING OPPORTUNITIES FOR CORRUPTION THROUGH TRANSPARENCY

Transparency in a land administration system provides a defense against bribes intended to expedite the process of registering property, changing a title, acquiring information on land or processing cadastral surveys. Corruption in land administration can result in fraudulent land transfers, undermine public confidence in existing land rights while reducing investment and formal land

**FIGURE 6.4** Most economies do not provide an independent and specific complaint mechanism for land registry issues



Source: *Doing Business* database.

registration.<sup>15</sup> Such corrupt behaviors spur inefficient land ownership, with land being owned by those most able to participate in corrupt activities.<sup>16</sup>


Furthermore, corruption and abuse of power can hinder the development of the real estate market. It can have adverse consequences on the business climate and economic activities by increasing the costs of doing business, thus undermining private sector confidence. High costs, together with inefficient procedures discourage people from registering land transactions, steering them instead into the informal land market. Corruption in land management can have a direct negative impact on business operations.

To be successfully deployed, full-fledged land reforms are time-consuming, costly, demanding an immense effort from governments and stakeholders. But a transparent land administration system—one in which all land-related information is publicly available, all procedures regarding property transactions are clearly documented and information on fees for public services is easy to access—minimizes the opportunities for informal payments and abuses of the system. Indeed, cross-country data show that the greater the quality and transparency of a land administration system, the lower the incidence of bribery at the land registry (figure 6.5).

## CONCLUSION

Transparency is one of the most important tools for combating corruption—it is the basic pillar of enhancing the quality of land administration.<sup>17</sup> Moreover, rather than serving as a complementary tool, transparency should be considered as a key component when designing land policies. It is crucial that citizens have complete access to official land information, regulations and applicable fees. By establishing mechanisms that shield citizens from informal payments or other abuses, governments not only strengthen institutions but also increase the public's

**FIGURE 6.5** A more transparent land administration system is associated with a lower incidence of bribery at the land registry



Sources: *Doing Business* database; Transparency International data (<https://www.transparency.org/gcb2013>).

Note: The analysis is based on data collected for the 95 economies covered in 2013/14 by both *Doing Business* and Transparency International's Global Corruption Barometer 2013. The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita.

confidence in them. Having well-defined rules and standards—in addition to a safe environment to censure wrongdoing—is essential to ensure quality and efficiency in the administration of land tenure rights.

## NOTES

This case study was written by Yuriy Valentinovich Avramov, Albert Nogués i Comas, Laura Diniz, Brendan Meighan, Esperanza Pastor Nunes and Geyi Zheng.

1. Kakai 2012; Obala and Mattingly 2014.
2. Zakout, Wehrmann and Törhönen 2006.
3. For more information on the transparency of information index, see the data notes.
4. In 11 economies with a population of more than 100 million as of 2013, *Doing Business* also collects data for the second largest business city.
5. For more on Senegal's land administration system, see <http://www.impotsetdomaines.gouv.sn/fr/demarches-affaires-domaniales-cadastres>.
6. For more on Qatar's web portal, see <https://sak.gov.qa/>.
7. For more on Guyana's efforts to increase transparency in land administration, see <http://minbusiness.gov.gy/doing-business/3-how-to-get-property/>.
8. Zakout, Wehrmann and Törhönen 2006.
9. UNECE 1996.
10. According to *Doing Business* data, 129 economies provide for open access to maps recorded at the agency in charge of surveying privately held land plots, while 127 economies provide for open access to ownership information recorded at the land registry.
11. For more information on Sweden's mapping, cadastral and land registration authority, see <http://www.lantmateriet.se>.
12. The registering property indicator set only considers dispute resolution mechanisms that (i) have been designed specifically to cover the services provided by the agency in charge of land registration and (ii) are managed by a body that is independent from the agency in charge. These requirements are essential in constituting an efficient, fair and legitimate governance system over land tenure rights.
13. Transparency International 2014.
14. For more on Sweden's mechanism for filing complaints regarding errors identified on maps of land plots, see <http://www.sla.gov.sg/>.
15. Transparency International 2013.
16. Søreide and Williams 2014.
17. Peisakhin 2012; Rose-Ackerman 2004.



# Resolving Insolvency

The challenges of successfully implementing insolvency reforms

- Since 2013/14, 19 economies have introduced reorganization procedures and another nine economies have improved their existing procedures. However, making them workable in practice can be challenging.
- France introduced a restructuring procedure—the *procédure de sauvegarde* (safeguard procedure)—in 2005 to enable debtors to prevent economic and financial difficulties. Today, the procedure facilitates business survival in three out of four initiated cases.
- Slovenia brought its legal framework closer to international good practices in 2013. Greater access to the reorganization procedures for creditors has been accompanied by an impressive survival rate of viable companies.
- Although it took some time for stakeholders in Thailand to get accustomed to reorganization procedures, filings at the Central Bankruptcy Court increased steadily from 1% of total insolvency cases in 2011 to almost 9% in 2016.

Access to finance is key to the development of the private sector. Lenders need tools to assess not only the risk of non-repayment but also what happens if a debtor cannot repay debts as they mature. A good insolvency framework—one with clear rules, that efficiently rehabilitates viable companies and liquidates non-viable ones—provides entrepreneurs and lenders with tools to evaluate the consequences of a worst-case scenario.

Existing literature shows that legal protection of creditors and efficient enforcement are conducive to larger and more developed capital markets and that there is a link between insolvency reforms and access to credit.<sup>1</sup> The specific features of an economy's insolvency regime and its enforcement are important aspects for the legal protection of creditors. Several studies show that reforms strengthening the insolvency framework may reduce the cost of credit, increase the level of credit and lower interest rates on large loans.<sup>2</sup> A study on the 2005 Brazilian bankruptcy reform found a reduction in the cost of debt together with a significant increase in the amount of total and long-term debt.<sup>3</sup> A more recent study found that the same reform led to an increase in secured loans, as well as an increase in investment and value of output in the years after the reform in Brazilian municipalities with less-congested courts.<sup>4</sup> Another study shows that, across a sample of Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries, efficient bankruptcy procedures are associated with a higher proportion of new bank loans to large firms.<sup>5</sup>

Other studies show that insolvency reforms that introduce or promote reorganization procedures through the adoption of several international good practices

may decrease the failure rate of insolvent firms. Research on the 1999 Colombian bankruptcy reform shows that by reducing reorganization costs through, for example, streamlining the reorganization process and establishing mandatory deadlines on the length of proceedings, the new law enabled viable companies to reorganize and inefficient ones to liquidate (this was not possible before the reform).<sup>6</sup>

*Doing Business* tracks insolvency reforms across 190 economies. Since *Doing Business* 2005, 110 economies have introduced 205 changes aimed at facilitating the efficient resolution of corporate insolvency. This case study uses the specific examples of France, Slovenia and Thailand to illustrate successful insolvency reforms that can inspire similar efforts elsewhere.

## HOW HAVE ECONOMIES REFORMED THEIR INSOLVENCY SYSTEMS?

Insolvency laws have traditionally focused on enabling the swift liquidation of insolvent companies while organizing the repayment of creditors. The focus of modern insolvency regimes has been to offer restructuring tools to companies that are economically viable but face temporary

financial distress in order to maintain the business activity. Recent reform efforts around the world have introduced this modern feature to insolvency frameworks while also allowing the speedy liquidation of nonviable businesses.

In 2013/14, the resolving insolvency indicators started measuring whether insolvency laws complied with certain international standards, including access to reorganization proceedings for debtors and creditors. Since then, the most common type of reform recorded by the indicators has been the introduction of or improvements to reorganization procedures. During this period, 19 economies introduced reorganization procedures and another nine economies improved their existing procedures.<sup>7</sup>

Providing creditors with greater access to and participation in insolvency proceedings has been another common area of reform. Economies including Cyprus, Jamaica, Kazakhstan, Mexico, Mozambique, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Switzerland and Uganda have implemented reforms in this direction. Enabling creditors' meaningful participation in the process can make them more cooperative and less litigious, and it can result in shorter proceedings.

Many factors, however, can make it challenging to implement insolvency reforms. Doing so requires not only the adoption of an insolvency law or amendments to existing legislation but also changes to regulation to make the law workable in practice. An insolvency law often requires setting up new structures under the regulatory framework such as, for example, a professional body of insolvency administrators. Successful implementation also requires the buy-in and active participation of the judiciary.

## WHAT DID SUCCESSFUL REFORMERS DO DIFFERENTLY?

*Doing Business* has recorded several notable insolvency reforms. However, France, Slovenia and Thailand were

selected for this case study because they implemented insolvency reforms that brought them closer to internationally-recognized good practices—particularly through the introduction and improvement of restructuring procedures (table 7.1). There is also a significant amount of information available on the evolution of court procedures following these reforms. Business reorganization has become an increasingly utilized option for viable firms in financial distress in all three countries.

### The case of France

Since the 1980s France has regularly assessed and updated its insolvency legal framework to encourage business rescue. In the mid-1980s—when the number of firms declaring bankruptcy doubled compared to the previous decade—liquidation was the only option available to companies in financial distress. The number of business liquidations rose from 11,000 in 1970 to 25,000 in 1984. Members of the legislature realized that some of these companies could have been saved had they been given the tools to restructure. The legislature subsequently adopted three laws in 1985 with the objective of saving viable businesses. A reorganization procedure, open to debtors in cessation of payments that had a prospect of survival, was introduced.

Many companies, however, still ended up stopping operations and being liquidated, mainly because they began the reorganization process when their financial situation was already severely compromised. In response, the government amended the insolvency law in 2005 to focus on preventing firms' economic and financial difficulties. A new restructuring tool—the *procédure de sauvegarde* (safeguard procedure)—was introduced. It allowed debtors that are facing difficulties (but which have not yet ceased payments) to apply for court protection while they negotiate a restructuring plan with creditors.

Contrary to initial expectations, the safeguard procedure was not widely used. When the procedure became available for the first time in 2006, only 509 safeguard applications were filed (compared to 16,046 judicial reorganizations and 31,045 judicial liquidations).<sup>8</sup> One reason was that the criteria required to initiate the safeguard procedure were too strict. Debtors had to demonstrate that they were facing difficulties that would result in insolvency, which was challenging. Another reason was that the law did not clearly stipulate which party—the company managers or the court-appointed administrator—was responsible for the preparation of the safeguard plan, an issue which could deter managers from starting the proceedings.

TABLE 7.1 France, Slovenia and Thailand successfully implemented insolvency reforms

Country	Motivation	Reform content	Outcome
France	High number of bankruptcy cases; no possibility for companies to reorganize prior to the reform	Starting in 1985, introduced restructuring procedures with focus on preventing firms economic and financial difficulties	Increased number of initiated and successful reorganization cases
Slovenia	High number of insolvent companies as a result of the 2008 global financial crisis; features of restructuring procedures not suited; no preventive procedures available	Starting in 2008, introduced preventive restructuring procedure for medium and large-size companies and simplified reorganization procedure for micro and small-size companies; improved access to reorganization proceedings for creditors	Increased number of initiated and successful reorganization cases
Thailand	High number of non-performing loans in the context of the 1997 Asian financial crisis; no possibility for companies to reorganize prior to the reform	Starting in 1998, introduced reorganization procedure for corporate debtors; created specialized bankruptcy court	Increased number of initiated and successful reorganization cases


Source: *Doing Business* database.

The insolvency law was amended again in 2008 to make the safeguard procedure more accessible and attractive to debtors by simplifying the eligibility criteria. Debtors had only to demonstrate difficulties—economic, financial, or legal—that they could not overcome, without having to define or qualify the gravity or extent of those difficulties. The 2008 amendment also made the procedure more attractive by clarifying that the managers of the company were responsible for preparing the safeguard plan with the assistance of the court-appointed administrator. Furthermore, in 2011 France introduced a procedure—the *sauvegarde financière accélérée* (accelerated financial safeguard)—under which a debtor can reach an out-of-court arrangement with a majority of its financial creditors and then initiate summary court proceedings to validate the agreement without negatively impacting non-financial creditors.

These changes led to a significant increase in the number of new safeguard procedures filed, to 1,386 cases in 2009. Since then the number of filings has risen steadily, to 1,620 new cases in 2014. Not only did the use of safeguard procedures increase, but three out of four cases terminated with an agreement with creditors to enable the company to continue operating (figure 7.1). However, the increased use of the safeguard procedure was accompanied by a significant number of filings for liquidation, which in 2014 amounted to 69% of all insolvency cases filed.

By allowing viable companies to restructure and continue operating as going concerns, the amendments to the insolvency law aimed to support entrepreneurial risk-taking and encourage enterprise creation. Insolvency reforms may have contributed in part to the surge in new businesses in France—525,000 companies were created in 2015, twice as many as in 2000. This growth underscores the connection made in the literature between sound insolvency systems and the level of entrepreneurship development as

**FIGURE 7.1** A significant number of companies undergoing restructuring proceedings in France continue operating at the end of proceedings



Source: Deloitte and Altares 2016.

Note: Companies that continue operating include companies that adopted a reorganization or safeguard plan, or that were sold as a whole.

measured by the rate of new firm entry and entrepreneurship support.<sup>9</sup>

### The case of Slovenia

The early 2000s were a period of significant reform in Slovenia as the country prepared to join the European Union in 2004. A new insolvency law was adopted in 2007, but it was insufficient to cope with the challenging economic and financial conditions brought on by the global financial crisis of 2008; many companies became insolvent. Firms suffered from over-indebtedness and had difficulties repaying their loans, leading to an increase in corporate non-performing loans to around 20% of total loans.<sup>10</sup> Firms in Slovenia needed effective corporate restructuring procedures to guide the restructuring of their debt.

To address these needs and to bring the legal framework closer to international good practices, the government modified the corporate restructuring framework in 2013. The changes included the creation of a new pre-insolvency restructuring procedure for distressed medium and large-size companies to restructure their

financial claims, as well as a new simplified compulsory settlement procedure to offer a reorganization option for micro and small companies. A change was also made to the existing compulsory settlement procedure to enable creditors to initiate the reorganization of companies for the first time.


The procedures quickly became a popular option for debtors and creditors. In the first two years following the reform, the proportion of companies using one of the three procedures more than doubled, rising from 6% of total insolvency proceedings in 2013 to 14% in 2015.<sup>11</sup> Microenterprises, however, underwent corporate liquidation proceedings in the vast majority of cases (96%) in 2016. Microenterprises have less capacity to face a reorganization and to secure resources to enable them to operate in a situation of financial distress. Despite these challenges, microenterprises have also benefited from the restructuring options. Indeed, the number of simplified compulsory settlement proceedings for the benefit of microenterprises increased from 59 cases in 2014 to 85 in 2016.

Creditors have progressively taken advantage of the enabled access to compulsory settlement proceedings granted to them in 2013; by 2016 they initiated almost one-third of all cases. During the same period, the number of successfully terminated reorganization proceedings increased significantly. In 2016, most ended with an approved settlement (figure 7.2).

One of the companies that benefited from the restructuring procedures was Pivovarna Laško, Slovenia's largest brewer. By the end of 2014, the company's total financial liabilities stood at 226.8 million euros (about \$268 million). It negotiated a restructuring plan with its creditors, which included a two-year debt rescheduling, the sale of shares in other companies and an intensive search for additional capital. Following the agreement, the company was bought by Heineken International BV, which committed to provide financial stability to the company. Following the sale of its assets in various corporations and entering into long-term loan agreements with Heineken, the company was able to repay its creditors in full in October 2015. Its value increased, the brewery was able to continue operating, saving hundreds of jobs.

Apart from increasing the likelihood of business survival—as shown by the rising number of successfully-terminated compulsory settlement and simplified settlement procedures—the insolvency reform may have contributed to broader positive economic effects. First, the level of entrepreneurship and company formation in Slovenia increased. One year after the reform was introduced, 6,243 new businesses were registered in Slovenia, the highest number in a decade (and similar to pre-crisis levels). Second, progress has been made in addressing Slovenia's high level of non-performing loans, which decreased from 15% of total loans in 2012 to 7.9% in 2016. While these results do not establish a causal relationship with the insolvency reform, they suggest that sound insolvency

**FIGURE 7.2** Corporate reorganizations in Slovenia have become more successful over time



Source: Slovenia Ministry of Justice 2017.

regimes may encourage entrepreneurship and accelerate the speed of adjustment of non-performing loans.<sup>12</sup>

### The case of Thailand

The 1997 Asian financial crisis prompted a major insolvency reform in Thailand. Non-performing loans had been increasing before the crisis, reaching a peak of 42.9% of total loans in 1998. Thailand's antiquated insolvency law needed to be revised and given the features necessary to perform. The 1940 Thai Bankruptcy Act established the procedure of judicial liquidation for debtors unable to meet their financial commitments. It relied on an agency within the Ministry of Justice—the Legal Execution Department—to direct the proceedings. The only aim of the law was to organize the repayment of creditors through liquidation procedures; it did not offer a channel for viable companies to survive.

Amendments brought by the Bankruptcy Act of 1998 built on the existing legal and institutional framework. They introduced a reorganization procedure for corporate entities, giving insolvent debtors the chance to negotiate a reorganization

plan with creditors. A specialized bankruptcy court was established in 1999 to adjudicate cases. Also, the Business Reorganization Office (within the Legal Execution Department) was set up to administer new reorganization cases.

Considerable time was needed in Thailand for stakeholders to become accustomed to reorganization procedures. Finding expertise within Thailand to prepare reorganization plans proved challenging; it required the capacity to negotiate a plan with multiple creditors in a short period of time to return the company to profitability. Managers of companies in financial difficulties found it challenging to formulate a reorganization plan effectively. Debtors turned to large companies with foreign human capital that had expertise in drafting such plans. However, this approach was expensive, making reorganization procedures accessible to only a small number of large debtors.

As a result, in the years following the reform, the number of annual applications for reorganization was modest, averaging 30 to 70 (compared to approximately 700 annual applications for

liquidation).<sup>13</sup> Realizing that the benefits of the procedure had to be explained to stakeholders, the government undertook outreach efforts. As local firms gained the necessary expertise to advise debtors during the reorganization process, reorganization practices progressively became more widespread in Bangkok. Consequently, all parties were able to experience the advantages of the new mechanism, enabling them to make use of it to save viable businesses. Together with a greater understanding of the law, reorganization filings rose to 3.5% of total insolvency cases in 2014 (from 1.1% in 2011).<sup>14</sup> The share almost doubled in 2015 and continued to rise in 2016, when 8.5% of insolvency petitions received by the judiciary were reorganization cases (figure 7.3).

The rising use of reorganization proceedings in Thailand has driven an increase in the rate of successful reorganizations (that is, cases that end up with the approval of the reorganization plan, regardless of whether they continue operating in the longer term). The Central Bankruptcy Court's reorganization plan approval rate reached 25% in 2016, up from 20% in 2015.

The connection between the insolvency reform and the likelihood of business survival is reflected in *Doing Business* data. Resolving simple reorganization cases in Bangkok has become easier over time. Companies are now more likely to continue operating at the end of reorganization procedures. Also, today it takes 18 months on average, half the time it took in 2010, for a small company to go through reorganization, counted up to the moment the reorganization plan is approved by creditors.

Studies on the effect of insolvency reforms that accelerate the procedures find that they increase the aggregate level of credit. Other studies suggest that where insolvency regimes are most effective, creditors are more willing to lend because they are more likely to recoup a larger share of a troubled loan.<sup>15</sup> Following the reform in Thailand, domestic credit to the private sector rose from 93% of GDP in 2001 to 147% of GDP in 2016.<sup>16</sup> Banks are more willing to lend in Thailand than in other parts of East Asia and the Pacific. Data from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys show that only 2.4% of firms in Thailand identify access to finance as a major constraint to doing

business, compared to 12.2% of firms in the region and 26.5% in all economies. While no causal relationship can be established between these results and the bankruptcy reform in Thailand, they do show that access to credit improved in the years following the reform.


## CONCLUSION

The successful implementation of insolvency reforms is not easy. Many factors must come into play for an insolvency reform to yield positive effects in both insolvency practice and the economy. Even in economies with strong legal frameworks and institutions, insolvency reforms take time. It is a complex area of law, which is why different agencies—including the judiciary as well as insolvency administrators—need to be trained and given the means to carry out the tasks envisioned in the law.

Lessons can be drawn from reforms implemented worldwide. The French and Slovenian examples show the importance of constantly assessing the insolvency system. Insolvency law is not a static field. Rather, it serves the economic system and needs to adapt as the structure of the economy evolves. Implementing and refining insolvency reform takes time; a quick fix will not bring positive long-term results. The example of Thailand illustrates the importance of utilizing the existing infrastructure to drive change—the focus should be on building on existing laws and institutions and creating new ones only when the existing system cannot be adapted. A new framework requires training along with patience. Amending the law should not be seen as a goal in itself, but rather as a first step to be followed by the thorough implementation of the amended law.

All in all, the three examples suggest that sound insolvency reforms can have a positive impact on an economy. Providing corporate debtors with the option to

**FIGURE 7.3** Distressed businesses in Bangkok are more likely to pursue reorganization today than seven years ago



Source: Thailand Office of the Judiciary 2016.

reorganize increases the chances of debt recovery by creditors, positively influencing their willingness to lend. The availability of reorganization procedures also increases the likelihood that viable firms will continue operating despite financial difficulties, thus decreasing the failure rate of firms, preserving jobs and encouraging entrepreneurship.

---

## NOTES

This case study was written by Faiza El Fezzazi El Maziani, Raman Maroz and María A. Quesada.

1. La Porta and others 1997; La Porta and others 1998; Klapper 2011.
2. Visaria 2009; Funchal 2008; Rodano, Serrano-Velarde and Tarantino 2011.
3. Araujo, Ferreira and Funchal 2012.
4. Ponticelli and Alencar 2016.
5. Neira 2017.
6. Foley 1999; Dewaelheyns and Van Hulle 2006. For Colombia, Giné and Love 2008.
7. The 19 economies that have introduced reorganization procedures are Brunei Darussalam, Cabo Verde, Cyprus, the Dominican Republic, Grenada, India, Jamaica, Kenya, Kosovo, Liberia, Malawi, Mozambique, Panama, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, the Seychelles, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda, and the United Arab Emirates. The nine economies that improved their existing reorganization procedures are Chile, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Mexico, Romania, Slovenia, Thailand, and Switzerland.
8. Deloitte and Altares 2016.
9. Lee and others 2011; Peng, Yamakawa and Lee 2010.
10. IMF 2015.
11. Slovenia, Ministry of Justice 2017.
12. Carpus-Carcea and others 2015.
13. Wisitsora-at 2015.
14. Thailand, Office of the Judiciary 2016.
15. Visaria 2009; Funchal 2008.
16. These data are from the World Development Indicators database (<http://data.worldbank.org/indicator>), World Bank.



# References

- Agell, Jonas. 1999. "On the Benefits from Rigid Labour Markets: Norms, Market Failures, and Social Insurance." *The Economic Journal* 109 (453): 143–64.
- Amin, Mohammad. 2007. "Are Labor Regulations Driving Computer Usage in India's Retail Stores?" Policy Research Working Paper 4274, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Amin, Mohammad, and Hulya Ulku. 2017. "Corruption, Regulatory Burden and Firm Productivity." World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Amiti, Mary, and Amit K. Khandelwal. 2011. "Import Competition and Quality Upgrading." *Review of Statistics and Economics* 95 (2): 476–90.
- Araujo, Aloisio P., Rafael V. X. Ferreira, and Bruno Funchal. 2012. "The Brazilian Bankruptcy Law Experience." *Journal of Corporate Finance* 18 (4): 994–1004.
- Arlet, Jean. 2017. "Electricity Sector Constraints for Firms Across Economies: A Comparative Analysis." Doing Business Research Notes No.1, World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Arvis, Jean-François, Daniel Saslavsky, Lauri Ojala, Ben Shepherd, Christina Busch, Anasuya Raj, and Tapio Naula. 2016. *Connecting to Compete 2016: Trade Logistics in the Global Economy—The Logistics Performance Index and Its Indicators*. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- ASORLAC (Association of Registers of Latin America and the Caribbean), CRF (Corporate Registers Forum), ECRF (European Commerce Registers' Forum) and IACA (International Association of Commercial Administrators). 2016. *The International Business Registers Report*. Available from [http://www.corporateregistersforum.org/wp-content/uploads/IBRR\\_2016\\_webb.pdf](http://www.corporateregistersforum.org/wp-content/uploads/IBRR_2016_webb.pdf).
- Banerjee, Abhijit V., and Esther Duflo. 2011. *Poor Economics: A Radical Rethinking of the Way to Fight Global Poverty*. New York, NY: Public Affairs.
- Beck, Thorsten, Chen Lin, and Yue Ma. 2014. "Why Do Firms Evade Taxes? The Role of Information Sharing and Financial Sector Outreach." *Journal of Finance* 69: 763–817.
- Botero, Juan Carlos, Simeon Djankov, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes, and Andrei Shleifer. 2004. "The Regulation of Labor." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119 (4): 1339–82.
- Bradley, Nick. 2003. "Corporate Governance: A Risk Worth Measuring?" In *Selected Issues in Corporate Governance: Regional and Country Experiences*. New York and Geneva: UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development).
- Branstetter, Lee G., Francisco Lima, Lowell J. Taylor, and Ana Venâncio. 2014. "Do Entry Regulations Deter Entrepreneurship and Job Creation? Evidence from Recent Reforms in Portugal." *Economic Journal* 124 (577): 805–32. doi:10.1111/ecoj.12044.
- Bruhn, Miriam. 2011. "License to Sell: The Effect of Business Registration Reform on Entrepreneurial Activity in Mexico." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 93 (1): 382–86.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2013. "A Tale of Two Species: Revisiting the Effect of Registration Reform on Informal Business Owners in Mexico." *Journal of Development Economics* 103: 275–83.

- Burt, Alison, Barry Hughes, and Gary Milante. 2014. "Eradicating Poverty in Fragile States: Prospects of Reaching the 'High-Hanging' Fruit by 2030." Policy Research Working Paper 7002, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Buvinic, M., and G. R. Gupta. 1997. "Female-Headed Households and Female-Maintained Families: Are They Worth Targeting to Reduce Poverty in Developing Countries?" *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 45 (2): 259–80.
- Carpus-Carcea, Mihaela, Daria Ciriaci, Carlos Cuerpo, Dimitri Lorenzani, and Peter Pontuch. 2015. "The Economic Impact of Rescue and Recovery Frameworks in the EU." EU Discussion Paper 004, European Union, Luxembourg.
- CEPEJ (European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice). 2016. *European Judicial Systems. Efficiency and Quality of Justice*. Strasbourg: European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice.
- Chávez, Édgar. 2017. "Credit Information and Firms' Access to Finance: Evidence from an Alternative Measure of Credit Constraints." Doing Business Research Notes No. 2, World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Cirera, Xavier, Roberto N. Fattal Jaef, and Hibret B. Maemir. 2017. "Taxing the Good? Distortions, Misallocation, and Productivity in Sub-Saharan Africa." Policy Research Working Paper 7949, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Cirmizi, Elena, Leora Klapper, and Mahesh Uttamchandani. 2010. "The Challenges of Bankruptcy Reform." Policy Research Working Paper 5448, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Claessens, Stijn, Kenichi Ueda, and Yishay Yafeh. 2014. "Institutions and Financial Frictions: Estimating with Structural Restrictions on Firm Value and Investment." *Journal of Development Economics* 110: 107–22.
- Clark, Ximena, David Dollar, and Alejandro Micco. 2004. "Port Efficiency, Maritime Transport Costs and Bilateral Trade." *Journal of Development Economics* 75 (2): 417–50.
- Collier, Paul, and Anke Hoeffler. 2004. "Greed and Grievance in Civil War." *Oxford Economic Papers* 56 (2004): 563–95.
- Corcoran, Adrian, and Robert Gillanders. 2015. "Foreign Direct Investment and the Ease of Doing Business." *Review of World Economics* 151 (1): 103–26.
- Dabla-Norris, Era, Mark Gradstein, and Gabriela Inchauste. 2008. "What Causes Firms to Hide Output? The Determinants of Informality." *Journal of Development Economics* 85 (1–2): 1–27.
- Dabla-Norris, Era, Giang Ho, Kalpana Kochhar, Annette Kyobe, and Robert Tchaidze. 2013. "Anchoring Growth: The Importance of Productivity-Enhancing Reforms in Emerging Market and Developing Economies." IMF Staff Discussion Notes 13/08, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Deloitte and Altares. 2016. *L'entreprise en difficulté en France. Gagner plus de lisibilité pour aller de l'avant*. 11th edition, March.
- De Simone, Matteo, and Craig Fagan. 2014. "Ending Secrecy to End Impunity: Tracing the Beneficial Owner." Transparency International Policy Brief 02/2014, Berlin: Transparency International.
- De Soto, H. 1989. *The Other Path: The Invisible Revolution in the Third World*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Dewaelheyns, Nico, and Cynthia Van Hulle. 2006. "Legal Reform and Aggregate Small and Micro Business Bankruptcy Rates: Evidence from the 1997 Belgian Bankruptcy Code." *Small Business Economics* 31 (4): 409–24.
- Diamond, Douglas, and Robert E. Verrecchia. 1991. "Disclosure, Liquidity, and the Cost of Capital." *Journal of Finance* (46): 1325–59.
- Djankov, Simeon. 2009. "Bankruptcy Regimes during Financial Distress." Working Paper 50332, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2016. "The Doing Business Project: How It Started: Correspondence." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 30 (1): 247–48.
- Djankov, Simeon, Caroline Freund, and Cong S. Pham. 2010. "Trading on Time." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 92 (1): 166–73.
- Djankov, Simeon, Dorina Georgieva, and Rita Ramalho. 2017a. "Determinants of Regulatory Reform." LSE Discussion Paper 765, Financial Management Group, London School of Economics, London. Available from <http://www.lse.ac.uk/fmg/news/newsPDFs/DjankovDeterminantsOfRegulatoryReform.pdf>.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2017b. "Business Regulation and Poverty." LSE Discussion Paper 766, London School of Economics, London. Available from <http://www.lse.ac.uk/fmg/dp/discussionPapers/fmgdps/DP766.pdf>.
- Djankov, Simeon, Oliver Hart, Caralee McLiesh, and Andrei Shleifer. 2008. "Debt Enforcement around the World." *Journal of Political Economy* 116 (6): 1105–49.
- Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes, and Andrei Shleifer. 2002. "The Regulation of Entry." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117 (1): 1–37.
- Djankov, Simeon, Darshini Manraj, Caralee McLiesh, and Rita Ramalho. 2005. "Doing Business Indicators: Why Aggregate, and How to Do It." World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh, and Andrei Shleifer. 2003. "Courts." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118 (2): 453–517.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2007. "Private Credit in 129 Countries." *Journal of Financial Economics* 84 (2): 299–329.
- Doblas-Madrid, Antonio, and Raoul Minetti. 2013. "Sharing Information in the Credit Market: Contract-Level Evidence from US firms." *Journal of Financial Economics* 109 (1): 198–223.
- Drazen, Allan, and Vittorio Grilli. 1993. "The Benefit of Crises for Economic Reforms." *American Economic Review* 83 (3): 598–607.
- Enikolopov, Ruben, Maria Petrova, and Sergey Stepanov. 2014. "Firm Value in Crisis: Effects of Firm-Level Transparency and Country-Level Institutions." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 46 (C): 72–84.
- Fajnzylber, P., W. Maloney, and G. Montes-Rojas. 2011. "Does Formality Improve Micro-Firm Performance? Evidence from the Brazilian SIMPLES Program."

- Journal of Development Economics* 94 (2): 262–76.
- Foley, C. Fritz. 1999. "Going Bust in Bangkok: Lessons from Bankruptcy Law Reform in Thailand." Harvard Business School, Cambridge, MA. Available from <http://www.people.hbs.edu/ffoley/ThaiBankruptcy.pdf>.
- Franks, Julian, and Gyongyi Loranth. 2014. "A Study of Bankruptcy Costs and the Allocation of Control." *The Review of Finance* 18 (3): 961–97.
- Freund, Caroline, and Bineswaree Bolaky. 2008. "Trade, Regulations, and Income." *Journal of Development Economics* 87: 309–21.
- Freund, Caroline, and Nadia Rocha. 2011. "What Constrains Africa's Exports?" *The World Bank Economic Review* 25 (3): 361–86.
- Funchal, Bruno. 2008. "The Effects of the 2005 Bankruptcy Reform in Brazil." *Economics Letters* 101 (2008): 84–86.
- Geginat, Carolin, and Rita Ramalho. 2015. "Electricity Connections and Firm Performance in 183 Countries." Global Indicators Group, World Bank Group, Washington, DC. Available from <http://www.doingbusiness.org/~media/GIAWB/Doing%20Business/Documents/Special-Reports/DB15-Electricity-Connections-and-Firm-Performance.pdf>.
- Giné, Xavier, and Inessa Love. 2006. "Do Reorganization Costs Matter for Efficiency? Evidence from a Bankruptcy Reform in Colombia." Policy Research Working Paper 3970, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Global Construction Perspectives and Oxford Economics. 2013. *Global Construction 2025*. London: Global Construction Perspectives.
- Gramckow, Heike, Omniah Ebeid, Erica Bosio, and Jorge Luis Silva Mendez. 2016. "Good Practices for Courts Report: Helpful Elements for Good Court Performance and the World Bank's Quality of Judicial Process Indicators—Key Elements, Lessons Learned, and Good Practice Examples." Working Paper 108234, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Grimm, Michael, Renate Hartwig, and Jann Lay. 2012. "How Much Does Utility Access Matter for the Performance of Micro and Small Enterprises?" Policy Research Working Paper 77935, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Guner, Nezih, Gustavo Ventura, and Yi Xu. 2008. "Macroeconomic Implications of Size-Dependent Policies." *Review of Economic Dynamics* 11: 721–44.
- Haggard, Stephan, and John Williamson. 1994. "The Political Conditions for Economic Reform." In *The Political Economy of Policy Reform*, edited by J. Williamson, 525–96. Washington, DC: Institute for International Economics.
- Hallward-Driemeier, Mary, and Lant Pritchett. 2015. "How Business Is Done in the Developing World: Deals versus Rules." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 29 (3): 121–40.
- Hoekman, Bernard, and Alessandro Nicita. 2011. "Trade Policy, Trade Costs, and Developing Country Trade." *World Development* 39 (12): 2069–79.
- Hsieh, Chang-Tai, and Peter J. Klenow. 2009. "Misallocation and Manufacturing TFP in China and India." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 124 (4): 1403–48.
- Hsueh, Natalie. 2010. "Philadelphia's Development Permit Review Process: Recommendations for Reform." Presented to the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, January 2010.
- IMF (International Monetary Fund). 2015. *Country Report No. 15/42, Republic of Slovenia*. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2017. *Fiscal Monitor: Achieving More with Less*. Washington, DC, April.
- Kakai, Sédagban Hygin F. 2012. "Government and Land Corruption in Benin." Land Deal Politics Initiative Working Paper 12, The Land Deal Politics Initiative, International Institute of Social Studies, The Hague.
- Kawaguchi, Daiji, and Tetsushi Murao. 2014. "Labor-Market Institutions and Long-Term Effects of Youth Unemployment." *Journal of Money Credit and Banking* 46 (S2): 95–116.
- Kaplan, David, Eduardo Piedra, and Enrique Seira. 2011. "Entry Regulation and Business Start-ups: Evidence from Mexico." *Journal of Public Economics* 95 (11–12): 1501–15.
- Klapper, Leora. 2011. "Saving Viable Businesses." Public Policy Journal Note 328, World Bank Group, Washington DC.
- Klapper, Leora, Luc Laeven, and Raghuram Rajan. 2006. "Entry Regulation as a Barrier to Entrepreneurship." *Journal of Financial Economics* (82): 591–629.
- Klapper, Leora, and Inessa Love. 2011. "The Impact of Business Environment Reforms on New Firm Registration." Policy Research Working Paper 5493, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Kraay, Aart, and David McKenzie. 2014. "Do Poverty Traps Exist? Assessing the Evidence." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 28 (3): 127–48.
- Kuddo, Arvo, D. Robalino, and Michael Weber. 2015. "Balancing Regulations to Promote Jobs: from Employment Contracts to Unemployment Benefits." Working Paper 101596, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Lanz, Rainer, Michael Roberts, and Sainabou Taal. 2016. "Reducing Trade Costs in LDCs: The Role of Aid for Trade." WTO Working Paper, World Trade Organization, Geneva.
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, Andrei Shleifer, and Robert W. Vishny. 1997. "Legal Determinants of External Finance." *The Journal of Finance* (52): 1131–50.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1998. "Law and Finance." *Journal of Political Economy* (106): 1113–55.
- La Porta, Rafael, and Andrei Shleifer. 2008. "The Unofficial Economy and Economic Development." Tuck School of Business Working Paper 2009-57, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH. Available from Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1304760>.
- Lawless, Martina. 2013. "Do Complicated Tax Systems Prevent Foreign Direct Investment?" *Economica* 80 (317): 1–22.
- Lee, Seung-Hyun, Yasuhiro Yamakawa, Mike W. Peng, and Jay B. Barney. 2011. "How do Bankruptcy Laws Affect Entrepreneurship Development Around the World?" *Journal of Business Venturing* 26 (5): 505–20.

- Loayza, Norman, and Luis Serven. 2010. *Business Regulation and Economic Performance*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Love, Inessa, María Soledad Martínez Pería, and Sandeep Singh. 2013. "Collateral Registries for Movable Assets: Does Their Introduction Spur Firms' Access to Bank Finance?" Policy Research Working Paper 6477, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Lovegrove, Kim. 2016. "Australasian Building Control: A Journey from Monopoly to Free Market to Benefits of Hindsight." Background paper, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Malesky, Edmund, Neil McCulloch, and Nguyen Duc Nhat. 2015. "The Impact of Governance and Transparency on Firm Investment in Vietnam." *Economics of Transition* (23): 677-715.
- Martin, John P. P., and Stefano Scarpetta. 2012. "Setting It Right: Employment Protection, Labour Reallocation and Productivity." *De Economist* 160 (2): 89-116.
- Martincus, Christian Volpe, Jeronimo Carballo, and Alejandro Graziano. 2015. "Customs." *Journal of International Economics* 96 (2015): 119-37.
- Meijer, Frits, and Henk Visscher. 2005. "Building Control: Private Versus Public Responsibilities." Delft University, Delft.
- Monteiro, Joana, and Juliano J. Assunção. 2012. "Coming Out of the Shadows? Estimating the Impact of Bureaucracy Simplification and Tax Cut on Formality in Brazilian Microenterprises." *Journal of Development Economics* 99: 105-15.
- Montenegro, Claudio, and Carmen Pagés. 2003. "Who Benefits from Labor Market Regulations?" Policy Research Working Paper 3143, World Bank, Washington DC.
- Moscoso Boedo, Hernan J., and Toshihiko Mukoyama. 2012. "Evaluating the Effects of Entry Regulations and Firing Costs on International Income Differences." *Journal of Economic Growth* 17 (2): 143-70.
- Moullier, Thomas. 2013. "Good Practices for Construction Regulation and Enforcement Reform: Guidelines for Reformers." World Bank Working Paper 77100, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2017. "Building Regulatory Capacity Assessment: Level 1 – Initial Screening." Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery (GFDRR) Working Paper, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Munemo, Jonathan. 2014. "Business Start-Up Regulations and the Complementarity Between Foreign and Domestic Investment" *Review of World Economics* 150 (4): 745-61.
- Neira, Julian. 2017. "Bankruptcy and Cross-Country Differences in Productivity." *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* (2017). Available from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2017.07.011>.
- Norbäck, Pehr-Johan, Lars Persson, and Robin Douhan. 2014. "Entrepreneurship Policy and Globalization." *Journal of Development Economics* 110: 22-38
- Obala, Luke, and Michael Mattingly. 2014. "Ethnicity, Corruption and Violence in Urban Land Conflict in Kenya." *Urban Studies* 51: 2735-51.
- Palstra, Nienke. 2014. "Fighting Money Laundering in the EU: From Secret Ownership to Public Registries." EU Policy Paper 01/2014, Brussels: Transparency International.
- Peisakhin, Leonid. 2012. "Transparency and Corruption: Evidence from India." *The Journal of Law and Economics* 55 (1): 129-49.
- Peng, Mike W., Yasuhiro Yamakawa, and Seung-Hyun Lee. 2010. "Bankruptcy Laws and Entrepreneur-Friendliness." *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* (34): 517-30.
- Ponticelli, Jacopo, and Leonardo S. Alencar. 2016. "Court Enforcement, Bank Loans and Firm Investment: Evidence from a Bankruptcy Reform in Brazil." Working Paper 425, Research Department, Central Bank of Brazil.
- Portugal-Perez, Alberto, and John S. Wilson. 2011. "Export Performance and Trade Facilitation Reform: Hard and Soft Infrastructure." *World Development* 40 (7): 1295-1307.
- PricewaterhouseCoopers. 2005. "Economic Impact of Accelerating Permit Processes on Local Development and Government Revenues." Report prepared for the American Institute of Architects, Washington, DC.
- Quintanilla, Pamela Bartlett and Helen Darbshire. 2016. "It's None of Your Business! 10 Obstacles to Accessing Company Register Data Using the Right to Information." Access Info Europe and Organized Crime and Corruption Reporting Project. Available from <http://slideflix.net/doc/4075921/%E2%80%9CIt%E2%80%99s-none-of-your-business-%E2%80%9D-10-obstacles-to-accessing-c>.
- Ramello, Giovanni, and Stephen Voigt. 2012. "The Economics of Efficiency and the Judicial System." *International Review of Law and Economics* 32: 1-2.
- Ranciere, Romain, and Aaron Tornell. 2015. "Why Do Reforms Occur in Crises Times?" Economics Department Working Paper, University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA), Los Angeles.
- Rodano, Giacomo, Nicolas Andre Benigno Serrano-Velarde, and Emanuele Tarantino. 2011. "The Causal Effect of Bankruptcy Law on the Cost of Finance." Available from Social Science Research Network (SSRN): <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1967485>.
- Rodrick, D. A. Subramanian, and F. Trebbi. 2004. "Institutions Rule: The Primacy of Institutions over Geography and Integration in Economic Development." *Journal of Economic Growth* 9 (2): 131-65.
- Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 2004. "Governance and Corruption." In *Global Crises, Global Solutions*, edited by Bjorn Lomborg, 301-38. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schneider, Friedrich. 2005. "The Informal Sector in 145 Countries." Department of Economics, University Linz, Austria.
- Slovenia, Ministry of Justice. 2017. "Evaluation of the Implementation of ZFPIPP after the enforcement of ZFPIPP-E and ZFPIPP-F Amending Acts, Including ZFPIPP-G Amending act (January 2017) Preliminary Report." Ministry of Justice, Slovenia.
- Søreide, Tina, and Aled Williams, ed. 2014. *Corruption, Grabbing and Development: Real World Problems*. Edward Elgar: Cheltenham.

- Thailand, Office of the Judiciary. 2016. *Annual Judicial Statistics (2008–2016)*. Statistics Division, Planning and Budget Department, Bangkok.
- Transparency International. 2013. *Global Corruption Barometer 2013*. Berlin: Transparency International. Available from <http://www.wingia.com/web/files/news/61/file/61.pdf>.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2014. *East African Bribery Index 2014*. Nairobi: Transparency International Kenya.
- UNCITRAL (United Nations Commission on International Trade Law). 2004. *Legislative Guide on Insolvency Law*. New York: United Nations.
- UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe). 1996. *Land Administration Guidelines with Special Reference to Countries in Transition*. New York and Geneva: UNECE.
- UN-Habitat (United Nations Human Settlements Programme). 2016. *World Cities Report 2016. Urbanization and Development: Emerging Futures*. Nairobi: UN-Habitat.
- Van der Heijden, Jeroen. 2009. "Building Regulatory Enforcement Regimes: Comparative Analysis of Private Sector Involvement in the Enforcement of Public Building Regulations." PhD thesis, Delft University of Technology.
- Visaria, Sujata. 2009. "Legal Reform and Loan Repayment: The Microeconomic Impact of Debt Recovery Tribunals in India." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 1(3): 59–81.
- Wisitsora-at, Wisit. 2015. "Bankruptcy Reform in Thailand and the Lessons to be Learned from this." *Assumption University* 50 (2550): 129–135.
- World Bank. 2011a. *World Development Report 2011*. Washington DC: World Bank.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2011b. *Principles for Effective Insolvency and Creditor/Debtor Regimes*. Revised. Washington, DC: World Bank. Available from [http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTGILD/Resources/5807554-1357753926066/ICRPrinciples-Jan2011\[FINAL\].pdf](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTGILD/Resources/5807554-1357753926066/ICRPrinciples-Jan2011[FINAL].pdf).
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2012. *World Development Report 2013: Jobs*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Bank Group and World Trade Organization (WTO). 2015. *The Role of Trade in Ending Poverty*. Geneva: WTO.
- World Economic Forum. 2017. *Shaping the Future of Construction: Inspiring Innovators Redefine the Industry*. Geneva: World Economic Forum.
- WTO (World Trade Organization). 2015. *World Trade Report 2015*. Geneva: WTO.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2016. *World Trade Report 2016*. Geneva: WTO.
- Wrenn, Douglas H., and Elena G. Irwin. 2015. "Time is Money: An Empirical Examination of the Effects of Regulatory Delay on Residential Subdivision Development." *Regional Science and Urban Economics* (51): 25–36.
- Zakout, Wael, Babette Wehrmann, and Mika-Petteri Törhönen. 2006. "Good Governance in Land Administration Principles and Good Practices." Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, Italy.



# Data Notes

The indicators presented and analyzed in *Doing Business* measure business regulation and the protection of property rights—and their effect on businesses, especially small and medium-size domestic firms. First, the indicators document the complexity of regulation, such as the number of procedures to start a business or to register a transfer of commercial property. Second, they gauge the time and cost to achieve a regulatory goal or comply with regulation, such as the time and cost to enforce a contract, go through bankruptcy or trade across borders. Third, they measure the extent of legal protections of property, for example, the protections of minority investors against looting by company directors or the range of assets that can be used as collateral according to secured transactions laws. Fourth, a set of indicators documents the tax burden on businesses. Finally, a set of data covers different aspects of employment regulation. The 11 sets of indicators measured in *Doing Business* were added over time, and the sample of economies and cities expanded (table 8.1).

---

## METHODOLOGY

The *Doing Business* data are collected in a standardized way. To start, the *Doing Business* team, with expert advisers, designs a questionnaire. The questionnaire uses a simple business case to ensure comparability across economies and over time—with assumptions about the legal form of the business, its size, its location and the nature of its operations.

Questionnaires are administered to more than 13,000 local experts, including lawyers, business consultants, accountants, freight forwarders, government officials and other professionals routinely administering or advising on legal and regulatory requirements (table 8.2). These experts have several rounds of interaction with the *Doing Business* team, involving conference calls, written correspondence and visits by the team. For *Doing Business 2018* team members visited 26 economies to verify data and recruit respondents. The data from questionnaires are subjected to

numerous rounds of verification, leading to revisions or expansions of the information collected.

The *Doing Business* methodology offers several advantages. It is transparent, using factual information about what laws and regulations say and allowing multiple interactions with local respondents to clarify potential misinterpretations of questions. Having representative samples of respondents is not an issue; *Doing Business* is not a statistical survey, and the texts of the relevant laws and regulations are collected and answers checked for accuracy. The methodology is easily replicable, so data can be collected in a large sample of economies. Because standard assumptions are used in the data collection, comparisons and benchmarks are valid across economies. Finally, the data not only highlight the extent of specific regulatory obstacles to business but also identify their source and point to what might be reformed. *Doing Business 2018* has no major methodological change at the indicators level.

TABLE 8.1 Topics and economies covered by each *Doing Business* report

Topic	DB 2004	DB 2005	DB 2006	DB 2007	DB 2008	DB 2009	DB 2010	DB 2011	DB 2012	DB 2013	DB 2014	DB 2015	DB 2016	DB 2017	DB 2018
Getting electricity															
Dealing with construction permits															
Trading across borders															
Paying taxes															
Protecting minority investors															
Registering property															
Getting credit															
Resolving insolvency															
Enforcing contracts															
Labor market regulation															
Starting a business															
<b>Number of economies</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>190</b>

Note: Data for the economies added to the sample each year are back-calculated to the previous year. The exceptions are Kosovo and Montenegro, which were added to the sample after they became members of the World Bank Group. Eleven cities (though no additional economies) were added to the sample starting in *Doing Business* 2015. The data for all sets of indicators in *Doing Business* 2018 are for June 2017.<sup>1</sup>

## LIMITS TO WHAT IS MEASURED

The *Doing Business* methodology has five limitations that should be considered

when interpreting the data. First, for most economies the collected data refer to businesses in the largest business city (which in some economies differs from the capital) and may not be representative of regulation in other parts

of the economy. (The exceptions are 11 economies which had a population of more than 100 million in 2013, where *Doing Business* now also collects data for the second largest business city).<sup>2</sup> To address this limitation, subnational *Doing Business* indicators were created (box 8.1). Second, the data often focus on a specific business form—generally a limited liability company (or its legal equivalent) of a specified size—and may not be representative of the regulation on other businesses (for example, sole proprietorships). Third, transactions described in a standardized case scenario refer to a specific set of issues and may not represent the full set of issues that a business encounters. Fourth, the measures of time involve an element of judgment by the expert respondents. When sources indicate different estimates, the time indicators reported in *Doing Business* represent the median values of several responses given under the assumptions of the standardized case.

TABLE 8.2 How many experts does *Doing Business* consult?

Indicator set	Respondents	Economies with given number of respondents (%)		
		1–2	3–5	6+
Starting a business	2,235	10	22	68
Dealing with construction permits	1,316	14	41	45
Getting electricity	1,121	17	42	41
Registering property	1,282	20	35	45
Getting credit	1,764	7	26	67
Protecting minority investors	1,450	16	36	48
Paying taxes	1,685	8	29	63
Enforcing contracts	1,412	14	41	45
Trading across borders	1,259	18	41	41
Resolving insolvency	1,325	19	35	46
Labor market regulation	1,230	17	37	46
<b>Total</b>	<b>16,079</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>50</b>

### BOX 8.1 Benchmarking regulation and learning from good practices at the local level: the relevance of subnational *Doing Business* studies

Policy makers are increasingly interested in how the business environment differs between locations within the same economy. Substantial differences between locations that share the same legal and regulatory framework can be revealing: local officials find it hard to explain why doing business is more difficult in their jurisdiction than in a neighboring one. By expanding the *Doing Business* analysis beyond an economy's largest business city, subnational *Doing Business* studies (which are undertaken at the request of governments) capture these differences at the local level, allowing policy makers to effectively target bottlenecks and improve the business environment across their economy.


Subnational studies show that there can be substantial variation in regulations or in the implementation of national laws across locations within an economy (as in Kazakhstan, for example). Similarly, there can be variations within locations across economies (as in Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania). Some economies experience more variation than others. A study completed in 2017 benchmarking five different provinces in Afghanistan, for example, showed that dealing with construction permits takes as few as 96 days in Kandahar but almost four times as long in Kabul. Similarly, registering a property transfer takes 75 days in Kandahar, but more than three times as long in Kabul.

What is the main lesson? Afghan policy makers do not have to look very far to improve business processes in Kabul. Reforming legislation is not always necessary. In some cases, the answer may be a staffing increase or a reduction in the paperwork required. Looking at how processes work in other locations—in this case, Kandahar—can assist policy makers in replicating these good practices.

Furthermore, because the data produced by subnational *Doing Business* studies are comparable across locations within an economy and internationally, policy makers can benchmark their results both locally and globally. This allows them to see how their overall performance in *Doing Business* would improve if the largest business city were to adopt all of the good practices documented within their borders. Six economies completed subnational studies in 2017: Afghanistan (five locations), Colombia (32 locations), three EU member states (22 cities in Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania) and Kazakhstan (eight locations). These studies show that if the latter four economies were to adopt local good practices across the getting electricity indicators, for example, their overall performance on the indicators would improve.

Subnational studies cover all regions of the world and economies of varying income levels, including fragile and conflict-affected states such as Afghanistan, which completed a subnational *Doing Business* study in 2017 (see map). Ongoing studies include those in Nigeria (36 states and the Federal Capital Territory of Abuja) and four EU member states (Croatia, the Czech Republic, Portugal and the Slovak Republic). Since 2005 subnational reports have covered 485 locations in 71 economies. Seventeen economies—including the Arab Republic of Egypt, Mexico, Nigeria, the Philippines and the Russian Federation—have undertaken two or more rounds of subnational data collection to measure progress over time.

#### Subnational studies cover a large number of cities across all regions of the world



Source: Subnational *Doing Business* database.

Note: Subnational reports are available on the *Doing Business* website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org/subnational>.

## Economy characteristics

### Gross national income per capita

*Doing Business* 2018 reports 2016 income per capita as published in the World Bank's *World Development Indicators* 2017. Income is calculated using the Atlas method (in current U.S. dollars). For cost indicators expressed as a percentage of income per capita, 2016 gross national income (GNI) per capita in current U.S. dollars is used as the denominator. GNI data based on the Atlas method were not available for Bahrain; Brunei Darussalam; Djibouti; Eritrea; the Islamic Republic of Iran; Kuwait; Libya; Myanmar; Oman; Papua New Guinea; Puerto Rico (territory of the United States); Qatar; San Marino; Somalia; South Sudan; the Syrian Arab Republic; Taiwan, China; Timor-Leste; Vanuatu; and República Bolivariana de Venezuela. In these cases, GDP or GNP per capita data and growth rates from other sources, such as the International Monetary Fund's *World Economic Outlook* database and the Economist Intelligence Unit, were used.

### Region and income group

*Doing Business* uses the World Bank regional and income group classifications, available at <https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519>. Regional averages presented in figures and tables in the *Doing Business* report include economies from all income groups (low, lower middle, upper middle and high income), though high-income OECD economies are assigned the "regional" classification *OECD high income*.

### Population

*Doing Business* 2018 reports midyear 2016 population statistics as published in the World Bank's *World Development Indicators* 2017.

Finally, the methodology assumes that a business has full information on what is required and does not waste time when completing procedures. In practice, completing a procedure may take longer if the business lacks information or is unable to follow up promptly. Alternatively, the business may choose to disregard some burdensome procedures. For both reasons the time delays reported in *Doing Business* 2018 would differ from the recollection of entrepreneurs reported in the World Bank Enterprise Surveys or other firm-level surveys.

more than 118,000 data points, each of which is made available on the *Doing Business* website. Historical data for each indicator and economy are available on the website, beginning with the first year the indicator or economy was included in the report. To provide a comparable time series for research, the data set is back-calculated to adjust for changes in methodology and any revisions in data due to corrections. The website also makes available all original data sets used for background papers. The correction rate between *Doing Business* 2017 and *Doing Business* 2018 is 8.8%.<sup>3</sup>

Governments submit queries on the data and provide new information to *Doing Business*. During the *Doing Business* 2018 production cycle the team received 181 such queries from governments. In addition, the team held multiple video conferences with government representatives in 65 economies and in-person meetings with government representatives from 44 economies.

formally operate an industrial or commercial business, as well as the time and cost to complete these procedures and the paid-in minimum capital requirement (figure 8.1). These procedures include the processes entrepreneurs undergo when obtaining all necessary approvals, licenses, permits and completing any required notifications, verifications or inscriptions for the company and employees with relevant authorities. The ranking of economies on the ease of starting a business is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for starting a business. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 8.2). The distance to frontier score shows the distance of an economy to the "frontier," which is derived from the most efficient practice or highest score achieved on each indicator.

Two types of local limited liability companies are considered under the starting a business methodology. They are identical in all aspects, except that one company is owned by five married women and other by five married men. The distance to frontier score for each indicator is the average of the scores obtained for each of the component indicators for both of these standardized companies.

## DATA CHALLENGES AND REVISIONS


Most laws and regulations underlying the *Doing Business* data are available on the *Doing Business* website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. All the sample questionnaires and the details underlying the indicators are also published on the website. Questions on the methodology and challenges to data can be submitted through email at [rru@worldbank.org](mailto:rru@worldbank.org).

*Doing Business* publishes 24,120 indicators (120 indicators per economy) each year. To create these indicators, the team measures

## STARTING A BUSINESS

*Doing Business* records all procedures officially required, or commonly done in practice, for an entrepreneur to start up and

**FIGURE 8.1** What are the time, cost, paid-in minimum capital and number of procedures to get a local limited liability company up and running?



After a study of laws, regulations and publicly available information on business entry, a detailed list of procedures is developed, along with the time and cost to comply with each procedure under normal circumstances and the paid-in minimum capital requirement. Subsequently, local incorporation lawyers, notaries and government officials review and verify the data.

Information is also collected on the sequence in which procedures are to

be completed and whether procedures may be carried out simultaneously. It is assumed that any required information is readily available and that the entrepreneur will pay no bribes. If answers by local experts differ, inquiries continue until the data are reconciled.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the businesses and the procedures are used.

### Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company (or its legal equivalent). If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the economy, the limited liability form most common among domestic firms is chosen. Information on the most common form is obtained from incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 8A.1 at the end of the data notes).
- Is 100% domestically owned and has five owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Has start-up capital of 10 times income per capita.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities, such as the

production or sale to the public of goods or services. The business does not perform foreign trade activities and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco. It is not using heavily polluting production processes.

- Leases the commercial plant or offices and is not a proprietor of real estate.
- The amount of the annual lease for the office space is equivalent to one income per capita.
- The size of the entire office space is approximately 929 square meters (10,000 square feet).
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any special benefits.
- Has at least 10 and up to 50 employees one month after the commencement of operations, all of them domestic nationals.
- Has a turnover of at least 100 times income per capita.
- Has a company deed that is 10 pages long.


The owners:

- Have reached the legal age of majority and are capable of making decisions as an adult. If there is no legal age of majority, they are assumed to be 30 years old.
- Are sane, competent, in good health and have no criminal record.
- Are married, the marriage is monogamous and registered with the authorities.
- Where the answer differs according to the legal system applicable to the woman or man in question (as may be the case in economies where there is legal plurality), the answer used will be the one that applies to the majority of the population.

### Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company founders with external parties (for example, government agencies, lawyers, auditors or notaries) or spouses (if legally required). Interactions between company founders or company officers and employees are not counted

**FIGURE 8.2** Starting a business: getting a local limited liability company up and running



as procedures. Procedures that must be completed in the same building but in different offices or at different counters are counted as separate procedures. If founders have to visit the same office several times for different sequential procedures, each is counted separately. The founders are assumed to complete all procedures themselves, without middlemen, facilitators, accountants or lawyers, unless the use of such a third party is mandated by law or solicited by the majority of entrepreneurs. If the services of professionals are required, procedures conducted by such professionals on behalf of the company are counted as separate procedures. Each electronic procedure is counted as a separate procedure. Approvals from spouses to own a business or leave the home are considered procedures if required by law or if by failing to obtain such approval the spouse will suffer consequences under the law, such as the loss of right to financial maintenance. Obtaining permissions only required by one gender for company registration and operation, or getting additional documents only required by one gender for a national identification card are considered additional procedures. In that case, only procedures required for one spouse but not the other are counted. Both pre- and postincorporation procedures that are officially required or commonly done in practice for an entrepreneur to formally operate a business are recorded (table 8.3).

Procedures required for official correspondence or transactions with public agencies are also included. For example, if a company seal or stamp is required on official documents, such as tax declarations, obtaining the seal or stamp is counted. Similarly, if a company must open a bank account in order to complete any subsequent procedure—such as registering for value added tax or showing proof of minimum capital deposit—this transaction is included as a procedure. Shortcuts are counted only if they fulfill four criteria: they are legal, they are available to the general public, they are used by the majority of companies, and avoiding them causes delays.

Only procedures required for all businesses are included. Industry-specific procedures are excluded. For example, procedures to comply with environmental regulations are included only when they apply to all businesses conducting general commercial or industrial activities. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to electricity, water, gas and waste disposal services are not included in the starting a business indicators.

### Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that incorporation lawyers or notaries indicate is necessary in practice to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up with government agencies and no unofficial payments. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is one day, except for procedures that can be fully completed online, for which the minimum time required is recorded as half a day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). A registration process is considered completed once the company has received the final incorporation document or can officially commence business operations. If a procedure can be accelerated legally for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen if that option is more beneficial to the economy's distance to frontier score. When obtaining a spouse's approval, it is assumed that permission is granted at no additional cost unless the permission needs to be notarized. It is assumed that the entrepreneur does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the entrepreneur spends on gathering information is not measured. It is assumed that the entrepreneur is aware of all entry requirements and their sequence from the beginning but has had no prior contact with any of the officials involved.

### Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita. It includes

**TABLE 8.3 What do the starting a business indicators measure?**

**Procedures to legally start and formally operate a company (number)**

Preregistration (for example, name verification or reservation, notarization)

Registration in the economy's largest business city<sup>a</sup>

Postregistration (for example, social security registration, company seal)

Obtaining approval from spouse to start a business or to leave the home to register the company

Obtaining any gender specific document for company registration and operation or national identification card

**Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)**

Does not include time spent gathering information

Each procedure starts on a separate day (two procedures cannot start on the same day)—though procedures that can be fully completed online are an exception to this rule

Registration process considered completed once final incorporation document is received or company can officially start operating

No prior contact with officials takes place

**Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)**

Official costs only, no bribes

No professional fees unless services required by law or commonly used in practice

**Paid-in minimum capital (% of income per capita)**

Funds deposited in a bank or with a third party (for example a notary) before registration or up to three months after incorporation

a. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city

all official fees and fees for legal or professional services if such services are required by law or commonly used in practice. Fees for purchasing and legalizing company books are included if these transactions are required by law. Although value added tax registration can be counted as a separate procedure, value added tax is not part of the incorporation cost. The company law, the commercial code and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for calculating costs. In the absence of fee schedules, a government officer's estimate is taken as an official source. In the absence of a government officer's

estimate, estimates by incorporation experts are used. If several incorporation experts provide different estimates, the median reported value is applied. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

### Paid-in minimum capital

The paid-in minimum capital requirement reflects the amount that the entrepreneur needs to deposit in a bank or with a third-party (for example, a notary) before registration or up to three months after incorporation. It is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita. The amount is typically specified in the commercial code or the company law. The legal provision needs to be adopted, enforced and fully implemented. Any legal limitation of the company's operations or decisions related to the payment of the minimum capital requirement is recorded. In case the legal minimum capital is provided per share, it is multiplied by the number of shareholders owning the company. Many economies require minimum capital but allow businesses to pay only a part of it before registration, with the rest to be paid after the first year of operation. In Turkey in June 2017, for example, the minimum capital requirement was 10,000 Turkish liras, of which one-fourth needed to be paid before registration. The paid-in minimum capital recorded for Turkey is therefore 2,500 Turkish liras, or 7.8% of income per capita.

### REFORMS

The starting a business indicator set tracks changes related to the ease of incorporating and operating a limited liability company every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The starting a business indicator set uses one criterion to recognize a reform.

The aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2% or more on the distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example, if the implementation of a new one-stop shop for company registration reduces time and procedures in a way that the overall gap decreases by 2% or more, the change is classified as a reform. On the contrary, minor fee updates or other small changes in the indicators that have an aggregate impact of less than 2% on the gap are not classified as a reform, but the data is updated accordingly.

*The data details on starting a business can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. This methodology was developed by Djankov and others (2002) and is adopted here with minor changes.*

### DEALING WITH CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

*Doing Business* records all procedures required for a business in the construction industry to build a warehouse, along with the time and cost to complete each procedure. In addition, *Doing Business* measures the building quality control index, evaluating the quality of building regulations, the strength of quality control and safety mechanisms, liability and insurance regimes, and professional certification requirements. Information is collected through a questionnaire administered to experts in construction licensing, including architects, civil engineers, construction lawyers, construction firms, utility service providers, and public officials who deal with building regulations, including approvals, permit issuance, and inspections.

The ranking of economies on the ease of dealing with construction permits is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for dealing with


construction permits. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 8.3).

### EFFICIENCY OF CONSTRUCTION PERMITTING


*Doing Business* divides the process of building a warehouse into distinct procedures in the questionnaire and solicits data for calculating the time and cost to complete each procedure (figure 8.4). These procedures include, but are not limited to:

- Obtaining all plans and surveys required by the architect and the engineer to start the design of the building plans (for example, topographical surveys, location maps or soil tests).
- Obtaining and submitting all relevant project-specific documents (for example, building plans, site maps and certificates of urbanism) to the authorities.
- Hiring external third-party supervisors, consultants, engineers or inspectors (if necessary).
- Obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates.

**FIGURE 8.3** Dealing with construction permits: efficiency and quality of building regulation



**FIGURE 8.4** What are the time, cost and number of procedures to comply with formalities to build a warehouse?



- Submitting all required notifications for the start and end of construction and for inspections.
- Requesting and receiving all necessary inspections (unless completed by a hired private, third-party inspector).

*Doing Business* also records procedures for obtaining connections for water and sewerage. Procedures necessary to register the warehouse so that it can be used as collateral or transferred to another entity are also counted.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the construction company, the warehouse project and the utility connections are used.

### Assumptions about the construction company

The construction company (BuildCo):

- Is a limited liability company (or its legal equivalent).
- Operates in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 8A.1).
- Is 100% domestically and privately owned.
- Has five owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Is fully licensed and insured to carry out construction projects, such as building warehouses.

- Has 60 builders and other employees, all of them nationals with the technical expertise and professional experience necessary to obtain construction permits and approvals.
- Has a licensed architect and a licensed engineer, both registered with the local association of architects or engineers, where applicable. BuildCo is not assumed to have any other employees who are technical or licensed specialists, such as geological or topographical experts.
- Has paid all taxes and taken out all necessary insurance applicable to its general business activity (for example, accidental insurance for construction workers and third-person liability).
- Owns the land on which the warehouse will be built and will sell the warehouse upon its completion.

### Assumptions about the warehouse

The warehouse:

- Will be used for general storage activities, such as storage of books or stationery. The warehouse will not be used for any goods requiring special conditions, such as food, chemicals, or pharmaceuticals.
- Will have two stories, both above ground, with a total constructed area of approximately 1,300.6 square meters (14,000 square feet). Each floor will be 3 meters (9 feet, 10 inches) high.

■ Will have road access and be located in the periurban area of the economy's largest business city (that is, on the fringes of the city but still within its official limits). For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.

- Will not be located in a special economic or industrial zone.
- Will be located on a land plot of approximately 929 square meters (10,000 square feet) that is 100% owned by BuildCo and is accurately registered in the cadastre and land registry where freehold titles exist. However, when the land is owned by the government and leased by BuildCo., it is assumed that BuildCo. will register the land in the cadastre or land registry or both, whichever is applicable, at the completion of the warehouse.
- Is valued at 50 times income per capita.
- Will be a new construction (with no previous construction on the land), with no trees, natural water sources, natural reserves, or historical monuments of any kind on the plot.
- Will have complete architectural and technical plans prepared by a licensed architect and a licensed engineer. If preparation of the plans requires such steps as obtaining further documentation or getting prior approvals from external agencies, these are counted as procedures.
- Will include all technical equipment required to be fully operational.
- Will take 30 weeks to construct (excluding all delays due to administrative and regulatory requirements).

### Assumptions about the utility connections

The water and sewerage connections:

- Will be 150 meters (492 feet) from the existing water source and sewer tap. If there is no water delivery infrastructure in the economy, a borehole will be dug. If there is no sewerage infrastructure, a septic tank in the smallest size available will be installed or built.

- Will not require water for fire protection reasons; a fire extinguishing system (dry system) will be used instead. If a wet fire protection system is required by law, it is assumed that the water demand specified below also covers the water needed for fire protection.
- Will have an average water use of 662 liters (175 gallons) a day and an average wastewater flow of 568 liters (150 gallons) a day. Will have a peak water use of 1,325 liters (350 gallons) a day and a peak wastewater flow of 1,136 liters (300 gallons) a day.
- Will have a constant level of water demand and wastewater flow throughout the year.
- Connection pipes will be 1 inch in diameter for water and 4 inches in diameter for sewerage.

## Procedures

A procedure is any interaction of the building company's employees, managers, or any party acting on behalf of the company with external parties, including government agencies, notaries, the land registry, the cadastre, utility companies, and public inspectors—and the hiring of external private inspectors and technical experts where needed. Interactions between company employees, such as development of the warehouse plans and inspections by the in-house engineer, are not counted as procedures. However, interactions with external parties that are required for the architect to prepare the plans and drawings (such as obtaining topographic or geological surveys), or to have such documents approved or stamped by external parties, are counted as procedures. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect the warehouse to water and sewerage are included. All procedures that are legally required and that are done in practice by the majority of companies to build a warehouse are counted, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases. This includes obtaining technical conditions for electricity or clearance of the electrical plans only if they are required to obtain a building permit (table 8.4).

## Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that local experts indicate is necessary to complete a procedure in practice. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is one day, except for procedures that can be fully completed online, for which the time required is recorded as half a day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days), again with the exception of procedures that can be fully completed online. If a procedure can be accelerated legally for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen if that option is more beneficial to the economy's distance to frontier score. It is assumed that BuildCo does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that BuildCo spends on gathering information is not taken into account. It is assumed that BuildCo follows all building requirements and their sequence as required.

## Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the warehouse value (assumed to be 50 times income per capita). Only official costs are recorded. All fees associated with completing the procedures to legally build a warehouse are recorded, including those associated with obtaining land use approvals and preconstruction design clearances; receiving inspections before, during and after construction; obtaining utility connections; and registering the warehouse at the property registry. Nonrecurring taxes required for the completion of the warehouse project are also recorded. Sales taxes (such as value added tax) or capital gains taxes are not recorded. Nor are deposits that must be paid up front and are later refunded. The building code, information from local experts, and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for costs. If several local partners provide different estimates, the median reported value is used.

**TABLE 8.4** What do the indicators on the efficiency of construction permitting measure?

Procedures to legally build a warehouse (number)
Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates
Submitting all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections
Obtaining utility connections for water and sewerage
Registering the warehouse after its completion (if required for use as collateral or for transfer of the warehouse)
Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)
Does not include time spent gathering information
Each procedure starts on a separate day—though procedures that can be fully completed online are an exception to this rule
Procedure is considered completed once final document is received
No prior contact with officials
Cost required to complete each procedure (% of warehouse value)
Official costs only, no bribes

## BUILDING QUALITY CONTROL

The building quality control index is based on six indices—the quality of building regulations, quality control before, during and after construction, liability and insurance regimes, and professional certifications indices (table 8.5). The indicator is based on the same case study assumptions as the measures of efficiency.

## Quality of building regulations index

The quality of building regulations index has two components:

- Whether building regulations are easily accessible. A score of 1 is assigned if building regulations (including the building code) or regulations dealing with construction permits are available on a website that is updated as new regulations are passed; 0.5 if the building regulations are available free of charge (or for a nominal fee) at the relevant permit-issuing authority; 0 if

<b>TABLE 8.5 What do the indicators on building quality control measure?</b>	
<b>Quality of building regulations index (0–2)</b>	
Accessibility of building regulations (0–1)	
Clarity of requirements for obtaining a building permit (0–1)	
<b>Quality control before construction index (0–1)</b>	
Whether licensed or technical experts approve building plans (0–1)	
<b>Quality control during construction index (0–3)</b>	
Types of inspections legally mandated during construction (0–2)	
Implementation of legally mandated inspections in practice (0–1)	
<b>Quality control after construction index (0–3)</b>	
Final inspection legally mandated after construction (0–2)	
Implementation of legally mandated final inspection in practice (0–1)	
<b>Liability and insurance regimes index (0–2)</b>	
Parties held legally liable for structural flaws after building occupancy (0–1)	
Parties legally mandated to obtain insurance to cover structural flaws after building occupancy or insurance is commonly obtained in practice (0–1)	
<b>Professional certifications index (0–4)</b>	
Qualification requirements for individual who approves building plans (0–2)	
Qualification requirements for individual who supervises construction or conducts inspections (0–2)	
<b>Building quality control index (0–15)</b>	
Sum of the quality of building regulations, quality control before construction, quality control during construction, quality control after construction, liability and insurance regimes, and professional certifications indices	

the building regulations must be purchased or if they are not made easily accessible anywhere.

- Whether the requirements for obtaining a building permit are clearly specified. A score of 1 is assigned if the building regulations (including the building code) or any accessible website, brochure, or pamphlet clearly specifies the list of required documents to submit, the fees to be paid, and all required preapprovals of the drawings (example: electrical, water and sewerage, environmental) or plans by the relevant agencies; 0 if

none of these sources specify any of these requirements or if these sources specify fewer than the three requirements mentioned above.

The index ranges from 0 to 2, with higher values indicating clearer and more transparent building regulations. In New Zealand, for example, all relevant legislation can be found on an official government website (a score of 1). The legislation specifies the list of required documents to submit, the fees to be paid, and all required preapprovals of the drawings or plans by the relevant agencies (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives New Zealand a score of 2 on the quality of building regulations index.

### Quality control before construction index

The quality control before construction index has one component:

- Whether by law, a licensed architect or licensed engineer is part of the committee or team that reviews and approves building permit applications and whether that person has the authority to refuse an application if the plans are not in conformity with regulations. A score of 1 is assigned if the national association of architects or engineers (or its equivalent) must review the building plans, if an independent firm or expert who is a licensed architect or engineer must review the plans, if the architect or engineer who prepared the plans must submit an attestation to the permit-issuing authority stating that the plans are in compliance with the building regulations or if a licensed architect or engineer is part of the committee or team that approves the plans at the relevant permit-issuing authority; 0 if no licensed architect or engineer is involved in the review of the plans to ensure their compliance with building regulations.
- Whether inspections during construction are implemented in practice. A score of 1 is assigned if the legally mandated inspections during construction always occur in practice; 0

Rwanda, for example, the city hall in Kigali must review the building permit application, including the plans and drawings, and both a licensed architect and a licensed engineer are part of the team that reviews the plans and drawings. Rwanda therefore receives a score of 1 on the quality control before construction index.

### Quality control during construction index

The quality control during construction index has two components:

- Whether inspections are mandated by law during the construction process. A score of 2 is assigned if (i) a government agency is legally mandated to conduct technical inspections at different stages during the construction or an in-house engineer (that is, an employee of the building company), an external supervising engineer or firm is legally mandated to conduct technical inspections at different stages during the construction of the building and is required to submit a detailed inspections report at the completion of the construction; and (ii) it is legally mandated to conduct risk-based inspections. A score of 1 is assigned if a government agency is legally mandated to conduct only technical inspections at different stages during the construction or if an in-house engineer (that is, an employee of the building company), an external supervising engineer or an external inspections firm is legally mandated to conduct technical inspections at different stages during the construction of the building and is required to submit a detailed inspections report at the completion of the construction. A score of 0 is assigned if a government agency is legally mandated to conduct unscheduled inspections, or if no technical inspections are mandated by law.

- Whether inspections during construction are implemented in practice. A score of 1 is assigned if the legally mandated inspections during construction always occur in practice; 0

if the legally mandated inspections do not occur in practice, if the inspections occur most of the time but not always, if inspections are not mandated by law regardless of whether they commonly occur in practice.

The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values indicating better quality control during the construction process. In Antigua and Barbuda, for example, the Development Control Authority is legally mandated to conduct phased inspections under the Physical Planning Act of 2003 (a score of 1). However, the Development Control Authority rarely conducts these inspections in practice (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Antigua and Barbuda a score of 1 on the quality control during construction index.

### **Quality control after construction index**

The quality control after construction index has two components:

- Whether a final inspection is mandated by law in order to verify that the building was built in compliance with the approved plans and existing building regulations. A score of 2 is assigned if an in-house supervising engineer (that is, an employee of the building company), an external supervising engineer or an external inspections firm is legally mandated to verify that the building has been built in accordance with the approved plans and existing building regulations, or if a government agency is legally mandated to conduct a final inspection upon completion of the building; 0 if no final inspection is mandated by law after construction and no third party is required to verify that the building has been built in accordance with the approved plans and existing building regulations.
- Whether the final inspection is implemented in practice. A score of 1 is assigned if the legally mandated final inspection after construction always occurs in practice or if a supervising engineer or firm attests that the building has been built in accordance

with the approved plans and existing building regulations; 0 if the legally mandated final inspection does not occur in practice, if the legally mandated final inspection occurs most of the time but not always, or if a final inspection is not mandated by law regardless of whether or not it commonly occurs in practice.

The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values indicating better quality control after the construction process. In Haiti, for example, the Municipality of Port-au-Prince is legally mandated to conduct a final inspection under the National Building Code of 2012 (a score of 2). However, the final inspection does not occur in practice (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Haiti a score of 2 on the quality control after construction index.

### **Liability and insurance regimes index**

The liability and insurance regimes index has two components:

- Whether any parties involved in the construction process are held legally liable for latent defects such as structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use. A score of 1 is assigned if at least two of the following parties are held legally liable for structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use: the architect or engineer who designed the plans for the building, the professional or agency that conducted technical inspections, or the construction company; 0.5 if only one of the parties is held legally liable for structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use; 0 if no party is held legally liable for structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use, if the project owner or investor is the only party held liable, if liability is determined in court, or if liability is stipulated in a contract.
- Whether any parties involved in the construction process is legally required to obtain a latent defect liability—or decennial (10 years)

liability—insurance policy to cover possible structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use. A score of 1 is assigned if the architect or engineer who designed the plans for the building, the professional or agency that conducted the technical inspections, the construction company, or the project owner or investor is required by law to obtain either a decennial liability insurance policy or a latent defect liability insurance to cover possible structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use or if a decennial liability insurance policy or a latent defect liability insurance is commonly obtained in practice by the majority of any of these parties even if not required by law. A score of 0 is assigned if no party is required by law to obtain either a decennial liability insurance or a latent defect liability insurance and such insurance is not commonly obtained in practice by any party, if the requirement to obtain an insurance policy is stipulated in a contract, if any party must obtain a professional insurance or an all risk insurance to cover the safety of workers or any other defects during construction but not a decennial liability insurance or a latent defect liability insurance that would cover defects after the building is in use, or if any party is required to pay for any damages caused on their own without having to obtain an insurance policy.

The index ranges from 0 to 2, with higher values indicating more stringent latent defect liability and insurance regimes. In Madagascar, for example, under article 1792 of the Civil Code both the architect who designed the plans and the construction company are legally held liable for latent defects for a period of 10 years after the completion of the building (a score of 1). However, there is no legal requirement for any party to obtain a decennial liability insurance policy to cover structural defects, nor do most parties obtain such insurance in practice (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives

Madagascar a score of 1 on the liability and insurance regimes index.

### Professional certifications index

The professional certifications index has two components:

- The qualification requirements of the professional responsible for verifying that the architectural plans or drawings are in compliance with the building regulations. A score of 2 is assigned if national or state regulations mandate that the professional must have a minimum number of years of practical experience, must have a university degree (a minimum of a bachelor's) in architecture or engineering, and must also either be a registered member of the national order (association) of architects or engineers or pass a qualification exam. A score of 1 is assigned if national or state regulations mandate that the professional must have a university degree (a minimum of a bachelor's) in engineering and must also either have a minimum number of years of practical experience or be a registered member of the national order (association) of architects or engineers or pass a qualification exam. A score of 0 is assigned if national or state regulations mandate that the professional must meet only one of the above requirements, if they mandate that the professional must meet two of the requirements but neither of the two is to have a university degree, or if no national or state regulation determines the professional's qualification requirements.
- The qualification requirements of the professional who conducts the technical inspections during construction. A score of 2 is assigned if national or state regulations mandate that the professional must have a minimum number of years of practical experience, must have a university degree (a minimum of a bachelor's) in engineering, and must also either be a registered member of the national

order of engineers or pass a qualification exam. A score of 1 is assigned if national or state regulations mandate that the professional must have a university degree (a minimum of a bachelor's) in engineering and must also either have a minimum number of years of practical experience or be a registered member of the national order (association) of engineers or pass a qualification exam. A score of 0 is assigned if national or state regulations mandate that the professional must meet only one of the requirements, if they mandate that the professional must meet two of the requirements but neither of the two is to have a university degree, or if no national or state regulation determines the professional's qualification requirements.

The index ranges from 0 to 4, with higher values indicating greater professional certification requirements. In Albania, for example, the professional conducting technical inspections during construction must have a minimum number of years of experience, a relevant university degree and must be a registered architect or engineer (a score of 2). However, the professional responsible for verifying that the architectural plans or drawings are in compliance with building regulations must only have a minimum number of years of experience and a university degree in architecture or engineering (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Albania a score of 3 on the professional certifications index.

### Building quality control index

The building quality control index is the sum of the scores on the quality of building regulations, quality control before construction, quality control during construction, quality control after construction, liability and insurance regimes, and professional certifications indices. The index ranges from 0 to 15, with higher values indicating better quality control and safety mechanisms in the construction regulatory system.

If an economy issued no building permits between June 2016 and June 2017 or if the applicable building legislation in the economy is not being implemented, the economy receives a "no practice" mark on the procedures, time and cost indicators. In addition, a "no practice" economy receives a score of 0 on the building quality control index even if its legal framework includes provisions related to building quality control and safety mechanisms.

### REFORMS

The dealing with construction permits indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency and quality of construction permitting systems every year. Depending on the impact on the data certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The dealing with construction permits indicator set uses only one criterion to recognize a reform.

The aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2% or more on the distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example, if the implementation of a new electronic permitting system reduces time in a way that the overall gap decreases by 2% or more, such a change is classified as a reform. On the contrary, minor fee updates or other smaller changes in the indicators that have an aggregate impact of less than 2% on the gap are not classified as a reform, but their impact is still reflected on the most updated indicators for this indicator set.

*The data details on dealing with construction permits can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>.*

## GETTING ELECTRICITY

*Doing Business* records all procedures required for a business to obtain a permanent electricity connection and supply for a standardized warehouse (figure 8.5). These procedures include applications and contracts with electricity utilities, all necessary inspections and clearances from the distribution utility and other agencies, and the external and final connection works. The questionnaire divides the process of getting an electricity connection into distinct procedures and solicits data for calculating the time and cost to complete each procedure.

In addition, *Doing Business* measures the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (included in the aggregate distance to frontier score and ranking on the ease of doing business) and the price of electricity (omitted from these aggregate measures). The reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index encompasses quantitative data on the duration and frequency of power outages as well as qualitative information on the mechanisms put in place by the utility for monitoring power outages and restoring power supply, the reporting relationship between the utility and the regulator for power outages, the transparency and accessibility of tariffs and whether the utility faces a financial deterrent aimed at


limiting outages (such as a requirement to compensate customers or pay fines when outages exceed a certain cap).

The ranking of economies on the ease of getting electricity is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for getting electricity. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for all the component indicators except the price of electricity (figure 8.6).


Data on the reliability of supply are collected from the electricity distribution utilities or regulators, depending upon the specific technical nature of the data. The rest of the information, including data on transparency of tariffs and procedures for obtaining electricity connection, are collected from all market players—the electricity distribution utility, electricity regulatory agencies and independent professionals such as electrical engineers, electrical contractors and construction companies. The distribution utility consulted is the one serving the area (or areas) where warehouses are most commonly located. If there is a choice of distribution utilities, the one serving the largest number of customers is selected.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the warehouse, the electricity connection and the monthly consumption are used.

**FIGURE 8.5** *Doing Business* measures the connection process at the level of distribution utilities



**FIGURE 8.6** Getting electricity: efficiency, reliability and transparency



Note: The price of electricity is measured but does not count for the rankings.

### Assumptions about the warehouse

The warehouse:

- Is owned by a local entrepreneur.
- Is located in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 8A.1).
- Is located in an area where similar warehouses are typically located. In this area a new electricity connection is not eligible for a special investment promotion regime (offering special subsidization or faster service, for example).
- Is located in an area with no physical constraints. For example, the property is not near a railway.
- Is a new construction and is being connected to electricity for the first time.
- Has two stories, both above ground, with a total surface area of approximately 1,300.6 square meters (14,000 square feet). The plot of land on which it is built is 929 square meters (10,000 square feet).
- Is used for storage of goods.

## Assumptions about the electricity connection

The electricity connection:

- Is a permanent one.
- Is a three-phase, four-wire Y connection with a subscribed capacity of 140-kilo-volt-ampere (kVA) with a power factor of 1, when 1 kVA = 1 kilowatt (kW).
- Has a length of 150 meters. The connection is to either the low- or medium-voltage distribution network and is either overhead or underground, whichever is more common in the area where the warehouse is located.
- Requires works that involve the crossing of a 10-meter wide road (by excavation, overhead lines) but are all carried out on public land. There is no crossing of other owners' private property because the warehouse has access to a road.
- Includes only negligible length in the customer's private domain.
- Does not require work to install the internal wiring of the warehouse. This has already been completed up to and including the customer's service panel or switchboard and the meter base.

## Assumptions about the monthly consumption for March

- It is assumed that the warehouse operates 30 days a month from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (8 hours a day), with equipment utilized at 80% of capacity on average and that there are no electricity cuts (assumed for simplicity reasons).
- The monthly energy consumption is 26,880 kilowatt-hours (kWh); hourly consumption is 112 kWh.
- If multiple electricity suppliers exist, the warehouse is served by the cheapest supplier.
- Tariffs effective in March of the current year are used for calculation of the price of electricity for the warehouse. Although March has 31 days, for calculation purposes only 30 days are used.

## Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company's employees or its main electrician or electrical engineer (that is, the one who may have done the internal wiring) with external parties, such as the electricity distribution utility, electricity supply utilities, government agencies, electrical contractors and electrical firms. Interactions between company employees and steps related to the internal electrical wiring, such as the design and execution of the internal electrical installation plans, are not counted as procedures. Procedures that must be completed with the same utility but with different departments are counted as separate procedures (table 8.6).

The company's employees are assumed to complete all procedures themselves unless the use of a third party is mandated (for example, if an electrician registered with the utility is the only party allowed to submit an application). If the company can, but is not required to request the services of professionals (such as a private firm), procedures will be counted for each interaction commonly done in practice.

## Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that the electricity utility and experts indicate is necessary in practice, rather than required by law, to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up and no extra payments. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is one day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). It is assumed that the company does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the company spends on gathering information is not taken into account. It is assumed that the company is aware of all electricity connection requirements and their sequence from the beginning.

**TABLE 8.6 What do the getting electricity indicators measure?**

### Procedures to obtain an electricity connection (number)

Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances and permits

Completing all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections

Obtaining external installation works and possibly purchasing material for these works

Concluding any necessary supply contract and obtaining final supply

### Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Is at least one calendar day

Each procedure starts on a separate day

Does not include time spent gathering information

Reflects the time spent in practice, with little follow-up and no prior contact with officials

### Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)

Official costs only, no bribes

Value added tax excluded

### Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)

Duration and frequency of power outages (0–3)

Tools to monitor power outages (0–1)

Tools to restore power supply (0–1)

Regulatory monitoring of utilities' performance (0–1)

Financial deterrents aimed at limiting outages (0–1)

Transparency and accessibility of tariffs (0–1)

### Price of electricity (cents per kilowatt-hour)

Price based on monthly bill for commercial warehouse in case study

*Note:* While *Doing Business* measures the price of electricity, it does not include these data when calculating the distance to frontier score for getting electricity or the ranking on the ease of getting electricity.

## Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita and is exclusive of value added tax. All the fees and costs associated with completing the procedures to connect a warehouse to electricity are recorded, including those related to obtaining clearances from government agencies, applying for the connection, receiving inspections of both the site and the internal wiring, purchasing material, getting the actual connection works and paying a security deposit. Information from local experts

and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources. If several local partners provide different estimates, the median reported value is used. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

### Security deposit

Utilities may require security deposits as a guarantee against the possible failure of customers to pay their consumption bills. For this reason, the security deposit for a new customer is most often calculated as a function of the customer's estimated consumption.

*Doing Business* does not record the full amount of the security deposit. If the deposit is based on the customer's actual consumption, this basis is the one assumed in the case study. Rather than the full amount of the security deposit, *Doing Business* records the present value of the losses in interest earnings experienced by the customer because the utility holds the security deposit over a prolonged period, in most cases until the end of the contract (assumed to be after five years). In cases where the security deposit is used to cover the first monthly consumption bills, it is not recorded. To calculate the present value of the lost interest earnings, the end-2016 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund's International Financial Statistics are used. In cases where the security deposit is returned with interest, the difference between the lending rate and the interest paid by the utility is used to calculate the present value.

In some economies, the security deposit can be put up in the form of a bond: the company can obtain from a bank or an insurance company a guarantee issued on the assets it holds with that financial institution. In contrast to the scenario in which the customer pays the deposit in cash to the utility, in this case the company does not lose ownership control over the full amount and can continue using it. In return, the company will pay the bank a commission for obtaining the bond. The commission charged may

vary depending on the credit standing of the company. The best possible credit standing and thus the lowest possible commission are assumed. Where a bond can be put up, the value recorded for the deposit is the annual commission times the five years assumed to be the length of the contract. If both options exist, the cheaper alternative is recorded.

In Hong Kong SAR, China, a customer requesting a 140-kVA electricity connection in March 2017 would have had to put up a security deposit of 63,600 Hong Kong dollars (~\$7,850) in cash or check, and the deposit would have been returned only at the end of the contract. The customer could instead have invested this money at the prevailing lending rate of 5.0%. Over the five years of the contract, this would imply a present value of lost interest earnings of 13,760 Hong Kong dollars (\$1,700). In contrast, if the customer chose to settle the deposit with a bank guarantee at an annual rate of 1.5%, the amount lost over the five years would be just 4,770 Hong Kong dollars (\$590).

### Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index

*Doing Business* uses the system average interruption duration index (SAIDI) and the system average interruption frequency index (SAIFI) to measure the duration and frequency of power outages in the largest business city of each economy (for 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city; table 8A.1). SAIDI is the average total duration of outages over the course of a year for each customer served, while SAIFI is the average number of service interruptions experienced by a customer in a year. Annual data (covering the calendar year) are collected from distribution utility companies and national regulators on SAIDI and SAIFI. Both SAIDI and SAIFI estimates should include planned and unplanned outages, as well as load shedding.

An economy is eligible to obtain a score on the reliability of supply and

transparency of tariffs index if it satisfies two conditions. First, the utility must collect data on all types of outages (measuring the average total duration of outages per customer and the average number of outages per customer). Second, the SAIDI value must be below a threshold of 100 hours and the SAIFI value must be under 100 outages.

An economy is not eligible to obtain a score if outages are too frequent or long-lasting for the electricity supply to be considered reliable—that is, if the SAIDI or the SAIFI values exceed the determined thresholds. An economy is also not eligible to obtain a score on the index if data on power outages are not collected or collected partially (for example, planned outages or load shedding are not included in the calculation of the SAIDI and SAIFI indices), and if the minimum outage time considered for calculation of the SAIDI and SAIFI indices is over 5 minutes.

For all economies that meet the criteria as determined by *Doing Business*, a score on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index is calculated on the basis of the following six components:

- What the SAIDI and SAIFI values are. If SAIDI and SAIFI are 12 (equivalent to an outage of one hour each month) or below, a score of 1 is assigned. If SAIDI and SAIFI are 4 (equivalent to an outage of one hour each quarter) or below, 1 additional point is assigned. Finally, if SAIDI and SAIFI are 1 (equivalent to an outage of one hour per year) or below, 1 more point is assigned.
- What tools are used by the distribution utility to monitor power outages. A score of 1 is assigned if the utility uses automated tools, such as an Outage/Incident Management System (OMS/IMS) or Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system; 0 if it relies solely on calls from customers, and records and monitors outages manually.

- What tools are used by the distribution utility to restore power supply. A score of 1 is assigned if the utility uses automated tools, such as an OMS/IMS or SCADA system; 0 if it relies solely on manual resources for service restoration, such as field crews or maintenance personnel.
- Whether a regulator—that is, an entity separate from the utility—monitors the utility's performance on reliability of supply. A score of 1 is assigned if the regulator performs periodic or real-time reviews; 0 if it does not monitor power outages and does not require the utility to report on reliability of supply.
- Whether financial deterrents exist to limit outages. A score of 1 is assigned if the utility compensates customers when outages exceed a certain cap, if the utility is fined by the regulator when outages exceed a certain cap or if both these conditions are met; 0 if no deterrent mechanism of any kind is available.
- Whether electricity tariffs are transparent and easily available. A score of 1 is assigned if effective tariffs are available online and customers are notified of a change in tariff a full billing cycle (that is, one month) ahead of time; 0 if not.

The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating greater reliability of electricity supply and greater transparency of tariffs. In the United Kingdom, for example, the distribution utility company UK Power Networks uses SAIDI and SAIFI metrics to monitor and collect data on power outages. In 2016, the average total duration of power outages in London was 0.326 hours per customer and the average number of outages experienced by a customer was 0.166. Both SAIDI and SAIFI are below the threshold and indicate that there was less than one outage a year per customer, for a total duration of less than one hour. So the economy not only meets the eligibility criteria for obtaining a score on the index, it also receives a score of 3 on the first component of the index. The utility uses the automatic GE PowerOn Control System to identify faults in the network (a score of 1) and restore

electricity service (a score of 1). The Office of Gas and Electricity Markets, an independent national regulatory authority, actively reviews the utility's performance in providing reliable electricity service (a score of 1) and requires the utility to compensate customers if outages last longer than a maximum period defined by the regulator (a score of 1). Customers are notified of a change in tariffs ahead of the next billing cycle and can easily check effective tariffs online (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives the United Kingdom a total score of 8 on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index.

On the other hand, several economies receive a score of 0 on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index. The reason may be that outages occur more than once a month and none of the mechanisms and tools measured by the index are in place. An economy may also receive a score of 0 if either the SAIDI or SAIFI value (or both) exceeds the threshold of 100, or not all outages were considered when calculating the indices. In Suriname, for example, the utility does not include load shedding in the calculation of SAIDI and SAIFI indices. Thus, based on the criteria established, Suriname cannot receive a score on the index even though the utility uses automated systems for monitoring outages and restoration of power supply and there is a transparency of electricity tariffs.

If an economy issued no new electricity connections to an electrical grid between June 2016 and June 2017, or if electricity is not provided during that period, the economy receives a "no practice" mark on the procedures, time and cost indicators. In addition, a "no practice" economy receives a score of 0 on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index even if, for example, there is regulatory oversight of utilities on power interruptions, among others.

### Price of electricity

*Doing Business* measures the price of electricity but does not include these data

when calculating the distance to frontier score for getting electricity or the ranking on the ease of getting electricity. The data are available on the *Doing Business* website (<http://www.doingbusiness.org>) and are based on standardized assumptions to ensure comparability across economies.

The price of electricity is measured in U.S. cents per kilowatt-hour. A monthly electricity consumption is assumed, for which a monthly bill is then computed for a warehouse based in the largest business city of the economy for the month of March (for 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city; table 8A.1). As noted, the warehouse uses electricity 30 days a month, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., so different tariff schedules may apply if a time-of-use tariff is available.

### REFORMS

The getting electricity indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency of the connection process, as well as the reliability of power supply and transparency of tariffs. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The getting electricity indicator set uses two criteria to recognize a reform.

First, the aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2% or more on the distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example, if the implementation of a new single window at the utility reduces the time to process new connection requests in a way that the overall gap decreases by 2% or more, such a change is classified as a reform. On the other hand, minor

fee updates from the utility or other small changes that have an aggregate impact of less than 2% on the gap are not classified as a reform, but their impact is still reflected in the most updated indicators for this topic.

Second, to be considered a reform, changes in the data must be tied to an initiative led by the utility or by the government—and not an exogenous event. For example, if outages increase considerably from one year to the next due to inclement weather, this cannot be considered a reform that makes doing business harder. Similarly, if the cost of electricity-related materials (such as cabling or transformers) decreases due to a currency appreciation, this cannot be considered a reform that makes doing business easier. However, if a utility establishes a one-stop shop to streamline the connection process or if it installs an automated system to improve monitoring of power outages and restoration of electricity services, these actions would be considered reforms that made doing business easier.

*The data details on getting electricity can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. The initial methodology was developed by Geginat and Ramalho (2015) and is adopted here with minor changes.*

## REGISTERING PROPERTY

*Doing Business* records the full sequence of procedures necessary for a business (the buyer) to purchase a property from another business (the seller) and to transfer the property title to the buyer's name so that the buyer can use the property for expanding its business, use the property as collateral in taking new loans or, if necessary, sell the property to another business. It also measures the time and cost to complete each of these procedures. *Doing Business* also measures the quality of the land administration system in each economy. The quality of land administration index has five dimensions:

reliability of infrastructure, transparency of information, geographic coverage, land dispute resolution and equal access to property rights.

The ranking of economies on the ease of registering property is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for registering property. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 8.7).

### EFFICIENCY OF TRANSFERRING PROPERTY

As recorded by *Doing Business*, the process of transferring property starts with obtaining the necessary documents, such as a copy of the seller's title if necessary, and conducting due diligence if required. The transaction is considered complete when it is opposable to third parties and when the buyer can use the property, use it as collateral for a bank loan or resell it (figure 8.8). Every procedure required by law or necessary in practice is included, whether it is the responsibility of the seller or the buyer or must be completed by a third party on their behalf. Local property lawyers, notaries and property registries provide information on procedures as well as the time and cost to complete each of them.


To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the parties to the transaction, the property and the procedures are used.

### Assumptions about the parties

The parties (buyer and seller):

- Are limited liability companies (or the legal equivalent).
- Are located in the periurban area of the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 8A.1).
- Are 100% domestically and privately owned.
- Have 50 employees each, all of whom are nationals.
- Perform general commercial activities.

**FIGURE 8.7** Registering property: efficiency and quality of land administration system




### Assumptions about the property

The property:

- Has a value of 50 times income per capita, which equals the sale price.
- Is fully owned by the seller.
- Has no mortgages attached and has been under the same ownership for the past 10 years.
- Is registered in the land registry or cadastre, or both, and is free of title disputes.
- Is located in a periurban commercial zone, and no rezoning is required.
- Consists of land and a building. The land area is 557.4 square meters (6,000 square feet). A two-story warehouse of 929 square meters (10,000 square feet) is located on the land. The warehouse is 10 years old, is in good condition, has no heating system and complies with all safety standards, building codes and other legal requirements. The property, consisting of land and building, will be transferred in its entirety.
- Will not be subject to renovations or additional construction following the purchase.
- Has no trees, natural water sources, natural reserves or historical monuments of any kind.

**FIGURE 8.8** What are the time, cost and number of procedures required to transfer property between two local companies?



- Will not be used for special purposes, and no special permits, such as for residential use, industrial plants, waste storage or certain types of agricultural activities, are required.
- Has no occupants, and no other party holds a legal interest in it.

### Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the buyer or the seller, their agents (if an agent is legally or in practice required) with external parties, including government agencies, inspectors, notaries and lawyers. Interactions between company officers and employees are not considered. All procedures that are legally or in practice required for registering property are recorded, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases (table 8.7). If a procedure can be accelerated legally for an additional cost, the fastest procedure available and used by the majority of property owners is chosen. If procedures can be undertaken simultaneously, it is assumed that they are. It is assumed that the parties involved are aware of all requirements and their sequence from the beginning. Time spent on gathering information is not considered. If time estimates differ among sources, the median reported value is used.

### Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that property lawyers, notaries or registry officials indicate is necessary

to complete a procedure. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is one day, except for procedures that can be fully completed online, for which the time required is recorded as half a day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day, again with the exception of procedures that can be fully completed online. It is assumed that the buyer does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest legal procedure available and used by the majority of property owners is chosen. If procedures can be undertaken simultaneously, it is assumed that they are. It is assumed that the parties involved are aware of all requirements and their sequence from the beginning. Time spent on gathering information is not considered. If time estimates differ among sources, the median reported value is used.

### Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the property value, assumed to be equivalent to 50 times income per capita. Only official costs required by law are recorded, including fees, transfer taxes, stamp duties and any other payment to the property registry, notaries, public agencies or lawyers. Other taxes, such as capital gains tax or value added tax, are excluded from the cost measure. Both costs borne by the buyer and the

**TABLE 8.7** What do the indicators on the efficiency of transferring property measure?

#### Procedures to legally transfer title on immovable property (number)

Preregistration procedures (for example, checking for liens, notarizing sales agreement, paying property transfer taxes)

Registration procedures in the economy's largest business city<sup>a</sup>

Postregistration procedures (for example, filling title with municipality)

#### Time required to complete each procedure

Does not include time spent gathering information

Each procedure starts on a separate day—though procedures that can be fully completed online are an exception to this rule

Procedure is considered completed once final document is received

No prior contact with officials

#### Cost required to complete each procedure (% of property value)

Official costs only (such as administrative fees, duties and taxes)

Value Added Tax, Capital Gains Tax and illicit payments are excluded

a. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.

seller are included. If cost estimates differ among sources, the median reported value is used.

### QUALITY OF LAND ADMINISTRATION

The quality of land administration index is composed of five other indices: the reliability of infrastructure, transparency of information, geographic coverage, land dispute resolution and equal access to property rights (table 8.8). Data are collected for each economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.

### Reliability of infrastructure index

The reliability of infrastructure index has six components:

- How land titles are kept at the registry of the largest business city of the economy. A score of 2 is assigned if the majority of land titles are fully digital;

**TABLE 8.8** What do the indicators on the quality of land administration measure?**Reliability of infrastructure index (0–8)**

Type of system for archiving information on land ownership
Availability of electronic database to check for encumbrances
Type of system for archiving maps
Availability of geographic information system
Link between property ownership registry and mapping system

**Transparency of information index (0–6)**

Accessibility of information on land ownership
Accessibility of maps of land plots
Publication of fee schedules, lists of registration documents, service standards
Availability of a specific and separate mechanism for complaints
Publication of statistics about the number of property transactions

**Geographic coverage index (0–8)**

Coverage of land registry at the level of the largest business city and the economy <sup>a</sup>
Coverage of mapping agency at the level of the largest business city and the economy <sup>a</sup>

**Land dispute resolution index (0–8)**

Legal framework for immovable property registration
Mechanisms to prevent and resolve land disputes

**Equal access to property rights (-2–0)**

Unequal ownership rights to property between unmarried men and women
Unequal ownership rights to property between married men and women

**Quality of land administration index (0–30)**

Sum of the reliability of infrastructure, transparency of information, geographic coverage, land dispute resolution indices and equal access to property rights
---

a. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.

1 if the majority are scanned; 0 if the majority are kept in paper format.

- Whether there is an electronic database for checking for encumbrances. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- How maps of land plots are kept at the mapping agency of the largest business city of the economy. A score of 2 is assigned if the majority of maps are fully digital; 1 if the majority are scanned; 0 if the majority are kept in paper format.
- Whether there is a geographic information system—an electronic database for recording boundaries, checking plans and providing cadastral information. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- How the land ownership registry and mapping agency are linked. A score of 1 is assigned if information about land ownership and maps are

kept in a single database or in linked databases; 0 if there is no connection between the different databases.

- How immovable property is identified. A score of 1 is assigned if there is a unique number to identify properties for the majority of land plots; 0 if there are multiple identifiers.

The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating a higher quality of infrastructure for ensuring the reliability of information on property titles and boundaries. In Turkey, for example, the land registry offices in Istanbul maintain titles in a fully digital format (a score of 2) and have a fully electronic database to check for encumbrances (a score of 1). The Cadastral Directorate offices in Istanbul have digital maps (a score of 2), and the Geographical Information Directorate has a public portal allowing

users to check the plans and cadastral information on parcels along with satellite images (a score of 1). Databases about land ownership and maps are linked to each other through the TAKBIS system, an integrated information system for the land registry offices and cadastral offices (a score of 1). Finally, there is a unique identifying number for properties (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Turkey a score of 8 on the reliability of infrastructure index.

**Transparency of information index**

The transparency of information index has 10 components:

- Whether information on land ownership is made publicly available. A score of 1 is assigned if information on land ownership is accessible by anyone; 0 if access is restricted.
- Whether the list of documents required for completing the registration of property transactions is made publicly available. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the list of documents is accessible online or on a public board; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether the fee schedule for completing the registration of property transactions is made publicly available. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the fee schedule is accessible online or on a public board or is free of charge; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether the agency in charge of immovable property registration commits to delivering a legally binding document that proves property ownership within a specific time frame. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the service standard is accessible online or on a public board; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether there is a specific and separate mechanism for filing complaints about a problem that occurred at the agency in charge of immovable property registration. A score of 1

is assigned if there is a specific and separate mechanism for filing a complaint; 0 if there is only a general mechanism or no mechanism.

- Whether there are publicly available official statistics tracking the number of transactions at the immovable property registration agency. A score of 0.5 is assigned if statistics are published about property transfers in the largest business city in the past calendar year at the latest on June 1st of the following year; 0 if no such statistics are made publicly available.
- Whether maps of land plots are made publicly available. A score of 0.5 is assigned if maps are accessible by anyone; 0 if access is restricted.
- Whether the fee schedule for accessing maps is made publicly available. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the fee schedule is accessible online or on a public board or free of charge; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether the mapping agency commits to delivering an updated map within a specific time frame. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the service standard is accessible online or on a public board; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether there is a specific and separate mechanism for filing complaints about a problem that occurred at the mapping agency. A score of 0.5 is assigned if there is a specific and separate mechanism for filing a complaint; 0 if there is only a general mechanism or no mechanism.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating greater transparency in the land administration system. In the Netherlands, for example, anyone who pays a fee can consult the land ownership database (a score of 1). Information can be obtained at the office, by mail or online using the Kadaster website (<http://www.kadaster.nl>). Anyone can also get information online about the list of documents to submit for property registration (a score of 0.5), the fee schedule for registration (a

score of 0.5) and the service standards (a score of 0.5). And anyone facing a problem at the land registry can file a complaint or report an error by filling out a specific form online (a score of 1). In addition, the Kadaster makes statistics about land transactions available to the public, reporting a total of 214,793 property transfers in Amsterdam in 2016 (a score of 0.5). Moreover, anyone who pays a fee can consult online cadastral maps (a score of 0.5). It is also possible to get public access to the fee schedule for map consultation (a score of 0.5), the service standards for delivery of an updated plan (a score of 0.5) and a specific mechanism for filing a complaint about a map (a score of 0.5). Adding these numbers gives the Netherlands a score of 6 on the transparency of information index.

### Geographic coverage index

The geographic coverage index has four components:

- How complete the coverage of the land registry is at the level of the largest business city. A score of 2 is assigned if all privately held land plots in the city are formally registered at the land registry; 0 if not.
  - How complete the coverage of the land registry is at the level of the economy. A score of 2 is assigned if all privately held land plots in the economy are formally registered at the land registry; 0 if not.
  - How complete the coverage of the mapping agency is at the level of the largest business city. A score of 2 is assigned if all privately held land plots in the city are mapped; 0 if not.
  - How complete the coverage of the mapping agency is at the level of the economy. A score of 2 is assigned if all privately held land plots in the economy are mapped; 0 if not.
- The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating greater geographic coverage in land ownership registration and cadastral mapping. In the Republic of Korea, for example, all privately held land plots are formally

registered at the land registry in Seoul (a score of 2) and in the economy as a whole (a score of 2). In addition, all privately held land plots are mapped in Seoul (a score of 2) and in the economy as a whole (a score of 2). Adding these numbers gives Korea a score of 8 on the geographic coverage index.

### Land dispute resolution index

The land dispute resolution index assesses the legal framework for immovable property registration and the accessibility of dispute resolution mechanisms. The index has eight components:

- Whether the law requires that all property sale transactions be registered at the immovable property registry to make them opposable to third parties. A score of 1.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether the formal system of immovable property registration is subject to a guarantee. A score of 0.5 is assigned if either a state or private guarantee over immovable property registration is required by law; 0 if no such guarantee is required.
- Whether there is a specific compensation mechanism to cover for losses incurred by parties who engaged in good faith in a property transaction based on erroneous information certified by the immovable property registry. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether the legal system requires verification of the legal validity of the documents necessary for a property transaction. A score of 0.5 is assigned if there is a review of legal validity, either by the registrar or by a professional (such as a notary or a lawyer); 0 if there is no review.
- Whether the legal system requires verification of the identity of the parties to a property transaction. A score of 0.5 is assigned if there is verification of identity, either by the registrar or by a professional (such as a notary or a lawyer); 0 if there is no verification.
- Whether there is a national database to verify the accuracy of identity

documents. A score of 1 is assigned if such a national database is available; 0 if not.

- How much time it takes to obtain a decision from a court of first instance (without an appeal) in a standard land dispute between two local businesses over tenure rights worth 50 times income per capita and located in the largest business city. A score of 3 is assigned if it takes less than one year; 2 if it takes between one and two years; 1 if it takes between two and three years; 0 if it takes more than three years.
- Whether there are publicly available statistics on the number of land disputes in the first instance. A score of 0.5 is assigned if statistics are published about land disputes in the economy in the past calendar year; 0 if no such statistics are made publicly available.

The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating greater protection against land disputes. In Lithuania, for example, according to the Civil Code and the Law on the Real Property Register, property transactions must be registered at the land registry to make them opposable to third parties (a score of 1.5). The property transfer system is guaranteed by the state (a score of 0.5) and has a compensation mechanism to cover losses incurred by parties who engaged in good faith in a property transaction based on an error by the registry (a score of 0.5). A notary verifies the legal validity of the documents in a property transaction (a score of 0.5) and the identity of the parties (a score of 0.5), in accordance with the Law on the Notary Office (Law I-2882). Lithuania has a national database to verify the accuracy of identity documents (a score of 1). In a land dispute between two Lithuanian companies over the tenure rights of a property worth \$770,000, the Vilnius District Court gives a decision in less than one year (a score of 3). Finally, statistics about land disputes are collected and published; there were a total of 549 land disputes in the country in 2016

(a score of 0.5). Adding these numbers gives Lithuania a score of 8 on the land dispute resolution index.

### **Equal access to property rights index**

The equal access to property rights index has two components:

- Whether unmarried men and unmarried women have equal ownership rights to property. A score of -1 is assigned if there are unequal ownership rights to property; 0 if there is equality.
- Whether married men and married women have equal ownership rights to property. A score of -1 is assigned if there are unequal ownership rights to property; 0 if there is equality.

Ownership rights cover the ability to manage, control, administer, access, encumber, receive, dispose of and transfer property. Each restriction is considered if there is a differential treatment for men and women in the law considering the default marital property regime. For customary land systems, equality is assumed unless there is a general legal provision stating a differential treatment.

The index ranges from -2 to 0, with higher values indicating greater inclusiveness of property rights. In Mali, for example, unmarried men and unmarried women have equal ownership rights to property (a score of 0). The same applies to married men and women who can use their property in the same way (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Mali a score of 0 on the equal access to property rights index—which indicates equal property rights between men and women. On the contrary in Tonga, unmarried men and unmarried women do not have equal ownership rights to property according to the Land Act [Cap 132], Sections 7, 45 and 82 (a score of -1). The same applies to married men and women who are not permitted to use their property in the same way according to the Land Act [Cap 132], Sections 7, 45 and 82 (a score of -1). Adding these numbers

gives Tonga a score of -2 on the equal access to property rights index—which indicates unequal property rights between men and women.

### **Quality of land administration index**

The quality of land administration index is the sum of the scores on the reliability of infrastructure, transparency of information, geographic coverage, land dispute resolution and equal access to property indices. The index ranges from 0 to 30 with higher values indicating better quality of the land administration system.

If private sector entities were unable to register property transfers in an economy between June 2016 and June 2017, the economy receives a “no practice” mark on the procedures, time and cost indicators. A “no practice” economy receives a score of 0 on the quality of land administration index even if its legal framework includes provisions related to land administration.

### **REFORMS**

The registering property indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency and quality of land administration systems every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The registering property indicator set uses two criteria to recognize a reform.

First, the aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2% or more in the distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example, if the implementation of a new electronic property registration

system reduces time in a way that the overall gap decreases by 2% or more, such change is classified as a reform. On the contrary, minor fee updates or other smaller changes in the indicators that have an aggregate impact of less than 2% on the gap are not classified as a reform, but their impact is still reflected in the most updated indicators for this indicator set.

Second, the overall score on the quality of land administration is also considered as a criterion. Any change of 1 point or more on the overall quality score is acknowledged as a reform. For instance, the completion of the geographic coverage of the land registry of the business city (2 points) is considered as a reform.

*The data details on registering property can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>.*


## GETTING CREDIT

*Doing Business* measures the legal rights of borrowers and lenders with respect to secured transactions through one set of indicators and the reporting of credit information through another. The first set of indicators measures whether certain features that facilitate lending exist within the applicable collateral and bankruptcy laws. The second set measures the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through credit reporting service providers such as credit bureaus or credit registries (figure 8.9). The ranking of economies on the ease of getting credit is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for getting credit. These scores are the distance to frontier score for the sum of the strength of legal rights index and the depth of credit information index (figure 8.10).

### LEGAL RIGHTS OF BORROWERS AND LENDERS

The data on the legal rights of borrowers and lenders are gathered through a questionnaire administered to financial

**FIGURE 8.9** Do lenders have credit information on entrepreneurs seeking credit? Is the law favorable to borrowers and lenders using movable assets as collateral?



lawyers and verified through analysis of laws and regulations as well as public sources of information on collateral and bankruptcy laws. Questionnaire responses are verified through several rounds of follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The questionnaire data are confirmed through teleconference calls or on-site visits in all economies.

### Strength of legal rights index

The strength of legal rights index measures the degree to which collateral and bankruptcy laws protect the rights of borrowers and lenders and thus facilitate lending (table 8.9). For each economy it is first determined whether a unitary secured transactions system exists. Then two case scenarios, case A and case B, are used to determine how a nonpossessory security interest is created, publicized and enforced according to the law. Special emphasis is given to how the collateral registry operates (if registration of security interests is possible). The case scenarios involve a secured borrower, company ABC, and a secured lender, BizBank.


In some economies the legal framework for secured transactions will allow only case A or case B (not both) to apply.

Both cases examine the same set of legal provisions relating to the use of movable collateral.

Several assumptions about the secured borrower (ABC) and lender (BizBank) are used:

- ABC is a domestic limited liability company (or its legal equivalent).
- ABC has up to 50 employees.
- ABC has its headquarters and only base of operations in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the

**FIGURE 8.10** Getting credit: collateral rules and credit information



Note: Credit bureau coverage and credit registry coverage are measured but do not count for the rankings.

- second largest business city (table 8A.1).
- Both ABC and BizBank are 100% domestically owned.

The case scenarios also involve assumptions. In case A, as collateral for the loan, ABC grants BizBank a nonpossessory security interest in one category of movable assets, for example, its machinery or its inventory. ABC wants to keep both possession and ownership of the collateral. In economies where the law does not allow nonpossessory security interests in movable property, ABC and BizBank use a fiduciary transfer-of-title arrangement (or a similar substitute for nonpossessory security interests).

In case B, ABC grants BizBank a business charge, enterprise charge, floating charge or any charge that gives BizBank a security interest over ABC's combined movable assets (or as much of ABC's movable assets as possible). ABC keeps ownership and possession of the assets.

The strength of legal rights index covers functional equivalents to security interests in movable assets (such as financial leases and sales with retention of title) only in its first component, to assess how integrated or unified the economy's legal framework for secured transactions is.

**TABLE 8.9 What do the getting credit indicators measure?**

**Strength of legal rights index (0–12)**

Protection of rights of borrowers and lenders through collateral laws (0–10)

Protection of secured creditors' rights through bankruptcy laws (0–2)

**Depth of credit information index (0–8)**

Scope and accessibility of credit information distributed by credit bureaus and credit registries (0–8)

**Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)**

Number of individuals and firms listed in the largest credit bureau as percentage of adult population

**Credit registry coverage (% of adults)**

Number of individuals and firms listed in a credit registry as percentage of adult population

The strength of legal rights index includes 10 aspects related to legal rights in collateral law and 2 aspects in bankruptcy law. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following features of the laws:

- The economy has an integrated or unified legal framework for secured transactions that extends to the creation, publicity and enforcement of four functional equivalents to security interests in movable assets: fiduciary transfers of title; financial leases; assignments or transfers of receivables; and sales with retention of title.
- The law allows a business to grant a nonpossessory security right in a single category of movable assets (such as machinery or inventory), without requiring a specific description of the collateral.
- The law allows a business to grant a nonpossessory security right in substantially all its movable assets, without requiring a specific description of the collateral.
- A security right can be given over future or after-acquired assets and extends automatically to the products, proceeds and replacements of the original assets.
- A general description of debts and obligations is permitted in the collateral agreement and in registration documents, all types of debts and obligations can be secured between the parties, and the collateral agreement can include a maximum amount for which the assets are encumbered.
- A collateral registry or registration institution for security interests granted over movable property by incorporated and nonincorporated entities is in operation, unified geographically and with an electronic database indexed by debtors' names.
- The collateral registry is a notice-based registry—a registry that files only a notice of the existence of a security interest (not the underlying documents) and does not perform a legal review of the transaction. The registry also publicizes functional equivalents to security interests.

- The collateral registry has modern features such as those that allow secured creditors (or their representatives) to register, search, amend or cancel security interests online.
- Secured creditors are paid first (for example, before tax claims and employee claims) when a debtor defaults outside an insolvency procedure.
- Secured creditors are paid first (for example, before tax claims and employee claims) when a business is liquidated.
- Secured creditors are subject to an automatic stay on enforcement procedures when a debtor enters a court-supervised reorganization procedure, but the law protects secured creditors' rights by providing clear grounds for relief from the automatic stay (for example, if the movable property is in danger) and setting a time limit for it.
- The law allows parties to agree in the collateral agreement that the lender may enforce its security right out of court; the law allows public and private auctions and also permits the secured creditor to take the asset in satisfaction of the debt.

The index ranges from 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating that collateral and bankruptcy laws are better designed to expand access to credit.

## REFORMS

The strength of legal rights index tracks changes related to secured transactions and insolvency every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided in two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The strength of legal rights index uses the following criteria to recognize a reform.

All changes in laws and regulations that have any impact on the economy's score

on the existence of a secured transaction legal framework which regulates the creation, publicity and enforcement of nonpossessory security interests and their functional equivalents. Each year, new laws and amendments are evaluated to see if they facilitate obtaining credit by small and medium enterprises, allowing for maximum flexibility in the choice of assets which can be used as collateral. Guidelines, model rules, principles, recommendations and case law are excluded.

Reforms impacting the strength of legal rights index include amendments to or the introduction of a secured transactions act, insolvency code, or civil code as well as the establishment or modernization of any of the features of a collateral registry as measured by the indicators. For example, introducing a law which provides for a collateral registry and actually establishing that collateral registry—which is geographically centralized, unified for all types of movable assets and for both incorporated and non-incorporated entities searchable by debtor's name—would represent a reform with a 1 point increase and would therefore be acknowledged in the report.

## CREDIT INFORMATION

The data on the reporting of credit information are built in two stages. First, banking supervision authorities and public information sources are surveyed to confirm the presence of a credit reporting service provider, such as a credit bureau or credit registry. Second, when applicable, a detailed questionnaire on the credit bureau's or credit registry's structure, laws and associated rules is administered to the entity itself. Questionnaire responses are verified through several rounds of follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The questionnaire data are confirmed through teleconference calls or on-site visits in all economies.

### Depth of credit information index

The depth of credit information index measures rules and practices affecting the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through either a credit bureau or a credit registry.

A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following eight features of the credit bureau or credit registry (or both):

- Data on firms and individuals are distributed.
- Both positive credit information (for example, original loan amounts, outstanding loan amounts and a pattern of on-time repayments) and negative information (for example, late payments and the number and amount of defaults) are distributed.
- Data from retailers or utility companies are distributed in addition to data from financial institutions.
- At least two years of historical data are distributed. Credit bureaus and registries that erase data on defaults as soon as they are repaid or distribute negative information more than 10 years after defaults are repaid receive a score of 0 for this component.
- Data on loan amounts below 1% of income per capita are distributed.
- By law, borrowers have the right to access their data in the largest credit bureau or registry in the economy. Credit bureaus and registries that charge more than 1% of income per capita for borrowers to inspect their data receive a score of 0 for this component.
- Banks and other financial institutions have online access to the credit information (for example, through a web interface, a system-to-system connection or both).
- Bureau or registry credit scores are offered as a value-added service to help banks and other financial institutions assess the creditworthiness of borrowers.

The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating the availability of more

credit information, from either a credit bureau or a credit registry, to facilitate lending decisions. If the credit bureau or registry is not operational or covers less than 5% of the adult population, the score on the depth of credit information index is 0.

In Lithuania, for example, both a credit bureau and a credit registry operate. Both distribute data on firms and individuals (a score of 1). Although the credit registry does not distribute data on on-time repayments, the credit bureau distributes full positive and negative credit information (a score of 1). While the credit registry does not distribute data from retailers or utilities, the credit bureau does (a score of 1). Both distribute at least two years of historical data (a score of 1). Although the credit registry has a threshold of €290, the credit bureau distributes data on loans of any value (a score of 1). Borrowers have the right to access their data in both the credit bureau and the credit registry free of charge once a year (a score of 1). Both entities provide data users access to databases through a web interface (a score of 1). Although the credit registry does not provide credit scores, the credit bureau does (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Lithuania a score of 8 on the depth of credit information index.

### Credit bureau coverage

Credit bureau coverage reports the number of individuals and firms listed in a credit bureau's database as of January 1, 2017, with information on their borrowing history within the past five years, plus the number of individuals and firms that have had no borrowing history in the past five years but for which a lender requested a credit report from the bureau in the period between January 2, 2016, and January 1, 2017. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population (the population age 15 and above in 2016 according to the World Bank's World Development Indicators). A credit bureau is defined as a private firm or nonprofit organization that maintains a database

on the creditworthiness of borrowers (individuals or firms) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among creditors. (Many credit bureaus support banking and overall financial supervision activities in practice, though this is not their primary objective.) Credit investigative bureaus that do not directly facilitate information exchange among banks and other financial institutions are not considered. If no credit bureau operates, the coverage value is 0.0%.

### Credit registry coverage

Credit registry coverage reports the number of individuals and firms listed in a credit registry's database as of January 1, 2017, with information on their borrowing history within the past five years, plus the number of individuals and firms that have had no borrowing history in the past five years but for which a lender requested a credit report from the registry in the period between January 2, 2016, and January 1, 2017. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population (the population age 15 and above in 2016 according to the World Bank's World Development Indicators). A credit registry is defined as a database managed by the public sector, usually by the central bank or the superintendent of banks, that collects information on the creditworthiness of borrowers (individuals or firms) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among banks and other regulated financial institutions (while their primary objective is to assist banking supervision). If no credit registry operates, the coverage value is 0.0%.

### REFORMS

The depth of credit information index tracks changes related to the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through either a credit bureau or a credit registry every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order

to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The credit information index uses three criteria to recognize a reform.

First, all changes in laws, regulations and practices that have any impact on the economy's score on the credit information index are classified as reforms. Examples of reforms impacting the index include measures to distribute positive credit data in addition to negative data, the distribution of credit data from utilities or retailers or the introduction of credit scores as a value-added service. Any change that improves the score of a given economy in any of the eight features of the index is considered a reform. Some reforms can have an impact in more than one feature. For example, the introduction of a new credit bureau covering more than 5% of the adult population that distributes information on firms and individuals, as well as positive and negative data and provides online access to data users, represents a 3 point increase in the index. In contrast, the introduction of legislation that guarantees borrowers' rights to access their data in the largest credit bureau or registry in the economy represents a reform with a 1 point increase in the index.

Second, changes that increase the coverage of the largest credit bureau or registry in an economy above 5% of the adult population may also be classified as reforms. According to the getting credit methodology, if the credit bureau or registry is not operational or covers less than 5% of the adult population, the score on the depth of credit information index is 0. The impact of the reform will depend on the characteristics of the economy's credit reporting system as it relates to the eight features of the index. Expanded coverage that does not reach 5% of the adult population is not classified as a reform but the impact is still reflected on the most updated statistics.

Third, occasionally the credit information index will acknowledge legislative changes with no current impact on the data as reforms. This option is typically reserved to legislative changes of exceptional magnitude, such as the introduction of laws allowing the operation of credit bureaus or laws on personal data protection.

*The data details on getting credit can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. The initial methodology was developed by Djankov, McLeish and Shleifer (2007) and is adopted here with minor changes.*

---

## PROTECTING MINORITY INVESTORS

*Doing Business* measures the protection of minority investors from conflicts of interest through one set of indicators and shareholders' rights in corporate governance through another (table 8.10). The data come from a questionnaire administered to corporate and securities lawyers and are based on securities regulations, company laws, civil procedure codes and court rules of evidence. The ranking of economies on the strength of minority investor protections is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for protecting minority investors. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for the extent of conflict of interest regulation index and the extent of shareholder governance index (figure 8.11).

### PROTECTION OF SHAREHOLDERS FROM CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The extent of conflict of interest regulation index measures the protection of shareholders against directors' misuse of corporate assets for personal gain by distinguishing three dimensions of regulation that address conflicts of interest: transparency of related-party transactions (extent of disclosure index), shareholders' ability to sue and hold directors liable for self-dealing (extent of director liability index) and access to

TABLE 8.10 What do the protecting minority investors indicators measure?

Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)
Review and approval requirements for related-party transactions	Shareholders' rights and role in major corporate decisions
Internal, immediate and periodic disclosure requirements for related-party transactions	
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)
Minority shareholders' ability to sue and hold interested directors liable for prejudicial related-party transactions	Governance safeguards protecting shareholders from undue board control and entrenchment
Available legal remedies (damages, disgorgement of profits, disqualification, rescission of transactions)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)
Access to internal corporate documents	Corporate transparency on significant owners, executive compensation, annual meetings and audits
Evidence obtainable during trial	
Allocation of legal expenses	
Extent of conflict of interest regulation index (0–10)	Extent of shareholder governance index (0–10)
Simple average of the extent of disclosure, extent of director liability and ease of shareholder suits indices	Simple average of the extent of shareholder rights, extent of ownership and control and extent of corporate transparency indices
Strength of minority investor protection index (0–10)	
Simple average of the extent of conflict of interest regulation and extent of shareholder governance indices	

evidence and allocation of legal expenses in shareholder litigation (ease of shareholder suits index). To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the transaction are used (figure 8.12).

### Assumptions about the business

The business (Buyer):

- Is a publicly traded corporation listed on the economy's most important stock exchange. If the number of

publicly traded companies listed on that exchange is less than 10, or if there is no stock exchange in the economy, it is assumed that Buyer is a large private company with multiple shareholders.

- Has a board of directors and a chief executive officer (CEO) who may legally act on behalf of Buyer where permitted, even if this is not specifically required by law.
- Has a supervisory board (applicable to economies with a two-tier board

system) on which 60% of the shareholder-elected members have been appointed by Mr. James, who is Buyer's controlling shareholder and a member of Buyer's board of directors.

- Has not adopted any bylaws or articles of association that go beyond the minimum requirements. Does not follow codes, principles, recommendations or guidelines that are not mandatory.
- Is a manufacturing company with its own distribution network.


### Assumptions about the transaction

- Mr. James owns 60% of Buyer and elected two directors to Buyer's five-member board.
- Mr. James also owns 90% of Seller, a company that operates a chain of retail hardware stores. Seller recently closed a large number of its stores.
- Mr. James proposes that Buyer purchase Seller's unused fleet of trucks to expand Buyer's distribution of its food products, a proposal to which Buyer agrees. The price is equal to 10% of Buyer's assets and is higher than the market value.
- The proposed transaction is part of the company's ordinary course of business and is not outside the authority of the company.
- Buyer enters into the transaction. All required approvals are obtained, and all required disclosures made (that is, the transaction is not fraudulent).

FIGURE 8.11 Protecting minority investors: shareholders' rights in conflicts of interest and corporate governance



FIGURE 8.12 How well are minority shareholders protected from conflicts of interest?



- The transaction causes damages to Buyer. Shareholders sue Mr. James and the other parties that approved the transaction.

### Extent of disclosure index

The extent of disclosure index has five components:

- Which corporate body can provide legally sufficient approval for the transaction. A score of 0 is assigned if it is the CEO or the managing director alone; 1 if the board of directors, the supervisory board or shareholders must vote and Mr. James is permitted to vote; 2 if the board of directors or the supervisory board must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote; 3 if shareholders must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote.
- Whether it is required that an external body, for example, an external auditor, review the transaction before it takes place. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether disclosure by Mr. James to the board of directors or the supervisory board is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if a general disclosure of the existence of a conflict of interest is required without any specifics; 2 if full disclosure of all material facts relating to Mr. James's interest in the Buyer-Seller transaction is required.
- Whether immediate disclosure of the transaction to the public, the regulator or the shareholders is required.<sup>4</sup> A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction is required but not on Mr. James's conflict of interest; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required.
- Whether disclosure in the annual report is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure on the transaction is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction is required but not on Mr. James's conflict of interest; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater disclosure. In Poland, for example, the board of directors must approve the transaction and Mr. James is not allowed to vote (a score of 2). Poland does not require an external body to review the transaction (a score of 0). Before the transaction Mr. James must disclose his conflict of interest to the other directors, but he is not required to provide specific information about it (a score of 1). Buyer is required to disclose immediately all information affecting the stock price, including the conflict of interest (a score of 2). In its annual report Buyer must also disclose the terms of the transaction and Mr. James's ownership in Buyer and Seller (a score of 2). Adding these numbers gives Poland a score of 7 on the extent of disclosure index.

### Extent of director liability index

The extent of director liability index has seven components:<sup>5</sup>

- Whether shareholder plaintiffs are able to sue directly or derivatively for the damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if suits are unavailable or are available only for shareholders holding more than 10% of the company's share capital; 1 if direct or derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% of share capital.
- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold Mr. James liable for the damage the Buyer-Seller transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if Mr. James cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud, bad faith or gross negligence; 1 if Mr. James can be held liable only if he influenced the approval of the transaction or was negligent; 2 if Mr. James can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.
- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold the approving body (the CEO, members of the board of directors or members of the supervisory board) liable for the damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of

0 is assigned if the approving body cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud, bad faith or gross negligence; 1 if the approving body can be held liable for negligence; 2 if the approving body can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.

- Whether Mr. James pays damages for the harm caused to the company upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether Mr. James repays profits made from the transaction upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether Mr. James is disqualified upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if he is disqualified—that is, disallowed from representing or holding a managerial position in any company for a year or more.
- Whether a court can void the transaction upon a successful claim by a shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if rescission is unavailable or is available only in case of fraud, bad faith or gross negligence; 1 if rescission is available when the transaction is oppressive or prejudicial to the other shareholders; 2 if rescission is available when the transaction is unfair or entails a conflict of interest.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater liability of directors. In Austria, for example, derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% of share capital (a score of 1). Assuming that the prejudicial transaction was duly approved and disclosed, in order to hold Mr. James liable a plaintiff must prove that Mr. James influenced the approving body or acted negligently (a score of 1). To hold the other directors liable, a plaintiff must prove that they acted negligently (a score of 1). If Mr. James is found liable, he must pay damages (a score of 1) and is required to disgorge his profits (a score of 1). Mr. James

can be neither fined and imprisoned nor disqualified (a score of 0). The prejudicial transaction cannot be voided (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Austria a score of 5 on the extent of director liability index.

### Ease of shareholder suits index

The ease of shareholder suits index has six components:

- Whether shareholders owning 10% of the company's share capital have the right to inspect the transaction documents before filing suit or request that a government inspector investigate the Buyer-Seller transaction without filing suit. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- What range of documents is available to the shareholder plaintiff from the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following types of documents available: information that the defendant has indicated he intends to rely on for his defense; information that directly proves specific facts in the plaintiff's claim; and any information relevant to the subject matter of the claim.
- Whether the plaintiff can obtain categories of relevant documents from the defendant without identifying each document specifically. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether the plaintiff can directly examine the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes, with prior approval of the questions by the judge; 2 if yes, without prior approval.
- Whether the standard of proof for civil suits is lower than that for a criminal case. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholder plaintiffs can recover their legal expenses from the company. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if plaintiffs can recover their legal expenses from the company only upon a successful outcome of their legal action or if payment of their attorney fees is contingent on a successful outcome; 2 if plaintiffs can

recover their legal expenses from the company regardless of the outcome of their legal action.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater powers of shareholders to challenge the transaction. In Croatia, for example, a shareholder holding 10% of Buyer's shares can request that a government inspector review suspected mismanagement by Mr. James and the CEO without filing suit in court (a score of 1). The plaintiff can access documents that the defendant intends to rely on for his defense (a score of 1). The plaintiff must specifically identify the documents being sought (for example, the Buyer-Seller purchase agreement of July 15, 2015) and cannot simply request categories (for example, all documents related to the transaction) (a score of 0). The plaintiff can examine the defendant and witnesses during trial, without prior approval of the questions by the court (a score of 2). The standard of proof for civil suits is preponderance of the evidence, while the standard for a criminal case is beyond a reasonable doubt (a score of 1). The plaintiff can recover legal expenses from the company only upon a successful outcome of the legal action (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Croatia a score of 6 on the ease of shareholder suits index.

### Extent of conflict of interest regulation index

The extent of conflict of interest regulation index is the average of the extent of disclosure index, the extent of director liability index and the ease of shareholder suits index. The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating stronger regulation of conflicts of interest.

### SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The extent of shareholder governance index measures shareholders' rights in corporate governance by distinguishing three dimensions of good governance:

shareholders' rights and role in major corporate decisions (extent of shareholder rights index), governance safeguards protecting shareholders from undue board control and entrenchment (extent of ownership and control index) and corporate transparency on ownership stakes, compensation, audits and financial prospects (extent of corporate transparency index). The index also measures whether a subset of relevant rights and safeguards are available in limited companies.

### Assumptions about the business

- The business (Buyer) is a publicly traded corporation listed on the economy's most important stock exchange. If there is no stock exchange in the economy, it is assumed that Buyer is a large private company with multiple shareholders. Examples of company forms that can be listed and have a large number of shareholders include: the Joint Stock Company (JSC), the Public Limited Company (PLC), the C Corporation, the Societas Europaea (SE), the Aktiengesellschaft (AG) and the Société Anonyme/Sociedad Anónima (SA).
- In 10 of the questions, the assessment is made "assuming that Buyer is a limited company." Buyer is instead a limited liability company or its functional equivalent: a distinct and simpler company form that cannot offer shares to the public. Examples include the Private Limited Company (Ltd), the Limited Liability Company (LLC), the Sociedad de Responsabilidad Limitada (SRL), the Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (GmbH) and the Société à Responsabilité Limitée (SARL).

### Extent of shareholder rights index

For each component of the extent of shareholder rights index, a score of 0 is assigned if the answer is no; 1 if yes. The index has 10 components:

- Whether the sale of 51% of Buyer's assets requires shareholder approval.

- Whether shareholders representing 10% of Buyer's share capital have the right to call for an extraordinary meeting of shareholders.
- Whether Buyer must obtain its shareholders' approval every time it issues new shares.
- Whether shareholders automatically receive preemption or subscription rights every time Buyer issues new shares.
- Whether the election and dismissal of the external auditor must be approved by the shareholders.
- Whether changes to rights associated with a class of shares are only possible if the holders of the affected shares approve those changes.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether the sale of 51% of Buyer's assets requires member approval.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether members representing 10% have the right to call for a meeting of members.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether all members must consent to add a new member.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether members must first offer their interest to the existing members before they can sell to non-members.

### Extent of ownership and control index

For each component of the extent of ownership and control index, a score of 0 is assigned if the answer is no; 1 if yes. The index has 10 components:

- Whether the same individual cannot be appointed CEO and chair of the board of directors.
- Whether the board of directors must include independent and nonexecutive board members.
- Whether shareholder can remove members of the board of directors without cause before the end of their term.
- Whether the board of directors must include a separate audit committee.

- Whether a potential acquirer must make a tender offer to all shareholders upon acquiring 50% of Buyer.
- Whether Buyer must pay declared dividends within a maximum period set by law.
- Whether a subsidiary cannot acquire shares issued by its parent company.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether it must have a mechanism to resolve disagreements among members.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether a potential acquirer must make a tender offer to all members upon acquiring 50% of Buyer.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether Buyer must distribute profits within a maximum period set by law.

### Extent of corporate transparency index

For each component of the extent of corporate transparency index, a score of 0 is assigned if the answer is no; 1 if yes. The index has 10 components:

- Whether Buyer must disclose direct and indirect beneficial ownership stakes representing 5%.
- Whether Buyer must disclose information about board members' primary employment and directorships in other companies.
- Whether Buyer must disclose the compensation of individual managers.
- Whether a detailed notice of general meeting must be sent 21 calendar days before the meeting.
- Whether shareholders representing 5% of Buyer's share capital can put items on the general meeting agenda.
- Whether Buyer's annual financial statements must be audited by an external auditor.
- Whether Buyer must disclose its audit reports to the public.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether members must meet at least once a year.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether members

representing 5% can put items on the meeting agenda.

- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company larger than a threshold set by law, whether its annual financial statements must be audited by an external auditor.

### Extent of shareholder governance index

The extent of shareholder governance index is the average of the extent of shareholder rights index, the extent of ownership and control index and the extent of corporate transparency index. The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating stronger rights of shareholders in corporate governance.

### REFORMS

The protecting minority investors indicator set captures changes related to the regulation of related-party transactions as well as corporate governance every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. They are divided into two types: reforms that make it easier to do business and changes that make it more difficult to do business. The protecting minority investors indicator set uses the following criteria to recognize a reform.

All legislative and regulatory changes that impact the score assigned to a given economy on any of the 48 questions comprising the six indicators on minority investor protection are classified as a reform. The change must be mandatory, meaning that failure to comply allows shareholders to sue in court or for sanctions to be leveled by a regulatory body such as the company registrar, the capital market authority or the securities and exchange commission. Guidelines, model rules, principles, recommendations and duties to explain in case of non-compliance are excluded. When a change exclusively affects companies that are listed on the stock exchange, it will be captured

only if the stock exchange has 10 or more equity listings. If the economy has no stock exchange or a stock exchange with less than 10 equity listings, the change is taken into account only if it affects companies irrespective of whether their shares are listed or not.

Reforms impacting the protecting minority investors indicator set include amendments to or the introduction of a new companies act, commercial code, securities regulation, code of civil procedure, court rules, law, decree, order, supreme court decision, or stock exchange listing rule. The changes must affect the rights and duties of issuers, company managers, directors and shareholders in connection with related-party transactions or, more generally, the aspects of corporate governance measured by the indicators. For example, in a given economy, related-party transactions have to be approved by the board of directors including board members who have a personal financial interest in seeing the transaction succeed. This economy introduces a law requiring that related-party transactions be approved instead by a general meeting of shareholders and that excludes shareholders with conflicting interests from participating in the vote. This law would result in a 2-point increase on the corresponding question in the extent of disclosure index and would therefore be acknowledged in the report.

The data details on protecting minority investors can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. The initial methodology was developed by Djankov, La Porta and others (2008).

## PAYING TAXES

*Doing Business* records the taxes and mandatory contributions that a medium-size company must pay in a given year as well as measures of the administrative burden of paying taxes and contributions and complying with postfiling procedures (figure 8.13). The project was developed and implemented in cooperation with PwC.<sup>6</sup> Taxes and contributions measured include the profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer, property taxes, property transfer taxes, dividend tax, capital gains tax, financial transactions tax, waste collection taxes, vehicle and road taxes, and any other small taxes or fees.


The ranking of economies on the ease of paying taxes is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for paying taxes. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 8.14), with a threshold and a nonlinear transformation applied to one of the component indicators, the total tax and contribution rate.<sup>7</sup> The threshold is defined

as the total tax and contribution rate at the 15th percentile of the overall distribution for all years included in the analysis up to and including *Doing Business 2015*, which is 26.1%. All economies with a total tax and contribution rate below this threshold receive the same score as the economy at the threshold.


The threshold is not based on any economic theory of an “optimal tax rate” that minimizes distortions or maximizes efficiency in an economy’s overall tax system. Instead, it is mainly empirical in nature, set at the lower end of the distribution of tax rates levied on medium-size enterprises in the manufacturing sector as observed through the paying taxes indicators. This reduces the bias in the total tax and contribution rate indicator toward economies that do not need to levy significant taxes on companies like the *Doing Business* standardized case study company because they raise public revenue in other ways—for example, through taxes on foreign companies, through taxes on sectors other than manufacturing or from natural resources (all of which are outside the scope of the methodology).

*Doing Business* measures all taxes and contributions that are government mandated (at any level—federal, state or local) and that apply to the standardized business and have an impact in its financial

**FIGURE 8.13** What are the time, total tax and contribution rate and number of payments necessary for a local medium-size company to pay all taxes and how efficient is it for a local medium-size company to comply with postfiling processes?



**FIGURE 8.14** Paying taxes: tax compliance for a local manufacturing company



Note: All economies below the threshold receive the same score in the total tax and contribution rate component as the economies at the threshold. If both VAT and corporate income tax apply, the postfiling index is the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the four components: time to comply with VAT refund, time to obtain VAT refund, time to comply with corporate income tax audit and time to complete a corporate income tax audit. If only VAT or corporate income tax applies, the postfiling index is the simple average of the scores for only the two components pertaining to the applicable tax. If neither VAT nor corporate income tax applies, the postfiling index is not included in the ranking of the ease of paying taxes.

statements. In doing so, *Doing Business* goes beyond the traditional definition of a tax. As defined for the purposes of government national accounts, taxes include only compulsory, unrequited payments to general government. *Doing Business* departs from this definition because it measures imposed charges that affect business accounts, not government accounts. One main difference relates to labor contributions. The *Doing Business* measure includes government-mandated contributions paid by the employer to a required private pension fund or workers' insurance fund. It includes, for example, Australia's compulsory superannuation guarantee and workers' compensation insurance. For the purpose of calculating the total tax and contribution rate (defined below), only taxes borne are

included. For example, value added taxes (VAT) are generally excluded (provided that they are not irrecoverable) because they do not affect the accounting profits of the business—that is, they are not reflected in the income statement. They are, however, included for the purpose of the compliance measures (time and payments), as they add to the burden of complying with the tax system.

*Doing Business* uses a case scenario to measure the taxes and contributions paid by a standardized business and the complexity of an economy's tax compliance system. This case scenario uses a set of financial statements and assumptions about transactions made over the course of the year. In each economy tax experts from a number of different firms (in many economies these include PwC) compute the taxes and mandatory contributions due in their jurisdiction based on the standardized case study facts. Information is also compiled on the frequency of filing and payments, the time taken to comply with tax laws in an economy, the time taken to request and process a VAT refund claim and the time taken to comply with and complete a corporate income tax audit. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the taxes and contributions are used.

### Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is 100% domestically owned and has five owners, all of whom are natural persons.
- At the end of 2015, has a start-up capital of 102 times income per capita.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities. Specifically, it produces ceramic flowerpots and sells them at retail. It does not participate in foreign trade (no import or export) and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco.
- At the beginning of 2016, owns two plots of land, one building, machinery, office equipment, computers and one truck and leases one truck.
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any benefits apart from those related to the age or size of the company.
- Has 60 employees—4 managers, 8 assistants and 48 workers. All are nationals, and one manager is also an owner. The company pays for additional medical insurance for employees (not mandated by any law) as an additional benefit. In addition, in some economies reimbursable business travel and client entertainment expenses are considered fringe benefits. When applicable, it is assumed that the company pays the fringe benefit tax on this expense or that the benefit becomes taxable income for the employee. The case study assumes no additional salary additions for meals, transportation, education or others. Therefore, even when such benefits are frequent, they are not added to or removed from the taxable gross salaries to arrive at the labor tax or contribution calculation.
- Has a turnover of 1,050 times income per capita.
- Makes a loss in the first year of operation.
- Has a gross margin (pretax) of 20% (that is, sales are 120% of the cost of goods sold).
- Distributes 50% of its net profits as dividends to the owners at the end of the second year.

- Sells one of its plots of land at a profit at the beginning of the second year.
- Is subject to a series of detailed assumptions on expenses and transactions to further standardize the case. For example, the owner who is also a manager spends 10% of income per capita on traveling for the company (20% of this owner's expenses are purely private, 20% are for entertaining customers, and 60% are for business travel). All financial statement variables are proportional to 2012 income per capita (this is an update from *Doing Business 2013* and previous years' reports, where the variables were proportional to 2005 income per capita). For some economies a multiple of two or three times income per capita has been used to estimate the financial statement variables.<sup>8</sup> The 2012 income per capita was not sufficient to bring the salaries of all the case study employees up to the minimum wage thresholds that exist in these economies.

### Assumptions about the taxes and contributions

- All the taxes and contributions recorded are those paid in the second year of operation (calendar year 2016). A tax or contribution is considered distinct if it has a different name or is collected by a different agency. Taxes and contributions with the same name and agency, but charged at different rates depending on the business, are counted as the same tax or contribution.
- The number of times the company pays taxes and contributions in a year is the number of different taxes or contributions multiplied by the frequency of payment (or withholding) for each tax. The frequency of payment includes advance payments (or withholding) as well as regular payments (or withholding).

### Tax payments

The tax payments indicator reflects the total number of taxes and contributions paid, the method of payment, the

frequency of payment, the frequency of filing and the number of agencies involved for the standardized case study company during the second year of operation (table 8.11). It includes taxes withheld by the company, such as sales tax, VAT and employee-borne labor taxes. These taxes are traditionally collected by the company from the consumer or employee on behalf of the tax agencies. Although they do not affect the income statements of the company, they add to the administrative burden of complying with the tax system and so are included in the tax payments measure.

The number of payments takes into account electronic filing. Where full electronic filing and payment is allowed and it is used by the majority of medium-size businesses, the tax is counted as paid once a year even if filings and payments are more frequent. For payments made through third parties, such as tax on interest paid by a financial institution or fuel tax paid by a fuel distributor, only one payment is included even if payments are more frequent.

Where two or more taxes or contributions are filed for and paid jointly using the same form, each of these joint payments is counted once. For example, if mandatory health insurance contributions and mandatory pension contributions are filed for and paid together, only one of these contributions would be included in the number of payments.

### Time

Time is recorded in hours per year. The indicator measures the time taken to prepare, file and pay three major types of taxes and contributions: the corporate income tax, value added or sales tax, and labor taxes, including payroll taxes and social contributions. Preparation time includes the time to collect all information necessary to compute the tax payable and to calculate the amount payable. If separate accounting books must be kept for tax purposes—or separate calculations made—the time associated with these processes is included. This

**TABLE 8.11 What do the paying taxes indicators measure?**

**Tax payments for a manufacturing company in 2016 (number per year adjusted for electronic and joint filing and payment)**

Total number of taxes and contributions paid, including consumption taxes (value added tax, sales tax or goods and service tax)

Method and frequency of filing and payment

**Time required to comply with three major taxes (hours per year)**

Collecting information and computing the tax payable

Completing tax return forms, filing with proper agencies

Arranging payment or withholding

Preparing separate mandatory tax accounting books, if required

**Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit before all taxes)**

Profit or corporate income tax

Social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer

Property and property transfer taxes

Dividend, capital gains and financial transactions taxes

Waste collection, vehicle, road and other taxes

**Postfiling index**

Compliance time of a VAT refund process

Time to receive a VAT refund

Compliance time of correcting an error in the corporate income tax return including compliance with an audit process if applicable

Time to complete a corporate income tax audit

extra time is included only if the regular accounting work is not enough to fulfill the tax accounting requirements. Filing time includes the time to complete all necessary tax return forms and file the relevant returns at the tax authority. Payment time considers the hours needed to make the payment online or in person. Where taxes and contributions are paid in person, the time includes delays while waiting.

### Total tax and contribution rate

The total tax and contribution rate measures the amount of taxes and mandatory contributions borne by the business in the second year of operation, expressed as a share of commercial profit. *Doing Business 2018* reports

the total tax and contribution rate for calendar year 2016. The total amount of taxes and contributions borne is the sum of all the different taxes and contributions payable after accounting for allowable deductions and exemptions. The taxes withheld (such as personal income tax) or collected by the company and remitted to the tax authorities (such as VAT, sales tax or goods and service tax) but not borne by the company are excluded. The taxes included can be divided into five categories: profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer (for which all mandatory contributions are included, even if paid to a private entity such as a requited pension fund), property taxes, turnover taxes and other taxes (such as municipal fees and vehicle taxes). Fuel taxes are no longer included in the total tax and contribution rate because of the difficulty of computing these taxes in a consistent way for all economies covered. The fuel tax amounts are in most cases very small, and measuring these amounts is often complicated because they depend on fuel consumption. Fuel taxes continue to be counted in the number of payments.

The total tax and contribution rate is designed to provide a comprehensive measure of the cost of all the taxes a business bears. It differs from the statutory tax rate, which merely provides the

factor to be applied to the tax base. In computing the total tax and contribution rate, the actual tax or contribution payable is divided by commercial profit. Data for Iraq are provided as an example (table 8.12).

Commercial profit is essentially net profit before all taxes and contributions borne. It differs from the conventional profit before tax, reported in financial statements. In computing profit before tax, many of the taxes borne by a firm are deductible. In computing commercial profit, these taxes are not deductible. Commercial profit therefore presents a clear picture of the actual profit of a business before any of the taxes it bears in the course of the fiscal year.

Commercial profit is computed as sales minus cost of goods sold, minus gross salaries, minus administrative expenses, minus other expenses, minus provisions, plus capital gains (from the property sale) minus interest expense, plus interest income and minus commercial depreciation. To compute the commercial depreciation, a straight-line depreciation method is applied, with the following rates: 0% for the land, 5% for the building, 10% for the machinery, 33% for the computers, 20% for the office equipment, 20% for the truck and 10% for business development expenses. Commercial profit amounts to 59.4 times income per capita.

The methodology for calculating the total tax and contribution rate is broadly consistent with the Total Tax Contribution framework developed by PwC and the calculation within this framework for taxes borne. But while the work undertaken by PwC is usually based on data received from the largest companies in the economy, *Doing Business* focuses on a case study for a standardized medium-size company.

### Postfiling index

The postfiling index is based on four components—time to comply with VAT refund, time to obtain VAT refund, time to comply with corporate income tax audit and time to complete a corporate income tax audit. If both VAT and corporate income tax apply, the postfiling index is the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the four components. If only VAT or corporate income tax applies, the postfiling index is the simple average of the scores for only the two components pertaining to the applicable tax. If neither VAT nor corporate income tax applies, the postfiling index is not included in the ranking of the ease of paying taxes.

The definition of a tax audit includes any interaction between the taxpayer and the tax authority post filing of the tax return and payment of the tax liability due. Tax audit will include a correspondence between the taxpayer and the

TABLE 8.12 Computing the total tax and contribution rate for Iraq

	Statutory rate	Taxable base <i>b</i> (ID)	Actual tax payable <i>a = r x b</i> (ID)	Commercial profit (ID)	Total tax and contribution rate
Corporate income tax (taxable income)	15	452,461,855	67,869,278	453,188,210	14.98%
Employer paid—Social security contributions (taxable wages)	12	511,191,307	61,342,957	453,188,210	13.54%
Employee paid—Social security contributions (taxable wages)	5.00%	511,191,307			Not included
Stamp duty on contracts	Fixed fee	Varies	Small amount		Small amount
Real Estate Ownership Transfer tax	0%–6%	Value of property	10,480,197	453,188,210	2.31%
<b>Total</b>			<b>139,692,432</b>		<b>30.82%</b>

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: Commercial profit is assumed to be 59.4 times income per capita. ID is Iraqi dinar.

\* Profit before all taxes borne.

tax authority examining the taxpayers records and dealings to verify whether such taxpayers have correctly assessed and reported their tax liability and fulfilled other obligations.

The indicators are based on expanded case study assumptions.

### Assumptions about the VAT refund process

- In June 2016, TaxpayerCo. makes a large capital purchase: one additional machine for manufacturing pots.
- The value of the machine is 65 times income per capita of the economy.
- Sales are equally spread per month (that is, 1,050 times income per capita divided by 12).
- Cost of goods sold are equally expensed per month (that is, 875 times income per capita divided by 12).
- The seller of the machinery is registered for VAT.
- Excess input VAT incurred in June will be fully recovered after four consecutive months if the VAT rate is the same for inputs, sales and the machine and the tax reporting period is every month.
- Input VAT will exceed output VAT in June 2016 (table 8.13).

### Assumptions about the corporate income tax audit process

- An error in the calculation of the income tax liability (for example, use of incorrect tax depreciation rates, or incorrectly treating an expense as tax deductible) leads to an incorrect income tax return and consequently an underpayment of corporate income tax.
- TaxpayerCo. discovered the error and voluntarily notified the tax authority of the error in the corporate income tax return.
- The value of the underpaid income tax liability is 5% of the corporate income tax liability due.
- TaxpayerCo. submits the corrected information after the deadline for

TABLE 8.13 Computing the value of the VAT input tax credit for Albania

	VAT rate <i>R</i>	Output VAT <i>R x Sales</i>	Input VAT ( <i>R x A + R x B</i> )
<b>Sales =</b> ALL 37,398,864.84	20%	ALL 7,479,772.97	
<b>Capital purchase (A) =</b> ALL 27,782,013.88	20%		ALL 5,556,402.78
<b>Raw material expenses (B) =</b> ALL 31,165,720.70	20%		ALL 6,233,144.14
<b>VAT refund</b> ( <i>R x A + R x B</i> ) – ( <i>R x Sales</i> )			ALL 4,309,773.95

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: ALL is Albanian lek.

submitting the annual tax return, but within the tax assessment period.

### Time to comply with VAT refund

Time is recorded in hours. The indicator has two parts:

- The process of claiming a VAT refund. Time includes: time spent by TaxpayerCo. on gathering VAT information from internal sources, including time spent on any additional analysis of accounting information and calculating the VAT refund amount; time spent by TaxpayerCo. on preparing the VAT refund claim; time spent by TaxpayerCo. preparing any additional documents that are needed to substantiate the claim for the VAT refund; time spent submitting the VAT refund claim and additional documents if that submission is done separately from the submission of the standard VAT return; time spent making representation at the tax office if required; and time spent by TaxpayerCo. completing any other mandatory activities or tasks associated with the VAT refund (table 8.13). As a continuation of the methodology change in *Doing Business 2017*, the time spent submitting the VAT refund and additional documents—if that submission is done separately from the submission of the standard VAT return—is added starting from *Doing Business 2018*.
- The process of a VAT audit. This is captured if a request for a VAT cash

refund due to a capital purchase triggers an audit in 50% or more of cases. Time includes: time spent by TaxpayerCo. on gathering information and preparing any documentation (information such as receipts, financial statements, pay stubs) as required by the tax auditor; time spent by TaxpayerCo. on submitting the documents requested by the auditor.

A total estimate of zero hours is recorded if the process of claiming a VAT refund is done automatically within the standard VAT return without the need to complete any additional section or part of the return, no additional documents or tasks are required as a result of the input tax credit and in 50% or more of similar cases the company will not be subjected to an audit.

An estimate of half an hour is recorded for submission of documents if the submission is done electronically and is a matter of minutes. An estimate of zero hours is recorded in the case of a field audit if documents are submitted in person and at the taxpayer's premises.

In Kosovo, for example, taxpayers spend 35.5 hours complying with the process of claiming a VAT refund. Taxpayers must submit a special form for a VAT refund request in addition to the standard VAT return. Taxpayers spend two hours gathering information from internal sources and accounting records and 1 hour to prepare the form. Taxpayers must also prepare and

have available for review all purchase and sales invoices for the past three months, a business explanation of VAT overpayment for large purchases or investments, bank statements, any missing tax declaration and a copy of fiscal and VAT certificates. Taxpayers spend four hours preparing these additional documents and five hours and thirty minutes submitting these documents in person at the tax authority office. Taxpayers must also appear in person at the tax office to explain the VAT refund claim and the reasons for the excess input VAT in the month of June. This takes three hours. Additionally, the claim for a VAT refund would trigger a full audit at the taxpayer's premises. Taxpayers spend 20 hours preparing the documents requested by the auditor including purchase and sales invoices, bills, bank transactions, records on accounting software, tax returns and contracts. Taxpayers submit the documents to the auditor in person at their premises (zero hours for submission).

### Time to obtain VAT refund

Time is recorded in weeks. Time measures the total waiting time to receive a VAT refund from the moment the request has been submitted. If a request for a VAT cash refund due to a capital purchase will trigger an audit in 50% or more of cases time includes time to start the audit from the moment of claiming the VAT refund, time spent by TaxpayerCo. interacting with the auditor from the moment an audit begins until there are no further interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor (including the various rounds of interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor), the time spent waiting for the tax auditor to issue the final audit decision from the moment TaxpayerCo. has submitted all relevant information and documents and there are no further interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor and the time spent waiting for the release of the VAT refund payment from the moment the final audit decision has been issued by the auditor. As a continuation of the methodology change in *Doing Business 2017*, two new time components are added starting from

*Doing Business 2018*. These include: time to start the tax audit and time waiting for the release of the VAT refund payment.

Time also includes an average waiting time to submit the refund claim. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is half a month if the VAT refund claim is filed monthly. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is one month if the VAT refund claim is filed bimonthly. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is one and a half months if the VAT refund claim is filed quarterly. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is three months if the VAT refund claim is filed semi-annually. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is six months if the VAT refund claim is filed annually.

Time includes the mandatory carry forward time before a VAT refund in cash can be paid. The carry forward time is zero if there is no mandatory carry forward period.

In Albania, for example, it takes 37.0 weeks to receive a VAT refund. The request for a VAT refund triggers an audit by the tax authorities. It takes four weeks for the tax authority to start the audit. Taxpayers spend 8.6 weeks interacting with the auditor and wait four weeks until the final assessment is issued. Taxpayers only receive the VAT refund after the audit is completed. Taxpayers wait five weeks for the release of the VAT refund payment. In Albania the taxpayers must carry forward the VAT refund for three consecutive VAT accounting periods (three months in the case of Albania) before a refund in cash is requested. The three months (13 weeks) carry forward period is included in the total time to receive a VAT refund. The VAT return is filed monthly and thus 0.5 month (2.1 weeks) is included in the total time to receive a VAT refund.

If an economy does not have a VAT, the economy will not be scored on the two indicators for a VAT refund process—time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in

Bahrain. If an economy has a VAT and the purchase of a machine is not subject to VAT, the economy will not be scored on time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Sierra Leone. If an economy has a VAT that was introduced in calendar year 2016 and there is not sufficient data to assess the refund process, the economy will not be scored on time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund.

If an economy has a VAT but the ability to claim a refund is restricted to specific categories of taxpayers that do not include the case study company, the economy is assigned a score of 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. In Bolivia, for example, only exporters are eligible to request a VAT refund. As a result, Bolivia receives a score of 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. If an economy has a VAT and the case study company is eligible to claim a refund but cash refunds do not occur in practice, the economy is assigned a score of 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Central African Republic. If an economy has a VAT but there is no refund mechanism in place, the economy is assigned a score of 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Sudan. If an economy has a VAT but input tax on a capital purchase is a cost on the business, the economy is scored 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Myanmar. As a continuation of the methodology change in *Doing Business 2017*, the methodology for the cases of mandatory carry forward of four months or more has been refined. All economies who mandate taxpayers to carry forward the excess input VAT for four months or more before a cash refund can be requested are coded, starting from *Doing Business 2018*, on the time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT

refund assuming that there is still some VAT credit remaining after the mandatory carry forward has passed.

### Time to comply with corporate income tax audit

Time is recorded in hours. The indicator has two parts:

- The process of notifying the tax authorities of the error, amending the return and making additional payment. Time includes: time spent by TaxpayerCo. gathering information and preparing the documents required to notify the tax authorities; time spent by TaxpayerCo. in submitting the documents; and time spent by TaxpayerCo. in making the additional tax payment if the payment is done separately from the submission of the amended corporate income tax return. As a continuation of the methodology change in *Doing Business 2017*, the time spent in making the additional tax payment was added starting from *Doing Business 2018* only if the payment is not done separately from the submission of the amended return.
- The process of complying with a corporate income tax audit. This is captured if self-reporting an error in the corporate income tax return resulting in an underpayment of the corporate income tax due liability triggers an audit in 25% or more of cases. The threshold used for assessing the corporate income tax audit is lower than the threshold used in the case of the VAT cash refund. This is because the case study scenario of self-reporting an error in the corporate income tax return and resulting in an underpayment of the tax liability should only be an issue among a small sample of companies selected for a tax audit. On the contrary to the VAT cash refund, it is common that a one-time request for a VAT cash refund be exposed to a tax audit. Time includes: time spent by TaxpayerCo. on gathering information and preparing any documentation (information such as receipts, financial statements, pay

stubs) as required by the tax auditor; and time spent by TaxpayerCo. in submitting the documents requested by the auditor.

An estimate of half an hour is recorded for submission of documents or payment of the income tax liability due if the submission or payment is done electronically in several minutes. An estimate of zero hours is recorded in the case of a field audit if documents are submitted in person and at the taxpayer's premises.

In the Slovak Republic, for example, taxpayers would submit an amended corporate income tax return electronically. It takes taxpayers one hour to correct the error in the return, half an hour to submit the amended return online and half an hour to make the additional payment online. Amending a corporate income tax return per the case study scenario in the Slovak Republic would not trigger an audit. This brings the total compliance time to two hours.

### Time to complete a corporate income tax audit

Time is recorded in weeks. Time includes the time to start an audit from the moment the tax authority has been notified of the error in the corporate income tax return, time spent by TaxpayerCo. interacting with the auditor from the moment an audit begins until there are no further interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor (including the various rounds of interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor), and time spent waiting for the tax auditor to issue the final tax assessment from the moment TaxpayerCo. has submitted all relevant information and documents and there are no further interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor. As a continuation of the methodology change in *Doing Business 2017*, the time to start the tax audit is now taken into account.

Time to complete a corporate income tax audit is recorded as zero if in less than 25% of similar cases the case study company will not go through an audit.

In Switzerland, for example, taxpayers are subject to a single-issue audit conducted at the taxpayer's premises as a result of amending a corporate income tax return per the case study scenario. Taxpayers wait 30 days (4.28 weeks) until the tax authority starts the audit and interact for a total of four days (0.57 weeks) with the auditor and wait for four weeks until the final assessment is issued by the auditor, resulting in a total of 8.86 weeks to complete a corporate income tax audit.

If an economy does not levy corporate income tax, the economy will not be scored on the two indicators: time to comply with corporate income tax audit and time to complete a corporate income tax audit. This is the case in Vanuatu.

An economy receives a "no practice" mark on the payments, time, total tax and contribution rate and postfiling index indicators if the economy does not levy any taxes or mandatory contributions.

### REFORMS

The paying taxes indicator set tracks changes related to the different taxes and mandatory contributions that a medium-size company must pay in a given year, the administrative burden of paying taxes and contributions and the administrative burden of complying with two postfiling processes (VAT refund, and tax audit) per calendar year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The paying taxes indicator set uses one criterion to recognize a reform.

The aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2% or more on the distance to frontier gap is


classified as a reform (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example, if the implementation of a new electronic system for filing or paying one of the three major taxes (corporate income tax, VAT, labor taxes and mandatory contributions) reduces the time or the number of payments in a way that the overall gap decreases by 2% or more, such change is classified as a reform. Alternatively, minor updates to tax rates or fixed charges or other smaller changes in the indicators that have an aggregate impact less than 2% on the gap are not classified as a reform, but their impact is still reflected on the most updated indicators for this indicator set.

The data details on paying taxes can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. This methodology was developed by Djankov and others (2010).

## TRADING ACROSS BORDERS


*Doing Business* records the time and cost associated with the logistical process of exporting and importing goods. *Doing Business* measures the time and cost (excluding tariffs) associated with three sets of procedures—documentary compliance, border compliance and domestic transport—within the overall process of exporting or importing a shipment of goods. Figure 8.15, using the example of Brazil (as exporter) and China (as importer), shows the process of exporting a shipment from a warehouse in the origin economy to a warehouse in an overseas trading partner through a port. Figure 8.16, using the example of Kenya (as exporter) and Uganda (as importer), shows the process of exporting a shipment from a warehouse in the origin economy to a warehouse in a regional trading partner through a land border. The ranking of economies on the ease of trading across borders is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for trading across borders. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for

**FIGURE 8.15** What makes up the time and cost to export to an overseas trading partner?



Source: *Doing Business* database.

**FIGURE 8.16** What makes up the time and cost to export to a regional trading partner?



Source: *Doing Business* database.


the time and cost for documentary compliance and border compliance to export and import (figure 8.17).

Although *Doing Business* collects and publishes data on the time and cost for domestic transport, it does not use these data in calculating the distance to frontier score for trading across borders or the ranking on the ease of trading across borders. The main reason for this is that the time and cost for domestic transport are affected by many external factors—such as the geography and topography of the transit territory, road capacity and general infrastructure, proximity to the nearest port or border, and the location of warehouses where the traded goods are stored—and so are not directly influenced by an economy's trade policies and reforms.

The data on trading across borders are gathered through a questionnaire administered to local freight forwarders, customs brokers, port authorities and traders.

If an economy has no formal, large-scale, private sector cross-border trade taking place as a result of government restrictions, armed conflict or a natural disaster,

**FIGURE 8.17** Trading across borders: time and cost to export and import



Note: The time and cost for domestic transport and the number of documents to export and import are measured but do not count for the rankings.

it is considered a “no practice” economy. A “no practice” economy receives a distance to frontier score of 0 for all the trading across borders indicators.

### **Assumptions of the case study**

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions are made about the traded goods and the transactions:

- For each of the 190 economies covered by *Doing Business*, it is assumed that a shipment is located in a warehouse in the largest business city of the exporting economy and travels to a warehouse in the largest business city of the importing economy. For 11 economies the data are also collected, under the same case study assumptions, for the second largest business city (table 8A.1).
- The import and export case studies assume different traded products. It is assumed that each economy imports a standardized shipment of 15 metric tons of containerized auto parts (HS 8708) from its natural import partner—the economy from which it imports the largest value (price times quantity) of auto parts. It is assumed that each economy exports the product of its comparative advantage (defined by the largest export value) to its natural export partner—the economy that is the largest purchaser of this product. Precious metal and gems, mineral fuels, oil products, live animals, residues and waste of foods and products as well as pharmaceuticals are excluded from the list of possible export products, however, and in these cases the second largest product category is considered as needed.
- A shipment is a unit of trade. Export shipments do not necessarily need to be containerized, while import shipments of auto parts are assumed to be containerized.
- If government fees are determined by the value of the shipment, the value is assumed to be \$50,000.
- The product is new, not secondhand or used merchandise.

■ The exporting/importing firm hires and pays for a freight forwarder or customs broker (or both) and pays for all costs related to domestic transport, clearance and mandatory inspections by customs and other agencies, port or border handling, documentary compliance fees and the like.

- The mode of transport is the one most widely used for the chosen export or import product and the trading partner, as is the seaport or land border crossing.
- All electronic submissions of information requested by any government agency in connection with the shipment are considered to be documents obtained, prepared and submitted during the export or import process.
- A port or border is defined as a place (seaport or land border crossing) where merchandise can enter or leave an economy.
- Government agencies considered relevant are agencies such as customs, port authorities, road police, border guards, standardization agencies, ministries or departments of agriculture or industry, national security agencies, central banks and any other government authorities.

Contributors are private sector experts in international trade logistics and are informed about exchange rates and their movements.

### **Documentary compliance**

Documentary compliance captures the time and cost associated with compliance with the documentary requirements of all government agencies of the origin economy, the destination economy and any transit economies (table 8.14). The aim is to measure the total burden of preparing the bundle of documents that will enable completion of the international trade for the product and partner pair assumed in the case study. As a shipment moves from Mumbai to New York City, for example, the freight forwarder must prepare and submit documents to the customs agency in India, to the port authorities in Mumbai and to the customs agency in New York City.

The time and cost for documentary compliance include the time and cost for obtaining documents (such as time spent to get the document issued and stamped); preparing documents (such as time spent gathering information to complete the customs declaration or certificate of origin); processing documents (such as time spent waiting for the relevant authority to issue a phytosanitary certificate); presenting documents (such as time spent showing a port terminal receipt to port authorities); and submitting documents (such as time spent submitting a customs declaration to the customs agency in person or electronically).

All electronic or paper submissions of information requested by any government agency in connection with the shipment are considered to be documents obtained, prepared and submitted during the export or import process. All documents prepared by the freight forwarder or customs broker for the product and partner pair assumed in the case study are included regardless of whether they are required by law or in practice. Any documents prepared and submitted so as to get access

### **Time**

Time is measured in hours, and 1 day is 24 hours (for example, 22 days are recorded as  $22 \times 24 = 528$  hours). If customs clearance takes 7.5 hours, the data are recorded as is. Alternatively, suppose that documents are submitted to a customs agency at 8:00 a.m., are processed overnight and can be picked up at 8:00 a.m. the next day. In this case the time for customs clearance would be recorded as 24 hours because the actual procedure took 24 hours.

### **Cost**

Insurance cost and informal payments for which no receipt is issued are excluded from the costs recorded. Costs are reported in U.S. dollars. Contributors are asked to convert local currency into U.S. dollars based on the exchange rate prevailing on the day they answer the questionnaire.

**TABLE 8.14** What do the indicators on the time and cost to export and import cover?

<b>Documentary compliance</b>
Obtaining, preparing and submitting documents during transport, clearance, inspections and port or border handling in origin economy
Obtaining, preparing and submitting documents required by destination economy and any transit economies
Covers all documents required by law and in practice, including electronic submissions of information as well as non-shipment-specific documents necessary to complete the trade
<b>Border compliance</b>
Customs clearance and inspections by customs
Inspections by other agencies (if applied to more than 20% of shipments)
Port or border handling at most widely used port or border of economy
<b>Domestic transport</b>
Loading and unloading of shipment at warehouse, dry port or border
Transport by most widely used mode between warehouse and terminal or dry port
Transport by most widely used mode between terminal or dry port and most widely used border or port
Traffic delays and road police checks while shipment is en route

to preferential treatment—for example, a certificate of origin—are included in the calculation of the time and cost for documentary compliance. Any documents prepared and submitted because of a perception that they ease the passage of the shipment are also included (for example, freight forwarders may prepare a packing list because in their experience this reduces the probability of physical or other intrusive inspections).

In addition, any documents that are mandatory for exporting or importing are included in the calculation of time and cost. Documents that need to be obtained only once are not counted, however. And *Doing Business* does not include documents needed to produce and sell in the domestic market—such as certificates of third-party safety standards testing that may be required to sell toys domestically—unless a government agency needs to see these documents during the export process.

### **Border compliance**

Border compliance captures the time and cost associated with compliance with the economy's customs regulations and with regulations relating to other inspections that are mandatory in order for the shipment to cross the economy's border, as well as the time and cost for handling

that takes place at its port or border. The time and cost for this segment include time and cost for customs clearance and inspection procedures conducted by other agencies. For example, the time and cost for conducting a phytosanitary inspection would be included here.

The computation of border compliance time and cost depends on where the border compliance procedures take place, who requires and conducts the procedures and what is the probability that inspections will be conducted. If all customs clearance and other inspections take place at the port or border at the same time, the time estimate for border compliance takes this simultaneity into account. It is entirely possible that the border compliance time and cost could be negligible or zero, as in the case of trade between members of the European Union or other customs unions.

If some or all customs or other inspections take place at other locations, the time and cost for these procedures are added to the time and cost for those that take place at the port or border. In Kazakhstan, for example, all customs clearance and inspections take place at a customs post in Almaty that is not at the land border between Kazakhstan and China. In this case border compliance

time is the sum of the time spent at the terminal in Almaty and the handling time at the border.

*Doing Business* asks contributors to estimate the time and cost for clearance and inspections by customs agencies—defined as documentary and physical inspections for the purpose of calculating duties by verifying product classification, confirming quantity, determining origin and checking the veracity of other information on the customs declaration. (This category includes all inspections aimed at preventing smuggling.) These are clearance and inspection procedures that take place in the majority of cases and thus are considered the “standard” case. The time and cost estimates capture the efficiency of the customs agency of the economy.

*Doing Business* also asks contributors to estimate the total time and cost for clearance and inspections by customs and all other agencies for the specified product. These estimates account for inspections related to health, safety, phytosanitary standards, conformity and the like, and thus capture the efficiency of agencies that require and conduct these additional inspections.

If inspections by agencies other than customs are conducted in 20% or fewer cases, the border compliance time and cost measures take into account only clearance and inspections by customs (the standard case). If inspections by other agencies take place in more than 20% of cases, the time and cost measures account for clearance and inspections by all agencies. Different types of inspections may take place with different probabilities—for example, scanning may take place in 100% of cases while physical inspection occurs in 5% of cases. In situations like this, *Doing Business* would count the time only for scanning because it happens in more than 20% of cases while physical inspection does not. The border compliance time and cost for an economy do not include the time and

cost for compliance with the regulations of any other economy.

### Domestic transport

Domestic transport captures the time and cost associated with transporting the shipment from a warehouse in the largest business city of the economy to the most widely used seaport or land border of the economy. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 8A.1). This set of procedures captures the time for (and cost of) the actual transport; any traffic delays and road police checks; as well as time spent on loading or unloading at the warehouse or border. For a coastal economy with an overseas trading partner, domestic transport captures the time and cost from the loading of the shipment at the warehouse until the shipment reaches the economy's port (figure 8.15). For an economy trading through a land border, domestic transport captures the time and cost from the loading of the shipment at the warehouse until the shipment reaches the economy's land border (figure 8.16).

The time and cost estimates are based on the most widely used mode of transport (truck, train, riverboat) and the most widely used route (road, border posts) as reported by contributors. The time and cost estimates are based on the mode and route chosen by the majority of contributors. For the 11 economies for which data are collected for both the largest and the second largest business city, *Doing Business* allows the most widely used route and the most widely used mode of transport to be different for the two cities. For example, shipments from Delhi are transported by train to Mundra port for export, while shipments from Mumbai travel by truck to Nhava Sheva port to be exported.

In the export case study, as noted, *Doing Business* does not assume a containerized shipment, and time and cost estimates may be based on the transport of 15 tons of noncontainerized products. In the import case study auto parts are

assumed to be containerized. In the cases where cargo is containerized, the time and cost for transport and other procedures are based on a shipment consisting of homogeneous cargo belonging to a single Harmonized System (HS) classification code. This assumption is particularly important for inspections, because shipments of homogeneous products are often subject to fewer and shorter inspections than shipments of products belonging to various HS codes.

In some cases the shipment travels from the warehouse to a customs post or terminal for clearance or inspections and then travels onward to the port or border. In these cases the domestic transport time is the sum of the time for both transport segments. The time and cost for clearance or inspections are included in the measures for border compliance, however, not in those for domestic transport.

### REFORMS

The trading across borders indicator set records the time and cost associated with the logistical process of exporting and importing goods every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/17 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The trading across borders indicator set uses a standard criterion to recognize a reform.

The aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2% or more on the distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example, if the implementation of a single window system reduces time or cost in a way that the overall gap decreases by

2% or more, such change is classified as a reform. Minor shipping fee updates or other small changes on the indicators that have an aggregate impact of less than 2% on the gap are not classified as a reform, yet, but their impact is still reflected on the most updated indicators for this indicator set.

*The data details on trading across borders can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. This methodology was initially developed by Djankov and others (2008) and was revised in 2015.*

## ENFORCING CONTRACTS


*Doing Business* measures the time and cost for resolving a commercial dispute through a local first-instance court (table 8.15) and the quality of judicial processes index, evaluating whether each economy has adopted a series of good practices that promote quality and efficiency in the court system. The data are collected through study of the codes of civil procedure and other court regulations as well as questionnaires completed by local litigation lawyers and judges. The ranking of economies on the ease of enforcing contracts is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for enforcing contracts. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 8.18).

TABLE 8.15 What do the indicators on the efficiency of resolving a commercial dispute measure?

Time required to enforce a contract through the courts (calendar days)
Time to file and serve the case
Time for trial and to obtain the judgment
Time to enforce the judgment
Cost required to enforce a contract through the courts (% of claim value)
Average attorney fees
Court costs
Enforcement costs

**FIGURE 8.18** Enforcing contracts: efficiency and quality of commercial dispute resolution

Rankings are based on distance to frontier scores for three indicators



economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 8A.1). Pursuant to a contract between the businesses, Seller sells some custom-made furniture to Buyer worth 200% of the economy's income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater. After Seller delivers the goods to Buyer, Buyer refuses to pay the contract price, alleging that the goods are not of adequate quality. Because they were custom-made, Seller is unable to sell them to anyone else.

- Seller (the plaintiff) sues Buyer (the defendant) to recover the amount under the sales agreement. The dispute is brought before the court located in the economy's largest business city with jurisdiction over commercial cases worth 200% of income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater. As noted, for 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.
- At the outset of the dispute, Seller decides to attach Buyer's movable assets (for example, office equipment and vehicles) because Seller fears that Buyer may hide its assets or otherwise become insolvent.
- The claim is disputed on the merits because of Buyer's allegation that the quality of the goods was not adequate. Because the court cannot decide the case on the basis of documentary evidence or legal title alone, an expert opinion is given on the quality of the goods. If it is standard practice in the economy for each party to call its own expert witness, the parties each call one expert witness. If it is standard practice for the judge to appoint an independent expert, the judge does so. In this case the judge does not allow opposing expert testimony.
- Following the expert opinion, the judge decides that the goods delivered by Seller were of adequate quality and that Buyer must pay the contract price. The judge thus renders a final judgment that is 100% in favor of Seller.


## EFFICIENCY OF RESOLVING A COMMERCIAL DISPUTE

The data on time and cost are built by following the step-by-step evolution of a commercial sale dispute (figure 8.19). The data are collected for a specific court for each city covered, under the assumptions about the case described below. The "competent court" is the one with jurisdiction over disputes worth 200% of income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater. Whenever more than one court has original jurisdiction over a case comparable to the standardized case study, the data are collected based on the court that would be used by litigants in the majority of cases. The name of the relevant court in each economy is published on the *Doing Business* website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/explorertopics/enforcing-contracts>. For the 11 economies for which the data are also collected for the second largest business city, the name of the relevant court in that city is given as well.

### Assumptions about the case

- The value of the claim is equal to 200% of the economy's income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater.
- The dispute concerns a lawful transaction between two businesses (Seller and Buyer), both located in the

**FIGURE 8.19** What are the time and cost to resolve a commercial dispute through a local first-instance court?



- Buyer does not appeal the judgment. Seller decides to start enforcing the judgment as soon as the time allocated by law for appeal lapses.
- Seller takes all required steps for prompt enforcement of the judgment. The money is successfully collected through a public sale of Buyer's movable assets (for example, office equipment and vehicles). It is assumed that Buyer does not have any money in his bank account, making it impossible for the judgment to be enforced through a seizure of the Buyer's accounts.

### Time

Time is recorded in calendar days, counted from the moment Seller decides to file the lawsuit in court until payment. This includes both the days when actions take place and the waiting periods in between. The average duration of the following three different stages of dispute resolution is recorded: (i) filing and service; (ii) trial and judgment; and (iii) enforcement. Time is recorded considering the case study assumptions detailed above and only as applicable to the competent court. Time is recorded in practice, regardless of time limits set by law if such time limits are not respected in the majority of cases.

The filing and service phase includes:

- The time for Seller to try and obtain payment out of court through a non-litigious demand letter, including the time to prepare the letter and the deadline that would be provided to Buyer to comply.
- The time necessary for a local lawyer to write the initial complaint and gather all supporting documents needed for filing, including authenticating or notarizing them, if required.
- The time necessary to file the complaint at the court.
- The time necessary for Buyer to be served, including the processing time at the court and the waiting periods between unsuccessful attempts, if more than one attempt is usually required.

The trial and judgment phase includes:

- The time between the moment the case is served on Buyer and the moment a pre-trial conference is held, if such pre-trial conference is part of the case management techniques used by the competent court.
- The time between the pre-trial conference and the first hearing, if a pre-trial conference is part of the case management techniques used by the competent court. If not, the time between the moment the case is served on Buyer and the moment the first hearing is held.
- The time to conduct all trial activities, including exchanges of briefs and evidence, multiple hearings, waiting times in between hearings and obtaining an expert opinion.
- The time necessary for the judge to issue a written final judgment once the evidence period has closed.
- The time limit for appeal.

The enforcement phase includes:

- The time it takes to obtain an enforceable copy of the judgment and contact the relevant enforcement office.
- The time it takes to locate, identify, seize and transport the losing party's movable assets (including the time

necessary to obtain an order from the court to attach and seize the assets, if applicable).

- The time it takes to advertise, organize and hold the auction. If more than one auction would usually be required to fully recover the value of claim in a case comparable to the standardized case study, then the time between multiple auction attempts is recorded.
- The time it takes for the winning party to fully recover the value of the claim once the auction is successfully completed.

### Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the claim value, assumed to be equivalent to 200% of income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater. Three types of costs are recorded: average attorney fees, court costs and enforcement costs.

Average attorney fees are the fees that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to a local attorney to represent Seller in the standardized case, regardless of final reimbursement. Court costs include all costs that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to the court, regardless of the final cost borne by Seller. Court costs include the fees that the parties must pay to obtain an expert opinion, regardless of whether they are paid to the court or to the expert directly. Enforcement costs are all costs that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to enforce the judgment through a public sale of Buyer's movable assets, regardless of the final cost borne by Seller. Bribes are not taken into account.

### QUALITY OF JUDICIAL PROCESSES

The quality of judicial processes index measures whether each economy has adopted a series of good practices in its court system in four areas: court structure and proceedings, case management, court automation and alternative dispute resolution (table 8.16).

**TABLE 8.16** What does the quality of judicial processes index measure?

#### Court structure and proceedings index (0–5)

Availability of specialized commercial court, division or section (0–1.5)

Availability of small claims court and/or simplified procedure for small claims (0–1.5)

Availability of pretrial attachment (0–1)

Criteria used to assign cases to judges (0–1)

Evidentiary weight of woman's testimony (-1–0)

#### Case management index (0–6)

Regulations setting time standards for key court events (0–1)

Regulations on adjournments and continuances (0–1)

Availability of performance measurement reports (0–1)

Availability of pretrial conference (0–1)

Availability of electronic case management system for judges (0–1)

Availability of electronic case management system for lawyers (0–1)

#### Court automation index (0–4)

Ability to file initial complaint electronically (0–1)

Ability to serve initial complaint electronically (0–1)

Ability to pay court fees electronically (0–1)

Publication of judgments (0–1)

#### Alternative dispute resolution index (0–3)

Arbitration (0–1.5)

Voluntary mediation and/or conciliation (0–1.5)

#### Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)

Sum of the court structure and proceedings, case management, court automation and alternative dispute resolution indices

### Court structure and proceedings index

The court structure and proceedings index has five components:

- Whether a specialized commercial court, section or division dedicated solely to hearing commercial cases is in place. A score of 1.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether a small claims court and/or a fast-track procedure for small claims is in place. A score of 1 is assigned if such a court or procedure is in place, it is applicable to all civil cases and the law sets a cap on the value of cases that can be handled

through this court or procedure. If small claims are handled by a stand-alone court, the point is assigned only if this court applies a simplified procedure. An additional score of 0.5 is assigned if parties can represent themselves before this court or during this procedure. If no small claims court or simplified procedure is in place, a score of 0 is assigned.

- Whether plaintiffs can obtain pretrial attachment of the defendant's movable assets if they fear the assets may be moved out of the jurisdiction or otherwise dissipated. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether cases are assigned randomly and automatically to judges throughout the competent court. A score of 1 is assigned if the assignment of cases is random and automated; 0.5 if it is random but not automated; 0 if it is neither random nor automated.
- Whether a woman's testimony carries the same evidentiary weight in court as a man's. A score of -1 is assigned if the law differentiates between the evidentiary value of a woman's testimony and that of a man in any type of civil case, including family cases; 0 if it does not.

The index ranges from 0 to 5, with higher values indicating a more sophisticated and streamlined court structure. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, for example, a specialized commercial court is in place (a score of 1.5), and small claims can be resolved through a dedicated division in which self-representation is allowed (a score of 1.5). Plaintiffs can obtain pretrial attachment of the defendant's movable assets if they fear dissipation during trial (a score of 1). Cases are assigned randomly through an electronic case management system (a score of 1). A woman's testimony carries the same evidentiary weight in court as a man's (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Bosnia and Herzegovina a score of 5 on the court structure and proceedings index.

### Case management index

The case management index has six components:

- Whether any of the applicable laws or regulations on civil procedure contain time standards for at least three of the following key court events: (i) service of process; (ii) first hearing; (iii) filing of the statement of defense; (iv) completion of the evidence period; (v) filing of testimony by expert; and (vi) submission of the final judgment. A score of 1 is assigned if such time standards are available and respected in more than 50% of cases; 0.5 if they are available but not respected in more than 50% of cases; 0 if there are time standards for less than three of these key court events or for none.
- Whether there are any laws regulating the maximum number of adjournments or continuances that can be granted, whether adjournments are limited by law to unforeseen and exceptional circumstances and whether these rules are respected in more than 50% of cases. A score of 1 is assigned if all three conditions are met; 0.5 if only two of the three conditions are met; 0 if only one of the conditions is met or if none are.
- Whether there are any performance measurement reports that can be generated about the competent court to monitor the court's performance, to track the progress of cases through the court and to ensure compliance with established time standards. A score of 1 is assigned if at least two of the following four reports are made publicly available: (i) time to disposition report (measuring the time the court takes to dispose/adjudicate its cases); (ii) clearance rate report (measuring the number of cases resolved versus the number of incoming cases); (iii) age of pending cases report (providing a snapshot of all pending cases according to case type, case age, last action held and next action scheduled); and (iv) single case progress report (providing a snapshot of the status of one single case). A score of 0 is assigned if only one of these reports is available or if none are.
- Whether a pretrial conference is among the case management techniques used in practice before the competent court and at least three of the following issues are discussed during the pretrial conference: (i) scheduling (including the time frame for filing motions and other documents with the court); (ii) case complexity and projected length of trial; (iii) possibility of settlement or alternative dispute resolution; (iv) exchange of witness lists; (v) evidence; (vi) jurisdiction and other procedural issues; and (vii) narrowing down of contentious issues. A score of 1 is assigned if a pretrial conference in which at least three of these events are discussed is held within the competent court; 0 if not.
- Whether judges within the competent court can use an electronic case management system for at least four of the following purposes: (i) to access laws, regulations and case law; (ii) to automatically generate a hearing schedule for all cases on their docket; (iii) to send notifications (for example, e-mails) to lawyers; (iv) to track the status of a case on their docket; (v) to view and manage case documents (briefs, motions); (vi) to assist in writing judgments; (vii) to semiautomatically generate court orders; and (viii) to view court orders and judgments in a particular case. A score of 1 is assigned if an electronic case management system that judges can use for at least four of these purposes is available; 0 if not.
- Whether lawyers can use an electronic case management system for at least four of the following purposes: (i) to access laws, regulations and case law; (ii) to access forms to be submitted to the court; (iii) to receive notifications (for example, e-mails); (iv) to track the status of a case; (v) to view and manage case documents (briefs, motions); (vi) to file briefs and documents with the court; and (vii) to view court orders

and decisions in a particular case. A score of 1 is assigned if an electronic case management system that lawyers can use for at least four of these purposes is available; 0 if not.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating a more qualitative and efficient case management system. In Australia, for example, time standards for at least three key court events are established in applicable civil procedure instruments and are respected in more than 50% of cases (a score of 1). The law stipulates that adjournments can be granted only for unforeseen and exceptional circumstances and this rule is respected in more than 50% of cases (a score of 0.5). A time to disposition report, a clearance rate report and an age of pending cases report can be generated about the competent court (a score of 1). A pretrial conference is among the case management techniques used before the District Court of New South Wales (a score of 1). An electronic case management system satisfying the criteria outlined above is available to judges (a score of 1) and to lawyers (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Australia a score of 5.5 on the case management index, the highest score attained by any economy on this index.

### Court automation index

The court automation index has four components:

- Whether the initial complaint can be filed electronically through a dedicated platform (not e-mail or fax) within the competent court. A score of 1 is assigned if such a platform is available and litigants are not required to follow up with a hard copy of the complaint; 0 if not. Electronic filing is acknowledged regardless of the percentage of users, as long as no additional in-person interactions are required, and local experts have used it enough to be able to confirm that it is fully functional.
- Whether the initial complaint can be served on the defendant electronically, through a dedicated system or by e-mail,

fax or SMS (short message service), for cases filed before the competent court. A score of 1 is assigned if electronic service is available and no further service of process is required; 0 if not. Electronic service is acknowledged regardless of the percentage of users, as long as no additional in-person interactions are required, and local experts have used it enough to be able to confirm that it is fully functional.

- Whether court fees can be paid electronically for cases filed before the competent court, either through a dedicated platform or through online banking. A score of 1 is assigned if fees can be paid electronically and litigants are not required to follow-up with a hard copy of the receipt or produce a stamped copy of the receipt; 0 if not. Electronic payment is acknowledged regardless of the percentage of users, as long as no additional in-person interactions are required, and local experts have used it enough to be able to confirm that it is fully functional.
- Whether judgments rendered by local courts are made available to the general public through publication in official gazettes, in newspapers or on the internet. A score of 1 is assigned if judgments rendered in commercial cases at all levels are made available to the general public; 0.5 if only judgments rendered at the appeal and supreme court level are made available to the general public; 0 in all other instances. No points are awarded if judgments need to be individually requested from the court, or if the case number or parties' details are required in order to obtain a copy of a judgment.

The index ranges from 0 to 4, with higher values indicating a more automated, efficient and transparent court system. In Estonia, for example, the initial summons can be filed online (a score of 1), it can be served on the defendant electronically (a score of 1), and court fees can be paid electronically as well (a score of 1). In addition, judgments in commercial cases at all levels are made publicly available

through the internet (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Estonia a score of 4 on the court automation index.

### Alternative dispute resolution index

The alternative dispute resolution index has six components:

- Whether domestic commercial arbitration is governed by a consolidated law or consolidated chapter or section of the applicable code of civil procedure encompassing substantially all its aspects. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether commercial disputes of all kinds—aside from those dealing with public order, public policy, bankruptcy, consumer rights, employment issues or intellectual property—can be submitted to arbitration. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether valid arbitration clauses or agreements are enforced by local courts in more than 50% of cases. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether voluntary mediation, conciliation or both are a recognized way of resolving commercial disputes. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether voluntary mediation, conciliation or both are governed by a consolidated law or consolidated chapter or section of the applicable code of civil procedure encompassing substantially all their aspects. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether there are any financial incentives for parties to attempt mediation or conciliation (for example, if mediation or conciliation is successful, a refund of court filing fees, an income tax credit or the like). A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.

The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values associated with greater availability of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. In Israel, for example, arbitration is regulated through a dedicated statute (a score of 0.5), all relevant commercial disputes can be submitted to arbitration (a score of 0.5), and valid arbitration

clauses are usually enforced by the courts (a score of 0.5). Voluntary mediation is a recognized way of resolving commercial disputes (a score of 0.5), it is regulated through a dedicated statute (a score of 0.5), and part of the filing fees is reimbursed if the process is successful (a score of 0.5). Adding these numbers gives Israel a score of 3 on the alternative dispute resolution index.

### Quality of judicial processes index

The quality of judicial processes index is the sum of the scores on the court structure and proceedings, case management, court automation and alternative dispute resolution indices. The index ranges from 0 to 18, with higher values indicating better and more efficient judicial processes.

### REFORMS

The enforcing contracts indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency and quality of commercial dispute resolution systems every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The enforcing contracts indicator set uses three criteria to recognize a reform.

First, changes in laws and regulations that have any impact on the economy's score on the quality of judicial processes index are classified as reforms. Examples of reforms impacting the quality of judicial processes index include measures to introduce electronic filing of the initial complaint, the creation of a commercial court or division, or the introduction of dedicated systems to resolve small claims. Changes affecting the quality of judicial processes index can be different in magnitude and scope and still be considered a reform. For example, implementing a new electronic case management system for the use of judges and lawyers represents a reform

with a 2-point increase in the index, while introducing incentives for the parties to use mediation represents a reform with a 0.5-point increase in the index.

Second, changes that have an impact on the time and cost to resolve a dispute may also be classified as reforms depending on the magnitude of the changes. According to the enforcing contracts methodology, any updates in legislation leading to a change of 2% or more on the distance to frontier gap (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking) of the time and cost indicators is classified as a reform. Changes with lower impact are not classified as reforms but they are still reflected on the most updated indicators data.

Third, legislative changes of exceptional magnitude such as sizeable revisions of the applicable civil procedure, or enforcement laws, that are anticipated to have a significant impact on time and cost in the future.

*The data details on enforcing contracts can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. This methodology was initially developed by Djankov and others (2003) and is adopted here with several changes. The quality of judicial processes index was introduced in Doing Business 2016. The good practices tested in this index were developed on the basis of internationally recognized good practices promoting judicial efficiency.*

### RESOLVING INSOLVENCY

*Doing Business* studies the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings involving domestic entities as well as the strength of the legal framework applicable to judicial liquidation and reorganization proceedings. The data for the resolving insolvency indicators are derived from questionnaire responses by local insolvency practitioners and verified through a study of laws and regulations as well as public information on insolvency systems. The ranking of economies

on the ease of resolving insolvency is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for resolving insolvency. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for the recovery rate and the strength of insolvency framework index (figure 8.20).

### RECOVERY OF DEBT IN INSOLVENCY

The recovery rate is calculated based on the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings in each economy. To make the data on the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the case are used.


### Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 8A.1).
- Is 100% domestically owned, with the founder, who is also chairman of the supervisory board, owning 51% (no other shareholder holds more than 5% of shares).
- Has downtown real estate, where it runs a hotel, as its major asset.
- Has a professional general manager.
- Has 201 employees and 50 suppliers, each of which is owed money for the last delivery.

**FIGURE 8.20** Resolving insolvency: recovery rate and strength of insolvency framework

*Rankings are based on distance to frontier scores for two indicators*



- Has a 10-year loan agreement with a domestic bank secured by a mortgage over the hotel's real estate property. A universal business charge (an enterprise charge) is also assumed in economies where such collateral is recognized. If the laws of the economy do not specifically provide for an enterprise charge but contracts commonly use some other provision to that effect, this provision is specified in the loan agreement.
- Has observed the payment schedule and all other conditions of the loan up to now.
- Has a market value, operating as a going concern, of 100 times income per capita or \$200,000, whichever is greater. The market value of the company's assets, if sold piecemeal, is 70% of the market value of the business.

### Assumptions about the case

The business is experiencing liquidity problems. The company's loss in 2016 reduced its net worth to a negative figure. It is January 1, 2017. There is no cash to pay the bank interest or principal in full, due the next day, January 2. The business will therefore default on its loan. Management believes that losses will be incurred in 2017 and 2018 as well. But it expects 2017 cash flow to cover all operating expenses, including supplier payments, salaries, maintenance costs and taxes, though not principal or interest payments to the bank.

The amount outstanding under the loan agreement is exactly equal to the market value of the hotel business and represents 74% of the company's total debt. The other 26% of its debt is held by unsecured creditors (suppliers, employees, tax authorities).

The company has too many creditors to negotiate an informal out-of-court workout. The following options are available: a judicial procedure aimed at the rehabilitation or reorganization of the company to permit its continued operation; a judicial procedure aimed at the liquidation or winding-up of the company; or a judicial

debt enforcement procedure (foreclosure or receivership) against the company.

### Assumptions about the parties

The bank wants to recover as much as possible of its loan, as quickly and cheaply as possible. The unsecured creditors will do everything permitted under the applicable laws to avoid a piecemeal sale of the assets. The majority shareholder wants to keep the company operating and under his control. Management wants to keep the company operating and preserve its employees' jobs. All the parties are local entities or citizens; no foreign parties are involved.

### Time

Time for creditors to recover their credit is recorded in calendar years (table 8.17). The period of time measured by *Doing Business* is from the company's default until the payment of some or all of the money owed to the bank. Potential delay tactics by the parties, such as the filing of dilatory appeals or requests for extension, are taken into consideration.

### Cost

The cost of the proceedings is recorded as a percentage of the value of the debtor's estate. The cost is calculated on the basis of questionnaire responses and includes court fees and government levies; fees of insolvency administrators, auctioneers, assessors and lawyers; and all other fees and costs.

### Outcome

Recovery by creditors depends on whether the hotel business emerges from the proceedings as a going concern or the company's assets are sold piecemeal. If the business continues operating, 100% of the hotel value is preserved. If the assets are sold piecemeal, the maximum amount that can be recovered is 70% of the value of the hotel.

### Recovery rate

The recovery rate is recorded as cents on the dollar recovered by secured creditors through judicial reorganization, liquidation

**TABLE 8.17 What do the indicators on debt recovery in insolvency measure?**

Time required to recover debt (years)
Measured in calendar years
Appeals and requests for extension are included
Cost required to recover debt (% of debtor's estate)
Measured as percentage of estate value
Court fees
Fees of insolvency administrators
Lawyers' fees
Assessors' and auctioneers' fees
Other related fees
Outcome
Whether the business continues operating as a going concern or whether its assets are sold piecemeal
Recovery rate for secured creditors (cents on the dollar)
Measures the cents on the dollar recovered by secured creditors
Present value of debt recovered
Official costs of the insolvency proceedings are deducted
Depreciation of furniture is taken into account
Outcome for the business (survival or not) affects the maximum value that can be recovered

or debt enforcement (foreclosure or receivership) proceedings (figure 8.21). The calculation takes into account the outcome: whether the business emerges from the proceedings as a going concern or the assets are sold piecemeal. Then the costs of the proceedings are deducted (1 cent for each percentage point of the value of the debtor's estate). Finally, the value lost as a result of the time the money remains tied up in insolvency proceedings is taken into account, including the loss of value due to depreciation of the hotel furniture. Consistent with international accounting practice, the annual depreciation rate for furniture is taken to be 20%. The furniture is assumed to account for a quarter of the total value of assets. The recovery rate is the present value of the remaining proceeds, based on end-2016 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund's *International Financial Statistics*, supplemented with data from central banks and the Economist Intelligence Unit.

**FIGURE 8.21** Recovery rate is a function of the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings against a local company



If an economy had zero completed cases a year over the past five years involving a judicial reorganization, judicial liquidation or debt enforcement procedure (foreclosure or receivership), the economy receives a “no practice” mark on the time, cost and outcome indicators. This means that creditors are unlikely to recover their money through a formal legal process. The recovery rate for “no practice” economies is zero. In addition, a “no practice” economy receives a score of 0 on the strength of insolvency framework index even if its legal framework includes provisions related to insolvency proceedings (liquidation or reorganization).

### STRENGTH OF INSOLVENCY FRAMEWORK

The strength of insolvency framework index is based on four other indices: commencement of proceedings index, management of debtor’s assets index, reorganization proceedings index and creditor participation index (figure 8.22; table 8.18).

#### Commencement of proceedings index

The commencement of proceedings index has three components:

- Whether debtors can initiate both liquidation and reorganization proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if debtors can initiate both types of proceedings; 0.5 if they can initiate only one of these types (either liquidation or reorganization); 0 if they cannot initiate insolvency proceedings.
- Whether creditors can initiate both liquidation and reorganization proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if creditors can initiate both types of

proceedings; 0.5 if they can initiate only one of these types (either liquidation or reorganization); 0 if they cannot initiate insolvency proceedings.

- What standard is used for commencement of insolvency proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if a liquidity test (the debtor is generally unable to pay its debts as they mature) is used; 0.5 if the balance sheet test (the liabilities of the debtor exceed its assets) is used; 1 if both the liquidity and balance sheet tests are available but only one is required to initiate insolvency proceedings; 0.5 if both tests are required; 0 if a different test is used.


The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values indicating greater access to insolvency proceedings. In Bulgaria, for example, debtors can initiate both liquidation and reorganization proceedings (a score of 1), but creditors can initiate only liquidation proceedings (a score of 0.5). Either the liquidity test or the balance sheet test can be used to commence insolvency proceedings (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Bulgaria a score of 2.5 on the commencement of proceedings index.

#### Management of debtor’s assets index

The management of debtor’s assets index has six components:

- Whether the debtor (or an insolvency representative on its behalf) can continue performing contracts essential to the debtor’s survival. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if continuation of contracts is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.

**FIGURE 8.22** Strength of insolvency framework index measures the quality of insolvency laws that govern relations between debtors, creditors and the court



- Whether the debtor (or an insolvency representative on its behalf) can reject overly burdensome contracts. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if rejection of contracts is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.
- Whether transactions entered into before commencement of insolvency proceedings that give preference to one or several creditors can be avoided after proceedings are initiated. A score of 1 is assigned if yes;

**TABLE 8.18** What do the indicators on the strength of the insolvency framework measure?

Commencement of proceedings index (0–3)
Availability of liquidation and reorganization to debtors and creditors (0–2)
Standards for commencement of insolvency proceedings (0–1)
Management of debtor’s assets index (0–6)
Continuation and rejection of contracts during insolvency (0–2)
Avoidance of preferential and undervalued transactions (0–2)
Post-commencement finance (0–2)
Reorganization proceedings index (0–3)
Approval and content of reorganization plan (0–3)
Creditor participation index (0–4)
Creditors’ participation in and rights during liquidation and reorganization proceedings (0–4)
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)
Sum of the commencement of proceedings, management of debtor’s assets, reorganization proceedings and creditor participation indices

0 if avoidance of such transactions is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.

- Whether undervalued transactions entered into before commencement of insolvency proceedings can be avoided after proceedings are initiated. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if avoidance of such transactions is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.
- Whether the insolvency framework includes specific provisions that allow the debtor (or an insolvency representative on its behalf), after commencement of insolvency proceedings, to obtain financing necessary to function during the proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if obtaining post-commencement finance is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.
- Whether post-commencement finance receives priority over ordinary unsecured creditors during distribution of assets. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0.5 if post-commencement finance is granted superpriority over all creditors, secured and unsecured; 0 if no priority is granted to post-commencement finance or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating more advantageous treatment of the debtor's assets from the perspective of the company's stakeholders. In Mozambique, for example, debtors can continue essential contracts (a score of 1) and reject burdensome ones (a score of 1) during insolvency proceedings. The insolvency framework allows avoidance of preferential transactions (a score of 1) and undervalued ones (a score of 1). But the insolvency framework contains no provisions allowing post-commencement finance (a score of 0) or granting priority to such finance (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Mozambique a score of 4 on the management of debtor's assets index.

## Reorganization proceedings index

The reorganization proceedings index has three components:

- Whether the reorganization plan is voted on only by the creditors whose rights are modified or affected by the plan. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0.5 if all creditors vote on the plan, regardless of its impact on their interests; 0 if creditors do not vote on the plan or if reorganization is not available.
- Whether creditors entitled to vote on the plan are divided into classes, each class votes separately and the creditors within each class are treated equally. A score of 1 is assigned if the voting procedure has these three features; 0 if the voting procedure does not have these three features or if reorganization is not available.
- Whether the insolvency framework requires that dissenting creditors receive as much under the reorganization plan as they would have received in liquidation. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no such provisions exist or if reorganization is not available.

The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values indicating greater compliance with internationally accepted practices. Nicaragua, for example, has no judicial reorganization proceedings and therefore receives a score of 0 on the reorganization proceedings index. In Estonia, another example, only creditors whose rights are affected by the reorganization plan are allowed to vote (a score of 1). The reorganization plan divides creditors into classes, each class votes separately and creditors within the same class are treated equally (a score of 1). But there are no provisions requiring that the return to dissenting creditors be equal to what they would have received in liquidation (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Estonia a score of 2 on the reorganization proceedings index.

## Creditor participation index

The creditor participation index has four components:

- Whether creditors appoint the insolvency representative or approve, ratify or reject the appointment of the insolvency representative. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether creditors are required to approve the sale of substantial assets of the debtor in the course of insolvency proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether an individual creditor has the right to access financial information about the debtor during insolvency proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether an individual creditor can object to a decision of the court or of the insolvency representative to approve or reject claims against the debtor brought by the creditor itself and by other creditors. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.

The index ranges from 0 to 4, with higher values indicating greater participation of creditors. In Iceland, for example, the court appoints the insolvency representative, without creditors' approval (a score of 0). The insolvency representative decides unilaterally on the sale of the debtor's assets (a score of 0). Any creditor can inspect the records kept by the insolvency representative (a score of 1). And any creditor is allowed to challenge a decision of the insolvency representative to approve all claims if this decision affects the creditor's rights (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Iceland a score of 2 on the creditor participation index.

## Strength of insolvency framework index

The strength of insolvency framework index is the sum of the scores on the commencement of proceedings index, management of debtor's assets index, reorganization proceedings index and creditor participation index. The index

ranges from 0 to 16, with higher values indicating insolvency legislation that is better designed for rehabilitating viable firms and liquidating nonviable ones.

## REFORMS

The resolving insolvency indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency and quality of insolvency framework every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The resolving insolvency indicator set uses three criteria to recognize a reform.

First, all changes to laws and regulations that have any impact on the economy's score on the strength of insolvency framework index are classified as reforms. Examples of reforms impacting the strength of insolvency framework index include changes in the commencement standard for insolvency proceedings, the introduction of reorganization procedures for the first time and measures to regulate post-commencement credit and its priority. Changes affecting the strength of insolvency framework index can be different in magnitude and scope and still be considered a reform. For example, implementing a post-commencement credit provision and designating it with certain priorities represents a reform with a potential 2-point increase in the index, while changing the commencement standard from the balance sheet test to the liquidity test represents a reform with a 0.5-point increase in the index.

Second, changes that have an impact on the time, cost or outcome of insolvency proceedings may also be classified as reforms depending on the magnitude of

the changes. According to the resolving insolvency methodology any update in legislation leading to a change of 2% or more on the distance to frontier gap (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking) of the recovery rate indicator is classified as a reform. Changes with lower impact are not classified as reforms but their impact is still reflected on the most updated indicators.

Third, occasionally the resolving insolvency indicator set will acknowledge legislative changes with no current impact on the data as reforms. This option is typically reserved to legislative changes of exceptional magnitude such as sizeable revisions of corporate insolvency laws.

*This methodology was developed by Djankov, Hart and others (2008) and is adopted here with several changes. The strength of insolvency framework index was introduced in Doing Business 2015. The good practices tested in this index were developed on the basis of the World Bank's Principles for Effective Insolvency and Creditor/Debtor Regimes (World Bank 2011b) and the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law's Legislative Guide on Insolvency Law (UNCITRAL 2004a).*

## LABOR MARKET REGULATION

*Doing Business* studies the flexibility of regulation of employment, specifically as it relates to the areas of hiring, working hours and redundancy. *Doing Business* also measures several aspects of job quality such as the availability of maternity leave, paid sick leave and the equal treatment of men and women at the workplace (figure 8.23).

*Doing Business 2018* presents the data for the labor market regulation indicators in an annex. The report does not present

rankings of economies on these indicators or include this indicator set in the aggregate distance to frontier score or ranking on the ease of doing business. Detailed data collected on labor market regulation are available on the *Doing Business* website (<http://www.doingbusiness.org>). The data on labor market regulation are based on a detailed questionnaire on employment regulations that is completed by local lawyers and public officials. Employment laws and regulations as well as secondary sources are reviewed to ensure accuracy.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the worker and the business are used.

## Assumptions about the worker

The worker:


- Is a cashier in a supermarket or grocery store, age 19, with one year of work experience.<sup>10</sup>
- Is a full-time employee.
- Is not a member of the labor union, unless membership is mandatory.

## Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company (or the equivalent in the economy).
- Operates a supermarket or grocery store in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 8A.1).
- Has 60 employees.
- Is subject to collective bargaining agreements if such agreements cover more than 50% of the food retail sector and apply even to firms that are not party to them.
- Abides by every law and regulation but does not grant workers more benefits than those mandated by law, regulation or (if applicable) collective bargaining agreements.

**FIGURE 8.23** What do the labor market regulation indicators cover?



## Employment

Data on employment cover three areas: hiring, working hours and redundancy (table 8.19).

Data on hiring cover five questions: (i) whether fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks; (ii) the maximum cumulative duration of fixed-term contracts; (iii) the length of the maximum probationary period (in months) for permanent employees; (iv) the minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience; and (v) the ratio of the minimum wage to the average value added per worker.<sup>11</sup>

Data on working hours cover eight questions: (i) the maximum number of working days allowed per week; (ii) the premium for night work (as a percentage of hourly pay); (iii) the premium for work on a weekly rest day (as a percentage of hourly pay); (iv) the premium for overtime work (as a percentage of hourly pay); (v) whether there are restrictions on night work; (vi) whether nonpregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men; (vii) whether there are restrictions on weekly holiday work; (viii) whether there are restrictions on overtime work; and (ix) the average paid annual leave for workers with 1 year of tenure, 5 years of tenure and 10 years of tenure.

Data on redundancy cover eight questions: (i) whether redundancy is allowed as a basis for terminating workers; (ii) whether the employer needs to notify a third party (such as a government agency) to terminate one redundant worker; (iii) whether the employer needs to notify a third party to terminate a group of nine redundant workers; (iv) whether the employer needs approval from a third party to terminate one redundant worker; (v) whether the employer needs approval from a third party to terminate a group of nine redundant workers; (vi) whether the law requires the employer to reassign or retrain a worker before making the worker redundant; (vii) whether priority rules apply for redundancies; and (viii) whether priority rules apply for reemployment.

## Redundancy cost

Redundancy cost measures the cost of advance notice requirements and severance payments due when terminating a redundant worker, expressed in weeks of salary. The average value of notice requirements and severance payments applicable to a worker with 1 year of tenure, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years is considered. One month is recorded as 4 and 1/3 weeks.

## Job quality

*Doing Business* introduced new data on job quality in 2015. *Doing Business 2018* covers the following eight questions on job quality: (i) whether the law mandates equal remuneration for work of equal value; (ii) whether the law mandates nondiscrimination based on gender in hiring; (iii) whether the law mandates paid or unpaid maternity leave;<sup>12</sup> (iv) the minimum length of paid maternity leave (in calendar days);<sup>13</sup> (v) whether employees on maternity leave receive 100% of wages;<sup>14</sup> (vi) the availability of five fully paid days of sick leave a year; (vii) whether a worker is eligible for an unemployment protection scheme after one year of service; and (viii) the minimum duration of the contribution period (in months) required for unemployment protection.

## REFORMS

The labor market regulation indicator set tracks changes in labor rules every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2016/2017 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Examples include a change in the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts, regulation of weekly holiday work, redundancy rules, notice requirements and severance payments for redundant workers, introduction of unemployment insurance and laws that mandate gender nondiscrimination in hiring and equal remuneration for work of equal value in line with ILO standards. The introduction of a minimum wage in the private sector is recognized as a major reform and acknowledged in the reform summary. Changes in minimum wages are reflected in the *Doing Business* data but not acknowledged in the reform summary. The introduction of maternity leave or an increase in the duration of maternity leave would be acknowledged in the reform summary. Occasionally the labor market regulation indicator set will acknowledge legislative changes in areas not directly

**TABLE 8.19** What do the labor market regulation indicators measure?

<b>Employment</b>
<b>Hiring</b>
Whether fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks
Maximum duration of fixed-term contracts (in months), including renewals
Maximum probationary period (in months) for permanent employees
Minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience (US\$/month)
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker
<b>Working hours</b>
Maximum number of working days per week
Premium for night work, work on weekly rest day and overtime work (% of hourly pay)
Whether there are restrictions on night work, weekly holiday work and overtime work
Whether nonpregnant and nonnursing women can work the same night hours as men
Paid annual vacation days for workers with 1 year of tenure, 5 years of tenure and 10 years of tenure
<b>Redundancy</b>
Length of maximum probationary period (in months) for permanent employees
Whether redundancy is allowed as grounds for termination
Whether third-party notification is required for termination of a redundant worker or group of workers
Whether third-party approval is required for termination of a redundant worker or group of workers
Whether employer is obligated to reassign or retrain workers prior to making them redundant and to follow priority rules for redundancy and reemployment
<b>Redundancy cost (weeks of salary)</b>
Notice requirements and severance payments due when terminating a redundant worker, expressed in weeks of salary
<b>Job quality</b>
Whether the law mandates equal remuneration for work of equal value
Whether the law mandates nondiscrimination based on gender in hiring
Whether the law mandates paid or unpaid maternity leave
Minimum length of paid maternity leave (calendar days)
Whether employees on maternity leave receive 100% of wages
Availability of five fully paid days of sick leave a year
Whether unemployment protection is available after one year of employment
Minimum duration of contribution period (in months) required for unemployment protection

measured by the indicators. This option is reserved for legislative changes of exceptional magnitude, such as the introduction of a new labor code.

The data details on labor market regulation can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. The Doing Business website also provides historical data sets. The methodology was developed by Botero and others (2004). Doing Business 2018 does not present rankings of economies on the labor market regulation indicators.

## NOTES

1. The data for paying taxes refer to January–December 2016.
2. These are Bangladesh, Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, Japan, Mexico, Niger, Pakistan, the Russian Federation and the United States.
3. This correction rate reflects changes that exceed 5% up or down.
4. This matter is usually regulated by stock exchange or securities laws. Points are awarded only to economies with more than 10 listed firms in their most important stock exchange.
5. When evaluating the regime of liability for company directors for a prejudicial related-party transaction, Doing Business assumes

that the transaction was duly disclosed and approved. *Doing Business* does not measure director liability in the event of fraud.

6. PwC refers to the network of member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL) or, as the context requires, individual member firms of the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity and does not act as agent of PwCIL or any other member firm. PwCIL does not provide any services to clients. PwCIL is not responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any of its member firms nor can it control the exercise of their professional judgment or bind them in any way. No member firm is responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any other member firm nor can it control the exercise of another member firm's professional judgment or bind another member firm or PwCIL in any way.
7. The nonlinear distance to frontier score for the total tax and contribution rate is equal to the distance to frontier score for the total tax and contribution rate to the power of 0.8.
8. The economies for which a multiple of three times income per capita has been used are Honduras, Mozambique, West Bank and Gaza, and Zimbabwe. Those for which a multiple of two times income per capita has been used are Belize, Benin, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burkina Faso, the Central African Republic, Chad, Fiji, Guatemala, Haiti, Kenya, Lesotho, Madagascar, the Federated States of Micronesia, Morocco, Nepal, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, the Philippines, the Solomon Islands, South Africa, South Sudan, Tanzania, Togo, Vanuatu and Zambia.
9. To identify the trading partners and export product for each economy, *Doing Business* collected data on trade flows for the most recent four-year period from international databases such as the United Nations Commodity Trade Statistics Database (UN Comtrade). For economies for which trade flow data were not available, data from ancillary government sources (various ministries and departments) and World Bank Group country offices were used to identify the export product and natural trading partners.
10. The case study assumption that the worker is 19 years old with one year of work experience is considered only for the calculation of the minimum wage. For all other questions where the tenure of the worker is relevant, *Doing Business* collects data for workers with 1, 5 and 10 years of tenure.
11. The average value added per worker is the ratio of an economy's GNI per capita to the working-age population as a percentage of the total population.
12. If no maternity leave is mandated by law, parental leave is measured if applicable.
13. The minimum number of days that legally have to be paid by the government, the employer or both. If no maternity leave is mandated by law, parental leave is measured if applicable.
14. If no maternity leave is mandated by law, parental leave is measured if applicable.

**TABLE 8A.1** Cities covered in each economy by the *Doing Business* report

Economy	City or cities	Economy	City or cities	Economy	City or cities	Economy	City or cities	Economy	City or cities
Afghanistan	Kabul	Congo, Rep.	Brazzaville	Iran, Islamic Rep.	Tehran	Morocco	Casablanca	Somalia	Mogadishu
Albania	Tirana	Costa Rica	San José	Iraq	Baghdad	Mozambique	Maputo	South Africa	Johannesburg
Algeria	Algiers	Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	Ireland	Dublin	Myanmar	Yangon	South Sudan	Juba
Angola	Luanda	Croatia	Zagreb	Israel	Tel Aviv	Namibia	Windhoek	Spain	Madrid
Antigua and Barbuda	St. John's	Cyprus	Nicosia	Italy	Rome	Nepal	Kathmandu	Sri Lanka	Colombo
Argentina	Buenos Aires	Czech Republic	Prague	Jamaica	Kingston	Netherlands	Amsterdam	St. Kitts and Nevis	Basseterre
Armenia	Yerevan	Denmark	Copenhagen	Japan	Tokyo, Osaka	New Zealand	Auckland	St. Lucia	Castries
Australia	Sydney	Djibouti	Djibouti Ville	Jordan	Amman	Nicaragua	Managua	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Kingstown
Austria	Vienna	Dominica	Roseau	Kazakhstan	Almaty	Niger	Niamey	Sudan	Khartoum
Azerbaijan	Baku	Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo	Kenya	Nairobi	Nigeria	Lagos, Kano	Suriname	Paramaribo
Bahamas, The	Nassau	Ecuador	Quito	Kiribati	Tarawa	Norway	Oslo	Swaziland	Mbabane
Bahrain	Manama	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Cairo	Korea, Rep.	Seoul	Oman	Muscat	Sweden	Stockholm
Bangladesh	Dhaka, Chittagong	El Salvador	San Salvador	Kosovo	Pristina	Pakistan	Karachi, Lahore	Switzerland	Zurich
Barbados	Bridgetown	Equatorial Guinea	Malabo	Kuwait	Kuwait City	Palau	Koror	Syrian Arab Republic	Damascus
Belarus	Minsk	Eritrea	Asmara	Kyrgyz Republic	Bishkek	Panama	Panama City	Taiwan, China	Taipei
Belgium	Brussels	Estonia	Tallinn	Lao PDR	Vientiane	Papua New Guinea	Port Moresby	Tajikistan	Dushanbe
Belize	Belize City	Ethiopia	Addis Ababa	Latvia	Riga	Paraguay	Asunción	Tanzania	Dar es Salaam
Benin	Cotonou	Fiji	Suva	Lebanon	Beirut	Peru	Lima	Thailand	Bangkok
Bhutan	Thimphu	Finland	Helsinki	Lesotho	Maseru	Philippines	Quezon City	Timor-Leste	Dili
Bolivia	La Paz	France	Paris	Liberia	Monrovia	Poland	Warsaw	Togo	Lomé
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Sarajevo	Gabon	Libreville	Libya	Tripoli	Portugal	Lisbon	Tonga	Nuku'alofa
Botswana	Gaborone	Gambia, The	Banjul	Lithuania	Vilnius	Puerto Rico (U.S.)	San Juan	Trinidad and Tobago	Port of Spain
Brazil	São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro	Georgia	Tbilisi	Luxembourg	Luxembourg	Qatar	Doha	Tunisia	Tunis
Brunei Darussalam	Bandar Seri Begawan	Germany	Berlin	Macedonia, FYR	Skopje	Romania	Bucharest	Turkey	Istanbul
Bulgaria	Sofia	Ghana	Accra	Madagascar	Antananarivo	Russian Federation	Moscow, St. Petersburg	Uganda	Kampala
Burkina Faso	Ouagadougou	Greece	Athens	Malawi	Blantyre	Rwanda	Kigali	Ukraine	Kiev
Burundi	Bujumbura	Grenada	St. George's	Malaysia	Kuala Lumpur	Samoa	Apia	United Arab Emirates	Dubai
Cabo Verde	Praia	Guatemala	Guatemala City	Maldives	Malé	San Marino	San Marino	United Kingdom	London
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	Guinea	Conakry	Mali	Bamako	São Tomé and Príncipe	São Tomé	United States	New York City, Los Angeles
Cameroon	Douala	Guinea-Bissau	Bissau	Malta	Valletta	Saudi Arabia	Riyadh	Uruguay	Montevideo
Canada	Toronto	Guyana	Georgetown	Marshall Islands	Majuro	Senegal	Dakar	Uzbekistan	Tashkent
Central African Republic	Bangui	Haiti	Port-au-Prince	Mauritania	Nouakchott	Serbia	Belgrade	Vanuatu	Port-Vila
Chad	N'Djamena	Honduras	Tegucigalpa	Mauritius	Port Louis	Seychelles	Victoria	Venezuela, RB	Caracas
Chile	Santiago	Hong Kong SAR, China	Hong Kong SAR	Mexico	Mexico City, Monterrey	Sierra Leone	Freetown	Vietnam	Ho Chi Minh City
China	Shanghai, Beijing	Hungary	Budapest	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	Island of Pohnpei	Singapore	Singapore	West Bank and Gaza	Ramallah
Colombia	Bogotá	Iceland	Reykjavik	Moldova	Chișinău	Slovak Republic	Bratislava	Yemen, Rep.	Sana'a
Comoros	Moroni	India	Mumbai, Delhi	Mongolia	Ulaanbaatar	Slovenia	Ljubljana	Zambia	Lusaka
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Kinshasa	Indonesia	Jakarta, Surabaya	Montenegro	Podgorica	Solomon Islands	Honiara	Zimbabwe	Harare



# Distance to Frontier and Ease of Doing Business Ranking

*Doing Business* presents results for two aggregate measures: the distance to frontier score and the ease of doing business ranking, which is based on the distance to frontier score. The ease of doing business ranking compares economies with one another; the distance to frontier score benchmarks economies with respect to regulatory best practice, showing the absolute distance to the best performance on each *Doing Business* indicator. When compared across years, the distance to frontier score shows how much the regulatory environment for local entrepreneurs in an economy has changed over time in absolute terms, while the ease of doing business ranking can show only how much the regulatory environment has changed relative to that in other economies.

## DISTANCE TO FRONTIER

The distance to frontier score captures the gap between an economy's performance and a measure of best practice across the entire sample of 41 indicators for 10 *Doing Business* topics (the labor market regulation indicators are excluded). For starting a business, for example, New Zealand has the smallest number of procedures required (1) and the shortest time to fulfill them (0.5 days). Slovenia has the lowest cost (0.0), and Australia, Colombia and 112 other economies have no paid-in minimum capital requirement (table 9.1).

### Calculation of the distance to frontier score

Calculating the distance to frontier score for each economy involves two main steps. In the first step individual component indicators are normalized to a common unit where each of the 41 component indicators  $y$  (except for the total tax and contribution rate) is rescaled using the linear transformation  $(\text{worst} - y)/(\text{worst} - \text{frontier})$ . In this

formulation the frontier represents the best performance on the indicator across all economies since 2005 or the third year in which data for the indicator were collected. Both the best performance and the worst performance are established every five years based on the *Doing Business* data for the year in which they are established, and remain at that level for the five years regardless of any changes in data in interim years. Thus an economy may set the frontier for an indicator even though it is no longer at the frontier in a subsequent year.

For scores such as those on the strength of legal rights index or the quality of land administration index, the frontier is set at the highest possible value. For the total tax and contribution rate, consistent with the use of a threshold in calculating the rankings on this indicator, the frontier is defined as the total tax and contribution rate at the 15th percentile of the overall distribution for all years included in the analysis up to and including *Doing Business* 2015. For the time to pay taxes, the frontier is defined as the lowest time recorded

TABLE 9.1 What is the frontier in regulatory practice?

Topic and indicator	Who set the frontier	Frontier	Worst performance
<b>Starting a business</b>			
Procedures (number)	New Zealand	1	18 <sup>a</sup>
Time (days)	New Zealand	0.5	100 <sup>b</sup>
Cost (% of income per capita)	Slovenia	0.0	200.0 <sup>b</sup>
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	Australia; Colombia <sup>c</sup>	0.0	400.0 <sup>b</sup>
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b>			
Procedures (number)	No economy was at the frontier as of June 1, 2017.	5	30 <sup>a</sup>
Time (days)	No economy was at the frontier as of June 1, 2017.	26	373 <sup>b</sup>
Cost (% of warehouse value)	No economy was at the frontier as of June 1, 2017.	0.0	20.0 <sup>b</sup>
Building quality control index (0–15)	Luxembourg; New Zealand; United Arab Emirates	15	0 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Getting electricity</b>			
Procedures (number)	Germany; Republic of Korea <sup>e</sup>	3	9 <sup>a</sup>
Time (days)	Republic of Korea; St. Kitts and Nevis; United Arab Emirates	18	248 <sup>b</sup>
Cost (% of income per capita)	Japan	0.0	8,100.0 <sup>b</sup>
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	Belgium; Ireland; Malaysia <sup>f</sup>	8	0 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Registering property</b>			
Procedures (number)	Georgia; Norway; Portugal; Sweden	1	13 <sup>a</sup>
Time (days)	Georgia; New Zealand; Portugal	1	210 <sup>b</sup>
Cost (% of property value)	Saudi Arabia	0.0	15.0 <sup>b</sup>
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	30	0 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Getting credit</b>			
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	Brunei Darussalam; Colombia; Montenegro; New Zealand	12	0 <sup>d</sup>
Depth of credit information index (0–8)	Ecuador; United Kingdom <sup>g</sup>	8	0 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Protecting minority investors</b>			
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	China; Malaysia <sup>h</sup>	10	0 <sup>d</sup>
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	Cambodia	10	0 <sup>d</sup>
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	10	0 <sup>d</sup>
Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	India; Kazakhstan	10	0 <sup>d</sup>
Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	10	0 <sup>d</sup>
Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	France; Norway; Taiwan, China	10	0 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Paying taxes</b>			
Payments (number per year)	Hong Kong SAR, China; Saudi Arabia	3	63 <sup>b</sup>
Time (hours per year)	Singapore	49 <sup>i</sup>	696 <sup>b</sup>
Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	Canada; Singapore <sup>j</sup>	26.1 <sup>k</sup>	84.0 <sup>b</sup>
Postfiling index (0–100)	No economy with both CIT and VAT has attained the frontier yet.	100	0
Time to comply with VAT refund (hours)	Croatia; Netherlands <sup>l</sup>	0	50 <sup>b</sup>
Time to obtain VAT refund (weeks)	Austria; The Bahamas; Estonia	3.2	55 <sup>b</sup>
Time to comply with corporate income tax audit (hours)	Lithuania; Portugal <sup>m</sup>	1.5	56 <sup>b</sup>
Time to complete a corporate income tax audit (weeks)	Sweden; United States <sup>n</sup>	0	32 <sup>b</sup>

(continued)

TABLE 9.1 What is the frontier in regulatory practice? (continued)

Topic and indicator	Who set the frontier	Frontier	Worst performance
<b>Trading across borders</b>			
<i>Time to export</i>			
Documentary compliance (hours)	Canada; Poland; Spain <sup>e</sup>	1 <sup>p</sup>	170 <sup>b</sup>
Border compliance (hours)	Austria; Belgium; Denmark <sup>q</sup>	1 <sup>p</sup>	160 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Cost to export</i>			
Documentary compliance (US\$)	Hungary; Luxembourg; Norway <sup>r</sup>	0	400 <sup>b</sup>
Border compliance (US\$)	France; Netherlands; Portugal <sup>s</sup>	0	1,060 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Time to import</i>			
Documentary compliance (hours)	Republic of Korea; Latvia; New Zealand <sup>t</sup>	1 <sup>p</sup>	240 <sup>b</sup>
Border compliance (hours)	Estonia; France; Germany <sup>u</sup>	1 <sup>p</sup>	280 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Cost to import</i>			
Documentary compliance (US\$)	Iceland; Latvia; United Kingdom <sup>v</sup>	0	700 <sup>b</sup>
Border compliance (US\$)	Belgium; Denmark; Estonia <sup>w</sup>	0	1,200 <sup>b</sup>
<b>Enforcing contracts</b>			
Time (days)	Singapore	120	1,340 <sup>b</sup>
Cost (% of claim)	Bhutan	0.1	89.0 <sup>b</sup>
Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	18	0 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Resolving insolvency</b>			
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	Norway	92.9	0 <sup>d</sup>
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	16	0 <sup>d</sup>

Source: *Doing Business* database.

- a. Worst performance is defined as the 99th percentile among all economies in the *Doing Business* sample.
- b. Worst performance is defined as the 95th percentile among all economies in the *Doing Business* sample.
- c. Another 112 economies also have a paid-in minimum capital requirement of 0.
- d. Worst performance is the worst value recorded.
- e. In 17 other economies it also takes no more than 3 procedures to get an electricity connection.
- f. Another 25 economies also have a score of 8 on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index.
- g. Another 32 economies also have a score of 8 on the depth of credit information index.
- h. Another 10 economies also have a score of 10 on the extent of disclosure index.
- i. Defined as the lowest time recorded among all economies in the *Doing Business* sample that levy the three major taxes: profit tax, labor taxes and mandatory contributions, and VAT or sales tax.
- j. Another 30 economies also have a total tax contribution rate equal to or lower than 26.1% of profit.
- k. Defined as the highest total tax and contribution rate among the 15% of economies with the lowest total tax and contribution rate in the *Doing Business* sample for all years included in the analysis up to and including *Doing Business 2015*.
- l. Another 8 economies also have a compliance time for VAT refund of 0 hours.
- m. Another 10 economies also have a compliance time for corporate income tax audit of no more than 1.5 hours.
- n. Another 92 economies also have a completion time for corporate income tax audit of 0 weeks.
- o. Another 22 economies also have a documentary compliance time to export of no more than 1 hour.
- p. Defined as 1 hour even though in many economies the time is less than that.
- q. Another 15 economies also have a border compliance time to export of no more than 1 hour.
- r. Another 16 economies also have a documentary compliance cost to export of 0.0.
- s. Another 16 economies also have a border compliance cost to export of 0.0.
- t. Another 26 economies also have a documentary compliance time to import of no more than 1 hour.
- u. Another 22 economies also have a border compliance time to import of no more than 1 hour.
- v. Another 27 economies also have a documentary compliance cost to import of 0.0.
- w. Another 24 economies also have a border compliance cost to import of 0.0.

among all economies that levy the three major taxes: profit tax, labor taxes and mandatory contributions, and value added tax (VAT) or sales tax. For the different times to trade across borders, the frontier

is defined as 1 hour even though in many economies the time is less than that.


In the same formulation, to mitigate the effects of extreme outliers in the

distributions of the rescaled data for most component indicators (very few economies need 700 days to complete the procedures to start a business, but many need 9 days), the worst performance

is calculated after the removal of outliers. The definition of outliers is based on the distribution for each component indicator. To simplify the process two rules were defined: the 95th percentile is used for the indicators with the most dispersed distributions (including minimum capital, number of payments to pay taxes, and the time and cost indicators), and the 99th percentile

is used for number of procedures. No outlier is removed for component indicators bound by definition or construction, including legal index scores (such as the depth of credit information index, extent of disclosure index and strength of insolvency framework index) and the recovery rate (figure 9.1).

**FIGURE 9.1** How are distance to frontier scores calculated for indicators? Two examples



Source: Doing Business database.

In the second step for calculating the distance to frontier score, the scores obtained for individual indicators for each economy are aggregated through simple averaging into one distance to frontier score, first for each topic and then across all 10 topics: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. More complex aggregation methods—such as principal components and unobserved components—yield a ranking nearly identical to the simple average used by *Doing Business*.<sup>1</sup> Thus *Doing Business* uses the simplest method: weighting all topics equally and, within each topic, giving equal weight to each of the topic components.<sup>2</sup>

An economy's distance to frontier score is indicated on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst performance and 100 the frontier. All distance to frontier calculations are based on a maximum of five decimals. However, topic ranking calculations and the ease of doing business ranking calculations are based on two decimals.

The difference between an economy's distance to frontier score in any previous year and its score in 2017 illustrates the extent to which the economy has closed the gap to the regulatory frontier over time. And in any given year the score measures how far an economy is from the best performance at that time.

### Treatment of the total tax and contribution rate

The total tax and contribution rate component of the paying taxes topic enters the distance to frontier calculation in a different way than any other indicator. The distance to frontier score obtained for the total tax and contribution rate is transformed in a nonlinear fashion before it enters the distance to frontier score for paying taxes. As a result of the nonlinear transformation, an increase in

the total tax and contribution rate has a smaller impact on the distance to frontier score for the total tax and contribution rate—and therefore on the distance to frontier score for paying taxes—for economies with a below-average total tax and contribution rate than it would have had before this approach was adopted in *Doing Business 2015* (line B is smaller than line A in figure 9.2). And for economies with an extreme total tax and contribution rate (a rate that is very high relative to the average), an increase has a greater impact on both these distance to frontier scores than it would have had before (line D is bigger than line C in figure 9.2).


The nonlinear transformation is not based on any economic theory of an “optimal tax rate” that minimizes distortions or maximizes efficiency in an economy’s overall tax system. Instead, it is mainly empirical in nature. The nonlinear transformation along with the threshold reduces the bias in the

indicator toward economies that do not need to levy significant taxes on companies like the *Doing Business* standardized case study company because they raise public revenue in other ways—for example, through taxes on foreign companies, through taxes on sectors other than manufacturing or from natural resources (all of which are outside the scope of the methodology). In addition, it acknowledges the need of economies to collect taxes from firms.

### Calculation of scores for economies with two cities covered

For each of the 11 economies in which *Doing Business* collects data for the second largest business city as well as the largest one, the distance to frontier score is calculated as the population-weighted average of the distance to frontier scores for these two cities (table 9.2). This is done for the aggregate score, the scores for each topic and the scores for all the component indicators for each topic.

**FIGURE 9.2** How the nonlinear transformation affects the distance to frontier score for the total tax and contribution rate



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The nonlinear distance to frontier score for the total tax and contribution rate is equal to the distance to frontier score for the total tax and contribution rate to the power of 0.8.

**TABLE 9.2** Weights used in calculating the distance to frontier scores for economies with two cities covered

Economy	City	Weight (%)
Bangladesh	Dhaka	78
	Chittagong	22
Brazil	São Paulo	61
	Rio de Janeiro	39
China	Shanghai	55
	Beijing	45
India	Mumbai	47
	Delhi	53
Indonesia	Jakarta	78
	Surabaya	22
Japan	Tokyo	65
	Osaka	35
Mexico	Mexico City	83
	Monterrey	17
Nigeria	Lagos	77
	Kano	23
Pakistan	Karachi	65
	Lahore	35
Russian Federation	Moscow	70
	St. Petersburg	30
United States	New York City	60
	Los Angeles	40

Source: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Urbanization Prospects, 2014 Revision, “File 12: Population of Urban Agglomerations with 300,000 Inhabitants or More in 2014, by Country, 1950–2030 (thousands),” <http://esa.un.org/unpd/wup/CD-ROM/Default.aspx>.

### Variability of economies’ scores across topics

Each *Doing Business* topic measures a different aspect of the business regulatory environment. The distance to frontier scores and associated rankings of an economy can vary, sometimes significantly, across topics. The average correlation coefficient between the 10 topics included in the aggregate distance to frontier score is 0.49, and the coefficients between 2 topics range from 0.34 (between getting credit and paying taxes) to 0.63 (between getting electricity and trading across borders). These correlations suggest that economies rarely score universally well or universally badly on *Doing Business* topics (table 9.3).

TABLE 9.3 Correlations between economy distance to frontier scores for *Doing Business* topics

	Dealing with construction permits	Getting electricity	Registering property	Getting credit	Protecting minority investors	Paying taxes	Trading across borders	Enforcing contracts	Resolving insolvency
Starting a business	0.51	0.51	0.45	0.39	0.54	0.57	0.44	0.42	0.49
Dealing with construction permits		0.60	0.48	0.39	0.45	0.45	0.50	0.37	0.40
Getting electricity			0.51	0.44	0.51	0.55	0.63	0.52	0.55
Registering property				0.46	0.54	0.51	0.50	0.60	0.53
Getting credit					0.56	0.34	0.42	0.36	0.52
Protecting minority investors						0.50	0.44	0.48	0.61
Paying taxes							0.54	0.47	0.45
Trading across borders								0.47	0.57
Enforcing contracts									0.45

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Consider the example of Portugal. Its aggregate distance to frontier score is 76.84. Its score is 91.26 for starting a business and 100.00 for trading across borders. But its score is only 60.00 for protecting minority investors and 45.00 for getting credit.

Figure 2.1 in the chapter “About *Doing Business*” illustrates the degree of variability for each economy’s performance across the different areas of business regulation covered by *Doing Business*. The figure draws attention to economies with a particularly uneven performance by showing, for each economy, the distance between the average of its highest three distance to frontier scores and the average of its lowest three across the 10 topics included in this year’s aggregate distance to frontier score. While a relatively small distance between these two averages suggests a broadly consistent approach across the areas of business regulation measured by *Doing Business*, a relatively large distance suggests a more uneven approach, with greater room for improvement in some areas than in others.

Variation in performance across topics is not at all unusual. It reflects differences in the degree of priority that government authorities give to particular areas of

business regulation reform and in the ability of different government agencies to deliver tangible results in their area of responsibility.

### Change in the distance to frontier gap

Many topics use the magnitude of the change in their distance to frontier gap to classify changes as reforms. The change in the distance to frontier gap is defined as  $(dtf_{prior\ year} - dtf_{current\ year}) / (100 - dtf_{prior\ year})$ , where  $dtf$  is the aggregate distance to frontier score for the specific topic. For example, in 2016/17 Cameroon reduced the paid-in minimum capital requirement, resulting in an improvement in Cameroon’s aggregate distance to frontier score for starting a business from 75.27 to 82.39. This reduced the distance to frontier gap for Cameroon by  $(75.27 - 82.39) / (100 - 75.27)$  or 28.79% on starting a business in *Doing Business* 2018. For a complete discussion of the methodology for classifying changes as reforms, see the data notes.

### Economies improving the most across three or more *Doing Business* topics in 2016/17

*Doing Business* 2018 uses a simple method to calculate which economies

improved the ease of doing business the most. First, it selects the economies that in 2016/17 implemented regulatory reforms making it easier to do business in three or more of the 10 topics included in this year’s aggregate distance to frontier score.<sup>3</sup> Thirty-four economies meet this criterion: Angola; Azerbaijan; Benin; Bhutan; Brunei Darussalam; Cabo Verde; Djibouti; El Salvador; Georgia; India; Indonesia; Jamaica; Kazakhstan; Kenya; Kosovo; Lithuania; Malawi; Malaysia; Mauritania; Mauritius; Niger; Nigeria; Pakistan; Russian Federation; Rwanda; Saudi Arabia; Senegal; Serbia; Thailand; Ukraine; United Arab Emirates; Uzbekistan; Vietnam and Zambia. Second, *Doing Business* sorts these economies on the increase in their distance to frontier score from the previous year using comparable data.

Selecting the economies that implemented regulatory reforms in at least three topics and had the biggest improvements in their distance to frontier scores is intended to highlight economies with ongoing, broad-based reform programs. The improvement in the distance to frontier score is used to identify the top improvers because this allows a focus on the absolute improvement—in contrast with the

relative improvement shown by a change in rankings—that economies have made in their regulatory environment for business.

---

## EASE OF DOING BUSINESS RANKING

The ease of doing business ranking ranges from 1 to 190. The ranking of economies is determined by sorting the aggregate distance to frontier scores, rounded to two decimals.

---

## NOTES

1. See Djankov and others 2005. Principal components and unobserved components methods yield a ranking nearly identical to that from the simple average method because both these methods assign roughly equal weights to the topics, since the pairwise correlations among topics do not differ much. An alternative to the simple average method is to give different weights to the topics, depending on which are considered of more or less importance in the context of a specific economy.
2. For getting credit, indicators are weighted proportionally, according to their contribution to the total score, with a weight of 60% assigned to the strength of legal rights index and 40% to the depth of credit information index. Indicators for all other topics are assigned equal weights.
3. Changes making it more difficult to do business are subtracted from the total number of those making it easier to do business.



# Summaries of Doing Business Reforms in 2016/17

*Doing Business* reforms affecting all sets of indicators included in this year's report, implemented from June 2016 to June 2017.

- ✓ Reform making it easier to do business
- ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

## Afghanistan

### ✗ Starting a business

Afghanistan made starting a business more costly by requiring that entrepreneurs pay the business license fee for three years at the time of incorporation.

## Angola

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Angola made dealing with construction permits easier and less time-consuming by improving its system for building permit applications.

## Albania

### ✓ Getting credit

Albania strengthened access to credit by introducing amendments to the Civil Code and the Law on Securing Charges and by adopting a new insolvency law. A security interest can now be granted over any type of movable property—including tangible and intangible assets—and secured creditors are given absolute priority within insolvency proceedings.

### Labor market regulation

Albania amended legislation to reduce the maximum number of hours (including overtime) allowed in a workweek and to mandate that women and men be given equal remuneration for work of equal value.

## Getting electricity

Angola made getting electricity easier by upgrading Luanda's electrical grid, thereby reducing the time it takes for the utility to complete feasibility studies for new connections.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Angola made trading across borders easier by improving infrastructure at the Port of Luanda.

## Antigua and Barbuda

### ✓ Registering property

Antigua and Barbuda made property registration faster by eliminating an application backlog caused by a fire at the land registry.

## Argentina

### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

Argentina made obtaining a building permit more costly by adopting a new tax law for the city of Buenos Aires.

Reforms affecting the labor market regulation indicators are included here but do not affect the ranking on the ease of doing business.

## Armenia

### ✓ Getting electricity

Armenia made getting electricity easier by imposing new deadlines for connection procedures and introducing a new geographic information system at the utility.

### ✓ Registering property

Armenia made registering property easier by improving the land administration system's dispute resolution mechanisms.

## Azerbaijan

### ✓ Getting credit

Azerbaijan improved access to credit information by adopting a law allowing the establishment of credit bureaus.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Azerbaijan strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions, clarifying ownership and control structures and requiring greater corporate transparency.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Azerbaijan made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a system that allows users to pay court fees electronically.

### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Azerbaijan made resolving insolvency easier by making insolvency proceedings more accessible for creditors and granting them greater participation in the proceedings, improving provisions on the treatment of contracts during insolvency and introducing the possibility to obtain post-commencement financing.

## Bahamas, The

### ✓ Starting a business

The Bahamas made starting a business easier by merging the processes of registering for a business license and registering for value added tax.

### ✓ Paying taxes

The Bahamas made paying taxes less costly by decreasing the stamp duty on the sale of land.

## Labor market regulation

The Bahamas amended its legislation to introduce priority rules that apply to reemployment.

## Bahrain

### ✗ Paying taxes

Bahrain made paying taxes more complicated by introducing a new health care contribution borne by the employer.

## Bangladesh

### ✗ Starting a business

Bangladesh made starting a business more expensive by increasing the cost of business registration at the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies and Firms. This reform applies to both Chittagong and Dhaka.

## Barbados

### ✗ Paying taxes

Barbados made paying taxes more difficult by introducing a new national social responsibility levy of 2% on the value of products before VAT.

## Belarus

### ✓ Getting credit

Belarus strengthened access to credit by adopting two new decrees that establish a unified collateral registry.

## Belgium

### ✓ Paying taxes

Belgium made paying taxes less costly by reducing the social security contribution rate paid by employers.

## Benin

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Benin increased the transparency of dealing with construction permits by publishing regulations related to construction online free of charge.

### ✓ Registering property

Benin made registering a property less costly by eliminating the registration

tax and making the schedule of fees and list of documents required for property registration available publicly.

### ✓ Getting credit

Benin improved its credit reporting system by introducing regulations that govern the licensing and functioning of credit bureaus in the member states of the West African Economic and Monetary Union (UEMOA).

## Bhutan

### ✓ Starting a business

Bhutan made starting a business easier by reducing the time for obtaining a Security Clearance Certificate, registering at the Office of the Registrar and registering for taxes.

### ✓ Getting credit

Bhutan improved access to credit information by beginning to distribute payment data from two utility companies.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Bhutan strengthened minority investor protections by clarifying ownership and control structures but weakened minority investor protections by reducing shareholder rights.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Bhutan made enforcing contracts easier by creating a dedicated bench to resolve commercial cases.

## Bolivia

### ✓ Trading across borders

Bolivia made exporting and importing easier by implementing the *Sistema Único de Modernización Aduanera* (SUMA), an automated customs data management system.

## Bosnia and Herzegovina

### Labor market regulation

Bosnia and Herzegovina adopted legislation that decreased wage premiums for overtime, weekly holiday and night work.

## Botswana

### ✓ Registering property

Botswana made registering property more difficult by reducing the efficiency of its Registrar of Deeds as it implements the computerization of manual records.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Botswana made paying taxes easier by establishing an online system for filing and paying taxes.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Botswana made trading across borders easier by implementing a new automated customs data management system.

## Brazil

### ✓ Trading across borders

Brazil reduced the time for documentary compliance for both exporting and importing by enhancing its electronic data interchange system. This reform applies to both Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo.

## Brunei Darussalam

### ✓ Starting a business

Brunei Darussalam made starting a business faster by removing post-incorporation procedures.

### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

Brunei Darussalam made dealing with construction permits less cumbersome by streamlining the process of obtaining a building permit.

### ✗ Getting electricity

Brunei Darussalam made getting electricity more difficult by increasing the number of procedures needed to obtain a new connection. At the same time, a new compensation scheme was introduced to incentivize the utility to improve reliability.

### ✓ Registering property

Brunei Darussalam made registering property easier by increasing the transparency of its land administration system and by improving the

land administration system's dispute resolution mechanisms.

### ✓ Getting credit

Brunei Darussalam strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law on secured transactions that establishes a unified legal framework for movable assets and creates a modern, notice-based collateral registry. The law also establishes priority rules for secured creditors inside and outside of insolvency proceedings and allows for out-of-court enforcement.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Brunei Darussalam strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions, clarifying ownership and control structures and requiring greater corporate transparency.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Brunei Darussalam made paying taxes easier by introducing an online system for filing and paying labor contributions.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Brunei Darussalam made exporting and importing easier by enhancing the Brunei Darussalam National Single Window and the customs clearance process.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Brunei Darussalam made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic case management system for the use of judges and lawyers.

## Burkina Faso

### ✓ Getting credit

Burkina Faso improved access to credit information by launching a new credit bureau.

## Burundi

### ✗ Starting a business

Burundi made starting a business more expensive by increasing the cost of registering a business.

## Cabo Verde

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Cabo Verde made dealing with construction permits easier by publishing all regulations related to construction online free of charge.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Cabo Verde made exporting and importing easier by implementing an automated customs data management system, ASYCUDA World.

### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Cabo Verde made resolving insolvency easier by adopting a law that introduces a reorganization procedure and facilitates continuation of the debtor's business during insolvency proceedings. The law also allows creditors greater participation in important decisions during insolvency proceedings.

## Cameroon

### ✓ Starting a business

Cameroon made starting a business easier by reducing the minimum capital requirement.

### ✓ Getting credit

Cameroon improved access to credit information by launching a new credit registry.

## Canada

### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

Canada made dealing with construction permits more expensive by increasing fees for site plan approval and building permits.

## China

### ✓ Starting a business

China made starting a business easier by streamlining registration procedures. This reform applies to both Beijing and Shanghai.

### ✓ Paying taxes

China made paying taxes easier by introducing several measures to ease compliance. This reform applies to both Beijing and Shanghai.

## Colombia

### Labor market regulation

Colombia increased the mandatory length of paid maternity leave.

## Comoros

### ✓ Trading across borders

The Comoros made trading across borders easier by implementing an automated customs data management system, SYDONIA++, which reduced the time for the preparation and submission of documents for both exports and imports.

## Congo, Dem. Rep.

### ✓ Starting a business

The Democratic Republic of Congo made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement that a woman obtain her husband's permission to start a business and by combining multiple business registration procedures.

### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

The Democratic Republic of Congo made dealing with construction permits more expensive by revising the formula to assess building permit fees. At the same time, the Democratic Republic of Congo made dealing with construction permits more transparent by publishing all regulations related to construction online free of charge.

### Labor market regulation

The Democratic Republic of Congo introduced regulation pertaining to working hours.

## Congo, Rep.

### ✓ Starting a business

The Republic of Congo made starting a business easier by reducing the minimum capital requirement for business incorporation and by replacing the requirement for the managers' criminal records with a sworn declaration at the time of the company's registration.

## Costa Rica

### ✓ Registering property

Costa Rica decreased the time needed to transfer a property through several measures, including the introduction of effective time limits.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Costa Rica strengthened minority investor protections by allowing greater access to corporate information before and during trial and enhancing disclosure requirements, but weakened shareholder rights in certain major transactions.

## Côte d'Ivoire

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Côte d'Ivoire made dealing with construction permits easier by streamlining processes at its one-stop shop.

## Croatia

### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

Croatia made dealing with construction permits more costly by increasing the administrative fees for building and occupancy permits.

### ✓ Registering property

Croatia made it less costly to transfer property by lowering the real estate transfer tax.

## Cyprus

### ✗ Paying taxes

Cyprus made paying taxes more difficult by increasing the frequency and number of VAT audits, including in cases of VAT cash refund requests. At the same time, paying taxes was made less costly following the introduction of notional interest tax-deductible expenses and an increase in the discount rate on immovable property.

## Czech Republic

### ✓ Starting a business

The Czech Republic made starting a business less expensive by introducing lower fees for simple limited liability companies.

### ✗ Paying taxes

The Czech Republic made paying taxes more complicated by introducing new requirements for filing VAT control statements.

## Denmark

### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

Denmark made dealing with construction permits more expensive by raising the cost of building permits and the cost of obtaining a water and sewage connection.

## Djibouti

### ✓ Starting a business

Djibouti made starting a business less costly by exempting new companies from professional license fees and reducing fees to register a business and publish the notice of commencement.

### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

Djibouti made obtaining a construction permit easier by reducing the cost of concrete inspections and by implementing decennial liability for all professionals involved in construction projects.

### ✓ Registering property

Djibouti made registering property easier by increasing the transparency of the land administration system.

### ✓ Getting credit

Djibouti improved access to credit information by adopting a law that creates a new credit information system.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Djibouti strengthened minority investor protections by requiring greater disclosure of transactions with interested parties, strengthening remedies against interested directors, extending access to corporate information before trial, increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions, clarifying ownership and control structures and requiring greater corporate transparency.

## Dominican Republic

### ✓ Starting a business

The Dominican Republic reduced the time needed to register a company by streamlining processes at the chamber of commerce.

### ✓ Getting electricity

The Dominican Republic improved the reliability of electricity by investing in grid expansion, redesigning network zoning and setting up a power restoration squad to respond to outages.

### ✗ Paying taxes

The Dominican Republic made paying taxes costlier by decreasing the inflation rate.

### ✓ Resolving insolvency

The Dominican Republic made resolving insolvency easier by adopting a law that introduces a reorganization procedure and facilitates continuation of the debtor's business during insolvency proceedings. The new law allows creditors greater participation in important decisions during insolvency proceedings.

### Labor market regulation

The Dominican Republic increased the mandatory length of paid maternity leave.

## Ecuador

### ✗ Registering property

Ecuador made registering property more burdensome by requiring a valuation certificate to register a property transfer.

### ✗ Paying taxes

Ecuador made paying taxes more costly by introducing a "solidarity tax" paid by employers and employees through withheld salary contributions.

## Egypt, Arab Rep.

### ✗ Registering property

The Arab Republic of Egypt made it more difficult to register property by raising the cost to verify and ratify a sales contract.

## El Salvador

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

The municipal authorities in San Salvador introduced legislation requiring phased inspections during construction as well as experience requirements for professionals in charge of inspections. Furthermore, an online system was implemented for the payment of preliminary construction fees.

### ✓ Getting electricity

El Salvador improved the reliability of electricity by introducing new software programs allowing better outage management and maintenance planning.

### ✓ Paying taxes

El Salvador made paying taxes easier by implementing an online platform for filing and paying taxes and by moving to a risk-based audit assessment selection system focusing on larger companies.

### ✓ Trading across borders

El Salvador made exporting and importing easier by increasing the number of customs officers at the Anguiatú land border.

## Equatorial Guinea

### ✓ Starting a business

Equatorial Guinea made starting a business easier by eliminating the need to obtain an authorization of establishment from the Office of the Prime Minister to start a business.

## Ethiopia

### ✓ Starting a business

Ethiopia made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement to open a bank account prior to company registration.

## Ethiopia

Egypt strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions.

## Finland

### Labor market regulation

Finland increased the length of the maximum probationary period for permanent employees.

## France

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

France strengthened minority investor protections by increasing corporate transparency.

### ✓ Paying taxes

France made paying taxes less costly by lowering rates for social security and training contributions.

## Gabon

### ✓ Starting a business

Gabon made starting a business easier by reducing the minimum capital requirement and by making the notarization of incorporation documents optional.

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Gabon made dealing with construction permits faster by streamlining the process and increased transparency by publishing regulations related to construction online free of charge.

## Georgia

### ✓ Getting electricity

Georgia made getting electricity more affordable by reducing connection costs for new customers.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Georgia strengthened minority investor protections by making it easier to sue directors in cases of prejudicial transactions between interested parties, by increasing shareholder rights and role in

major corporate decisions and clarifying ownership and control structures.

#### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Georgia made resolving insolvency easier by making insolvency proceedings more accessible for debtors and creditors, improving provisions on treatment of contracts during insolvency and granting creditors greater participation in important decisions during the proceedings.

### Ghana

#### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Ghana increased the transparency of dealing with construction permits by publishing regulations related to construction online free of charge.

### Greece

#### ✓ Starting a business

Greece made starting a business easier by creating a unified social security institution.

### Grenada

#### ✗ Paying taxes

Grenada made paying taxes more costly by increasing stamp tax rates.

#### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Grenada made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a reorganization procedure for corporate entities. Grenada also introduced provisions facilitating the continuation of the debtor's business during insolvency proceedings and allowing creditors greater participation in important decisions during the proceedings. Grenada also established a public office responsible for the general administration of insolvency cases.

### Guatemala

#### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

Guatemala made dealing with construction permits more complicated and expensive by expanding the classification of projects requiring an environmental impact assessment.

### Guinea

#### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

Guinea made dealing with construction permits more expensive by increasing the cost of building permits. At the same time, Guinea increased transparency by publishing laws and regulations on a regularly updated website.

### Guinea-Bissau

#### ✓ Getting credit

Guinea-Bissau improved its credit reporting system by introducing regulations that govern the licensing and functioning of credit bureaus in the member states of the West African Economic and Monetary Union (UEMOA) and by launching a new credit bureau.

### Guyana

#### ✓ Registering property

Guyana decreased the time to transfer property by allocating higher resources to infrastructure and personnel.

#### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Guyana made enforcing contracts easier by adopting a new code of civil procedure regulating time standards for key court events.

### Haiti

#### ✗ Paying taxes

Haiti made paying taxes more costly by increasing the rate for the business license tax.

### Honduras

#### ✗ Registering property

Honduras made property registration more difficult by reducing the number of employees at the land registry.

### Hong Kong SAR, China

#### ✗ Starting a business

Hong Kong SAR, China, made starting a business more expensive by reintroducing the business registration fee.

#### ✓ Registering property

Hong Kong SAR, China, improved the quality of its land administration system by enhancing its reliability and establishing a complaints mechanism.

### Hungary

#### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Hungary made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a system that allows users to pay court fees electronically.

### India

#### ✓ Starting a business

India made starting a business faster by merging the applications for the Permanent Account Number (PAN) and the Tax Account Number (TAN) and by improving the online application system. This reform applies to both Delhi and Mumbai. Mumbai also made starting a business faster by merging the applications for value added tax and the Profession Tax (PT).

#### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

India reduced the number of procedures and time required to obtain a building permit by implementing an online system that has streamlined the process at the Municipality of New Delhi and Municipality of Greater Mumbai.

#### ✓ Getting credit

India strengthened access to credit by amending the rules on priority of secured creditors outside reorganization proceedings and by adopting a new law on insolvency that provides a time limit and clear grounds for relief to the automatic stay for secured creditors during reorganization proceedings. This reform applies to both Delhi and Mumbai.

#### ✓ Protecting minority investors

India strengthened minority investor protections by increasing the remedies available in cases of prejudicial transactions between interested

parties. This reform applies to both Delhi and Mumbai.

#### ✓ Paying taxes

India made paying taxes easier by requiring that payments be made electronically to the Employees Provident Fund and introducing a set of administrative measures easing compliance with corporate income tax. This reform applies to both Delhi and Mumbai.

#### ✓ Trading across borders

India reduced import border compliance time in Mumbai by improving infrastructure at the Nhava Sheva Port. Export and import border compliance costs were also reduced in both Delhi and Mumbai by eliminating merchant overtime fees and through the increased use of electronic and mobile platforms.

#### ✓ Enforcing contracts

India made enforcing contracts easier by introducing the National Judicial Data Grid, which makes it possible to generate case management reports on local courts. This reform applies to both Delhi and Mumbai.

#### ✓ Resolving insolvency

India made resolving insolvency easier by adopting a new insolvency and bankruptcy code that introduced a reorganization procedure for corporate debtors and facilitated continuation of the debtor's business during insolvency proceedings. This reform applies to both Delhi and Mumbai.

#### Labor market regulation

India increased the mandatory length of paid maternity leave. This reform applies to both Delhi and Mumbai.

### Indonesia

#### ✓ Starting a business

Indonesia made starting a business less costly by reducing start-up fees for limited liability companies. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

#### ✓ Getting electricity

Indonesia made getting electricity less costly by reducing connection and internal wiring certification fees.

In Jakarta, getting electricity was also made easier after the utility streamlined the processing of new connection applications.

#### ✓ Registering property

Indonesia made registering property easier by reducing the transfer tax. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

#### ✓ Getting credit

Indonesia improved access to credit information by launching a new credit bureau. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

#### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Indonesia strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions and requiring greater corporate transparency. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

#### ✓ Paying taxes

Indonesia made paying taxes easier by promoting the online filing of taxes and by lowering the rate for capital gains tax. These reforms apply to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

#### ✓ Trading across borders

Indonesia made importing faster by introducing an electronic single billing system. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

### Iran, Islamic Rep.

#### ✓ Getting credit

The Islamic Republic of Iran improved access to credit information by reporting data on credit payments from an automobile retailer.

### Iraq

#### ✓ Starting a business

Iraq made starting a business easier by combining multiple registration procedures and reducing the time to register a company.

#### ✓ Getting credit

Iraq improved access to credit information by launching a new credit registry.

### Italy

#### ✓ Getting electricity

Italy made getting electricity easier by streamlining the application process and reducing the time for the external works and meter installation.

#### ✓ Paying taxes

Italy made paying taxes less costly by temporarily exempting employers from social security contributions. Italy also made paying taxes easier by abolishing the *Comunicazione dati IVA* (value added tax communication form).

### Jamaica

#### ✓ Starting a business

Jamaica made starting a business faster by reinstating next-day service for company incorporation.

#### ✓ Getting electricity

Jamaica improved the reliability of the electricity supply in Kingston by investing in the distribution network through several initiatives, including the installation of smart meters and distribution automation switches.

#### ✓ Trading across borders

Jamaica reduced the time for documentary compliance for importing by implementing a web-based customs data management platform, ASYCUDA World.

### Japan

#### ✓ Paying taxes

Japan made paying taxes less costly by reducing the statutory rate for corporate income tax and rates for other taxes including mandatory labor contributions. This reform applies to both Osaka and Tokyo.

### Jordan

#### ✓ Getting credit

Jordan improved access to credit information by establishing a new credit bureau.

## Kazakhstan

### ✓ Registering property

Kazakhstan made it easier to transfer a property by improving transparency and the land administration system's dispute resolution mechanisms.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Kazakhstan strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions, clarifying ownership and control structures, requiring greater corporate transparency and allowing greater access to corporate information during trial.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Kazakhstan made enforcing contracts easier by introducing additional time standards for key court events that are respected in the majority of cases.

## Kenya

### ✓ Starting a business

Kenya made starting a business easier by merging the procedures required to operate formally.

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Kenya made dealing with construction permits less expensive by eliminating fees for clearances from the National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) and the National Construction Authority.

### ✓ Getting electricity

Kenya improved the reliability of electricity by investing in its distribution lines and transformers and by setting up a specialized squad to restore power when outages occur.

### ✓ Getting credit

Kenya improved access to credit information by starting to distribute data from two utility companies.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Kenya made paying taxes easier by implementing an online platform, iTax, for filing and paying corporate income tax and the standards levy.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Kenya reduced the time for import documentary compliance by implementing its single window system, which allows for electronic submission of customs entries.

## Kiribati

### Labor market regulation

Kiribati introduced a mandatory minimum wage, provided specific rules on working hours, introduced annual paid leave and streamlined redundancy rules.

## Kosovo

### ✓ Starting a business

Kosovo made starting a business easier by simplifying the process of registering employees.

### ✓ Getting credit

Kosovo strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law that establishes clear priority rules inside bankruptcy for secured creditors and clear grounds for relief from a stay for secured creditors in reorganization procedures.

### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Kosovo made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a legal framework for corporate insolvency, making liquidation and reorganization procedures available to debtors and creditors.

## Kuwait

### ✓ Starting a business

Kuwait made starting a business easier by establishing a one-stop shop and improving online registration.

### ✓ Registering property

Kuwait made registering property easier by reducing the number of days needed to register property and by improving the transparency of the land administration system.

## Kyrgyz Republic

### x Registering property

The Kyrgyz Republic made property registration less transparent by no longer making official statistics

on property transfers available to the public.

### ✓ Getting credit

The Kyrgyz Republic strengthened access to credit by adopting two new decrees that establish a unified and modern collateral registry. The Kyrgyz Republic also improved its credit reporting system by adopting a new law on exchanging credit information.

## Latvia

### Labor market regulation

Latvia amended its legislation to extend the duration of the contribution period that is required before an employee can become eligible for unemployment protection.

## Liberia

### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Liberia made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a legal framework for corporate insolvency, making liquidation and reorganization procedures available to debtors and creditors.

## Lithuania

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Lithuania made dealing with construction permits easier by reducing the time needed to obtain technical conditions and the building permit.

### ✓ Getting electricity

Lithuania made getting electricity easier by streamlining procedures and imposing deadlines for issuing internal wiring inspection certificates.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Lithuania strengthened minority investor protections by increasing corporate transparency.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Lithuania made paying taxes easier by introducing an electronic system for filing and paying value added tax, corporate income tax and social security contributions. On the other hand, the environmental tax was increased.

## Luxembourg

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Luxembourg strengthened minority investor protections by making it easier to sue directors in case of prejudicial related-party transactions and increasing access to corporate information.

## Madagascar

### ✓ Starting a business

Madagascar made starting a business easier by publishing the notice of company incorporation online free of charge and by allowing the payment of registration fees directly at the one-stop shop.

### ✓ Getting credit

Madagascar improved access to credit information by increasing the coverage of the credit registry.

## Malawi

### ✗ Starting a business

Malawi made starting a business more expensive by increasing the cost of registering a business with the Registrar General.

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Malawi made dealing with construction permits cheaper by halving the fees charged by the city council to process building plan approvals.

### ✓ Getting credit

Malawi improved access to credit information by establishing a new credit bureau. Malawi also strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law that establishes clear priority rules inside and outside bankruptcy procedures.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Malawi made exporting and importing easier by upgrading to a web-based customs data management platform, ASYCUDA World.

### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Malawi made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a reorganization procedure, facilitating continuation of the debtor's business during insolvency

proceedings and introducing regulations for insolvency practitioners.

## Malaysia

### ✓ Getting credit

Malaysia strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law that establishes a modern collateral registry.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Malaysia strengthened minority investor protections by requiring greater corporate transparency.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Malaysia made importing and exporting easier by improving the infrastructure, equipment and facilities at Port Klang.

## Maldives

### ✓ Paying taxes

Maldives made paying taxes easier by introducing an online system for filing and paying taxes.

## Malta

### ✓ Starting a business

Malta made starting a business easier by removing the requirement for a trading license for general commercial activities.

## Mauritania

### ✓ Starting a business

Mauritania made starting a business easier by combining multiple registration procedures.

### ✓ Registering property

Mauritania made registering property easier by increasing the transparency of the land registry.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Mauritania made paying taxes easier by allowing for quarterly filing and payment of social security contributions.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Mauritania made trading across borders easier through a series of initiatives at the Port of Nouakchott, such as eliminating the requirement to weigh all import

containers, investing in infrastructure, streamlining the movement of cargo and consolidating the payment of fees.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Mauritania made enforcing contracts easier by making judgments rendered at all levels in commercial cases available to the general public on the courts' websites.

## Mauritius

### ✓ Starting a business

Mauritius made starting a business easier by exempting trade fees for licenses below MUR 5,000 and introducing the electronic certificate of incorporation.

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Mauritius made dealing with construction permits faster by outsourcing the design and construction of sewerage connection works.

### ✓ Registering property

Mauritius made it easier to transfer property by eliminating the transfer tax and registration duty, implementing a complaint mechanism and publishing service standards.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Mauritius made trading across borders easier by improving the Cargo Community System, introducing advanced electronic document submission and updating the risk-based inspection system.

## Mexico

### ✗ Dealing with construction permits

Mexico made dealing with construction permits more costly by raising several fees. This reform applies to both Mexico City and Monterrey.

### ✓ Getting electricity

Mexico (Mexico City) improved the reliability of electricity supply by installing smart meters, extending the medium-voltage network and implementing a new system to remotely restore power service.

### **x Registering property**

Mexico (Monterrey) made registering property more expensive by raising the municipal property transfer tax.

## **Moldova**

### **✓ Starting a business**

Moldova made starting a business easier by removing the requirement to register with the Social Security Fund.

## **Mongolia**

### **✓ Getting credit**

Mongolia strengthened access to credit by introducing a new Law on Movable and Intangible Property Pledges and by setting up a new collateral registry. The new law implemented a functional secured transactions system. The collateral registry is operational, unified geographically, searchable by a debtor's unique identifier, modern and notice-based.

### **x Paying taxes**

Mongolia made paying taxes more burdensome by not allowing input VAT incurred on a business capital expenditure to be deducted as input credit.

### **Labor market regulation**

Mongolia amended its legislation to reduce the maximum length of the employee probationary period.

## **Montenegro**

### **✓ Getting electricity**

Montenegro improved the reliability of electricity supply by implementing the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) automatic energy management system and by beginning to record data for the annual system average interruption duration index (SAIDI) and system average interruption frequency index (SAIFI).

## **Morocco**

### **✓ Starting a business**

Morocco made starting a business easier by combining the stamp duty

payment with the application for business incorporation.

### **x Registering property**

Morocco made registering property more expensive by increasing registration fees.

### **✓ Paying taxes**

Morocco made paying taxes easier by improving the online system for filing and paying taxes.

## **Mozambique**

### **✓ Getting electricity**

Mozambique reduced the time to get an electricity connection by streamlining procedures through the utility instead of different agencies. It also reduced costs by eliminating the security deposit for large commercial clients.

### **✓ Trading across borders**

Mozambique made exporting easier by improving infrastructure at the Maputo-Matola port complex.

## **Myanmar**

### **✓ Registering property**

Myanmar made registering property less costly by reducing the stamp duty.

### **✓ Getting credit**

Myanmar improved access to credit information by adopting a regulation allowing the establishment of credit bureaus.

## **Namibia**

### **✓ Enforcing contracts**

Namibia made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic filing system and an electronic case management system for the use of judges and lawyers.

## **Nepal**

### **✓ Getting credit**

Nepal strengthened access to credit by operationalizing the existing law on secured transactions that implements a functional secured transactions system

and establishes a centralized, notice-based, modern collateral registry.

### **✓ Protecting minority investors**

Nepal strengthened minority investor protections by requiring greater corporate transparency.

## **Netherlands**

### **✓ Getting credit**

The Netherlands improved access to credit information by lowering the minimum loan amount to be included in the credit bureau's database.

## **New Zealand**

### **✓ Paying taxes**

New Zealand made paying taxes easier by improving the online portal for filing and paying general sales tax.

### **x Enforcing contracts**

New Zealand temporarily made enforcing contracts more difficult by suspending the filing of new commercial cases before the Commercial List of the High Court of New Zealand during the establishment of a new Commercial Panel.

## **Nicaragua**

### **✓ Enforcing contracts**

Nicaragua made enforcing contracts easier by adopting a new code of civil procedure that introduces stricter case management rules.

## **Niger**

### **✓ Starting a business**

Niger made starting a business easier by reducing the minimum capital requirement for business incorporation, by reducing the time needed to register a company and by publishing the notice of company incorporation online free of charge.

### **✓ Dealing with construction permits**

Niger increased the transparency of dealing with construction permits by publishing regulations related to construction online free of charge. Niger

also reduced the time and cost to obtain a building permit and the time to obtain a water connection.

#### ✓ Getting electricity

Niger reduced the time to get an electricity connection by implementing a single window.

#### ✓ Registering property

Niger made registering property easier by lowering the cost of transferring property.

### Nigeria

#### ✓ Starting a business

Nigeria made starting a business faster by allowing electronic stamping of registration documents. This reform applies to both Kano and Lagos.

#### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Nigeria (Kano) increased transparency by publishing all relevant regulations, fee schedules and pre-application requirements online. Nigeria (Lagos) made it easier to obtain construction permits by streamlining the permitting process and increased transparency by publishing all relevant regulations, fee schedules and pre-application requirements online.

#### ✓ Registering property

Nigeria (Kano) made transferring property more transparent by publishing the list of documents, fee schedules and service standards for property transactions. Nigeria (Lagos) made transferring property easier and more transparent by removing the sworn affidavit for certified copies of land ownership records, introducing a specific and independent complaint mechanism and by publishing statistics on land transfers.

#### ✓ Getting credit

Nigeria improved access to credit information by guaranteeing borrowers the legal right to inspect their credit data from the credit bureau and by starting to provide credit scores to banks, financial institutions and borrowers. Nigeria also strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law on secured transactions

and establishing a modern collateral registry. These changes apply to both Kano and Lagos.

#### ✓ Paying taxes

Nigeria made paying taxes easier by introducing new channels for payment of taxes and mandating taxpayers to file tax returns at the nearest Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) office. This reform applies to both Kano and Lagos.

### Norway

#### ✓ Paying taxes

Norway made paying taxes less costly by reducing the statutory corporate income tax rate.

### Oman

#### ✓ Trading across borders

Oman made exporting and importing easier by enhancing its online single window system for exports and imports, reducing the time required for documentary compliance.

### Pakistan

#### ✓ Starting a business

Pakistan made starting a business easier by replacing the need to obtain a digital signature for company incorporation with a less costly personal identification number. This change applies to both Karachi and Lahore.

#### ✓ Registering property

Pakistan (Karachi) improved the transparency of the land registration process by making the fee schedule and list of documents to submit for property registration available online.

#### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Pakistan increased minority investor protections by making it easier to sue directors in case of prejudicial transactions with interested parties. This reform applies to both Karachi and Lahore.

#### ✓ Trading across borders

Pakistan made importing and exporting easier by developing a new container

terminal and enhancing its customs platform for electronic document submission. These changes apply to both Karachi and Lahore.

### Palau

#### ✓ Paying taxes

Palau made paying taxes easier by introducing editable and populated gross revenue tax forms and a system of barcoded payments.

### Panama

#### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Panama made resolving insolvency easier by adopting a new insolvency law that introduces a reorganization procedure and facilitates continuation of the debtor's business during insolvency proceedings. The new law also allows creditors greater participation in important decisions during insolvency proceedings and regulates insolvency practitioners.

### Paraguay

#### Labor market regulation

Paraguay increased the mandatory length of paid maternity leave.

### Philippines

#### ✓ Getting electricity

The Philippines reduced the time to get an electricity connection by implementing a new asset management system and by creating a new scheduling and planning office.

#### ✓ Paying taxes

The Philippines made paying taxes easier by introducing a new electronic system for payment and collection of housing development fund contributions.

### Puerto Rico (U.S.)

#### Labor market regulation

Puerto Rico (U.S.) increased the length of the maximum probationary period for permanent employees, decreased the wage premium for overtime and weekly holiday work, decreased the

mandatory paid annual leave and established severance payments for all employees wrongfully made redundant.

## **Qatar**

### ✓ Getting credit

Qatar improved access to credit information by starting to provide consumer credit scores to banks, financial institutions and borrowers.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Qatar made exporting and importing easier by inaugurating the new Hamad Port.

## **Romania**

### ✓ Registering property

Romania improved the quality of land administration by digitizing ownership and land records.

## **Russian Federation**

### ✓ Registering property

The Russian Federation made it easier to transfer property by reducing the time needed to apply for state registration of title transfer. This reform applies to both Moscow and St. Petersburg.

### ✓ Getting credit

Russia improved access to credit by adopting a new law that establishes a modern collateral registry. This reform applies to both Moscow and St. Petersburg.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Russia made exporting and importing easier by opening a new deep water port on the coast of the Gulf of Finland, increasing competition and reducing the cost of border compliance at the Port of St. Petersburg. This reform applies to both Moscow and St. Petersburg.

## **Rwanda**

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Rwanda increased quality control during construction by introducing risk-based inspections.

### ✓ Registering property

Rwanda made registering property easier by implementing online services to facilitate the registration of property transfers.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Rwanda strengthened minority investor protections by making it easier to sue directors, clarifying ownership and control structures and requiring greater corporate transparency.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Rwanda made paying taxes easier by establishing an online system for filing and paying taxes.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Rwanda made enforcing contracts easier by making judgments rendered at all levels in commercial cases available to the general public on the judiciary's website.

## **Samoa**

### ✓ Getting credit

Samoa strengthened access to credit with the implementation of the Personal Property Securities Act and by establishing a modern, notice-based collateral registry to register all types of charges and functional equivalents.

## **São Tomé and Príncipe**

### ✓ Trading across borders

São Tomé and Príncipe made exporting and importing easier by implementing a one-stop shop.

## **Saudi Arabia**

### ✓ Starting a business

Saudi Arabia made starting a business easier through the use of an online system that merges name reservation and the submission of the articles of association into one procedure. Saudi Arabia also improved the online payment system, removing the need to pay fees in person.

### ✓ Registering property

Saudi Arabia improved the efficiency of its land administration system by implementing an online platform to check for ownership and encumbrances and by streamlining the property registration process. Additionally, Saudi Arabia made registering property easier by improving the land administration system's dispute resolution mechanisms.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Saudi Arabia strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major decisions, clarifying ownership and control structures, requiring greater corporate transparency and regulating the disclosure of transactions with interested parties.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Saudi Arabia made paying taxes easier by improving its online platform for filing and paying taxes.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Saudi Arabia reduced the time for documentary compliance for exports and imports by reducing the number of documents required for customs clearance.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Saudi Arabia made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic case management system for the use of judges and lawyers.

## **Senegal**

### ✓ Starting a business

Senegal made starting a business more affordable by reducing the notary fees for company incorporation.

### ✓ Getting electricity

Senegal improved the monitoring and regulation of power outages by beginning to record data for the annual system average interruption duration index (SAIDI) and system average interruption frequency index (SAIFI).

### ✓ Registering property

Senegal made registering property easier by lowering the costs of transferring property and by reducing the time to transfer and register property.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Senegal made paying taxes easier by introducing time limits to the General Tax Code for processing value added tax cash refunds and applying these time limits in practice.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Senegal made enforcing contracts easier by introducing stricter pre-trial hearing rules that led to a reduction of the time necessary to resolve a commercial dispute.

## Serbia

### ✓ Starting a business

Serbia made starting a business easier by reducing the signature certification fee and increasing the efficiency of the registry, reducing the time for business registration.

### ✓ Registering property

Serbia improved the reliability of its land administration system by implementing a geographic information system.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Serbia made enforcing contracts easier by adopting a new enforcement law that broadens and clarifies the responsibilities of enforcement agents as well as the powers of the courts during the enforcement process.

## Seychelles

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

The Seychelles increased the transparency of dealing with construction permits by publishing construction industry regulations online free of charge.

### ✓ Registering property

The Seychelles improved the quality of its land administration system by digitizing its maps and introducing a complaint mechanism.

## Sierra Leone

### ✓ Starting a business

Sierra Leone made starting a business easier by combining multiple registration procedures.

### ✓ Trading across borders

Sierra Leone made trading across borders easier through a series of initiatives, including the elimination of export permits and the implementation of pre-arrival processing.

## Singapore

### ✓ Trading across borders

Singapore made exporting and importing easier by improving infrastructure and electronic equipment at the port.

### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Singapore made resolving insolvency easier by establishing a new scheme of arrangement procedure with features of the debtor-in-possession reorganization regime and introducing provisions applicable to prepackaged restructurings.

### Labor market regulation

Singapore adopted legislation that requires employers with more than 10 employees to notify the Ministry of Manpower if five or more employees are retrenched within any six-month period.

## Slovak Republic

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

The Slovak Republic made enforcing contracts easier by adopting a new code of civil procedure that introduces pre-trial conference as part of the case management techniques used in court.

## Slovenia

### ✓ Getting credit

Slovenia improved access to credit information by reporting both positive and negative data on consumers and commercial borrowers.

## South Sudan

### x Starting a business

South Sudan made starting a business more expensive by increasing business registration fees.

## Spain

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Spain made enforcing contracts easier by reducing court fees for filing a claim.

## Sri Lanka

### ✓ Trading across borders

Sri Lanka made exporting and importing easier by developing a customs single window.

## St. Kitts and Nevis

### ✓ Trading across borders

St. Kitts and Nevis made trading across borders easier by updating its website and implementing ASYCUDA, an automated customs data management system, reducing documentary compliance time for exports and imports.

## Suriname

### ✓ Registering property

Suriname made transferring property more transparent by publishing the fee schedule and list of documents for property registration online.

## Swaziland

### x Dealing with construction permits

Swaziland made dealing with construction permits more difficult by introducing a requirement that all new construction projects be registered with the Construction Industry Council and that a levy payment be made.

### ✓ Getting credit

Swaziland improved access to credit information by adopting a law that guarantees borrowers' right to access their own data.

## ✓ Trading across borders

Swaziland made exporting and importing easier by implementing a web-based customs data management platform, ASYCUDA World.

## Switzerland

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Switzerland made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic filing system.

## Taiwan, China

### ✓ Trading across borders

Taiwan, China, made exporting easier by allowing different organizations to electronically issue certificates of origin.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Taiwan, China, made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic filing system.

## Labor market regulation

Taiwan, China, adopted legislation that increased the number of mandatory paid annual leave days and the number of weekly rest days.

## Tajikistan

### ✓ Starting a business

Tajikistan made starting a business easier by raising the revenue threshold for mandatory value added tax registration.

### ✓ Registering property

Tajikistan made it easier and less costly to register property by eliminating the need to register the sale-purchase agreement at the municipal office. Tajikistan also made transferring property more costly by increasing fees.

## Labor market regulation

Tajikistan adopted legislation that changes the rules of severance payments. It also abolished restrictions on night work by non-pregnant women and non-nursing mothers.

## Tanzania

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Tanzania made dealing with construction permits easier by implementing a one-stop shop and streamlining the building permit process.

### ✗ Registering property

Tanzania made registering property more expensive by increasing the land and property registration fee.

## Thailand

### ✓ Starting a business

Thailand made starting a business easier by abolishing the requirement to obtain a company's seal and eliminating the need for approval from the Labor Department of company work regulations.

### ✓ Getting electricity

Thailand made getting electricity easier by streamlining procedures through the implementation of a geographic information system, eliminating the external site inspection.

### ✓ Registering property

Thailand improved the reliability of its land administration system by implementing a geographic information system and by scanning maps for most of Bangkok.

### ✓ Getting credit

Thailand strengthened access to credit by adopting new legislation that broadens the scope of assets that can be used as collateral. Thailand now allows a general description of assets granted as collateral and allows the security interest to automatically attach to the proceeds of the original asset. It also establishes clear grounds for relief from a stay for secured creditors during reorganization procedures and allows out-of-court enforcement of collateral.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Thailand strengthened minority investor protections by making it easier to sue directors in case of

prejudicial related-party transactions, increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions and clarifying ownership and control structures.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Thailand made paying taxes easier by introducing an automatic risk-based system for selecting companies for a tax audit. It also made paying taxes less costly by reducing the property transfer tax rate.

### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Thailand made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a system that allows users to pay court fees electronically. Thailand also reduced enforcement times by increasing the automation and efficiency of enforcement processes.

### ✓ Resolving insolvency

Thailand made resolving insolvency easier by changing the voting procedures for reorganization plans.

## Togo

### ✓ Getting credit

Togo improved access to credit information by launching a new credit bureau.

## Trinidad and Tobago

### ✗ Paying taxes

Trinidad and Tobago made paying taxes more costly by increasing the rates for the environmental tax and social security contributions paid by employers.

## Tunisia

### ✗ Paying taxes

Tunisia made paying taxes more costly by introducing a new exceptional corporate income tax contribution.

## Turkey

### ✓ Registering property

Turkey made registering property easier by lowering the costs of transferring property.

### ✓ Getting credit

Turkey strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law on secured transactions that establishes a unified collateral registry and allows out-of-court enforcement of collateral. Turkey also improved its credit reporting system by adopting a new law on personal data protection.

### ✗ Resolving insolvency

Turkey made resolving insolvency more difficult by suspending applications for postponement of bankruptcy procedures introduced both before and during the state of emergency.

## Uganda

### ✓ Trading across borders

Uganda reduced the time for export documentary compliance and border compliance by allowing for electronic document submission and processing of certificates of origin and by further developing the Malaba One-Stop Border Post.

## Ukraine

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Ukraine made dealing with construction permits easier by reducing fees.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Ukraine strengthened minority investors protections by requiring detailed immediate public disclosure of related-party transactions.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Ukraine made paying taxes easier by reducing the rate for the unified social contribution tax.

## United Arab Emirates

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

The United Arab Emirates strengthened construction quality control by imposing stricter qualification requirements for professionals reviewing drawings. It also reduced the time and cost to obtain a building permit by eliminating a procedure.

### ✓ Getting electricity

The United Arab Emirates made getting electricity easier by streamlining the connection process and eliminating interactions between the customer and the utility to obtain external works. Getting electricity was also made less costly by the elimination of the security deposit for connections under 150 kVA.

### ✓ Getting credit

The United Arab Emirates improved access to credit information by starting to provide consumer credit scores to banks and financial institutions.

### ✓ Resolving insolvency

The United Arab Emirates made resolving insolvency easier by adopting an insolvency law that introduces a reorganization procedure and facilitates continuation of the debtor's business during insolvency proceedings.

## United States

### Labor market regulation

The United States (Los Angeles) increased the maximum days of paid sick leave per year.

## Uruguay

### ✗ Starting a business

Uruguay made starting a business more costly by increasing the value of the official fiscal unit used for the payment of government fees and by increasing business incorporation fees. The cost increased in nominal terms but not in real terms.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Uruguay made paying taxes easier by enhancing the online portal used for filing and paying taxes and making electronic payments compulsory.

## Uzbekistan

### ✗ Starting a business

Uzbekistan made starting a business easier by rolling out a new platform for business registration, starting with name verification as the first step.

### ✓ Dealing with construction permits

Uzbekistan made dealing with construction permits easier by streamlining the process of obtaining approvals of land plot allocations from various agencies.

### ✓ Getting electricity

Uzbekistan streamlined the process of obtaining an electricity connection by introducing a turnkey service at the utility that fulfills all connection-related services, including the design and completion of the external connection.

### ✓ Protecting minority investors

Uzbekistan strengthened minority investor protections by increasing corporate transparency requirements.

### ✓ Paying taxes

Uzbekistan made paying taxes easier and less costly by introducing an electronic system for filing and paying value added tax, land tax, unified social payments, corporate income tax, infrastructure development tax, environmental tax, personal pension fund contributions and cumulative pension contributions. However, increases in land tax rates made paying taxes more costly.

## Venezuela, RB

### ✗ Starting a business

República Bolivariana de Venezuela made starting a business more expensive by raising several fees charged during the business registration process. Lawyer fees and publication costs were also increased.

## Vietnam

### ✓ Getting electricity

Vietnam increased the reliability of power supply by rolling out a Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) automatic energy management system for the monitoring of outages and the restoration of service.

### ✓ Getting credit

Vietnam strengthened access to credit by adopting a new civil code that

broadens the scope of assets that can be used as collateral.

#### ✓ Paying taxes

Vietnam made paying taxes easier by abolishing the 12-month mandatory carry forward period for VAT credit and by introducing an online platform for filing social security contributions.

#### ✓ Trading across borders

Vietnam made exporting and importing easier by upgrading the automated cargo clearance system and extending the operating hours of the customs department.

#### ✓ Enforcing contracts

Vietnam made enforcing contracts easier by adopting a new code of civil procedure and by introducing a consolidated law on voluntary mediation.

### West Bank and Gaza

#### ✓ Getting credit

West Bank and Gaza strengthened access to credit by introducing a new secured transactions law and by setting up a new collateral registry. The new law implemented a functional secured transactions system. It allowed general description of single categories of assets and allowed a general description of debts and obligations. The collateral registry is operational, unified geographically, searchable by a debtor's unique identifier, modern and notice-based. The new law gave priority to secured creditors outside insolvency procedures and allowed out-of-court enforcement.

### Zambia

#### ✓ Getting credit

Zambia strengthened access to credit by adopting a new Movable Property Act and by setting up a new collateral registry. The new law implemented a functional secured transactions system. The collateral registry is operational, unified geographically, searchable by a debtor's unique identifier, modern and notice-based.

#### ✓ Paying taxes

Zambia made paying taxes easier by introducing an online platform for filing and paying taxes. Paying taxes was also made less costly through a reduction of the property transfer tax rate.

#### ✓ Trading across borders

Zambia made exporting and importing easier by implementing a web-based customs data management platform, ASYCUDA World.

### Zimbabwe

#### ✓ Starting a business

Zimbabwe made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement to advertise applications for a business license.

#### ✗ Getting credit

Zimbabwe improved access to credit information by launching a new credit registry. However, credit scoring was discontinued, reducing access to credit information.

# Country Tables

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>AFGHANISTAN</b>		<b>South Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	36.19	Population	580 34,656,032
✗ Starting a business (rank)	107	Getting credit (rank)	105	Trading across borders (rank)	175
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.28	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	30.63
Procedures (number)	3.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	7.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	228
Cost (% of income per capita)	82.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	185	Protecting minority investors (rank)	189	Documentary compliance (US\$)	344
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	22.54	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	10.00	Border compliance (US\$)	453
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	Time to import	
Time (days)	354	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	324
Cost (% of warehouse value)	89.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	96
Building quality control index (0–15)	2.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	0	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	163	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	900
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	44.58	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	750
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	176	Enforcing contracts (rank)	181
Time (days)	114	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	41.97	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	31.76
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,426.7	Payments (number per year)	19	Time (days)	1,642
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	275	Cost (% of claim)	29.0
Registering property (rank)	186	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	71.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	27.50	Postfiling index (0–100)	0.00	Resolving insolvency (rank)	161
Procedures (number)	9	Europe & Central Asia		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	23.62
Time (days)	250	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	68.70	Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	5.0	GNI per capita (US\$)	4,250	Cost (% of estate)	25.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0	Population	2,876,101	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	26.5
ALBANIA		Trading across borders (rank)	24	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	3.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	65	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	96.29	Albania	
Starting a business (rank)	45	Time to export		Starting a business (rank)	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.49	Documentary compliance (hours)		Dealing with construction permits (rank)	
Procedures (number)	5	Border compliance (hours)		Getting electricity (rank)	
Time (days)	5	Cost to export		Paying taxes (rank)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Protecting minority investors (rank)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	106	Time to import		Enforcing contracts (rank)	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.27	Documentary compliance (hours)		Albania	
Procedures (number)	17	Border compliance (hours)		Starting a business (rank)	
Time (days)	220	Cost to import		Dealing with construction permits (rank)	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.5	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Getting electricity (rank)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Border compliance (US\$)		Paying taxes (rank)	
Getting electricity (rank)	157	Time to export		Protecting minority investors (rank)	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	48.31	Documentary compliance (hours)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Procedures (number)	6	Border compliance (hours)		Albania	
Time (days)	134	Cost to export		Starting a business (rank)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	513.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Dealing with construction permits (rank)	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Border compliance (US\$)		Getting electricity (rank)	
Registering property (rank)	103	Time to import		Paying taxes (rank)	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.28	Documentary compliance (hours)		Protecting minority investors (rank)	
Procedures (number)	6	Border compliance (hours)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Time (days)	19	Cost to import		Albania	
Cost (% of property value)	9.6	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Starting a business (rank)	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.5	Border compliance (US\$)		Dealing with construction permits (rank)	

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>ALGERIA</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>4,270</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	40,606,052	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	145	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	177	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	181
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.54	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	24.15
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	149
Time (days)	20	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	118
Cost (% of income per capita)	11.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	2.9	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	146	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	170	Documentary compliance (US\$)	374
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	58.89	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	33.33	Border compliance (US\$)	593
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	249
Time (days)	146	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	327
Cost (% of warehouse value)	8.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	120	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	400
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.56	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	466
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	180				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,335.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	163				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	43.83				
Procedures (number)	10				
Time (days)	55				
Cost (% of property value)	7.1				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0				
<b>ANGOLA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>3,440</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	175	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	41.49	Population	28,813,463
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	134	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	183	<b>✓ Trading across borders</b> (rank)	180
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.09	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	5.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	25.28
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	169
Time (days)	36	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	192
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.9	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	80	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	81	Documentary compliance (US\$)	240
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.80	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	Border compliance (US\$)	825
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	180
Time (days)	173	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	165	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	460
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	44.08	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	1,030
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	121				
Cost (% of income per capita)	990.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	172	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	103	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	186
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	40.86	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.54	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	26.26
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	31	Time (days)	1,296
Time (days)	190	Time (hours per year)	287	Cost (% of claim)	44.4
Cost (% of property value)	2.9	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	49.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	94.95	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
<b>ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	107	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	59.63	Time (years)	no practice
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	126	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	159	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.69	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Time (days)	22	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	99	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	96		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.09	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67		
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4		
Time (days)	135	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	39	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.50	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	42				
Cost (% of income per capita)	114.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	118	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	144	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	33
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	56.61	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	58.69	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	68.11
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	57	Time (days)	476
Time (days)	32	Time (hours per year)	192	Cost (% of claim)	27.1
Cost (% of property value)	10.8	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	41.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	19.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	69.40	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	128
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	35.26
				Time (years)	3.0
				Cost (% of estate)	7.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.5
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>ARGENTINA</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	11,960	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	43,847,430	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	157	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	116
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	75.15	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	65.36
Procedures (number)	13	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	<i>Time to export</i>	30
Time (days)	24	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	80.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	44.8	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	171	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	43	Documentary compliance (US\$)	60
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	49.27	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	Border compliance (US\$)	150
Procedures (number)	22	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	192
Time (days)	347	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	60
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	95	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	120
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	70.01	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	1,200
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	92				
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.5				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	117				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	56.75				
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	51.5				
Cost (% of property value)	6.6				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.5				
<b>ARMENIA</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	3,760
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	47	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	72.51	<b>Population</b>	2,924,816
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	15	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	42	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	52
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.47	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	86.45
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	<i>Time to export</i>	2
Time (days)	4.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	39
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	77.2	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	89	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.99	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	100
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	<i>Time to import</i>	2
Time (days)	98	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	41
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	66	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	78.53	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	100
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	127				
Cost (% of income per capita)	78.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	13				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	87.78				
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	7				
Cost (% of property value)	0.2				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.5				
<b>AUSTRALIA</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	54,420
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	14	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	80.14	<b>Population</b>	24,127,159
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	7	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	6	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	95
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	96.47	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	90.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	70.65
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	<i>Time to export</i>	7
Time (days)	2.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	6	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	57	Documentary compliance (US\$)	264
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	84.39	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	749
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	<i>Time to import</i>	4
Time (days)	121	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	39
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	47	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.31	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	525
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	75				
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	51				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.17				
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	4.5				
Cost (% of property value)	5.2				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	20.0				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>AUSTRIA</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	78.54	Population	45,230 8,747,358
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	118	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.13	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Time to export	
Time (days)	21	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	52.8	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	2.2	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	42	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	29	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	68.33	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	222	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	22	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	87.71	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	23				
Cost (% of income per capita)	93.5				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	31				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	79.97				
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	20.5				
Cost (% of property value)	4.6				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.0				
<b>AZERBAIJAN</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	4,760
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	57	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	70.19	Population	9,762,274
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	18	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	122	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	83
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.36	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	73.56
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	4.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	33
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	29
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	37.5	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	161	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	10	Documentary compliance (US\$)	300
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.90	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	Border compliance (US\$)	214
Procedures (number)	21	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to import	
Time (days)	242	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	38
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	30
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	102	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	67.98	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	300
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	69				
Cost (% of income per capita)	141.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	21				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	82.07				
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	5.5				
Cost (% of property value)	0.2				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.5				
<b>BAHAMAS, THE</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	21,020
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	119	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	57.47	Population	391,232
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	108	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	157
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.18	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	53.07
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	21.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	12
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	36
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	86	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	129	Documentary compliance (US\$)	550
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.30	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Border compliance (US\$)	512
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Time (days)	180	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	51
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	117	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	550
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.96	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	1,385
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	67				
Cost (% of income per capita)	124.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	167	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	74		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	42.71	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.43		
Procedures (number)	7	Time (days)	532		
Time (days)	122	Cost (% of claim)	28.9		
Cost (% of property value)	4.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>BAHRAIN</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	22,858	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,425,171	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	75	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	78
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.87	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	75.97
Procedures (number)	7.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	9.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	27.8	Border compliance (hours)	71
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	47	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	108	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.73	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)	47
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	174	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	84
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	54
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	79	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	130
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	74.83	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	397
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	85				
Cost (% of income per capita)	57.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	25				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	81.07				
Procedures (number)	2				
Time (days)	31				
Cost (% of property value)	1.7				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.5				
<b>BANGLADESH</b>		<b>South Asia</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	1,330	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	162,951,560	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	131	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	159	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	173
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.67	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	34.86
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	19.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	147
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	99.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.9	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	130	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	76	Documentary compliance (US\$)	225
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.97	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Border compliance (US\$)	408.2
Procedures (number)	14.2	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	269	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	144
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	183
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	185	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	370
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	16.97	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	1,293.8
Procedures (number)	9				
Time (days)	428.9				
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,602.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	185	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	152	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	189
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	27.67	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	56.13	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	22.21
Procedures (number)	8	Payments (number per year)	33	<i>Time (days)</i>	1,442
Time (days)	244	Time (hours per year)	435	Cost (% of claim)	66.8
Cost (% of property value)	6.9	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	33.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	44.36	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	152
<b>BARBADOS</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	14,830	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	284,996	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	99	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	133	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	129
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.11	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	61.88
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	15	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	54
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	41
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	155	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	167	Documentary compliance (US\$)	109
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	56.63	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (US\$)	350
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	442	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	74
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	104
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	160	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	146
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	46.36	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	1,585
Procedures (number)	8				
Time (days)	88				
Cost (% of income per capita)	64.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	133	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	89	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	167
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.35	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	71.90	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	38.02
Procedures (number)	6	Payments (number per year)	29	<i>Time (days)</i>	1,340
Time (days)	105	Time (hours per year)	245	Cost (% of claim)	19.7
Cost (% of property value)	5.6	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	35.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	74.08	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	34
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	69.79
				<i>Time (years)</i>	1.8
				Cost (% of estate)	15.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	65.8
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>BELARUS</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	75.06	Population	5,600 9,507,120
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	30	✓ <b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	30
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.91	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	93.71
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	<i>Time to export</i>	4
Time (days)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	72.2	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	22	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	40	Documentary compliance (US\$)	140
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.34	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00	Border compliance (US\$)	108
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	4
Time (days)	115	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	25	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	86.04	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	96	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	24
Time (days)	105	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	70.81	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	70.36
Cost (% of income per capita)	110.0	Payments (number per year)	7	Time (days)	275
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	184	Cost (% of claim)	23.4
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	5	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	52.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	92.19	Postfiling index (0–100)	50.00	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	68
Procedures (number)	2	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	51.26
Time (days)	3	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00	Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	0.0	<i>Time to export</i>		Cost (% of estate)	17.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.5	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	37.2
<b>BELGIUM</b>		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		<b>OECD high income</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	41,860
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		71.69	Population		11,348,159
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	16	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	16.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	95.5	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	39	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	57	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.36	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	212	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	103	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	67.30	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	59	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	52
Time (days)	201	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	77.69	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	64.25
Cost (% of income per capita)	101.1	Payments (number per year)	11	Time (days)	505
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	136	Cost (% of claim)	18.0
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	138	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	57.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	51.40	Postfiling index (0–100)	83.45	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	11
Procedures (number)	8	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	81.46
Time (days)	56	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	0.9
Cost (% of property value)	12.7	<i>Time to export</i>		Cost (% of estate)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.5	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	84.6
<b>BELIZE</b>		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	4,410
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		57.11	Population		366,954
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	161	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	170	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	104
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	73.24	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	20.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	68.13
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	43	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	34.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	96
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	114	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	132	Documentary compliance (US\$)	28
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.28	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	Border compliance (US\$)	710
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	127	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	48
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	83	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	72.97	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	688
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	48	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	132
Time (days)	66	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	79.90	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	50.11
Cost (% of income per capita)	317.7	Payments (number per year)	29	Time (days)	892
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Time (hours per year)	147	Cost (% of claim)	27.5
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	132	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	31.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.42	Postfiling index (0–100)	85.09	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	83
Procedures (number)	9	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	45.74
Time (days)	60	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	4.8	<i>Time to export</i>		Cost (% of estate)	22.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	55.9
<i>Note:</i> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)				

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

BENIN	Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)			
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population			
Starting a business (rank) DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	56 90.58	✓ Getting credit (rank) DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	142 30.00	Trading across borders (rank) DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	136 60.78
Procedures (number)	5.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Time (days)	8.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Border compliance (hours)	78
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	5.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.7	Border compliance (US\$)	412
Dealing with construction permits (rank) DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	46 73.85	Protecting minority investors (rank) DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	146 40.00	Time to import Documentary compliance (hours)	59
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	82
Time (days)	88	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Cost to import Documentary compliance (US\$)	529
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	599
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Enforcing contracts (rank) DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	170 36.34
Getting electricity (rank) DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	174 33.84	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Time (days)	750
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Cost (% of claim)	64.7
Time (days)	90	Paying taxes (rank) DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	174 44.73	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	12,304.6	Payments (number per year)	57	Resolving insolvency (rank) DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	105 40.46
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	270	Time (years)	4.0
Registering property (rank) DTF score for registering property (0–100)	127 54.14	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	57.4	Cost (% of estate)	21.5
Procedures (number)	4	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.31	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	22.9
Time (days)	120			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.5				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.5				
BHUTAN	South Asia	GNI per capita (US\$)			
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population			
Starting a business (rank) DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88 86.33	✓ Getting credit (rank) DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	77 55.00	Trading across borders (rank) DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	26 94.25
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Time to export Documentary compliance (hours)	9
Time (days)	12	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Border compliance (hours)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	25.9	Cost to export Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)	59
Dealing with construction permits (rank) DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	82 68.69	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank) DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	124 46.67	Time to import Documentary compliance (hours)	8
Procedures (number)	21	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	5
Time (days)	150	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Cost to import Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	110
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Enforcing contracts (rank) DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	25 69.99
Getting electricity (rank) DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	56 80.36	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Time (days)	225
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Cost (% of claim)	23.1
Time (days)	61	Paying taxes (rank) DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	17 88.00	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	461.4	Payments (number per year)	18	Resolving insolvency (rank) DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	168 0.00
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	85	Time (years)	no practice
Registering property (rank) DTF score for registering property (0–100)	56 73.41	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	35.3	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Procedures (number)	3	Postfiling index (0–100)	95.50	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Time (days)	77			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Cost (% of property value)	5.0				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.0				
BOLIVIA	Latin America & Caribbean	GNI per capita (US\$)			
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population			
Starting a business (rank) DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	179 62.95	✓ Getting credit (rank) DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	133 35.00	Trading across borders (rank) DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	89 71.59
Procedures (number)	14	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export Documentary compliance (hours)	144
Time (days)	45	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Border compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	54.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	49.8	Cost to export Documentary compliance (US\$)	25
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	16.1	Border compliance (US\$)	65
Dealing with construction permits (rank) DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	158 55.56	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank) DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	146 40.00	Time to import Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (hours)	114
Time (days)	322	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Cost to import Documentary compliance (US\$)	30
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	315
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Enforcing contracts (rank) DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	109 54.65
Getting electricity (rank) DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	101 68.18	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Time (days)	591
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Cost (% of claim)	25.0
Time (days)	42	Paying taxes (rank) DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	186 21.62	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	689.0	Payments (number per year)	42	Resolving insolvency (rank) DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	99 42.32
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	1,025	Time (years)	1.8
Registering property (rank) DTF score for registering property (0–100)	144 49.89	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	83.7	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Procedures (number)	7	Postfiling index (0–100)	50.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	40.9
Time (days)	90			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.5
Cost (% of property value)	4.7				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	64.20	Population	4,880 3,516,816
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	175	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	55	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	37
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	65.91	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	91.87
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	
Time (days)	65	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	4
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	12.1	Border compliance (hours)	5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	41.5	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	166	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	92
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	51.77	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	106
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Time (days)	193	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	8
Cost (% of warehouse value)	17.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	6
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	122	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	97
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.18	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	109
Procedures (number)	8				
Time (days)	125				
Cost (% of income per capita)	357.7				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	97				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	61.56				
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	24				
Cost (% of property value)	5.2				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5				
<b>BOTSWANA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>6,610</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	64.94	Population	2,250,260
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	153	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	50
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	76.22	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	86.65
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	48	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	18
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	54.0	Border compliance (hours)	5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	59	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	76	Documentary compliance (US\$)	179
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.27	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Border compliance (US\$)	317
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	106	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	4
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	124	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	67
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	59.38	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	98
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	77				
Cost (% of income per capita)	283.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	81	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	47	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	133
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.45	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.01	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	49.99
Procedures (number)	4	Payments (number per year)	34	Time (days)	660
Time (days)	27	Time (hours per year)	120	Cost (% of claim)	39.8
Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	25.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	82.70	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	79
<b>BRAZIL</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	47.76
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	56.45	Time (years)	1.7
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	176	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	65.05	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	65.5
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	4.0
Time (days)	79.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	79.3		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	75.6		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	170	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	43		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	49.83	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33		
Procedures (number)	19.2	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5		
Time (days)	434	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4		
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	45	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.46	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	64.4				
Cost (% of income per capita)	54.5				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5.4				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	131	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	184	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	47
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.60	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	32.97	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	66.00
Procedures (number)	13.6	Payments (number per year)	9.6	Time (days)	731
Time (days)	31.4	Time (hours per year)	1,958	Cost (% of claim)	22.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.2	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	68.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.1
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.8	Postfiling index (0–100)	7.80	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	80
<b>Note:</b> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)				

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>32,840</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	423,196	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	58	✓ Getting credit (rank)	2	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	144
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.23	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	95.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	58.70
Procedures (number)	5.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	12	Time to export	155
Time (days)	12.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	117
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	71.9	Cost to export	
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	48	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	40	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.62	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00	Border compliance (US\$)	340
Procedures (number)	20	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	132
Time (days)	83	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
✗ Getting electricity (rank)	24	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	86.46	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	395
Procedures (number)	5	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	104	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	61
Time (days)	36	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.41	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	60.95
Cost (% of income per capita)	41.5	Payments (number per year)	15	Time (days)	540
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	64.2	Cost (% of claim)	36.6
✓ Registering property (rank)	136	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	8.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	51.48	Postfiling index (0–100)	0.00	Resolving insolvency (rank)	60
Procedures (number)	7	✓ Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	55.11
Time (days)	298.5	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	2.5
Cost (% of property value)	0.6	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)		Cost (% of estate)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	47.2
<b>BULGARIA</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>Strength of insolvent framework index (0–16)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	9.5	
Starting a business (rank)	95	✓ Getting credit (rank)	42	Trading across borders (rank)	21
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.37	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	97.41
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	2
Time (days)	23	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	4
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	74.3	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	51	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	24	Documentary compliance (US\$)	52
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.35	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Border compliance (US\$)	55
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to import	1
Time (days)	97	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	141	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	54.80	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	6	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	90	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	40
Time (days)	262	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	71.78	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	67.04
Cost (% of income per capita)	468.4	Payments (number per year)	14	Time (days)	564
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	453	Cost (% of claim)	18.6
Registering property (rank)	67	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	27.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	69.30	Postfiling index (0–100)	69.30	Resolving insolvency (rank)	50
Procedures (number)	8	✓ Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	60.02
Time (days)	19	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	3.3
Cost (% of property value)	2.9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)		Cost (% of estate)	9.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	19.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.0
<b>BURKINA FASO</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>Strength of insolvent framework index (0–16)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	13.0	
Starting a business (rank)	74	✓ Getting credit (rank)	142	Trading across borders (rank)	113
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.17	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	66.58
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	84
Time (days)	13	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	75
Cost (% of income per capita)	42.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.3	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.4	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	53	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	146	Documentary compliance (US\$)	86
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.20	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	261
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	96
Time (days)	121	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	102
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	179	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	197
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	29.42	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	265
Procedures (number)	4	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	153	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	163
Time (days)	169	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	55.89	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	41.05
Cost (% of income per capita)	9,438.4	Payments (number per year)	45	Time (days)	446
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	270	Cost (% of claim)	81.7
Registering property (rank)	140	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	41.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	50.44	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.31	Resolving insolvency (rank)	104
Procedures (number)	4	✓ Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	40.68
Time (days)	67	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	4.0
Cost (% of property value)	12.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)		Cost (% of estate)	21.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	23.3
<i>Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.</i>		<i>Strength of insolvent framework index (0–16)</i>		<i>9.0</i>	

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>BURUNDI</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✗ Starting a business (rank)	42	Getting credit (rank)	177	Trading across borders (rank)	164
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.94	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	47.02
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	120
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	59
Cost (% of income per capita)	33.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	4.6	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	168	Protecting minority investors (rank)	132	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	51.16	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	Border compliance (US\$)	136
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	180
Time (days)	70	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	154
Cost (% of warehouse value)	12.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	3.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	182	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	1,025
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	26.45	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	444
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	138	Enforcing contracts (rank)	150
Time (days)	158	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.34	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	45.74
Cost (% of income per capita)	15,517.3	Payments (number per year)	25	Time (days)	832
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	232	Cost (% of claim)	38.6
Registering property (rank)	95	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	41.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	62.54	Postfiling index (0–100)	28.21	Resolving insolvency (rank)	144
Procedures (number)	5	Getting credit (rank)	122	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	30.71
Time (days)	23	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	Time (years)	5.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.1	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Cost (% of estate)	30.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	7.7
<b>CABO VERDE</b>		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	19.6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	144
Starting a business (rank)	98	Protecting minority investors (rank)	164	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	30.71
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.14	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	36.67	Time (years)	5.0
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	Cost (% of estate)	30.0
Time (days)	11	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	7.7
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	168
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	67	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.25	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Time (years)	no practice
Procedures (number)	16	Paying taxes (rank)	75	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Time (days)	107	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	75.15	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.2	Payments (number per year)	30	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Time (hours per year)	180	Resolving insolvency (rank)	168
Getting electricity (rank)	145	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	36.6	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.47	Postfiling index (0–100)	80.65	Time (years)	no practice
Procedures (number)	7	Getting credit (rank)	122	Cost (% of claim)	19.8
Time (days)	88	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,136.3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Resolving insolvency (rank)	168
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.0
Registering property (rank)	71	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	49.9	Time (years)	no practice
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	66.57	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Procedures (number)	6	Protecting minority investors (rank)	108	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Time (days)	22	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Cost (% of property value)	2.3	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	74
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	10	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	48.25
<b>CAMBODIA</b>		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Time (years)	6.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	1	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Starting a business (rank)	183	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	14.2
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	51.91	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0
Procedures (number)	9	Paying taxes (rank)	136	Resolving insolvency (rank)	74
Time (days)	99	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	61.28	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	48.25
Cost (% of income per capita)	51.3	Payments (number per year)	40	Time (years)	6.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	82.5	Time (hours per year)	173	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	179	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	21.7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	14.2
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	41.73	Postfiling index (0–100)	25.97	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0
Procedures (number)	20	Getting credit (rank)	20	Resolving insolvency (rank)	74
Time (days)	652	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	48.25
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time (years)	6.0
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Getting electricity (rank)	137	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	49.9	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	14.2
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	56.56	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0
Procedures (number)	4	Protecting minority investors (rank)	108	Resolving insolvency (rank)	74
Time (days)	179	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	48.25
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,993.2	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time (years)	6.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	10	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Registering property (rank)	123	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	14.2
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	55.00	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	1	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	74
Time (days)	56	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	48.25
Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Paying taxes (rank)	136	Time (years)	6.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	61.28	Cost (% of estate)	18.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business
<b>CAMEROON</b>			
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	163	Sub-Saharan Africa Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	47.23
✓ Starting a business (rank)	122	✓ Getting credit (rank)	68
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	82.39	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00
Procedures (number)	6.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6
Time (days)	16.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6
Cost (% of income per capita)	35.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	16.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	8.0
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	140	Protecting minority investors (rank)	138
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.74	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7
Time (days)	135	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	15.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4
Getting electricity (rank)	121	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.35	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	183
Time (days)	64	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	36.34
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,776.9	Payments (number per year)	44
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	624
Registering property (rank)	176	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	57.7
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	37.33	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.31
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	86		
Cost (% of property value)	19.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0		
<b>CANADA</b>		OECD high income Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	79.29
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	18	Getting credit (rank)	12
Starting a business (rank)	2	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	98.23	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9
Procedures (number)	2	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8
Time (days)	1.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Protecting minority investors (rank)	8
✗ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	54	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	78.33
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.87	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9
Time (days)	249	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.9	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7
Getting electricity (rank)	105	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	66.89	Paying taxes (rank)	16
Procedures (number)	7	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	88.05
Time (days)	137	Payments (number per year)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	125.3	Time (hours per year)	131
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	20.9
Registering property (rank)	33	Postfiling index (0–100)	73.23
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	79.31		
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	4		
Cost (% of property value)	2.9		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.5		
<b>CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC</b>		Sub-Saharan Africa Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	34.86
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	184	Getting credit (rank)	142
Starting a business (rank)	188	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	37.02	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6
Procedures (number)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0
Time (days)	22	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	154.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	3.3
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	446.7	Protecting minority investors (rank)	146
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	180	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	38.86	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1
Time (days)	219	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5
Cost (% of warehouse value)	17.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3
Getting electricity (rank)	183	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	24.64	Paying taxes (rank)	187
Procedures (number)	7	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	18.89
Time (days)	98	Payments (number per year)	56
Cost (% of income per capita)	12,688.1	Time (hours per year)	483
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	73.3
Registering property (rank)	169	Postfiling index (0–100)	5.13
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	41.92		
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	75		
Cost (% of property value)	11.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0		
		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,200
		Population	23,439,189
		Trading across borders (rank)	186
		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	15.99
		Time to export	66
		Documentary compliance (hours)	202
		Border compliance (hours)	306
		Cost to export	983
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	306
		Border compliance (US\$)	983
		Time to import	163
		Documentary compliance (hours)	271
		Border compliance (hours)	Cost to import
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	849
		Border compliance (US\$)	1,407
		Enforcing contracts (rank)	162
		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	41.76
		Time (days)	800
		Cost (% of claim)	46.6
		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
		Resolving insolvency (rank)	125
		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	36.73
		Time (years)	2.8
		Cost (% of estate)	33.5
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	16.0
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
		GNI per capita (US\$)	43,660
		Population	36,286,425
		Trading across borders (rank)	46
		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	88.36
		Time to export	1
		Documentary compliance (hours)	2
		Border compliance (hours)	156
		Cost to export	167
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	156
		Border compliance (US\$)	167
		Time to import	1
		Documentary compliance (hours)	2
		Border compliance (hours)	Cost to import
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	163
		Border compliance (US\$)	172
		Enforcing contracts (rank)	114
		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.35
		Time (days)	910
		Cost (% of claim)	22.3
		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
		Resolving insolvency (rank)	11
		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	81.46
		Time (years)	0.8
		Cost (% of estate)	7.0
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	87.5
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0
		GNI per capita (US\$)	370
		Population	4,594,621
		Trading across borders (rank)	145
		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	58.64
		Time to export	48
		Documentary compliance (hours)	141
		Border compliance (hours)	60
		Cost to export	280
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	280
		Border compliance (US\$)	120
		Time to import	98
		Documentary compliance (hours)	500
		Border compliance (hours)	209
		Cost to import	500
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	209
		Border compliance (US\$)	182
		Enforcing contracts (rank)	182
		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	30.46
		Time (days)	660
		Cost (% of claim)	82.0
		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
		Resolving insolvency (rank)	150
		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	28.13
		Time (years)	4.8
		Cost (% of estate)	76.0
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>CHAD</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	185	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	172
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	50.26	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	40.12
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	87
Time (days)	60	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	106
Cost (% of income per capita)	171.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	25.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	2.4	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	153	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	160	Documentary compliance (US\$)	188
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	56.79	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	38.33	Border compliance (US\$)	319
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	172
Time (days)	226	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	242
Cost (% of warehouse value)	12.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	177	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	500
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	32.17	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	669
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	188	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	154
Time (days)	67	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	17.92	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	44.58
Cost (% of income per capita)	9,821.1	Payments (number per year)	54	Time (days)	743
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	766	Cost (% of claim)	45.7
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	159	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	63.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	44.67	Postfiling index (0–100)	13.07	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	150
Procedures (number)	6	<b>OECD high income</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	28.13
Time (days)	44	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90	Time (years)	4.0
Cost (% of property value)	12.9	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Cost (% of estate)	60.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
<b>CHILE</b>		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>55</b>	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	14.3		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	65	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	49.5		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.55	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	57		
Procedures (number)	7	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00		
Time (days)	5.5	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8		
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	15	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	80.28	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		
Time (days)	133	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	72		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.3	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.17		
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Payments (number per year)	7		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	44	Time (hours per year)	291		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.49	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	33.0		
Procedures (number)	5	Postfiling index (0–100)	58.36		
Time (days)	43	<b>OECD high income</b>			
Cost (% of income per capita)	68.1	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	61	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	70.90	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6		
Procedures (number)	6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	21.4		
Time (days)	28.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	95.3		
Cost (% of property value)	1.2	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	119		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33		
<b>CHINA</b>		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10		
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>78</b>	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	93	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.47	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
Time (days)	22.9	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9		
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	130		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	62.90		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	172	Payments (number per year)	9		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	47.28	Time (hours per year)	207		
Procedures (number)	23	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	67.3		
Time (days)	247.1	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.08		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.8	<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>			
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.6	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	68		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	98	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	68.83	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4		
Procedures (number)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8		
Time (days)	143.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	21.4		
Cost (% of income per capita)	356.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	95.3		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	119		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	41	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10		
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1		
Time (days)	19.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of property value)	3.4	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.3	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9		
		<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	130		
		DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	62.90		
		Payments (number per year)	9		
		Time (hours per year)	207		
		Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	67.3		
		Postfiling index (0–100)	49.08		
<b>China</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>8,260</b>		
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>1,378,665,000</b>		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	93	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	97		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.47	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	69.91		
Procedures (number)	7	Time to export			
Time (days)	22.9	Documentary compliance (hours)	21.2		
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	Border compliance (hours)	25.9		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost to export			
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	172	Documentary compliance (US\$)	84.6		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	47.28	Border compliance (US\$)	484.1		
Procedures (number)	23	Time to import			
Time (days)	247.1	Documentary compliance (hours)	65.7		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.8	Border compliance (hours)	92.3		
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.6	Cost to import			
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	98	Documentary compliance (US\$)	170.9		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	68.83	Border compliance (US\$)	745		
Procedures (number)	5.5	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	5		
Time (days)	143.2	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	78.23		
Cost (% of income per capita)	356.0	Time (days)	496		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Cost (% of claim)	16.2		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	41	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	15.1		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.15	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	56		
Procedures (number)	4	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	55.82		
Time (days)	19.5	Time (years)	1.7		
Cost (% of property value)	3.4	Cost (% of estate)	22.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.3	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.9		
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>COLOMBIA</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>6,320</b>	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	48,653,419	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	96	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	2	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	125
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.32	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	95.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	62.83
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	12	<i>Time to export</i>	60
Time (days)	11	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	112
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	94.5	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	81	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	16	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.71	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33	Border compliance (US\$)	545
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	<i>Time to import</i>	64
Time (days)	132	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	112
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	81	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	74.18	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	545
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	106				
Cost (% of income per capita)	542.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	60				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	71.34				
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	15				
Cost (% of property value)	1.9				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.5				
<b>COMOROS</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>760</b>
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	<b>48.52</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>795,601</b>
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	166	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	122	<b>✓ Trading across borders</b> (rank)	111
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	72.01	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	66.87
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	16	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	50
Cost (% of income per capita)	84.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	51
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	29.1	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	9.8	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	79	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	146	Documentary compliance (US\$)	124
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.19	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	651
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	108	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	70
Building quality control index (0–15)	4.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	135	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	93
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	57.58	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	765
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	120				
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,050.5				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	111	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	168	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	180
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.66	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	49.86	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	32.05
Procedures (number)	4	Payments (number per year)	33	<i>Time (days)</i>	506
Time (days)	30	Time (hours per year)	100	Cost (% of claim)	89.4
Cost (% of property value)	8.1	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	216.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	57.33	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
<b>CONGO, DEM. REP.</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	<b>37.65</b>	<i>Time (years)</i>	no practice
<b>✓ Starting a business</b> (rank)	62	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.78	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.7		
<b>✗ Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	121	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	164		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.91	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	36.67		
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Time (days)	122	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	8.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3		
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	175	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	33.59	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4		
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	54				
Cost (% of income per capita)	14,885.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	158	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	181	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	172
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	45.85	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	39.40	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	36.06
Procedures (number)	8	Payments (number per year)	52	<i>Time (days)</i>	610
Time (days)	38	Time (hours per year)	346	Cost (% of claim)	80.6
Cost (% of property value)	11.1	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	54.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	27.08	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
				<i>Time (years)</i>	no practice
				Cost (% of estate)	no practice
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>CONGO, REP.</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	1,710	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	5,125,821	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	177	Getting credit (rank)	133	Trading across borders (rank)	184
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	64.69	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	19.68
Procedures (number)	10	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	49	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	120
Cost (% of income per capita)	77.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	276
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	11.9	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	125	Protecting minority investors (rank)	146	Documentary compliance (US\$)	165
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.07	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	1,975
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	164	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	208
Cost (% of warehouse value)	8.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	397
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	181	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	310
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	28.42	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	1,581
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	134				
Cost (% of income per capita)	6,957.7				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
Registering property (rank)	177				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	36.04				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	55				
Cost (% of property value)	16.1				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.5				
<b>COSTA RICA</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	10,840	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	4,857,274	
Starting a business (rank)	127	Getting credit (rank)	12	Trading across borders (rank)	73
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.65	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	79.32
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
Time (days)	22.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	20
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	32.2	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	70	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	119	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.02	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33	Border compliance (US\$)	375
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	135	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	80
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	21	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	88.21	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	420
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	45				
Cost (% of income per capita)	168.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
✓ Registering property (rank)	49				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.36				
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	11				
Cost (% of property value)	3.4				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.5				
<b>CÔTE D'IVOIRE</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	1,520	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	23,695,919	
Starting a business (rank)	44	Getting credit (rank)	142	Trading across borders (rank)	155
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.72	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	54.15
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	120
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	4.0	Border compliance (hours)	110
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.3	Cost to export	
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	152	Protecting minority investors (rank)	146	Documentary compliance (US\$)	136
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	57.50	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	387
Procedures (number)	21	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	162	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	89
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	125
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	129	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	267
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	58.73	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	456
Procedures (number)	8				
Time (days)	55				
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,280.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5				
Registering property (rank)	113				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.56				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	30				
Cost (% of property value)	7.4				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>CROATIA</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	87	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.39	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	126	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	29
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	68.33
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5
Time (days)	126	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	9.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	75	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	9
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.26	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	65	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	95
Cost (% of income per capita)	298.5	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	70.90
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Payments (number per year)	35
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	59	Time (hours per year)	206
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	71.44	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	20.6
Procedures (number)	5	Postfiling index (0–100)	61.20
Time (days)	62		
Cost (% of property value)	4.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.5		
<b>CYPRUS</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	50	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	68
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.19	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	72.9
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	120	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	43
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.99	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8
Time (days)	507	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	67	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	78.32	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	137	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	44
Cost (% of income per capita)	133.2	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.59
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Payments (number per year)	28
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	92	Time (hours per year)	127
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.41	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	22.7
Procedures (number)	7	Postfiling index (0–100)	76.07
Time (days)	9		
Cost (% of property value)	10.4		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.0		
<b>CZECH REPUBLIC</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	81	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	42
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.44	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	79.5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.0
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	127	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	62.77	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33
Procedures (number)	21	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2
Time (days)	247	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	15	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	90.33	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5
Procedures (number)	4		
Time (days)	68	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	53
Cost (% of income per capita)	23.8	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	79.26
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Payments (number per year)	8
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	32	Time (hours per year)	248
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	79.68	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	50.0
Procedures (number)	4	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.75
Time (days)	28		
Cost (% of property value)	4.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	25.0		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>DENMARK</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>56,730</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	5,731,118	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	34	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	42	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.50	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Time to export	
Time (days)	3.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.4	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	1	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	33	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	86.79	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	64	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	16	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	90.21	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	38				
Cost (% of income per capita)	106.2				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	11				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	89.88				
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	4				
Cost (% of property value)	0.6				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5				
<b>DJIBOUTI</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>1,908</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	942,333	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	115	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	183	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	159
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.38	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	5.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	51.87
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	14	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	35.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	109
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.4	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	84	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	96	Documentary compliance (US\$)	95
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.48	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	944
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	111	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	50
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	78
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	169	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	40.75	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	1,209
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	125				
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,979.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	168				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	42.65				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	39				
Cost (% of property value)	12.7				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5				
<b>DOMINICA</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>6,750</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	73,543	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	67	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	81
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.29	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	74.26
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	12	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	12
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	36
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	74	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	96	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	70.07	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	625
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	191	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	39
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	46	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.43	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	906
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	61				
Cost (% of income per capita)	466.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	164				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	43.40				
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	42				
Cost (% of property value)	13.3				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>6,390</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	10,648,791	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	116	Getting credit (rank)	105	Trading across borders (rank)	59
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.23	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	83.51
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	10
Time (days)	16.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	68.3	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	33.9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	26.4	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	62	Protecting minority investors (rank)	96	Documentary compliance (US\$)	15
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.73	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	488
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	14
Time (days)	184	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	108	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	64.74	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	579
Procedures (number)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	121	Enforcing contracts (rank)	136
Time (days)	67	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	37.59	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.71
Cost (% of income per capita)	248.6	Payments (number per year)	7	Time (days)	590
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Time (hours per year)	317	Cost (% of claim)	40.9
Registering property (rank)	79	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	48.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.67	Postfiling index (0–100)	10.71	Resolving insolvency (rank)	121
Procedures (number)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	121	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	37.59
Time (days)	45	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	37.59	Time (years)	3.5
Cost (% of property value)	3.4	Payments (number per year)	7	Cost (% of estate)	38.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.5	Time (hours per year)	317	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8.9
ECUADOR		Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	32.5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157
Starting a business (rank)	168	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.01
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	70.50	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.01	Time (years)	5.3
Procedures (number)	11	Payments (number per year)	10	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Time (days)	48.5	Time (hours per year)	666	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.4
Cost (% of income per capita)	21.9	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	32.5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	105	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.01
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.32	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.01	Time (years)	5.3
Procedures (number)	17	Payments (number per year)	10	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Time (days)	132	Time (hours per year)	666	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.9	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	32.5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	85	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.01
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	72.16	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.01	Time (years)	5.3
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	10	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Time (days)	74	Time (hours per year)	666	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.4
Cost (% of income per capita)	636.1	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	32.5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157
✗ Registering property (rank)	74	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.01
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	66.18	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.01	Time (years)	5.3
Procedures (number)	8	Payments (number per year)	10	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Time (days)	38	Time (hours per year)	666	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.4
Cost (% of property value)	2.1	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	32.5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157
EGYPT, ARAB REP.		Middle East & North Africa	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>3,460</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	95,688,681	
Starting a business (rank)	103	Getting credit (rank)	90	Trading across borders (rank)	170
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.53	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	42.23
Procedures (number)	8.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	88
Time (days)	14.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	25.3	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.8	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	66	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	81	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.43	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	Border compliance (US\$)	258
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	265
Time (days)	172	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	240
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	89	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	1,000
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.24	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	554
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	167	Enforcing contracts (rank)	160
Time (days)	53	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	50.67	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	42.75
Cost (% of income per capita)	324.7	Payments (number per year)	29	Time (days)	1,010
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3	Time (hours per year)	392	Cost (% of claim)	26.2
✗ Registering property (rank)	119	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	45.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	55.50	Postfiling index (0–100)	26.62	Resolving insolvency (rank)	115
Procedures (number)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	115	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	38.89
Time (days)	75	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	38.89	Time (years)	2.5
Cost (% of property value)	1.1	Payments (number per year)	29	Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Time (hours per year)	392	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	25.8
Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>EL SALVADOR</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	140	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	20	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	43
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	78.88	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	89.29
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	9
Time (days)	16.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	30
Cost (% of income per capita)	41.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	35.4	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	28.6	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	139	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	160	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	60.16	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	38.33	Border compliance (US\$)	128
Procedures (number)	23	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Time (days)	122.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	13
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	36
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	88	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	67
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.40	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	128
Procedures (number)	7	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	61	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	105
Time (days)	56	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	77.35	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.20
Cost (% of income per capita)	502.0	Payments (number per year)	7	Time (days)	786
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	180	Cost (% of claim)	19.2
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	69	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	35.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	67.92	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	84
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	45.69
Time (days)	31	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	41.66	Time (years)	3.5
Cost (% of property value)	3.8	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	122	Cost (% of estate)	12.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	32.6
<b>EQUATORIAL GUINEA</b>		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	173	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	182	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	54.96	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	6.3		
Procedures (number)	16	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	146		
Time (days)	33	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00		
Cost (% of income per capita)	103.4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	30.3	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	160	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.95	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
Time (days)	144	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.2	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	177	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	104
Building quality control index (0–15)	1.0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	41.54	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.25
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	146	Payments (number per year)	46	Time (days)	475
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.44	Time (hours per year)	492	Cost (% of claim)	19.5
Procedures (number)	5	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	79.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	3.0
Time (days)	106	Postfiling index (0–100)	93.12	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,185.2	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	22.87	Time (years)	
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	162	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	186	Cost (% of estate)	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	44.45	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Time (days)	23	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of property value)	12.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
<b>ERITREA</b>		<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	172		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	189	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	31.67		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	184	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	50.60	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0		
Procedures (number)	13	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
Time (days)	84	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	118.5	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	186	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	148	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	119
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	57.50	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	53.68
Procedures (number)	no practice	Payments (number per year)	30	Time (days)	490
Time (days)	no practice	Time (hours per year)	216	Cost (% of claim)	22.6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	no practice	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	83.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	3.0
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	99.54	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	187	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	22.87	Time (years)	
Procedures (number)	no practice	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	186	Cost (% of estate)	
Time (days)	no practice	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	no practice	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	178	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	35.29	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Procedures (number)	11	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	172		
Time (days)	78	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	31.67		
Cost (% of property value)	9.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>ESTONIA</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>17,750</b>	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,316,481	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	12	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	42	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	17
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.15	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	99.92
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	<i>Time to export</i>	1
Time (days)	3.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	35.2	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	16.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	8	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	76	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	82.50	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	<i>Time to import</i>	1
Time (days)	103	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	0
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	41	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.21	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	91				
Cost (% of income per capita)	168.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	6				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	91.02				
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	17.5				
Cost (% of property value)	0.5				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.5				
<b>ETHIOPIA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>660</b>
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	<b>47.77</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>102,403,196</b>
<b>✓ Starting a business</b> (rank)	174	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	173	<b>✓ Trading across borders</b> (rank)	167
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	68.43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	15.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	45.34
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	33	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	76
Cost (% of income per capita)	57.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	51
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.3	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	169	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	176	Documentary compliance (US\$)	175
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	50.55	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	28.33	Border compliance (US\$)	172
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	130	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	194
Cost (% of warehouse value)	16.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	166
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	125	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	750
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	59.29	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	738
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	95				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,027.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	139	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	133	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	68
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	51.32	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	62.14	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.99
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	30	<i>Time (days)</i>	530
Time (days)	52	Time (hours per year)	306	Cost (% of claim)	15.2
Cost (% of property value)	6.0	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	38.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	50.89	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	122
<b>FIJI</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	37.31
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	<b>60.74</b>	<i>Time (years)</i>	3.0
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	160	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	159	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	73.26	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.7
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0
Time (days)	40	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	92	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	96		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.69	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67		
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2		
Time (days)	141	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7		
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	84	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	72.19	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	81				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,391.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	58	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	120	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	89
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	71.86	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	66.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.44
Procedures (number)	4	Payments (number per year)	38	<i>Time (days)</i>	397
Time (days)	69	Time (hours per year)	247	Cost (% of claim)	38.9
Cost (% of property value)	3.0	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	33.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	19.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	62.62	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	92
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	43.72
				<i>Time (years)</i>	1.8
				Cost (% of estate)	10.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	46.4
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>FINLAND</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>44,730</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	5,495,096	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	26	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	55	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	34
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.15	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.44
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	<i>Time to export</i>	2
Time (days)	14	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	20.9	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	37	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	70
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.74	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	213
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	<i>Time to import</i>	1
Time (days)	65	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	20	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	88.97	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	42				
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	27				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	80.73				
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	47				
Cost (% of property value)	4.0				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.5				
<b>FRANCE</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>38,950</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	66,896,109	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	25	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.28	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	3.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	47.2	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	18	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	33	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	79.29	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	183	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	26	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	85.89	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	10	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	71				
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	100				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	60.69				
Procedures (number)	8				
Time (days)	64				
Cost (% of property value)	7.3				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.0				
<b>GABON</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>7,210</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,979,786	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	132	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	122	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	169
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.48	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	43.94
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	33	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	60
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	96
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	28.9	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	149	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	160	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	58.33	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	38.33	Border compliance (US\$)	1,633
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	276	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	120
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	84
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	170	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	170
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	40.21	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	1,320
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	148				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,294.5				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	173	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	165	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	178
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	51.64	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	32.84
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	26	<i>Time (days)</i>	1,160
Time (days)	102	Time (hours per year)	488	Cost (% of claim)	34.3
Cost (% of property value)	10.5	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	46.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	42.47		
<i>Note:</i> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>GAMBIA, THE</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	440	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	2,038,501	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	171	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	122	Trading across borders (rank)	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	69.00	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Time to export	
Time (days)	25	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	128.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	118	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	164	Documentary compliance (US\$)	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.31	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	36.67	Border compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Time (days)	144	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	4.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	156	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	49.29	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	169	Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Time (days)	78	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	49.34	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,517.9	Payments (number per year)	49	Time (years)	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	326	Cost (% of estate)	
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	129	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	51.3	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	53.28	Postfiling index (0–100)	53.46	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	
Procedures (number)	5	<b>GEORGIA</b>	<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>	3,810	
Time (days)	66	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	9	Population	3,719,300
Cost (% of property value)	7.6	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	12	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	62
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	82.43
<b>GEORGIA</b>	<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	2
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	95.7	Border compliance (hours)	35
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	97.84	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	383
Procedures (number)	2	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	2
Time (days)	2	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	81.67	Border compliance (US\$)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.5	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import	1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	189
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	29	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	396
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	77.57	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	7
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	12.5
Time (days)	63	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	55.59
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	22	Time (years)	2.0
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	87.14	Cost (% of claim)	25.0
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	30	Payments (number per year)	5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.32	Time (hours per year)	269	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	57
Procedures (number)	3	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	16.4	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	90.27
Time (days)	71	Postfiling index (0–100)	85.89	Time (years)	1.2
Cost (% of income per capita)	176.8	<b>GERMANY</b>	<b>OECD high income</b>	43,660	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	20	Population	82,667,685
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	4	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	42	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	39
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	92.85	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	91.77
Procedures (number)	1	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	1
Time (days)	1	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
Cost (% of property value)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	45
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.9	Cost to export	345
<b>GERMANY</b>	<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	20	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	0
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	113	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.46	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	0
Procedures (number)	9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	0
Time (days)	10.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	0
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.9	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	32.4	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	24	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	41	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	22
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.16	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	82.14	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	71.32
Procedures (number)	9	Payments (number per year)	9	Time (days)	499
Time (days)	126	Time (hours per year)	218	Cost (% of claim)	14.4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.2	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	48.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	97.67	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	4
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	5	<b>GERMANY</b>	<b>OECD high income</b>	43,660	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	98.79	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	20	Population	82,667,685
Procedures (number)	3	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	42	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	39
Time (days)	28	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	91.77
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	1
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	77	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	45
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.71	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.9	Cost to export	345
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Time (days)	52	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Cost (% of property value)	6.7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	1
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	0
Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>GHANA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>1,380</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	28,206,728	
Starting a business (rank)	110	Getting credit (rank)	55	Trading across borders (rank)	158
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.02	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	52.32
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	89
Time (days)	14	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	108
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	16.5	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	131	Protecting minority investors (rank)	96	Documentary compliance (US\$)	155
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.90	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	490
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	76
Time (days)	170	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	89
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	136	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	474
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	56.81	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	553
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	116	Enforcing contracts (rank)	116
Time (days)	78	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	66.47	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.00
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,080.5	Payments (number per year)	31	Time (days)	710
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	224	Cost (% of claim)	23.0
Registering property (rank)	119	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	33.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	55.50	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	Resolving insolvency (rank)	158
Procedures (number)	6	Getting credit (rank)	90	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	24.77
Time (days)	47	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Time (years)	1.9
Cost (% of property value)	6.2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	22.8
GREECE		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	78.3	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	4.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Starting a business (rank)	37	Protecting minority investors (rank)	43		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.30	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33		
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Time (days)	12.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	58	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.48	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8		
Procedures (number)	18	Paying taxes (rank)	65	Enforcing contracts (rank)	131
Time (days)	124	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.97	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	50.19
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Payments (number per year)	8	Time (days)	1,580
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Time (hours per year)	193	Cost (% of claim)	14.4
Getting electricity (rank)	76	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	51.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	75.97	Postfiling index (0–100)	75.70	Resolving insolvency (rank)	57
Procedures (number)	7	Getting credit (rank)	90	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	55.59
Time (days)	55	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Time (years)	3.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	70.1	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Cost (% of estate)	9.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	33.6
Registering property (rank)	145	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	49.67	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Procedures (number)	10	Protecting minority investors (rank)	43		
Time (days)	20	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33		
Cost (% of property value)	4.8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
GRENADA		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3		
Starting a business (rank)	82	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.09	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1		
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	141	Enforcing contracts (rank)	76
Time (days)	15	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	59.39	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.33
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.3	Payments (number per year)	42	Time (days)	688
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Time (hours per year)	140	Cost (% of claim)	32.6
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	128	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	48.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	62.22	Postfiling index (0–100)	48.85	Resolving insolvency (rank)	168
Procedures (number)	15	Getting credit (rank)	142	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	146	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Time (years)	no practice
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Getting electricity (rank)	73	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.41	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Procedures (number)	5	Protecting minority investors (rank)	132		
Time (days)	38	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33		
Cost (% of income per capita)	187.8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
Registering property (rank)	141	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	50.15	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
Time (days)	32	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1		
Cost (% of property value)	7.4				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>GUATEMALA</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>3,790</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	16,582,469	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	139	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	20	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	79
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	79.30	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	75.31
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	<i>Time to export</i>	48
Time (days)	26.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.4	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	16.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	17.5	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	116	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	172	Documentary compliance (US\$)	105
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.63	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	31.67	Border compliance (US\$)	310
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	<i>Time to import</i>	32
Time (days)	205	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	36	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	140
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.02	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	405
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	100	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	176
Time (days)	44	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	70.30	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	34.55
Cost (% of income per capita)	550.6	Payments (number per year)	8	<i>Time (days)</i>	1,402
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	248	Cost (% of claim)	26.5
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	85	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	35.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	64.44	Postfiling index (0–100)	33.04	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	153
Procedures (number)	7	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	27.57
Time (days)	24	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	49.80	<i>Time (years)</i>	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.7	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.0	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.0
<b>GUINEA</b>		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	4.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	125	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.77	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	146		
Time (days)	8	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00		
Cost (% of income per capita)	67.5	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8.9	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	75	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.92	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
Time (days)	161	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.3	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	182		
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	38.93		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	158	Payments (number per year)	33		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	47.88	Time (hours per year)	400		
Procedures (number)	4	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	61.4		
Time (days)	69	Postfiling index (0–100)	12.77		
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,639.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	143	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	50.07	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	49.80		
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	117
Time (days)	44	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	53.87
Cost (% of property value)	8.9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	<i>Time (days)</i>	311
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Cost (% of claim)	45.0
<b>GUINEA-BISSAU</b>		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.1	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	111
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	178	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	146	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	39.27
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	63.76	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	<i>Time (years)</i>	3.8
Procedures (number)	8.5	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Cost (% of estate)	8.0
Time (days)	8.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20.7
Cost (% of income per capita)	48.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	273.4	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	176	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	44.40	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4		
Procedures (number)	13	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	155		
Time (days)	143	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	54.93		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	28.2	Payments (number per year)	46		
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Time (hours per year)	218		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	180	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	45.5		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	29.01	Postfiling index (0–100)	45.34		
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	257				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,399.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	126	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	54.41	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	41.45	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	168
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	36.76
Time (days)	48	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	<i>Time (days)</i>	1,785
Cost (% of property value)	5.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Cost (% of claim)	28.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>GUYANA</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	92	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	142
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.55	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	59.33
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Time to export	200
Time (days)	18	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	52.8	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	163	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	96	Documentary compliance (US\$)	78
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.66	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	378
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	156
Time (days)	208	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	84
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	4.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	132	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	63
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	58.35	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	265
Procedures (number)	8			Cost of insolvency framework index (0–16)	
Time (days)	82			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	4.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	441.7				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4				
<b>✓ Registering property</b> (rank)	110				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.90				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	45				
Cost (% of property value)	4.6				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5				
<b>HAITI</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>780</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	181	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	38.24	Population	10,847,334
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	189	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	177	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	77
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	33.70	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	76.90
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	22
Time (days)	97	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	28
Cost (% of income per capita)	200.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	14.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.5	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	177	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	188	Documentary compliance (US\$)	48
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	44.15	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	20.00	Border compliance (US\$)	368
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	28
Time (days)	98	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	83
Cost (% of warehouse value)	21.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	2	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	138	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	55.40	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (US\$)	563
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	60				
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,522.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	180	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	147	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	125
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	32.22	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	57.55	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	52.49
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	47	Time (days)	530
Time (days)	312	Time (hours per year)	184	Cost (% of claim)	42.6
Cost (% of property value)	6.9	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	42.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	2.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	48.17	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
<b>HONDURAS</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	115	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	58.46	Time (years)	no practice
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	150	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	12	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	76.98	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Time (days)	13	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8		
Cost (% of income per capita)	41.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	44.9		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	20.9		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	113	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	129		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.44	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00		
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
Time (days)	94	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6		
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	144	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.61	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	39				
Cost (% of income per capita)	790.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>✗ Registering property</b> (rank)	91	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	164	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	152
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.42	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	51.74	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	45.54
Procedures (number)	6	Payments (number per year)	48	Time (days)	920
Time (days)	29	Time (hours per year)	224	Cost (% of claim)	35.2
Cost (% of property value)	5.7	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	44.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	35.14	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	142
<i>Note:</i> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	32.07	Time (years)	3.8
		Cost (% of estate)	14.5	Cost (% of estate)	18.9
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	18.9	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	7.0
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>HONG KONG SAR, CHINA</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>43,240</b>	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	7,346,700	
✗ Starting a business (rank)	3	Getting credit (rank)	29	Trading across borders (rank)	31
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	98.14	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	93.56
Procedures (number)	2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Time to export	1
Time (days)	1.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	5	Protecting minority investors (rank)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	57
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	84.86	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	76.67	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to import	1
Time (days)	72	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	19
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	4	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	57
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	99.02	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	266
Procedures (number)	3	Paying taxes (rank)	3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	28
Time (days)	27	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	98.82	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	69.13
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.4	Payments (number per year)	3	Time (days)	385
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	72	Cost (% of claim)	23.6
✓ Registering property (rank)	55	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	22.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.54	Postfiling index (0–100)	98.85	Resolving insolvency (rank)	43
Procedures (number)	5	Trading across borders (rank)	31	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	65.69
Time (days)	27.5	Time to export	1	Time (years)	0.8
Cost (% of property value)	7.7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1	Cost (% of estate)	5.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.5	Border compliance (hours)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	87.2
<b>HUNGARY</b>		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	0		
		Border compliance (US\$)	0		
		Time to import			
		Documentary compliance (hours)	1		
		Border compliance (hours)	0		
		Cost to import			
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	0		
		Border compliance (US\$)	0		
		Enforcing contracts (rank)	13		
		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	73.75		
		Time (days)	605		
		Cost (% of claim)	15.0		
		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	14.0		
		Resolving insolvency (rank)	62		
		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	54.75		
		Time (years)	2.0		
		Cost (% of estate)	14.5		
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.7		
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.0		
<b>ICELAND</b>					
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	23	OECD high income	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>56,990</b>	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	334,252	
Starting a business (rank)	55	Getting credit (rank)	68	Trading across borders (rank)	69
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.71	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	80.27
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	2
Time (days)	11.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	64	Protecting minority investors (rank)	29	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.72	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	68.33	Border compliance (US\$)	655
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	84	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	24
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	11	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	92.24	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	655
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	33	Enforcing contracts (rank)	29
Time (days)	22	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.54	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	69.10
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.4	Payments (number per year)	21	Time (days)	417
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	140	Cost (% of claim)	9.0
Registering property (rank)	15	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	29.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	86.61	Postfiling index (0–100)	87.20	Resolving insolvency (rank)	13
Procedures (number)	3	Trading across borders (rank)	31	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	81.44
Time (days)	3.5	Time to export	1	Time (years)	1.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1	Cost (% of estate)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.5	Border compliance (hours)	2	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	84.5
Notes: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5

			✓ Reform making it easier to do business	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>INDIA</b>		<b>South Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b> 1,680	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	100	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	60.76	Population 1,324,171,354	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	156	✓ Getting credit (rank)	29	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	146
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	75.40	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	58.56
Procedures (number)	11.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Time to export	38.4
Time (days)	29.8	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	106.1
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	43.5	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	91.9
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	181	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	382.4
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	38.80	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	80.00	Border compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	30.1	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	61.3
Time (days)	143.9	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	264.5
Cost (% of warehouse value)	23.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	10	Cost to import	134.8
Getting electricity (rank)	29	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	543.2
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	85.21	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	5			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.3
Time (days)	45.9			✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	164
Cost (% of income per capita)	96.7	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	66.06	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	40.76
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Payments (number per year)	13	Time (days)	1,445
Registering property (rank)	154	Time (hours per year)	214	Cost (% of claim)	31.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.08	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	55.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.3
Procedures (number)	8	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.31	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	103
Time (days)	53			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	40.75
Cost (% of property value)	8.4			Time (years)	4.3
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.2			Cost (% of estate)	9.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	26.4
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.5
<b>INDONESIA</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b> 3,400	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	72	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	66.47	Population 261,115,456	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	144	✓ Getting credit (rank)	55	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	112
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.93	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	66.59
Procedures (number)	11.2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	61.3
Time (days)	23.1	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	53.3
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	18.3	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	55.3	Cost to export	138.8
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	108	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	43	Documentary compliance (US\$)	253.7
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.08	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	Border compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to import	119.2
Time (days)	200.2	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	99.4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	164.4
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	38	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	382.6
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.87	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	4			Enforcing contracts (rank)	145
Time (days)	34			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	47.23
Cost (% of income per capita)	276.1	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	114	Time (days)	403
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	68.04	Cost (% of claim)	70.3
✓ Registering property (rank)	106	Payments (number per year)	43	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.9
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.01	Time (hours per year)	207.5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	38
Procedures (number)	5	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	30.0	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	67.61
Time (days)	27.6	Postfiling index (0–100)	68.82	Time (years)	1.1
Cost (% of property value)	8.3			Cost (% of estate)	21.6
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.3			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	64.7
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.5
<b>IRAN, ISLAMIC REP.</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b> 4,683	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	124	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	56.48	Population 80,277,428	
Starting a business (rank)	97	✓ Getting credit (rank)	90	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	166
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.16	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	46.11
Procedures (number)	8.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	120
Time (days)	15	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	101
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	55.8	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	54.7	Cost to export	125
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	25	Protecting minority investors (rank)	170	Documentary compliance (US\$)	565
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.07	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	33.33	Border compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	192
Time (days)	99	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	141
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	197
Getting electricity (rank)	99	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	660
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	68.43	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	6			Enforcing contracts (rank)	80
Time (days)	77			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.07
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,064.9	Paying taxes (rank)	150	Time (days)	505
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	56.57	Cost (% of claim)	17.0
Registering property (rank)	87	Payments (number per year)	20	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	64.16	Time (hours per year)	344	Resolving insolvency (rank)	160
Procedures (number)	7	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	44.7	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	23.93
Time (days)	12	Postfiling index (0–100)	26.88	Time (years)	4.5
Cost (% of property value)	5.7			Cost (% of estate)	15.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.0			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15.4
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business
<b>IRAQ</b>			
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	168	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	44.87
✓ Starting a business (rank)	154	✓ Getting credit (rank)	186
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	75.87	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00
Procedures (number)	8.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0
Time (days)	26.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0
Cost (% of income per capita)	43.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	18.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.2
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	93	Protecting minority investors (rank)	124
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.66	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	46.67
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4
Time (days)	167	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8
Getting electricity (rank)	116	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	61.64	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	129
Time (days)	51	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	63.55
Cost (% of income per capita)	466.6	Payments (number per year)	15
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	312
Registering property (rank)	101	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	30.8
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.97	Postfiling index (0–100)	21.43
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	51		
Cost (% of property value)	5.7		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5		
<b>IRELAND</b>		OECD high income	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	17	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	79.51
Starting a business (rank)	8	Getting credit (rank)	42
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.91	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7
Time (days)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	30	Protecting minority investors (rank)	10
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.99	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9
Time (days)	149.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7
Getting electricity (rank)	35	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.22	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	4
Time (days)	85	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	94.46
Cost (% of income per capita)	52.8	Payments (number per year)	9
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	82
Registering property (rank)	40	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	26.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.29	Postfiling index (0–100)	92.93
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	31.5		
Cost (% of property value)	2.5		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.0		
<b>ISRAEL</b>		OECD high income	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	54	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	71.42
Starting a business (rank)	37	Getting credit (rank)	55
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.30	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6
Time (days)	12	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	71.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	65	Protecting minority investors (rank)	16
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.69	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7
Time (days)	209	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7
Getting electricity (rank)	77	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	75.20	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	99
Time (days)	102	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	70.35
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.1	Payments (number per year)	33
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	235
Registering property (rank)	130	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	27.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.84	Postfiling index (0–100)	61.36
Procedures (number)	6		
Time (days)	81		
Cost (% of property value)	8.3		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0		
		GNI per capita (US\$)	5,430
		Population	37,202,572
		Trading across borders (rank)	179
		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	25.33
		Time to export	
		Documentary compliance (hours)	504
		Border compliance (hours)	85
		Cost to export	
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	1,800
		Border compliance (US\$)	1,118
		Time to import	
		Documentary compliance (hours)	176
		Border compliance (hours)	131
		Cost to import	
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	500
		Border compliance (US\$)	644
		Enforcing contracts (rank)	144
		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.02
		Time (days)	520
		Cost (% of claim)	28.1
		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	1.5
		Resolving insolvency (rank)	168
		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
		Time (years)	
		no practice	
		Cost (% of estate)	
		no practice	
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
		GNI per capita (US\$)	52,560
		Population	4,773,095
		Trading across borders (rank)	47
		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	87.25
		Time to export	
		Documentary compliance (hours)	1
		Border compliance (hours)	24
		Cost to export	
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
		Border compliance (US\$)	305
		Time to import	
		Documentary compliance (hours)	1
		Border compliance (hours)	24
		Cost to import	
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
		Border compliance (US\$)	253
		Enforcing contracts (rank)	98
		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	56.03
		Time (days)	650
		Cost (% of claim)	26.9
		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
		Resolving insolvency (rank)	17
		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	79.00
		Time (years)	0.4
		Cost (% of estate)	9.0
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	85.8
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.5
		GNI per capita (US\$)	36,190
		Population	8,547,100
		Trading across borders (rank)	60
		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	82.85
		Time to export	
		Documentary compliance (hours)	13
		Border compliance (hours)	36
		Cost to export	
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	73
		Border compliance (US\$)	150
		Time to import	
		Documentary compliance (hours)	44
		Border compliance (hours)	64
		Cost to import	
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	70
		Border compliance (US\$)	307
		Enforcing contracts (rank)	92
		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	57.93
		Time (days)	975
		Cost (% of claim)	25.3
		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.0
		Resolving insolvency (rank)	29
		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	72.74
		Time (years)	2.0
		Cost (% of estate)	23.0
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	62.6
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.5

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>ITALY</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>31,590</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	60,600,590	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	66	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.42	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	30.1	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	96	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.26	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	227.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	28	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	85.27	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	112	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	108
Time (days)	82	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	68.29	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.79
Cost (% of income per capita)	156.5	Payments (number per year)	14	<i>Time (days)</i>	1,120
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	238	Cost (% of claim)	23.1
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	23	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	48.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	81.70	Postfiling index (0–100)	52.39	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	24
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	76.97
Time (days)	16	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>		<i>Time (years)</i>	1.8
Cost (% of property value)	4.4	Population		Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.5	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	64.6
<b>JAMAICA</b>		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.5
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	5	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	20	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	127
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	97.30	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	51.87
Procedures (number)	2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	<i>Time (days)</i>	550
Time (days)	3	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Cost (% of claim)	50.2
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	24.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	35
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	98	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	81	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	69.31
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.22	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	<i>Time (years)</i>	1.1
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Time (days)	141.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	64.9
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	91	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.11	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		
Procedures (number)	7	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	122		
Time (days)	95	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	65.67		
Cost (% of income per capita)	237.3	Payments (number per year)	11		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	268		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	128	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	33.1		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	53.70	Postfiling index (0–100)	19.68		
Procedures (number)	8	<b>OECD high income</b>			
Time (days)	18	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>			
Cost (% of property value)	9.8	Population			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)			
<b>JAPAN</b>		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)			
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	106	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	<b>51</b>	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.37	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	86.51
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	12.2	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	2.4
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	22.6
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	50	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	54
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.36	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	264.9
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	197	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	3.4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	39.6
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	17	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	107
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	89.88	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	299.2
Procedures (number)	3.4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	68	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	51
Time (days)	97.7	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.71	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.26
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.0	Payments (number per year)	14	<i>Time (days)</i>	360
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	151	Cost (% of claim)	23.4
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	52	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	47.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.92	Postfiling index (0–100)	71.69	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	1
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	93.44
Time (days)	13	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>		<i>Time (years)</i>	0.6
Cost (% of property value)	5.8	Population		Cost (% of estate)	4.2
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	92.4
<i>Note:</i> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>JORDAN</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	60.58	Population	3,920 9,455,802
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	105	✓ <b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	159	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	53
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.40	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	85.93
Procedures (number)	7.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export	6
Time (days)	12.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	15.3	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.1	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	2.2	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	110	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	146	Documentary compliance (US\$)	16
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.74	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	131
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	62	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	55
Cost (% of warehouse value)	12.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	79
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	2	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	40	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	30
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.33	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	181
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	97	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	118
Time (days)	55	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	70.75	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	53.71
Cost (% of income per capita)	384.1	Payments (number per year)	25	Time (days)	642
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	128.5	Cost (% of claim)	31.2
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	72	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	28.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	66.40	Postfiling index (0–100)	34.69	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	146
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	30.53
Time (days)	17	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	9.0	Strength of disclosure index (0–10)		Cost (% of estate)	20.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.5	Strength of director liability index (0–10)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.7
<b>KAZAKHSTAN</b>		Strength of ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>		<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	1	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	8,710
36		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	85.00	Population	17,797,032
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	41	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	123
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.95	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	63.19
Procedures (number)	5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	9	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	10	Documentary compliance (hours)	128
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.3	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	133
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	52	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	Documentary compliance (US\$)	320
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.30	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	Border compliance (US\$)	574
Procedures (number)	19	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	123	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	54.4	Border compliance (hours)	2
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	70	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.77	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	85.00	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	6
Time (days)	77	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	77.55
Cost (% of income per capita)	47.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Time (days)	370
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	10	Cost (% of claim)	22.0
<b>✓ Registering property</b> (rank)	17	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	14.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	84.61	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	39
Procedures (number)	3	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	50	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	67.52
Time (days)	3.5	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	79.47	Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	0.1	Payments (number per year)	7	Cost (% of estate)	15.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.0	Time (hours per year)	178	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.3
<b>KENYA</b>		Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	29.2	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	15.0
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>		Postfiling index (0–100)	48.85	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	1,380
80		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		Population	48,461,567
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)		<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	65.15	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	106
117		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	65.15	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	67.63
83.20		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	Time to export	
✓ <b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	19
124		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Border compliance (hours)	21
63.16		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	30.4	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	191
Time (days)		<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	29	Border compliance (US\$)	143
25		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	Time to import	
Cost (% of income per capita)		Strength of disclosure index (0–12)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	60
26.3		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Border compliance (hours)	180
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Cost to import	
0.0		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	115
<b>✓ Getting electricity</b> (rank)		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	833
71		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	90
76.68		<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.27
Procedures (number)		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Time (days)	465
3		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Cost (% of claim)	41.8
Time (days)		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.0
97		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	95
Cost (% of income per capita)		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	43.11
724.7		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Time (years)	4.5
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Cost (% of estate)	22.0
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)		<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	92	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.9
125		DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	71.67	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
54.49		Payments (number per year)	26		
Procedures (number)		Time (hours per year)	185.5		
9		Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	37.4		
Time (days)		Postfiling index (0–100)	62.03		
61					
Cost (% of property value)					
6.0					
Quality of land administration index (0–30)					
16.0					

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>KIRIBATI</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>2,380</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	114,395	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	147	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	170	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	127
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.47	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	20.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	62.08
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Time to export	24
Time (days)	31	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	16.2	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	111	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	124	Documentary compliance (US\$)	310
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.72	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	46.67	Border compliance (US\$)	420
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	48
Time (days)	150	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	168	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	120
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	41.50	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	685
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	94	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	121
Time (days)	97	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	71.42	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	53.39
Cost (% of income per capita)	4,022.3	Payments (number per year)	11	Time (days)	660
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	168	Cost (% of claim)	25.8
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	146	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	32.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	49.12	Postfiling index (0–100)	26.68	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	33	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	513	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.52	Time (years)	no practice
Cost (% of property value)	0.0	Time to export	1	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	13	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
<b>KOREA, REP.</b>		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>27,600</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	51,245,707	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	9	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	55	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	33
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.83	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.52
Procedures (number)	2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	1
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	28	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	20	Documentary compliance (US\$)	11
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	77.74	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	71.67	Border compliance (US\$)	185
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	1
Time (days)	27.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	2	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	27
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	99.89	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	315
Procedures (number)	3	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	24	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	1
Time (days)	13	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	86.69	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	84.15
Cost (% of income per capita)	37.0	Payments (number per year)	12	Time (days)	290
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	188	Cost (% of claim)	12.7
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	39	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	33.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	14.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.34	Postfiling index (0–100)	93.04	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	5
Procedures (number)	7	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	33	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	89.33
Time (days)	5.5	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.52	Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Time to export	1	Cost (% of estate)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	13	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	84.7
<b>KOSOVO</b>		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	14.0
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>3,850</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,816,200	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	10	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	12	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	48
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.67	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	86.87
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	Time to export	1
Time (days)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	28
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	40.5	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	122	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	89	Documentary compliance (US\$)	127
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.72	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	105
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	1
Time (days)	152	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	16
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	106	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	42
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	66.12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	128
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	45	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	49
Time (days)	36	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.28	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.66
Cost (% of income per capita)	219.1	Payments (number per year)	10	Time (days)	330
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2	Time (hours per year)	155	Cost (% of claim)	34.4
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	34	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	15.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	78.12	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.16	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	49
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	33	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	60.13
Time (days)	27	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.52	Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	0.3	Time to export	1	Cost (% of estate)	15.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	20.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	13	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.1
<b>Kosovo</b>		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.5

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>KUWAIT</b>	<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>39,050</b>	
	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	4,052,584	
✓ <b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	149	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	133	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	154
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.21	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	54.24
Procedures (number)	9.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	38.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	31.0	Border compliance (hours)	96
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	15.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	129	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	81	Documentary compliance (US\$)	191
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	62.20	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	Border compliance (US\$)	602
Procedures (number)	23	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	236	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	89
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	97	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	332
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	69.60	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	491
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	85				
Cost (% of income per capita)	64.2				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6				
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	70				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	67.55				
Procedures (number)	9				
Time (days)	35				
Cost (% of property value)	0.5				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.0				
		Postfiling index (0–100)	not applicable		
<b>KYRGYZ REPUBLIC</b>	<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>1,100</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	77	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	65.70	Population	6,082,700
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	29	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	29	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	84
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.94	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	73.34
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	37.0	Border compliance (hours)	20
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	31	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	51	Documentary compliance (US\$)	145
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.85	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	61.67	Border compliance (US\$)	445
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	142	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	164	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	44.19	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	512
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	125				
Cost (% of income per capita)	814.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
✗ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	8	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	151	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	139
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	90.21	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	56.55	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.57
Procedures (number)	3	Payments (number per year)	51	<i>Time (days)</i>	410
Time (days)	3.5	Time (hours per year)	225	Cost (% of claim)	47.0
Cost (% of property value)	0.2	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	29.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	37.38		
<b>LAO PDR</b>	<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>2,150</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	141	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	53.01	Population	6,758,353
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	164	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	124
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	72.56	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	62.98
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	67	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	216
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	12
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	11.2	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	40	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	172	Documentary compliance (US\$)	235
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.25	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	31.67	Border compliance (US\$)	73
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	83	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	216
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	14
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	149	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	115
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	52.65	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	153
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	134				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,132.5				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	156	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	97
		DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	54.18	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	56.22
		Payments (number per year)	35	<i>Time (days)</i>	443
		Time (hours per year)	362	Cost (% of claim)	31.6
		Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	26.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
		Postfiling index (0–100)	18.57		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	65	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	172	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	69.55	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	31.67	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	<i>Time (years)</i>	
Time (days)	53	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Cost (% of property value)	1.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>LATVIA</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	14,630	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,960,424	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	21	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	12	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	25
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.11	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	95.26
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	<i>Time to export</i>	2
Time (days)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	43.1	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	88.8	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	49	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	43	Documentary compliance (US\$)	35
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.41	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	Border compliance (US\$)	150
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	<i>Time to import</i>	1
Time (days)	192	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	0
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	62	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.05	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	107				
Cost (% of income per capita)	278.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	22				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	81.87				
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	16.5				
Cost (% of property value)	2.0				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.0				
<b>LEBANON</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	7,680
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	133	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	54.67	Population	6,006,668
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	143	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	122	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	140
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	78.17	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	59.71
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	15	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	42.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	96
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	42.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	22.9	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	142	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	138	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.66	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Border compliance (US\$)	410
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	249	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	180
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	123	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	135
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.07	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	695
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	75				
Cost (% of income per capita)	130.2				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	102	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	113	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	134
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.93	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	68.21	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	49.85
Procedures (number)	8	Payments (number per year)	20	<i>Time (days)</i>	721
Time (days)	34	Time (hours per year)	181	Cost (% of claim)	30.8
Cost (% of property value)	5.9	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	30.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	27.48		
<b>LESOTHO</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	147
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	104	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	60.42	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	29.42
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	119	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	<i>Time (years)</i>	3.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.06	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	Cost (% of estate)	15.0
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	31.4
Time (days)	29	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	4.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.5		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	167	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	108		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	51.57	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00		
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
Time (days)	183	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	12.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9		
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	152	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	52.09	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	114				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,341.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	109	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	111	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	95
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	58.12	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	68.68	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	57.18
Procedures (number)	4	Payments (number per year)	32	<i>Time (days)</i>	615
Time (days)	43	Time (hours per year)	333	Cost (% of claim)	31.3
Cost (% of property value)	8.1	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	13.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	66.94		
<b>Notes:</b> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>LIBERIA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	43.55	Population	370 4,613,823
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	54	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	177
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.77	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	27.77
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	144
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	193
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.9	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	184	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	177	Documentary compliance (US\$)	155
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	28.94	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	26.67	Border compliance (US\$)	1113
Procedures (number)	25	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	87	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	144
Cost (% of warehouse value)	39.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	217
Building quality control index (0–15)	2.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	176	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	230
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	32.95	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	1,013
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	69	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	174
Time (days)	482	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.70	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	35.23
Cost (% of income per capita)	4,174.9	Payments (number per year)	33	Time (days)	1,300
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	139.5	Cost (% of claim)	35.0
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	183	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	45.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	31.04	Postfiling index (0–100)	98.62	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	106
Procedures (number)	10	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	186	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	40.43
Time (days)	44	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	Time (years)	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	13.8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Cost (% of estate)	30.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.1
<b>LIBYA</b>		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.6	<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	5,193
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	167	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	183	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	5,193
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	71.72	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	25.00	Population	6,293,253
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	118
Time (days)	35	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	64.66
Cost (% of income per capita)	30.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	41.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	186	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (hours)	72
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	no practice	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	128	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
Time (days)	no practice	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	63.61	Border compliance (US\$)	575
Cost (% of warehouse value)	no practice	Payments (number per year)	19	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Time (hours per year)	889	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	130	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	32.6	Border compliance (hours)	79
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	58.66	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.16	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	141	Documentary compliance (US\$)	60
Time (days)	118	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.41	Border compliance (US\$)	637
Cost (% of income per capita)	422.4	Time (days)	690	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Cost (% of claim)	27.0	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	187	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0	Time (years)	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	0.00	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	186	Cost (% of estate)	
Procedures (number)	no practice	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Time (days)	no practice	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Cost (% of property value)	no practice	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0		
<b>LITHUANIA</b>		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	45.3		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>	79.87	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	14,770
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	27	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	43	Population	2,872,298
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.05	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	19
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	97.70
Time (days)	5.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	19.3	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	9
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	12	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	81.43	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	28
Procedures (number)	13	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	18	Border compliance (US\$)	58
Time (days)	75	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	87.81	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Payments (number per year)	11	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Time (hours per year)	109.3	Border compliance (hours)	0
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	33	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	42.7	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.25	Postfiling index (0–100)	97.52	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Time (days)	85	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	78.80		
Cost (% of income per capita)	42.0	Time (days)	370		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Cost (% of claim)	23.6		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	15.0		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	92.94	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	70		
Procedures (number)	3	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	49.37		
Time (days)	3.5	Time (years)	2.3		
Cost (% of property value)	0.8	Cost (% of estate)	10.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	28.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	45.3		
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>LUXEMBOURG</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>76,660</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	582,972	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	70	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	173	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.76	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	15.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Time to export	
Time (days)	16.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	18.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	7	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	119	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	83.71	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Time (days)	157	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	15.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	31	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.31	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	56				
Cost (% of income per capita)	34.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	88				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.85				
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	26.5				
Cost (% of property value)	10.1				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	25.5				
<b>MACEDONIA, FYR</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>4,980</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	2,081,206	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	22	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	12	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	27
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.94	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	93.87
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	9
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	39.7	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	26	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	45
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.01	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	80.00	Border compliance (US\$)	103
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to import	
Time (days)	96	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	8
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	53	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	81.42	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	150
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	97				
Cost (% of income per capita)	200.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	48	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	29	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	35
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.49	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.72	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	67.79
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	7	Time (days)	634
Time (days)	30	Time (hours per year)	119	Cost (% of claim)	28.8
Cost (% of property value)	3.2	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	13.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	14.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	25.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	56.36	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	30
<b>MADAGASCAR</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>400</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	24,894,551	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	76	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	133	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	134
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.76	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	60.95
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	8	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	49
Cost (% of income per capita)	35.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	70
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	5.1	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	183	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	96	Documentary compliance (US\$)	117
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	35.88	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	868
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	185	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	58
Cost (% of warehouse value)	54.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	99
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	184	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	21.07	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	595
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	450				
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,322.0	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	131	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	158
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	62.70	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	42.85
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	161	Payments (number per year)	23	Time (days)	871
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	44.63	Time (hours per year)	183	Cost (% of claim)	33.6
Procedures (number)	6	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	38.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
Time (days)	100	Postfiling index (0–100)	21.84	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	133
Cost (% of property value)	9.1			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	34.24
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.5			Time (years)	3.0
				Cost (% of estate)	8.5
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	11.4
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>MALAWI</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✗ Starting a business (rank)	152	✓ Getting credit (rank)	6	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	117
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	76.43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	90.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	65.29
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	Time to export	75
Time (days)	37	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	78
Cost (% of income per capita)	44.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	23.5	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	144	Protecting minority investors (rank)	96	Documentary compliance (US\$)	342
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.22	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	243
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	153	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	55
Cost (% of warehouse value)	11.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	55
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	166	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	162
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	43.43	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	143
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	134	Enforcing contracts (rank)	151
Time (days)	127	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	62.10	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	45.55
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,341.6	Payments (number per year)	35	Time (days)	522
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	177.5	Cost (% of claim)	69.1
Registering property (rank)	96	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	34.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	62.45	Postfiling index (0–100)	33.41	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	138
Procedures (number)	6	Getting credit (rank)	20	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	33.28
Time (days)	69	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	Time (years)	2.6
Cost (% of property value)	1.6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Cost (% of estate)	25.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	12.5
Malaysia	24	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	82.6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.5
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	11	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	63.6		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	82.19	Protecting minority investors (rank)	4		
Procedures (number)	14	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	80.00		
Time (days)	78	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.4	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9		
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7		
Getting electricity (rank)	8	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	94.33	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6		
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8		
Time (days)	31	Paying taxes (rank)	73	Enforcing contracts (rank)	44
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.07	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	66.61
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Payments (number per year)	8	Time (days)	425
Registering property (rank)	42	Time (hours per year)	188	Cost (% of claim)	37.3
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.06	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	39.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
Procedures (number)	8	Postfiling index (0–100)	52.65	Resolving insolvency (rank)	46
Time (days)	13	Getting credit (rank)	133	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	62.51
Cost (% of property value)	3.5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	Time (years)	1.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Cost (% of estate)	10.0
Maldives	136	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	81.3
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	54	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.87	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	18.8		
Procedures (number)	10	Protecting minority investors (rank)	132		
Time (days)	140	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0		
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
Getting electricity (rank)	143	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.69	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1		
Time (days)	91	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of income per capita)	283.5	Paying taxes (rank)	118	Enforcing contracts (rank)	106
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	66.08	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.07
Registering property (rank)	174	Payments (number per year)	17	Time (days)	760
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	39.97	Time (hours per year)	390.5	Cost (% of claim)	16.5
Procedures (number)	6	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	30.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
Time (days)	57	Postfiling index (0–100)	46.10	Resolving insolvency (rank)	139
Cost (% of property value)	15.8	Getting credit (rank)	133	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	33.26
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	Time (years)	1.5

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>MALI</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	104	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	85
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.46	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	73.30
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	8.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	58.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.8	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	5.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.1	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	134	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	146	Documentary compliance (US\$)	33
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.36	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	242
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	124	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	77
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	98
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	154	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	51.12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	545
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	166	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	159
Time (days)	120	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	51.55	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	42.80
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,794.6	Payments (number per year)	35	Time (days)	620
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	270	Cost (% of claim)	52.0
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	137	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	48.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	51.43	Postfiling index (0–100)	25.71	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	94
Procedures (number)	5	<b>MALTA</b>	<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	43.22
Time (days)	29	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	84	Time (years)	3.6
Cost (% of property value)	11.1	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	64.72	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.0	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.0
		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Strength of insolvent framework index (0–16)	9.0
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	102	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	41
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.83	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	91.01
Procedures (number)	8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Time to export	
Time (days)	16	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	53.6	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.3	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	51	Border compliance (hours)	24
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1.1	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	61.67	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	45	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	25
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.86	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	325
Procedures (number)	15	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	167	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.1	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	2
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	78	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	71	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	75.16	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.19	Border compliance (US\$)	230
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	8	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	37
Time (days)	106	Time (hours per year)	139	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	67.57
Cost (% of income per capita)	222.5	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	43.9	Time (days)	505
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Postfiling index (0–100)	52.51	Cost (% of claim)	21.5
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	147	<b>MARSHALL ISLANDS</b>	<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	48.86	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	149	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	117
Procedures (number)	7	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	51.45	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	38.07
Time (days)	15	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90	Time (years)	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	13.4	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Cost (% of estate)	10.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.8
		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Strength of insolvent framework index (0–16)	5.5
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	71	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	67
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	70.93	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	80.59
Procedures (number)	7	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	177	Time to export	
Time (days)	38	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	26.67	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.3	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	60
Building quality control index (0–15)	1.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Cost to export	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	126	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	20
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	59.26	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	220
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Time to import	
Time (days)	67	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	60
Cost (% of income per capita)	675.4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	83	Border compliance (hours)	84
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	73.45	Cost to import	
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	187	Payments (number per year)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	43
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	0.00	Time (hours per year)	120	Border compliance (US\$)	220
Procedures (number)	no practice	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	64.8	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	99
Time (days)	no practice	Postfiling index (0–100)	not applicable	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.93
Cost (% of property value)	no practice			Time (days)	616
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	0.0			Cost (% of claim)	32.1
				Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>MAURITANIA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population
✓ Starting a business (rank)	43	Getting credit (rank)	159
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.80	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	3
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.1
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	109	Protecting minority investors (rank)	108
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.03	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6
Time (days)	104	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5
Getting electricity (rank)	148	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.31	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	179
Time (days)	67	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	40.71
Cost (% of income per capita)	4,628.4	Payments (number per year)	33
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2	Time (hours per year)	270
✓ Registering property (rank)	98	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	71.3
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	61.25	Postfiling index (0–100)	17.20
Procedures (number)	4		
Time (days)	49		
Cost (% of property value)	4.6		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0		
<b>MAURITIUS</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population
✓ Starting a business (rank)	40	Getting credit (rank)	55
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.00	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00
Procedures (number)	5.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6
Time (days)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	86.1
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	9	Protecting minority investors (rank)	33
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	82.45	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6
Time (days)	98	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7
Getting electricity (rank)	51	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.03	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	10
Time (days)	81	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	90.85
Cost (% of income per capita)	229.4	Payments (number per year)	8
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	152
✓ Registering property (rank)	35	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	21.9
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	77.89	Postfiling index (0–100)	87.65
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	17		
Cost (% of property value)	0.6		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.0		
<b>MEXICO</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population
Starting a business (rank)	90	Getting credit (rank)	6
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.84	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	90.00
Procedures (number)	7.8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10
Time (days)	8.4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	87	Protecting minority investors (rank)	62
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.28	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33
Procedures (number)	14.7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8
Time (days)	82.3	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5
Cost (% of warehouse value)	9.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.7	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7
Getting electricity (rank)	92	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	70.99	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4
Procedures (number)	6.8	Paying taxes (rank)	115
Time (days)	100.4	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	67.01
Cost (% of income per capita)	314.3	Payments (number per year)	6
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	240.5
Registering property (rank)	99	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	52.1
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	60.81	Postfiling index (0–100)	40.51
Procedures (number)	7.7		
Time (days)	38.8		
Cost (% of property value)	5.6		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.3		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>MICRONESIA, FED. STS.</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>3,680</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	104,937	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	170	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	58
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	69.56	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	84.00
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
Time (days)	16	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of income per capita)	141.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	36
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	137	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	183	Documentary compliance (US\$)	60
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.05	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	25.00	Border compliance (US\$)	168
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Time to import	
Time (days)	86	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	35
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	56
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	109	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	64.48	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (US\$)	180
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	105				
Cost (% of income per capita)	343.2				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	187				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	0.00				
Procedures (number)	no practice				
Time (days)	no practice				
Cost (% of property value)	no practice				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	0.0				
<b>MOLDOVA</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>2,120</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	44	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	73.00	Population	3,552,000
<b>✓ Starting a business</b> (rank)	23	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	42	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	35
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.76	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.32
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Time to export	
Time (days)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	13.6	Border compliance (hours)	3
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	165	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	33	Documentary compliance (US\$)	44
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	51.98	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Border compliance (US\$)	76
Procedures (number)	28	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	276	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	4
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	80	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	41
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	74.65	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	83
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	87				
Cost (% of income per capita)	721.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	20	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	32	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	62
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	82.60	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.55	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	60.87
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	10	Time (days)	585
Time (days)	5.5	Time (hours per year)	181	Cost (% of claim)	28.6
Cost (% of property value)	1.1	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	40.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.79		
<b>MONGOLIA</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>3,550</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	62	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	69.03	Population	3,027,398
<b>✓ Starting a business</b> (rank)	59	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	20	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	110
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.08	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	66.89
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	168
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	62
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	45.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	23	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	33	Documentary compliance (US\$)	64
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.19	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Border compliance (US\$)	191
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Time (days)	137	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	115
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	48
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	139	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	83
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	55.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	210
Procedures (number)	8				
Time (days)	79				
Cost (% of income per capita)	618.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	62	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	88
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	50	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	77.32	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.48
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.18	Payments (number per year)	19	Time (days)	374
Procedures (number)	5	Time (hours per year)	134	Cost (% of claim)	30.6
Time (days)	10.5	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	24.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
Cost (% of property value)	2.1	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.08		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.5				
<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)		<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)		<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	<b>93</b>
		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	43.54
		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)		Time (years)	4.0
		Extent of director liability index (0–10)		Cost (% of estate)	15.0
		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.0
		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>MONTENEGRO</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>6,970</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	622,781	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	60	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	12	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	44
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.07	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	88.75
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	12	<i>Time to export</i>	5
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	31.6	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	78	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	51	Documentary compliance (US\$)	67
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.30	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	61.67	Border compliance (US\$)	158
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	152	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	10
Cost (% of warehouse value)	10.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	23
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	127	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	59.17	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	306
Procedures (number)	7	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	70	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	42
Time (days)	142	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.67	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	66.75
Cost (% of income per capita)	425.6	Payments (number per year)	18	<i>Time (days)</i>	545
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	300	Cost (% of claim)	25.7
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	76	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	22.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.76	Postfiling index (0–100)	70.49	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	37
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	65	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	68.70
Time (days)	69	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	81.12	<i>Time (years)</i>	1.4
Cost (% of property value)	3.2	<i>Time to export</i>		Cost (% of estate)	8.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	26	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	49.3
<b>MOROCCO</b>		Border compliance (hours)	19	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>2,850</b>	
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	Population	35,276,786	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	35	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	65
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.46	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	81.12
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	25.0	Border compliance (hours)	19
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	17	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	107
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	79.73	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	156
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	88.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	106
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	72	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	116
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.52	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	228
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	25	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	57
Time (days)	49	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	85.72	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	61.85
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,791.6	Payments (number per year)	6	<i>Time (days)</i>	510
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	155	Cost (% of claim)	26.5
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	86	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	49.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	64.35	Postfiling index (0–100)	98.62	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	134
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	65	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	34.03
Time (days)	22	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	81.12	<i>Time (years)</i>	3.5
Cost (% of property value)	6.4	<i>Time to export</i>		Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	26	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.4
<b>MOZAMBIQUE</b>		Border compliance (hours)	19	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>480</b>	
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	Population	28,829,476	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	137	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	159	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	109
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	79.86	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	67.25
Procedures (number)	10	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	19	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	70
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	66
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.4	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	56	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	138	Documentary compliance (US\$)	220
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.80	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Border compliance (US\$)	602
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	118	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	14
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	150	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	171
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	52.54	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	354
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	117	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	184
Time (days)	68	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	66.13	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	27.32
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,817.3	Payments (number per year)	37	<i>Time (days)</i>	950
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	200	Cost (% of claim)	119.0
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	104	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	36.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.27	Postfiling index (0–100)	58.56	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	75
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	65	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	48.20
Time (days)	40	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	81.12	<i>Time (years)</i>	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	5.1	<i>Time to export</i>		Cost (% of estate)	20.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	24	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	31.5
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)		Border compliance (hours)	14	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>MYANMAR</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	1,315	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	52,885,223	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	155	✓ <b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	177	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	163
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	75.42	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	47.67
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	14	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	144
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	142
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	73	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	183	Documentary compliance (US\$)	140
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	70.33	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	25.00	Border compliance (US\$)	432
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	95	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	230
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	151	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	210
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	52.52	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	457
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	77				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,155.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	134				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.30				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	85				
Cost (% of property value)	4.1				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5				
<b>NAMIBIA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	4,620	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	2,479,713	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	172	✓ <b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	68	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	132
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	68.90	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	61.47
Procedures (number)	10	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	66	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	90
Cost (% of income per capita)	11.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	61.0	Border compliance (hours)	120
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	107	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	89	Documentary compliance (US\$)	348
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.10	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	745
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	160	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	6
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	68	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	63
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	78.12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	145
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	37				
Cost (% of income per capita)	343.7				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6				
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	175	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	79	✓ <b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	59
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	38.35	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	74.52	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	61.58
Procedures (number)	8	Payments (number per year)	27	Time (days)	460
Time (days)	52	Time (hours per year)	302	Cost (% of claim)	35.8
Cost (% of property value)	13.8	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	20.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	77.17	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	123
<b>NEPAL</b>		<b>South Asia</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	730	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	28,982,771	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	109	✓ <b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	76
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.04	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	77.17
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	16.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	43
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	1.7	Border compliance (hours)	56
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	157	✓ <b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	110
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	55.74	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	288
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	117	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of warehouse value)	16.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	61
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	133	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	57.95	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	190
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	70	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	146	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	153
Cost (% of income per capita)	993.7	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	58.01	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	45.26
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Payments (number per year)	34	Time (days)	910
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	84	Time (hours per year)	339	Cost (% of claim)	26.8
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	64.82	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	29.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
Procedures (number)	4	Postfiling index (0–100)	33.35	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	76
Time (days)	6			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	48.15
Cost (% of property value)	4.8			Time (years)	2.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5			Cost (% of estate)	9.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.0
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>NETHERLANDS</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>46,310</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	17,018,408	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	20	✓ <b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.28	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	3.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	95.2	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	76	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.33	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	161	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	52	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	81.58	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	20	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	69
Time (days)	110	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	87.59	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.94
Cost (% of income per capita)	29.5	Payments (number per year)	9	Time (days)	514
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	119	Cost (% of claim)	23.9
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	30	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	40.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	80.04	Postfiling index (0–100)	91.95	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	8
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	56	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	84.22
Time (days)	2.5	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	84.63	Time (years)	1.1
Cost (% of property value)	6.1	<i>Time to export</i>		Cost (% of estate)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	28.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	3	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	89.7
<b>NEW ZEALAND</b>		<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	2	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	81.67	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	1
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	1	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	100.00
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	99.96	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	<i>Cost to export</i>	
Procedures (number)	1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	67
Time (days)	0.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	337
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.3	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	3	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	9	Border compliance (hours)	25
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	86.36	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	91.08	<i>Cost to import</i>	
Procedures (number)	11	Payments (number per year)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
Time (days)	93	Time (hours per year)	140	Border compliance (US\$)	367
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.3	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	34.5	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	21
Building quality control index (0–15)	15.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	96.90	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	71.48
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	37	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	32	Time (days)	216
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.97	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	71.85	Cost (% of claim)	27.2
Procedures (number)	5	Time (years)	1.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
Time (days)	58	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	56	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	72.4	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	84.63	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	100.00
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	<i>Time to export</i>		<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	3	<i>Time to import</i>	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	94.47	Border compliance (hours)	37	Documentary compliance (US\$)	67
Procedures (number)	2	<i>Cost to import</i>		Border compliance (US\$)	337
Time (days)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	1	<i>Cost to export</i>	
Cost (% of property value)	0.1	Border compliance (hours)	25	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	2
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.0	<i>Cost to import</i>		Documentary compliance (US\$)	67
<b>NICARAGUA</b>		Documentary compliance (hours)	1	Border compliance (hours)	25
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>2,050</b>	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	138	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	55.39	Population	6,149,928
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	79.61	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	74
Procedures (number)	7	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	78.99
Time (days)	14	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	<i>Time to export</i>	
Cost (% of income per capita)	65.4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	55.9	Border compliance (hours)	60
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	174	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	20.4	<i>Cost to export</i>	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	45.82	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	167	Documentary compliance (US\$)	47
Procedures (number)	18	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (US\$)	150
Time (days)	225	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	<i>Time to import</i>	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.1	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	16
Building quality control index (0–15)	3.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	72
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	100	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	68.33	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	86
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	400
Time (days)	55	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	159	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	87
Cost (% of income per capita)	856.5	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	52.86	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.58
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Payments (number per year)	43	Time (days)	490
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	148	Time (hours per year)	201	Cost (% of claim)	26.8
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	48.85	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	60.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
Procedures (number)	9	Postfiling index (0–100)	52.55	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	102
Time (days)	56	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	74	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	40.89
Cost (% of property value)	5.0	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	78.99	Time (years)	2.2
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.5	<i>Time to export</i>		Cost (% of estate)	14.5

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>NIGER</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	24	Getting credit (rank)	142	Trading across borders (rank)	122
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.65	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	63.61
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	51
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.2	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	11.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.3	Cost to export	
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	164	Protecting minority investors (rank)	146	Documentary compliance (US\$)	39
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	53.70	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	543
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	91	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	156
Cost (% of warehouse value)	13.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	78
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	162	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	282
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	44.86	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	462
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	160	Enforcing contracts (rank)	137
Time (days)	97	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	52.49	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.70
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,632.6	Payments (number per year)	41	Time (days)	430
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	270	Cost (% of claim)	52.6
✓ Registering property (rank)	116	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	47.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.15	Postfiling index (0–100)	38.02	Resolving insolvency (rank)	112
Procedures (number)	4	Trading across borders (rank)	122	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	39.19
Time (days)	35	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	63.61	Time (years)	5.0
Cost (% of property value)	6.5	Time to export	51	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20.6
<b>NIGERIA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	130	Getting credit (rank)	6	Trading across borders (rank)	183
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.80	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	90.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	19.93
Procedures (number)	8.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
Time (days)	18.9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	131.4
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.8	Border compliance (hours)	135.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.1	Cost to export	
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	147	Protecting minority investors (rank)	33	Documentary compliance (US\$)	250
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	58.81	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Border compliance (US\$)	785.7
Procedures (number)	15.1	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	110.3	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	172.7
Cost (% of warehouse value)	18.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	283.7
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.8	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	172	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	564.3
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	34.68	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	1,076.8
Procedures (number)	9.8	Paying taxes (rank)	171	Enforcing contracts (rank)	96
Time (days)	149.4	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	48.44	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	56.32
Cost (% of income per capita)	334.8	Payments (number per year)	59	Time (days)	454
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	360.4	Cost (% of claim)	42.3
✓ Registering property (rank)	179	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	34.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.9
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	34.08	Postfiling index (0–100)	47.48	Resolving insolvency (rank)	145
Procedures (number)	11.3	Trading across borders (rank)	122	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	30.60
Time (days)	68.9	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	63.61	Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	10.5	Time to export	51	Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.4	Documentary compliance (hours)	48	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.8
<b>NORWAY</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	19	Getting credit (rank)	77	Trading across borders (rank)	22
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.30	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	96.97
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	21	Protecting minority investors (rank)	10	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.83	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	Border compliance (US\$)	125
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	110.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	2
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	23	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	87.46	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	10	Border compliance (US\$)	125
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	28	Enforcing contracts (rank)	8
Time (days)	66	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	85.18	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	75.71
Cost (% of income per capita)	11.4	Payments (number per year)	4	Time (days)	400
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	83	Cost (% of claim)	9.9
Registering property (rank)	14	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	37.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	87.26	Postfiling index (0–100)	63.69	Resolving insolvency (rank)	6
Procedures (number)	1	Trading across borders (rank)	122	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	85.94
Time (days)	3	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	63.61	Time (years)	0.9
Cost (% of property value)	2.5	Time to export	51	Cost (% of estate)	1.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	20.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	93.1
Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)		11.5	

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>OMAN</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>15,871</b>
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	4,424,762
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>71</b>			
<b>Starting a business (rank)</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>Getting credit (rank)</b>	<b>133</b>	✓ <b>Trading across borders (rank)</b>
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.85	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
Procedures (number)	4.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export
Time (days)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	26.7	Cost to export
<b>Dealing with construction permits (rank)</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>Protecting minority investors (rank)</b>	<b>124</b>	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.15	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	46.67	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import
Time (days)	172	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import
<b>Getting electricity (rank)</b>	<b>61</b>	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.35	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	6			
Time (days)	62			
Cost (% of income per capita)	77.7			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7			
<b>Registering property (rank)</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>Paying taxes (rank)</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>Enforcing contracts (rank)</b>
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.62	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	90.60	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)
Procedures (number)	2	Payments (number per year)	15	Time (days)
Time (days)	16	Time (hours per year)	68	Cost (% of claim)
Cost (% of property value)	5.0	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	23.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	85.32	
<b>PAKISTAN</b>		<b>South Asia</b>		
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	<b>51.65</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>
				<b>1,510</b>
<b>✓ Starting a business (rank)</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>Getting credit (rank)</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>Population</b>
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	78.61	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	193,203,476
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	
Time (days)	17.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	6.7	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	9.9	
<b>Dealing with construction permits (rank)</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)</b>	<b>20</b>	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.72	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	71.67	
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	
Time (days)	262.1	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	
<b>Getting electricity (rank)</b>	<b>167</b>	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	9	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	42.39	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	
Procedures (number)	5.4			
Time (days)	180.7			
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,663.7			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0			
<b>✓ Registering property (rank)</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>Paying taxes (rank)</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>Enforcing contracts (rank)</b>
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	41.41	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	46.43	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)
Procedures (number)	7.7	Payments (number per year)	47	Time (days)
Time (days)	154.8	Time (hours per year)	311.5	Cost (% of claim)
Cost (% of property value)	4.6	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	33.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.6	Postfiling index (0–100)	10.49	
<b>PALAU</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>		
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	<b>55.58</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>
				<b>12,450</b>
<b>Starting a business (rank)</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>Getting credit (rank)</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>Population</b>
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.96	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	21,503
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	
Time (days)	28	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
<b>Dealing with construction permits (rank)</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>Protecting minority investors (rank)</b>	<b>177</b>	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.38	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	26.67	
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	
Time (days)	72	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	
<b>Getting electricity (rank)</b>	<b>140</b>	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	54.84	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	
Procedures (number)	5			
Time (days)	125			
Cost (% of income per capita)	64.7			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0			
<b>Registering property (rank)</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>✓ Paying taxes (rank)</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>Enforcing contracts (rank)</b>
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	75.16	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.22	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	11	Time (days)
Time (days)	14	Time (hours per year)	52	Cost (% of claim)
Cost (% of property value)	0.2	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	75.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	not applicable	

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business      ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>PANAMA</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	GNI per capita (US\$)
			12,140
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	39	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	29
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.02	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	66.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	88	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	96
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.16	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4
Time (days)	105	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	18	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	89.77	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	35	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	180
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.2	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	39.66
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Payments (number per year)	52
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	83	Time (hours per year)	417
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.17	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	37.2
Procedures (number)	7	Postfiling index (0–100)	12.84
Time (days)	22.5		
Cost (% of property value)	2.4	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	107
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.0	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	39.59
<b>PAPUA NEW GUINEA</b>		Time (years)	2.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Cost (% of estate)	25.0
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	129	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.04	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0
Procedures (number)	6		
Time (days)	41	<b>Latin America &amp; Pacific</b>	2,528
Cost (% of income per capita)	11.5	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	59.04
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Population	8,084,991
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	117	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	42
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.42	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00
Procedures (number)	17	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9
Time (days)	217	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.0
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	107	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	89
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	65.53	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5
Time (days)	66	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	122	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	55.38	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3
Procedures (number)	4		
Time (days)	72	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	91
Cost (% of property value)	5.2	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	71.71
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5	Payments (number per year)	32
<b>PARAGUAY</b>		Time (hours per year)	199
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	39.3
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	146	Postfiling index (0–100)	77.12
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.52		
Procedures (number)	7	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	141
Time (days)	35	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	32.31
Cost (% of income per capita)	39.9	Time (years)	3.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost (% of estate)	23.0
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	72	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	25.2
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	70.52	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
Procedures (number)	14		
Time (days)	121	<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	4,070
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.6	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	59.18
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Population	6,725,308
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	104	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	122
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	67.09	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1
Time (days)	67	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	161.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	44.6
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	25.4
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	75	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	138
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	66.12	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6
Time (days)	46	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5
Cost (% of property value)	1.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3
		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3
		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2
<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	127		
DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	63.73	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	70
Payments (number per year)	20	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.77
Time (hours per year)	378	Time (days)	606
Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	35.0	Cost (% of claim)	30.0
Postfiling index (0–100)	46.56	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	100		
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	41.32		
Time (years)	3.9		
Cost (% of estate)	9.0		
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	21.6		
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.5		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>PERU</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>5,950</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	69.45	Population	31,773,839
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	114	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	20	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	92
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.39	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	71.45
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	<i>Time to export</i>	48
Time (days)	26.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	37.4	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	61	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	51	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.90	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	61.67	Border compliance (US\$)	460
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	188	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	63	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.01	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	583
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	67				
Cost (% of income per capita)	349.6				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	44				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.90				
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	7.5				
Cost (% of property value)	3.3				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.5				
<b>PHILIPPINES</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>3,580</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	58.74	Population	103,320,222
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	173	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	99
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	68.88	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	69.39
Procedures (number)	16	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	28	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	8.0	Border compliance (hours)	42
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	3.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	101	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	146	Documentary compliance (US\$)	53
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.84	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	456
Procedures (number)	23	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	122	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	0	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	31	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.31	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	580
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	37				
Cost (% of income per capita)	25.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	114	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	105	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	149
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.55	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.27	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	45.96
Procedures (number)	9	Payments (number per year)	20	<i>Time (days)</i>	962
Time (days)	35	Time (hours per year)	182	Cost (% of claim)	31.0
Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	42.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	50.00	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	59
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	55.22
<b>POLAND</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>12,680</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	77.30	Population	37,948,016
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	120	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	29	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	82.78	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	37	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	85.7	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	10.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	41	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	51	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.16	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	61.67	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	153	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	54	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	81.35	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	122				
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.6				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	38	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	51	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	55
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.49	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	79.42	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	63.44
Procedures (number)	6	Payments (number per year)	7	<i>Time (days)</i>	685
Time (days)	33	Time (hours per year)	260	Cost (% of claim)	19.4
Cost (% of property value)	0.3	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	40.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	19.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	77.36	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	22
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	77.71

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>PORTUGAL</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	48	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.26	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.8	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	32	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	57	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.52	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Time (days)	113	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	58	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.18	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	7	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	38	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	19
Time (days)	46	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.75	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	71.74
Cost (% of income per capita)	36.0	Payments (number per year)	8	Time (days)	547
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	243	Cost (% of claim)	17.2
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	28	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	39.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	80.26	Postfiling index (0–100)	92.71	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	15
Procedures (number)	1	<b>PUERTO RICO (U.S.)</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	79.67
Time (days)	1	<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		Time (years)	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	7.3	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	68.85	Cost (% of estate)	9.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.0	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	63.8
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	47	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	90.00	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	14.5
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.29	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	64
Procedures (number)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	81.86
Time (days)	5.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	108	Border compliance (hours)	48
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	138	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	60.17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
Procedures (number)	22	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	386
Time (days)	165	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	161
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.3	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	1	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	52.42
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Payments (number per year)	16
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	69	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Time (hours per year)	218
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.94	<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	153	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	63.4
Procedures (number)	5	DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.19	Postfiling index (0–100)	13.76
Time (days)	32	Procedures (number)	8	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	113
Cost (% of income per capita)	228.3	Time (days)	191	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.41
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Cost (% of property value)	1.0	Time (years)	630
<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	9	Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.5	Cost (% of claim)	30.2
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	84.20	<b>QATAR</b>		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
Procedures (number)	8	<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>		<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	9
Time (days)	191	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	64.86	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	84.20
Cost (% of property value)	1.0	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	133	Time (years)	2.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	Cost (% of estate)	11.0
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	89	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	69.4
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.00	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	15.0
Procedures (number)	8.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	90
Time (days)	9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	28.1	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	71.51
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.7	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	177	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	26.67	Documentary compliance (hours)	10
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	25
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	79.16	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	16	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
Time (days)	58	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	382
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	1
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	99.44
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	65	<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	26	Payments (number per year)	4
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	78.60	DTF score for registering property (0–100)	81.06	Time (hours per year)	41
Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	7	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	11.3
Time (days)	90	Time (days)	13	Postfiling index (0–100)	not applicable
Cost (% of income per capita)	11.7	Cost (% of property value)	0.3	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	123
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	52.79
<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	116	<b>QATAR</b>		Time (years)	570
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	38.41	<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>		Cost (% of claim)	21.6
Procedures (number)	7	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	64.86	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	3.5
Time (days)	13	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	133	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	116
Cost (% of property value)	0.3	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	38.41
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time (years)	2.8

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>ROMANIA</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	72.87	Population	9,470 19,705,301
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	64	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	20	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.67	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	12	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	54.8	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	17.3	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	150	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	57	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	58.13	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	24	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	260	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	147	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.34	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	9				
Time (days)	174				
Cost (% of income per capita)	510.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	45				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.70				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	16				
Cost (% of property value)	1.4				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.0				
<b>RUSSIAN FEDERATION</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	75.50	Population	9,720 144,342,396
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	28	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	29	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	100
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.03	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	69.20
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	10.1	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	25.4
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	81.8	Border compliance (hours)	72
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	115	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	51	Documentary compliance (US\$)	92
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.25	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	61.67	Border compliance (US\$)	665
Procedures (number)	14.4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	239.4	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	42.5
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	38.6
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	10	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	152.5
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	92.81	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	587.5
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	83				
Cost (% of income per capita)	41.5				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	12				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	88.72				
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	13				
Cost (% of property value)	0.2				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.0				
<b>RWANDA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	73.40	Population	700 11,917,508
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	78	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	6	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	87
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.66	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	90.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	72.44
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	42
Cost (% of income per capita)	44.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	19.5	Border compliance (hours)	97
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	8.2	<i>Cost to export</i>	
✓ <b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	112	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	16	Documentary compliance (US\$)	110
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.56	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33	Border compliance (US\$)	183
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	113	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of warehouse value)	13.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	86
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	119	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	121
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.69	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	282
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	34				
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,722.6				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	2				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	93.26				
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	7				
Cost (% of property value)	0.1				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	28.0				
<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>		<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	73.40	Population	700 11,917,508
<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	6	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	87	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	57.83
DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	90.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	72.44	<i>Time (years)</i>	2.0
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time (days)	337	Cost (% of estate)	9.0
Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Cost (% of claim)	16.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	40.7
Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	19.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5
Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	8.2				
✓ <b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	16				
DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33				
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7				
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9				
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5				
Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8				
Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8				
Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7				
✓ <b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	31				
DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.60				
Payments (number per year)	8				
Time (hours per year)	94.5				
Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	33.2				
Postfiling index (0–100)	63.68				
✓ <b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	85				
DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.62				
Time (days)	230				
Cost (% of claim)	82.7				
Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	14.0				
✓ <b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	78				
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	47.79				
Time (years)	2.5				
Cost (% of estate)	29.0				
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	19.1				
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.0				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>SAMOA</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>4,100</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	195,125	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	33	✓ <b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	148
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.54	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	57.81
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	<i>Time to export</i>	24
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	51
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	83	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	76	Documentary compliance (US\$)	180
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.68	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Border compliance (US\$)	1,400
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	<i>Time to import</i>	25
Time (days)	58	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	84
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	60	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	230
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.70	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	900
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	64	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	86
Time (days)	34	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	77.04	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.59
Cost (% of income per capita)	615.2	Payments (number per year)	37	<i>Time (days)</i>	455
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Time (hours per year)	224	Cost (% of claim)	24.4
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	66	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	19.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	69.51	Postfiling index (0–100)	91.88	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	137
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	33.38
Time (days)	15	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	62.47	<i>Time (years)</i>	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.8	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	183	Cost (% of estate)	38.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.0	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	5.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	18.5
<b>SAN MARINO</b>		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	112	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.65	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Procedures (number)	8	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	175		
Time (days)	12.5	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	30.00		
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.1	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	30.4	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	68	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.20	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1		
Time (days)	145.5	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	0		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	40		
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	82.32		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	14	Payments (number per year)	18		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	90.63	Time (hours per year)	52		
Procedures (number)	3	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	35.4		
Time (days)	45	Postfiling index (0–100)	67.80		
Cost (% of income per capita)	60.8	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	78		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.25		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	78	<i>Time (days)</i>	575		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.68	Cost (% of claim)	13.9		
Procedures (number)	9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5		
Time (days)	42.5	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	109		
Cost (% of property value)	4.1	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	39.48		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.0	<i>Time (years)</i>	2.3		
<b>SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE</b>		Cost (% of estate)	5.0		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	47.2		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	148	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	4.5		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.33	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>			
Procedures (number)	6	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	44.84	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>1,730</b>
Time (days)	7	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	159	Population	199,910
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.2	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	114
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	192.4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	66.03
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	103	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	<i>Time to export</i>	46
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.49	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	83
Procedures (number)	16	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	11.9	<i>Cost to export</i>	
Time (days)	67	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	187	Documentary compliance (US\$)	194
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.3	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	21.67	Border compliance (US\$)	426
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	<i>Time to import</i>	17
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	115	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	150
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	61.97	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
Time (days)	89	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (US\$)	406
Cost (% of income per capita)	370.8	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	185
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	135	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	27.00
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	171	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	61.81	<i>Time (days)</i>	1,185
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	41.06	Payments (number per year)	46	Cost (% of claim)	50.5
Procedures (number)	8	Time (hours per year)	424	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.5
Time (days)	52	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	37.0	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
Cost (% of property value)	10.2	Postfiling index (0–100)	92.20	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5	<i>Time (years)</i>		<i>Cost (% of estate)</i>	no practice
<i>Note:</i> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>SAUDI ARABIA</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	21,750	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	32,275,687	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	135	Getting credit (rank)	90	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	161
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.04	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	49.59
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	81
Time (days)	18	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	69
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	50.2	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	38	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	10	Documentary compliance (US\$)	105
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.52	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	Border compliance (US\$)	363
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import	122
Time (days)	89.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	228
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	59	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	390
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.88	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	779
Procedures (number)	5	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	76	Enforcing contracts (rank)	83
Time (days)	68	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.78
Cost (% of income per capita)	32.1	Payments (number per year)	3	Time (days)	575
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	47	Cost (% of claim)	27.5
✓ Registering property (rank)	24	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	15.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	81.19	Postfiling index (0–100)	0.0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	168
Procedures (number)	2	Getting credit (rank)	142	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	1.5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Time (years)	no practice
Cost (% of property value)	0.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
SENEGAL		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	2.4	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
<b>SENEGAL</b>		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.6		
		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	950	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	15,411,614	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	63	Getting credit (rank)	142	Trading across borders (rank)	135
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.70	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	60.85
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of income per capita)	33.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	2.4	Border compliance (hours)	61
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.6	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	145	Protecting minority investors (rank)	138	Documentary compliance (US\$)	96
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.11	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Border compliance (US\$)	547
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	177	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of warehouse value)	10.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	53
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	118	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	545
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.76	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	702
Procedures (number)	6	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	178	Enforcing contracts (rank)	142
Time (days)	75	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	40.79	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.15
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,619.6	Payments (number per year)	58	Time (days)	740
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	441	Cost (% of claim)	36.4
✓ Registering property (rank)	121	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	45.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	55.41	Postfiling index (0–100)	42.67	Resolving insolvency (rank)	91
Procedures (number)	5	Getting credit (rank)	142	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	44.12
Time (days)	56	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Time (years)	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	7.8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Cost (% of estate)	20.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	29.7
SERBIA		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
<b>SERBIA</b>		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	5,280	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	7,057,412	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	32	Getting credit (rank)	55	Trading across borders (rank)	23
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.57	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	96.64
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	10	Protecting minority investors (rank)	76	Documentary compliance (US\$)	35
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	82.38	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Border compliance (US\$)	47
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	110	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	4
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	96	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	35
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	69.97	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	52
Procedures (number)	5	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	82	Enforcing contracts (rank)	60
Time (days)	125	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	73.63	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	61.41
Cost (% of income per capita)	223.5	Payments (number per year)	33	Time (days)	635
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	225.5	Cost (% of claim)	40.8
✓ Registering property (rank)	57	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	39.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	72.59	Postfiling index (0–100)	91.09	Resolving insolvency (rank)	48
Procedures (number)	6	Getting credit (rank)	142	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	60.49
Time (days)	21	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	2.8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Cost (% of estate)	20.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.0
		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.5

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>SEYCHELLES</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	15,410	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	94,677	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	141	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	133	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	88
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	78.68	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	71.79
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	44
Time (days)	32	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	82
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	66.9	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	131	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	108	Documentary compliance (US\$)	115
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.90	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)	332
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	33
Time (days)	151	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	97
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	134	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	93
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	57.86	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	341
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	29	Enforcing contracts	130
Time (days)	137	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.72	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	51.25
Cost (% of income per capita)	349.6	Payments (number per year)	29	Time (days)	915
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3	Time (hours per year)	85	Cost (% of claim)	15.4
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	62	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	30.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	70.75	Postfiling index (0–100)	93.42	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	67
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	162	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	52.14
Time (days)	33	Time to export	162	Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	72	Cost (% of estate)	11.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.0	Border compliance (hours)	55	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.8
<b>SIERRA LEONE</b>		<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	81	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.0
<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	160	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6		
		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	83	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.95	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
Time (days)	11	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		
Cost (% of income per capita)	36.2	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	85		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.86		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	182	Payments (number per year)	34		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	38.43	Time (hours per year)	343		
Procedures (number)	17	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	31.0		
Time (days)	182	Postfiling index (0–100)	95.41		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	22.8	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	100		
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.92		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	178	Time (days)	515		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	30.65	Cost (% of claim)	39.5		
Procedures (number)	8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0		
Time (days)	82	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	159		
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,365.7	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	24.72		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (years)	2.3		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	165	Cost (% of estate)	42.0		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	43.27	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	11.1		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0		
Time (days)	56				
Cost (% of property value)	10.8				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.5				
<b>SINGAPORE</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	51,880
<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	84.57	Population	5,607,283
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	2	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	42		
		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	89.57		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	6	<b>Time to export</b>	2		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	96.49	Documentary compliance (hours)	10		
Procedures (number)	3	Border compliance (hours)	37		
Time (days)	2.5	Cost to export	335		
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	37		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)	335		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	16	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	4		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	80.26	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	80.00		
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10		
Time (days)	54	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9		
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	12	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	91.33	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8		
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	7	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	2
Time (days)	30	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	91.58	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	83.61
Cost (% of income per capita)	25.3	Payments (number per year)	5	Time (days)	164
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	64	Cost (% of claim)	25.8
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	19	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	20.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	15.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	83.57	Postfiling index (0–100)	71.97	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	27
Procedures (number)	6	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	42	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	74.31
Time (days)	4.5	Time to export	2	Time (years)	0.8
Cost (% of property value)	2.9	Documentary compliance (hours)	10	Cost (% of estate)	4.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	29.0	Border compliance (hours)	33	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	88.7
		Cost to export	220	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.5

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>SLOVAK REPUBLIC</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>16,810</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	5,428,704
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	83	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	55	Trading across borders (rank)
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.95	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export
Time (days)	12.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	79.4	Border compliance (hours)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	17.2	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	3.2	Cost to export
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	91	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	89	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.82	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import
Time (days)	286	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	57	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.31	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	5			
Time (days)	121			
Cost (% of income per capita)	50.8			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8			
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	7			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	91.00			
Procedures (number)	3			
Time (days)	16.5			
Cost (% of property value)	0.0			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.5			
<b>SLOVENIA</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>21,660</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	2,064,845
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	46	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	Trading across borders (rank)
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.48	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Time to export
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	39.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Cost to export
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	100	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	24	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.01	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import
Time (days)	239.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	19	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	89.16	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	5			
Time (days)	38			
Cost (% of income per capita)	107.1			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8			
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	36			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	77.05			
Procedures (number)	5			
Time (days)	49.5			
Cost (% of property value)	2.0			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.5			
<b>SOLOMON ISLANDS</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>1,880</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	599,419
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	94	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90	Trading across borders (rank)
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.42	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	1.1	Border compliance (hours)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	57	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	108	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.74	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import
Time (days)	98	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	113	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	63.10	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	4			
Time (days)	53			
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,273.7			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0			
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	152			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.37			
Procedures (number)	10			
Time (days)	86.5			
Cost (% of property value)	4.7			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.0			

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>SOMALIA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	187	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	186	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	160
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	45.77	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	51.60
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export	
Time (days)	70	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	73
Cost (% of income per capita)	203.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	44
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	186	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	190	Documentary compliance (US\$)	350
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	0.00	Border compliance (US\$)	495
Procedures (number)	no practice	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Time to import	
Time (days)	no practice	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	76
Cost (% of warehouse value)	no practice	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (hours)	85
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	0	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	187	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	300
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (US\$)	952
Procedures (number)	no practice				
Time (days)	no practice				
Cost (% of income per capita)	no practice				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	150	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	190	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	110
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.83	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.58
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	no practice	Time (days)	575
Time (days)	188	Time (hours per year)	no practice	Cost (% of claim)	21.4
Cost (% of property value)	1.6	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	no practice	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	no practice		
<b>SOUTH AFRICA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	82	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	64.89	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	136	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	68	Time (years)	no practice
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	79.97	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Time (days)	45	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	64.4		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	94	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	24		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.53	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00		
Procedures (number)	20	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8		
Time (days)	149	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	112	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	63.21	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4		
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	46	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	115
Time (days)	84	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.02	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.10
Cost (% of income per capita)	146.6	Payments (number per year)	7	Time (days)	600
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	210	Cost (% of claim)	33.2
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	107	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	28.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	58.43	Postfiling index (0–100)	55.45	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	55
Procedures (number)	7			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	57.59
Time (days)	23			Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	7.6			Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.5			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.4
<b>SOUTH SUDAN</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	187	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	32.86	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	182
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	181	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	177	<b>Population</b>	12,230,730
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	55.68	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	178
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	26.19
Time (days)	13	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	305.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	192
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	146
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	178	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	177	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	42.54	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	26.67	Documentary compliance (US\$)	194
Procedures (number)	23	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	763
Time (days)	124	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	15.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	360
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	179
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	187	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	350
Procedures (number)	no practice			Border compliance (US\$)	781
Time (days)	no practice				
Cost (% of income per capita)	no practice				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	66	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	81
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	181	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.75	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.99
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	31.79	Payments (number per year)	37	Time (days)	228
Procedures (number)	9	Time (hours per year)	210	Cost (% of claim)	30.0
Time (days)	50	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	31.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	3.5
Cost (% of property value)	14.9	Postfiling index (0–100)	95.87	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.0			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>SPAIN</b>	<b>OECD high income</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>27,520</b>	
	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	46,443,959	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	86	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	68	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.65	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	13	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	15.9	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	79.3	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	123	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	24	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.50	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	208	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	42	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.99	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	34	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	26
Time (days)	95	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.44	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	69.97
Cost (% of income per capita)	100.1	Payments (number per year)	9	<i>Time (days)</i>	510
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	152	<i>Cost (% of claim)</i>	17.2
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	53	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	46.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.88	Postfiling index (0–100)	93.60	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	19
Procedures (number)	5	<b>South Asia</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	78.74
Time (days)	12.5	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>3,780</b>	<i>Time (years)</i>	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	6.1	Population	21,203,000	<i>Cost (% of estate)</i>	11.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.5	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	86	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	76.6
<b>SRI LANKA</b>		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	73.29	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.0
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	77	<i>Time to export</i>			
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.74	Documentary compliance (hours)	48		
Procedures (number)	7	Border compliance (hours)	43		
Time (days)	9	<i>Cost to export</i>			
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	58		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)	366		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	76	<i>Time to import</i>			
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.33	Documentary compliance (hours)	48		
Procedures (number)	13	Border compliance (hours)	72		
Time (days)	115	<i>Cost to import</i>			
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	283		
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.5	Border compliance (US\$)	300		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	93	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	165		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	70.98	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	39.31		
Procedures (number)	5	<i>Time (days)</i>	1,318		
Time (days)	100	<i>Cost (% of claim)</i>	22.8		
Cost (% of income per capita)	777.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	88		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	157	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	44.99		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	45.92	<i>Time (years)</i>	1.7		
Procedures (number)	9	<i>Cost (% of estate)</i>	10.0		
Time (days)	51	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	42.9		
Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	2.5				
<b>ST. KITTS AND NEVIS</b>					
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	91	<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>			
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.76	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>15,850</b>		
Procedures (number)	7	Population	54,821		
Time (days)	18.5	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	66		
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.2	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	81.04		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	<i>Time to export</i>			
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	33	Documentary compliance (hours)	24		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.27	Border compliance (hours)	27		
Procedures (number)	11	<i>Cost to export</i>			
Time (days)	105	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Border compliance (US\$)	335		
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	<i>Time to import</i>			
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	94	Documentary compliance (hours)	33		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	70.10	Border compliance (hours)	37		
Procedures (number)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>			
Time (days)	18	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90		
Cost (% of income per capita)	239.2	Border compliance (US\$)	311		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	50		
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	184	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.51		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	28.80	<i>Time (days)</i>	578		
Procedures (number)	6	<i>Cost (% of claim)</i>	26.6		
Time (days)	224	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5		
Cost (% of property value)	11.0	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.0	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>ST. LUCIA</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	69	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	159	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	82
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.79	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	73.87
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	19
Time (days)	11	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	27
Cost (% of income per capita)	21.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	34	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	96	Documentary compliance (US\$)	63
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.21	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	718
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	116	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	14
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	27
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	43	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	98
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.88	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	842
Procedures (number)	6			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
Time (days)	26			<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	127
Cost (% of income per capita)	203.7			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	35.83
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7			Time (years)	2.0
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	105			Cost (% of estate)	9.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.16			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.3
Procedures (number)	9			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	4.0
Time (days)	17				
Cost (% of property value)	7.6				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.5				
<b>ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	85	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	159	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	93
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.82	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	71.08
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	28
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	44	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	96	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	74.42	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	425
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	92	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	48
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	90	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.14	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	875
Procedures (number)	3			<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	53
Time (days)	52			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	63.66
Cost (% of income per capita)	52.5			Time (days)	595
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0			Cost (% of claim)	30.3
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	166			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	43.10			<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
Procedures (number)	7			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	47			Time (years)	
Cost (% of property value)	11.8			Cost (% of estate)	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
<b>SUDAN</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	159	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	173	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	185
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	73.51	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	15.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	19.16
Procedures (number)	10.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Time to export	
Time (days)	36.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	190
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	2.4	Border compliance (hours)	162
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	133	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	186	Documentary compliance (US\$)	428
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.63	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	23.33	Border compliance (US\$)	950
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Time (days)	270	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	132
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	144
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	110	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	420
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	63.26	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	1,093
Procedures (number)	5			<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	146
Time (days)	70			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	46.91
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,311.2			Time (days)	810
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3			Cost (% of claim)	19.8
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	89			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	3.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.62			<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	154
Procedures (number)	6			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	26.39
Time (days)	11			Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	2.6			Cost (% of estate)	20.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	31.6
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	3.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>SURINAME</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>7,070</b>	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	558,368	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	186	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	177	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	80
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	48.27	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	75.02
Procedures (number)	13.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	<i>Time to export</i>	12
Time (days)	84.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	84
Cost (% of income per capita)	97.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	104	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	167	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.40	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (US\$)	468
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	<i>Time to import</i>	24
Time (days)	223	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	131	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	58.55	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	658
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	113				
Cost (% of income per capita)	633.7				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	156				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	45.95				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	46				
Cost (% of property value)	13.7				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.5				
<b>SWAZILAND</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>2,830</b>	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,343,098	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	158	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	32
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	74.35	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.92
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	30	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	44.2	Border compliance (hours)	2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
✗ <b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	102	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	138	Documentary compliance (US\$)	76
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.72	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Border compliance (US\$)	134
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	116	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	3
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	159	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	76
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	47.24	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	134
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	137				
Cost (% of income per capita)	753.7				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	115	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	63	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	169
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.40	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	77.27	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	36.72
Procedures (number)	9	Payments (number per year)	33	<i>Time (days)</i>	956
Time (days)	21	Time (hours per year)	122	Cost (% of claim)	56.1
Cost (% of property value)	7.1	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	35.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	83.15	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	114
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	38.90
<b>SWEDEN</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>54,630</b>	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	9,903,122	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	13	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	18
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.67	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	98.04
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	11.1	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
✗ <b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	27	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	29	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	77.89	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	68.33	Border compliance (US\$)	55
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	117	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	6	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	96.21	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	52				
Cost (% of income per capita)	31.2				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
✓ <b>Registering property</b> (rank)	9	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	27	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	36
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	90.11	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	85.28	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	67.61
Procedures (number)	1	Payments (number per year)	6	<i>Time (days)</i>	483
Time (days)	7	Time (hours per year)	122	Cost (% of claim)	30.4
Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	49.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.75	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	16
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	79.53

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>SWITZERLAND</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>81,240</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	8,372,098	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	73	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	68	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	38
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.38	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	91.79
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	2
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	25.6	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	25.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	62	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	108	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.73	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)	201
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Time to import	2
Time (days)	156	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	7	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	94.41	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	201
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	39				
Cost (% of income per capita)	59.2				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	16				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	86.12				
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	16				
Cost (% of property value)	0.3				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.5				
<b>SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>1,037</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	174	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	41.55	Population	18,430,453
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	133	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	173	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	176
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	15.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	29.83
Procedures (number)	7.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	15.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	84
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	84.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.1	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	186	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	89	Documentary compliance (US\$)	725
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	1,113
Procedures (number)	no practice	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	no practice	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	149
Cost (% of warehouse value)	no practice	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	141
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	153	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	742
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	51.99	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	828
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	146				
Cost (% of income per capita)	247.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	155				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	46.88				
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	48				
Cost (% of property value)	28.0				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5				
<b>TAIWAN, CHINA</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>23,284</b>
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	15	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	80.07	Population	23,539,816
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	16	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	90	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	55
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	84.94
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	98.4	Border compliance (hours)	17
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	4	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	24	Documentary compliance (US\$)	84
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	86.32	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Border compliance (US\$)	335
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import	
Time (days)	93	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	47
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	3	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	65
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	99.45	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	10	Border compliance (US\$)	340
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	22				
Cost (% of income per capita)	38.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	18				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	83.89				
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	4				
Cost (% of property value)	6.2				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	28.5				
<i>Note:</i> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>TAJIKISTAN</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	56.86	Population	1,110 8,734,951
✓ Starting a business (rank)	57	Getting credit (rank)	122	Trading across borders (rank)	149
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.54	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	57.17
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	66
Time (days)	11	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	75
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	39.4	Border compliance (hours)	330
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	313
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	136	Protecting minority investors (rank)	33	Documentary compliance (US\$)	126
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.21	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Border compliance (US\$)	107
Procedures (number)	25	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	260
Time (days)	182	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	223
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	330
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	Cost to import	313
Getting electricity (rank)	171	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	126
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	35.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	107
Procedures (number)	9	Paying taxes (rank)	132	Cost to export	260
Time (days)	133	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	62.27	Documentary compliance (US\$)	223
Cost (% of income per capita)	811.5	Payments (number per year)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	330
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	224	Cost to import	313
✓ Registering property (rank)	90	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	65.2	Documentary compliance (hours)	126
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.50	Postfiling index (0–100)	40.40	Border compliance (US\$)	107
Procedures (number)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	148	Cost to export	260
Time (days)	36	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	28.76	Documentary compliance (US\$)	223
Cost (% of property value)	3.1	Time (years)	1.7	Border compliance (US\$)	330
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	Cost (% of estate)	9.0	Cost to import	313
✗ <b>TANZANIA</b>		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	126
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	3.0	Border compliance (US\$)	107
Starting a business (rank)		Sub-Saharan Africa	54.04	GNI per capita (US\$)	900
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	54.04	Population	55,572,201
Starting a business (rank)	162	Getting credit (rank)	55	Trading across borders (rank)	182
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	73.03	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	20.21
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	96
Time (days)	28	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Cost (% of income per capita)	42.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	6.2	Border compliance (hours)	275
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	1,160
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	156	Protecting minority investors (rank)	129	Documentary compliance (US\$)	240
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	56.43	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Border compliance (US\$)	402
Procedures (number)	24	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Cost to import	375
Time (days)	184	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	1,350
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	275
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to export	1,160
Getting electricity (rank)	82	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	240
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	73.96	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	402
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	154	Cost to import	375
Time (days)	109	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	55.49	Documentary compliance (US\$)	1,350
Cost (% of income per capita)	843.8	Payments (number per year)	60	Border compliance (US\$)	275
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	207	Cost to export	1,160
✗ Registering property (rank)	142	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	44.1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	240
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	50.13	Postfiling index (0–100)	67.17	Border compliance (US\$)	402
Procedures (number)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	108	Cost to import	375
Time (days)	67	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	39.52	Documentary compliance (US\$)	1,350
Cost (% of property value)	5.2	Time (years)	3.0	Border compliance (US\$)	275
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	Cost (% of estate)	22.0	Cost to export	1,160
✗ <b>THAILAND</b>		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	21.2	Documentary compliance (hours)	240
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0	Border compliance (US\$)	402
Starting a business (rank)		East Asia & Pacific	77.44	GNI per capita (US\$)	5,640
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	77.44	Population	68,863,514
✓ Starting a business (rank)	36	Getting credit (rank)	42	Trading across borders (rank)	57
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.34	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	84.10
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	11
Time (days)	4.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	51
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	56.6	Border compliance (hours)	97
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	223
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	43	Protecting minority investors (rank)	16	Documentary compliance (US\$)	223
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	74.58	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33	Border compliance (US\$)	97
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to import	4
Time (days)	104	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	50
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	50
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	43
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	13	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	43
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	90.99	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	233
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	67	Cost to import	43
Time (days)	32	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.73	Documentary compliance (hours)	4
Cost (% of income per capita)	63.1	Payments (number per year)	21	Border compliance (hours)	50
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	262	Cost to export	233
✓ Registering property (rank)	68	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	28.7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	43
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	68.75	Postfiling index (0–100)	73.41	Border compliance (US\$)	233
Procedures (number)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	26	Cost to import	43
Time (days)	7	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	75.64	Documentary compliance (hours)	4
Cost (% of property value)	7.3	Time (years)	1.5	Border compliance (hours)	50
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.0	Cost (% of estate)	18.0	Cost to export	233
✗ <b>Note:</b> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.	Reform making it easier to do business				

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>TIMOR-LESTE</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>1,861</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,268,671	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	151	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	170	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	98
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	76.60	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	20.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	69.90
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export	33
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	268.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	5.6	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	159	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	81	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	55.29	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	Border compliance (US\$)	350
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	44
Time (days)	207	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	100
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	3.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	114	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	115
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	62.96	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	410
Procedures (number)	3	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	139	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	190
Time (days)	93	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.32	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	6.13
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,258.0	Payments (number per year)	18	Time (days)	1,285
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	276	Cost (% of claim)	163.2
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	187	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	11.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	2.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	0.00	Postfiling index (0–100)	1.38	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	168
Procedures (number)	no practice	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	no practice	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>		Time (years)	no practice
Cost (% of property value)	no practice	<b>Population</b>		Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	0.0	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	121	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
<b>TOGO</b>		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	63.66	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	48.88	<b>Time to export</b>	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	121	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	142	Documentary compliance (hours)	11
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	82.51	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Border compliance (hours)	67
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Cost to export	
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	25
Cost (% of income per capita)	66.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)	163
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	31.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.6	Time to import	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	173	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	146	Documentary compliance (hours)	180
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	47.24	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (hours)	168
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Time (days)	163	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	252
Cost (% of warehouse value)	13.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	612
Building quality control index (0–15)	3.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	143
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	142	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.10
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	54.30	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Time (days)	488
Procedures (number)	3	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	173	Cost (% of claim)	47.5
Time (days)	66	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	44.99	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,017.6	Payments (number per year)	49	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	81
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	216	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	46.41
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	182	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	48.5	Time (years)	3.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	31.57	Postfiling index (0–100)	14.85	Cost (% of estate)	15.0
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.0
Time (days)	283	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
Cost (% of property value)	9.1	<b>Population</b>			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.0	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	121		
<b>TONGA</b>		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	63.66		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	63.43		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	53	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	42		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.81	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00		
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10		
Time (days)	16	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4		
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	17.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	13	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	138		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	80.86	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67		
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
Time (days)	77	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9		
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	2		
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	74	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.28	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		
Procedures (number)	5	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	98	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	94
Time (days)	42	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	70.56	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	57.32
Cost (% of income per capita)	89.9	Payments (number per year)	30	Time (days)	350
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Time (hours per year)	200	Cost (% of claim)	30.5
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	160	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	27.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	44.64	Postfiling index (0–100)	52.53	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	136
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	33.97
Time (days)	112	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>		Time (years)	2.7
Cost (% of property value)	15.1	<b>Population</b>		Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.0	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	103	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.3
		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	68.20	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>15,680</b>	
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,364,962	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	71	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	55	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	126
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.57	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	62.60
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	<i>Time to export</i>	32
Time (days)	10.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	60
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	75.5	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	119	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	250
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.19	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	499
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	<i>Time to import</i>	44
Time (days)	253	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	78
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	33	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	250
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.25	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	635
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	162	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	173
Time (days)	61	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	52.22	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	35.62
Cost (% of income per capita)	212.4	Payments (number per year)	39	<i>Time (days)</i>	1,340
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	210	Cost (% of claim)	33.5
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	151	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	36.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.50	Postfiling index (0–100)	8.00	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	72
Procedures (number)	9	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	48.48
Time (days)	77	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	<i>Time (years)</i>	2.5
Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Cost (% of estate)	25.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	26.2
<b>TUNISIA</b>		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0
<b>TUNISIA</b>		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	26.9	<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	<b>3,690</b>
		<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	119	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>3,690</b>
		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33	Population	11,403,248
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	100	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	96
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.02	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	70.50
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	11	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	50
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	26.9	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	95	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	119	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.49	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33	Border compliance (US\$)	469
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	96	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	27
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	80
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	48	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	144
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.28	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	596
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	140	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	76
Time (days)	65	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.14	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.33
Cost (% of income per capita)	712.1	Payments (number per year)	9	<i>Time (days)</i>	565
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	145	Cost (% of claim)	21.8
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	93	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	64.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.21	Postfiling index (0–100)	22.91	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	63
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	54.53
Time (days)	39	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	<i>Time (years)</i>	1.3
Cost (% of property value)	6.1	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Cost (% of estate)	7.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	52.0
<b>TURKEY</b>		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.5
<b>TURKEY</b>		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	80.2	<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>	<b>11,180</b>
		<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	20	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>11,180</b>
		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	71.67	Population	79,512,426
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	80	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	71
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.59	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	79.71
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	16
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	80.2	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	96	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	20	Documentary compliance (US\$)	87
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.26	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	71.67	Border compliance (US\$)	376
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	103	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	11
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	41
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	55	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	142
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	81.02	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	655
Procedures (number)	4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	88	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	30
Time (days)	55	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.40	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	68.87
Cost (% of income per capita)	457.7	Payments (number per year)	11	<i>Time (days)</i>	580
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	215.5	Cost (% of claim)	24.9
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	46	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	41.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.67	Postfiling index (0–100)	50.00	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	139
Procedures (number)	7	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	77	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	33.26
Time (days)	7	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	<i>Time (years)</i>	5.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15.3
<i>Note:</i> Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business      ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>UGANDA</b>		<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	GNI per capita (US\$)
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)		165	660
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	72.25	56.94	Population
Procedures (number)	13		41,487,965
Time (days)	24		
Cost (% of income per capita)	33.6		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0		
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)		148	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	58.37	65.00	✓ <b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)
Procedures (number)	18	55	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
Time (days)	122	65.00	Time to export
Cost (% of warehouse value)	8.0	6	Documentary compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	7	Border compliance (hours)
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)		173	127
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	34.11	108	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
Procedures (number)	6	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	
Time (days)	66	50.00	Time to import
Cost (% of income per capita)	7,508.4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	3	Documentary compliance (hours)
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)		124	138
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	54.99	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Procedures (number)	10	5	Border compliance (hours)
Time (days)	42	7	Cost to import
Cost (% of property value)	3.1	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5	5	296
		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	Border compliance (US\$)
		6	412
<b>UKRAINE</b>			
<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	GNI per capita (US\$)
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		65.75	2,310
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)		29	Population
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.05	75.00	45,004,645
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	
Time (days)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)		35	✓ <b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.81	81	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)
Procedures (number)	10	55.00	Time to import
Time (days)	76	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.1	7	Documentary compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)		128	Border compliance (hours)
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	58.80	6	Cost to import
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Time (days)	281	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)
Cost (% of income per capita)	525.2	5	212
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)		64	Border compliance (US\$)
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	69.61	8	100
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	
Time (days)	17		
Cost (% of property value)	1.8		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.5		
<b>UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</b>			
<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	GNI per capita (US\$)
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		78.73	40,480
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)		90	Population
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.16	50.00	9,269,612
Procedures (number)	4.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	
Time (days)	8.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)		2	✓ <b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	86.38	10	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)
Procedures (number)	14	75.00	Time to import
Time (days)	50.5	10	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.3	9	Border compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	15.0	4	Cost to import
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)		1	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	99.92	6	283
Procedures (number)	2	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	
Time (days)	10	6	Border compliance (US\$)
Cost (% of income per capita)	25.2	9	678
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)		10	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	90.02	7	
Procedures (number)	2		
Time (days)	1.5		
Cost (% of property value)	0.2		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.0		
		Postfiling index (0–100)	not applicable
<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)		69	
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	49.80		
Time (years)	3.2		
Cost (% of estate)	20.0		
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.7		
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business    ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

<b>UNITED KINGDOM</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>42,390</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	65,637,239	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	14	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	29	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	28
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.58	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	93.76
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	<i>Time to export</i>	4
Time (days)	4.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	14	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	10	Documentary compliance (US\$)	25
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	80.39	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	Border compliance (US\$)	280
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	<i>Time to import</i>	2
Time (days)	86	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	9	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	93.29	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	79				
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	47				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.51				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	21.5				
Cost (% of property value)	4.8				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5				
<b>UNITED STATES</b>		<b>OECD high income</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>56,180</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	323,127,513	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	49	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	2	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	36
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.23	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	95.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.01
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	5.6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	1.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	1.5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	36	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	42	Documentary compliance (US\$)	60
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.77	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	64.67	Border compliance (US\$)	175
Procedures (number)	15.8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7.4	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	80.6	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8.6	Documentary compliance (hours)	7.5
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	1.5
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	49	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4.4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.14	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5.4	Border compliance (US\$)	175
Procedures (number)	4.8				
Time (days)	89.6				
Cost (% of income per capita)	23.7				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7.2				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	37	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	36	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	16
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.80	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.13	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	72.61
Procedures (number)	4.4	Payments (number per year)	10.6	<i>Time (days)</i>	420
Time (days)	15.2	Time (hours per year)	175	Cost (% of claim)	30.5
Cost (% of property value)	2.5	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	43.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.8
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.6	Postfiling index (0–100)	94.04	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	3
<b>URUGUAY</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	<b>15,230</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	3,444,006	
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	61	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	68	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	151
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.80	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	56.29
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	120
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	100.0	<i>Cost to export</i>	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	161	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	132	Documentary compliance (US\$)	231
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.90	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	Border compliance (US\$)	1,095
Procedures (number)	21	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	251	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	6
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	<i>Cost to import</i>	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	50	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	285
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	375
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	48				
Cost (% of income per capita)	11.4	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	106	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	112
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.26	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.44
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	112	Payments (number per year)	20	<i>Time (days)</i>	725
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.59	Time (hours per year)	190	Cost (% of claim)	23.2
Procedures (number)	9	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	41.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
Time (days)	66	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	<b>Resolving insolvency</b> (rank)	66
Cost (% of property value)	7.0			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	52.15
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.5			<i>Time (years)</i>	1.8

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
<b>UZBEKISTAN</b>		<b>Europe &amp; Central Asia</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	66.33	Population	2,220
✓ Starting a business (rank)	11	Getting credit (rank)	55	Trading across borders (rank)	168
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.54	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	44.31
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	174
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	40.1	Border compliance (hours)	112
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	135	Protecting minority investors (rank)	62	Documentary compliance (US\$)	292
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.26	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	278
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	246	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	174
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	111
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	27	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	292
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	85.50	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	278
Procedures (number)	4			Enforcing contracts (rank)	39
Time (days)	88			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	67.26
Cost (% of income per capita)	883.1			Time (days)	225
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8			Cost (% of claim)	20.5
Registering property (rank)	73			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	66.34			Resolving insolvency (rank)	87
Procedures (number)	9			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	45.00
Time (days)	46			Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	1.2			Cost (% of estate)	10.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.5			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	37.2
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0
<b>VANUATU</b>		<b>East Asia &amp; Pacific</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	63.08	Population	2,815
Starting a business (rank)	128	Getting credit (rank)	29	Trading across borders (rank)	143
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.23	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	59.13
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	Time to export	
Time (days)	18	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	44.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	9.4	Border compliance (hours)	38
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	151	Protecting minority investors (rank)	108	Documentary compliance (US\$)	190
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	57.58	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)	709
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	124	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	126
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	86	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	183
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	72.01	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	681
Procedures (number)	4			Enforcing contracts (rank)	135
Time (days)	120			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	49.27
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,090.4			Time (days)	430
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5			Cost (% of claim)	56.0
Registering property (rank)	80			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.63			Resolving insolvency (rank)	96
Procedures (number)	4			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	43.04
Time (days)	58			Time (years)	2.6
Cost (% of property value)	7.0			Cost (% of estate)	38.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.5			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	45.1
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
<b>VENEZUELA, RB</b>		<b>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	30.87	Population	9,258
✓ Starting a business (rank)	190	Getting credit (rank)	122	Trading across borders (rank)	187
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	7.93
Procedures (number)	20	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	230	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	528
Cost (% of income per capita)	351.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	27.4	Border compliance (hours)	288
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	143	Protecting minority investors (rank)	177	Documentary compliance (US\$)	375
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.27	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	26.67	Border compliance (US\$)	1,250
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Time (days)	434	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	1,090
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	240
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	2	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	186	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	400
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	16.85	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	1,500
Procedures (number)	6			Enforcing contracts (rank)	147
Time (days)	208			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	46.89
Cost (% of income per capita)	16,713.5			Time (days)	720
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0			Cost (% of claim)	43.7
Registering property (rank)	135			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.29			Resolving insolvency (rank)	165
Procedures (number)	9			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	18.66
Time (days)	52			Time (years)	4.0
Cost (% of property value)	2.7			Cost (% of estate)	38.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5.6
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business
<b>VIETNAM</b>	<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
		<b>Population</b>	<b>2,050</b>
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	123	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	29
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	82.02	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8
Time (days)	22	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	19.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	51.0
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	20	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	81
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	79.03	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7
Time (days)	166	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	64	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	78.69	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	46	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	86
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,191.8	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.77
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Payments (number per year)	14
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	63	Time (hours per year)	498
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	70.61	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	38.1
Procedures (number)	5	Postfiling index (0–100)	95.71
Time (days)	57.5		
Cost (% of property value)	0.6		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0		
<b>WEST BANK AND GAZA</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
		<b>Population</b>	<b>3,230</b>
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	169	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	20
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	69.59	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00
Procedures (number)	10.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8
Time (days)	43.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	45.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	19.0
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	154	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	160
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	56.70	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	38.33
Procedures (number)	20	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6
Time (days)	108	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5
Cost (% of warehouse value)	13.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	2
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	87	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.46	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3
Procedures (number)	5		
Time (days)	47	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	109
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,475.3	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	68.84
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Payments (number per year)	28
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	94	Time (hours per year)	162
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	62.71	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	15.3
Procedures (number)	7	Postfiling index (0–100)	34.47
Time (days)	51		
Cost (% of property value)	3.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.5		
<b>YEMEN, REP.</b>		<b>Middle East &amp; North Africa</b>	
<b>Ease of doing business rank (1–190)</b>	<b>Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)</b>	<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b>	
		<b>Population</b>	<b>1,040</b>
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	163	<b>Getting credit</b> (rank)	186
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	72.68	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00
Procedures (number)	6.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0
Time (days)	40.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0
Cost (% of income per capita)	73.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.3
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	186	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	132
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33
Procedures (number)	no practice	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6
Time (days)	no practice	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	no practice	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	187	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4
Procedures (number)	no practice		
Time (days)	no practice	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	80
Cost (% of income per capita)	no practice	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	74.13
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Payments (number per year)	44
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	82	Time (hours per year)	248
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.21	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	26.6
Procedures (number)	6	Postfiling index (0–100)	96.34
Time (days)	19		
Cost (% of property value)	1.8		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business		
<b>ZAMBIA</b>	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b> Population	1,300 16,591,390
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	64.50		
<b>Starting a business</b> (rank)	101	<b>✓ Getting credit</b> (rank)	2	<b>✓ Trading across borders</b> (rank)	150
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.89	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	95.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	56.88
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	Time to export	96
Time (days)	8.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	120
Cost (% of income per capita)	34.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	8.7	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	69	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	89	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.04	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	370
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	189	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	120
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	155	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	175
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	49.92	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	380
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	117				
Cost (% of income per capita)	588.5				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	149				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	48.69				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	45				
Cost (% of property value)	9.9				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0				
<b>ZIMBABWE</b>	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	<b>Sub-Saharan Africa</b>		<b>GNI per capita (US\$)</b> Population	940 16,150,362
		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	48.47		
<b>✓ Starting a business</b> (rank)	180	<b>✗ Getting credit</b> (rank)	105	<b>Trading across borders</b> (rank)	153
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	59.28	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	55.47
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	61	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	99
Cost (% of income per capita)	110.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	32.0	Border compliance (hours)	74
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	3.2	Cost to export	
<b>Dealing with construction permits</b> (rank)	175	<b>Protecting minority investors</b> (rank)	89	Documentary compliance (US\$)	170
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	44.73	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	285
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	238	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	81
Cost (% of warehouse value)	22.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	228
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
<b>Getting electricity</b> (rank)	161	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	44.90	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	562
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	106				
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,602.6				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
<b>Registering property</b> (rank)	108	<b>Paying taxes</b> (rank)	143	<b>Enforcing contracts</b> (rank)	166
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	58.21	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	58.83	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	38.73
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	51	Time (days)	410
Time (days)	36	Time (hours per year)	242	Cost (% of claim)	83.1
Cost (% of property value)	7.6	Total tax and contribution rate (% of profit)	31.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	52.84		
<p>Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.</p>					



# Labor Market Regulation

Regulation of the labor market is an important aspect of the business environment that can influence employment dynamics and productivity.<sup>1</sup> Labor market imperfections can negatively affect job quality and job creation.<sup>2</sup> Regulation can mitigate market failures and contribute to a more productive allocation of labor resources within an economy. While reforms toward more flexible labor regulation could increase employment,<sup>3</sup> labor under-regulation can also have negative impacts by, for example, creating an unsafe workplace or undermining worker quality of life. By setting the right incentives and deterrents for both employers and employees, labor regulation could contribute to labor mobility and productivity growth.<sup>4</sup>

The challenge in developing labor policies is to avoid the extremes of both over- and under-regulation by balancing labor flexibility with worker protection. More flexible regulation may allow an economy to better adjust to economic shocks, variations in the business cycle and long-term structural shifts, such as technological and demographic changes. Data collected for *Doing Business 2018* show that 64.7% of economies allow the use of fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks and 78.9% do not have restrictions on night work. In 21.4% of economies the law requires the employer to reassign or retrain a worker before making that worker redundant.

On the other hand, a lack of worker protection can lead to lower standards of living and—with unhealthy and unmotivated

employees—poor firm productivity. *Doing Business 2018* data show that 68.9% of economies provide at least five fully-paid days of sick leave annually. The under-regulation of the labor market may disproportionately affect specific groups. For female employees, discrimination in access to employment and persistent gaps in income may discourage them from entering the labor market or participating in it to their full potential. *Doing Business 2018* data show that 48% of economies prohibit gender-based discrimination in hiring and 40.5% mandate equal remuneration for work of equal value.

In recent years *Doing Business* has developed a more nuanced approach to its labor market indicators by expanding its methodological scope, going beyond the areas traditionally measured by the report. Historically, *Doing Business* measured flexibility in the regulation of employment as it relates to the hiring and redundancy of employees and the scheduling of working hours. However, several new components were added since *Doing Business 2016*, including the availability of at least five fully-paid days of sick leave a year, length of paid maternity leave, unemployment protection, gender nondiscrimination in hiring and equal remuneration for work of equal value. This methodological expansion stemmed from a collaborative dialogue with interested stakeholders and the International Labor Organization. The changes implemented were aimed at providing a more comprehensive measure by setting

the right balance in labor market regulation. The *Doing Business* labor market indicators serve as an essential resource for academics, journalists, private sector researchers and others interested in labor market regulation.

---

## NOTES

1. Martin and Scarpetta 2012.
2. World Bank 2012.
3. Amin 2007.
4. Martin and Scarpetta 2012.

## LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring					Working hours							
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) <sup>a</sup>	Minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience (US\$/month) <sup>b</sup>	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum length of probationary period (months) <sup>c</sup>	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Whether non-pregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Paid annual leave (working days) <sup>d</sup>
Afghanistan	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.0	15.0	50.0	25.0	Yes	No	No	Yes 20.0
Albania	Yes	No limit	182.3	0.4	3.0	5.5	50.0	25.0	25.0	Yes	Yes	No	No 20.0
Algeria	Yes	No limit	187.1	0.3	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	Yes	No	No	22.0
Angola	No	120.0	148.4	0.3	3.0	6.0	10.0	75.0	20.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	No 22.0
Antigua and Barbuda	No	No limit	604.9	0.4	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	12.0
Argentina	Yes	60.0	936.7	0.6	3.0	5.5	13.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	18.0
Armenia	Yes	No limit	117.0	0.3	3.0	6.0	30.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Australia	No	No limit	2,068.3	0.3	6.0	6.0	25.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Austria	No	No limit	1,590.5	0.3	1.0	5.5	67.0	100.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	No	25.0
Azerbaijan	No	60.0	95.5	0.2	3.0	6.0	40.0	100.0	100.0	Yes	No	No	17.0
Bahamas, The	No	No limit	867.5	0.3	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	11.7
Bahrain	No	60.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	No	Yes	No	30.0
Bangladesh (Chittagong)	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	3.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	100.0	No	Yes	No	17.0
Bangladesh (Dhaka)	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	3.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	100.0	No	Yes	No	17.0
Barbados	No	No limit	518.2	0.3	n.a.	5.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	20.3
Belarus	No	No limit	156.9	0.2	3.0	6.0	20.0	100.0	100.0	No	Yes	No	18.0
Belgium	No	No limit	2,280.1	0.4	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	No 20.0
Belize	No	No limit	332.0	0.6	6.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	12.0
Benin	No	48.0	70.2	0.6	2.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	No	Yes	No	24.0
Bhutan	No	No limit	54.1	0.2	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	15.0
Bolivia <sup>h</sup>	Yes	24.0	291.7	0.7	3.0	6.0	25.0	100.0	100.0	No	No	No	21.7
Bosnia and Herzegovina	No	36.0	232.5	0.4	6.0	6.0	25.0	15.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Botswana	No	No limit	84.5	0.1	3.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	15.0
Brazil (Rio de Janeiro)	Yes	24.0	382.0	0.4	3.0	6.0	20.0	0.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	No	26.0
Brazil (São Paulo)	Yes	24.0	348.9	0.3	3.0	6.0	20.0	0.0	60.0	Yes	Yes	No	26.0
Brunei Darussalam	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	n.a.	6.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	11.7
Bulgaria	No	36.0	266.8	0.3	6.0	6.0	7.6	0.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	No	20.0
Burkina Faso	No	No limit	90.8	0.9	2.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	No	Yes	Yes	22.0
Burundi	No	No limit	2.4	0.1	6.0	6.0	35.0	0.0	35.0	No	Yes	No	21.0
Cabo Verde	Yes	60.0	115.3	0.3	2.0	6.0	25.0	100.0	35.0	No	Yes	No	22.0
Cambodia	No	24.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	6.0	30.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	19.3
Cameroon	No	48.0	72.2	0.4	2.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	No	Yes	No	25.0

Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Redundancy rules						Redundancy cost	Job quality									
	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment? <sup>e</sup>	Priority rules for redundancies?		Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>cl</sup>	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>cl</sup>	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?	Gender non-discrimination in hiring?	Paid/unpaid maternity leave mandated by law? <sup>cl</sup>	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) <sup>cl</sup>	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?	Availability of five fully paid days of sick leave?	Whether an unemployment protection scheme exists after one year of employment <sup>f</sup>	Minimum duration of contribution period (in months) for unemployment protection
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.3	17.3	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10.1	10.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	365	No	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	4.3	13.0	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	No	No	36.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.3	13.6	Yes	No	Yes	90	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	3.4	12.8	No	Yes	Yes	91	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	7.2	23.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	8.7	4.3	No	No	Yes	140	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	3.3	8.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	No	Yes	Yes	0.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	2.0	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	112	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	8.7	13.0	No	Yes	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.5
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	2.0	10.7	No	Yes	Yes	91	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	4.3	60.7	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	26.7	No	No	Yes	112	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	26.7	No	No	Yes	112	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	3.7	12.5	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	13.0	Yes	No	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	19.7	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	105	No	Yes	No	14.4
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.7	8.3	No	No	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	7.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	8.3	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	Yes	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	2.0	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	365	No	Yes	Yes	8.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	3.8	16.8	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	6.6	8.9	No	Yes	Yes	120	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	6.6	8.9	No	Yes	Yes	120	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.0	0.0	No	No	Yes	91	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	4.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	410	No	Yes	Yes	9.0
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	6.1	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	7.2	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	2.1	15.2	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	7.9	11.4	No	Yes	Yes	90	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	11.6	8.3	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.

## LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring					Working hours							
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) <sup>a</sup>	Minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience (US\$/month) <sup>b</sup>	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum length of probationary period (months) <sup>c</sup>	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Whether non-pregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Paid annual leave (working days) <sup>d</sup>
Canada	No	No limit	1,565.7	0.3	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	Yes 10.0
Central African Republic	Yes	24.0	77.1	1.4	2.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	..	No	Yes	Yes	No 25.3
Chad	No	48.0	111.4	0.9	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	Yes	No	No	24.7
Chile	No	12.0	393.9	0.2	n.a.	6.0	0.0	30.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	15.0
China (Beijing)	No	No limit	290.1	0.3	6.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	6.7
China (Shanghai)	No	No limit	353.0	0.4	6.0	6.0	34.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	6.7
Colombia	No	No limit	267.5	0.3	2.0	6.0	35.0	75.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	15.0
Comoros	No	36.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	28.3	0.0	25.0	No	Yes	Yes	22.0
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Yes	48.0	65.0	1.0	1.0	6.0	25.0	0.0	37.5	Yes	Yes	No	13.0
Congo, Rep.	Yes	24.0	198.6	0.7	4.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	13.7	No	Yes	Yes	29.7
Costa Rica	Yes	12.0	588.3	0.4	3.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	Yes	No	No	12.0
Côte d'Ivoire	No	24.0	103.7	0.4	2.0	6.0	37.5	0.0	23.8	No	Yes	No	27.4
Croatia	Yes	No limit	497.1	0.3	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	No 20.0
Cyprus	No	30.0	1,076.2	0.4	24.0	5.5	0.0	100.0	100.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Czech Republic	No	108.0	559.6	0.3	3.0	6.0	10.0	10.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Denmark	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	25.0
Djibouti	Yes	24.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	30.0
Dominica	No	No limit	344.9	0.4	6.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	13.3
Dominican Republic	Yes	No limit	334.7	0.4	3.0	5.5	0.0	100.0	35.0	No	Yes	Yes	No 16.7
Ecuador	Yes	No limit	434.8	0.6	3.0	5.0	25.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	12.0
Egypt, Arab Rep.	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	35.0	No	Yes	No	24.0
El Salvador	Yes	No limit	252.7	0.5	1.0	6.0	25.0	100.0	125.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	No 11.0
Equatorial Guinea	Yes	24.0	745.9	0.8	1.0	6.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	No	Yes	Yes	No 22.0
Eritrea	Yes	No limit	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	19.0
Estonia	Yes	120.0	533.2	0.2	4.0	5.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	No	24.0
Ethiopia	Yes	No limit	0.0	0.0	1.5	6.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	18.3
Fiji	No	No limit	296.3	0.5	3.0	6.0	4.3	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	10.0
Finland	Yes	60.0	2,026.1	0.3	6.0	6.0	15.7	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	30.0
France	Yes	18.0	1,765.1	0.3	2.0	6.0	7.5	20.0	25.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	No 30.3
Gabon	No	48.0	275.0	0.3	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	No	Yes	No	24.0
Gambia, The	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	12.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	0.0
Georgia	No	30.0	17.7	0.0	6.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	24.0

Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Redundancy rules						Redundancy cost	Job quality								
	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment? <sup>e</sup>	Priority rules for redundancies?		Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?	Gender non-discrimination in hiring?	Paid/unpaid maternity leave mandated by law? <sup>f</sup>	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) <sup>g</sup>	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?	Availability of five fully paid days of sick leave?	Whether an unemployment protection scheme exists after one year of employment <sup>h</sup>
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	5.0	5.0	Yes	No	Yes	105	No	No	Yes	3.2
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4.3	17.3	No	No	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	7.2	5.8	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	4.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	126	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	23.1	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	23.1	No	Yes	Yes	128	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	16.7	No	No	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	8.7	5.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	..	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	10.3	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	98	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	8.7	6.9	No	No	Yes	105	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	14.4	No	No	Yes	120	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	5.8	7.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	7.9	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	208	Yes	Yes	Yes	9.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5.7	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	No	No	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	8.7	11.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	196	No	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	No	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.3	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10.1	9.3	No	No	Yes	84	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.0	22.2	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	0.0	31.8	Yes	No	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	10.1	26.7	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	No	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	22.9	No	No	Yes	112	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4.3	34.3	Yes	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.1	12.3	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	8.6	4.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	140	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	10.5	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	4.3	5.3	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	10.1	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	105	No	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7.2	4.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	112	Yes	No	Yes	4.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	14.4	4.3	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	26.0	26.0	No	No	Yes	180	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	4.3	No	No	Yes	183	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.

## LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring					Working hours							
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) <sup>a</sup>	Minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience (US\$/month) <sup>b</sup>	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum length of probationary period (months) <sup>c</sup>	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Whether non-pregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Paid annual leave (working days) <sup>d</sup>
Germany	No	No limit	1,736.1	0.3	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	24.0
Ghana	No	No limit	45.4	0.2	n.a.	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	15.0
Greece	Yes	No limit	687.5	0.3	12.0	6.0	25.0	75.0	27.5	No	Yes	Yes	22.3
Grenada	Yes	No limit	250.4	0.2	1.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	13.3
Guatemala	Yes	No limit	411.2	0.7	2.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	15.0
Guinea	No	24.0	50.0	0.7	1.0	6.0	20.0	0.0	30.0	No	Yes	Yes	30.0
Guinea-Bissau	Yes	12.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	6.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	No	No	No	21.0
Guyana	No	No limit	202.9	0.4	n.a.	5.5	0.0	0.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Haiti	No	No limit	154.1	1.5	0.0	6.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	13.0
Honduras	Yes	24.0	460.4	1.6	2.0	6.0	25.0	100.0	37.5	Yes	Yes	No	16.7
Hong Kong SAR, China	No	No limit	885.3	0.2	1.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	10.3
Hungary	No	60.0	465.9	0.3	3.0	5.0	15.0	50.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	21.3
Iceland	No	24.0	2,079.3	0.3	3.0	6.0	0.9	0.8	1.4	No	Yes	No	24.0
India (Delhi)	No	No limit	217.6	1.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	Yes	No	Yes	15.0
India (Mumbai)	No	No limit	134.1	0.6	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	Yes	No	Yes	21.0
Indonesia (Jakarta)	Yes	36.0	248.9	0.6	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	No	Yes	No	12.0
Indonesia (Surabaya)	Yes	36.0	244.5	0.6	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	No	Yes	No	12.0
Iran, Islamic Rep.	No	No limit	300.8	0.5	1.0	6.0	35.0	40.0	40.0	No	Yes	No	24.0
Iraq	Yes	12.0	120.4	0.1	3.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	Yes	No	No	23.0
Ireland	No	No limit	1,832.8	0.3	12.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Israel	No	No limit	1,280.4	0.3	n.a.	5.5	0.0	50.0	25.0	No	Yes	Yes	18.0
Italy	No	36.0	1,973.7	0.5	2.0	6.0	15.0	30.0	15.0	No	Yes	No	26.0
Jamaica	No	No limit	213.7	0.4	3.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	11.7
Japan (Osaka)	No	No limit	1,329.5	0.3	n.a.	6.0	25.0	35.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	15.3
Japan (Tokyo)	No	No limit	1,403.3	0.3	n.a.	6.0	25.0	35.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	15.3
Jordan	No	No limit	299.4	0.6	3.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	Yes	No	No	18.7
Kazakhstan	No	No limit	91.4	0.1	3.0	6.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	18.0
Kenya	No	No limit	233.2	1.1	12.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	21.0
Kiribati	No	No limit	173.7	0.5	n.a.	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Yes	Yes	No	30.0
Korea, Rep.	No	24.0	967.7	0.3	3.0	6.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	17.0
Kosovo	No	No limit	150.0	0.3	6.0	6.0	30.0	50.0	30.0	No	Yes	No	21.0
Kuwait	No	No limit	198.6	0.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	No	No	Yes	30.0

	Redundancy rules							Redundancy cost	Job quality									
	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment? <sup>e</sup>	Priority rules for redundancies?		Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?	Gender non-discrimination in hiring?	Paid/unpaid maternity leave mandated by law? <sup>f</sup>	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) <sup>g</sup>	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?	Availability of five fully paid days of sick leave?	Whether an unemployment protection scheme exists after one year of employment <sup>h</sup>	Minimum duration of contribution period (in months) for unemployment protection
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	10.0	11.6	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	3.6	46.2	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	0.0	15.9	Yes	Yes	Yes	119	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	7.2	5.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	27.0	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	5.8	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	0.0	26.0	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	7.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	91	No	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	10.1	0.0	No	No	Yes	42	Yes	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	7.2	23.1	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	1.4	No	Yes	Yes	70	No	No	Yes	Yes	0.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	6.2	7.2	No	Yes	Yes	168	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	13.0	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	90	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	3.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	11.4	No	Yes	Yes	182	Yes	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	11.4	No	Yes	Yes	182	Yes	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.0	57.8	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	0.0	57.8	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	0.0	23.1	No	No	Yes	270	No	No	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	0.0	10.7	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	3.7	10.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	182	No	No	No	No	24.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	23.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.5	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	150	No	No	Yes	Yes	3.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.0	10.0	No	No	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	98	No	No	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	98	No	No	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.3	0.0	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	No	No	36.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	4.3	No	Yes	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	4.3	2.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	3.7	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	23.1	No	Yes	Yes	90	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.3	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	270	No	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	13.0	15.1	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.0

## LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring					Working hours							
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) <sup>a</sup>	Minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience (US\$/month) <sup>b</sup>	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum length of probationary period (months) <sup>c</sup>	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Whether non-pregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Paid annual leave (working days) <sup>d</sup>
Kyrgyz Republic	Yes	60.0	17.4	0.1	3.0	6.0	50.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Lao PDR	No	36.0	105.8	0.4	2.0	6.0	15.0	150.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	15.0
Latvia	Yes	60.0	434.6	0.2	3.0	5.5	50.0	0.0	100.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Lebanon	No	24.0	438.9	0.5	3.0	5.5	0.0	50.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	15.0
Lesotho	No	No limit	140.7	0.8	4.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	25.0	Yes	Yes	No	12.0
Liberia	No	No limit	141.4	2.5	3.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	16.5
Libya	No	48.0	323.7	0.5	1.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	30.0
Lithuania	No	60.0	433.5	0.2	3.0	5.5	50.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	20.7
Luxembourg	Yes	24.0	2,764.4	0.3	6.0	5.5	0.0	70.0	40.0	No	Yes	Yes	25.0
Macedonia, FYR	No	60.0	262.5	0.4	6.0	6.0	35.0	50.0	35.0	Yes	Yes	No	20.0
Madagascar	Yes	24.0	55.7	0.9	3.0	6.0	30.0	40.0	30.0	No	Yes	No	24.0
Malawi	Yes	No limit	27.5	0.5	12.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	18.0
Malaysia	No	No limit	257.2	0.2	n.a.	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	13.3
Maldives	No	24.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	30.0
Mali	Yes	72.0	67.4	0.5	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	No	Yes	No	22.0
Malta	No	48.0	837.4	0.3	6.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	24.0
Marshall Islands	No	No limit	517.5	0.8	n.a.	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	0.0
Mauritania	No	24.0	90.8	0.6	1.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	No	Yes	Yes	18.0
Mauritius	No	24.0	236.8	0.2	n.a.	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	17.0
Mexico (Mexico City)	Yes	No limit	151.7	0.1	1.0	6.0	0.0	25.0	100.0	No	Yes	No	12.0
Mexico (Monterrey)	Yes	No limit	151.7	0.1	1.0	6.0	0.0	25.0	100.0	No	Yes	No	12.0
Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	No	No limit	361.7	0.7	n.a.	7.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	0.0
Moldova	Yes	No limit	110.4	0.5	0.5	6.0	50.0	100.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	20.0
Mongolia	No	No limit	116.9	0.3	3.0	5.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	16.0
Montenegro	No	24.0	218.9	0.3	6.0	6.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	No	No	No	20.7
Morocco	Yes	12.0	268.3	0.8	1.5	6.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	No	Yes	Yes	19.5
Mozambique	Yes	72.0	103.4	1.3	3.0	6.0	25.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	Yes	24.0
Myanmar	No	No limit	68.3	0.4	n.a.	6.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	Yes	Yes	No	10.0
Namibia	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	n.a.	5.5	6.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Nepal	Yes	No limit	89.1	0.9	12.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	No	No	No	18.0
Netherlands	No	24.0	931.8	0.2	2.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
New Zealand	No	No limit	1,942.8	0.4	3.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	20.0

		Redundancy rules					Redundancy cost		Job quality								
Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment? <sup>e</sup>	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?	Gender non-discrimination in hiring?	Paid/unpaid maternity leave mandated by law? <sup>f</sup>	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) <sup>g</sup>	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?	Availability of five fully paid days of sick leave?	Whether an unemployment protection scheme exists after one year of employment <sup>h</sup>	Minimum duration of contribution period (in months) for unemployment protection
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	13.0	No	No	Yes	126	No	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	6.4	27.7	No	No	Yes	105	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	4.3	8.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	112	No	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	0.0	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	10.7	Yes	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	21.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	15.2	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	15.9	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	No	18.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	17.3	4.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	112	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	8.7	No	Yes	Yes	270	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5.8	8.9	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	12.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	6.7	17.2	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	7.2	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	9.3	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	7.3	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	No	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	6.1	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	4.3	69.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	0.0	22.0	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	0.0	22.0	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	15.0	No	Yes	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	9.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	4.3	No	No	Yes	120	Yes	Yes	Yes	9.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	4.3	6.9	Yes	Yes	Yes	45	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7.2	13.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	No	No	36.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	33.2	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	18.8	No	No	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	36.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	5.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	22.9	No	No	Yes	52	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	112	Yes	No	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.

## LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring					Working hours							
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) <sup>a</sup>	Minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience (US\$/month) <sup>b</sup>	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum length of probationary period (months) <sup>c</sup>	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Whether non-pregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Paid annual leave (working days) <sup>d</sup>
Nicaragua	No	No limit	227.3	0.9	1.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	30.0
Niger	Yes	48.0	52.6	0.8	6.0	6.0	37.5	0.0	10.0	No	Yes	No	22.0
Nigeria (Kano)	No	No limit	81.8	0.2	n.a.	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	6.0
Nigeria (Lagos)	No	No limit	81.8	0.2	n.a.	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	6.0
Norway	No	48.0	3,286.3	0.3	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	21.0
Oman <sup>b</sup>	No	No limit	845.3	0.5	3.0	5.0	50.0	100.0	25.0	Yes	No	No	22.0
Pakistan (Karachi)	Yes	9.0	129.8	0.6	3.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	Yes	No	Yes	14.0
Pakistan (Lahore)	Yes	9.0	129.8	0.6	3.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	Yes	No	Yes	14.0
Palau	No	No limit	693.5	0.5	n.a.	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	0.0
Panama	Yes	12.0	558.8	0.4	3.0	6.0	13.0	50.0	50.0	No	Yes	Yes	22.0
Papua New Guinea	No	No limit	213.0	0.6	n.a.	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	11.0
Paraguay	Yes	No limit	365.0	0.7	1.0	6.0	30.0	100.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	No	20.0
Peru	Yes	60.0	258.3	0.3	3.0	6.0	35.0	100.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	13.0
Philippines	No	No limit	293.5	0.6	6.0	6.0	10.0	30.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	5.0
Poland	No	33.0	540.2	0.4	3.0	5.5	20.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	22.0
Portugal	Yes	36.0	735.2	0.3	3.0	6.0	25.0	50.0	31.3	No	Yes	No	22.0
Puerto Rico (U.S.)	No	No limit	1,256.7	0.3	9.0	7.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	11.0
Qatar	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	Yes	Yes	No	22.0
Romania	Yes	60.0	365.7	0.3	3.0	5.0	25.0	100.0	75.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Russian Federation (Moscow)	Yes	60.0	299.0	0.3	3.0	6.0	20.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	Yes	22.0
Russian Federation (St. Petersburg)	Yes	60.0	272.5	0.2	3.0	6.0	20.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	Yes	22.0
Rwanda	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	19.3
Samoa	No	No limit	207.6	0.4	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	10.0
San Marino	Yes	18.0	2,147.1	0.4	1.6	6.0	35.0	0.0	26.3	No	Yes	No	26.0
São Tomé and Príncipe	Yes	36.0	71.0	0.3	1.0	6.0	25.0	100.0	37.5	No	No	Yes	26.0
Saudi Arabia	No	48.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	No	No	Yes	23.3
Senegal	Yes	24.0	160.9	1.1	2.0	6.0	38.0	0.0	10.0	No	Yes	Yes	24.3
Serbia	Yes	24.0	212.0	0.3	6.0	6.0	26.0	110.0	26.0	No	Yes	No	20.0
Seychelles	No	No limit	575.5	0.3	6.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	21.0
Sierra Leone	Yes	No limit	84.5	1.1	6.0	5.5	15.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	23.0
Singapore	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	10.7

Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Redundancy rules						Redundancy cost	Job quality								
	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment? <sup>e</sup>	Priority rules for redundancies?		Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?	Gender non-discrimination in hiring?	Paid/unpaid maternity leave mandated by law? <sup>f</sup>	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) <sup>g</sup>	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?	Availability of five fully paid days of sick leave?	Whether an unemployment protection scheme exists after one year of employment <sup>h</sup>
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	14.9	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	9.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	3.2	0.0	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	3.2	0.0	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	343	No	Yes	Yes	0.0
No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	Yes	50	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	4.3	22.9	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	4.3	22.9	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.0	18.1	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.3	9.2	No	No	Yes	0	n.a.	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	10.8	18.6	Yes	No	Yes	126	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	0.0	11.4	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.3	23.1	Yes	No	Yes	60	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10.1	8.7	No	Yes	Yes	140	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	7.9	9.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	120	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	7.2	16.0	No	No	Yes	50	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	4.0	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	No	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	8.7	No	Yes	Yes	140	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	8.7	No	Yes	Yes	140	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	4.3	8.7	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.3	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	28	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0.0	0.0	No	No	Yes	630	No	Yes	Yes	9.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	4.3	26.0	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	8.6	15.2	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.3	10.5	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	0.0	7.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	135	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	4.3	7.6	No	No	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	13.0	62.5	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	3.0	0.0	No	No	Yes	105	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.

## LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring					Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) <sup>a</sup>	Minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience (US\$/month) <sup>b</sup>	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum length of probationary period (months) <sup>c</sup>	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Whether non-pregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) <sup>d</sup>
Slovak Republic	No	24.0	502.1	0.3	3.0	6.0	20.0	0.0	25.0	No	Yes	No	No	25.0
Slovenia	Yes	24.0	919.8	0.3	6.0	6.0	75.0	100.0	30.0	No	Yes	No	No	22.0
Solomon Islands	No	No limit	114.5	0.4	n.a.	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	No	No	No	15.0
Somalia	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	n.a.	6.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	No	No	No	No	80.0
South Africa	Yes	No limit	285.5	0.4	n.a.	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	No	No	18.3
South Sudan	No	48.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	No	No	No	23.3
Spain	Yes	48.0	1,005.9	0.3	6.0	5.5	6.6	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	No	22.0
Sri Lanka	No	No limit	75.1	0.2	n.a.	5.5	0.0	0.0	50.0	Yes	No	No	No	14.0
St. Kitts and Nevis	No	No limit	563.3	0.3	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	14.0
St. Lucia	No	24.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	No	No limit	311.9	0.4	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	18.7
Sudan	No	48.0	67.1	0.2	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	No	No	No	23.3
Suriname	No	No limit	215.4	0.2	2.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	16.0
Swaziland	No	No limit	130.5	0.3	3.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	15.0
Sweden	No	24.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	No	25.0
Switzerland	No	120.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	6.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	20.0
Syrian Arab Republic	No	60.0	35.2	0.2	3.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	37.5	No	No	Yes	No	21.7
Taiwan, China	Yes	No limit	649.8	0.2	n.a.	6.0	0.0	100.0	33.0	No	Yes	No	No	12.7
Tajikistan	Yes	No limit	60.4	0.4	3.0	6.0	50.0	100.0	100.0	Yes	Yes	No	No	18.0
Tanzania	Yes	No limit	54.5	0.4	6.0	6.0	5.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Thailand	Yes	No limit	229.4	0.3	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	6.0
Timor-Leste	Yes	36.0	115.0	0.4	1.0	6.0	25.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	Yes	No	12.0
Togo	Yes	48.0	92.0	1.1	2.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	No	Yes	No	No	30.0
Tonga <sup>b</sup>	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	n.a.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	No	0.0
Trinidad and Tobago	No	No limit	411.9	0.2	n.a.	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	10.0
Tunisia	No	48.0	243.4	0.5	6.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	25.0	No	No	No	No	19.0
Turkey	Yes	No limit	616.3	0.4	2.0	6.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	Yes	No	No	No	18.0
Uganda	No	No limit	1.9	0.0	12.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
Ukraine	Yes	No limit	133.8	0.5	3.0	5.5	20.0	100.0	100.0	No	No	Yes	Yes	18.0
United Arab Emirates	No	No limit	0.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	No	No	Yes	No	26.0
United Kingdom	No	No limit	1,409.2	0.3	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	No	No	28.0

		Redundancy rules					Redundancy cost		Job quality								
Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment? <sup>e</sup>	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?	Gender non-discrimination in hiring?	Paid/unpaid maternity leave mandated by law? <sup>f</sup>	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) <sup>g</sup>	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?	Availability of five fully paid days of sick leave?	Whether an unemployment protection scheme exists after one year of employment <sup>h</sup>	Minimum duration of contribution period (in months) for unemployment protection
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	11.6	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	238	No	No	No	24.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	5.3	5.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	105	Yes	Yes	Yes	9.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	10.7	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	98	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	4.0	5.3	Yes	No	Yes	120	No	Yes	Yes	0.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	4.3	21.7	No	No	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	2.1	15.2	Yes	No	Yes	112	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	4.3	54.2	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	8.7	0.0	No	No	Yes	91	No	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	3.7	9.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	91	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	4.0	10.0	No	No	Yes	91	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	4.3	21.7	No	No	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	0.0	8.8	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	5.9	8.7	No	No	Yes	14	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	14.4	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	480	No	No	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	10.1	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	No	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	8.7	0.0	No	No	Yes	120	Yes	No	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	3.8	11.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	56	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	13.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	140	Yes	No	No	18.0
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.0	5.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	31.7	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	3.6	0.0	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	8.8	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	6.4	14.1	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.3	17.2	No	No	Yes	30	No	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	6.7	23.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	112	No	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	8.7	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	8.7	4.3	No	Yes	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	0.0	No	No	Yes	45	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	5.3	4.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	14	No	No	Yes	0.0

## LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring					Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) <sup>a</sup>	Minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience (US\$/month) <sup>b</sup>	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum length of probationary period (months) <sup>c</sup>	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Whether non-pregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) <sup>d</sup>
United States (Los Angeles)	No	No limit	1,762.1	0.2	n.a.	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	0.0
United States (New York City)	No	No limit	1,846.0	0.3	n.a.	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	0.0
Uruguay	No	No limit	628.1	0.3	n.a.	6.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
Uzbekistan	Yes	60.0	129.2	0.5	3.0	6.0	50.0	100.0	100.0	No	Yes	No	No	15.0
Vanuatu	No	No limit	271.5	0.7	6.0	6.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	No	No	No	No	17.0
Venezuela, RB <sup>h</sup>	Yes	24.0	1,217.0	6.0	1.0	5.0	30.0	50.0	50.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	19.3
Vietnam	No	72.0	168.4	0.7	1.0	6.0	30.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	13.0
West Bank and Gaza	No	24.0	371.3	0.8	6.0	6.0	0.0	150.0	50.0	Yes	No	Yes	No	12.0
Yemen, Rep.	No	No limit	75.7	0.5	6.0	6.0	15.0	100.0	50.0	No	No	No	No	30.0
Zambia	Yes	No limit	176.4	0.8	n.a.	6.0	4.3	100.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	24.0
Zimbabwe	No	No limit	304.5	2.2	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	No	Yes	No	No	22.0

a. Including renewals.

b. Economies for which 0.0 is shown have no minimum wage in the private sector.

c. Some answers are not applicable (n.a.) for economies in which there is no statutory provision for a probationary period.

d. Average for workers with 1, 5 and 10 years of tenure.

e. Whether the law requires the employer to reassign or retrain a worker before making the worker redundant.

f. If no maternity leave is mandated by law, parental leave is measured if applicable.

g. The minimum number of days that legally have to be paid by the government, the employer or both.

h. Some answers are not applicable (n.a.) for economies where dismissal due to redundancy is disallowed.

i. Some answers are not applicable (n.a.) for economies that do not have an unemployment protection scheme.

The following data were collected jointly with the World Bank Group's *Women, Business and the Law* team:

- Can non-pregnant and non-nursing women work the same night hours as men?
- Equal remuneration for work of equal value?
- Gender non-discrimination in hiring?
- Paid/unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?
- Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days)?
- Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?

Redundancy rules								Redundancy cost		Job quality							
Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment? <sup>e</sup>	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) <sup>d</sup>	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?	Gender non-discrimination in hiring?	Paid/unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) <sup>g</sup>	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?	Availability of five fully paid days of sick leave?	Whether an unemployment protection scheme exists after one year of employment <sup>f</sup>	Minimum duration of contribution period (in months) for unemployment protection
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	0	n.a.	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	0	n.a.	Yes	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	20.8	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	No	Yes	6.0
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	8.7	No	No	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.0
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	9.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	No	Yes	Yes	182	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	0.0	24.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	180	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.0
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	4.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	46.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	13.0	12.3	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.



## Acknowledgments

Data collection and analysis for *Doing Business 2018* were conducted by a team led by Santiago Croci (Acting Manager, *Doing Business*) under the general direction of Rita Ramalho (Acting Director, Global Indicators Group, Development Economics). Overall guidance for the preparation of the report was provided by Shantayanan Devarajan (Senior Director, Development Economics) and Paul Romer (Senior Vice President and Chief Economist of the World Bank). The project was managed with the support of Adrian Gonzalez, Valentina Saltane and Hulya Ulku. Other team members included Nadine Abi Chakra, Ahmad AlKhuzam, Jean Arlet, Yuriy Valentinovich Avramov, Erica Bosio, Édgar Chávez, Maria Magdalena Chiquier, Cyriane Marie Coste, Baria Nabil Daye, Christian De la Medina Soto, Marie Lily Delion, Laura Diniz, Faiza El Fezzazi El Maziani, Imane Fahli, Cécile Ferro, Dorina Georgieva, Pelayo Gonzalez-Escalada Mena, Maksym Iavorskyi, Nan Jiang, Herve Kaddoura, Klaus Adolfo Koch-Saldarriaga, Olena Koltko, Magdalini Konidari, Khrystyna Kushnir, Nicole Anouk Leger, Tiziana Londero, Silvia Carolina Lopez Rocha, Raman Maroz, Brendan Meighan, Margherita Mellone, Nuno Filipe Mendes Dos Santos, Frédéric Meunier, Joanna Nasr, Marie-Jeanne Ndiaye, Albert Nogués i Comas, Nadia Novik, Kennedy Oyugi Okoyo, Tigran Parvanyan, Esperanza Pastor Nuñez De Castro, Madwa-Nika Phanord-Cadet, Martin Andres Poveda Amarfil, María Antonia Quesada Gámez, Parvina Rakhimova, Morgann Courtney Reeves,

Anna Reva, Margarida Rodrigues, Julie Ryan, Jayashree Srinivasan, Mihaela Stangu, Erick Tjong, Camille Henri Vaillon, María Adelaida Vélez Posada, Jerry Wu, Yelizaveta Yanovich, Marilyne Florence Mafoboue Youbi, Inés Zabalbeitia Múgica, Philip Christopher Zager, Yasmin Zand, Muqiao Zhang and Geyi Zheng. Rami Abdulaziz Al Shaibani, Nyanya Browne, Melissa Bueno, Daniel De la Hormaza, Joseph El-Cassabgui, Francesca Ermice, Ismael Eduardo Wilson Franco Gonzales, Xu Han, Marcy Jagdeo Adekoya, Edison Jakurti, Zain Jarrar, Sarp Yanki Kalfa, Valeriya Khoroshun, Chung Myung Kim, Jamie Lee-Brown, Yousef Majzoub, Ahmed Medhat M Garoub, Marie Parent, Izabela Prager, Victoria Ryan, Egiimaa Tsolmonbaatar, Gergana Tsvetanova, Yarmi Jose Vidal Horschek, Hong Jing Wang, David Weinstein, Anthony Paul Winszman, Xinyu Wu, Rongpeng Yang and Mohamed W. Zakaria assisted in the months before publication. Thomas Moullier and Keiko Sakoda contributed to the writing of the chapter on dealing with construction permits.

The online service of the *Doing Business* database is managed by Varun Doiphode, Fengsheng Huang, Manoj Mathew, Arun Chakravarthi Nageswaran, Kunal Patel, Kamalesh Sengaonkar, Bishal Raj Thakuri, Vinod Thottikkattu, and Hashim Zia. The *Doing Business 2018* outreach strategy is managed by Indira Chand, under the general direction of Phillip Jeremy Hay with support from World Bank Group communications colleagues around the world.

The team is grateful for the valuable comments provided by colleagues, both within and outside the World Bank Group, and for the guidance provided by World Bank Group Executive Directors. The team would especially like to acknowledge the comments and guidance of Gabi George Afram, Miah Rahmat Ali, Ashani Chanuka Alles, Amjad Bashir, Karim Ouled Belayachi, Eugene Bempon, Lilia Buruncic, Cesar Calderon, Mierta Capaul, Efrem Zephnath Chilima, Ted Haoquan Chu, Amila Indeewari Dahanayake, Fernando Dancausa, Annette Dixon, Simeon Djankov, Christian Eigen-Zucchi, Jorge Familiar Calderon, Manuela V. Ferro, Alvaro Gonzalez, Cemile Hacibeyoglu, Lucia Hanmer, Caroline Heider, Andras Horvai, Joyce Antoine Ibrahim, Jane Jamieson, Aphichoke Kotikula, Aart Kraay, Esperanza Lasagabaster, Yue Li,

John Litwack, Gladys Lopez-Acevedo, William F. Maloney, Trimor Mici, Mamo Esmelealem Mihretu, Andrei Mikhnev, Ashish Narain, Claudia Nassif, Tatiana Nenova, Juri Oka, Sandie Okoro, Alice Ouedraogo, Madalina Papahagi, Samuel Pienkangura, Martin Rama, M. Masrur Reaz, Massimiliano Santini, Jaehyang So, Sylvia Solf, The Corporate Registers Forum, Moussa Traore, Yvonne M. Tsikata, Linda Van Gelder, Carlos Alberto Vegh Gramont, Julien Vilquin, John Wille, Ali Zafa and Albert G. Zeufack.

The paying taxes project was conducted in collaboration with PwC, led by Stef van Weeghel.

Bronwen Brown edited the manuscript. Corporate Visions, Inc. designed the report and the graphs.

*Doing Business* would not be possible without the expertise and generous input of a network of more than 13,000 local partners, including legal experts, business consultants, accountants, freight forwarders, government officials and other professionals routinely administering or advising on the relevant legal and regulatory requirements in the 190 economies covered. Contact details for local partners are available on the *Doing Business* website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>.

The names of the local partners wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed below. The global and regional contributors listed are firms that have completed multiple questionnaires in their various offices around the world.

### GLOBAL CONTRIBUTORS

ADVOCATES FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT  
 AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, SECTION OF INTERNATIONAL LAW  
 BAKER & MCKENZIE  
 BDO  
 DELOITTE  
 DENTONS  
 DLA PIPER  
 EY  
 FIABCI, THE INTERNATIONAL REAL ESTATE FEDERATION  
 GRANT THORNTON  
 GRATIA INTERNATIONAL  
 IUS LABORIS - ALLIANCE OF LABOR, EMPLOYMENT, BENEFITS AND PENSIONS LAW FIRMS  
 KPMG  
 LAW SOCIETY OF ENGLAND AND WALES  
 LEX MUNDI, ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT LAW FIRMS  
 PWC<sup>1</sup>  
 REED SMITH LLP  
 RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL  
 WHITE & CASE

### REGIONAL CONTRIBUTORS

A.P. MOLLER - MAERSK GROUP  
 AL TAMIMI & COMPANY  
 ARIAS LAW  
 ASHURST LLP  
 ASSOCIATION OF CONSUMER CREDIT INFORMATION SUPPLIERS (ACCIS)  
 BOGA & ASSOCIATES  
 CENTIL LAW  
 DFDL  
 ENSAFRICA  
 EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND  
 GARCÍA & BODÁN  
 JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.  
 LEXINCORP  
 MAYER BROWN  
 SCHOENHERR  
 SORAINEN  
 TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)  
 TRANSUNION INTERNATIONAL  
 VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS

### ALBANIA

WOLF THEISS  
 Anjola Aliaj  
 OPTIMA LÉGAL AND FINANCIAL  
 Artur Asllani  
 TONUCCI & PARTNERS  
 Artan Babaramo GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF TAXATION  
 Sabina Baboci  
 KALO & ASSOCIATES  
 Eglantina Bakiu Lala  
 BITRI & BAKIU LAW FIRM  
 Ledia Beçi  
 HOXHA, MEMI & HOXHA  
 Renis Bega  
 HOXHA, MEMI & HOXHA  
 Boiken Bendo  
 BENDO LAW, ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS  
 Jona Bica  
 EY  
 Artan Bozo  
 BOZO & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM  
 Njazuela Braholli  
 GJIIKA & ASSOCIATES  
 Irma Cacaj  
 BOGA & ASSOCIATES  
 Doris Carcani  
 ALBANIAN ENERGY REGULATOR (ERE)  
 Megi Caushi  
 AVANNITIVE CONSULTING SH.P.K.  
 Rozana Çelmeta GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF TAXATION  
 Ilir Daci  
 OPTIMA LÉGAL AND FINANCIAL  
 Besnik Duraj  
 DRAKOPoulos LAW FIRM  
 Ana Dylgjeri  
 BANK OF ALBANIA  
 Sokol Elmazaj  
 BOGA & ASSOCIATES  
 Dorina Fezollari AVANNITIVE CONSULTING SH.P.K.  
 Arbër Lloshi  
 OPTIMA LÉGAL AND FINANCIAL  
 Tetis Lubonja  
 MINISTRY OF JUSTICE  
 Rezarta Mataj  
 TIRANA DISTRICT COURT  
 Andi Memi  
 HOXHA, MEMI & HOXHA  
 Eglon Metalia  
 EY  
 Naim Mete  
 GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF TAXATION  
 Aigest Milo  
 KALO & ASSOCIATES  
 Orgita Milo  
 BOGA & ASSOCIATES

1. "PwC" refers to the network of member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), or, as the context requires, individual member firms of the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity and does not act as agent of PwCIL or any other member firm. PwCIL does not provide any services to clients. PwCIL is not responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any of its member firms nor can it control the exercise of their professional judgment or bind them in any way. No member firm is responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any other member firm nor can it control the exercise of another member firm's professional judgment or bind another member firm or PwCIL in any way.

Eno Muja BOGA & ASSOCIATES	Adnane Bouchaib BOUCHAIB LAW FIRM	Hakim Zerbout MICHEL HUREL ALGERIE SARL	Joana Pacheco ANGOLA COUNSEL	Hugh C. Marshall MARSHALL & CO.
Kristo Myridinas PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.	Hamid Boughenou BECOME SCP	Luis Andrade PWC ANGOLA	Júlio Pascoal ENDE-EP	Gloria Martin FRANCIS TRADING AGENCY LIMITED
Gjergji Nestor GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF TAXATION	Rachida Boughenou BECOME SCP	Sika Awoonor GLOBAL CHOICE ANGOLA LDA	Antonio Pereira EY	David Matthias ANTIGUA BARBUDA SOCIAL SECURITY BOARD
Dorina Nika LAWYER	Hafida Bounefrat ACCOUNTANT	Jeanine Batalha Ferreira PWC PORTUGAL	Joaquim Piedade UNICARGAS	Septimus A. Rhudd RHUDD & ASSOCIATES
Albulen Pano PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.	Merouane Chabane SOCIÉTÉ DE DISTRIBUTION DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ D'ALGER (SDA)	Pedro Bequengue CÂMARA DOS DESPACHANTES OFICIAIS DE ANGOLA	Djamila Pinto de Andrade LEAD ADVOGADOS	Stedroy Roache ANTIGUA PUBLIC UTILITIES AUTHORITY (APUA)
Loreta Peci PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.	Djamel Chorfi	Edvaldo Cahombo GUICHÉ ÚNICO DE EMPRESA	André Miguel Pitéu TRANSITEX ANGOLA	Andrea Roberts ROBERTS & CO.
Ardjana Shehi KALO & ASSOCIATES	Said Dib BANQUE D'ALGÉRIE	Guilherme Carreira EDIFER ANGOLA	Laurinda Prazeres Cardoso LEAD ADVOGADOS	Safiya Roberts ROBERTS & CO.
Enian Sina GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF TAXATION	Ahmed Djouadi & DJOUADI - ASSOCIATE OFFICE OF DENTONS	Luis Filipe Carvalho ADCA LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF DLA PIPER AFRICA GROUP	José Quarta INSTITUTO REGULADOR DOS SERVIÇOS DE ELECTRICIDADE E ÁGUAS (IRSEA)	Megan Samuel-Fields SAMUEL FIELDS CONSULTING GROUP LTD.
Ketrin Topciu BOZO & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Mourad El Besseghi CABINET EL BESSEGGHI	Jaime Carvalho Esteves PWC PORTUGAL	Gonçalo Antunes Rita BANCO NACIONAL DE ANGOLA	Sharon Simmons LAND REGISTRY
Bruno Turabi BOGA & ASSOCIATES	Hamil Faidi STUDIO A	Irineu Chingala LOURDES CAPOSSO FERNANDES & ASSOCIADOS (LCF)	Sandra Saraiva GABINETE LEGAL ANGOLA – ADVOGADOS	Owen Smith DEVELOPMENT CONTROL AUTHORITY
Alketa Uruççi BOGA & ASSOCIATES	Mohamed Lahbib Goubi BANQUE D'ALGÉRIE	Nelson Couto-Cabral 3C INTERNATIONAL	Dinamukueno Lukie Sérgio OLICARGO ANGOLA SA	Eleanor R. Solomon CLARKE & CLARKE
Gerhard Velaj BOGA & ASSOCIATES	Khaled Goussanem LAW FIRM GOUSSANEM & ALOUI	Inês Barbosa Cunha PWC PORTUGAL	Tatiana Serrão FBL ADVOGADOS	Frederick Southwell DEVELOPMENT CONTROL AUTHORITY
Flavia Xhafo KALO & ASSOCIATES	Mohamed El-Amine Haddad CABINET DE MAÎTRE AMINE HADDAD	Alwin Leon Das FAMS TRANSITÓRIOS LDA	Gervasio Simao GEPLI ANGOLA	Arthur Thomas THOMAS, JOHN & CO.
Donald Xhelili FIRST COURT OF TIRANA	Samir Hamouda CABINET D'AVOCATS SAMIR HAMOURA	Patricia Dias AVM ADVOGADOS	Hugo Sipitali ANGOLA COUNSEL	Marietta Warren INTERFREIGHT LTD.
Evis Zaja OPTIMA LEGAL AND FINANCIAL	Halim Karabadjii SOCIÉTÉ DE DISTRIBUTION DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ D'ALGER (SDA)	Fernando F. Bastos FBL ADVOGADOS	Beatriz Calcida Soares Catumbela	<b>ARGENTINA</b>
Enida Zeneli BOZO & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Abdelmalek Kherbachene BOUCHEMLA LANOUAR & ASSOCIES	Lourdes Caposso Fernandes LOURDES CAPOSSO FERNANDES & ASSOCIADOS	Daniela Tavares Nunes ABREU ADVOGADOS	PETROBRAS
Lareda Zenunaj GJKA & ASSOCIATES	Farouk Lakli LAKELEC	João Fialho VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS	Elsa Tchicanha GABINETE LEGAL ANGOLA – ADVOGADOS	Lucas Abal RIVERA & ASOCIADOS
<b>ALGERIA</b>	Walid Laouar CABINET LAOUAR	Marcelino Franco GUICHÉ ÚNICO DE EMPRESA	Renata Valenti GABINETE LEGAL ANGOLA – ADVOGADOS	Ignacio Acedo GONZALEZ & FERRARO MILA
CABINET MOHAMMED TAHAR BENABID	Vincent Lunel DS AVOCATS	Luís Frausto Varona ABREU ADVOGADOS	Ricardo Veloso PWC ANGOLA	Cecilia Andrea Acosta MBB BALADO BEVILACQUA ABOGADOS
TRANSIT SAIDI	Harous Madjid PWC ALGERIA	Marilia Frias VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS	António Vicente Marques AVM ADVOGADOS	Pablo J. Alliani ALLIANI & BRUZZON
Amel Aiad ACCOUNTANT	Sid-Ahmed Mekbera GHELLAL & MEKERBA	Alberto Galhardo Simões MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS	Orlinda Vuite ADCA LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF DLA PIPER AFRICA GROUP	Jose Maria Allonca ALLONCA ABOGADOS LEGAL & BUSINESS CONSULTING
Mohamed Nadir Aissani PWC ALGERIA	Mohamed Mokrane MINISTÈRE DES FINANCES - DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DU DOMAINE NATIONAL	Paulo Lobo ABREU CARGA E TRÂNSITOS, LDA - ANGOLA	<b>ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA</b>	Marina Altieri DE DIOS & GOYENA ABOGADOS CONSULTORES
Salima Aloui LAW FIRM GOUSSANEM & ALOUI	Hamid Ould Hocine STUDIO A	Dilma Lopes FBL ADVOGADOS	António Manuel da Silva INSTITUTO REGULADOR DOS SERVIÇOS DE ELECTRICIDADE E ÁGUAS (IRSEA)	Ignacio E. Aramburu ESTUDIO MOLTEDO
Arab Aoudj CABINET D'AUDIT ET DE CONTRÔLE DES COMPTES	Malika Redouani PWC ALGERIA	Chindalena Lourenço FÁTIMA FREITAS ADVOGADOS	MINISTRY OF LABOR	Sebastian Ariel Uberti CITY OF BUENOS AIRES
Djelloul Aoudiette UNION NATIONALE DES TRANSPORTAIRES ET COMMISSIONNAIRES ALGÉRIENS (UNTCA)	Lazhar Sahbani PWC ALGERIA	Arcélia Matias ARCÉLIO INÁCIO DE ALMEIDA MATIAS – ARDJIA- PRESTAÇÃO DE SERVIÇOS E CONSULTORIA, LDA	Aisha Caleb MINISTRY OF INFORMATION, BROADCASTING, TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY - CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DIVISION	Ariadna Artopoulos M. & M. BOMCHIL
Mohamed Atbi ETUDE NOTARIALE MOHAMED ATBI	Mourad Seghir BENNANI & ASSOCIES LLP	Antonio Morgado GUICHÉ ÚNICO DE EMPRESA	Neil Coates GRANT THORNTON	Maria Fernanda Arturi CENTRAL BANK OF ARGENTINA
Hind Belhachmi LPA-CGR AVOCATS	Madhiha Silini LPA-CGR AVOCATS	Marcos Neto BANCO NACIONAL DE ANGOLA	Nkosi Cochrane DEVELOPMENT CONTROL AUTHORITY	Mercedes Balado Bevilacqua MBB BALADO BEVILACQUA ABOGADOS
Abdelouahab Benali TRANSIT MOUHOUB KAMAL	Rabah Tafighoul CABINET TAFIGHOUL	Henrique Nogueira Nunes ALBUQUERQUE & ASSOCIADOS	Gilbert Findlay ANTIGUA PUBLIC UTILITIES AUTHORITY (APUA)	Vanesa Balda VITALE, MANOFF & FEILBOGEN
Othmane Benali ACCOUNTANT	Redouane Tazerouti MICHEL HUREL ALGERIE SARL	Janota Nzogi ENERGY AND WATER MINISTRY	Colin John Jenkins ROBERTS CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING CO. LTD.	Gonzalo Carlos Ballester J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS

Pilar Etcheverry Boneo <i>MARVAL, O'FARRELL &amp; MAIRAL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Javier M. Gattó Bicain <i>CANDIOTI GATTO BICAIN &amp; OCANTOS</i>	Laura Piedrahita Abella <i>RIVERA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Adolfo Tombolini <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD ARGENTINA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Anahit Hakhumyan <i>MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT</i>
Ignacio Fernández Borzese <i>LUNA REQUENA &amp; FERNÁNDEZ BORZESE TAX LAW FIRM</i>	Juan José Glusman <i>PWC ARGENTINA</i>	Segundo Pinto <i>LLERENA &amp; ASOCIADOS ABOGADOS</i>	Valentina Toquier <i>M. &amp; M. BOMCHIL</i>	Gevorg Hakobyan <i>ELAWPHANT LAW FIRM</i>
Fernando L. Brunelli <i>ALLIANI &amp; BRUZZON</i>	Eduardo Guglielmini <i>MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINING</i>	Gustavo M. Prestipino <i>INEC INGENIERIA ELECTRICA SA</i>	Maria Paola Trigiani <i>ALFARO ABOGADOS</i>	Andranik Harutyunyan <i>ELECTRIC NETWORKS OF ARMENIA</i>
Damián Burgio <i>SALAVERRI, DELLATORRE, BURGIO &amp; WETZLER MALBRÁN</i>	Sandra S. Guillan <i>DE DIOS &amp; GOYENA ABOGADOS CONSULTORES</i>	Maria Clara Pujol <i>WIENER SOTO CAPARRÓS</i>	Maria Victoria Tuculet <i>M. &amp; M. BOMCHIL</i>	Hasmik Harutyunyan <i>PWC ARMENIA</i>
Eduardo Bustamante <i>ESTUDIO MOLTEDO</i>	Federico Guillermo Absi <i>G. BREUER</i>	Julio R. Martinez <i>MITRANI CABALLERO OJAM &amp; RUIZ MORENO</i>	Gonzalo Ugarte <i>BARBOSA ABOGADOS</i>	Artak Hovakimyan <i>BIG ENERGO LLC</i>
Adriana Paola Caballero <i>WIENER SOTO CAPARRÓS</i>	Carlos Hernandez <i>CONSULTORES SRL</i>	Rafael Ramognino <i>ECOVIS ARGENTINA RAMOGNINO, DE ALBALADEJO &amp; ASOCIADOS SC</i>	Emilio Beccar Varela <i>ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA</i>	Izabela Hovhannisyanyan <i>MARIAM HOVSEPYAN</i>
Federico Carenzo <i>LEONHARDT &amp; DIETL</i>	Gabriela Hidalgo	Natalia Rauchberger <i>MITRANI CABALLERO OJAM &amp; RUIZ MORENO</i>	Abraham Viera <i>PLANOSNET.COM CONSULTORIA MUNICIPAL</i>	Angela Hovhannisyanyan <i>TER-TACHATYAN LEGAL AND BUSINESS CONSULTING</i>
Gabriela Carissimo <i>ALFARO ABOGADOS</i>	Fabián Hilal <i>CASELLA &amp; HILAL ABOGADOS</i>	Federico José Reibstein <i>REIBESTEIN &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Eduardo J. Viñales <i>FUNES DE RIOJA &amp; ASOCIADOS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Vahe G. Kakoyan <i>TER-TACHATYAN LEGAL AND BUSINESS CONSULTING</i>
Mariano E. Carricart <i>BADENI, CANTIL, LAPACETTE &amp; CARRICART</i>	Mailen Helen Rico <i>ESTUDIO MOLTEDO</i>	Juan Manuel Reyes Santa Cruz <i>PLANOSNET.COM CONSULTORIA MUNICIPAL</i>	Germán Wetzler Malbrán <i>SALAVERRI, DELLATORRE, BURGIO &amp; WETZLER MALBRÁN</i>	Anna Karapetyan <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
Luciano Cativa <i>LUNA REQUENA &amp; FERNÁNDEZ BORZESE TAX LAW FIRM</i>	Daniel Intile <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD ARGENTINA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Julio Cesar Rivera <i>RIVERA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Roberto Wiman <i>GREEN INGENIERÍA</i>	Andranik Kasaryan <i>YEREVAN MUNICIPALITY</i>
Ma. Cecilia Herrero de Pratesi <i>REGISTRO DE LA PROPIEDAD INMUEBLE DE LA CAPITAL FEDERAL</i>	Andrea Junquera <i>CANDIOTI GATTO BICAIN &amp; OCANTOS</i>	Matías Rivera <i>SALAVERRI, DELLATORRE, BURGIO &amp; WETZLER MALBRÁN</i>	Joaquín Emilio Zappa <i>J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS</i>	David Khachatryan <i>AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP</i>
Hector Osvaldo Chomer <i>JUZGADO DE PRIMERA INSTANCIA EN LO COMERCIAL</i>	Federico Leonhardt <i>LEONHARDT, DIETL, GRAF &amp; VON DER FECHT</i>	Sebastián Rodrigo <i>ALFARO ABOGADOS</i>	<b>ARMENIA</b> <i>THE STATE COMMITTEE OF REAL PROPERTY CADASTRE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA</i>	Georgi Khachatryan <i>AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP</i>
Agustín Comastri <i>G. BREUER</i>	Francisco Lobos <i>LLERENA &amp; ASOCIADOS ABOGADOS</i>	Ignacio Rodriguez <i>PWC ARGENTINA</i>	Mher Aghabekyan <i>YEREVAN MUNICIPALITY</i>	Rafik Khachatryan <i>KPMG</i>
Roberto O. Condoleo <i>RCBM AUDITORES Y CONSULTORES TRIBUTARIOS</i>	Pilar Lodewyckx Hardy <i>ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA</i>	Juan Ignacio Ruiz <i>ALFARO ABOGADOS</i>	Sergey Aghinyan <i>PUBLIC SERVICES REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ARMENIA</i>	Vigen Khachatryan <i>AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP</i>
Julio Condomí Alcorta <i>ESCRIBANÍA CONDOMÍ</i>	Juan Manuel Magadan <i>PWC ARGENTINA</i>	Diego Salaverri <i>SALAVERRI, DELLATORRE, BURGIO &amp; WETZLER MALBRÁN</i>	Mike Ahern <i>PWC</i>	Stanislav Kolesnikov <i>ELECTRIC NETWORKS OF ARMENIA</i>
Roberto H. Crouzel <i>ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA</i>	Tomas Martinez Casas <i>LLERENA &amp; ASOCIADOS ABOGADOS</i>	Luz María Salomón <i>J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS</i>	Amalia Artymanyan <i>PARADIGMA ARMENIA CJSC</i>	Hayk Mamajanyan <i>ARLEX INTERNATIONAL CJSC</i>
Gabriel de Albadelejo <i>ECOVIS ARGENTINA RAMOGNINO, DE ALBALADEJO &amp; ASOCIADOS SC</i>	Andrés May <i>SECRETARIA GENERAL DEL GOBIERNO DE LA CIUDAD DE BUENOS AIRES</i>	Juan Martin Salvador de Arzuaga <i>DE DIOS &amp; GOYENA ABOGADOS CONSULTORES</i>	Zaruhi Arzuamnyan <i>LEGELATA</i>	Gor Margaryan <i>LEGELATA</i>
Oscar Alberto del Río <i>CENTRAL BANK OF ARGENTINA</i>	Pedro Mazer <i>ALFARO ABOGADOS</i>	Gonzalo J. Sanchez <i>SANCHEZ, LUPI &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Hayk Asatryan <i>YEREVAN MUNICIPALITY</i>	Nshan Martirosyan <i>ARLEX INTERNATIONAL CJSC</i>
Noelia Aldana Di Stéfano <i>J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS</i>	Julián Melis <i>CANDIOTI GATTO BICAIN &amp; OCANTOS</i>	Ramiro Santurio <i>LEONHARDT, DIETL, GRAF &amp; VON DER FECHT</i>	Ella Atoyan <i>PWC ARMENIA</i>	Armen Melkumyan <i>FIDELITY CONSULTING CJSC</i>
Analía Verónica Durán <i>MBB BALADO BEVILACQUA ABOGADOS</i>	María Fernanda Mierez <i>ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA</i>	Mariela Alejandra Sas <i>M. &amp; M. BOMCHIL</i>	Gayane Babayan <i>AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Rajiv Nagri <i>GLOBALINK LOGISTICS GROUP</i>
Dana Eizner <i>SEVERGNINI, ROBIOLA, GRINBERG &amp; TOMBEUR</i>	Diego Minerva <i>MITRANI CABALLERO OJAM &amp; RUIZ MORENO</i>	Enrique Schinelli <i>LEONHARDT, DIETL, GRAF &amp; VON DER FECHT</i>	Anushik Baghdasaryan <i>AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Narine Nersisyan <i>PWC ARMENIA</i>
Daniel Fernandez de la Torre <i>CONSULTORES SRL</i>	Jorge Miranda <i>CLIPPERS SA</i>	Carolina Serra <i>ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA</i>	Artur Buduryan <i>LEGELATA</i>	Shavarsh Petakchyan <i>ILEX LAW FIRM</i>
Sonia Ferrari <i>CONSULTORES SRL</i>	Ino Mosse <i>CONSTRUIMOS</i>	Maria Shakespear <i>ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA</i>	Hovhannes Chamsaryan <i>AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Naira Petrosyan <i>PARADIGMA ARMENIA CJSC</i>
Pablo Ferraro Mila <i>GONZALEZ &amp; FERRARO MILA</i>	Miguel P. Murray <i>MURRAY, ANGUILLES, GUYOT, ROSSI &amp; SIRITO DE ZAVALÍA</i>	Osvaldo Solari Costa <i>INTERNATIONAL UNION OF NOTARIES</i>	Aharon Chilingaryan <i>PARADIGMA ARMENIA CJSC</i>	Sarhat Petrosyan <i>URBANLAB YEREVAN</i>
Diego M. Fissoire <i>G. BREUER</i>	Pedro Nicholson <i>ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA</i>	Federico Sosa <i>ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA</i>	Arsen Chitchyan <i>THE COLLEGium OF BUSINESS-MANAGERS' BANKRUPTCY - SRO</i>	Suren Petrosyan <i>SP CONSULTING LLC</i>
María Victoria Funes <i>M. &amp; M. BOMCHIL</i>	Luciano José Nistíco <i>J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS</i>	Maria Florencia Sota Vazquez <i>ALFARO ABOGADOS</i>	Azat Dunamalyan <i>ARSHINBANK CJSC</i>	Hayk Pogosyan <i>ARSARQTEX LLC</i>
Ignacio Funes de Rioja <i>FUNES DE RIOJA &amp; ASOCIADOS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Alfredo Miguel O'Farrell <i>MARVAL, O'FARRELL &amp; MAIRAL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Pablo Staszewski <i>STASZEWSKI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Aikanush Edigaryan <i>TRANS-ALLIANCE</i>	Nare Sahakyan <i>ARSHINBANK CJSC</i>
Eduardo Galleazzi <i>ARCHTECT</i>	Ricardo Tavieres <i>PWC ARGENTINA</i>	Ricardo Tavieres <i>PWC ARGENTINA</i>	Shoghik Gharibyan <i>KPMG</i>	Thomas Samuelian <i>ARLEX INTERNATIONAL CJSC</i>
Alfredo García Samartino <i>SMART LOGISTICS</i>	Matías Olcese <i>HOLT ABOGADOS</i>	María Paula Terrel <i>HOLT ABOGADOS</i>	Mihran Grigoryan <i>AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Gor Shahbazyan <i>PWC ARMENIA</i>
Martin Gastaldi <i>ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA</i>			Tigran Grigoryan <i>AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Ruben Shakhmuradyan <i>COMFORT R&amp;V</i>
			Alla Hakhnazaryan <i>LEGELATA</i>	Aleksey Sukyan <i>COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE</i>

Hakob Tadevosyan GRANT THORNTON LLP	Amy Stiles NSW OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL	Alexander Klauser BRAUNEIS KLAUSER PRÄNDL RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH	Lukas A. Weber BRAUNEIS KLAUSER PRÄNDL RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH	Kamala Hajiyeva EY
Anoush Ter-Vardanyan AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP	Damian Sturzaker MARQUE LAWYERS	Florian Klimscha FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER	Elisabeth Zehetner-Piewald AUSTRIAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Shamhal Hasanov BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED
Liana Yordananyan TER-TACHATYAN LEGAL AND BUSINESS CONSULTING	Simon Truskett CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Christian Köttl MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Anton Zeilinger MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Farid Huseynov EKVITA
Aram Zakaryan ACRA CREDIT BUREAU	Cameron Watson WHITE & CASE AUSTRALIA	Rudolf Krickl PWC AUSTRIA	Kathrin Zeller FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER	Ruhriyya Isayeva DENTONS
<b>AUSTRALIA</b>		Michaela Krist CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Gadir Ismayilov AZERISHIQ OJSC
HILL SHIRE CITY COUNCIL	Bruce Whittaker ASHURST LLP	Gerald Mitteregger INTERNATIONAL LOGISTIC GATEWAY		Delara Israfilova BM MORRISON PARTNERS LLC
TREASURY OF AUSTRALIA	Kellie Woodward MCKAYS LAWYERS	Johannes Mrazek AUSTRIAN REGULATORY AUTHORITY		Zaki Jabyiev
Paul Agnew MCKAYS LAWYERS	Amanda Wu ASHURST LLP	Gerhard Muggenhuber BEV - FEDERAL OFFICE OF METROLOGY & SURVEYING		Aladdin A. Jafarov BAKU CITY YASAMAL DISTRICT COURT
Irene Argerves WHITE & CASE AUSTRALIA		Thomas Müller FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER		Ummi Jalilova GRATA INTERNATIONAL
Mariam Azzo CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Elke Napokoj BPV HÜGEL		Anar Jamammadov MGB LAW OFFICES
Harold Bolitho KING & WOOD MALLESONS	Thomas Bareder ÖSTERREICHISCHE NATIONAL BANK	Markus Bitterl GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH		Gunduz Karimov BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED
Lynda Brumm PWC AUSTRALIA	Henri Bellando GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH	Sonja Bydlinski MINISTRY OF JUSTICE		Bahar Kavuzova PWC AZERBAIJAN
Andrea Castle WHITE & CASE AUSTRALIA		Thomas Deutinger FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER		Elnur Mammadov PWC AZERBAIJAN
Amanda Coneyworth FERRIER HODGSON MH SDN BHD		Martin Ebner SCHOENHERR		Sahib Mammadov CITIZENS' LABOUR RIGHTS PROTECTION LEAGUE
Fiona Curl WHITE & CASE AUSTRALIA		Tibor Fabian BINDER GRÖSSWANG RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH		Zaur Mammadov EY
Mark Dalby OFFICE OF STATE REVENUE, NSW TREASURY		Julian Feichtinger CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Aysel Mammadova BHM BAKU LAW CENTRE LLC
Stephen Davis NEXIA AUSTRALIA		Martin Foerster GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH		Faiq S. Manafov UNIBANK
Kristy Dixon MARQUE LAWYERS		Ferdinand Graf GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH		Gumru Mehdiyeva BHM BAKU LAW CENTRE LLC
Paul Evans MCKAYS LAWYERS		Andreas Hable BINDER GRÖSSWANG RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH		Ilgar Mehti EKVITA
Philip Harvey KING & WOOD MALLESONS		Sebastian Haensse GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH		Rauf Memmedov AZERBAIJAN CUSTOMS COMMITTEE
Stephen Jauncey HENRY DAVIS YORK		Herbert Herzig AUSTRIAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE		Telman Memmedov MINISTRY OF TAXES
Morgan Kelly FERRIER HODGSON MH SDN BHD		Alexander Hofmann LAWYER		Elkhan Mikayilov SECTOR OF ASSISTANT SERVICE OF THE PRESIDENT OF AZERBAIJAN REPUBLIC ON ECONOMIC REFORMS
Felicia Lal MARQUE LAWYERS		Marianne Hrdlicka Armin Immervoll MINISTRY OF FINANCE		Farhad Mirzayev BM MORRISON PARTNERS LLC
Melanie Lam CAMPHIN BOSTON - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL		Alexander Isola GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH		Ruslan Mirzayev ADREM ATTORNEYS
John Martin THOMSON GEER		Rudolf Kandl Armit Gururaj Karanth PPC INSULATORS AUSTRIA GMBH		Ruslan Mukhtarov BM MORRISON PARTNERS LLC
Mitchell Mathas NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT		Zsofia Kerkapoly SCWP SCHINDHELM AUSTRIA		Aynur Musayeva EXPERT SM LTD.
Nicholas Mavrakis CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Birgit Vogt-Majarek KUNZ SCHIMA WALLENTIN RECHTSANWÄLTE OG, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS		Altay Mustafayev BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED
Aaron McKenzie MARQUE LAWYERS		Eugen Velicu STRABAG SE		Turkan Mustafayeva BHM BAKU LAW CENTRE LLC
Patricia Muscat PWC AUSTRALIA		Birgit Kettlgruber FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER		Sabina Orujova DENTONS
Mia Rafa MCKAYS LAWYERS		Thomas Trettak CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Ramiz Rustamov SIN RRG MMC
Dean Schiller FAYMAN INTERNATIONAL PTY. LTD.		Gerhard Wagner KSV 1870		Zenfira Rzayeva MINISTRY OF EMERGENCY SITUATIONS, STATE AGENCY FOR CONTROL OVER CONSTRUCTION SAFETY
Ruwan Senanayake				Arzu Hajiyeva EY
Jeremy Shelley ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DEPARTMENT				

Shabnam Sadigova <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Portia Nicholson <i>HIGGS &amp; JOHNSON</i>	Laith Damer <i>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>	Mahdi Amin <i>COMFORT GROUP OF INDUSTRIES</i>	Afsana Khan <i>LEE, KHAN &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Leyla Safarova <i>BM MORRISON PARTNERS LLC</i>	Andrew G.S. O'Brien II <i>GLINTON   SWEETING   O'BRIEN</i>	Qays H. Zu'bi <i>ZU'BI &amp; PARTNERS ATTORNEYS &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Mohammed Asaduzzaman <i>SYED ISHTIAQ AHMED &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Anwar A. Khan <i>GENESIS DENIM</i>
Mustafa Salamov <i>BM MORRISON PARTNERS LLC</i>	Arthur K. Parris, Jr. <i>PARRISWHITTAKER</i>	Najma Hassan <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS, MUNICIPALITIES AND URBAN PLANNING</i>	A.S.A. Bari <i>A.S. &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Farhana Islam Khan <i>SYED ISHTIAQ AHMED &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
Nazim Shukurov <i>AUDIT AZERBAIJAN</i>	Courtney Pearce-Hanna <i>CALLENDERS &amp; CO.</i>	Hessa Hussain <i>THE BENEFIT COMPANY</i>	Kazi Bari <i>K.A. BARI &amp; CO.</i>	Mashfiqul Haque Khan <i>LEX JURIS</i>
Sona Taghiyeva <i>DENTONS</i>	Prince Rahming <i>PWC BAHAMAS</i>	Khaled Jamsheer <i>BAHRAIN ENGINEERING BUREAU</i>	Avijit Barua <i>GEOSERVICES MARITIME BD PVT. LTD.</i>	Md. Mydul H. Khan <i>LEX JURIS</i>
Anar A. Umudov <i>ALIBI PROFESSIONAL LEGAL &amp; CONSULTING SERVICES</i>	Chad D. Roberts <i>CALLENDERS &amp; CO.</i>	Jawad Habib Jawad <i>BDO</i>	Kapil Basu <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS PVT. LTD.</i>	Rukhsana Khan <i>LEX JURIS</i>
Ilkin Veliyev <i>MINISTRY OF TAXES</i>	Castino D. Sands <i>LENNOX PATON</i>	Anil Kumar <i>KANO SHIPPING - YUSUF BIN AHMED KANOOW WLL</i>	Sushmita Basu <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS PVT. LTD.</i>	Sarjean Rahman Lian <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>
Michael Wilson <i>MICHAEL WILSON &amp; PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Rochelle Sealy <i>PWC BAHAMAS</i>	Khalid Leila <i>MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY &amp; COMMERCE</i>	Md. Halim Bepari <i>HAFIZ AND HAQUE SOLICITORS</i>	Kazi Mahboob <i>A. WAHAB &amp; CO.</i>
Javid Yusifov <i>CASPION LEGAL CENTER</i>	Merrit A. Storr <i>CHANCELLOR CHAMBERS</i>	Omar Manassaki <i>ZU'BI &amp; PARTNERS ATTORNEYS &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Mir Osman Bin Nasim <i>LAWYER</i>	Saqeb Mahbub <i>MAHBUB &amp; COMPANY</i>
Aygun Zeynalova <i>MGB LAW OFFICES</i>	Burlington Strachan <i>BAHAMAS ELECTRICITY CORPORATION</i>	Ali Makki <i>MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY &amp; COMMERCE</i>	Paavan Chhabra <i>HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC</i>	Shiykh Mahdi <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>
Ulvia Zeynalova-Bockin <i>DENTONS</i>	Roy Sweeting <i>GLINTON   SWEETING   O'BRIEN</i>	Ali Marhoon <i>MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY &amp; COMMERCE</i>	Arif Moinuddin Chowdhury <i>MUNIM &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Mohammad Moniruzzaman <i>THE LAW COUNSEL</i>
<b>BAHAMAS, THE</b>				
Jane Adams <i>KPMG</i>	Thomas Whitehead <i>OSPREY CONSTRUCTION</i>	Omar Manassaki <i>ZU'BI &amp; PARTNERS ATTORNEYS &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Junayed A. Chowdhury <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>	Kamrun Nahar
Kevin Basden <i>BAHAMAS ELECTRICITY CORPORATION</i>	Dwayne Whilly <i>LENNOX PATON</i>	Ali Marhoon <i>MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY &amp; COMMERCE</i>	Md. Liaquat H. Chowdhury <i>M.L.H. CHOWDHURY &amp; CO.</i>	Sifat Jahan Nikita <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>
Sonia Brown <i>GRAPHITE ENGINEERING LTD.</i>	Ahmed Abbas Abdulla <i>HASSEN RADHI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	ZU'BI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS & LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Arif Moinuddin Chowdhury <i>ANCHOR LOGISTICS</i>	Tanvir Quader <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>
Dayrrl Butler <i>MOORE STEPHENS BUTLER &amp; TAYLOR CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS AND BUSINESS ADVISORS</i>	Ahmed Abdulla <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS, MUNICIPALITIES AND URBAN PLANNING</i>	Hassan Ali Radhi <i>HASSEN RADHI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Swad Chowdhury <i>COUNSELS LAW PARTNERS</i>	Al Amin Rahaman <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>
Kimberley Cleare <i>PWC BAHAMAS</i>	Mohammed Al Ali <i>AL ALI &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS LAW OFFICE</i>	Noor Radhi <i>HASSEN RADHI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Md. Khademul Islam Choyon <i>OBITER DICTUM</i>	Habiba Rahman <i>SELF FASHION LIMITED</i>
Kandice Davis <i>UTILITIES REGULATION &amp; COMPETITION AUTHORITY</i>	Amel Al Aseeri <i>ZEENAT AL MANSOORI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Najib F. Saade <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Nasirud Doulaah <i>DOULAH &amp; DOULAH</i>	Md. Saidur Rahman <i>SELF FASHION LIMITED</i>
Surinder Deal <i>HIGGS &amp; JOHNSON</i>	Zeenat Al Mansoori <i>ZEENAT AL MANSOORI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Naji Sabt <i>SURVEY AND LAND REGISTRATION BUREAU</i>	Shamsud Doulaah <i>DOULAH &amp; DOULAH</i>	Tameem Rahaman <i>OGR LEGAL</i>
Craig G. Delancy <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS &amp; TRANSPORT</i>	Salem Al Qti <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS, MUNICIPALITIES AND URBAN PLANNING</i>	Oleg Shmal <i>PWC BAHRAIN</i>	Dewan Faisal <i>A.S. &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Zarin Rahman <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>
Randol Dorsett <i>UTILITIES REGULATION &amp; COMPETITION AUTHORITY</i>	Reem Al Rayes <i>ZEENAT AL MANSOORI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Baiju Thomas <i>AGILITY LOGISTICS</i>	Osman Goni <i>OGR LEGAL</i>	Badhan Roy <i>RAHMAN'S CHAMBERS</i>
Amos J. Ferguson Jr. <i>FERGUSON ASSOCIATES &amp; PLANNERS</i>	Waleed Al Sabbagh <i>BAHRAIN CUSTOMS</i>	Aseel Zimmo <i>SUPREME JUDICIAL COUNCIL</i>	Simon Guidecq <i>MUHAMMAD TANVIR HASHEM MUNIM</i>	Saroj Gopal Roy <i>RAHMAN'S CHAMBERS</i>
Wendy Forsythe <i>IMPORT EXPORT BROKERS LTD.</i>	Noor Al Taraif <i>ZU'BI &amp; PARTNERS ATTORNEYS &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	CHITTAGONG WATER SUPPLY AND SEWERAGE AUTHORITY	Munim <i>MUNIM &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Ridi Rubaiyat <i>TANJIB ALAM AND ASSOCIATES</i>
Vann P. Gaitor <i>HIGGS &amp; JOHNSON</i>	Dana Alghareeb <i>HAYA RASHED AL KHALIFA</i>	DHAKA ELECTRICITY SUPPLY COMPANY LTD. (DESCO)	Anam Hossain <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>	Sadia Sarah <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>
Bryan A. Glinton	Shehzab Ameen <i>AGILITY LOGISTICS</i>	Munir Uddin Ahamed <i>WAC LOGISTICS LIMITED</i>	Farhana Hossain <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>	Ammatal Uzma Sathi <i>A.S. &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
Pamela Hill <i>BAHAMAS POWER AND LIGHT</i>	Nada Azmi <i>BAHRAIN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BOARD</i>	Suprim Ahammed <i>KPMG</i>	Faria Huq <i>A.S. &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Mohd. Shariful Islam Shaheen <i>BANGLADESH ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION</i>
Amanda John <i>LENNOX PATON</i>	Laverne Bacaser <i>EY</i>	Gias Ahmed <i>AUKO-TEX GROUP</i>	Ashiq Imran <i>FIALKA</i>	Sohail Shakoor <i>PRONAYON</i>
Yolande Julien	Steven Brown <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Rajin Ahmed <i>DOULAH &amp; DOULAH</i>	Arif Imtiaz <i>LEX JURIS</i>	Karisma Sharif <i>HR SOLUTIONS</i>
Ja'Ann Major <i>HIGGS &amp; JOHNSON</i>	Samir Can'an <i>GULF HOUSE ENGINEERING SPC</i>	Sayede Abdullah Al Mamun Khan <i>A.S. &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Md Aminul Islam <i>CITY APPAREL-TEX CO.</i>	Imran Siddiqi <i>THE LAW COUNSEL</i>
Simone Morgan-Gomez <i>CALLENDERS &amp; CO.</i>	Piyush Bhandari <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	K.M. Tanjib-Ul Alam <i>TANJIB ALAM AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Shairee Islam <i>TANJIB ALAM AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Tasnia Siddiqui <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>
Lester J. Mortimer Jr. <i>CALLENDERS &amp; CO.</i>	Nafiu Alam <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>	Nafiu Alam <i>DBL GROUP</i>	Abdul Jabbar <i>A.S. &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	A.M. Mahbub Uddin <i>MAHBUB &amp; COMPANY</i>
Michael Moss <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Shajib Mahmood Alam <i>COUNSELS LAW PARTNERS</i>	Shajib Mahmood Alam <i>FIALKA</i>	Mohammed Jabbar <i>DBL GROUP</i>	Abdul Wahab <i>A. WAHAB &amp; CO.</i>
Andrea Moultrie <i>HIGGS &amp; JOHNSON</i>			Abdul Khaleque <i>FIALKA</i>	Nurul Wahab <i>A. WAHAB &amp; CO.</i>
			Abdul Monem Khan <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>	Alicia Yen <i>HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC</i>
				Sabrina Zarin <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>

**BARBADOS**

CLARKE GITTENS FARMER

Alicia Archer

ARTEMIS LAW

Kevin Boulard

ROTHERLEY  
CONSTRUCTION INC.Patricia Boyce  
EVERSON R. ELOCK  
& CO. LTD.Andrew F. Brathwaite  
KPMG BARBADOSKevin Burke  
ROTHERLEY  
CONSTRUCTION INC.Vincent Burnett  
MINISTRY OF LABOR AND  
SOCIAL SECURITY AND HUMAN  
RESOURCE DEVELOPMENTTrevor A. Carmichael  
CHANCERY CHAMBERSAdrian Carter  
THE BARBADOS LIGHT AND  
POWER COMPANY LTD.Berkeley Clark  
BJS CUSTOMS SERVICE INC.Heather A. Clarke  
CORPORATE AFFAIRS  
AND INTELLECTUAL  
PROPERTY OFFICEAndrew Cox  
MINISTRY OF LABOR AND  
SOCIAL SECURITY AND HUMAN  
RESOURCE DEVELOPMENTSherica J. Mohammed  
Cumberbatch  
CARRINGTON & SEALYAdrian W. Cummins  
CARRINGTON & SEALYGloria Eduardo  
PWC BARBADOSAdrian M. Elcock  
EVERSON R. ELOCK  
& CO. LTD.Antonio Elcock  
EVERSON R. ELOCK  
& CO. LTD.Andrew C. Ferreira  
CHANCERY CHAMBERS

Mark Franklin

Sharalee M.J. Gittens  
CHANCERY CHAMBERSAnice C.N. Granville  
LEX CARIBBEANMarianne Greenidge  
KPMG BARBADOSLiza A. Harridyal-Sodha  
HARRIDYAL-SODHA  
& ASSOCIATESJomo Crowther McGlinne  
Hope

ARTEMIS LAW

Claudette Hope-Greenidge  
MINISTRY OF LABOR AND  
SOCIAL SECURITY AND HUMAN  
RESOURCE DEVELOPMENTNicholas Hughes  
BDO BARBADOSKeisha N. Hyde Porchetta  
HARRIDYAL-SODHA  
& ASSOCIATESLouisa Lewis-Ward  
KPMG BARBADOSPercy Murrell  
BIG P. CUSTOMS BROKERS  
AND AIR SEA AND LAND  
TRANSPORT INC.Laurel Odle  
PWC BARBADOSRohan Pennegan  
KPMG BARBADOSSheridan A. Reece  
CARRINGTON & SEALYThayreesa Singh  
LEX CARIBBEANLyntia Skeete  
MOUNT GAY DISTILLERIES  
LTD./REMY AMERICASHeather Tull  
DAVID KING & CO.,  
ATTORNEYS-AT-LAWKaye A. Williams  
PINEBRIDGE LAWStephen Worme  
THE BARBADOS LIGHT AND  
POWER COMPANY LTD.**BELARUS**

RUP BELENERGOSETPROEKT

Anastasia Akulich  
BOROVTSOV & SALEIAliaksandr Anisovich  
PROMAUDITAnastasia Belenkevich  
FBK BEL - PKF INTERNATIONALVladimir G. Biruk  
CAPITAL GROUPSergei Boiko  
MINSK CABLE (ELECTRICAL)  
NETWORKDmitry Bokhan  
VERKHOVODKO &  
PARTNERS LLCKatsiaryna Buraya  
SYSOUEV, BONDAR,  
KHRAPOUTSKI SBH LAW OFFICEIrina Butko  
EGOROV PUGISNKY AFANASIEV  
AND PARTNERS (EPA&P)Alexander Buzo  
EGOROV PUGISNKY AFANASIEV  
AND PARTNERS (EPA&P)Maksim Chernykh  
MINSK CABLE (ELECTRICAL)  
NETWORKEugenia Chetverikova  
PWC BELARUSSergey Chistyakov  
STEPANOVSKI, PAPAKUL &  
PARTNERS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAWAliaksandr Danilevich  
DANILEVICH & VOLOZHINETSTatsiana Fadzeyeva  
BNT LEGAL & TAXAliaksei Fidzek  
PWC BELARUSValentine Galich  
VERDICT LAW OFFICEMaria Golovko  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMNikolai Gorelik  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMElena Hmeleva  
VERKHOVODKO &  
PARTNERS LLCAntonina Ivanova  
ANTONINA IVANOVA  
LEGAL PRACTICEUlyana Kavalionak  
BNT LEGAL & TAXYuri Kazakevitch  
RÖDL & PARTNER, BELARUSDmitry Khimonchyk  
SOFTCLUB LLCAlexandre Khrapoutska  
SYSOUEV, BONDAR,  
KHRAPOUTSKI SBH LAW OFFICESergey Khromov  
VERKHOVODKO &  
PARTNERS LLCSiarhei Khvastovich  
ALTHAUS LTD.Alexander Kirienko  
AGENCY OF TURNAROUND  
TECHNOLOGIESNina Knyazeva  
VERKHOVODKO &  
PARTNERS LLCAlexander Kononov  
GRANT THORNTONNadezhda Koroleva  
SYSOUEV, BONDAR,  
KHRAPOUTSKI SBH LAW OFFICEAlexander Korsak  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMMikhail Y. Kostyukov  
ATTORNEY-AT-LAWDmitry Kovalchik  
STEPANOVSKI, PAPAKUL &  
PARTNERS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAWYuri Kozikov  
BOROVTSOV & SALEIYevgeniya Leonidovna  
Kravchenko

AZ CONSULTANT

Inna Leus  
MINISTRY OF JUSTICEYuliya Liashenko  
VLAZOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERSAlexander Ließem  
BNT LEGAL & TAXSergel Makarchuk  
CHSH CERHA HEMPEL  
SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI BELARUSNatalya Makanek  
GRANT THORNTONMaksim Maksimov  
VERKHOVODKO &  
PARTNERS LLCViktor Marinitch  
RÖDL & PARTNER, BELARUSElena Mashonskaya  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMSergey Mashonksy  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMYuliya Matsiuk  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMIrina Mazurina  
VERDICT LAW OFFICEAleksei Mikhailov  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMAnna Miritskaya  
BNT LEGAL & TAXYulia Mironchik  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMAleksandr Mironichenko  
MINISTRY OF ECONOMYDmitry Montik  
LAWYERAndrei Mucha  
MINSK CABLE (ELECTRICAL)  
NETWORKVitaliy Nasanovich  
VERKHOVODKO &  
PARTNERS LLCValentina Neizvestnaya  
RSM BEL AUDITElena Orda  
NATIONAL BANK OF THE  
REPUBLIC OF BELARUSVeronika Pavlovskaya  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMDzina Pinchuk  
PWC BELARUSSergey Pinchuk  
LAWYER

Victor Pleonkin

NATIONAL BANK OF THE  
REPUBLIC OF BELARUSVera Poklonskaya  
EGOROV PUGISNKY AFANASIEV  
AND PARTNERS (EPA&P)Kirill Prihodko  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMAnna Rusetskaya  
EGOROV PUGISNKY AFANASIEV  
AND PARTNERS (EPA&P)Olga Rybakovskaya  
MINISTRY OF ENERGYIllia Salei  
BOROVTSOV & SALEIVassili I. Salei  
BOROVTSOV & SALEIElena Saapego  
STEPANOVSKI, PAPAKUL &  
PARTNERS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAWLiubov Sergeevna Kulba  
GOELLNER SPEDITIONAnna Shalimo  
VERKHOVODKO &  
PARTNERS LLCKatsiaryna Shmatsina  
AMERICAN BAR  
ASSOCIATION SECTION OF  
INTERNATIONAL LAWYuliya Shuba  
BOROVTSOV & SALEIMaksim Slepitch  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMVitaliy Sorokin  
NATIONAL BANK OF THE  
REPUBLIC OF BELARUSKlim Stashevsky  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMAlla Sundukova  
MINISTRY OF TAXES  
AND DUTIESDmitry Tihno  
PWC BELARUSNikita Tolkanitsa  
CHSH CERHA HEMPEL  
SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI BELARUSElizaveta Trakhalina  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMNikita Nikolayevich Trosko  
VLAZOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERSDennis Turovets  
EGOROV PUGISNKY AFANASIEV  
AND PARTNERS (EPA&P)Alena Usenia  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMPavel Velishkevich  
GRANT THORNTONIrina Veremeichuk  
VERKHOVODKO &  
PARTNERS LLCDmitry Viltovsky  
ARZINGER & PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRMEkaterina Zabello  
VLAZOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERSVadzim Zakreuski  
MINISTRY OF ENERGYOlga Zdobnova  
VLAZOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERSEkaterina Zheltonoga  
VERDICT LAW OFFICEMaksim Zhukov  
SYSOUEV, BONDAR,  
KHRAPOUTSKI SBH LAW OFFICEMaxim Znak  
JURZNAK LAW FIRM LLC**BELGIUM**Hubert André-Dumont  
MC GUIREWOODS LLPJan Bael  
NOTARIAAT 14Herlinde Baert  
NOTARIAAT 14Matthias Bastiaen  
PWC BELGIUMMichel Bonne  
VAN BAEL & BELLISPatrick Boone  
PWC BELGIUMHakim Boularbah  
LIEDEKERKE WOLTERS  
WAELBROECK KIRKPATRICK,  
MEMBER OF LEX MUNDIStan Brjis  
NAUTADUTILHSara Cappelle  
MONARD LAWMartijn De Meulemeester  
PWC BELGIUMKris De Schutter  
LOYENS & LOEFFDidier De Vliegher  
NAUTADUTILHEric Dirix  
COUR DE CASSATIONCamille Dümm  
NATIONAL BANK OF BELGIUMDavid DuPont  
ASHURST LLPDanaïs Fol  
LOYENS & LOEFFAlex Franchimont  
CROWELL & MORING

Alain François EUBELIUS ATTORNEYS	Robert Vermetten TRANSPORT & PROJECT LOGISTICS	Abdou Kabir Adoumbou CABINET MAÎTRE SAKARIYAOU NOURO-GUIWA	Hermann Senou ENTREPRISE GÉNÉRALE DE CONSTRUCTION MACKHO	Karma Yeshey MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS
Liesbet Fransen FEDERAL PUBLIC SERVICE FINANCE	Ivan Verougstraete COUR DE CASSATION	Rodolphe Kadoukpe Akoto Rafikou Agnla Alabi CABINET MAÎTRE RAFIKOU ALABI	Agbdjan Serge Prince LAWYER	
Pierre-Yves Gillet CABINET D'ARCHITECTE	Katrien Vorlat MONARD LAW	Françoise Amoussou NOUVELLE VISION	Yessoufou Tanda MINISTÈRE DU CADRE DE VIE ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT DURABLE	
Conny Grenson EUBELIUS ATTORNEYS	Bram Vuylsteke NOTARY BRAM VUYLSTEKE	Aum Rockas Amoussouvi CABINET RAFIKOU A. ALABI	Jean-Bosco Todjinou ECOPLAN SARL	
Jean-Luc Hagon NAUTADUTILH	Tom Wallyn PWC BELGIUM	Charles Badou CABINET D'AVOCATS CHARLES BADOU	Gilles Togan MAERSK BENIN SA	
Cedric Hauben DLA PIPER UK LLP	Luc Weyts	Ferdinand Bokossa Yaou ENGINEER	Augustin Fatondji Tonan PORT AUTONOME DE COTONOU	
Sophie Jacmain NAUTADUTILH	Dirk Wouters WOUTERS, VAN MERODE & CO. BEDRIJFSREVISOREN BVBA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Is-Dine Bouraima AGENCE DE PROMOTION DES INVESTISSEMENTS ET DES EXPORTATIONS (APIEX)	Bénoit Wandi AGENCE NATIONALE DU DOMAINE ET DU FONCIER (ANDF)	
An Jacobs LIEDEKERKE WOLTERS WAELBROEK KIRKPATRICK, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Nicola Zenoni ASHURST LLP	Setondji Pierre Codjia CABINET D'AVOCATS CHARLES BADOU	Adjété Fabrice O. Wilson CABINET MAÎTRE RAFIKOU ALABI	
Evelien Jamaels CROWELL & MORING				
Stéphanie Kervyn de Meerendré DEMINOR SA	Emil Arguelles ARGUELLES & COMPANY LLC	Bonaventure Dansou AFRICA HANDLING AND LOGISTICS		
Marianne Laruelle	Jenny Armstrong BELIZE COMPANIES AND CORPORATE AFFAIRS REGISTRY	Michel Degbo SOCIÉTÉ BÉNINOISE D'ÉNERGIE ÉLECTRIQUE	Tika Ram Bhandari CONTINENTAL ACCOUNTS & CONSULTANCY SERVICE	
Stephan Legein FEDERAL PUBLIC SERVICE FINANCE	Andrew Bennett GLENN D. GODFREY & CO. LLP	Nadine Dossou Sakponou CABINET ROBERT M. DOSSOU	Sonam Chophel CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU OF BHUTAN	
Nathalie Locht MC GUIREWOODS LLP	Herbert Bradley HERBERT BRADLEY CUSTOM HOUSE BROKERS	Rodrigue Dossou-Togbe	Samten Dhendup THIMPHU THROMDE	
Catherine Longeval VAN BAEL & BELLIS	Christopher Coye COURTENAY COYE LLP	Djakaridja Fofana PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Bhim Dhungel ZORIG CONSULTANCY PVT. LTD.	
Axel Maeterlinck SIMONT BRAUN	Ana Maria Espat STRUKTURE ARCHITECTS	Nadege Honvo	Kencho Dorji LEKO PACKERS	
Allan Magerotte EUBELIUS ATTORNEYS	Russell Longsworth CARIBBEAN SHIPPING AGENCIES LTD.	Narcisse Justin Soglo ORDRE NATIONAL DES ARCHITECTES ET URBANISTES	Kencho Dorji MINISTRY OF FINANCE	
Jan Moerkerke ROYAL FEDERATION OF MORTGAGE KEEPERS OF BELGIUM	Fred Lumor FRED LUMOR & CO.	Noel Kelembho SDV LOGISTICS	Choki Gytshen MINISTRY OF FINANCE	
Pascale Moreau PWC BELGIUM	Tania Moody BARROW & WILLIAMS	William Kodjoh-Kpakpassou TRIBUNAL DE PREMIÈRE INSTANCE DE ABOMEY CALAVI	Goutam Mukherjee KCR PRIVATE LIMITED	
Johan Mouraux DLA PIPER UK LLP	Estevan Perera ESTEVAN PERERA & COMPANY LLP	Monique Kothofa Faihun ETUDE MAÎTRE KOTHOFIA FIHUN	Tenzin Namgay NATIONAL LAND COMMISSION SECRETARIAT	
Leo Peeters PEETERS ADVOCATES-AVOCATS	Vanessa Retreage REYES RETREAGE LLP	Victorien D. Kougblenou AGENCE NATIONALE DU DOMAINE ET DU FONCIER (ANDF)	Tashi Penjor MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS	
Emmanuel Plasschaert CROWELL & MORING	Aldo Reyes REYES RETREAGE LLP	Alain René Kpetehoto CABINET ARTECH	Dorji Phuntsho ROYAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE OF BHUTAN LTD.	
Johan Poedts SIBELGA	Wilfred Rhaburn W. RHABURN CONSULTING	Sakariayou Nourou-Guiwa CABINET MAÎTRE SAKARIYAOU NOURO-GUIWA	Shrawan Pradhan NICHE FINANCIAL SERVICES	
Aurélie Pollie NAUTADUTILH	Patricia Rodriguez BELIZE COMPANIES AND CORPORATE AFFAIRS REGISTRY	Arouna Oloulade SOCIÉTÉ BÉNINOISE D'ÉNERGIE ÉLECTRIQUE	Parishad Rai BHUTAN SILICON METAL PRIVATE LIMITED	
Eric Schmitz PWC BELGIUM	Giacomo Sanchez GRANT THORNTON LLP	Claude Olympio MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE ET DE LA LEGISLATION	Jamyang Sherab GARUDA LEGAL SERVICES	
Kristof Slootmans DLA PIPER UK LLP	Llewelyn Usher INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION	Jules Pofagi	Neelam Thapa LEKO PACKERS	
Frédéric Souchon PWC BELGIUM	Saidi Vaccaro ARGUELLES & COMPANY LLC	Alexandrine Fallatou Saizonou-Bedie CABINET D'AVOCATS ALEXANDRINE F. SAIZONOU-BEDIE	Karma Tshewang VISIT ASIA	
Timothy Speelman MC GUIREWOODS LLP	Lisa Zayden HORWATH BELIZE LLP	Olagnika Salam OFFICE NOTARIAL OLAGNIKA SALAM	Prakash Veer Tyagi GATEWAY RAIL FREIGHT LIMITED	
Bernard Thysbaert DEMINOR SA		Alidou Sare AGENCE NATIONALE DU DOMAINE ET DU FONCIER (ANDF)	Kinley Wangdi CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU OF BHUTAN	
Bram Van Cauwenberge NAUTADUTILH			Phuntsho Wangdi MINISTRY OF FINANCE	
Jan Van Celst DLA PIPER UK LLP	BCEAO		Sonam Wangdi MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND HUMAN RESOURCES	
Gill Van Damme PWC BELGIUM	FIDUCIAIRE CONSEIL ET ASSISTANCE (FCA)			
Yannick Van Ranst FEDERAL PUBLIC SERVICE FINANCE	GUOCE			
Bart Van Rossum B.T.V.	JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.			
	Modeste Abiala BOLLORÉ TRANSPORT & LOGISTICS			

Jaime M. Jiménez Alvarez COLEGIO DE INGENIEROS ELECTRICISTAS Y ELECTRÓNICOS LA PAZ	Jorge N. Serrate WÜRTH BEDOYA COSTA DU RELS ABOGADOS	Salko Kruho DB SCHENKER	Ružica Topić ATTORNEY-AT-LAW OFFICE LAWYERS	Bone Motau COLLINS NEWMAN & CO.
Rodrigo Jiménez-Cusicanqui SALAZAR SALAZAR & ASOCIADOS	Diego Tamayo WÜRTH BEDOYA COSTA DU RELS ABOGADOS	Sejda Kruščica-Fežić JP ELEKTROPRIVREDA BIH PODRUŽNICA	RUŽICA TOPIĆ, NEBOJŠA MAKARIĆ, SASA TOPIĆ	Mmatshipi Motsepe MANICA AFRICA PTY. LTD.
Paola Justiniano Arias SANJINÉS & ASOCIADOS - ABOGADOS	A. Mauricio Torrico Galindo QUINTANILLA, SORIA & NISHIZAWA SOC. CIV.	ELEKTRODISTRIBUCIJA SARAJEVO	Edin Zametica DERK (STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION)	Robert Mpabanga TRANSUNION BOTSWANA (PTY) LTD.
Fernando Krutzfeldt Monasterio VON BORRIES BLANCO ESTUDIO DE ABOGADOS	Ramiro Velasco COLEGIO DE INGENIEROS ELECTRICISTAS Y ELECTRÓNICOS LA PAZ	Emil Kučković LRC CREDIT BUREAU		Walter Mushi COLLINS NEWMAN & CO.
Omar Martinez Velasquez AUTORIDAD DE FISCALIZACIÓN Y CONTROL SOCIAL DE ELECTRICIDAD (AE)		Muamer Mahmutovic CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF CANTON SARAJEVO		Olebile Daphney Muzila BOOKBINDER BUSINESS LAW
Alejandra Bernal Mercado C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Nebojsa Makarić ATTORNEY-AT-LAW OFFICE LAWYERS		Gasepale Nametso SLIGHT SHIFT PTY. LTD.
Rubí Mondaca AUTORIDAD DE SUPERVISIÓN DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO		RUŽICA TOPIĆ, NEBOJŠA MAKARIĆ, SASA TOPIĆ		Rajesh Narasimhan GRANT THORNTON LLP
Ariel Morales Vasquez C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Branko Marić MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM		Kwadwo Osei-Ofei OSEI-OFEI SWABI & CO.
Ramiro Moreno MORENO BALDIVIESO ESTUDIO DE ABOGADOS		Međrima Memić-Drino PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICE OF ZENICA-DOBOK CANTON		Onalenna Otaadisa Diloro BOOKBINDER BUSINESS LAW
Ana Carola Muñoz Añez INDACOACHE & ASOCIADOS		Dario Bišćević DB SCHENKER		Rory Peynado GABORONE CITY COUNCIL
Mirko Olmos C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Bojana Bošnjak-London MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM		Fred Phiri DALGLIESH LINDSAY GROUP ARCHITECTS
David Pando AUTORIDAD DE SUPERVISIÓN DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO		Mubera Brkovic PWC BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA		Karen Phiri ARMSTRONGS ATTORNEYS
Carlos Pinto FERRERE ATTORNEYS		Zlatko Čengić UNIONINVEST D.D.		Butler Phiri PWC BOTSWANA
Rocío Plata RIGOBERTO PAREDES & ASSOCIATES		Mia Delić SPAHO LAW OFFICE		Joanne Robinson OSEI-OFEI SWABI & CO.
Oscar Antonio Plaza Ponte Sosa BURO DE INFORMACIÓN INFOCENTER SA		Slaven Dizdar MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM		Piyush Sharma PIYUSH SHARMA ATTORNEYS
Guillermo Pou Munt CEAS SRL		Višnja Dizdarević MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM		Moemedi J. Tafa ARMSTRONGS ATTORNEYS
Ilda Raga Prado SOCIEDAD DE INGENIEROS DE BOLIVIA		Amina Dugum		Girlie Tobedza CHIBANDA, MAKGALEMELE & CO.
Joaquín Rodríguez AUTORIDAD DE FISCALIZACIÓN Y CONTROL SOCIAL DE ELECTRICIDAD (AE)		Feda Dupovac ADVOVATSKO DRUŠTVO SPAHO D.O.O. SARAJEVO		Nilusha Weeraratne PWC BOTSWANA
Patricia Rojas C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Dina Grebo CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF CANTON SARAJEVO		<b>BRAZIL</b>
Mariela Rojas Mendieta BURO DE INFORMACIÓN INFOCENTER SA		Arijana Hadžiahmetović-Softić MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM		
Sergio Salazar-Arce SALAZAR SALAZAR & ASOCIADOS		Kemal Hadžimusic CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF CANTON SARAJEVO		
Sergio Salazar-Machicado SALAZAR SALAZAR & ASOCIADOS		Vedran Hadžimustafić WOLF THEISS D.O.O. SARAJEVO		
Sandra Salinas C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Nermin Hadžiosmanović PWC BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA		
Raúl Sanjinés Elizagoyen SANJINÉS & ASOCIADOS - ABOGADOS		Samra Hadžović LAW OFFICE HADZOVIĆ IN ASSOCIATION WITH WOLF THEISS		
Carla Saracho WBC ABOGADOS SRL		Zijad Hasović KOMORA REVIZORA FBIH		
		Amir Husić LAGERMAX AED BOSNA I HERZEGOVINA D.O.O.		
		Nusmir Huskić HUSKIC LAW OFFICE		
		Emir Ibisević DELOITTE ADVISORY SERVICES D.O.O.		
		Arela Jusufbasić-Goloman LAWYERS' OFFICE TKALCIC- DULIC, PREBANIC, RIZVIC & JUSUFBASIC-GOLOMAN		
		Harun Kahvedžić PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICE OF ZENICA-DOBOK CANTON AND UNIVERSITY IN ZENICA		
		Nedžadka Kapidžić NOTARY		

Amanda Arêas <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Diana Braga Nascimento Toscani <i>BRAGA NASCIMENTO E ZILIO LAW FIRM</i>	Pedro Costa <i>BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &amp; ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Heloisa Bonciani Nader di Cunto <i>DUARTE GARCIA, CASELLI GUIMARÃES E TERRA ADVOGADOS</i>	Jessica Frisch Rozes Kimbelat <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>
Luiza Arjona <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Leonardo Brandao <i>EY SERVIÇOS TRIBUTÁRIOS SS</i>	Bruno Henrique Coutinho de Aguiar <i>RAYES &amp; FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Cristiano Dias <i>COSTA E TAVARES PAES SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Everton Gabriel Monezzi <i>BRAGA NASCIMENTO E ZILIO LAW FIRM</i>
Ticiana Ayala <i>CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA, CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES, RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Natalia Brasil Correa da Silva <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Sergio Bronstein <i>COSTA E TAVARES PAES SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Maria Cibele Crepaldi Affonso dos Santos <i>COSTA E TAVARES PAES SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Rafael Gagliardi <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>
Matheus Azevedo Bastos de Oliveira <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	João Henrique Brum <i>DOMINGES E. PINHO CONTADORES</i>	Marcelo Leonardo Cristiano <i>FRAGA, BEKIERMAN E CRISTIANO ADVOGADOS</i>	José Ricardo dos Santos <i>Luz Júnior</i>	Rodrigo Garcia da Fonseca <i>FONSECA E SALLES LIMA ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS</i>
Josef Azulay <i>BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &amp; ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Marcus Brumano <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Camilla Cunha <i>BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &amp; ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Wagner Douglas Dockhorn <i>COPREVI ADVOCACIA PREVIDENCIÁRIA</i>	Rafaella Gentil Gervaerd <i>CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA, CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES, RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>
Aldo Azullini <i>GRUPO BECTRANS</i>	Fernanda Ferreira <i>Bastos Buhatem</i>	Gabriel da Câmara de Queiroz <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Rodrigo Duarte <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Luis Filipe Gentil Pedro <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>
Bruno Baldiucini <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	<i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Carlos da Costa e Silva Filho <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Brigida Melo e Cruz <i>Gama Filho</i>	Murilo Germiniani <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>
Rafael Baptista Baleroni <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Frederico Buosi <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>	Pedro da Cunha e Silva de Carvalho <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>	Bruna Esch <i>BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &amp; ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Daniel Giacomini <i>BRAGA NASCIMENTO E ZILIO LAW FIRM</i>
Rodrigo Baraldi dos Santos <i>BARALDI ADVOCACIA EMPRESARIAL</i>	Luiz Guilherme Camargo <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Adriana Daiuto <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Renata Espinola Gomes <i>EY SERVIÇOS TRIBUTÁRIOS SS</i>	Deborah Christina Giacomini <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>
Sarah Barbassa <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Paulo Campana <i>FELSBERG ADVOGADOS</i>	João Luis Ribeiro de Almeida <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	João Paulo F.A. Fagundes <i>RAYES &amp; FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Luiz Marcelo Gois <i>BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &amp; ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>
Priscyla Barbosa <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Raíssa Campelo <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Ana Beatriz de Almeida Lobo <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Fabio Falkenburger <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Rodrigo Gomes Maia <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>
Matheus Barcelos <i>BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &amp; ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Renato Canizares <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Fernando Amaral de Almeida Prado <i>SINERCONSULT</i>	Vanessa Felício <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Diógenes Gonçalves <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>
Sergio Basso <i>AES ELETROPAULO</i>	Angela Carvalho <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Fabiola Meira de Almeida Santos <i>BRAGA NASCIMENTO E ZILIO LAW FIRM</i>	Thomas Benes Felsberg <i>FELSBERG ADVOGADOS</i>	Renata Gonçalves <i>HALLIBURTON PRODUTOS LTDA</i>
Fernanda Bastos <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Angela Pedreira de Freitas Joaquim de Carvalho <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	João Victor de Barros <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Josney Ferraz <i>UNITS AUDITORES INDEPENDENTES</i>	Jean Stnio Goncalves Feitosa <i>BRL GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i>
Leonardo Bastos Carvalho <i>LETECH ENGENHARIA</i>	David Carvalho <i>KRAFT ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS</i>	Rodrigo de Castro <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	João Guilherme Ferreira <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Maria Eduarda Goston <i>Tisi Ferraz</i>
Júlio Henrique Batista <i>GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS</i>	Érika Carvalho <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Rafael De Conti <i>DE CONTI LAW OFFICE</i>	Marilia Ferreira de Miranda <i>TABELIÃ DE NOTAS E PROTESTO DE SANTA BRANCA/SP</i>	<i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>
Roberto Bekierman <i>FRAGA, BEKIERMAN E CRISTIANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Thiago Carvalho Stob <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Otavio Augusto De Farias Carratu <i>GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS</i>	Gabriella Ferreira do Nascimento <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Eduardo Ferraz Guerra <i>GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS</i>
Gilberto Belleza <i>BELLEZA &amp; BATALHA C. DO LAGO ARQUITETOS ASSOCIADOS</i>	Ramon Castilho <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	João Claudio De Luca Junior <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Renata Fialho <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Marco Guerra <i>KÖNIG DO BRASIL CARGA INTERNACIONAL LTDA</i>
Marcello Bernardes <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Roberto Castro <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Beatriz Gross Bueno de Moraes Gomes de Sá <i>DE VIVO, WHITAKER E CASTRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Wesley Figueira <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Raphael Guerra <i>KÖNIG DO BRASIL CARGA INTERNACIONAL LTDA</i>
Leonardo Bertolazzi <i>BRAGA NASCIMENTO E ZILIO LAW FIRM</i>	Fernanda Cirne Montofano Gibson <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Daniela de Pontes Andrade <i>LOBO &amp; DE RIZZO ADVOGADOS</i>	Guilherme Filardi <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Antônio Carlos Guidoni Filho <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>
Camila Biral Vieira da Cunha Martins <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Ricardo E. Vieira Coelho <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Gabriela Dell Agnolo de Carvalho <i>ZEIGLER E MENDONÇA DE BARROS SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS (ZMB)</i>	Nadio Filho <i>SMX LÓGISTICS</i>	Bruno Habib Negreiros <i>Barbosa VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>
Rodrigo Bittencourt <i>ULHÔA CANTO, REZENDE E GUERRA-ADVOGADOS</i>	Roberta Coelho de Souza Batalha <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Nádia Demoliner Lacerda da Silva <i>MUNDIE E ADVOGADOS</i>	Rodolpho Finimundi <i>BRAGA NASCIMENTO E ZILIO LAW FIRM</i>	Enrique Hadad <i>LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS</i>
Alexander Blanco de Oliveira <i>WORLD LINE FREIGHT FORWARDER LTDA</i>	Vivian Coelho dos Santos Breder <i>ULHÔA CANTO, REZENDE E GUERRA-ADVOGADOS</i>	Eduardo Depassier <i>LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS</i>	Leandro Amorim C. Fonseca <i>COSTA E TAVARES PAES SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Felipe Hansmann <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>
Amir Bocayuva Cunha <i>BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &amp; ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Caroline Cordeiro <i>COSTA E TAVARES PAES SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Claudia Derenussion Riedel <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Alessandra Fonseca de Moraes <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Luis Hiar <i>LEFOSSE ADVOGADOS</i>
Mellina Bortoli Caliman <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Luiz Felipe Cordeiro <i>CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA, CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES, RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Eduardo Depassier <i>LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS</i>	Julian Fonseca Peña Chediak <i>CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA, CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES, RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Alberto Jun Il Shin <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>
Carlos David Albuquerque Braga <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Marcel Cordeiro <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>	Claudia Derenussion Riedel <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Luiz Carlos Fraga <i>FRAGA, BEKIERMAN E CRISTIANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Carlos Augusto Leite Junqueira <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>

Breno Kingma <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Estêvão Mallet <i>MALLET E ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS</i>	Vladimir Mucury Cardoso <i>CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA, CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES, RENNO E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Antonio Celso Pugliese <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>	Fernando Semerdjian <i>LOBO &amp; DE RIZZO ADVOGADOS</i>
Dan Kraft <i>KRAFT ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS</i>	Glaucia Mara Coelho <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Ian Muniz <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Marcelo Pupo <i>FELSBERG ADVOGADOS</i>	Erik Sernik <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>
Gabriela Kriek <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Johnnatan Maranhao <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Ana Carolina Musa <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Ricardo Quaresma <i>XYZ EXPORT</i>	Donizetti Antônio Silva <i>DAS CONSULTORIA</i>
Laila Kurati <i>SERASA SA</i>	Manuel Marinho <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>	Cássio S. Namur <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	João Ramos <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Eduardo Simões Lanna <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>
Sergio André Laclau <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Deborah Marques <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Jorge Nemr <i>LEITE, TOSTO E BARROS</i>	Ronaldo Rayes <i>RAYES &amp; FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Michel Siqueira Batista <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>
José Paulo Lago Alves Pequeno <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Ana Marra <i>EY SERVIÇOS TRIBUTÁRIOS SS</i>	Flávio Nicoletti Siqueira <i>STTAS</i>	Gabriella Reao <i>ULHÔA CANTO, REZENDE E GUERRA-ADVOGADOS</i>	Lívia Sousa Borges Leal <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>
Daniel Lago Rodrigues <i>REGISTRO DE IMÓVEIS DE TABOÃO DA SERRA</i>	Stefania Martignago <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF &amp; AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Walter Nimir <i>ZEIGLER E MENDONÇA DE BARROS SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS (ZMB)</i>	Anita Reis <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Guilherme Spinacé <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>
Alessandro Lambiasi <i>DE CONTI LAW OFFICE</i>	Aldo Martinez <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Sergio Niskier	Elisa Rezende <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Walter Stuber <i>WALTER STUBER CONSULTORIA JURÍDICA</i>
Thomás Lampster <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Larissa Martins <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Vitor Novo <i>LEITE, TOSTO E BARROS</i>	Andrea Ribeiro <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Marcos Tabatschnic <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>
José Augusto Leal <i>CASTRO, BARROS, SOBRAL, GOMES ADVOGADOS</i>	Vinicius Martins <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Flávio Nunes	Erika Ribeiro <i>de Menezes Pascoal DE CONTI LAW OFFICE</i>	Rodrigo Takano <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>
André Leão <i>COSTA E TAVARES PAES SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Renata Martins de Oliveira <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Michael O'Connor <i>GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS</i>	Laura Ribeiro Visotto <i>1º CARTÓRIO DE NOTAS DE SÃO JOSÉ DOS CAMPOS</i>	Bruno Tanus Job e Meira <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>
Alexandre Leite Ribeiro do Vale <i>VM&amp;L SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Roberta R. Matheus <i>LEFOSSE ADVOGADOS</i>	Evany Oliveira <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>	Luis Fernando Riskalla <i>LEITE, TOSTO E BARROS ADVOGADOS</i>	Celina Teixeira <i>18º OFÍCIO DE NOTAS</i>
Charles Lenzi <i>AES ELETROPAULO</i>	Gisela Mation <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	João Oliveira <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Beatriz Roditi Lilenbaum <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Rodrigo Teixeira <i>LOBO &amp; DE RIZZO ADVOGADOS</i>
Karina Lerner <i>BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &amp; ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Eduardo Augusto Mattar <i>PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS</i>	Lidia Amalia Oliveira Ferranti <i>VM&amp;L SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Viviane Rodrigues <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Maurício Teixeira Santos <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>
Rafael Lins e Silva Nascimento <i>COSTA E TAVARES PAES SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Gustavo Mattos <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>	Eduardo Ono Terashima <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Marcelo Rolim <i>ROLINVEST</i>	Milena Tesser <i>RAYES &amp; FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>
Guilherme Lippel <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Marcelo Mattos <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Lucas Passos <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Fábio Rosas <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Carlos Augusto Texeira da Silva
Maury Lobo de Athayde <i>CHAVES, GELMAN, MACHADO, GILBERTO E BARBOZA</i>	Thiago Medaglia <i>FELSBERG ADVOGADOS</i>	Ivana Pedreira Coelho <i>CASTRO, BARROS, SOBRAL, GOMES ADVOGADOS</i>	José Luiz Rossi <i>SERASA SA</i>	Gustavo Treistman <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>
Odilon Lopes <i>UNITS AUDITORES INDEPENDENTES</i>	Davi Medina Vilela <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Rogério Rabelo Peixoto <i>BANCO CENTRAL DO BRASIL</i>	Gisele Trindade <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>	Gisele Trindade <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>
Tiago Lopes <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Aloysio Meirelles de Miranda <i>ULHÔA CANTO, REZENDE E GUERRA-ADVOGADOS</i>	Gabrielle Pelegrini <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Lia Roston <i>RAYES &amp; FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Bruno Valente <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>
Leticia Lucas <i>BARALDI ADVOCACIA EMPRESARIAL</i>	Adilson Melo <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>	Rafaella Pepe <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Jorge Roylei Kou <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>	Luiz Fernando Valente <i>De Paiva PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>
Zora Lyra <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Adriano Mendes <i>ASSIS E MENDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Paula Pereira <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Victor Saldanha <i>BRAGA NASCIMENTO E ZILIO LAW FIRM</i>	Nickolas Valentim Risovas <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>
Marina Maccabelli <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Camila Mendes <i>KINCAID   MENDES VIANNA ADVOGADOS</i>	Nívio Perez dos Santos <i>NEW-LINK COM. EXT. LTDA</i>	Cristina Salvador <i>BARALDI ADVOCACIA EMPRESARIAL</i>	Christiane Valese <i>RAYES &amp; FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>
Thiago Machado <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Marianne Mendes Webber <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Maria Pia Bastos-Tigre <i>Buchheim BASTOS - TIGRE, COELHO DA ROCHA E LOPEZ ADVOGADOS</i>	Rodrigo Sanchez <i>SERASA SA</i>	Kamile Medeiros Valle <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>
Pedro Maciel <i>LEFOSSE ADVOGADOS</i>	Marina Meyer <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF &amp; AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Claudio Pieruccetti <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Rafael Santos <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Ronaldo C. Veirano <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>
Lucilena Madaleno <i>EY SERVIÇOS TRIBUTÁRIOS SS</i>	Mônica Missaka <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Antonio Claudio Pinto <i>da Fonseca CONSTRUTORA MG LTDA</i>	Priscilla Saraiva <i>ULHÔA CANTO, REZENDE E GUERRA-ADVOGADOS</i>	Maria Tereza Vellano <i>AES ELETROPAULO</i>
Renato G.R. Maggio <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Aline Moraes <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Cássia Pizzotti <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Carolina Guerra Sarti <i>COSTA E TAVARES PAES SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Anna Carolina Venturini <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>
José Guilherme do Nascimento Malheiro <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Lycia Moreira <i>FRAGA, BEKIERMAN E CRISTIANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Renato Poltronieri <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Julia Schulz Rotenberg <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Ademilson Viana <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>
	Gustavo Morel <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Durval Araulo Portela Filho <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>	Sabine Schuthoff <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF &amp; AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Marcelo Viegas <i>MAR &amp; MAR ENGENHARIA</i>
		Marcos Prado <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>		Ana Cecília Viegas Madasi <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>
				Hugo Vieira <i>MUNDIE E ADVOGADOS</i>

Victoria Villegas Boacnín <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Siti Norzainah Binti Azharan <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>	Mahmoud Syaheer Yusoff <i>TABUNG AMANAH PEKERJA</i>	Kristina Gouneva <i>DOBREV &amp; LYUTSKANOV</i>	Slavi Mikinski <i>LEGALEX LAW OFFICE</i>
Eric Visini <i>FELSERG ADVOGADOS</i>	Robin Cheok <i>CHEOK ADVOCATES &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Zulina Zainal Abidin <i>ROYAL CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT</i>	Katerina Gramatikova <i>DOBREV &amp; LYUTSKANOV</i>	Yordan Minkov <i>DINOVA RUSEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Rafael Vitelli Depieri <i>1º CARTÓRIO DE NOTAS DE SÃO JOSÉ DOS CAMPOS</i>	Wong Chung Hong <i>CHUNG HONG SDN. BHD.</i>		Hristian Guergoriev <i>DINOVA RUSEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Lyubomira Miteva <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>
José Carlos Wahle <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Zul'Amali DP H Idris <i>ARKITEK IDRIS</i>		Stefan Gugushev <i>GUGUSHEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Yordanka Mravkova <i>REGISTRY AGENCY OF BULGARIA</i>
Eduardo Guimarães Wanderley <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Kunal Fabiani		Yassen Hristev <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Vladimir Natchev <i>ARSOV, NACHEV, GANEVA</i>
Karin Yamauti Hatanaka <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &amp; FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Simon Guidecoq		Hristina Hristova <i>DHL EXPRESS BULGARIA</i>	Yordan Naydenov <i>BOYANOV &amp; CO.</i>
Natalia Yazbek <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Mohammad Faizal Haji Ali <i>BRUNEI METHANOL COMPANY</i>	Rusalena Angelova <i>DIJINGOV, GOUGINSKI, KYUTCHUKOV &amp; VELICHKOV</i>	Velyana Hristova <i>PENKOV, MARKOV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Hristo Nihrizov <i>DIMITROV, PETROV &amp; CO.</i>
Flavio Yoshida <i>RAYES &amp; FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Nina Jasmine Haji Bahrin <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>	Elitsa Asenova <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Krasimira Ignatova <i>PWC BULGARIA</i>	Maria Pashalieva <i>PENKOV, MARKOV &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Andre Zanin de Oliveira <i>FEDERAÇÃO NACIONAL DAS AGENCIAS DE NAVEGAÇÃO MARÍTIMA FENAMAR</i>	Norzanah Hamzali <i>LANDS DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF DEVELOPMENT</i>	Ina Bankovska <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Iliya Iliev <i>PRIMORSKA AUDIT COMPANY - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Viktor Pavlov <i>DIRECTORATE FOR STATE SUPERVISION CONTROL IN CONSTRUCTION</i>
<b>BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</b>				
ABDULLAH AHMAD ARCHITECTS	Farah Kong <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>	Plamen Borissov <i>BORISSOV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Ginka Iksrova <i>PWC BULGARIA</i>	Ilian Petkov <i>ISPDD</i>
ARKITEK IBRAHIM	Susan Law <i>D'SUNLIT SDN BHD</i>	Emil Cholakov <i>LM LEGAL SERVICES LTD.</i>	Rossen Ivanov <i>ARSOV, NACHEV, GANEVA</i>	Teodora Popova <i>PENEV LLP</i>
ECO BUMI ARKITEK	Simon Leong <i>KR KAMARULZAMAN &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Christopher Christov <i>PENEV LLP</i>	Vesela Kabatliyska <i>DINOVA RUSEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Bozhko Poryazov <i>DELCHEV &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
KHA ARKITEK	Kathy Lim <i>C H WILLIAMS TALHAR &amp; WONG SDN BHD</i>	Nikolay Cvetanov <i>PENKOV, MARKOV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Angel Kalaidjiev <i>KALAIDJIEV &amp; GEORGIEV</i>	Maria Pramatarova <i>SOFIA MUNICIPALITY - TOWN HALL</i>
RIDZLAN LIM ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS	Muhammad Billy Lim <i>ARKITEK REKAJAYA</i>	Ralitza Damyanova <i>DELCHEV &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Vladi Kalinov <i>SOFIA MUNICIPALITY - TOWN HALL</i>	Nikolay Radev <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Zainon Abang <i>LANDS DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF DEVELOPMENT</i>	Abdul Aziz <i>CCW PARTNERSHIP</i>	Maria Danailova <i>DANAILOVA, TODOROV AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Dessislava Karpulска <i>PWC BULGARIA</i>	Silvia Ribanchova <i>SCHOENHERR</i>
Rena Azlina Abd Aziz <i>REGISTRY OF COMPANIES &amp; BUSINESS NAMES</i>	Harold Ng <i>SEPAKAT SETIA PERUNDING ENGINEERING CONSULTANT</i>	Emil Delchev <i>DELCHEV &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Hristina Kirilova <i>KAMBOUROV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Milen Rusev <i>DINOVA RUSEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Amiruddin Abdul Aziz <i>ARKITEK AZIZ</i>	Ahmad Norhayati <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>	Daniel Borisov Delev <i>SOFIA MUNICIPALITY - TOWN HALL</i>	Violeta Kirova <i>BOYANOV &amp; CO.</i>	Aneta Sarlova <i>DANAILOVA, TODOROV AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
HJH Siti Norishan HJ Abdul Ghafor <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>	Siti Norishan <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>	Kostadinka Deleva <i>GUGUSHEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Nikolay Kolev <i>BOYANOV &amp; CO.</i>	Boiko Sekiranov <i>SOFIA MUNICIPALITY - TOWN HALL</i>
Nur Shahreera Abdullah <i>TABUNG AMANAH PEKERJA</i>	Ghazalin Pengarah <i>LANDS DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF DEVELOPMENT</i>	Valeria Dieva <i>KALAIDJIEV &amp; GEORGIEV</i>	Rada Koleva <i>PWC BULGARIA</i>	Gergana Shinikova <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Saharana Ahmad <i>LANDS DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF DEVELOPMENT</i>	Awangku Aziz Pengiran Ali Hassan <i>ENERGY AND INDUSTRY DEPARTMENT</i>	George Dimitrov <i>DIMITROV, PETROV &amp; CO.</i>	Ilya Komarevski <i>TSVETKOVA BEBOV KOMAREVSKI</i>	Julian Spassov <i>MCGREGOR &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Hajah Norajimah Haji Ajie <i>DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS</i>	Dayang Hajah Rahayu Dato Paduka Haji Abdul Razak <i>DARUSSALAM ASSETS SDN BHD</i>	Tzvetelina Dimitrova <i>GEORGIEV, TODOROV &amp; CO.</i>	Yavor Kostov <i>ARSOV, NACHEV, GANEVA</i>	Krum Stanchev <i>ELIA PLC</i>
Erma Ali Rahman <i>REGISTRY OF COMPANIES &amp; BUSINESS NAMES</i>	Veronica K Rajakanu <i>ZULS PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Alexandra Doytchinova <i>SCHOENHERR</i>	Yordan Kostov <i>STEPHAN KYUTCHUKOV</i>	Petar Stefanov <i>RUTEK</i>
Aishah Alkaff <i>ROYAL CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT</i>	Yvonne Sim	Simeon Draganov <i>GEODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND CADASTER AGENCY</i>	Anita Laleva <i>NATIONAL REVENUE AGENCY</i>	Nina Stoeva <i>LEGALEX LAW OFFICE</i>
Najibah Aziz <i>ROYAL CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT</i>	Wario Tacbad <i>ARKITEK HAZA</i>	Silvia Dulevska <i>BULGARIAN NATIONAL BANK</i>	Nina Lazarova <i>REGISTRY AGENCY OF BULGARIA</i>	Tsvetelina Stoilova <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Mohammed Roaizan bin Haji Johari <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>	C.K Tan <i>B.T. FORWARDING COMPANY</i>	Krasimir Gebrev <i>GEODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND CADASTER AGENCY</i>	Jordan Manahilov <i>BULGARIAN NATIONAL BANK</i>	Roman Stoyanov <i>PENKOV, MARKOV &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Kasmat Bin Hj Kaling NBT (BRUNEI)	Bernard Tan Thiam Swee	Zornitsa Genova <i>CEZ DISTRIBUTION BULGARIA AD, MEMBER OF CEZ GROUP</i>	Todor Manev <i>DOBREV &amp; LYUTSKANOV</i>	Donka Stoyanova <i>DIMITROV, PETROV &amp; CO.</i>
Mahri Bin Hj Latif <i>GEMILANG LATIF ASSOCIATES</i>	Amanda Ting	Ani Petkova Georgieva <i>NATIONAL REVENUE AGENCY</i>	Svetozar Manolov <i>SOFIA MUNICIPALITY - TOWN HALL</i>	Vessela Tcherneva-Yankova <i>V CONSULTING BULGARIA</i>
Mohamad Iskandar Zulkarnain Bin Omar Ali <i>ZULS PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Ting Tiu Pheng <i>ARKITEK TING</i>	Tatiana Gerganova <i>SOFIA MUNICIPALITY - TOWN HALL</i>	Hristina Manolova <i>DANAILOVA, TODOROV AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Yordan Terziev <i>ARSOV, NACHEV, GANEVA</i>
Penigran Nina Jasmine Binti AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM	Cecilia Wong <i>TRICOR (B) SDN BHD</i>	Ralitsa Gougleva <i>DIJINGOV, GOUGINSKI, KYUTCHUKOV &amp; VELICHKOV</i>	Ivan Marinov <i>DELCHEV &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Alexandrina Terziyska <i>GUGUSHEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>
	Kie Kong Yeong <i>B.T. FORWARDING COMPANY</i>		Elena Marinova <i>BULGARIAN NATIONAL BANK</i>	Laura Thomas <i>LM LEGAL SERVICES LTD.</i>
	Soon Teck Yu <i>PETAR PERUNDING SDN BHD</i>		Dimitrinka Metodieva <i>GUGUSHEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Kaloyan Todorov <i>DANAILOVA, TODOROV AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>

Svilen Todorov <i>TODOROV &amp; DOYKOVA LAW FIRM</i>	Ali Neya <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS ALI NEYA</i>	OBR <i>PSD</i>	Vasco Carvalho Oliveira Ramos <i>ENGIC ENGENHEIROS ASSOCIADOS LDA</i>	Lidia Sancha <i>ILIDIO CRUZ &amp; ASSOCIADOS - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>
Lyubomira Todorova <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Sayouba Neya <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS ALI NEYA</i>	Gahama Alain <i>FINABANK SA</i>	Ilídio Cruz <i>ILIDIO CRUZ &amp; ASSOCIADOS - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>	Viviane Santos <i>SAMP - SOCIEDADES DE ADVOGADOS</i>
Toma Tomov <i>DOBREV &amp; LYUTSKANOV</i>	Eric N'Guessan <i>EY</i>	Jean Marie Barambona <i>UNIVERSITÉ DU BURUNDI</i>		Tito Lívio Santos <i>Oliveira Ramos ENGIC ENGENHEIROS ASSOCIADOS LDA</i>
Dilyana Tsoleva <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Lamoussa H. Ouattara <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPIHO</i>	Cyprien Bigirimana <i>MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE</i>	Paulo David <i>UBAGO GROUP - FRESCOMAR, SA</i>	Laure Smith <i>BOM SPEC, LDA</i>
Georgi Tzvetkov <i>DJINGOV, GOUGINSKI, KYUTCHUKOV &amp; VELICHKOV</i>	Anna T. Ouattara-Sory <i>CABINET ME PAULIN SALAMBÉRÉ</i>	Remy Bigirimana <i>GUICHET UNIQUE DE BURUNDI</i>	Manuel de Pina <i>SAMP - SOCIEDADES DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Armindo Sousa <i>FPS</i>
Dimitar Georgiev Valkanov <i>SOFIA WATER</i>	André Ouedraogo <i>CABINET BONKOUNGOU</i>	Joseph Gitonyotsi <i>GUICHET UNIQUE DE CRÉATION D'ENTREPRISE</i>	Daniel Delgado <i>INLOGISTICS - AGÊNCIA DE NAVIGAÇÃO E TRANSITARIOS SA</i>	José Spinola <i>FPS</i>
Miroslav Varnaliev <i>UNIMASTERS LOGISTICS PLC</i>	Madina Ouedraogo <i>BUREAU D'ASSISTANCE À LA CONSTRUCTION (BAC) SARL</i>	René-Claude Madebari <i>LEGAL SOLUTION CHAMBERS</i>	Dúnia Delgado <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Salvador Varela <i>ADVOCACIA CONSULTORIA JURÍDICA</i>
Siyana Veleva <i>KINKIN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Martin Ouedraogo <i>UNION INTERNATIONALE DE NOTARIAT</i>	Stanislas Makoroka <i>UNIVERSITÉ DU BURUNDI</i>	Jorge Lima Delgado Lopes <i>CONSULTOR GOVERNAÇÃO ELETRÔNICA</i>	Leendert Verschoor <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>
Dimitar Plamenov Velichkov <i>GEODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND CADASTER AGENCY</i>	N. Henri Ouedraogo <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Anatole Miburo <i>CABINET ANATOLE MIBURO</i>	Amanda Fernandes <i>ILIDIO CRUZ &amp; ASSOCIADOS - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>	<b>CAMBODIA</b>
Mariana Velichkova <i>TSVETKOVA BEBOV KOMAREVSKI</i>	Oumarou Ouedraogo <i>CABINET OUEDRAOGO</i>	Anatole Nahayo <i>UNIVERSITÉ DU BURUNDI</i>	Brites Fernandes <i>PMAR CABO VERDE</i>	<i>CREDIT BUREAU (CAMBODIA) CO. LTD.</i>
Nedyalka Vylcheva <i>DELCHEV &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Ousmane Honore Ouedraogo <i>MAISON DE L'ENTREPRISE DU BURKINA FASO</i>	Horace Ncutiyumuheto <i>NCUTI LAW FIRM &amp; CONSULTANCY</i>	Tomás Garcia Vasconcelos <i>DELOITTE</i>	Chankoulia Bo <i>BNG LEGAL</i>
Monika Yaneva <i>KALAIJDIEV &amp; GEORGIEV</i>	Roger Omer Ouedraogo <i>ASSOCIATION PROFESSIONNELLE DES TRANSITAIRES &amp; COMMISSIONNAIRES EN DOUANE AGREES</i>	Claude Ndayimirije <i>CGPR</i>	Joana Gomes Rosa <i>ADVOCACIA - CONSULTORIA</i>	Lam Bui <i>MAERSK LINE CAMBODIA</i>
Sofia Yordanova <i>PENEV LLP</i>	Assana Pare <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPIHO</i>	Charles Nihangaza <i>CONSULTANT CHARLES NIHANGAZA</i>	Avdesh Kumar <i>JMD TRADING, LDA</i>	Seng Bun Huy <i>MAR ASSOCIATES</i>
Iliyana Zhoteva <i>REGISTRY AGENCY OF BULGARIA</i>	Jules Sadou <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPIHO</i>	Janvier Nsengiyumva <i>REGIDESO</i>	Mirco Lima <i>PISO - SOC. DE IMOBILIÁRIA E CONTRUÇÕES, LDA</i>	Buth Bunsaya <i>ACLEDA BANK PLC</i>
<b>BURKINA FASO</b>	Kady Salia <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPIHO</i>	Emmerence Ntahonkuriye <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRAL DE L'URBANISME ET L'HABITAT (DGHU)</i>	José Maria Lima Barbosa <i>ADUANEIRO JOSE MARIA LBV</i>	Sreyepou Chaing <i>CSP &amp; ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>
BCEAO	Hermann Lambert Sanon <i>GROUPE HAGE</i>	Happy-Hervé Ntwari <i>LEGAL SOLUTION CHAMBERS</i>	Teresa Livramento Monteiro <i>DULCE LOPES, SOLANGE LISBOA RAMOS, TERESA LIVRAMENTO MONTEIRO- SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Vajiravann Chamnan <i>DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) CO. LTD.</i>
CREDITINFO VOLO	Moussa Ousmane Sawadogo <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Patrick-Didier Nukuri <i>BURUNDI LEGAL SPACE</i>	Ana Cristina Lopes Semedo <i>BANCO DE CABO VERDE</i>	Eaknguon Chea <i>HBS LAW</i>
GIFA SARL	Abdoul Aziz Son <i>CABINET PIERRE ABADIE</i>	Déogratias Nzembé <i>AVOCAT À LA COUR</i>	João Medina <i>NEVILLE DE ROUGEMONT &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Phanin Cheam <i>MUNICIPALITY OF PHNOM PENH BUREAU OF URBAN AFFAIRS</i>
JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.	Marc N. Souga <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPIHO</i>	Hubert Jacques Nzigamasabo <i>ABUTIP</i>	Fernando Aguiar Monteiro <i>ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS</i>	Heng Chhay <i>R&amp;T SOK &amp; HENG LAW OFFICE</i>
NAVITRANS	Alassane Tiemtore <i>AUTORITÉ DE RÉGULATION DU SOUS-SECTEUR DE L'ELECTRICITÉ (ARSE)</i>	Willy Rubeya <i>RUBEYA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Wanderleya Nascimento <i>SAMP - SOCIEDADES DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Rina Chhun <i>HBS LAW</i>
Pierre Abadie <i>CABINET PIERRE ABADIE</i>	Franceline Toé-Bouda <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS ME FRANCELINE TOÉ-BOUDA</i>	Benjamin Rufagari <i>GPO PARTNERS BURUNDI, A CORRESPONDENT FIRM OF DELOITTE</i>	Alexandra Nunes <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Ouk Chittra <i>ELECTRICITÉ DU CAMBODGE (EDC)</i>
Seydou Balama <i>ÉTUDE MAÎTRE BALAMA SEYDOU</i>	Bouba Yagubou <i>SCPA YAGUIBOU &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Fabien Segatwa <i>ETUDE ME SEGATWA</i>	João Nunes <i>CSA - CABO VERDE SHIPPING AGENCY, LDA</i>	Sopheak Virya Chroeng <i>ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION</i>
Victoire Bambara <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPIHO</i>	Raïssa Yo <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS ALI NEYA</i>	Gabriel Sinarinzi <i>CABINET ME GABRIEL SINARINZI</i>	João Nunes <i>CSA - CABO VERDE SHIPPING AGENCY, LDA</i>	Sou Doungchay <i>MAR ASSOCIATES</i>
Arsène Bazi <i>AB ENERGIE</i>	Albert Zoma <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS ALI NEYA</i>	Tiago Albuquerque Dias <i>DELOITTE</i>	João Pereira <i>PPS</i>	Monyrith Eng <i>HML LAW GROUP &amp; CONSULTANTS</i>
Aimé Bonkoungou <i>SONABEL</i>	Ousmane Prosper Zoungnana <i>TRIBUNAL DE GRANDE INSTANCE DE OUAGADOUGOU</i>	David Almada <i>D. HOPFFER ALMADA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Luis Quinta <i>MAERSK LINE CABO VERDE, SA</i>	Darwin Hem <i>BNG LEGAL</i>
Dieudonne Bonkoungou <i>SCPA THEMIS-B</i>	Banque de la République <i>DU BURUNDI</i>	Bruno Andrade Alves <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Clóvis Ramos <i>ILIDIO CRUZ &amp; ASSOCIADOS - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>	Pagnawat Heng <i>P&amp;A ASIA LAW OFFICE</i>
Bobson Coulibaly <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS BARTHÉLEMY KERE</i>	Ministère des Finances	Luis Filipe Bernardo <i>DELOITTE</i>	Rafael Rocha Fernandes <i>MUNICIPALITY OF PRAIA</i>	Charles Ngoc-Khoi Hoang <i>HBS LAW</i>
Sansan Césaire Kambou <i>CABINET D'ARCHITECTURE AGORA BURKINA</i>		Jelson C. Vicente <i>AGENCIA DESPACHO ADUANEIRO JOSE MARIA LBV</i>	José Rui de Sena <i>AGÊNCIA DE DESPACHO ADUANEIRO FERREIRA E SENA LDA</i>	Sovanvotey Hok <i>HBS LAW</i>
Aly Kanaté <i>EY</i>		Susana Caetano <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>		Hans Hwang <i>SOK XING &amp; HWANG</i>
Vincent Armand Kobiané <i>ARDI - ARCHITECTES CONSEILS</i>				Xing Jiajia <i>SOK XING &amp; HWANG</i>
Moumouny Kopaho <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPIHO</i>				Sophorne Kheang <i>DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) CO. LTD.</i>
Armand Kpoda <i>SCPA THEMIS-B</i>				
Sawadogo Natou <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPIHO</i>				

Robert M. King EY	Somarith Sam <i>ELECTRICITÉ DU CAMBODGE (EDC)</i>	Lolita Bakala Mpessa <i>CAMEROUN AUDIT INTERNATIONAL (CAC INTERNATIONAL)</i>	Augustin Yves Mbock Keked <i>CADIRE</i>	Nadine Tinen Tchadgoum <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &amp; LEGAL SARL</i>
Sereyrath Kiri <i>SCIARONI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Vattanakvisal San <i>BNG LEGAL</i>	Thomas Didier Remy Batoumbouck <i>CADIRE</i>	Jacques Mbongue Eboa <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS GÉRARD WOLBER</i>	Chrétien Toudjui <i>AFRIQUE AUDIT CONSEIL BAKER TILLY</i>
Kunthy Koy <i>KN LEGAL CONSULTING</i>	Chhe Sao Elen <i>SOK SIPHANA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Pierre Bertin Simbafo <i>BICEC</i>	Constantin Didier Medou <i>CABINET MEDOU</i>	Bergere Reine Tsafack Dongmo <i>MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>
Sylvain Larbi <i>BNG LEGAL</i>	Neak Seakirin <i>NEAK LAW OFFICE</i>	Isidore Biyiba <i>GUICHET UNIQUE DES OPERATIONS DU COMMERCE EXTERIEUR-GIE</i>	Ivan Mélachéo <i>VANTURE CONSULTING</i>	Eliane Yomsi <i>KARVAN FINANCE</i>
Alex Larkin <i>DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) CO. LTD.</i>	Leung Seng <i>VDB LOI</i>	Ahmadou Bouba Oumarou	Jules Minamo <i>KARVAN FINANCE</i>	Philippe Zouna <i>PWC CAMEROUN</i>
Kang Leap <i>HML LAW GROUP &amp; CONSULTANTS</i>	Bopha Sin <i>BNG LEGAL</i>	Elvis Chenwi <i>CHENWI &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Mungu Mirabel <i>THE ABENG LAW FIRM</i>	<b>CANADA</b>
Souhuoth Leng <i>P&amp;A ASIA LAW OFFICE</i>	Sao Socheata <i>SOK SIPHANA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Paul Marie Djamen <i>MOBILE TELEPHONE NETWORKS CAMEROON (MTN)</i>	Danielle Moukouri <i>D. MOUKOURI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	<i>TRANSUNION CANADA</i>
Chanmaka Ly <i>DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) CO. LTD.</i>	Chanraksa Soeung <i>P&amp;A ASIA LAW OFFICE</i>	Aurélien Djengue Kotte <i>CABINET EKOBO</i>	Jean Jacques Mpanjo Lobe <i>MCA AUDIT &amp; CONSEIL</i>	David Bish <i>TORYS LLP</i>
Tayseng Ly <i>HBS LAW</i>	Lor Sok <i>SOK XING &amp; HWANG</i>	Joseph Djega <i>SOTRAFIC</i>	Arielle Christiane Marthe Mpeck <i>ATANGA LAW OFFICE</i>	Paul Boshyk <i>MCMILLAN LLP</i>
Samvutheary Mao <i>HML LAW GROUP &amp; CONSULTANTS</i>	Neou Sonika <i>SOK SIPHANA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Laurent Dongmo <i>JING &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Marie Agathe Ndeme <i>CADIRE</i>	David Chapman <i>PWC CANADA</i>
Sadao Matsubara <i>HBS LAW</i>	Tiv Sophonhora <i>R&amp;T SOK &amp; HENG LAW OFFICE</i>	William Douandji <i>ARCHITECT AND PARTNERS</i>	Manfred Ndock Ekoume <i>GUINNESS CAMEROON</i>	John Craig <i>FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP</i>
Nimmith Men <i>ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION</i>	Sou Sorphea <i>SOK XING &amp; HWANG</i>	Narcisse Ekome Essake <i>EKOME ESSAKE &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Bernard Ngaïbe <i>THE ABENG LAW FIRM</i>	Kim Deochand <i>CORPORATIONS CANADA</i>
Samorn Mike <i>HBS LAW</i>	Nget Sovannith <i>P&amp;A ASIA LAW OFFICE</i>	Ebot Elias Arrey <i>ARC CONSULTANTS LTD.</i>	Ntah Charlotte Ngara <i>ATANGA LAW OFFICE</i>	Audrey Diamant <i>PWC CANADA</i>
Sophanny Mom <i>ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION</i>	Kun Sovanrithy <i>SOK SIPHANA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Philippe Claude Elimbi Elokan <i>MARCELLINE</i>	Virgile Ngassam Njiké <i>NGASSAM, FANSI &amp; MOUAFO AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS</i>	Isabelle Foley <i>CORPORATIONS CANADA</i>
Kaing Monika <i>THE GARMENT MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION IN CAMBODIA</i>	Kheng Taingpor <i>MAR ASSOCIATES</i>	Marie Marceline Enganalim <i>ETUDE ME ENGANALIM MARCELLINE</i>	Dieu le Fit Nguiyan <i>UNIVERSITÉ DE DOUALA</i>	Ross Francis <i>FOGLER RUBINOFF</i>
Koy Neam <i>KN LEGAL CONSULTING</i>	Heng Thy <i>PWC CAMBODIA</i>	Cédric Enyime <i>VANTURE CONSULTING</i>	Urbain Nini Teunda	Robert Frazer <i>BLAKE, CASSELS &amp; GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Vandeth Nguon <i>PWC CAMBODIA</i>	Thavosthaloy Tok <i>BNG LEGAL</i>	Hyacinthe Clément Fansi Ngamou <i>NGASSAM, FANSI &amp; MOUAFO AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS</i>	George Njangtang <i>CONTEC SARL</i>	Paul Gasparatto <i>ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD</i>
Nith Niteyana <i>SOK SIPHANA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Reangsey Darith Touch <i>EY</i>	Berlise Fimeni Djieya <i>ATANGA LAW OFFICE</i>	Carine Obama Fossey <i>MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	Attila Gaspardy <i>PWC CANADA</i>
Daniel Noonan <i>SCIARONI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Kong Vibol <i>GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION</i>	Sorelle Fonssou Mogo <i>JING &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Jacob Oben <i>JING &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Salma Gilani <i>BLAKE, CASSELS &amp; GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Clint O'Connell <i>DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) CO. LTD.</i>	Vannida Yen <i>ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION</i>	Marie Cécile Popoussi <i>BEAC SIÈGE</i>	Jasmine Ouethy <i>MUEKE A DOUALA AU CAMEROUN</i>	Christopher Gillespie <i>GILLESPIE-MUNRO INC.</i>
Sophea Om <i>ACLEDA BANK PLC</i>	Lyhout Yin <i>HBS LAW</i>	Philippe Fouda Fouda <i>BEAC SIÈGE</i>	Ernest Pilo <i>Iliaas Poskipanis</i>	Yoine Goldstein <i>MCMILLAN LLP</i>
Sokvirk Phaeng <i>PWC CAMBODIA</i>	Thearith You <i>SOK SIPHANA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Nicaise Ibohn <i>THE ABENG LAW FIRM</i>	Paul-Gérard Pougue <i>Université de Douala</i>	Talia Gordner <i>BLANEY MCMURTRY LLP</i>
Porchhay Phoung <i>SCIARONI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Potim Yun <i>VDB LOI</i>	Paul T. Jing <i>JING &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Claude Simo <i>CL AUDIT ET CONSEI</i>	John Gotts <i>PWC CANADA</i>
Charkeymorkord Pok <i>BNG LEGAL</i>	<b>CAMEROON</b>	Manguele Joseph <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>	Linda Tatabod Amuteng	Mary Grozdanis <i>FOGLER RUBINOFF</i>
Sok Ren Polina <i>SOK SIPHANA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	ETUDE ME ETOKE	Thérèse Joumessi <i>ATANGA LAW OFFICE</i>	Aurelie Joelle Tatang Ngadjieu <i>ATANGA LAW OFFICE</i>	John J. Humphries <i>TORONTO CITY HALL</i>
Robert Porter <i>VDB LOI</i>	Armelle Silvana Abel <i>MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	Christian Kamdoum <i>PWC CAMEROUN</i>	Hélène Florette Tchidjip Kapnang <i>ATANGA LAW OFFICE</i>	A. Max Jarvie <i>MCMILLAN LLP</i>
Allen Prak <i>P&amp;A ASIA LAW OFFICE</i>	Armelle Silvana Abel Piskopanis <i>MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	Claude Koumba <i>CFAO CAMEROUN</i>	Alain Tchiegang Dieukwa <i>ATANGA LAW OFFICE</i>	Andrew Kent <i>MCMILLAN LLP</i>
Borapyn Py <i>DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) CO. LTD.</i>	Roland Abeng <i>THE ABENG LAW FIRM</i>	Jean-Aime Kounga <i>THE ABENG LAW FIRM</i>	Emmanuel Tchiffo <i>ATANGA LAW OFFICE</i>	Jordan Knowles <i>BLAKE, CASSELS &amp; GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Matthew Rendall <i>SOK SIPHANA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Elisabeth Ajamen <i>BEAC SIÈGE</i>	Gaelle Kuitche <i>NGASSAM, FANSI &amp; MOUAFO AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS</i>	Pierre Morganat Tchuikwa <i>CADIRE</i>	Joshua Kochath <i>COMAGE CONTAINER LINES</i>
Navinth Rethda <i>R&amp;T SOK &amp; HENG LAW OFFICE</i>	Oscar Alebga <i>THE ABENG LAW FIRM</i>	Serge Madola <i>Tchande Magloire</i>	Marcelle Tello <i>NGASSAM, FANSI &amp; MOUAFO AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS</i>	Eric Leinveer <i>BLAKE, CASSELS &amp; GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Chris Robinson <i>DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) CO. LTD.</i>	Rosine Pauline Amboa <i>MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	Philippe Mbele <i>AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS</i>	Floriant Tiemeni Djieya <i>ATANGA LAW OFFICE</i>	Jon A. Levin <i>FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP</i>
	Cyrano Atoka <i>CABINET FRANCINE NYOBE</i>	Michel-Antoine Mben <i>NGASSAM, FANSI &amp; MOUAFO AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS</i>		Alex Liszka <i>IBI GROUP INC.</i>

Catherine MacInnis IBI GROUP INC.	Laurent Hankoff ENERCA (ENERGIE CENTRAFRICAINE)	Nadine Tinen Tchadgoum PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SARL	M. Alejandra Corvalán A. YRARRÁZAVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA	Raúl Muñoz Prieto RUSSELL BEDFORD CHILE - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Mike Maodus BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Ludovic Médard Kolengue Kaye AVOCATS SANS FRONTIÈRES	Masrangue Trahogra CABINET D'AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS	Francisco De Sarratea PWC CHILE	Juan Pablo Navarrete CAREY Y CÍA LTDA
Matthew Merkley BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Jean Paul Maradas Nado MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME	Abdoulaye Yacouba MAIRIE DE N'DJAMENA	Gonzalo Errázuriz URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Pablo Novoa CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ-COTAPOS
William Northcote SHIBLEY RIGHTON LLP	Serge Médard Missamou CLUB OHADA RÉPUBLIQUE CENTRAFRICAINE	Mahamat Tahir Youssouf Nahar GUICHET UNIQUE DE CREATIONS D'ENTREPRISES	José Tomás Errázuriz BARROS & ERRÁZURIZ	Nicolás Ocampo CAREY Y CÍA LTDA
Meaghan Parry BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Yves Namkomokoina TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE BANGUI	Sobdibé Zoua CABINET SOBDIBÉ ZOUA	Peter Faile URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Alberto Oltra DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING
Daniel Peterson DAVIES WARD PHILLIPS & VINEBERG LLP (TORONTO)	Rigo-Beyah Parse CABINET PARSE	Patedjore Zoukalne MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME, DE L'HABITAT, DES AFFAIRES FONCIÈRES ET DES DOMAINES	Sebastian Garcia URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Sergio Orrego URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR
Martin Pinard CORPORATIONS CANADA	Tahina Nathalie Rajaonarivelos JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.		Sebastian Garrido ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS	Gerardo Ovalle Mahns YRARRÁZAVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA
Gautam Rishi PWC CANADA	Francy Rakotondrina JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.	BOLETÍN DE INFORMACIONES COMERCIALES	Silvio Geroldi Iglesias GEROARQ	Luis Parada Hoyl BAZ DLA PIPER
Gaynor Roger SHIBLEY RIGHTON LLP	Ghislain Samba Mokamanede BAMELEC	Andrea Abdala MORALES, BESA & CÍA LTDA	Raúl Gómez Yáñez URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Miguel Pávez B. RUSSELL BEDFORD CHILE - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Harris M. Rosen FOGLER RUBINOFF		Leticia Acosta Aguirre REDLINES GROUP	Carolina Gonzalez PWC CHILE	Daniela Peña Fergadiott BARROS & ERRÁZURIZ
Patrick Shaunessy TORYS LLP	3ACE COMMERCE ÉNERGIE ET ÉTUDE	Rodrigo Albagli ALBAGLI ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS	Diego González MORALES, BESA & CÍA LTDA	Vicente Portales CLARO & CÍA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Daniel Styler BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Elisabeth Ajamen BEAC SIÈGE	Fernando Arab MORALES, BESA & CÍA LTDA	Sofía Haupt ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS	Alberto Pulido A. PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA
John Tobin TORYS LLP	Oscar d'Estaing Deffosso	Luis Avello PWC CHILE	Cristian Hermansen Rebollo ACTIC CONSULTORES	Nina Radovic Fanta BESALCO SA
Shane Todd FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP	Thomas Dingamgoto CABINET THOMAS DINGAMGOTO	Angeles Barria PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Daniela Hirsch ALBAGLI ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS	Gianfranco Raglanti CAREY Y CÍA LTDA
Sharon Vogel BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP	Mahamat Ousman Djidda ARCHITECTURAL	Philippe Fouda Fouda BEAC SIÈGE	Javier Hurtado CÁMARA CHILENA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN	Felipe Renoret URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR
George Waggett MCMILLAN LLP	Oscar d'Estaing Deffosso	Prosper Kemayou TRANSIMEX TCHAD SA	Fernando Jamarne Banduc ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS	Alfonso Reymond Larrain REYMOND & CÍA, ABOGADOS
Andrea White SHIBLEY RIGHTON LLP	Thomas Dingamgoto CABINET THOMAS DINGAMGOTO	Mahamat Kikigne	Daniel Labbé V. YRARRÁZAVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA	Ignacio Riffo CHIRGWIN LARRETA PEÑAFIEL
<b>CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC</b>				
GUICHET UNIQUE DE FORMALITÉS DES ENTREPRISES (GUFÉ)		Issa Ngarmbassa ETUDE ME ISSA NGAR MBASSA	Tomás Landeta URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Cristian Riquelme ALBAGLI ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS
Elisabeth Ajamen BEAC SIÈGE	Guy Emmanuel Ngankam PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SARL	Fernando Binder PWC CHILE	Ignacio Larraín PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Edmundo Rojas García CONSERVADOR DE BIENES RAÍCES Y COMERCIO DE SANTIAGO
Jean Christophe Bakossa L'ORDRE CENTRAFRICAIN DES ARCHITECTES	Benga Nomen Christopher EXPRESS CARGO	Joseph Pagop Noupopué EY JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL TCHAD	Rodrigo Cabrera Ortiz ENEL DISTRIBUCIÓN CHILE SA	Alvaro Rosenblut ALBAGLI ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS
Jean-Noël Banque COUR DE CASSATION DE BANGUI	Jean Bernard Padare SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE PADARE & GONFOULI	Jean Bernard Padare SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE PADARE & GONFOULI	Marcelo Caceres Jara CACERES STUDIO ARQUITECTURA	Bernardita Saez ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS
Blaise Banguitoumba ENERCA (ENERGIE CENTRAFRICAINE)	Nissaouabé Passang ETUDE ME PASSANG	Raimundo Camus Varas YRARRÁZAVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA	Raimundo Camus Varas YRARRÁZAVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA	Hugo Sánchez Ramírez SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INSOLVENCIA Y REEMPRENDIMIENTO
Thierry Chaou SOFIA CREDIT	Anselme Patipéwé Njakin EY JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL TCHAD	Miguel Capo Valdes BESALCO SA	Isaac Cea ICEA PROYECTOS E INSTALACIONES ELECTRICAS	Andrés Sanfuentes PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA
Maurice Dibert-Dollet MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE	Diane Sobmekwa Pofinet SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE PADARE & GONFOULI	Héctor Carrasco SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS Y INSTITUCIONES FINANCIERAS CHILE	Andrés Chirgwin CHIRGWIN LARRETA PEÑAFIEL	Francisco Selamé PWC CHILE
Emile Doraz-Serefessenet CABINET NOTAIRE DORAZ-SEREFESSENÉT	Tahina Nathalie Rajaonarivelos JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.	María Jesús Carrasco URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	María Esther López Di Rubba BANCO DE CHILE	Ximena Silberman CAREY Y CÍA LTDA
Jacques Eboué SDV LOGISTICS	Claudia Randrianavory JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.	Nastasia Schnorfeil-Pauthe Ahmat Senoussi ARCHITECTURAL	Nicanor Maillard MAILLARD & CELIS ABOGADOS	Dominique Manzur URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR
Philippe Fouda Fouda BEAC SIÈGE	Abakar Ousman Sougi DIRECTION DE LA PROMOTION ÉCONOMIQUE ET DU SECTEUR PRIVÉ	Andrés Chirgwin CHIRGWIN LARRETA PEÑAFIEL	Juan Pablo Matus CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ-COTAPOS	Andrés Siles URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR
Cyr Gregbanda BAMELEC		Nury Claveria BESALCO SA	Sebastian Melero PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Marcela Silva PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA
Marious Guibaut Metongo BOLLORÉ AFRIKA LOGISTICS EN RÉPUBLIQUE CENTRAFRICAINE		Gonzalo Cordero MORALES, BESA & CÍA LTDA	Nicolás Miranda Larraguibel ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS	Luis Fernando Silva Ibañez YRARRÁZAVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA

Alan Smith <i>SMITH Y CIA</i>	Zhitong Ding <i>CREDIT REFERENCE CENTER OF PEOPLE'S BANK OF CHINA</i>	Hongli Ma <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Benny Xu <i>J &amp; BACH INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS CO. LTD.</i>	Alexandra Arbeláez Cardona <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>
Jorge Timmermann <i>BAZÍDLA PIPER</i>	Tina Dong <i>LEHMAN, LEE &amp; XU</i>	Jonathan Mok <i>ANGELA WANG &amp; CO.</i>	Yuan Xu <i>SHANDONG STARMEN CO. LTD.</i>	Laura Arboleda <i>PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS</i>
Ricardo Tisi <i>CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ-COTAPOS</i>	Zack Dong <i>REEDSMITH</i>	Matthew Mui <i>PWC CHINA</i>	Qing Yang <i>KUNLUN LAW FIRM</i>	Felipe Aristizábal <i>NIETO &amp; CHALELA</i>
Carlos Torres <i>REDLINES GROUP</i>	Lijing Du <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Matthew Murphy <i>MMLC GROUP</i>	Tianyao Yang <i>LEHMANBROWN</i>	Laura Ariza <i>REAL CARGA LTDA</i>
Víctor Hugo Valenzuela Millán	Helen Feng <i>ANGELA WANG &amp; CO.</i>	Xiaochen Ni <i>EUROPEAN UNION CHAMBER OF COMMERCE IN CHINA</i>	Yuan Yang <i>CREDIT REFERENCE CENTER OF PEOPLE'S BANK OF CHINA</i>	Patricia Arrázola-Bustillo <i>GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ZULETA ABOGADOS SA</i>
Felipe Valle <i>CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ-COTAPOS</i>	Shuai Gao <i>AEROSPACE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY OF CHINA</i>	Lei Niu <i>ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM</i>	Andy Yeo <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>	Estefanía Arteaga <i>TMF COLOMBIA LTDA</i>
Nicolás Velasco Jenschke <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INSOLVENCIA Y REEMPRENDIMIENTO</i>	Grace Geng <i>ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM</i>	Peng Pan <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Hang Yu <i>SIEMENS CHINA</i>	Cesar Barajas <i>PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS</i>
Sergio Yávar <i>GUERRERO OLIVOS</i>	Adam Gilbourne <i>EASY IMEX LTD.</i>	Giovanni Pisacane <i>GIWA GREATWAY ADVISORY</i>	Natalie Yu <i>SHANGHAI LI YAN</i>	Maria Cristina Barco Berrera <i>PRODESA Y CIA SA</i>
Arturo Yrrázaval Covarrubias <i>YRARRÁZAVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS &amp; SILVA</i>	Shuquan He <i>SHANGHAI UNIVESITY</i>	Han Shen <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Xia Yu <i>MMLC GROUP</i>	Luis Alfredo Barragán <i>BRIGARD &amp; URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Jean Paul Zalaquett <i>ENEL DISTRIBUCIÓN CHILE SA</i>	Sherry Hu <i>HOGAN LOVELLS</i>	Tina Shi <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>	Jianan Yuan <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Santiago Barrientos <i>PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS</i>
Matías Zegers <i>BAZÍDLA PIPER</i>	Ziyan Huang <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Ruiqiu Song <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Alan Zhang <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Aurora Barroso <i>PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS</i>
Barbara Zlatar <i>CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ-COTAPOS</i>	Wilson Huo <i>ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM - BEIJING</i>	Ice Sun <i>PWC CHINA</i>	Jessica Zhang <i>PWC CHINA</i>	Claudia Benavides Galvis <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>
<b>CHINA</b>	Shan Jin <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Yufan Sun <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Lingjuan Zhang <i>YINGKE LAW FIRM</i>	Fernando Bermúdez Durana <i>MUÑOZ TAMAYO &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
<i>SHANGHAI JIALIANG CPAS LIMITED</i>	Xin Jin <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Terence Tung <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>	Xin Zhang <i>GLOBAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Andres Bernal <i>REAL CARGA LTDA</i>
<i>SHANGHAI XUNNII INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT CO. LTD.</i>	Catherine Jing <i>REEDSMITH</i>	Angela Wang <i>ANGELA WANG &amp; CO.</i>	Yi Zhang <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	George Zhao <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>
<i>STEINBERG HKC</i>	Jiang Junlu <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Eric Wang <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>	Young Zhang <i>BEIJING XINHAI CUSTOMS CLEARANCE CO. LTD.</i>	Xingjian Zhao <i>DIAZ, REUS &amp; TARG, LLP</i>
<i>WHITE &amp; CASE LLP</i>	Ioana Kraft <i>EUROPEAN UNION CHAMBER OF COMMERCE IN CHINA</i>	Guoqi Wang <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD HUA-ANDER CPAS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Jessica Wang <i>J &amp; BACH INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS CO. LTD.</i>	Crys Zheng <i>LEHMAN, LEE &amp; XU</i>
Jacob Blacklock <i>LEHMAN, LEE &amp; XU</i>	Jack Kai Lei <i>KUNLUN LAW FIRM</i>	Jackie Wang <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Jinghua Wang <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Fei Zheng <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Russell Brown <i>LEHMANBROWN</i>	Audry Li <i>ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM</i>	Longxin Wang <i>YINGKE LAW FIRM</i>	Lihua Wang <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Christina Zhu <i>HOGAN LOVELLS</i>
Qiang Chai <i>CHINA INSTITUTE OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS AND AGENTS</i>	Juan Li <i>CHINA INSTITUTE OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS AND AGENTS</i>	Thomas Wang <i>SHANGHAI BOSS &amp; YOUNG</i>	Viviane Zhu <i>DACHENG LAW OFFICES</i>	Wei Zhu <i>SHANGHAI XINHAI CUSTOMS BROKERS COMPANY</i>
Elliott Youchun Chen <i>JUNZEJUN LAW OFFICES</i>	Qing Li <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Wallace Wang <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>	Weiwei Zhu <i>DENTONS</i>	Martha Bonnet <i>CAVELIER ABOGADOS</i>
Jie Chen <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Rachel Li <i>ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM - BEIJING</i>	Xiaolei Wang <i>CREDIT REFERENCE CENTER OF PEOPLE'S BANK OF CHINA</i>	Delong Zou <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mario Camargo <i>HM &amp; COMPANY LTDA</i>
Jun Chen <i>SHANGHAI CITY DEVELOPMENT LAW FIRM</i>	Ellen Liu <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>	Xiaolu Wang <i>ENHESA</i>	Xuehua Wang <i>BEIJING HUANZHONG &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Claudia Marcela Camargo Arias <i>PWC COLOMBIA</i>
Li Chen <i>JINAN SHANTONG TAX CONSULTING</i>	Grace Liu <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD HUA-ANDER CPAS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Anthea Wong <i>PWC CHINA</i>	Roy Zou <i>HOGAN LOVELLS</i>	Darío Cárdenas <i>CÁRDENAS &amp; CÁRDENAS</i>
Mingqing Chen <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Ning Liu <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Kent Woo <i>GUANGDA LAW FIRM</i>	<b>COLOMBIA</b>	Natalia Caroprese <i>JOSE LLOREDA CAMACHO &amp; CO.</i>
Tao Chen <i>JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Sherry Liu <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Yuanbao Wu <i>XIONGZHI LAW FIRM</i>	EINCE LTDA	Carlos Carvajal <i>JOSE LLOREDA CAMACHO &amp; CO.</i>
Xiaofeng Chen <i>BEIJING HUANZHONG &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Yanyan Liu <i>KUNLUN LAW FIRM</i>	Xiaosong Xie <i>BEIJING HUANZHONG &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Enrique Álvarez <i>JOSE LLOREDA CAMACHO &amp; CO.</i>	Luis Miguel Carvajal <i>CODENSA SA ESP</i>
Xinping Chen <i>ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM</i>	Lucy Lu <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Scarlett Lu <i>KING &amp; WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Méndez Andrea Daniela <i>PWC COLOMBIA</i>	Fernando Castañeda <i>ORGANIZACIÓN CORONA</i>
Diogo Colaço <i>OLICARGO INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT FORWARDER (SHANGHAI) CO. LTD.</i>	Xiaomin Luo <i>PENGYUAN CREDIT SERVICES CO. LTD.</i>	Xiaohong Xiong <i>PENGYUAN CREDIT SERVICES CO. LTD.</i>	Santiago Arango <i>JOSE LLOREDA CAMACHO &amp; CO.</i>	Elvin Chiviri <i>CAMACOL</i>
Lei Cui <i>WEIHENG LAW FRIM</i>				Felipe Cuberos <i>PHILIPPI PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU &amp; URÍA</i>
Fei Dang <i>MMLC GROUP</i>				Lyana De Luca <i>BRIGARD &amp; URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Michael Diaz Jr. <i>DIAZ, REUS &amp; TARG, LLP</i>				Patrick Del Duca <i>ZUBER LAWLER &amp; DEL DUCA</i>

Jennifer Diaz PRODESA Y CIA SA	Luis E. Nieto NIETO & CHALELA	Raúl Alberto Suárez Arcila SUÁREZ ARCILA & ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS	Madiane Mohamed Issa CABINET D'AVOCAT BAHASSANI	Benoit Kapila SDV LOGISTICS
Juan Carlos Diaz GENELEC DE COLOMBIA SAS	Caterine Noriega Cárdenas GESTIÓN LEGAL COLOMBIA	Diego Muñoz Tamayo MUÑOZ TAMAYO & ASOCIADOS	Faouzi Mohamed Lakj TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE COMOROS	Donatien Kasseyet Kalume AXCESS-CONGO
Maria Fernanda Diaz Chacon BAKER & MCKENZIE	Juan Sebastián Noriega Cárdenas	Gustavo Tamayo Arango JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & CO.	Mohamed Maoulida AUDIT CONSEIL-INTERNATIONAL	Gracia Kavumvula MINISTÈRE DES AFFAIRES FONCIÈRES
Luis Aurelio Diaz Jiménez GRUPO EMPRESARIAL OKOS SAS	Adriana Carolina Ospina Jiménez	Olga Viviana Tapias RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Farahati Moussa MOUVEMENT DES ENTREPRENEURS COMORIENNES (MODEC)	Dieu Donné Kfuma CABINET KHUMA ET BEKOMBE
Carlos Fradique-Méndez BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Juan Guillermo Otero Gonzalez	Azad Mze CABINET D'AVOCATS MZE	Arly Khuty CABINET EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES
Luis Gallo Medina GALLO MEDINA ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS	BAKER & MCKENZIE	Paola Tapiero TRADE LEADER	Ibrahim A. Mzimba CABINET MZIMBA AVOCATS	Marc Kongomayi Mulumba SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ELECTRICITÉ (SNEL)
Wilman Gárcón CODENSA SA ESP	Juan Andrés Palacios LEWIN & WILLS ABOGADOS	Faunier David Toro Heredia CODENSA SA ESP	Halidi Ali Omar MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE ET DU COMMERCE	Christ Kutty CABINET EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES
Julian Giorgi POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Álvaro Parra PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Natalia Tovar Ibagos EXPERIAN - DATACRÉDITO	Marco Raymond	Emmanuel Le Bras PWC
Hugo Gonzalez CAVELIER ABOGADOS	Santiago Parra Salazar PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Nataly Traslaviña PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Abdillah Mohamed Soihiri KILNIC SERVICES	Jean-Marie Lepriya Molenge CABINET NGALIEMA
Sandra Liliana Gutiérrez RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Naufal Pedraza PRODUCTOS FAMILIA	Maria Camila Valdés GALLO MEDINA ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS	<b>CONGO, DEM. REP.</b>	Desiré Likolo EGEC
Santiago Gutiérrez JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & CO.	Carolina Posada POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Daniel Vargas Umaña EXPERIAN - DATACRÉDITO	Albert-Blaise Akoka DELOITTE RDC	Jean-Pierre Kevin Lofumbwa DELOITTE RDC
William Rene Gutierrez Oregon INSTITUTO COLOMBIANO AGROPECUARIO	Daniel Posse POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Frank Velandia TECLOGIC LTDA	Nathalie Banza SDV LOGISTICS	Francis Lugunda Lubamba CABINET LUKOMBE & LES AVOCATS
Edwar Hernandez GENELEC DE COLOMBIA SAS	Natalia Eugenia Quijano Uribe CODENSA SA ESP	Patricia Vergara GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ZULETA ABOGADOS SA	Billy Batunzy CABINET BATUNZY	Carol Lutaladio DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES ET ACCISES
Thomas Holguin BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Luisa Rico Sierra LEYVA ONTIER	Lilalba Vinasco INSTITUTO COLOMBIANO AGROPECUARIO	Siku Beya CABINET LUKOMBE & LES AVOCATS	Brigitte Luyambuladio EGEC
Carlos Jair Gómez Guzmán PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Irma Isabel Rivera BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Aílirio Virviescas NOTARÍA 41 DE BOGOTÁ	Hugo Bolanshi YAV & ASSOCIATES	Aubin Mabanza KLAM & PARTNERS AVOCATS
Carlos Mario Lafaurie Escorje PWC COLOMBIA	Cristina Robayo Herrera PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Adriana Zapata CAVELIER ABOGADOS	Jonathan Bononge ROCAT SARL	Béatrice Mabanza KLAM & PARTNERS AVOCATS
Nubia Lamprea CODENSA SA ESP	Luis Carlos Robayo Higuera RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	<b>COMOROS</b>	Guillaume Bononge Litobaka ROCAT SARL	Yves Madre DELOITTE RDC
Jorge Lara-Urbaneja ARCINIEGAS LARA BRICEÑO PLANAS	Laura Rodriguez CAVELIER ABOGADOS	BANQUE CENTRALE DES COMORES	François Bota kilukidi WILVAN ARCHITECTURE	Robert Majambo YAV & ASSOCIATES
Margarita Llorente Carreño AMARILLO SA	Adrián Rodriguez LEWIN & WILLS ABOGADOS	CABINET D'AVOCATS SAÏD IBRAHIM	Claude Cherubala VARCOMM	Steve Manuana CABINET EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES
William Marin PRODUCTOS FAMILIA	Jaime Alberto Rodríguez Cuestas	Mohamed Abdou PRÉFECTURE MORONI	Nicaise Chikuru MUNYIQWARHA CHIKURU & ASSOCIÉS	Aristide Mbayo Makytaya DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES ET ACCISES
Miguel Martinez GENELEC DE COLOMBIA SAS	Bernardo Rodríguez Ossa PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Hilmy Aboudsaid COMORES CARGO INTERNATIONAL	Kankenga Daniel CONSORTIUM DE CONSTRUCTION D'ELECTRICITÉ ET MULTI SERVICE (COCEM)	Patou Monkinda Molanga PROCREDIT BANK
Camilo Martínez Beltrán DLA PIPER MARTINEZ BELTRÁN	Sonia Elizabeth Rojas Izaquita GALLO MEDINA ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS	Zainoudine Ahamada MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE ET DU COMMERCE	Siegfried Dibong PWC CONGO (DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF)	Louman Mpoy MPOY LOUMAN & ASSOCIES
Néstor Humberto Martínez Neira MARTINEZ NEIRA ABOGADOS	Leonardo Romero PRODESA Y CIA SA	Aida Ahmed Yahia IZA SOCIETE IMMOBILIÈRE DES COMORES	Prosper Djuma Bilali CABINET MASAMBA	Christine Mpunga Tshim BANQUE CENTRALE DU CONGO
Alejandro Medina PHILIPPI PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URIA	Katherine Romero Hinestrosa PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Moissi Ali ENERGIE COMOROS	Holly Embonga Tomboli CHIKURU & ASSOCIÉS	Tarin Muhongo PWC CONGO (DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF)
Juan Camilo Medina Contreras PWC COLOMBIA	Cristina Rueda Londoño PRIETO & CARRIZOSA SA	Feisoili Ali Oubeidi CABINET FEISOILI	Irénée Falanka CABINET IRÉNÉE FALANKA	Célestine Mukalay Kionde SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ELECTRICITÉ (SNEL)
Christoph Möller PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Ricardo Saldarriaga JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & CO.	Omar Said Allaoui ECDI	Amisi Herady GUICHET UNIQUE DE CRÉATION D'ENTREPRISE	Vaval Mukobo CABINET NGALIEMA
Juan Felipe Morales Acosta JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & CO.	Nader Samih PRODUCTOS FAMILIA	Salim Amir	Lydie Isengingo Luanzo BARREAU DE KINSHASA/ MATETE	Eliance Muloji Wa Mbui CABINET NGALIEMA
Luis Gabriel Morcillo-Méndez BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Paula Samper Salazar GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ZULETA ABOGADOS SA	Mouzaoui Amroine MOUVEMENT DES ENTREPRENEURS COMORIENNES (MODEC)	Cedrick Kala Konga EGEC	Jean Pierre Muyaya Kasanzu CABINET EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES
Francisco Javier Morón López PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Ana Sanabria TMF GROUP	Youssoub Ibn Ismael Aticki BARREAU DE MORONI	Rene Kala Konga EGEC	Philippe Mvita Kabasele BANQUE CENTRALE DU CONGO
	Jorge Sarmiento CAVELIER ABOGADOS	Assoumani Hassani MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE ET DU COMMERCE	Nicky Kanyiki Katshindi PROCREDIT BANK	Jean-Paul Mvuni Malanda CABINET NGALIEMA
		Kabasse Ibrahima MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE ET DU COMMERCE		Gabriel Mwepu Numbi DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES ET ACCISES

Ncaise Navanga <i>SDV LOGISTICS</i>	Salomon Louboula <i>ETUDE NOTARIALE LOUBOULA</i>	Giorginella Carranza <i>G LOGISTICS COSTA RICA SA</i>	Tracy Varela Calderón <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Claude-Andrée Groga <i>CABINET JEAN-FRANÇOIS CHAUVEAU</i>
Joseph Ngalamulume Lukalu <i>CABINET YOKO ET ASSOCIÉS</i>	Jay Makoundou <i>PWC</i>	Adriana Castro <i>BLP ABOGADOS</i>	Alonso Vargas <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Annick Imboua-Niava <i>IMBOUA-KOUAO-TELLA (IKT) &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>
Patrick Ngandu <i>CABINET NGALIEMA</i>	Benic Mbanwie Sarr <i>PWC</i>	Margot Chinchilla <i>SOCIACO</i>	Eugenio Vargas <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Nanette Kaba Ackah <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>
Placide Nkala Basadilua <i>GUICHET UNIQUE DE CREATION D'ENTREPRISE</i>	Françoise Mbongo <i>CABINET MBONGO</i>	Andrey Dorado <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Marianela Vargas <i>PWC</i>	Barnabe Kabore
Victorine Bibiche Nsimba Kilembe <i>CABINET YOKO ET ASSOCIÉS</i>	Gaspard Ngoma <i>MINISTÈRE DE LA CONSTRUCTION, DE L'URBANISME, DE LA VILLE ET DU CADRE DE VIE</i>	Roberto Esquivel <i>OLLER ABOGADOS</i>	Abril Villegas <i>OLLER ABOGADOS</i>	Kitifolo Kignaman-Soro <i>WEBB FONTAINE CÔTE D'IVOIRE</i>
Marlyne Nzailu <i>PWC CONGO (DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF)</i>	Paul Obambi <i>CHAMBRE DE COMMERCE, D'INDUSTRIE, D'AGRICULTURE ET DES MÉTIERS DE BRAZZAVILLE</i>	Dieter Gallop Fernández <i>G LOGISTICS COSTA RICA SA</i>	Jonathan Villegas Alvarado <i>SOCIACO</i>	Kouamé Klemet <i>KSK SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>
Léon Nzimbi <i>PWC CONGO (DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF)</i>	Jean-Marc Ognango <i>DELOTTE</i>	Miguel Golcher Valverde <i>COLEGIO DE INGENIEROS ELECTRICISTAS, MECÁNICOS E INDUSTRIALES</i>	Guillermo Emilio Zúñiga González <i>EXPERTIS GHP ABOGADOS</i>	Angaman Koaudio <i>KSK SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>
Laurent Okitonembo <i>CABINET DJUNGA &amp; RISASI</i>	Regina Nicole Okandza Yoka <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Karla González-Bolaños <i>BLP ABOGADOS</i>	Jafet Zúñiga Salas <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA GENERAL DE ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS</i>	Guillaume Koffi <i>KOFFI &amp; DIABATÉ</i>
Freddy Mulamba Senene <i>MULAMBA &amp; ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Claude Joël Paka <i>ORDRE NATIONAL DES AVOCATS DU CONGO</i>	Jorge Hernández <i>COLEGIO DE INGENIEROS ELECTRICISTAS, MECÁNICOS E INDUSTRIALES</i>	<b>CÔTE D'IVOIRE</b>	Noël Koffi <i>CABINET NOËL Y. KOFFI</i>
Moïse Tangala <i>CABINET IRÉNÉE FALANKA</i>	Aimé Pambou <i>BOLLORÉ TRANSPORTS &amp; LOGISTIQUES</i>	Randall Zamora Hidalgo <i>COSTA RICA ABC</i>	<b>BCEAO</b>	Yocoli Grâce Konan <i>SCPA DOGUÉ-ABBÉ YAO &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>
Antoine Tshibubua Mbuya <i>SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ELECTRICITÉ (SNEL)</i>	Andre François Quenum <i>CABINET ANDRE FRANCOIS QUENUM</i>	Stephanie Howard Peña <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	<b>CABINET EXPERTISES</b>	Kiyobien Kone <i>KSK SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>
Seraphin Umbo <i>YAV &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Francick Rakotondrina <i>JOHN W. FFOOKS &amp; CO.</i>	Elvis Jiménez Gutiérrez <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA GENERAL DE ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS</i>	<b>CREDITINFO VOLO</b>	Antoine Koné Yoha <i>ORAKYZEMA ARCHITECTOURA</i>
Willy Vangu Malanda <i>WILVAN ARCHITECTURE</i>	Jean Jacques Youlou <i>Volana Sandra Zakariasy JOHN W. FFOOKS &amp; CO.</i>	Vicente Línes <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	<b>EOLIS</b>	Marc Arthur Kouacou <i>MAZARS CI</i>
Ngaliema Zephyrin <i>CABINET NGALIEMA</i>	Alpha Zinga Moko <i>PWC</i>	José Pablo Masís <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Claude Aman <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>	Guillaume Kouame <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>
<b>CONGO, REP.</b>		Jorge Montenegro <i>SCGM/T ARQUITECTURA Y DISEÑO</i>	Nathalie Assou <i>ELISHA &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Hermann Kouao <i>IMBOUA-KOUAO-TELLA (IKT) &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>
Elisabeth Ajamen <i>BEAC SIÈGE</i>	<b>COSTA RICA</b>	Eduardo Montoya Solano <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA GENERAL DE ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS</i>	Alexandre Bairo <i>KSK SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Marylene Kouassi <i>KSK SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>
Patrice Bazolo <i>PWC</i>	BATALLA SALTO LUNA	Andrés Mercado <i>OLLER ABOGADOS</i>	Abou Berte <i>TIERI</i>	Blaise Kouassi Kouadio <i>SIELD</i>
Prosper Bizitou <i>PWC</i>	TRANSUNION	Pamela Meza <i>OLLER ABOGADOS</i>	Bindé Bindé <i>AFRICA TRANS-LOGISTICS INTERNATIONAL</i>	Marie Leonard <i>WEBB FONTAINE CÔTE D'IVOIRE</i>
Claude Coelho <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS CLAUDE COELHO</i>	Luis Acuña <i>ASEORES LEGALES EN PROPIEDAD INDUSTRIAL</i>	Ignacio Monge Dobles <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Amaury Boscio <i>LASSINÉY KATHANN CAMARA CLK AVOCATS</i>	Charlotte-Yolande Mangoua <i>ETUDE DE MAÎTRE MANGOUA</i>
Alexis Debi <i>PWC</i>	John Aguilar-Quesada <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Jorge Montenegro <i>SCGM/T ARQUITECTURA Y DISEÑO</i>	Thierry Court <i>TIERI</i>	Djimassa N'Doningar <i>COUR COMMUNE DE JUSTICE ET D'ARBITRAGE (CCJA) DE L'OHADA</i>
Lydie Diawara <i>SNE (SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ELECTRICITÉ)</i>	Paula Amador <i>PWC COSTA RICA</i>	Eduardo Montoya Solano <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA GENERAL DE ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS</i>	Arsène Dablé <i>SCPA DOGUÉ-ABBÉ YAO &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Georges N'Goan <i>CABINET N'GOAN, ASMAN &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>
Georges Ebale <i>TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE BRAZZAVILLE</i>	Arnoldo André <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Ana Cristina Mora <i>EXPERTIS GHP ABOGADOS</i>	Zirignon Constant Delbe <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'AGRICULTURE ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT RURAL</i>	Eric N'Guessan <i>EY</i>
Zahour El Hiouli <i>BUSINESS LAWYER AND INTERPRETER</i>	Alejandro Antillón <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Ricardo Murillo <i>SOCICO</i>	Issa Diabaté <i>KOFFI &amp; DIABATÉ</i>	Patricia N'Guessan <i>CABINET DE L'INDENIÉ</i>
Mathias Essereke <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MATHIAS ESSEREKE</i>	Arturo Apéstegui <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Cecilia Naranjo <i>LEX COUNSEL</i>	Lynn Diagou <i>SCPA DOGUÉ-ABBÉ YAO &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Isabelle Niamkey <i>CLK AVOCATS</i>
Philippe Fouad Fouda <i>BEAC SIÈGE</i>	Carlos Araya <i>CENTRAL LAW - QUIROS ABOGADOS</i>	Pedro Oller <i>OLLER ABOGADOS</i>	Aboubakar-Sidiki Diarrassouba <i>CLK AVOCATS</i>	Cheick Diop <i>CABINET DU DOCTEUR CHEICK DIOP AVOCATS</i>
Joe Pépin Foundoux <i>PWC</i>	Carlos Arias <i>OLLER ABOGADOS</i>	Diana Pál-Hegedüs <i>PÁL-HEGEDÜS &amp; ORTEGA ABOGADOS</i>	Marianne Pál-Hegedüs Ortega <i>GÓMEZ &amp; GALINDO ABOGADOS</i>	Aly Djihou <i>IUF CONSEILS JURIDIQUES</i>
Gaston Gapo <i>ATELIER D'ARCHITECTURE ET D'URBANISME</i>	Luis Diego Barahona <i>PWC COSTA RICA</i>	Mauricio París <i>EXPERTIS GHP ABOGADOS</i>	Seydou Dongo <i>KOFFI &amp; DIABATÉ</i>	Seydou Dongo <i>KOFFI &amp; DIABATÉ</i>
Moïse Kokolo <i>PWC</i>	Alejandro Bettoni Traube <i>DONINELLI &amp; DONINELLI - ASEORES JURÍDICOS ASOCIADOS</i>	Natasha Perez <i>LEXINCORP COSTA RICA</i>	Yolande Doukoure Séhinabou <i>DSY ARCHITECTE</i>	Athanase Raux <i>CABINET RAUX, AMIEN &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>
Sylvert Bérenger Kymbassa Boussi <i>ETUDE MAÎTRE BÉATRICE DIANZOLO, HUISSIER DE JUSTICE</i>	Arturo Bonilla Merino <i>SOLANO, ROJAS &amp; BONILLA</i>	Andrea Saenz <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Dorothée K. Dreesen <i>ETUDE MAÎTRE DREESEN</i>	Zinda Sawadogo <i>KSK SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>
	Eduardo Calderón-Odio <i>BLP ABOGADOS</i>	Juliana Salamanca Valderrama <i>BDG BUILDING PROJECTS SA</i>	Jean-Pierre Elisha <i>ELISHA &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Melissa Seri <i>IMBOUA-KOUAO-TELLA (IKT) &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>
	Natalia Callejas Aquino <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Cristina Salas Trejos <i>LEXINCORP COSTA RICA</i>	Esmel Emmanuel Essis <i>GUICHET UNIQUE DE L'INVESTISSEMENT EN CÔTE D'IVOIRE - CEPICI</i>	Lanciné Sidibé <i>GUICHET UNIQUE DU PERMIS DE CONSTRUIRE</i>
		José Luis Salinas <i>GRUPO INMOBILIARIO DEL PARQUE</i>	Luis Sánchez <i>FACIO &amp; CAÑAS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Ramatou Fall <i>GUICHET UNIQUE DE L'INVESTISSEMENT EN CÔTE D'IVOIRE - CEPICI</i>
				Mamadou Sylla <i>LABORATOIRE DU BATIMENT ET DES TRAVAUX PUBLICS</i>

Osther Tella <i>IMBOUA-KOUAO-TELLA (IKT) &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Mirela Fučkar <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Dinko Lauš <i>LAURA D.O.</i>	Sanja Rodek <i>LEKO I PARTNERI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Andrej Žmikić <i>DIVJAK, TOPIĆ &amp; BAHTIJAREVIĆ LAW FIRM</i>
Gwénaelle Teruin <i>CABINET JEAN-FRANÇOIS CHAUVEAU</i>	Tomislava Furčić <i>LAW OFFICE FURCIC</i>	Sandra Lauš <i>LAURA D.O.O.</i>	Boris Šavorić <i>ŠAVORIĆ &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Ivan Zornada <i>WOLF THEISS</i>
Euphrasie Tiach <i>ANYRAY &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Ivan Gjurgjan <i>GJURGJAN &amp; ŠRIBAR RADÍC LAW FIRM</i>	Ivan Ljubic <i>CROATIAN CHAMBER OF ARCHITECTS</i>	Katarina Savuk <i>ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO GLINSKA &amp; MIŠKOVIC D.O.O.</i>	Anamaria Zuvanic <i>ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO GLINSKA &amp; MIŠKOVIC D.O.O.</i>
Eloï Kouakou Yao <i>CLK AVOCATS</i>	Marta Glasnovic <i>EY SAVJETOVANJE D.O.O.</i>	Marko Lovrić <i>DIVJAK, TOPIĆ &amp; BAHTIJAREVIĆ</i>	Slaven Šego <i>ŠEGO LAW OFFICE</i>	
Didier Yao Koffi Kan <i>AITM</i>	Dino Gliba <i>ČAĆIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Ana Lubura <i>GARK KONZALTING D.O.O.</i>	Zvonimir Sever <i>CROATIAN CHAMBER OF CIVIL ENGINEERS</i>	
Volana Sandra Zakariasy <i>JOHN W. FFOOKS &amp; CO.</i>	Krešimir Golubić <i>GOLMAX D.O.O.</i>	Miran Mačešić <i>MAČEŠIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Dino Simonoski Bukovski <i>ŽURIĆ I PARTNERI D.O.O.</i>	
Seydou Zerbo <i>SCPA DOGUE-ABBÉ YAO &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Anja Grbeš <i>MAČEŠIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Josip Madirazza <i>MADIRAZZA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Dušanka Šimunović <i>CROATIAN CHAMBER OF ARCHITECTS</i>	
<b>CROATIA</b>	Iva Grgić <i>BARDEK, LISAC, MUŠEC, SKOKO D.O.O. IN COOPERATION WITH CMS REICHROHRWIG HAINZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Mihaela Malenica <i>VIDAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Ivan S. Maleš <i>ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO GLINSKA &amp; MIŠKOVIC D.O.O.</i>	Irene Anastasiou <i>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</i>
PWC CROATIA	Sonja Herceg <i>CROATIAN BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT</i>	Ivana Manovel <i>MAČEŠIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Ivana Maten <i>VIDAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Irene Anastassiou <i>DR. K. CHRYSOSTOMIDES &amp; CO. LLC</i>
ZAGREB STOCK EXCHANGE	Sandra Hutter <i>CROATIAN ENERGY REGULATORY AGENCY</i>	Danko Markovinović <i>STATE GEODETIC ADMINISTRATION</i>	Tin Matić <i>TIN MATIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Andreas Andreou <i>CYPRUS GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i>
Ivona Andelovic <i>ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO GLINSKA &amp; MIŠKOVIC D.O.O.</i>	Branimir Ivecović <i>IVEKOVIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Josip Martinić <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Danku Marković <i>TOMEKOVIC DUNDA LAW OFFICE</i>	Marios Andreou <i>PWC CYPRUS</i>
Andrea August <i>AGENCY FOR INVESTMENTS AND COMPETITIVENESS</i>	Tina Jakupak <i>COMMERCIAL COURT</i>	Iva Masten <i>VIDAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Morena Šoštarić <i>GJURGJAN &amp; ŠRIBAR RADÍC LAW FIRM</i>	Chryso Antoniou <i>ALEXANDROS ECONOMOU LLC</i>
Zoran Avramović <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Vinka Jelavić <i>AGENCY FOR INVESTMENTS AND COMPETITIVENESS</i>	Tin Matić <i>TIN MATIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Valentina Šokec <i>KORPER &amp; PARTNERI LAW FIRM</i>	Ioanna Apostolidou <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE, TAX DEPARTMENT</i>
Milan Bandić <i>CENTRAL CITY ADMINISTRATION OF ZAGREB</i>	Irina Jelić <i>HANŽEKOVIC &amp; PARTNERS LTD., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Danihel Meštrić <i>VARAŽDIN COUNTY</i>	Irena Šribar Radić <i>GJURGJAN &amp; ŠRIBAR RADÍC LAW FIRM</i>	Katia Argyridou <i>PWC CYPRUS</i>
Hrvoje Bardek <i>BARDEK, LISAC, MUŠEC, SKOKO D.O.O. IN COOPERATION WITH CMS REICHROHRWIG HAINZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Tamara Jelić Kazić <i>ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO BARDEK, LISAC, MUŠEC, SKOKO D.O.O. IN COOPERATION WITH CMS REICH-ROHRWIG HAINZ</i>	Damir Mikulić <i>THE CITY OF VARAŽDIN</i>	Marko Stilinović <i>ČAĆIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Pavlos Aristodemou <i>HARNEYS</i>
Maja Barićević <i>CROATIAN BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT</i>	Saša Jovićić <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Andrea Mršić <i>BOŽIĆ AND PARTNERS</i>	Jana Štrangarević <i>ČAĆIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Anita Boyadjian <i>INFOCREDIT GROUP LTD.</i>
Marija Bartoluci <i>LEKO I PARTNERI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Ana Junaković <i>LAKTIC &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM LTD.</i>	Zeljana Muslim <i>FINANCIAL AGENCY - HITRO. HR CENTER</i>	Vatroslav Subotic <i>MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND PENSION SYSTEM</i>	Charalambos Charalambous <i>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</i>
Nera Beroš <i>LEKO I PARTNERI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Tena Jurišić <i>ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO GLINSKA &amp; MIŠKOVIC D.O.O.</i>	Dominik Musulin <i>DIVJAK, TOPIĆ &amp; BAHTIJAREVIĆ</i>	Ivana Sučević-Sorić <i>MELIN</i>	Georgia P. Charalambous <i>DELOITTE</i>
Zoran Bohaček <i>CROATIAN BANKING ASSOCIATION</i>	Ančica Kačić <i>CENTRAL CITY ADMINISTRATION OF ZAGREB</i>	Vladimir Nol <i>EY SAVJETOVANJE D.O.O.</i>	Goranka Šumonja Laktić <i>LAKTIC &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM LTD.</i>	Harry S. Charalambous <i>KPMG</i>
Martina Bosak <i>LEKO I PARTNERI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Andrijana Kastelan <i>ŽURIĆ I PARTNERI D.O.O.</i>	Jelena Orlić <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Marin Švić <i>PRALJAK &amp; ŠVIĆ</i>	Hadjinicolaou Christina <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE, TAX DEPARTMENT</i>
Željka Bregeš <i>COMMERCIAL COURT</i>	Mislav Kemec <i>AGENCY FOR INVESTMENTS AND COMPETITIVENESS</i>	Marina Pavić <i>PRALJAK &amp; ŠVIĆ</i>	Tin Težak <i>MADIRAZZA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Antonis Christodoulides <i>PWC CYPRUS</i>
Mijo Brković <i>HROK D.O.O.</i>	Bruno Kokot <i>ŠAVORIĆ &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Josip Perić <i>BOŽIĆ AND PARTNERS</i>	Ana Uđiljak <i>PRALJAK &amp; ŠVIĆ</i>	Stavros Christofi <i>KPMG</i>
Rajka Bunjevac <i>CROATIAN CHAMBER OF ARCHITECTS</i>	Iva Kemec Kokot <i>ZAGREB CIVIL LAW NOTARY</i>	Igor Periša <i>HIGH COMMERCIAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA</i>	Luka Urbac <i>ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO GLINSKA &amp; MIŠKOVIC D.O.O.</i>	Constantinos Christofides
Belinda Čačić <i>ČAĆIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Katarina Kokot	Tatjana Pinjak <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Hrvoje Vidan <i>VIDAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Kypros Chrysostomides <i>DR. K. CHRYSOSTOMIDES &amp; CO. LLC</i>
Iva Crnogorac <i>DIVJAK, TOPIĆ &amp; BAHTIJAREVIĆ</i>	Vesna Kadić Komadina <i>CUSTOMS DIRECTORATE OF CROATIA</i>	Ivan Pižeta <i>ŠAVORIĆ &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Igor Vidra <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Chrysostomos Chrysostomou <i>TOWN PLANNING AND HOUSING DEPARTMENT</i>
Saša Divjak <i>DIVJAK, TOPIĆ &amp; BAHTIJAREVIĆ</i>	Dean Kovač <i>AGENCY FOR INVESTMENTS AND COMPETITIVENESS</i>	Miroslav Plaščar <i>ŽURIĆ I PARTNERI D.O.O.</i>	Željko Vrban <i>HEP DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM OPERATOR LTD.</i>	Chryso Dekatriis <i>DR. K. CHRYSOSTOMIDES &amp; CO. LLC</i>
Renata Duka <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Linda Križić <i>DIVJAK, TOPIĆ &amp; BAHTIJAREVIĆ</i>	Lucija Popov <i>CROATIAN NOTARIES CHAMBER</i>	Mario Vukelić <i>HIGH COMMERCIAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA</i>	Achilleas Demetriadis <i>LELLOS P. DEMETRIADES LAW OFFICE LLC</i>
Mirta Dusparić <i>CROATIAN BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT</i>	Anita Krizmanić <i>MAČEŠIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Branimir Puskarić <i>KORPER &amp; PARTNERI LAW FIRM</i>	Petar Živković <i>DIVJAK, TOPIĆ &amp; BAHTIJAREVIĆ</i>	Eleni Droussiotti <i>DR. K. CHRYSOSTOMIDES &amp; CO. LLC</i>
Bozidar Feldman <i>MATIC, FELDMAN &amp; HERMAN LAW FIRM</i>	Ivan Gjurgjan <i>GJURGJAN &amp; ŠRIBAR RADÍC LAW FIRM</i>	Hrvoje Radić <i>GJURGJAN &amp; ŠRIBAR RADÍC LAW FIRM</i>	Jelena Zjacic <i>MAČEŠIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Alexandros Economou <i>ALEXANDROS ECONOMOU LLC</i>
	Josipa Rebrina <i>EY SAVJETOVANJE D.O.O.</i>	Josipa Rebrina <i>EY SAVJETOVANJE D.O.O.</i>	Bosiljko Zlopša <i>CUSTOMS DIRECTORATE OF CROATIA</i>	Lefteris S. Eleftheriou <i>CYPRUS INVESTMENT PROMOTION AGENCY</i>
	Tihana Ana Relijic <i>VIDAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>			Elikos Elia <i>DEPARTMENT OF LANDS AND SURVEYS</i>

Elena Frixou ARTEMIS BANK INFORMATION SYSTEMS LTD.	George V. Markides KPMG	Christiana Vassiliou Miliou ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC	Anežka Janousková MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Aleš Smetanka KOČIÁN ŠOLC BALAŠTÍK, ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, S.R.O.
Demetris Georgiades HARNEYS	Pieris M. Markou DELOITTE	Olga-Maria Zenon ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC	Lucie Janousková CZECH ASSOCIATION OF ENERGY SECTOR EMPLOYERS	Kristýna Solomonová MUNICIPALITY OF PRAGUE 1, TRADE LICENSING DEPARTMENT
Elvira Georgiou ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC	Zoe Mina DELOITTE		Lucie Kačerová KOČIÁN ŠOLC BALAŠTÍK, ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, S.R.O.	Pavel Srb WOLF THEISS RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH & CO.
Phedra Gregoriou MINISTRY OF JUSTICE AND PUBLIC ORDER	Michalis Mouaimis MOUAIMIS & MOUAIMIS LLC		Jan Klas CZECH ASSOCIATION OF ENERGY SECTOR EMPLOYERS	Tomas Strelceck MINISTRY OF JUSTICE
Michael Grekas KPMG	Panayotis Mouaimis MOUAIMIS & MOUAIMIS LLC		Jan Andruško WHITE & CASE	Petra Stupkova PRK PARTNERS S.R.O., ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Marios Hadjigavriel ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC	Demetris Nicolaou HARNEYS		Denisa Assefová SCHOENHERR	Marek Švehlík ŠVEHLÍK & MIKLÁŠ, ADVOKÁTI, S.R.O.
Costas Hadjimarcou LEPTOS ESTATES	Varnavas Nicolaou PWC CYPRUS		Lukáš Balada MUNICIPALITY OF PRAGUE 1, TRADE LICENSING DEPARTMENT	Sarka Tlaskova NOTARIAL CHAMBER OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC - NOTÁŘSKÁ KOMORA ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY
Andreas Ioannides ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY OF CYPRUS	Andry Panteli PG. ECONOMIDES & CO LIMITED - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL		Libor Basl BAKER & MCKENZIE	Daniel Vejsada PRK PARTNERS S.R.O., ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Elena Ioannides DR. K. CHRYSOSTOMIDES & CO. LLC	Christos Papamarkides DELOITTE		Tomáš Běhounek BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Aneta Vermachová MINISTRY OF JUSTICE
Kypros Ioannides HADJIANASTASSIOU, IOANNIDES LLC (DELOITTE LEGAL)	Andriana Patsalosavvi MINISTRY OF INTERIOR - TECHNICAL SERVICES		Rudolf Bicek SCHOENHERR	Jiri Vlastník VEJMELKA & WÜNSCH, S.R.O.
Eleftheria Ioannou MINISTRY OF ENERGY, COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND TOURISM	Chrysilios Pelekanos PWC CYPRUS		David Buigl SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS V.O.S. ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ	Stanislav Votruba PREDISTRIBUCE
Georgios Karrotsakis INSOLVENCY SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES AND OFFICIAL RECEIVER	Carolos Petrou PETA CO. LTD.		Jan Capek EY	Luděk Vrána VRÁNA & PARTNERS
Erodotos Kassapis RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	George Petrou PETA CO. LTD.		Ivan Chalupa SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS V.O.S. ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ	Andrea Vrbkova VEJMELKA & WÜNSCH, S.R.O.
Christia-Lydia Kastellani DR. K. CHRYSOSTOMIDES & CO. LLC	Ioanna Petrou PWC CYPRUS		Peter Chrenko PWC CZECH REPUBLIC	Jonathan Weinberg WHITE & CASE
Maria Katsikidou ALEXANDROS ECONOMOU LLC	Haris Satsias LELLOS P. DEMETRIADES LAW OFFICE LLC		Pavel Cirek ENERGY REGULATOR OFFICE CZECH REPUBLIC	Tomas Zach KOČIÁN ŠOLC BALAŠTÍK, ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, S.R.O.
Harris Kleanthous DELOITTE	Louiza Shiali PWC CYPRUS		Vladimir Čížek SCHOENHERR	
Christina Kotsapa ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC	Ioanna Siammouti ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC		Martin Dančišin GLATZOVÁ & CO.	
Kyriacos Kouros MINISTRY OF INTERIOR - TECHNICAL SERVICES	Andreas Sokratous MINISTRY OF INTERIOR		Kamila Daňková WHITE & CASE	
Theodoros Kringou FIRST CYPRUS CREDIT BUREAU	Eliza Stasopoulou CYPRUS STOCK EXCHANGE		Pavel Dej KOČIÁN ŠOLC BALAŠTÍK, ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, S.R.O.	
Nicholas Ktenas ANDREAS NEOCLEOUS & CO. LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Athina Stephanou MINISTRY OF FINANCE, TAX DEPARTMENT		Svatava Dokoupilova CZECH OFFICE FOR SURVEYING, MAPPING AND CADASTRE	
Andrie Kypridemou INSOLVENCY SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES AND OFFICIAL RECEIVER	Anna Stylianou ARTEMIS BANK INFORMATION SYSTEMS LTD.		Kristýna Domokosová WHITE & CASE	
Olga Lambrou-Ioannou MOUAIMIS & MOUAIMIS LLC	Electra Theodorou ALEXANDROS ECONOMOU LLC		Jiří Dvořák TH ENERGO	
Andreas Lelekis CHRYSSES DEMETRIADES & CO. LLC	Georgia Theodorou PWC CYPRUS		Tereza Erényi PRK PARTNERS S.R.O.	
Margarita Liasi KPMG	Stelios Triantafyllides ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC		Dagmar Dubecka KOČIÁN ŠOLC BALAŠTÍK, ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, S.R.O.	
Antonis Loizou ANTONIS LOIZOU & ASSOCIATES	Vasiliki Triantafyllides ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC		Jindřich Fuka GLATZOVÁ & CO.	
Michalis Marcou ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY OF CYPRUS	Tryfonas Tryfonos DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES AND OFFICIAL RECEIVER		Michal Hanko BUBNIK, MYSIL & PARTNERS	
	Andrie Tsima MINISTRY OF FINANCE, TAX DEPARTMENT		Marie Hasíková SCHOENHERR	
	Alexandros Tsirides COSTAS TSIRIDES & CO. LLC		Tomas Hejny WHITE & CASE	
	Ekaterina Varfolomeeva PWC CYPRUS		Vít Horáček LEGALITÉ ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ S.R.O.	
	Chrysilios Vassiliou DELOITTE		Ondřej Hromádko MUNICIPALITY OF PRAGUE 1, TRADE LICENSING DEPARTMENT	
			David Ilczyszyn WHITE & CASE	
			Petr Smerkl WHITE & CASE	

Lars Kjaer <i>BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM</i>	Houssein Mahamoud Barreh <i>SERVICE DES DOMAINES ET DE LA CONSERVATION FONCIÈRE</i>	Evelina E.-M. Baptiste <i>MAGISTRATE COURT</i>	Ludovino Colón <i>EY</i>	Carlos Marte <i>AGENCIA DE COMERCIO EXTERIOR CM</i>
Troels Kjersgaard <i>LETT LAW FIRM</i>	Thierno Barry <i>BANK OF AFRICA MER ROUGE</i>	Marvlyn Estrado <i>KPB CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	Pamela Contreras <i>JJ ROCA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Jesús Geraldo Martínez <i>Alcántara</i>
Christian Kjølby <i>PLESNER</i>	Loubna Bawazir <i>MASSIDA SMART SOLUTIONS</i>	Rhoda Joseph <i>INVEST DOMINICA AUTHORITY</i>	Leandro Corral <i>GUZMÁN-ARIZA</i>	<i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS</i>
Kamilla Krebs <i>KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Idriss Bouha <i>MASSIDA SMART SOLUTIONS</i>	Glen Khan <i>INDEPENDENT REGULATORY COMMISSION</i>	Rachel Cortes <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Vanessa Mateo <i>JJ ROCA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Mikkel Stig Larsen <i>KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Sofia Curradi <i>AVOCAT À LA COUR</i>	Noelize Knight Didier <i>HARRIS &amp; HARRIS</i>	José Cruz Campillo <i>JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA</i>	Fabiola Medina <i>MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS</i>
Susanne Schjølin Larsen <i>KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Hassan Mohamed Egue <i>DIRECTION LEGISLATION &amp; CONTENTIEUX DE LA DIRECTIONS DES IMPOTS</i>	Michelle Matthew <i>NATIONAL CO-OPERATIVE CREDIT UNION LIMITED</i>	Caleb de la Rosa <i>DYNATEC</i>	Laura Medina <i>JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA</i>
Lise Lauridsen <i>BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM</i>	Félix Emok N'Dolo <i>GROUPE CHD</i>	Bertilia McKenzie <i>DOMINICA ELECTRICITY SERVICES LIMITED (DOMLEC)</i>	Leonardo de la Rosa <i>DYNATEC</i>	Ligia Melo <i>MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS</i>
Pelle Lykke Rørbaek <i>ROVSEND &amp; GAMMELJORD</i>	Fahmi Fouad <i>SELECT</i>	Severin McKenzie <i>MCKENZIE ARCHITECTURAL &amp; CONSTRUCTION SERVICES INC.</i>	Sarah de León Perelló <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Melissa Mercedes <i>JJ ROCA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Kasper Lykkegaard Sorensen <i>SPEDMAN GLOBAL LOGISTICS AB</i>	Djama Guelleh <i>ELECTRICITÉ DE DJIBOUTI</i>	Richard Peterkin <i>GRANT THORNTON</i>	Rosa Díaz <i>JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA</i>	Rodolfo Mesa Chávez <i>MESA &amp; MESA ABOGADOS</i>
Nikolas Meyer-Karlßen <i>KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mélanie Guérinot <i>MÉLANIE GUÉRINOT</i>	Eugene G. Royer <i>EUGENE G. ROYER CHARTERED ARCHITECT</i>	Rafael Dickson Morales <i>DICKSON MORALES - ABOGADOS   CONSULTORES</i>	Apolinar Muñoz <i>SCHAD CONSULTING</i>
Robert Mikelsons <i>NJORD LAW FIRM</i>	Tolmone A. Haid <i>GOBAD ARCHITECTS</i>	Dawn Yearwood <i>YEARWOOD CHAMBERS</i>	Zenon Felipe <i>MARÍTIMA DOMINICANA</i>	Natia Núñez <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>
Morten Bang Mikkelsen <i>PWC DENMARK</i>	Ramiss Houmed <i>HLB DJIBOUTI</i>	<b>DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</b>	Ingrid Fermín-Terrero <i>SEIBEL DARGAM HENRÍQUEZ &amp; HERRERA</i>	Indira Ogando <i>DELOITTE RD, SRL</i>
Jesper Mortensen <i>PLESNER</i>	Moustafa Houssein Ali <i>ELECTRICITÉ DE DJIBOUTI</i>	Rhady Abreu de Polanco <i>UNIÓN INTERNACIONAL DEL NOTARIADO LATINO</i>	Maria Fernández A. de Pou <i>RUSSIN, VECCHI &amp; HEREDIA BONETTI</i>	Pamela Ogando <i>DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE IMPUESTOS INTERNOS</i>
Andreas Nielsen <i>BRUUN &amp; HJELJE</i>	Zeinab Kamil Ali <i>CABINET ZK</i>	Richard Peterkin <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Alejandro Fernández de Castro <i>PWC DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</i>	Ramón Ortega <i>PWC</i>
Michael Vilhelm Nielsen <i>PLESNER</i>	Ismail Mahamoud <i>UNIVERSITÉ DE DJIBOUTI</i>	Juan Alcalde <i>OMG</i>	Mary Fernández Rodríguez <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Henry Pastrano Lluberes <i>JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA</i>
Susanne Norgaard <i>PWC DENMARK</i>	Abdoulrazak Mohamed Ali <i>CABINET AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS DJIBOUTI ABAYAZID &amp; ABDOURAHMAN</i>	Melba Alcántara <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Alejandro Fernández de Castro <i>PWC DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</i>	Elisabetta Pedersini <i>AARON SUERO &amp; PEDERSINI</i>
Jim Øksnebjerg <i>ADVOKATPARTNERSKABET HORTEN</i>	Ibrahim Mohamed Omar <i>CABINET CECA</i>	Merieilyn Almonte <i>MERIELIN ALMONTE ESTUDIO LEGAL</i>	Mary Fernández Rodríguez <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Kaulynam Peralta <i>EDESUR</i>
Carsten Pedersen <i>BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM</i>	Abdallah Mohammed Kamil <i>ÉTUDE MAÎTRE MOHAMMED KAMIL</i>	Patricia Álvarez <i>MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS</i>	Romina Figoli <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Yakaira Pérez <i>EY</i>
Lars Lindencrone Petersen <i>BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM</i>	Idriss Omar <i>BUREAU D'ÉTUDE TECHNIQUE ET CONSEILS (BETC)</i>	Ana Alvira Mera <i>AGENCIA DE ADUANAS MERA</i>	Milagros Fiquereo <i>JOB, BÁEZ, SOTO &amp; ASOCIADOS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Luisa Ericka Pérez Hernández <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS</i>
Marianne Philip <i>KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mahado Omar <i>BUREAU D'ÉTUDE TECHNIQUE ET CONSEILS (BETC)</i>	Maria Amalia Lorenzo <i>DELOITTE RD, SRL</i>	Gloria Gasso <i>OMG</i>	Edward Piña Fernández <i>BIAGGI &amp; MESSINA</i>
Sofie Precht Poulsen <i>BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM</i>	Mohamed Robleh Djama <i>CABINET D'AVOCAT ROBLEH</i>	Tamara Aquino <i>JJ ROCA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Sandra Goico <i>SEIBEL DARGAM HENRÍQUEZ &amp; HERRERA</i>	Julio Pinedo <i>PWC DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</i>
Tessa Maria Rosenberg <i>BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM</i>	Ayman Said <i>AVOCAT</i>	Flavia Baez de George <i>CASTILLO Y CASTILLO</i>	Víctor Gómez <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Aimée Prieto <i>PRIETO CABRERA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Kim Sejberg	Aicha Youssouf Abdi <i>CABINET CECA</i>	Jennifer Beauchamps <i>JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA</i>	Pablo González Tapia <i>GONZÁLEZ TAPIA ABOGADOS</i>	Arturo Ramírez <i>AARON SUERO &amp; PEDERSINI</i>
Thomas Christian Thune <i>BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM</i>	<b>DJIBOUTI</b>	Luis Eduardo Bernard <i>GONZÁLEZ TAPIA ABOGADOS</i>	Maria Hernández <i>EY</i>	Sayra J. Ramirez <i>PRIETO CABRERA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
<b>Dominica</b>	Kertist Augustus <i>WATERFRONT AND ALLIED WORKERS UNION</i>	Gustavo Biaggi <i>BIAGGI &amp; MESSINA</i>	Paula Hernández Mera <i>GONZÁLEZ TAPIA ABOGADOS</i>	Alejandro Miguel Ramírez <i>Suzaña RAMIREZ SUZAÑA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
BANQUE CENTRALE DE DJIBOUTI	A.D. Jno Baptiste <i>JNO. BAPTISTE SHIPPING &amp; CUSTOMS BROKERAGE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Laura Bobea <i>MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS</i>	David Infante <i>DELOITTE RD, SRL</i>	Eduardo Ramos E. <i>COMISIÓN NACIONAL DE DEFENSA DE LA COMPETENCIA (PRO-COMPETENCIA)</i>
DIRECTION DE L'HABITAT ET DE L'URBANISME	Rose Anne Charles <i>LAWRENCE ALICK C. CHAMBERS</i>	Marvin Cardoza <i>DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE IMPUESTOS INTERNOS</i>	Luis J. Jiménez <i>JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA</i>	Reynaldo Ramos Morel <i>RAMOS MOREL &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Mohamed Abayazid Houmed <i>CABINET AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS DJIBOUTI ABAYAZID &amp; ABDOURAHMAN</i>	Jo-Anne Commodore <i>SUPREME COURT REGISTRY</i>	Roberto Carvajal Polanco <i>CARVAJAL POLANCO &amp; ASOCIADOS SRL</i>	Alejandro Lama <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Jaime Roca <i>JJ ROCA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Mohamed Abdi Hassan <i>CABINET ARKIMED</i>	Yakima Cuffy <i>DE FREITAS &amp; DE FREITAS AND JOHNSON</i>	Andre Ceara <i>DMK LAWYERS SANTO DOMINGO</i>	José M. López <i>LOPESA</i>	Naomi Rodríguez <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>
Ahmed Abdourahman Cheik	Lisa de Freitas <i>DE FREITAS &amp; DE FREITAS AND JOHNSON</i>	Milvio Coiscou Castro <i>COISCOU &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Paola Mañón Taveras <i>SEIBEL DARGAM HENRÍQUEZ &amp; HERRERA</i>	Mariel Romero <i>EDESUR</i>
Sadik Ali Imael <i>CABINET ZK</i>	José Colón <i>EDESUR</i>	José Colón <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Fernando Marranzini <i>HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &amp; FERNÁNDEZ</i>	Katherine Rosa <i>JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA</i>
Idriss Assoweh <i>CABINET ASSOWEH &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>				Juan Rosario <i>EDESUR</i>

Wendy Sánchez TRANSUNIÓN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	Arturo Griffin Valdiveo PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Ayman Abdallah AM LAW FIRM	Madonna Azmy MAHER MILAD ISKANDER & CO.	Hossam Elden Fida Ashraf Elibrachy IBRACHY LEGAL CONSULTANCY
Felicia Santana JJ ROCA & ASOCIADOS	Pedro José Hajj Ferri FERRERE ABOGADOS	Abdel Latif Abdel Moneam 3A INTERNATIONAL	Tarek Badawy SARWAT A. SHAHID LAW FIRM	Alaa Elkady PRIVATE PRACTITIONER
Melissa Silie MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS	Rubby Lucero CABEZAS & CABEZAS-KLAERE	Mostafa Abdel Rahim HELMY, HAMZA & PARTNERS, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL	Waleed Badr EASTMED SHIPPING GROUP	Mostafa Elshafei IBRACHY LEGAL CONSULTANCY
Manuel Silverio JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA	Marisol Naranjo Benites SOUTH TRADE & SOLUTIONS ECUADOR	Mohamed Abdel-Aziz SOUTH CAIRO ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY	Shaban Baker CENTRAL BANK OF EGYPT	Ehab Ahmed Elsharaby KARIM ADEL LAW OFFICE
Llilda Solano DMK LAWYERS SANTO DOMINGO	Francisco Javier Naranjo Grijalva FEDLEX	Doha Abdelfattah AM LAW FIRM	Khaled Balbaa KPMG HAZEM HASSAN	Essam Elshazly HORUS AIR AND SEA TRANSPORT
Juan Tejeda PWC DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	Jessahé Navarrete DLL LAW OFFICE	Hanan Abdelgamad Aly ECG ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS GROUP SA	Wagih Barakat AAW CONSULTING ENGINEERS	Karim Emam PWC EGYPT
Laura Troncoso OMG	Luis Nolivos NOLIVOS LAWYERS	Mostafa Abdel-Rahim HELMY, HAMZA & PARTNERS, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL	Salma Basset NOUR AND SELIM, IN ASSOCIATION WITH AL TAMIMI & COMPANY	Mohsen Emam CENTRAL BANK OF EGYPT
Richard Troncoso DELOITTE RD, SRL	Wolfgang Oberer SCHRUYVER	Sherine Abdullah EGYPTIAN ELECTRICITY UTILITY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION	Alah Bassyouni ALEXANDRIA PORT AUTHORITY, MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION	Shahdan Essam TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)
Robert Valdez SCHAD CONSULTING	Letty Ordoñez EMPRESA PÚBLICA DE MOVILIDAD Y OBRAS PÚBLICAS	Amr Mohamed Abo El Fetouh GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR INVESTMENT GAFI	Joseph Sami Boutros AL ALAMEYA COMPANY	Haitham Esmat JOUDY INTERNATIONAL FOR IMPORT & EXPORT
Gisselle Valera Florencio JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA	Andrea Pavón VICSAN LOGISTICS SA	Ahmed Abou Ali HASSOUNA & ABOU ALI	Mansour Boriek EL SAID DARWISH & PARTNERS	Ahmed Ezzat NOUR AND SELIM, IN ASSOCIATION WITH AL TAMIMI & COMPANY
Vilma Veras Terrero JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA	Ciro Pazmiño Yáñez P&P ABOGADOS	Ramiro Pinto PINTO & GARCÉS ASOC. CIA LTDA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Mohamed Darwish Menna El Abdeeny MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Salma Ezzat SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Jeannerette Vergez Soto JOB, BAEZ, SOTÓ & ASOCIADOS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Ciro Pazmiño Zurita P&P ABOGADOS	Patricia Ponce Arteta BUSTAMANTE & BUSTAMANTE	Abdallah El Adly PWC EGYPT	Hazem Fathi HASSOUNA & ABOU ALI
Monica Villafañá RUSSIN, VECCHI & HEREDIA BONETTI	Rodrigo Martín Pesantes Sáenz PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Sandra Reed-Serrano PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Yehia H. El Bably EL BABLY LAW FIRM	Shereen Fouad EGYPTIAN ELECTRICITY HOLDING COMPANY
Dilia Villanueva EDESUR	Ramiro Pinto PINTO & GARCÉS ASOC. CIA LTDA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Santiago Reyes DLL LAW OFFICE	Assem El Hawy NOUR AND SELIM, IN ASSOCIATION WITH AL TAMIMI & COMPANY	Mennatullah Hamdy MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE
Chery Zácarias MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS	Patricia Ponce Arteta BUSTAMANTE & BUSTAMANTE	Leonardo Semprétegui SEMPRÉTEGUI ONTANEDA	Mohamed Refaat El Houshi THE EGYPTIAN CREDIT BUREAU I-SCORE	Hany Hanna MINISTRY OF JUSTICE
<b>ECUADOR</b>		Estefanía Sigcha Orrico DLL LAW OFFICE	Mohamed Aggag MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Mohamed Hashish SOLIMAN, HASHISH AND PARTNERS
Claudio Mesías Agama Chiluwa EMPRESA ELECTRICA DE QUITO		José Urizar FERRERE ABOGADOS	Karim Ahmed ASMA MARINE GLOBAL LOGISTICS AND FREIGHT FORWARDER	Hassan Hassan DLA MATOUK BASSIOUNY (PART OF DLA PIPER GROUP)
Pablo Aguirre PWC ECUADOR		Hernan Vela Albuja ISEFE	Suzan Saad Ahmed AL-SAAD FOR ENGINEERING DESIGNS	Dina Hassan SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Maria Isabel Aillón PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		David Villafuerte TRADING SOLUTIONS CONSULTORES SA	Julia V. Akinfieva YOUSSRY SALEH & PARTNERS	Mohab Hassan HELMY, HAMZA & PARTNERS, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL
Mario Armendáriz DLL LAW OFFICE		Manuel Zurita MZ SISTEMAS ELECTRICOS Y ELECTRONICOS	Ashraf Al Wakeel CENTRAL BANK OF EGYPT	Tarek Hassib AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE
Mariella Baquerizo EQUIFAX ECUADOR BURÓ DE INFORMACIÓN CREDITICIA C.A.			Mahmoud Alfeki Nadia Ali CAIRO GOVERNORATE	Mostafa Helmy IBRACHY LEGAL CONSULTANCY
Esteban Baquero FERRERE ABOGADOS			Mamdouh Ali Ahmed Ashraf Alkafrawy	Omnia Helmy FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL SCIENCE, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
Diego Cabezas-Klaere CABEZAS & CABEZAS-KLAERE			Mohamed Allam AM LAW FIRM	Taher Helmy HELMY, HAMZA & PARTNERS, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL
Luis Cabezas-Klaere CABEZAS & CABEZAS-KLAERE			Serene Almaleh SULTANS LAW	Hossam Hilal GRANT THORNTON LLP
Juan José Campaña del Castillo P&P ABOGADOS			Abd El Wahab Aly Ibrahim ABD EL WAHAB SONS	Mohamed Hisham Hassan MINISTRY OF INVESTMENT
David Cornejo PWC ECUADOR			Mahmoud Alzayat ALZAYAT LAW FIRM	Badawi Hozaien HOZAIEN LAW OFFICE
Augusto Curillo EMPRESA ELECTRICA DE QUITO			Ahmed Amin SCOPE	Haytham Hussein EGYPTIAN GLOBAL LOGISTICS
Juan Carlos Darquea FERRERE ABOGADOS			Sayed Ammar AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE	
Fernando Del Pozo Contreras GALLEGOS, VALAREZO & NEIRA				
Paola Gachet FERRERE ABOGADOS				
Martín Galarza Lanás PUENTE SÁENZ & GALARZA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW, CIA LTDA				
Leopoldo González R. PAZ HOROWITZ ABOGADOS				

Nada Hussein <i>SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mohamed Ahmed Salah El Din <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Mona Zobaa <i>GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR INVESTMENT GAFI</i>	Ligia María Lazo Ventura <i>LAZO ARQUITECTOS ASOCIADOS</i>	Oscar Torres <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>
Muhammad Hussein Sabaa <i>FACHHOCHSCHULE SÜDWESTFALEN</i>	Marina Mouris <i>IBRACHY &amp; DERMARKAR LAW FIRM</i>	Thelma Dinora Lizama de Osorio <i>LEÓN SOL ARQUITECTOS</i>	Laura Urrutia <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO</i>	Mauricio Antonio Urrutia <i>Urrutia SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO</i>
Abdel Hamid Ibrahim <i>EGYPTIAN FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY</i>	Mostafa Nagi <i>TANTA ECONOMIC COURT OF APPEAL</i>	Francisco Armando Arias Rivera <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Mario Lozano <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Julio C. Vargas Solano <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>
Badawy Ibrahim <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Mariam Negm <i>AM LAW FIRM</i>	Mauricio Bernal <i>AES EL SALVADOR</i>	Lorena Madrid <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Karla Elizabeth Zelaya Rodríguez <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO</i>
Mona Ibrahim <i>UTI</i>	Shimaa Omar <i>READYMADE GARMENTS EXPORT COUNCIL</i>	Abraham Bichara <i>AES EL SALVADOR</i>	Guillermo Massana <i>ATCASAL ASOCIACIÓN DE TRANSPORTISTAS DE CARGA DE EL SALVADOR</i>	EQUATORIAL GUINEA
Mehiar Joulli <i>SARWAT A. SHAHID LAW FIRM</i>	Ryham Ragab <i>RAGAB LAW FIRM</i>	Rafael Burgos <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Astrud María Meléndez de Chávez <i>ASOCIACIÓN PROTECTORA DE CRÉDITOS DE EL SALVADOR (PROCREDITO)</i>	<i>EY</i>
Saif Allah Kadry <i>SOLIMAN, HASHISH AND PARTNERS</i>	Tamer Ragy <i>RAGY &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Alexander Cader <i>PWC EL SALVADOR</i>	Antonio R. Méndez-Llort <i>ROMERO PINEDA &amp; ASOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	<i>SEGEZA (SOCIEDAD DE ELECTRICIDAD DE GUINEA ECUATORIAL)</i>
Mohamed Kafafi <i>THE EGYPTIAN CREDIT BUREAU I-SCORE</i>	Said Ramadan Arafa <i>EGYPTIAN FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY</i>	Claudia Castellanos <i>LA OFICINA DE PLANIFICACIÓN DEL ÁREA METROPOLITANA DE SAN SALVADOR (OPAMSS)</i>	Raúl Alberto García Mirón <i>BUFETE GARCÍA MIRÓN &amp; CIA</i>	Elisabeth Ajamen <i>BEAC SIÈGE</i>
Omar Sherif Kamal El Din <i>SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Hoda Sabry <i>ALEXANDRIA PORT AUTHORITY, MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION</i>	Carlos Roberto Alfaro Castillo <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Miriam Eleana Mixco Reyna <i>GOLD SERVICE</i>	Rui Andrade <i>VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>
Khaled Sherif Kamal El Dine <i>SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Nouv Salama <i>AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE</i>	Christian Castro <i>AES EL SALVADOR</i>	Ricardo Molina <i>NOVITAS</i>	Maria Araujo <i>VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>
Mohamed Kamel <i>AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE</i>	Maaly Salim <i>MINISTRY OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY</i>	Armando Chacon <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Fernando Montano <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Irene Balaguer Delgado <i>L&amp;S ABOGADOS</i>
Mohamed Kandel <i>AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE</i>	Zeinab Samir <i>AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE</i>	Walter Chávez <i>GOLD SERVICE</i>	Jose Navas <i>ALL WORLD CARGO, SA DE CV</i>	Francisco Campos Braz <i>SOLEGE</i>
Ahmed Khairi <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Mohamed Serry <i>SERRY LAW OFFICE</i>	Eduardo Iván Colcho Catota <i>INNOVATIONS &amp; INTEGRATED SOLUTIONS, SA DE CV</i>	Moises Orlando Pacas M. <i>ATCASAL ASOCIACIÓN DE TRANSPORTISTAS DE CARGA DE EL SALVADOR</i>	Angel-Francisco Ela Ngomo Nchama <i>JUZGADO DE INSTRUCCION DE BATA</i>
Mohanad Khaled <i>BDO KHALED &amp; CO.</i>	Khalil Shaat <i>MUNICIPALITY OF GREATER CAIRO</i>	Luis Alfredo Cornejo Martínez <i>CORNEJO &amp; UMAÑA, LTDA DE CV - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Celina Cruz <i>LA OFICINA DE PLANIFICACIÓN DEL ÁREA METROPOLITANA DE SAN SALVADOR (OPAMSS)</i>	Philippe Fouda Fouda <i>BEAC SIÈGE</i>
Taha Khaled <i>BDO KHALED &amp; CO.</i>	Doaa M. Shabaan <i>INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR LAW, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ARBITRATION (ICLIPA)</i>	Porfirio Díaz Fuentes <i>DLM, ABOGADOS, NOTARIOS &amp; CONSULTORES</i>	Geraldine Palma <i>AES EL SALVADOR</i>	Soria Lacarda <i>MIRANDA ALLIANCE</i>
Ahmed Khaleel	Mohammad Shamroukh <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	David Ernesto Claros Flores <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>	Mónica Pineda Machuca <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Marta López-Pena González <i>L&amp;S ABOGADOS</i>
Mohamed Khodeir <i>GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR INVESTMENT GAFI</i>	Sharif Shihata <i>SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Enrique Escobar <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Adriana Portillo <i>LEXINCORP</i>	João Mayer Moreira <i>VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>
Ashraf Maamoun Farag <i>BOSCH CENTER</i>	Mohamed Fakhry Shousha <i>EGYPTIAN FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY</i>	Guillermo Escobar <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Ana Patricia Portillo Reyes <i>LATAMLEX - GUANDIQUE SEGOVIA QUINTANILLA</i>	Jose Mbaya <i>PWC EQUATORIAL GUINEA</i>
Gomaa M. Madny <i>MINISTRY OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY</i>	Shaimaa Solaiman <i>CHALLENGE LAW FIRM</i>	Roberta Gallardo de Cromeyer <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Carlos Roberto Rodríguez <i>CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMÉRICA ABOGADOS</i>	Paulino Mbo Obama <i>OFICINA DE ESTUDIOS - ATEG</i>
Ibrahim Maher <i>DLA MATOUK BASSIOUNY (PART OF DLA PIPER GROUP)</i>	Randa Tharwat <i>NACITA CORPORATION</i>	Edwin Gálvez <i>AES EL SALVADOR</i>	Rene Rodas <i>GEMMA LOGISTICS</i>	Ponciano Mbomio Nvo <i>GABINETE JURÍDICO DE PONCIANO MBOMIO NVO</i>
Ahmed Maher Badr Afifi <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Nariman Wagdy <i>YOUSSRY SALEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Gabriela García <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO</i>	Otto Rodríguez Salazar <i>MARIO ENRIQUE SÁENZ SÁENZ &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Diosdado Nchama <i>MINISTERIO DE MINAS, INDUSTRIA Y ENERGIA</i>
Lamia Mahgoub <i>PWC EGYPT</i>	Sara Wagdy <i>NACITA CORPORATION</i>	Raúl González <i>CONSEJO NACIONAL DE ENERGÍA (CNE)</i>	Jaime Salinas <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>	Frida Ndong <i>K5 FREEPORT OIL CENTRE</i>
Yaser Gamaluddin Mahmoud Hamam <i>THE EGYPTIAN LAW FIRM</i>	Mona Wahba Aly El Din <i>GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR INVESTMENT GAFI</i>	Nury Guerrero <i>GOLD SERVICE</i>	Oscar Samour <i>CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMÉRICA ABOGADOS</i>	José Emilio Ndong <i>ABUY ASESORES</i>
Magdy Makky <i>CUSTOMS ADMINISTRATION</i>	Hайди Waheed <i>AM LAW FIRM</i>	Gerardo Guidos <i>EXPERTIS</i>	Ernesto Sánchez <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Honorio Ndong Obama <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>
Mustafa Makram <i>BDO KHALED &amp; CO.</i>	Amr Youssef <i>IBRACHY LEGAL CONSULTANCY</i>	Guillermo Guidos <i>EXPERTIS</i>	Luis Roberto Hernández Arita <i>HERNÁNDEZ Arita INGENIEROS</i>	Nanda Nzambi <i>PWC EQUATORIAL GUINEA</i>
Abouelela Mohamed <i>ORIENTAL WEAVERS</i>	Mohamed Youssef <i>INTERNATIONAL COMPANY FOR YARN</i>	Antonio Guirola Moze <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Benjamín Valdez Iraheta <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Edna Oliveira <i>MIRANDA ALLIANCE</i>
Ahmed Mohamed <i>MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE</i>	Darah Zakaria <i>SHARKAWY &amp; SARHAN LAW FIRM</i>	Luis Roberto Hernández Arita <i>HERNÁNDEZ Arita INGENIEROS</i>	Hexiell Jarquin <i>DLM, ABOGADOS, NOTARIOS &amp; CONSULTORES</i>	Antonio Ondo Obiang <i>Mangue ARAB CONTRACTORS CO.</i>
Yasmin Mohamed Mahran <i>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>		Benjamín Valdez Iraheta <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Oscar Tevez <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Zenika Sanogho <i>PWC EQUATORIAL GUINEA</i>
Ola Mohammed Hassan <i>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>		Hexiell Jarquin <i>DLM, ABOGADOS, NOTARIOS &amp; CONSULTORES</i>	Luis Tevez <i>BENJAMÍN VALDEZ &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Raquel Teresa Serón Calvo <i>L&amp;S ABOGADOS</i>
Eman Mohey <i>HASSOUNA &amp; ABOU ALI</i>				Sergio Abeso Tomo <i>GABINETE Y AGENCIA T&amp;E</i>
Alia Monieb <i>IBRACHY LEGAL CONSULTANCY</i>				

Boulbaba Zitouni Ben Yahia <i>GUINEA SERVICES PROVIDERS SL</i>	Ants Mailend <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS</i>	Sisay Asres <i>FLK TRADING PLC</i>	Habte Petros <i>YICHALAL TRANSIT SERVICE AND FREIGHT FORWARDING PLC</i>	Rhea Chand <i>MUNRO LEYS</i>
<b>ERITREA</b>	Kaps Meelis <i>ELEKTRILEVI OÜ</i>	Henok Assefa <i>PRECISE CONSULT</i>	Nigussie Seid <i>ETHIOPIA REVENUES AND CUSTOMS AUTHORITY (ERCA)</i>	Sangeeta Chand <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
Senai Andemariam <i>BERHANE GILA-MICHAEL LAW FIRM</i>	Veiko Meos <i>CREDITINFO EESTI AS</i>	Yodit Assefa <i>THE MOTOR &amp; ENGINEERING COMPANY</i>	Meklit Seifu <i>DELNESSAHOU TADESSE - COUNSELOR AND ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>	William Wylie Clarke <i>HOWARDS LAWYERS</i>
Berhane Gila Michael <i>BERHANE GILA-MICHAEL LAW FIRM</i>	Margus Muju <i>COBALT LEGAL</i>	Ato Awoke Asfaw <i>AWOKE ASFAW AUTHORIZED ACCOUNTING</i>	Biruh Setargew <i>PWC</i>	Visvanath Das <i>FJII REVENUE AND CUSTOMS AUTHORITY</i>
<b>ESTONIA</b>	Sandra-Kristin Noot <i>ELLEX RAIDLA</i>	Asrat Bekele <i>ASGB CONSULTING</i>	Kebede Shai <i>ETHIOPIA REVENUES AND CUSTOMS AUTHORITY (ERCA)</i>	Isireli Fa <i>THE FIJI LAW SOCIETY / FA &amp; COMPANY BARRISTERS &amp; SOLICITORS</i>
Juulika Aavik <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS</i>	Arne Ots <i>ELLEX RAIDLA</i>	Fekadu Bekele <i>ETHIOPIA REVENUES AND CUSTOMS AUTHORITY (ERCA)</i>	Kidist Sheferaw <i>MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Dilip Jamnadas <i>JAMNADAS AND ASSOCIATES</i>
Angela Agur <i>NJORD LAW FIRM</i>	Olavi Ottenson <i>DELOTTE ADVISORY AS</i>	Semere Wolde Bonger <i>NATIONAL BANK OF ETHIOPIA</i>	Getu Shiferaw <i>MEHRTEAB LEUL &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Jerome Kado <i>PWC FIJI</i>
Oliver Åmarik <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS</i>	Kaitti Persildski <i>ESTONIAN CHAMBER OF NOTARIES</i>	Hailu Buraya <i>LAWYER</i>	Mekdes Shiferaw <i>GREEN INTERNATIONAL LOGISTIC SERVICES</i>	Viren Kapadia <i>SHERANI &amp; CO.</i>
Aet Bergmann <i>BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Martin-Johannes Raude <i>ELLEX RAIDLA</i>	Kumlachew Dagne	Ameha Sime <i>AMEHA SIME B.C.</i>	Azam Khan <i>MINISTRY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT</i>
Nikita Divisenko <i>VARUL MEMBER OF TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE</i>	Tõnu Roosve <i>ELEKTRILEVI OÜ</i>	Abinet Damtachew <i>CONSTRUCTION PROXY</i>	Delnessahou Tadesse <i>TADESSE - COUNSELOR AND ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>	Mohammed Afzal Khan <i>KHAN &amp; CO. BARRISTERS &amp; SOLICITORS</i>
Ülleke Eerik <i>ESTONIAN LAND BOARD</i>	Einar Rosin <i>KPMG BALTIKS OÜ</i>	Wondowosen Degefa <i>ETHIOPIA REVENUES AND CUSTOMS AUTHORITY (ERCA)</i>	Fasil Tadesse <i>FLK TRADING PLC</i>	Emily King <i>MUNRO LEYS</i>
Kelli Eilar <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS</i>	Kertu Ruus <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO</i>	Teklay Gebrehiwot <i>ZENITH</i>	Mesfin Tafesse <i>MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Peter Ian Knight <i>CROMPTONS SOLICITORS</i>
Carri Ginter <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS</i>	Katrin Sarap <i>NJORD LAW FIRM</i>	Simon Getachew Kassaye <i>PWC</i>	Dereje Taffesse	Krishneel Krishna <i>FJII REVENUE AND CUSTOMS AUTHORITY</i>
Janek Hamidžanov <i>METAPRINT LTD.</i>	Häli Sokk <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO</i>	Berhane Ghebray <i>BERHANE GHEBRAY &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Solomon Demissie Tegegn <i>NET ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY</i>	Madhulesh Lakan <i>WILLIAMS &amp; GOSLING LTD.</i>
Andres Juss <i>ESTONIAN LAND BOARD</i>	SORAINEN AS	Deborah Haddis Berhanu <i>MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Michael Tekie <i>MEHRTEAB LEUL &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Hemendra Nagin <i>SHERANI &amp; CO.</i>
Sander Kärson <i>VARUL MEMBER OF TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE</i>	Lisette Suik <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO</i>	Getu Jemaneh <i>DELOTTE CONSULTING PVT. LTD. CO.</i>	Gaim Yibrah Tesema <i>GAIM YIBRAH</i>	Supreena Naidu <i>AP LEGAL</i>
Katre Kasepold <i>ESTONIAN LOGISTICS AND FREIGHT FORWARDING ASSOCIATION</i>	Aivar Taro <i>COBALT LEGAL</i>	Solomon Gizaw <i>HST CONSULTING</i>	Seyoum Yonhannes Tesfy <i>ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY</i>	Jon Orton <i>ORTON ARCHITECTS</i>
Jevgeni Kazutkin <i>HOUGH, HUTT &amp; PARTNERS OÜ</i>	Triin Toom <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO</i>	Yodit Gurji <i>FIKADU ASFAW AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Gizeshwork Tessema <i>GIZE PLC</i>	Pradeep Patel <i>BDO</i>
Kätlin Klaas <i>PWC ESTONIA</i>	Peetar Vainola <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO</i>	Deborah Haddis Berhanu <i>MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Wossenyeleh Tigu <i>MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Mohnish Prasad <i>FJII REVENUE AND CUSTOMS AUTHORITY</i>
Edward Kostjuk <i>HOUGH, HUTT &amp; PARTNERS OÜ</i>	SORAINEN AS	Apollo Karumba <i>PWC</i>	Osborne Wanyoike <i>PWC</i>	Varunendra Prasad <i>VP LAWYERS</i>
Villi Kõve <i>ESTONIAN SUPREME COURT</i>	Ingmar Vali <i>CENTRE OF REGISTERS &amp; INFORMATION SYSTEMS</i>	Belay Ketema <i>BELAY KETEMA LAW OFFICE</i>	Getahun Worku <i>LAWYER</i>	Mele Rakai <i>SHERANI &amp; CO.</i>
Tanja Kriisa <i>PWC ESTONIA</i>	Paul Varul <i>VARUL MEMBER OF TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE</i>	Mehrteab Leul <i>MEHRTEAB LEUL &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Haileyesus Worku <i>WOYN CHEMICALS PLC</i>	Janet Raman <i>MUNRO LEYS</i>
Paul Künnap <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS</i>	Peeter Viirsalu <i>VARUL MEMBER OF TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE</i>	Yenehun Mamo <i>YENEHUN BIRLIE LAW OFFICE</i>	Mekidem Yehiyes <i>MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Shelvin Singh <i>SHELVIN SINGH LAWYERS</i>
Tanel Küün <i>LAW OFFICE TARK</i>		Mahlet Mesganaw Getu <i>DAGNACHEW TESFAYE AND MAHLET MESGANAW LAW OFFICE</i>	Seyoum Yohannes Tesfy <i>ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY</i>	Narotam Solanki <i>PWC FIJI</i>
Gaily Kuusik <i>DELOTTE ADVISORY AS</i>		Mekdes Mezgebu <i>MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Sintayehu Zeleke <i>FEDERAL HIGH COURT</i>	Eparama Tawake <i>FJII ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY</i>
Martti Lemendik <i>METAPRINT LTD.</i>	Dagnachew Tesfaye Abetew <i>DAGNACHEW TESFAYE AND MAHLET MESGANAW LAW OFFICE</i>	Nuredin Mohammed	<b>FIJI</b>	Ana Tuiketei <i>AP LEGAL</i>
Hannes Lentsius <i>PWC ESTONIA</i>	Million Alemu <i>LEGAL PRACTITIONER AND CONSULTANT</i>	Belayneh Molla Adgeh <i>MB CONSULTING PRIVATE LIMITED COMPANY</i>	WAF NATIONAL OFFICE	Manne Airaksinen <i>ROSCIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>
Kerstin Linmar <i>ALIANCE OF INDEPENDENT LEGAL ADVISERS</i>	Girma Alemu Mengesha <i>ASSEFA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Titus Mukora <i>PWC KENYA</i>	Eddielin Almonte <i>PWC FIJI</i>	Timo Airosto <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>
Berit Loog <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Assefa Ali Beshir <i>ASSEFA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Mekonnen Muluneh Shiferaw <i>PWC</i>	Lisa Apted <i>KPMG</i>	Petri Avikainen <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>
Karin Madisson <i>ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS</i>	Fikadu Asfaw <i>FIKADU ASFAW AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Nicholas Barnes <i>MUNRO LEYS</i>	Hillevi Ekstrom <i>OY NIKLAS SHIPPING LTD.</i>	
		Jone Cavubati <i>FIJI EXPORT COUNCIL</i>	Marja Eskola <i>PWC FINLAND</i>	

Oona Fromholdt <i>CASTRÉN &amp; SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Krista Rekola <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	Segolene Dufetel <i>MAAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Arnaud Pédron <i>TAJ SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Sylvain Diangatebe Malongo <i>SOCIÉTÉ D'ENERGIE ET D'EAU DU GABON (SEEG)</i>
Esa Halmari <i>HEDMAN PARTNERS</i>	Ingrid Remmelgas <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Jean-Marc Dufour <i>FRANCE ECOMMERCE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Arnaud Pelpel <i>PELPEL AVOCATS</i>	Anaïs Edzang Pouzere <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &amp; LEGAL SA</i>
Johanna Haltia-Tapio <i>HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Peter Salovaara <i>EVERSHEDS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Odile Dupeyré <i>SOLVEIG AVOCATS</i>	Thomas Philippe <i>MAAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Gilbert Erangah <i>ETUDE MAÎTRE ERANGAH</i>
Joni Hatanmaa <i>HEDMAN PARTNERS</i>	Petri Seppälä <i>PWC FINLAND</i>	Philippe Durand <i>PWC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Marie-Hélène Pinard-Fabro <i>PWC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Augustin Fang <i>CABINET AUGUSTIN FANG</i>
Seppo Havia <i>DITTMAR &amp; INDRENIUS</i>	Nikolas Sjöberg <i>KROGERUS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Thomas Ehrecke <i>BANQUE DE FRANCE</i>	Emmanuelle Ries <i>MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP</i>	Philippe Fouda Fouda <i>BEAC SIÈGE</i>
Henrietta Hindström <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Aatos Solhagen <i>ASIANAJOITIMISTO</i>	Ingrid Fauvelière <i>JEANTET AARPI</i>	Jean-François Riffard <i>UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, ÉCOLE DU DROIT</i>	Gagan Gupta <i>OLAM INTERNATIONAL</i>
Harri Hirvonen <i>PWC FINLAND</i>	Petri Taivalkoski <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Ivan Féron <i>PWC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Nicolas Rontcheksky <i>AVOCAT ET PROFESSEUR AGGRÉGÉ DES FACULTÉS DE DROIT</i>	Désiré Lassegue <i>CNSS</i>
Lauri Jääskeläinen <i>MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT</i>	Jenni Teurokoski <i>HEDMAN PARTNERS</i>	Louis Feuillée <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	Pierre-Yves Rossignol <i>SCP GRANRUT AVOCATS</i>	Kevin Lebreton <i>ACTION RAPIDE TRANSIT</i>
Pekka Jaatinen <i>CASTRÉN &amp; SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Tuuli Vapaavuori-Vartiainen <i>EVERSHEDS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Nataline Fleury <i>ASHURST LLP</i>	Guillaume Rougier-Brierre <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Athanase Ndoye Loury <i>SYNDIC JUDICIAIRE</i>
Johanna Jarvinen <i>PANALPINA AB</i>	Seija Vartiainen <i>PWC FINLAND</i>	Lionel Galliez <i>CONSEIL SUPÉRIEUR DU NOTARIAT (PARIS)</i>	Philippe Roussel Galle <i>UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DESCARTES</i>	Eric Mbah <i>CONSERVATION DE LA PROPRIÉTÉ FONCIÈRE ET DES HYPOTHÈQUES</i>
Sarianna Järviö <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	Marko Vuori <i>KROGERUS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Nassim Ghalmi <i>VEIL JOURDE</i>	Hugues Roux <i>BANQUE DE FRANCE</i>	Louis Pascal Mbighi <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE</i>
Juuso Jokela <i>SUOMEN ASIAKASTIETO OY</i>	Gunnar Westerlund <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Régine Goury <i>MAAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Abibatou Samb-Diouck <i>ETUDE SAMB-DIOUCK</i>	Gaetan Mboza <i>3M-PARTNERS &amp; CONSEILS</i>
Mika Karpinen <i>HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	<b>FRANCE</b>			
Milla Kokko-Lehtinen <i>PWC FINLAND</i>	EAU DE PARIS	François Grenier	Michael Samol <i>JEANTET AARPI</i>	Davy Mendoume <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE</i>
Sini Laajala <i>HEDMAN PARTNERS</i>	MAIRIE DE PARIS	Kevin Grossmann <i>CABINET GROSSMANN</i>	Maxime Samson	Yannick Mokanda <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE</i>
Kaisa Lampu <i>PWC FINLAND</i>	Claire Adenis-Lamarre <i>MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP</i>	Karl Hepp de Sevelinges <i>JEANTET AARPI</i>	Pierre-Nicolas Sanzey <i>STEPHENSON HARWOOD</i>	Claude Mombo <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE</i>
Anna-Illona Lehtonen <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Nadhia Ameziane <i>DENTONS</i>	Marc Jobert <i>JOBERT &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Emmanuel Schulte <i>BERSAY ASSOCIES</i>	Haymard Moutsinga <i>AVOCAT À LA COUR</i>
Jan Lilius <i>HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Yves Ardaillou <i>BERSAY ASSOCIES</i>	Ruben Koslar <i>JEANTET AARPI</i>	Maxime Simonnet <i>DENTONS</i>	Thierry Ngomo <i>ARCHI PRO INTERNATIONAL</i>
Johanna Lilja <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Vincent Audoir <i>ALLEZ &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Paul Lafuste <i>VEIL JOURDE</i>	Johannes Singelnstein <i>RACINE AVOCATS</i>	Lubin Ntoutoume <i>CABINET SCP NTOUTOUUME ET MEZHER</i>
Jaakko Maijala <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Julien Bellapianta <i>ATS INTERNATIONAL</i>	Daniel Arthur Laprès <i>AVOCAT À LA COUR D'APPEL DE PARIS</i>	Isabelle Smith Monnerville <i>SMITH D'ORIA</i>	Jean Serge Ogoula <i>CELLULE E-TAXES</i>
Olli Mäkelä <i>HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Hervé Beloeuvre <i>FIDUCIAIRE BELOEUVRE ET ASSOCIES</i>	Annie Le Berre <i>PWC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Lionel Spizzichino <i>WILLKIE FARR &amp; GALLAGHER LLP</i>	Laurent Pommera <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &amp; LEGAL SA</i>
Kimmo Mettälä <i>KROGERUS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Stéphane Bénédant <i>SCP GRANRUT AVOCATS</i>	Alann Le Guillou <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	Jean Tarrade <i>CONSEIL SUPÉRIEUR DU NOTARIAT (PARIS)</i>	Christophe Adrien Relongoué <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &amp; LEGAL SA</i>
Linda Miettinen <i>EVERSHEDS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Florence Bequet-Abdou <i>PWC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Olivier Lopez <i>COHEN &amp; GRESSER, AARPI</i>	Steven Thealier <i>MAAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Anne Rodot <i>ACTION RAPIDE TRANSIT</i>
Mia Mokkila <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Pierre Binon <i>BANQUE DE FRANCE</i>	Elsa Lourdeau <i>MAAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	François Vergne <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Fabien Tannhof <i>SOCIÉTÉ D'ENERGIE ET D'EAU DU GABON (SEEG)</i>
Marta Monteiro <i>HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Andrew Booth <i>ANDREW BOOTH ARCHITECT</i>	Alexandre Majbruch <i>DENTONS</i>	Nicolas Walker <i>REED SMITH</i>	H. Tchiffambeu <i>3M-PARTNERS &amp; CONSEILS</i>
Eeva-Leena Niemelä <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Isabelle-Victoria Carbuccia <i>IVCH PARIS</i>	Wladimir Mangel <i>MAAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Ronène Zana <i>PWC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Ines Vaz <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &amp; LEGAL SA</i>
Janne Nurminen <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Frédéric Cauvin <i>PWC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Frederic Mercier <i>MATHEZ TRANSPORTS INTERNATIONAUX SA</i>	<b>GABON</b>	
Emma Nyysölä <i>ASIANAJOITIMISTO WHITE &amp; CASE OY</i>	Stéphanie Chatelon <i>TAJ, MEMBER OF DELOTTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Orane Mikolajak <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO. <i>MUNICIPALITÉ DE LIBREVILLE</i>	Malick Bah <i>NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT AGENCY</i>
Jani Pitkänen <i>EVERSHEDS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Chloé Chiapusso-Elio <i>SCP GRANRUT AVOCATS</i>	Nathalie Morel <i>MAAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Angéla Adibet <i>DELOTTE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	Abdul Aziz Bensouda <i>AMIE BENSOUDA &amp; CO.</i>
Arttu Puoskari <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	Jean-Pierre Clavel <i>SCP JEAN-PIERRE CLAVEL</i>	Stephan de Groë <i>JEANTET AARPI</i>	Elisabeth Ajamen <i>BEAC SIÈGE</i>	Amie N.D. Bensouda <i>AMIE BENSOUDA &amp; CO.</i>
Mikko Rajala <i>BIRD &amp; BIRD ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Jean-Paul Decors <i>ETUDE MAÎTRE JEAN-PAUL DECORS</i>	Michel Nisse <i>PWC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Philippe Bergon <i>ACTION RAPIDE TRANSIT</i>	Odzangbateh Daké <i>PWC GHANA</i>
Vuokko Rajamäki <i>ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Antoine Delacarte <i>GUILLAUME FLICHY AARPI</i>	Catherine Ottaway <i>HOCHE SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Jean-Pierre Bozec <i>PROJECT LAWYERS</i>	Ida Denise Drameh <i>IDA D. DRAMEH &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
	Djaffer Doulache <i>CABINET RCA</i>	Sabine Paul <i>MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP</i>	Nicolas Chevrinalis <i>EY FFA JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	
			Regine D'Almeida Mensah <i>OHADA LEGIS</i>	

Dzidzedze Fiadjoe <i>PWC GHANA</i>	Giorgi Begiashvili <i>BEGIASHVILI &amp; CO. LIMITED LAW OFFICES</i>	Gia Jandieri <i>NEW ECONOMIC SCHOOL</i>	Lasha Nodia <i>NODIA, URUMASHVILI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Andreas Eckhardt <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT</i>
Sheriff Gaye <i>BOLLORÉ TRANSPORT &amp; LOGISTICS</i>	Levan Berdzenishvili <i>GEORGIAN TRANS EXPEDITION LTD.</i>	David Javakhadze <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	Tamta Nutsubidze <i>BEGIASHVILI &amp; CO. LIMITED LAW OFFICES</i>	Sigrun Erber-Faller <i>NOTARE ERBER-FALLER UND VORAN</i>
Sarane Hydara <i>MAHFOUS ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>	Tatia Berekashvili <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	George Jugeli <i>INVESTORS COUNCIL</i>	Papuna Papiashvili <i>NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT</i>	Johann-Friedrich Fleisch <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>
Lamin S. Jatta <i>ACCORD ASSOCIATES</i>	Nino Berianidze <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	David Kakabadze <i>Grigol Kakauridze MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	George Paresishvili <i>GEORGIAN STOCK EXCHANGE</i>	Alexander Freiherr von Aretin <i>GRAF VON WESTPHALEN RECHTSANWÄLTE</i>
Kebba Jobe <i>DABANI ELECTRICAL ENTERPRISE</i>	Revaz Beridze <i>ERISTAVI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Nikoloz Kakauridze <i>AZIMUTI LTD.</i>	Simon Parsons <i>PWC GEORGIA</i>	Partnerschaft
Sulayman Jobe <i>DT ASSOCIATES, INDEPENDENT CORRESPONDENCE FIRM OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Nino Bezhitashvili <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	David Kakhiani <i>MONTAGE GEORGIA</i>	Tsitsnami Sabadze <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	Simon Grieser <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>
Sulayman M. Joof <i>S.M. JOOF AGENCY</i>	Temur Bolotashvili <i>GEORGIAN INSTITUTE OF BUILDING</i>	Irakli Kandashvili <i>Oguz Kaan Karaer MAQRO CONSTRUCTION</i>	Natia Sakhokia <i>NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT</i>	Andrea Gruss <i>MERGET + PARTNER</i>
Mariam Kante <i>BOLLORÉ TRANSPORT &amp; LOGISTICS</i>	Arsen Borts vadze <i>AMPER CO. ENERGY SOLUTIONS</i>	Irma Kavtaradze <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	Levan Samanishvili <i>OCEANNET GEORGIA LTD.</i>	Klaus Günther <i>OPPENHOFF &amp; PARTNER</i>
Abdullah Konateh <i>MAHFOUS ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>	Giorgi Chichinadze <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	Mari Khardziani <i>NATIONAL AGENCY OF PUBLIC REGISTRY</i>	Mikheil Sarveladze <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Daniel Hacker <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT</i>
George Kwatia <i>PWC GHANA</i>	Zurab Chkheidze <i>BEGIASHVILI &amp; CO. LIMITED LAW OFFICES</i>	Dachi Kinkladze <i>GEORGIA REVENUE SERVICE</i>	Manzoor Shah <i>GLOBALINK LOGISTICS GROUP</i>	Marc Alexander Häger <i>OPPENHOFF &amp; PARTNER</i>
Anna Njie <i>AMIE BENSOUDA &amp; CO.</i>	Ekaterine Danelia <i>NODIA, URUMASHVILI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Sergi Kobakhidze <i>PWC GEORGIA</i>	Tea Sonishvili <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	Sebastian Harder <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT</i>
Clement Okey <i>PWC GHANA</i>	Giorgi Eliadze <i>FINE LIFE</i>	Aieti Kukava <i>ALLIANCE GROUP HOLDING</i>	Levan Targamadze <i>SABA CONSTRUCTION</i>	Nadine Haubner <i>MAYER BROWN LLP</i>
Baboucarr Owl <i>NATIONAL WATER AND ELECTRICITY COMPANY LTD.</i>	Khatia Esebua <i>ALLIANCE GROUP HOLDING</i>	Sophio Kurtauli <i>NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT</i>	Giorgi Tavartkiladze <i>DELOITTE</i>	Tina Hoffmann <i>MAYER BROWN LLP</i>
Ibrahima Salla <i>TRUST BANK LTD.</i>	Mariam Gabashvili <i>ERISTAVI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Nino Kvinikadze <i>NODIA, URUMASHVILI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Tamara Tsvoradze <i>BGI LEGAL</i>	Götz-Sebastian Hök <i>DR. HÖK STIEGLMEIER &amp; PARTNER</i>
Janet Ramatoulie Sallah-Njie <i>TORODO CHAMBERS</i>	Nikoloz Gamkrelidze <i>CAUCASTRANEXPRESS LTD.</i>	Natia Lapiashvili <i>DECHERT GEORGIA LLC</i>	Antonina Tsvelovalnikova <i>GIANT LOGISTICS</i>	Elke Holthausen-Dux <i>MOCK PARTNERSCHAFT VON RECHTSANWÄLTEN MBB</i>
Mary Abdoulie <i>Samba-Christensen LEGAL PRACTITIONER</i>	Teymuraz Gamkrelashvili <i>TELASI</i>	Danelia Lasha <i>AZIMUTI LTD.</i>	Besik Tsimakuridze <i>DECHERT GEORGIA LLC</i>	Peter Holzhäuser <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT</i>
Aji Penda B. Sankareh <i>DT ASSOCIATES, INDEPENDENT CORRESPONDENCE FIRM OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Teona Gaprindashvili <i>NODIA, URUMASHVILI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Ela Lekishvili <i>F-CHAIN</i>	Tamar Tsvildiani <i>TOYOTA CAUCASUS LLC</i>	Markus Jakoby <i>JAKOBY RECHTSANWÄLTE</i>
Bakary Sanneh <i>DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL PLANNING AND HOUSING</i>	Ekaterina Gazadze <i>GEORGIAN STOCK EXCHANGE</i>	Irakli Lekishvili <i>TOYOTA CAUCASUS LLC</i>	Kote Ukleba <i>ELECTRICAL SERVICE GROUP</i>	Helmut Jordan <i>JORDAN &amp; WAGNER RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT MBH</i>
Joseph E. Sarre <i>GAMBIA ARCHITECTURAL AND PLANNING CONSULTANTS</i>	Archil Giorgadze <i>DECHERT GEORGIA LLC</i>	Tea Loladze <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	Samson Uridia <i>GEORGIA REVENUE SERVICE</i>	Alexander Kollmorgen <i>K&amp;L GATES LLP</i>
Yassin Senghore <i>SENGHORE LAW PRACTICE</i>	Givi Giorgadze <i>INVESTORS COUNCIL</i>	Mirab-Dmitry Lomadze <i>TEGETA MOTORS</i>	Zviad Voshakidze <i>TELASI</i>	Jörg Kraffel <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>
Hawa Sisay-Sabally <i>LAWYER</i>	Lasha Gogiberidze <i>BGI LEGAL</i>	Jaba Mamulashvili <i>BEGIASHVILI &amp; CO. LIMITED LAW OFFICES</i>	Emre Yetiskin <i>MAQRO CONSTRUCTION</i>	Ernst-Otto Kuchenbrandt <i>DEUTSCHE BUNDES BANK</i>
Salieu Taal <i>TEMPLE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Lali Gogoheridze <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</i>	Nicola Mariani <i>DECHERT GEORGIA LLC</i>	GERMANY	Baerbel Kuhlmann <i>EY</i>
<b>GEORGIA</b>	Alexander Gomiashvili <i>JSC CREDIT INFO GEORGIA</i>	Elene Mebonia <i>LEGAL PARTNERS ASSOCIATED (LPA) LLC</i>	Helge Aulmann <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Claudia Kuhn <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>
Marekh Amirashvili <i>INTERNATIONAL ADVOCATES LLC</i>	Levan Gotua <i>BEGIASHVILI &amp; CO. LIMITED LAW OFFICES</i>	Salome Meladze <i>BGI LEGAL</i>	Mark Bekker <i>BEKKER LOGISTICA</i>	Andreas Lange <i>MAYER BROWN LLP</i>
Davit Askurava <i>NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT</i>	Goga Gujejiani <i>KAUKASUS TRANSPORT LOGISTIK</i>	Salome Meunargia <i>LEGAL PARTNERS ASSOCIATED (LPA) LLC</i>	Judging Berger <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	Peter Limmer <i>NOTARE DR. LIMMER &amp; DR. FRIEDERIC</i>
Nino Bakhia <i>NATIONAL AGENCY OF PUBLIC REGISTRY</i>	Nana Gurgenidze <i>LEGAL PARTNERS ASSOCIATED (LPA) LLC</i>	Roin Migriali <i>LAW OFFICE MIGRIALI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Philipp Johannes Bergmann <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Steffen Lindemann <i>MAYER BROWN LLP</i>
Sandro Bakhsoliani <i>INSTA LLC</i>	Jaba Gvelebiani <i>LEGAL PARTNERS ASSOCIATED (LPA) LLC</i>	Giorgi Mikautadze <i>TBILISI CITY COURT</i>	Jennifer Bierly <i>GSK STOCKMANN + KOLLEGEN</i>	René Lochmann <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>
Mikheil Balashvili <i>ARCHITECTURAL BUREAU</i>	Ia Mikhelidze <i>GEORGIA REVENUE SERVICE</i>	Ia Mikhelidze <i>GEORGIA REVENUE SERVICE</i>	Justus Binder <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Sabine Malik <i>SCHUFA HOLDING AG</i>
David Bardavelidze <i>OCEANNET GEORGIA LTD.</i>	Sophie Natroshvili <i>BGI LEGAL</i>	Thomas Büssow <i>PWC GERMANY</i>	Werner Meier <i>SIMMONS &amp; SIMMONS</i>	Frank Mizera <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>

Marius Moeller <i>PWC GERMANY</i>	Uwe Witt <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT</i>	Abeku Gyan-Quansah <i>PWC GHANA</i>	<b>GREECE</b>	Rita Katsoula <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>
Isaschar Nicolaysen <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>		Rhoda Gyepi-Garbrah <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	EYDAP SA	Dionysios Kazaglis <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>
Dirk Otto <i>DENK RECHTSANWÄLTE</i>		Roland Horsoo <i>BOUYGUES CONSTRUCTION</i>	Sophia Ampoulidou <i>DRAKOPOULOS LAW FIRM</i>	Anna Kazantzidou <i>VAINANIDIS ECONOMOU &amp; ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>
John Piotrowski <i>JAKOBY RECHTSANWÄLTE</i>	Solomon Ackom <i>GRIMALDI GHANA LTD.</i>	Daniel Imadi <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA &amp; ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Evangelos Angelopoulos <i>E ANGELOPOULOS LAW OFFICE</i>	Anastasia Kelveridou <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>
Sebastian Prügel <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	George Kingsley Acquah <i>STANDARD CHARTERED BANK GHANA LIMITED</i>	Rosa Kudoadzi <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA &amp; ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Amalia Balla <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	Efthymios Kleftogiannis <i>PWC GREECE</i>
Angela Reimer <i>DIAZ REUS &amp; TARG LLP</i>	John Acquah <i>GRIMALDI GHANA LTD.</i>	Isaac Kunko <i>AB LEXMALL &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Dimitris Bimpas <i>IME GSEVEE</i>	Constantinos Klissouras <i>KIP LAW FIRM</i>
Anselm Reinerthshofer <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Larry Adjeteey <i>LAW TRUST COMPANY</i>	Mary Kwarteng <i>PWC GHANA</i>	Ira Charisiadou <i>CHARISIADOU LAW OFFICE</i>	Ioanna Komporou <i>PWC GREECE</i>
Sebastian Reinsch <i>JANKE &amp; REINSCH</i>	Sena Agbekoh <i>AB &amp; DAVID</i>	George Kwatia <i>PWC GHANA</i>	Viktoria Chatzara <i>IKRP ROKAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Georgia Konstantinidou <i>DRAKOPOULOS LAW FIRM</i>
Alexander Reus <i>DIAZ REUS &amp; TARG LLP</i>	Irene Agyenim-Boateng <i>AB &amp; DAVID</i>	Yaw Kyere <i>AB LEXMALL &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Theodora Christodoulou <i>KLC LAW FIRM</i>	Lena Kontogeorgou <i>NOTARY</i>
Malte Richter <i>MAYER BROWN LLP</i>	George Ahiavor <i>XDSDATA GHANA LTD.</i>	Eric Nii Yarboi Mensah <i>SAM OKUDZETO &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Alkistis Christofilou <i>IKRP ROKAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Panos Koromantzos <i>BAHAS, GRAMATIDIS &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Martina Rothe <i>ASHURST LLP</i>	Kweku Ainuson <i>AB LEXMALL &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Godwin Oforosuhene Nkrumah <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA &amp; ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Evangelia Christopoulou <i>NOTARY</i>	Olga Koromilia <i>PWC GREECE</i>
Jan Rudolph <i>LINKLATERS LLP</i>	Cecilia Akyeampong <i>TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT</i>	Kwadwo Ntrakwah <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Vasiliki Christou <i>KLC LAW FIRM</i>	Liana Kosmatou <i>C. PAPACOSTOPOULOS &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
Philipp Ruehland <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT</i>	Mellisa Amarteifio <i>SAM OKUDZETO &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Nana Yaw Ntrakwah <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Leda Condoyanni <i>HELLENIC CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COUNCIL</i>	Zafiria Kosmidou <i>KARATZAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Diedrich Schröder <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>	Nene Amegatcher <i>SAM OKUDZETO &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Abena Ntrakwah-Mensah <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Eleni Dikonomaki <i>TEIRESIAS SA - BANK INFORMATION SYSTEMS</i>	Dimitrios Kotsionis <i>MICHAEL KYPRIANOU &amp; CO. LLC</i>
Volker Schwarz <i>HEUSSEN RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT MBH</i>	Kennedy Paschal Anaba <i>LAWFIELDS CONSULTING</i>	Wordsworth Odame Larbi <i>CONSULTANT</i>	Sotirios Douklas <i>KG LAW FIRM</i>	Vasiliki (Cecilia) Kousouri <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>
Kirstin Schwedt <i>LINKLATERS LLP</i>	Wilfred Kwabena <i>Anim-Odame LANDS COMMISSION</i>	Sam Okudzeto <i>SAM OKUDZETO &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Princess Dritsa <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Dimitrios Kremalis <i>KREMALIS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>
Mike Silin <i>DHL CZECH REPUBLIC</i>	Iris Annan <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA &amp; ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Rexford Assasie Oppong <i>KNUST</i>	Elisabeth Eleftheriades <i>KG LAW FIRM</i>	Irene C. Kyriakides <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>
Marce Spielberger <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Daisy Joana Antwi <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Prince Oppong Boakye <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA &amp; ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Stergios Frastanlis <i>ZEPOS &amp; YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Panos Lolonis <i>HELLENIC CADASTRE AND MAPPING AGENCY</i>
Kai Sebastian Staak <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT</i>	Kwabena Asante Offei <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA &amp; ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Patience Osei-Nyarko <i>TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT</i>	Dionyssia I. Gamvrakis <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>	Artemis Malliaropoulos <i>INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT</i>
Dirk Stiller <i>PWC GERMANY</i>	Akousa Akoma Asiamah <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Vera Owusu Osei <i>AB &amp; DAVID</i>	Georgios Garoufis <i>PWC GREECE</i>	Effrosyni-Maria Mantalila <i>ELIAS PARASKEVAS ATTORNEYS 1933</i>
Karl-Thomas Stopp <i>MOCK PARTNERSCHAFT VON RECHTSANWÄLTEN MBB</i>	Bridget Atta-Konadu <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Cynthia Jumu Quarcoo <i>CQ LEGAL &amp; CONSULTING</i>	Dionyssios Gavounelis <i>KIP LAW FIRM</i>	Evangelos Margaritis <i>DRAKOPOULOS LAW FIRM</i>
Tobias Taetzner <i>PWC GERMANY</i>	Nana Akwasi Akuah <i>AB LEXMALL &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Henry Layea Quartey <i>BAKER TILLY ANDAH + ANDAH CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	Gerasimos Georgopoulos <i>GENIKO EMBOIRO MITROO - GEMI</i>	Emmanuel Mastromanolis <i>ZEPOS &amp; YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Kévin Paul-Hervé Tanguy <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT</i>	Joyce Bediako <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Benjamin Quaye <i>MINISTRY OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF GHANA</i>	Antonis Giannakodimos <i>ZEPOS &amp; YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Alexandros N. Metaxas <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>
Jonathan Tobler <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>	Ayesha Bedwei <i>PWC GHANA</i>	Jacob Saah <i>SAAH &amp; CO.</i>	Christos Goulas <i>KREMALIS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Maria Moschopoulou <i>ELIAS PARASKEVAS ATTORNEYS 1933</i>
Matei Ujica <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Thomas Blankson <i>XDS DATA GHANA LTD.</i>	Shirley Somuah <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Aikaterini Grivaki <i>PWC GREECE</i>	Marilisa Myrat <i>KARATZAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Arne Vogel <i>M&amp;P DR. MATZEN &amp; PARTNER MBB</i>	Binditi Chitor <i>AB LEXMALL &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Ebenezer Teye Agawu <i>CONSOLIDATED SHIPPING AGENCIES LIMITED</i>	Dimitris V. Hatzihristidis <i>ELECTRICAL ENGINEER</i>	Anthony Narlis <i>CALBERSON SA</i>
Oscar Weller <i>JANKE &amp; REINSCH</i>	Diana Asonaba Dapaah <i>SAM OKUDZETO &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Joyce Franklyn Thompson <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Efthymios Kalitisis <i>Theodora D. Karagiorgou KOUTALDIS LAW FIRM</i>	Anastasia Oikonomopoulou <i>KLC LAW FIRM</i>
Hartmut Wicke <i>NOTARE DR. WICKE UND HERRLER</i>	Ras Afful Davis <i>CLIMATE SHIPPING &amp; TRADING</i>	M.C. Vasnani <i>CONSOLIDATED SHIPPING AGENCIES LIMITED</i>	Artemis Karathanassi <i>PWC GREECE</i>	Athina Palli <i>ZEPOS &amp; YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Marco Wilhelm <i>MAYER BROWN LLP</i>	Jerry Dei <i>SAM OKUDZETO &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Thecla Wicketts <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA &amp; ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Catherine Karatzas <i>KARATZAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Christina Papachristopoulou <i>KIP LAW FIRM</i>
Thomas Winkler <i>DOMUS AG - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Judith Donkoh <i>NTRAKWAH &amp; CO.</i>	Kofi Edem Penty <i>RENAISSANCE LAW CHAMBERS</i>	Aristotelis Katranis <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Elena Papachristou <i>ZEPOS &amp; YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Gerlind Wisskirchen <i>CMS HASCHE SIGLE</i>	Christina Furler <i>FURLER ARCHITECTS LTD.</i>			

Constantinos Papadiamantis <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	Alexia Tzouni <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	Isabelle Slinger <i>INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS OF THE EASTERN CARIBBEAN</i>	Eduardo Castillo Cortes <i>CASTILLO ARQUITECTOS</i>	Edvin Montoya <i>LEXINCORP</i>
Lily Papakiriaki <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Spyros Valvis <i>PWC GREECE</i>	Sharon Tenny <i>GRENADE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION</i>	Maria Mercedes Castro <i>GARCIA &amp; BODAN</i>	Maria Eugenia Morales Aceña <i>CORTE SUPREMA DE JUSTICIA GUATEMALA</i>
Dimitris Papamentzelopoulos <i>KLC LAW FIRM</i>	Penny Vithoulka <i>C. PAPACOSTOPOULOS &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Alana Twum-Barimah <i>SUPREME COURT REGISTRY</i>	Juan Carlos Chavarría <i>EY</i>	Anajoyce Oliva <i>MUNICIPALIDAD DE GUATEMALA</i>
Christina Papanikolopoulou <i>ZEPOS &amp; YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Konstantinos Vlachakis <i>NOTARY</i>	Shireen Wilkinson <i>WILKINSON, WILKINSON &amp; WILKINSON</i>	Jose Andres Fuxet Ciani <i>LEXINCORP</i>	Julio César Ordóñez <i>Montenegro BANCO G&amp;T CONTINENTAL</i>
Stavros Papantonis <i>ACTION AUDITING SA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Kalliopi Vlachopoulou <i>KELEMENIS &amp; CO.</i>	<b>GUATEMALA</b>	Juan Luis De la Roca <i>REGISTRO MERCANTIL</i>	Carlos Ortega <i>MAYORA &amp; MAYORA SC</i>
Martha Papasotiriou <i>UNITYFOUR</i>	Lydia Vradi <i>KARATZAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Sofia Xanthoulea <i>JOHN TRIPIDAKIS &amp; ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Eleonora de Palma <i>ATA SERVICIOS</i>	Jorge A. Osoy <i>MUNICIPALIDAD DE GUATEMALA</i>
Dimitris E. Paraskevas <i>ELIAS PARASKEVAS ATTORNEYS 1933</i>	Fredy Yatracou <i>PWC GREECE</i>	PROTECTORA DE CRÉDITO COMERCIAL	Luis Pedro Del Valle <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Roberto Ozaeta <i>PWC GUATEMALA</i>
Marios Petropoulos <i>KREMALIS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Stergios Zygouras <i>KOUTALDIS LAW FIRM</i>	Oty Aixa Farfán Alvarez <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE ADMINISTRACIÓN TRIBUTARIA</i>	Claudia Lavinia Figueroa <i>REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)</i>	Marco Antonio Palacios <i>PALACIOS &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Spiros Pilios <i>GENESIS WORLD TRANS</i>	<b>GRENADA</b>	Erwin Ronaldo Alvarez Urbina <i>INSTAELECTRA XPRESS</i>	José María Flores Tintí	Raul Antonio Palma Cruz <i>ATA SERVICIOS</i>
Katerina Politi <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	DANNY WILLIAMS & CO.	Nancy Amaya <i>ATA SERVICIOS</i>	Abel Francisco Cruz Calderón <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE ADMINISTRACIÓN TRIBUTARIA</i>	Erick Palomo <i>REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)</i>
Panagiotis Polychronopoulos <i>KELEMENIS &amp; CO.</i>	GRENADA ELECTRICITY SERVICES LTD.	Pedro Aragón <i>ARAGÓN &amp; ARAGÓN</i>	Joel Estuardo Gamarro Palomo	Maria Jose Pepio Pensabene <i>CÁMARA GUATEMALTECA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN</i>
Stathis Potamitis <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	W.R. Agostini <i>W.R. AGOSTINI &amp; CO.</i>	Mario René Archila Cruz <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Giovanni Garcia <i>ATA SERVICIOS</i>	Claudia Pereira <i>MAYORA &amp; MAYORA SC</i>
Vicky Psaltaki <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>	Raymond Anthony <i>RAYMOND ANTHONY &amp; CO.</i>	Jorge Luis Arenales de la Roca <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Jose Gonzalez <i>PRECON</i>	Hugo Arévalo Perez <i>AREVALO PEREZ, IRALDA Y ASOCIADOS SC</i>
Mary Psylla <i>PWC GREECE</i>	James Bristol <i>HENRY, HENRY &amp; BRISTOL</i>	José Alejandro Arévalo Alburez <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS</i>	Omar Gonzalez <i>ARQUITECTURA Y DISEÑO</i>	Mélida Pineda <i>CARRILLO &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Eva Rodaki <i>PWC GREECE</i>	Carlyle Felix <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, PLANNING, TRADE, COOPERATIVES AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS</i>	Elias Arriaza Sáenz <i>CONSORTIUM - RACSA</i>	Liz Gordillo Anleu <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Edi Orlando Pineda Ramírez <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS</i>
Vasiliki Salaka <i>KARATZAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Melissa Garraway <i>SEON &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Sandra Audicio <i>MARINE CARGO LINE, SA</i>	Diego Hernández <i>QIL+4 ABOGADOS SA</i>	Gabriela Posadas <i>QIL+4 ABOGADOS SA</i>
Ioannis Sarakinos <i>SARAKINOS LAW</i>	Kim George <i>KIM GEORGE &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	María de los Angeles Barillas Buchhalter <i>SARAVIA &amp; MUÑOZ</i>	Carlos Guillermo Herrera <i>REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)</i>	Manuel Ramírez <i>EY</i>
Nikolaos Siakantaris <i>UNITYFOUR</i>	Carlyle Glean Jr. <i>GLEAN'S CONSTRUCTION &amp; ENGINEERING CO.</i>	Nancy Barrera <i>PWC GUATEMALA</i>	Pamela Jimenez <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Ada Celeste Rios Cruz De Sandoval <i>REGISTRO DE GARANTIAS MOBILIARIAS</i>
Konstantinos Siakoulis <i>GENIKO EMBORIKO MITROO - GEMI</i>	Cyrus Griffith <i>LABOUR DEPARTMENT</i>	Jose Rodrigo Barrillas Garcia <i>NOVALES ABOGADOS</i>	Eva Maria Lima <i>MUNICIPALIDAD DE GUATEMALA</i>	Andres Rivera <i>ACEROS ARQUITECTÓNICOS</i>
Ioannis Skandalis <i>PWC GREECE</i>	Annette Henry <i>MINISTRY OF LEGAL AFFAIRS</i>	Jorge Rolando Barrios <i>BONILLA, MONTANO, TORIELLO &amp; BARRIOS</i>	Ruy Llanera <i>COMERICAL AMERICANA DE CONSTRUCCIONES (CONAME)</i>	Francisco Rivera <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE ADMINISTRACIÓN TRIBUTARIA</i>
Ioanna Stamou <i>KARATZAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Keith Hosten <i>HOSTEN'S (ELECTRICAL SERVICES) LTD.</i>	Elmer Erasmo Beltetón Morales <i>REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)</i>	María Isabel Luján Zilbermann <i>QIL+4 ABOGADOS SA</i>	Alfredo Rodríguez Mahuad <i>CONSORTIUM - RACSA</i>
Alexia Stratou <i>KREMALIS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Ernie James <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, PLANNING, TRADE, COOPERATIVES AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS</i>	Luis Gustavo Berganza <i>REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)</i>	Juan Andrés Marroquín <i>CARRILLO &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Ranulfo Rafael Rojas Cetina <i>CORTE SUPREMA DE JUSTICIA GUATEMALA</i>
Georgios Thanopoulos <i>IME GSEVEE</i>	Nigel A. John <i>LATTITUDES CONSULT</i>	Natalia Callejas Aquino <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	César Enrique Marroquín Fernández <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS</i>	Federico Rolz <i>MR. BODEGUITAS</i>
Athanasiос Thoedorou	Garvey Louison <i>LOUISON CONSULTING</i>	Emanuel Callejas <i>CARRILLO &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Marco Antonio Martinez <i>CPS LOGISTICS</i>	Jose Rosales <i>GARCIA &amp; BODAN</i>
John Tripidakis <i>JOHN TRIPIDAKIS &amp; ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Debra Brenda Mason <i>GRENADE NUTMEG &amp; COCOA PRODUCTS</i>	Natalia Callejas Aquino <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Luis Amilcar <i>Mazariegos Ramos</i>	Luis Alfonso Ruano <i>CGW</i>
Kimon Tsakiris <i>KG LAW FIRM</i>	Gail Ann Newton <i>GRENADE PORT AUTHORITY</i>	Rodrigo Callejas Aquino <i>CARRILLO &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Abelardo Medina <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Maricela Sagastume <i>REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)</i>
Angeliki Tsatsi <i>KARATZAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Karen Samuel <i>SAMUEL PHILLIP &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Delia Cantoral <i>EY</i>	Magibis Mardoqueo Méndez López <i>REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)</i>	Glendy Salguero <i>PWC GUATEMALA</i>
Antonios Tsavdaridis <i>IKRP ROKAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Safya Sawney <i>TRADSHIP INTERNATIONAL</i>	Jorge Castañeda <i>SPEC</i>	Ricardo Mendez Tello <i>EFGSA</i>	Salvador Augusto <i>Saravia Castillo SARAVIA &amp; MUÑOZ</i>
Panagiota Tsinouli <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Valentino Sawney <i>TRADSHIP INTERNATIONAL</i>	Raul Castañeda <i>SPEC</i>	Edgar Mendoza <i>PWC GUATEMALA</i>	Alfredo Skinner-Klee <i>SKINNER-KLÉ &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Panagiota D. Tsitsa <i>NOTARY PANAGIOTA TSITSA</i>	David R. Sinclair <i>SINCLAIR ENTERPRISES LIMITED</i>	Juan Carlos Castillo Chacón <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Pedro Mendoza Montano <i>IURISCONSULTI ABOGADOS Y NOTARIOS</i>	Alejandro Solares <i>QIL+4 ABOGADOS SA</i>
Katerina Tzamalouka <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>				

Claudia Solares <i>REGISTRO DE GARANTIAS MOBILIARIAS</i>	Soukeina Fofana <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE GUINÉE (BCRG)</i>	Abdourahamane Tounkara <i>GUINÉ CONSULTING</i>	Alcide Gomes <i>QUID JURIS SARL</i>	Alfredo Silva <i>CÂMARA MUNICIPAL DE BISSAU</i>
Francisco Solórzano <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE ADMINISTRACIÓN TRIBUTARIA</i>	Guy Laurent Fondjo <i>AFRILAND FIRST BANK</i>	Aboubacar Salimatou Toure <i>BANQUE DE DÉVELOPPEMENT DE GUINÉE</i>	Fernando Gomes <i>TSK LEGAL ADVOGADOS E JURISCONSULTOS</i>	A. Ussumane So <i>LOSSER LDA BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANTS</i>
José Augusto Toledo Cruz <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Joachim Gbilimou <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'INDUSTRIE, DES PME ET DE LA PROMOTION DU SECTEUR PRIVÉ</i>	Mohamed Lamine Touré <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE GUINÉE (BCRG)</i>	Vladimir Jorge Gomes <i>BANQUE ATLANTIQUE</i>	Jorge Sousa <i>CAMARA DE COMERCIO INDUSTRIA PORTUGAL GUINE BISSAU (CCIPGB)</i>
Arelis Yariza Torres de Alfaro <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS</i>	Madigabe Kaba <i>SYLLA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Mariama Cire Traore <i>EY</i>	Neil Gomes Pereira <i>CENTRO DE FORMALIZAÇÃO DE EMPRESAS</i>	Fernando Tavares <i>TRANSMAR SÉRVICOS LDA</i>
Rodrigo Valladares <i>REGISTRO MERCANTIL</i>	Rene-Marie Kadouno <i>EY</i>	Barry Traoré <i>CABINET MAÎTRE FATOUMATA AMADOU BARRY</i>	Liliane Gomis <i>MAERSK LINE</i>	Fernando Teixeira <i>ORDEM NACIONAL DOS ARQUITECTOS</i>
Elmer Vargas <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Diawara Karamokoba <i>APIP-GUINÉE DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES</i>	Diallo Youssouf <i>TRANSMAR SA</i>	Numra Gorky Mendes de Medina <i>GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND TAXES</i>	Gabriel Umabano <i>TSK LEGAL ADVOGADOS E JURISCONSULTOS</i>
Ivar Vega <i>RV INSTALACIONES</i>	Aribot Karim <i>DIRECTION NATIONALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Kalivogui Zeze <i>CABINET D'AVOCAT DE ME KALIVOGUI ZEZE</i>	Monica Indami <i>BISSAU FIRST INSTANCE COURT, COMMERCIAL DIVISION</i>	Carlos Vamain <i>GOMES &amp; VAMAIN ASSOCIADOS</i>
Roselyn Villatoro <i>NOVALES ABOGADOS</i>	Namory Keita <i>DIRECTION NATIONALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Togba Nicolas Zomy <i>CABINET MAÎTRE ZOMY</i>	Alceine Indjai <i>BUREAU PROSPECTIVA BISSAU</i>	
Marlon Virula <i>EY</i>				
<b>GUINEA</b>				
Camara Abdoul Kable <i>CABINET CAMARA ABDOU</i>	Mariama Ciré Keita Diallo <i>NIMBA CONSEIL SARL</i>			<b>GUYANA</b>
Yves Constant Amani <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS BAO &amp; FILS</i>	Fatoumata Koulibaly <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE GUINÉE (BCRG)</i>			DIGICOM
Toure Aïssata Aribot <i>PORT AUTONOME DE CONAKRY</i>	Maténin Kourouma <i>APIP GUINÉE - AGENCE DE PROMOTION DES INVESTISSEMENTS PRIVÉS</i>			<i>RODRIGUES ARCHITECTS LTD.</i>
Pierre Kodjo Avode <i>SYLLA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Nounké Kourouma <i>ADMINISTRATION DES GRANDS PROJETS ET DES MARCHÉS PUBLICS</i>			Tracey Bancroft <i>CITY ENGINEERS OFFICE MAYOR &amp; COUNCILLORS OF CITY OF GEORGETOWN</i>
Mohamed Aly Baldé <i>PWC GUINEA</i>	Boua Kouyaté <i>SECRÉTARIAT DU DIALOGUE PERMANENT PUBLIC-PRIVÉ</i>			Wiston Beckles <i>CORREIA &amp; CORREIA LTD.</i>
Mody Sory Barry <i>DIRECTION NATIONALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Gbamou Kpouloumou <i>TRIBUNAL DE PREMIÈRE INSTANCE DE MAFANCO</i>			Marcel Bobb <i>FRASER, HOUSTY &amp; YEARWOOD ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Aguibou Bérété <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'INDUSTRIE, DES PME ET DE LA PROMOTION DU SECTEUR PRIVÉ</i>	Mohamed Lahlou <i>PWC GUINEA</i>			Julius Campbell <i>CORREIA &amp; CORREIA LTD.</i>
Renaud Bidault <i>DÉMENAGEMENTS INTERNATIONAUX ET GARDE-MEUBLES</i>	Augustin Lovichi <i>ELECTRICITÉ DE GUINÉE</i>			Desmond Correia <i>CORREIA &amp; CORREIA LTD.</i>
Ismaila Camara <i>MAERSK LOGISTICS SA</i>	Jean Alfred Mathos <i>NOTAIRE MATHOS JEAN ALFRED</i>			Lucia Desir-John <i>D &amp; J SHIPPING SERVICES</i>
Souleymane Camara	Kaba Moriba <i>CABINET D'AVOCAT KABA MORIBA</i>			Rocky Hanoman <i>POLLONAI, BLANC, DE LA BASTIDE &amp; JACELON</i>
Francis Charles Haba <i>CABINET BABADY ET FRANCIS SCPA</i>	Badara Niang <i>EY</i>			Renford Homer <i>GUYANA POWER &amp; LIGHT INC.</i>
Sally Oussouby Cissoko <i>DIRECTION NATIONALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Lamah Pierre <i>COMMISSION NATIONALE OHADA DE GUINÉE</i>			Kalam Azad Juman-Yassin <i>GUYANA OLYMPIC ASSOCIATION</i>
Fatoumata Condé <i>APIP GUINÉE - AGENCE DE PROMOTION DES INVESTISSEMENTS PRIVÉS</i>	Rassi Raja <i>CABINET D'AVOCAT KOUMY</i>			Kashir Khan <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>
Abdelaziz Derrahi <i>ELECTRICITÉ DE GUINÉE</i>	Mamadou Saliou Baldé <i>MINISTÈRE DE LA CONSTRUCTION, DE L'URBANISME ET HABITAT</i>			Rhonda La Fargue <i>GUYANA POWER &amp; LIGHT INC.</i>
Ahmadou Diallo <i>CHAMBRE DES NOTAIRES</i>	David Sandouno <i>BUREAU DES TRAVAUX TOPOGRAPHIQUES</i>			Edward Luckhoo <i>LUCKHOO &amp; LUCKHOO</i>
Mamadou Aliou Diallo <i>GROUPE MAD</i>	Camara Mamadou Sanoussy <i>NOTAIRE CAMARA MAMADOU SANOUSSY</i>			Alexis Monize <i>GUYANA OFFICE FOR INVESTMENT</i>
Youssouf Diallo <i>CHAMBRE DES NOTAIRES</i>	Satouma Yari Sounah <i>ÉTUDE YANSANE</i>			Harry Noel Narine <i>PKF INTERNATIONAL</i>
Hann Dienaba Keita <i>APIP-GUINÉE</i>	Mohamed Sidiki Sylla <i>SYLLA &amp; PARTNERS</i>			Charles Ogle <i>MINISTRY OF LABOUR, HUMAN SERVICES AND SOCIAL SECURITY</i>
Barry Fatoumata <i>CABINET ARCHI PLUS</i>	Paul Tchagna <i>PWC GUINEA</i>			Carolyn Paul <i>AMICE LEGAL CONSULTANTS INC.</i>
Naby Moussa Fofana <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE GUINÉE (BCRG)</i>				Vishwamurti Ramnarine <i>PKF BARCELLOS, NARINE &amp; CO.</i>

Shantel Scott <i>FRASER, HOUSTY &amp; YEARWOOD ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	<b>HONDURAS</b> CNBS - COMISIÓN NACIONAL DE BANCOS Y SEGUROS COMISIÓN NACIONAL DE ENERGIA Mario Aguero ARIAS LAW Daniel Aguilera TRANSCOMA Edward Aguilera TRANSCOMA Olvin Aguilera TRANSCOMA Vanessa Aguilera TRANSCOMA Juan José Alcerro Milla AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE Alberto Alvarez AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE Valmir Araujo OPERADORA PORTUARIA CENTROAMERICANA Geovanni Ayestas EMPRESA NACIONAL DE ENERGIA José Simón Azcona INMOBILIARIA ALIANZA SA Freddy Castillo GARCIA & BODÁN Helui Castillo COHEP (CONSEJO HONDUREÑO DE LA EMPRESA PRIVADA) Jaime Alberto Colindres Rosales DYCELES S DE RL Natalie Ann Cooper Umaría INVERSIONES CELAQUE SA Alejandra Cruz CASCO-FORTIN, CRUZ & ASOCIADOS Graciela Cruz GARCIA & BODÁN Gilda Espinal Veliz ASJ - ASOCIACIÓN PARA UNA SOCIEDAD MÁS JUSTA Jose Luis Haya ARQUITECNIC Evangelina Lardizábal ARIAS LAW Erick Lezama ARIAS LAW Rafael Enrique Medina Elvir CÁMARA DE COMERCIO E INDUSTRIA DE TEGUCIGALPA Jesús Humberto Medina-Alva CENTRAL LAW MEDINA, ROSENTHAL & ASOCIADOS Juan Carlos Mejía Cotto INSTITUTO DE LA PROPIEDAD E. Mendoza COHEP (CONSEJO HONDUREÑO DE LA EMPRESA PRIVADA) Ramón E. Morales PWC HONDURAS Juan Diego Napky GARCIA & BODÁN Vanessa Oquelí GARCIA & BODÁN	Gabriela Padilla CASCO-FORTIN, CRUZ & ASOCIADOS Dino Rietti ARQUITECNIC José Rafael Rivera Ferrari CONSORTIUM LEGAL Milton Gabriel Rivera Urquía PWC HONDURAS Conrado Rodriguez ADVOCATUS LAW FIRM Enrique Rodriguez Burchard AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE Fanny Rodriguez del Cid ARIAS LAW Germany Salgado ADVOCATUS LAW FIRM René Serrano ARIAS LAW Juan Sinclair EMPRESA NACIONAL DE ENERGIA ELÉCTRICA Gustavo Solorzano COHEP (CONSEJO HONDUREÑO DE LA EMPRESA PRIVADA) Cristian Stefan Handal ADVOCATUS LAW FIRM Valery Theodoracopoulos ARIAS LAW Mariano Turnes OPERADORA PORTUARIA CENTROAMERICANA Daisy Gricelda Urquía Hernández TRANSUNION Armando Urtecho López COHEP (CONSEJO HONDUREÑO DE LA EMPRESA PRIVADA) Lizzeth Villatoro CASCO-FORTIN, CRUZ & ASOCIADOS Mauricio Villeda Jr. GUTIERREZ FALLA & ASOCIADOS Jose Emilio Zablah Ulloa PWC HONDURAS Mario Rubén Zelaya ENERGIA INTEGRAL S. DE RL DE CV Benito Arturo Zelaya Cálix LEXINCORP Erick Lezama ARIAS LAW Rafael Enrique Medina Elvir CÁMARA DE COMERCIO E INDUSTRIA DE TEGUCIGALPA Jesús Humberto Medina-Alva CENTRAL LAW MEDINA, ROSENTHAL & ASOCIADOS Juan Carlos Mejía Cotto INSTITUTO DE LA PROPIEDAD E. Mendoza COHEP (CONSEJO HONDUREÑO DE LA EMPRESA PRIVADA) Ramón E. Morales PWC HONDURAS Juan Diego Napky GARCIA & BODÁN Vanessa Oquelí GARCIA & BODÁN	Selraniy Chow PWC HONG KONG Robert Chu FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY BUREAU OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION Tony Chu VICTON REGISTRATIONS LTD. Cynthia Chung DEACONS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Jimmy Chung RUSSELL BEDFORD HONG KONG - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL Joanna Chung FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY BUREAU OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION Victor Dawes TEMPLE CHAMBERS Jorge Forton DUN & BRADSTREET (HK) LTD. Wilson Fung MAYER BROWN JSM Keith Man Kei Ho WILKINSON & GRIST Samuel Ho TRANSUNION LIMITED Reynold Hung PWC HONG KONG Peter Kwon ASHURST HONG KONG Billy Lam MAYER BROWN JSM Christie Lam HONG KONG FINANCIAL SECRETARY Kai Chiu Lam CLP POWER HONG KONG LIMITED Eva Lau THE LAND REGISTRY OF HONG KONG Ka Shi Lau BCT FINANCIAL LIMITED (BCTF) / BANK CONSORTIUM TRUST COMPANY LIMITED (BCTC) Yolanda Lau ADDLESHAW GODDARD Gina Lee TRANSUNION LIMITED Camille Leung SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS Jenny Liu ASHURST HONG KONG Terry LK Kan SHINEWING SPECIALIST ADVISORY SERVICES LIMITED Albert Lo TRANSUNION LIMITED Kathy Lo FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY BUREAU OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION Psyche S.F. Luk FAIRBAIRN CATLEY LOW & KONG Louise Ng SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS	Mat Ng JLA-ASIA James Ngai RUSSELL BEDFORD HONG KONG - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL Kok Leong Ngan CLP POWER HONG KONG LIMITED Yeung Or INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT, HKSAR Martinal Quan METOPRO ASSOCIATES LIMITED Hin Han Shum SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS Holden Slutsky PACIFIC CHAMBERS Brett Stewien ADDLESHAW GODDARD Keith Tam DUN & BRADSTREET (HK) LTD. Tammie Tam MAYER BROWN JSM Yuk Ting Fiona Fok JLA-ASIA Anita Tsang PWC HONG KONG William Tsang Y H TSANG & CO. Lawrence Tsong TRANSUNION LIMITED Paul Tsui HONG KONG ASSOCIATION OF FREIGHT FORWARDING & LOGISTICS LTD. (HAFFA) King Wai Leonard Chan JLA-ASIA Christopher Whiteley ASHURST HONG KONG Fergus Wong PWC HONG KONG David Wu CYTS-SPIRIT LOGISTICS LTD. Erica Xiong RUSSELL BEDFORD HONG KONG - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL Yuan Xu SHANDONG STARMEN CO. LTD. Kwok Kuen Yu COMPANIES REGISTRY Sunny Yu FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY BUREAU OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION <b>HUNGARY</b> HELLMANN WORLDWIDE LOGISTICS KFT Balázs Balog RETI, ANTALL AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM Dénes Balog ELMÚ HALÓZATI KFT Sándor Békési PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS Sándor Benkei ÓBUDA-ÚJLAK ZRT Hédi Bozsonyi SZECSKAY ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
--	---	--	---	--

Beata Bujnoczki PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS HUNGARY LTD.	Kinga Mekler SÁNDOR SZEGEDI SZENT-IVÁNY KOMÁROMI EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND	Margrét Berg Sverrisdóttir COURT OF ARBITRATION OF THE ICELAND CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Steinþór Þorsteinsson TOLLSTJÓRI - DIRECTORATE OF CUSTOMS	Raghav Bansal RSB LEAGUE CONSULTANTS, ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS
Szilágyi Csaba ÓBUDA-ÚJLAK ZRT	Zsolt Miklóshalmi ÓBUDA-ÚJLAK ZRT	Arnar Bjarnason FRAKT.IS	Jon Vilhjalmsson EFLA CONSULTING ENGINEERS	Shashwat Bansal RSB LEAGUE CONSULTANTS, ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS
Sárosi Csanád ÓBUDA-ÚJLAK	Mariann Miskovics SÁNDOR SZEGEDI SZENT-IVÁNY KOMÁROMI EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND	Jakob Björgvín Jakobsson ARCTIC LEGAL SERVICES		Subhash Bansal RSB LEAGUE CONSULTANTS, ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS
Zsuzsanna Cseri CSERI & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	László Mohai MOHAI LAW OFFICE	Karen Bragadóttir TOLLSTJÓRI - DIRECTORATE OF CUSTOMS		Sumitava Basu JURIS CORP
Krisztián Devezc PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	György Nadas UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN	Margret Anna Einarsdóttir JONATANSSON & CO. LEGAL SERVICES		Sumanth Batra KESAR DASS B & ASSOCIATES
Nóra Elmer-Szabó SÁNDOR SZEGEDI SZENT-IVÁNY KOMÁROMI EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND	Viktor Nagy BISZ CENTRAL CREDIT INFORMATION PLC	Eymundur Einarsson ENDURSKOBUN OG RÁDGJÓF EH福		Neeraj Bhagat NEERAJ BHAGAT & CO.
Gyula Gábel BOGSCH & PARTNERS	Sándor Németh SZECSKAY ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Asta Margrét Eiríksdóttir BBA LEGAL		M.L. Bhakta KANGA & CO.
Laszlo Gaspar FBIS ARCHITECTS	Christopher Noblet PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	Ólafur Eirksson LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Pradeep Bhandari INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY
Mihály Gerhát PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS HUNGARY LTD.	Galbavi Pál ÓBUDA-ÚJLAK ZRT	Sigríður Anna Ellerup REGISTERS ICELAND		M.P. Bharucha BHARUCHA & PARTNERS
Ervin Gombos GMBS KFT	Örs Péntes	Björg Finnbagadóttir REGISTERS ICELAND		Deepak Bhaskar TRILEGAL
Zoltán Gurszky ELMÚ HALÓZATI KFT	Sipka Péter UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN	Anna Björg Guðjónsdóttir BBA LEGAL		Moksha Bhat TRILEGAL
Csaba Attila Hajdu BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Eszter Piller PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS HUNGARY LTD.	Gudrun Gudmundsdóttir JÓNAR TRANSPORT		Gurleen Bhatia KNM & PARTNERS
Tamás Halmos PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	Henriett Rabb UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN	Marta Guðrún Blöndal COURT OF ARBITRATION OF THE ICELAND CHAMBER OF COMMERCE		Gurpriya Bhatia I.L.A. PASRICH & COMPANY
Attila Horváth ÓBUDA-ÚJLAK ZRT	Rita Rado CSERI & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Andri Gunnarsson NORDIK LEGAL SERVICES		Saurav Bhattacharya PWC INDIA
Dóra Horváth RETI, ANTALL AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Richard Safcsak BISZ CENTRAL CREDIT INFORMATION PLC	Reynir Haraldsson JÓNAR TRANSPORT		Sukanya Bhattacharya LUTHRA & LUTHRA LAW OFFICES
József Horváth ÓBUDA-ÚJLAK ZRT	Zsófia Sallai BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Hörður Davið Harðarson TOLLSTJÓRI - DIRECTORATE OF CUSTOMS		Mona Bhide DAVE & GIRISH & CO.
Andrea Jádi Németh BPV / JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	István Sándor KELEMEN, MESZAROS, SÁNDOR & PARTNERS	Burkni Maack Helgason CREDITINFO ICELAND		Hetal Bilaye NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES
Atilla Jambor DR. JÁMBOR ATTILA LAW OFFICE	Szilvia Szeleczky BUDAPEST 1ST DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY	Jón Ingvi Ingbergsson PWC ICELAND		Nidhi Bothra VINOD KOTHARI & CO. PRACTICING COMPANY SECRETARIES
Zoltán Janosi PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	Ágnes Szent-Iványi SÁNDOR SZEGEDI SZENT-IVÁNY KOMÁROMI EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND	Aðalsteinn E. Jónasson LEX LAW OFFICES		Amit Brid BRID ELECTRIC CORPORATION
Ferenc Kalla GTF KFT	Adám Tóth DR. TÓTH ÁDÁM KÖZJEGYZŐI IRODA	Hróbjartur Jónatansson JONATANSSON & CO. LEGAL SERVICES		J.K. Budhiraja INSOLVENCY PROFESSIONAL AGENCY OF INSTITUTE OF COST ACCOUNTANTS OF INDIA
Veronika Kiss PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	József Vizer RSM HUNGARY TAX AND FINANCIAL ADVISORY SERVICES PLC	Lára V. Júliusdóttir LÖGMENN LAUGAVEGI 3 EHF		K.K. Chadha ARCHITECT
Andrea Kládina CSERI & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Marton Leo Zaccaria UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN	Antoine Lochet BBA LEGAL		Harshala Chandorkar TRANSUNION CIBIL LIMITED
Andrea Kocszha PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS HUNGARY LTD.		Bjorn Mar Olafsson PWC ICELAND		Sravani Channapragada J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS
Csaba Kovács ELMÚ HALÓZATI KFT		Helga Melkorka Óttarsdóttir LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Charu Lata UNIVERSAL LEGAL
Gergely Kovács BOGSCH & PARTNERS		Kristján Pálsson JÓNAR TRANSPORT		Anand Chatrath B. M. CHATRATH & CO.
Miklós Machács MEASUREMENT AND TECHNICAL SAFETY AUTHORITY (BUDAPEST CAPITAL CITY GOVERNMENT OFFICE)	Benedikt Egill Árnason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Ásgeir Á. Ragnarsson BBA LEGAL		Jyoti Chaudhari LEGASIS SERVICES PRIVATE
Csaba Máté ÓBUDA-ÚJLAK ZRT	Ragnar Tomas Árnason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Arna Sigurjónsdóttir LMB LEGAL SERVICES		Sanjiv Kumar Chaudhary & Associates
Dóra Máthé PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS HUNGARY LTD.	Heiðar Ásberg Atlason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Gunnar Sturluson LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Aseem Chawla PHOENIX LEGAL
	Stefán Árni Auðólfsson LMB LEGAL SERVICES	Rúnar Svavarsson VEITUR, DISTRIBUTION-ELECTRICAL SYSTEM		Chandni Chawla PHOENIX LEGAL
		Jón Þórárinsson CREDITINFO ICELAND		Daizy Chawla SINGH & ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES AND SOLICITORS
		Helgi Þór Þorsteinsson LEX LAW OFFICES		Manjula Chawla PHOENIX LEGAL
				Vinita Chhatwal I.L.A. PASRICH & COMPANY
<b>ICELAND</b>				
	REYKJAVÍK MUNICIPAL BUILDING CONTROL OFFICER			
	Ásta Sólveig Andrésdóttir REGISTERS ICELAND			
	Benedikt Egill Árnason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI			
	Ragnar Tomas Árnason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI			
	Heiðar Ásberg Atlason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI			
	Stefán Árni Auðólfsson LMB LEGAL SERVICES			
<b>INDIA</b>				
	ASHOK DHINGRA ASSOCIATES			
	ASIATIC ELECTRICAL SWITCHGEAR (P) LTD.			
	AUM ARCHITECTS			
	CONSULTA JURIS			
	FCA INDIA AUTOMOBILES PVT. LTD.			
	JAYNIX ENGINEERING PVT. LTD.			
	M.D. ARCHITECTS			
	MAHARANI LAXMI AMMANNI CENTRE FOR SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH			
	Ajay Abad SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP			
	Jolly Abraham DESAI & DIWANJI			
	Alfred Adebare LEXCOUNSEL			
	Ca Surabhi Agarwal SS KOTHARI MEHTA & CO.			
	Kritika Agarwal MAJMUDAR & PARTNERS			
	Sanjay Kumar Agarwal VINAY AGARWAL			
	MAYRK ELECTRONICS LIMITED			
	Mayank Agarwal LUTHRA & LUTHRA LAW OFFICES			
	Padmakar Agte POWER SOLUTIONS			
	Jotinder Ahluwalia RELIANCE INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.			
	Aqil Ahmed SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION			
	Praveen Alok KHAITAN AND COMPANY			
	Saurabh Babulkar SETH DUA & ASSOCIATES			
	Surendrakumar Badge BEST			
	Taran Baidya VARDHAMAN CUSTOMS CLEARING & FORWARDING AGENCIES			
	Shashi Bala MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI			
	Shrenik N. Bamb SHRENIK N. BAMB & ASSOCIATES			
	Pallavi Banerjee J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS			
	Pritam Banerjee DEUTSCHE POST DHL GROUP			
	Anupam Bansal ABRD ARCHITECTS			
	Neeraj Bansal JAWAHARLAL NEHRU PORT TRUST			

Vinod Chithore MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI	Tarun Ghia Arup Ghosh TATA POWER DELHI DISTRIBUTION LTD.	Rohit Jain SINGHANIA & PARTNERS LLP	Dhiraj Khandelwal KHANDELWAL DHIRAJ & ASSOCIATES	Yogesh Malhan SINGH & ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES AND SOLICITORS
Priyanka Choksi DESAI & DIWANJI	Manoj Gidwani SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP	Sanjiv Kumar Jain VARDHAMAN CUSTOMS CLEARING & FORWARDING AGENCIES	Naveen Khanna SUNRISE FREIGHT FORWARDERS	Pragati Malik SPACES ARCHITECTURE STUDIO
Poorvi Chothani LAWYER	Prabhakar Giri VARDHAMAN CUSTOMS CLEARING & FORWARDING AGENCIES	Sanyogita Jain KNM & PARTNERS	Tanya Khare KHAITAN AND COMPANY	Vipender Mann KNM & PARTNERS
Sachin Chugh SINGHI CHUGH & KUMAR, CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS	Mukund Godbole GODBOLEMUKADAM AND ASSOCIATES	Chinmay Jani CHINMAY JANI ARCHITECT	Rajeev Kharyal TATA POWER DELHI DISTRIBUTION LIMITED	Rishabh G. Mastaram RGM LEGAL
Chirag Dabhi C & D LOGISTICS	Ankit Goel GTECH INFRA ENGINEERS PVT. LTD.	Anand Kumar Jha CENTRAL BOARD OF EXCISE & CUSTOMS	Gautam Khurana INDIA LAW OFFICES	Manish Mathur MATHUR ASSOCIATES N ARCHITECTS
Manish Dadhania PRECISION SINTERED PRODUCTS	Gourav Goyal NEERAJ BHAGAT & CO.	Abhijit Joglekar RELIANCE INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.	Ankit Khushu KACHWAHA & PARTNERS	HL Meena DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES
Chetan Daga SUDIT K. PAREKH AND CO.	Amit Gupta AAV AAR ELECTRICALS CONTROL (P) LTD.	Sanjay Joseph INCOME TAX DEPARTMENT (INDIA)	Kamal Kishore SUNRISE FREIGHT FORWARDERS	Ajoy Mehta MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI
Neelish Datir ALBIEA	Ashish Gupta ARMS & ASSOCIATES	Piyush Joshi CLARUS LAW ASSOCIATES	Shashank Kokil	Atul Mehta MEHTA & MEHTA
Amin Dayani	Atul Gupta TRILEGAL	Yogesh Joshi SPEEDWELL TECHNOLOGIES PVT. LTD.	Ravinder Komaragiri THE TATA POWER COMPANY LIMITED	Dara Mehta LITTLE & CO.
Rhuta Deobagkar TRILEGAL	G. D. Gupta AAV AAR ELECTRICALS CONTROL (P) LTD.	Kunal Juneja MP LAW OFFICES	Shinoj Koshy LUTHRA & LUTHRA LAW OFFICES	Dipti Mehta MEHTA & MEHTA
Sunil Deole DEOLE BROS	Gunjan Gupta SINGHANIA & PARTNERS LLP	Kumalya Kale SHELTER ASSOCIATES	Sanya Kothari LEXCOUNSEL	Pankaj Mehta FORTUNE LEGAL ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS
Nimish Desai NHD FORWARDERS PVT. LTD.	Naveen Gupta DASS GUPTA AND ASSOCIATES	Vikas Kallianpur VASTU SHILP	Vinod Kothari VINOD KOTHARI & CO. PRACTICING COMPANY SECRETARIES	Preeti G. Mehta KANGA & CO.
Vishwani Desai DESAI & DIWANJI	Pulkit Gupta EY	Atul Kansal INDUS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PVT. LTD.	Gordhan Kukreja LAWYER	Anand Mohan Mishra UNO ARCH
Pushkar Deshpande KOCHHAR & CO.	Sameer Gupta PHOENIX LEGAL	Pranay Kapadia NAVYATA LIFESPACE	Abhijeet Kulkarni CAPEX EQUIPMENT RENTAL SOLUTIONS	Gunjan Mishra LUTHRA & LUTHRA LAW OFFICES
Rishi Dev RISHI DEV ARCHITECTS AND ASSOCIATES	Shubham Gupta LUTHRA & LUTHRA LAW OFFICES	Aditi Kapoor TRILEGAL	Anup Kulkarni J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS	Nikhil Mohan Mishra UNO ARCH
Farida Dholkawala DESAI & DIWANJI	Sudhanshu Gupta SINGHANIA & PARTNERS LLP	Vishal Kapoor MINISTRY OF POWER	Ajai Kumar Jagmohan Kumar AARKITEK COMBINE	Nilendra Mishra MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS - REGISTRAR
Rajiv Dogra KODIAK CONTAINER LINES PVT. LTD.	Prakash Hamirwasia SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP	Rajas Kasbekar RAJAS KASBEKAR PRIVATE PRACTICE	Manoj Kumar MANOJ & ASSOCIATES	Saurabh Misra SAURABH MISRA & ASSOCIATES, INTERNATIONAL LAWYERS
Rakesh Dogra	Parma Nand Hans MNC MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS & KAPSON LAW	Shrinath Kasi RELIANCE INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.	Mrityunjay Kumar DHINGRA & SINGH - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Amit B. Mistry LAXMICHAND CHHEDA CONSULTANCY
Anagha Dongre SUDIT K. PAREKH AND CO.	Anil Harish D.M. HARISH & CO.	Kripi Kathuria PHOENIX LEGAL	Mukesh Kumar KNM & PARTNERS	Ajay Mital SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
Rajesh Dongre ABRD ARCHITECTS	Bhanu Harish SINGHANIA & PARTNERS LLP	Vandita Kaul DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES, MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Nitin Kumar AARKITEK COMBINE	Bhavin Modi SPACE VISION - ARCHITECT & PLANNER
Jigar Doshi SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP	Akil Hirani MAIMUDAR & PARTNERS	Charandeep Kaur TRILEGAL	Raj Kumar RAJ ENGINEERS	Hemal Modi SHARP & TANNAN GROUP - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Jitendra R. Doshi SHREEJI SERVICES	Michael D. Holland FIABCI	Ramneet Kaur ASP ASSOCIATES	Vinay Kumar MADHAV DESIGN GROUP	Priyanka Mongia PHOENIX LEGAL
Maulik Doshi SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP	Suresh L. Hulikal ALLIANZ DE ARCHITECTURE	Mitalee Kaushal KNM & PARTNERS	Arvind Kumar Bhatnagar ARVIND KUMAR & ASSOCIATES	Avikshit Moral JURIS CORP
Atul Dua SETH DUA & ASSOCIATES	Jomy Jacob OFFICE OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS	Arun Kedia VAV LIFE SCIENCES P. LTD.	Parveen Kumar Sharma CERSAI	Jitendra Mukadam GODBOLEMUKADAM AND ASSOCIATES
Manojkumar Dubal MANOJ DUBAL & ASSOCIATES	Jyoti N. Jadhav SUPER FREIGHT	Sanjay Kesari EMPLOYEE'S PROVIDENT FUND ORGANISATION	Manoj Kumar Singh SINGH & ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES AND SOLICITORS	Hardik Munegkar DAISARIA ASSOCIATES
Harshit Dusad JURIS CORP	Pravin Jadhav VASTU SHILP	Giridhar Kesavan VINZAS SOLUTIONS INDIA PVT. LTD.	Shreedhar T. Kunte SHARP & TANNAN GROUP - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Tanvi Muraleedharan JURIS CORP
Riya Dutta ADVAYA LEGAL	Bhagwan Jagwani KRUTI SYSTEMS	Gautam Khaitan O.P. KHAITAN & CO.	Samira Lalani PHOENIX LEGAL	Priyanka Naik SUDIT K. PAREKH AND CO.
Sunil G. Ambre SUNIL AMBRE & ASSOCIATES	Sameena Jahangir RGM LEGAL	Farrukh Khan DIWAN ADVOCATES	Minhaz Lokhandwala DESAI & DIWANJI	Vijay Nair KNM & PARTNERS
Ritika Ganju PHOENIX LEGAL	Anshul Jain LUTHRA & LUTHRA LAW OFFICES	Dheeraj Jain RHA PRAXIS INITIATIVE	Khushboo Luthra SINGHANIA & PARTNERS LLP	Ratnakar Nama ARCHITECT
Abhinav Gaur LAWYER	Nikita Jain SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP	Mohd. Wasiq Khan DIWAN ADVOCATES	Balkrishna M. Mandrekar SUPER FREIGHT	Vijayan Nambiar DELIGHT LOGISTICS PVT. LTD.
Rajeev Kumar Gera GERA & ASSOCIATES			Divya Malcolm KOCHHAR & CO.	

Vaibhav Nautiyal <i>INDUS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Ankita Ray <i>CYRIL AMARCHANT MANGALDAS</i>	Manoranjan Sharma <i>KNM &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Neha Sinha <i>LUTHRA &amp; LUTHRA LAW OFFICES</i>	Nilesh Vikamsey <i>KHIMJI KUNVERJI &amp; CO.</i>
Harendar Neel <i>J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Purushottam Redekar <i>GM ARCH PVT. LTD.</i>	Rupali Sharma <i>KOCHHAR &amp; CO.</i>	Praveer Sinha <i>TATA POWER DELHI DISTRIBUTION LIMITED</i>	Vinayak Vishwas Patil <i>CREATIVE CONSULTANTS &amp; DESIGNERS</i>
Sanjay Nirmal <i>MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI</i>	Hiren Ruparel <i>BALAJI SHIPPING AGENCY</i>	Saumya Sharma <i>LEXCOUNSEL</i>	Sanjay Sinha <i>MINISTRY OF LABOUR &amp; EMPLOYMENT</i>	Rajiv Wadhwa <i>AAY AAR ELECTRICALS CONTROL (P) LTD.</i>
Parag Pai <i>MITI</i>	Dheeraj Ruri <i>DEEP CONSULTANCY AND BUSINESS SERVICES</i>	Saurabh Sharma <i>JURIS CORP</i>	Suharsh Sinha <i>AZB &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Rajiv Wadhwa <i>PLVK POWER ENGINEERS &amp; CONSULTANTS</i>
Divyanshu Pandey <i>J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Rajneesh Sabharwal <i>TATA POWER DELHI DISTRIBUTION LTD.</i>	Shipra Sharma <i>MINISTRY OF SHIPPING</i>	Vineet Sinha <i>KNM &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Girish Wadwekar <i>MOKSHA COMMUNICATIONS</i>
Janak Pandya <i>NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES</i>	Suparna Sachar <i>O.P. KHAITAN &amp; CO.</i>	Sangita Shet <i>MITI</i>	Veena Sivaramakrishnan <i>JURIS CORP</i>	Abhijeet Yadav <i>THE TATA POWER COMPANY LIMITED</i>
Niraj Pangam <i>G.L. PANGAM &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Shamik Saha <i>PHOENIX LEGAL</i>	Santosh Shetty <i>INTERNATIONAL CARGO TERMINALS AND INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED</i>	Preetha Soman <i>NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES</i>	Akriti Yadav <i>KNM &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Ajay Pant <i>INDUS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Priyanka Sahi <i>GRANT THORNTON INDIA LLP</i>	Ashutosh Shingate <i>EATON INDUSTRIAL SYSTEMS PRIVATE LIMITED</i>	Shweta Soni <i>FORTUNE LEGAL ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Manoj Yadav <i>NEERAJ BHAGAT &amp; CO.</i>
Amit Parab <i>SHELTER ASSOCIATES</i>	M. S. Sahoo <i>INSOLVENCY AND BANKRUPTCY BOARD OF INDIA (IBBI)</i>	Arjun Shiv <i>TRILEGAL</i>	Akhil Srivastava <i>Y R ELECTRICALS</i>	Neha Yadav <i>LEXCOUNSEL</i>
Rajiv Paralkar <i>DEOLE BROS</i>	Keshav Saini <i>KNM &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Sirisha Sampat <i>KANGA &amp; CO.</i>	Rudra Srivastava <i>SINGHANIA &amp; PARTNERS LLP</i>	Sanjay Yadav <i>SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION</i>
Kunal Pareek <i>TATA POWER DELHI DISTRIBUTION LTD.</i>	Hitesh Sanghvi <i>HITESH SANGHVI LAW OFFICES</i>	Hitesh Sanghvi <i>SHROFF &amp; COMPANY</i>	Aravind Srivatsan <i>PWC INDIA</i>	Sumiti Yadava <i>CLARUS LAW ASSOCIATES</i>
Amir Z. Singh Pasrich <i>I.L.A. PASRICH &amp; COMPANY</i>	Kanwar Sanjay <i>SWAIT ARCH.</i>	Vikram Shroff <i>NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES</i>	Nitin Subhash <i>INDIMET PVT. LTD.</i>	<b>INDONESIA</b>
Sameer Patel <i>DESAI &amp; DIWANJI</i>	Daya Saran <i>SUPER FREIGHT</i>	Akash Shukla <i>PWC INDIA</i>	Surendra Suri <i>DEEP CONSULTANCY AND BUSINESS SERVICES</i>	<i>BPJS KETENAGAKERJAAN HERMAWAN JUNIARTO LAW FIRM</i>
Sanjay Patil <i>BHD INDUSTRIES LIMITED</i>	Jaspal Sarowa <i>JASPAL SAROWA</i>	A.K. Singh <i>VARDHAMAN CUSTOMS CLEARING &amp; FORWARDING AGENCIES</i>	Abhishek Swaroop <i>LUTHRA &amp; LUTHRA LAW OFFICES</i>	<i>INDONESIA NOTARY ORGANIZATION</i>
Vijay E. Patil <i>MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI</i>	Heemanshu Satija <i>P. J. ASSOCIATES</i>	Aakarsh Singh <i>UNIVERSAL LEGAL</i>	Anuja Talukder <i>PWC INDIA</i>	<i>MENTARI FREIGHT SERVICES PT ANTAR BENUA CAHAYA</i>
Soumya Patnaik <i>J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Vijay Saputre <i>POWER SOLUTIONS</i>	Akanksha Singh <i>DIWAN ADVOCATES</i>	Medha Tamhanekar <i>UNIVERSAL LEGAL</i>	<i>PT MULYA ABADI ASRO</i>
Alok Patnia <i>ALOK RANJAN &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Sunil Sawant <i>POWER SOLUTIONS</i>	Bhisham Singh <i>MNC MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS &amp; KAPSON LAW</i>	Rajesh Tayal <i>KNM &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Hizban Achmad <i>INDO KARYA SENIOR</i>
Ashish Patole	Sukrit Seth <i>SETH DUA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Dilip S. Shah <i>RELIANCE INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.</i>	Stephanie Tellis <i>LEGASIS SERVICES PRIVATE</i>	Robertus Adinugraha <i>MELLI DARSA &amp; CO.</i>
Ashok Poojary <i>MARS SHIPPING AGENCY</i>	Tarun Sethi <i>AARKITEK COMBINE</i>	Aashit Shah <i>J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Chetan Thakkar <i>KANGA &amp; CO.</i>	Shamy Adrian <i>LAND DATA AND INFORMATION CENTER</i>
Joseph Pookkatt <i>API-SLG LAW OFFICES</i>	Dilip S. Shah <i>RELIANCE INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.</i>	Dinesh Singh <i>SCL PVT. LIMITED</i>	Anand Thakur <i>KEI INDUSTRIES LTD.</i>	Nafis Adwani <i>ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Rashmi Pradeep <i>CYRIL AMARCHANT MANGALDAS</i>	Ranvir Singh <i>R.S. ASSOCIATES</i>	Sajai Singh <i>J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Piyush Thareja <i>NEERAJ BHAGAT &amp; CO.</i>	Widiarhami Afandiari <i>NURJADIN SUMONO MULYADI &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Anush Raajan <i>BHARUCHA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Gunjan Shah <i>DESAI &amp; DIWANJI</i>	Shailendra Singh <i>LEGASIS SERVICES PRIVATE</i>	Pooja Thomas <i>PHOENIX LEGAL</i>	Eko Agus Supiadi <i>UPTSA (UNIT PELAYANAN TERPADU SATU ATAP) SURABAYA TIMUR (ONE-STOP SHOP)</i>
Ajay Raghavan <i>TRILEGAL</i>	Manish Shah <i>SUDIT K. PAREKH AND CO.</i>	Sheetlesh Singh <i>MNRD &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Prakash Veer Tyagi <i>GATEWAY RAIL FRIGHT LIMITED</i>	Hilman Ahmad <i>LAND DATA AND INFORMATION CENTER</i>
Ravishankar Raghavan <i>MAJMUDAR &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Paresh Shah <i>RPS LOGISTICS</i>	Subodh Singh <i>OFFICE OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS</i>	Ramesh K. Vaidyanathan <i>ADVAYA LEGAL</i>	Lia Alizia <i>MAKARIM &amp; TAIRA S.</i>
Hafeez Rahman <i>I.L.A. PASRICH &amp; COMPANY</i>	Prasham Shah <i>JURIS CORP</i>	Vijaya Singh <i>APJ-SLG LAW OFFICES</i>	Uday Y. Vajendar <i>THE BRIHAN MUMBAI ELECTRIC SUPPLY &amp; TRANSPORT UNDERTAKING</i>	Fessy Alwi <i>NOTARY</i>
Vasanth Rajsekaran <i>SETH DUA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Raj Shah <i>NINA ELECTRICAL CORPORATION</i>	Amardeep Singh Bhatia <i>MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS - REGISTRAR</i>	Chahat Varma <i>INDIA LAW OFFICES</i>	Cindy Anjani <i>ADNAN KELANA HARYANTO &amp; HERMANTO</i>
N.V. Raman <i>MP LAW OFFICES</i>	Vijay Shah <i>ARCHITECT</i>	Shakti Singh Champawat <i>DESAI &amp; DIWANJI</i>	Ashok Vashist <i>R.S. ASSOCIATES</i>	Karina Antonio <i>NURJADIN SUMONO MULYADI &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Sharanya G. Ranga <i>ADVAYA LEGAL</i>	Garima Shahi <i>SINGHANIA &amp; PARTNERS LLP</i>	Mukesh Singhal <i>KNM &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Ajay Verma <i>JURIS CHAMBERS</i>	Sasono Ardi Hersubeno <i>PT PLN (PERSERO), EAST JAVA DISTRIBUTION</i>
Dipak Rao <i>SINGHANIA &amp; PARTNERS LLP</i>	A. Shaila <i>MAHARASHTRA SALES TAX DEPARTMENT</i>	Ravinder Singhania <i>SINGHANIA &amp; PARTNERS LLP</i>	Dipankar Vig <i>MP LAW OFFICES</i>	Hizkia Ardianto <i>EY</i>
Meghn Rao <i>SINGHANIA &amp; PARTNERS LLP</i>	Ashwani Sharma <i>R.S. ASSOCIATES</i>	Alok Sinha <i>ALOK SINHA &amp; CO.</i>	Sameep Vijayvergyiya <i>DHINGRA &amp; SINGH - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	
Yomesh Rao <i>YMS CONSULTANTS LTD.</i>	Himani Sharma <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>			
Shruti Rathore <i>DIWAN ADVOCATES</i>	L.N. Sharma <i>R.S. ASSOCIATES</i>			

Cucu Asmawati <i>SIMBOLON &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Widigdy Gitaya <i>WSG &amp; COMPANY</i>	Syamsul Ma'Arif <i>MAHKAMAH AGUNG REPUBLIK INDONESIA</i>	Ety Puspitasari <i>CKB LOGISTICS</i>	Ricardo Simanjuntak <i>RICARDO SIMANJUNTAK &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Ibrahim Assegaf <i>ASSEGAF, HAMZAH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Michael Hadi <i>PT KREDIT BIRO INDONESIA JAYA (KBIU)</i>	Bobby R. Manalu <i>SIREGAR SETIAWAN MANALU</i>	Indra Raharja <i>APRILDA FIONA &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Yudianta Medio N. Simbolon <i>SIMBOLON &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Nyoman Astawa <i>PT PLN (PERSERO) INDONESIA STATE ELECTRICITY CORPORATION</i>	Joei Hadi Nata Tan <i>TYCON CONTRACTOR</i>	Yasser Mandala <i>BUDIDJAJA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Dewi Sri Rahayu <i>NOTARIS &amp; PPAT</i>	Nien Rafles Siregar <i>SIREGAR SETIAWAN MANALU</i>
Hamid M. Balfas <i>LAW OFFICE OF HBP &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Mohammad Iqbal Hadromi <i>HADROMI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Manumpaka Manurung	Tantia Rahmadhina <i>RIVAI TRIPRASETIO &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Risbert Soelaiman
Reynalda Basya Ilyas <i>BUDIDJAJA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Melanie Sri Handayani <i>BANK INDONESIA</i>	Benny Marbun <i>PT PLN (PERSERO) INDONESIA STATE ELECTRICITY CORPORATION</i>	Dhamma Ratna <i>NOTARIS &amp; PEJABAT PEMBUAT AKTA TANAH</i>	Ardi Haqi Solla <i>OTORITAS JASA KEUANGAN - INDONESIA FINANCIAL SERVICES AUTHORITY</i>
Dimas Bimo <i>MELLI DARSA &amp; CO.</i>	Abdul Haris M. Rum <i>HIMPUNAN KONSULTAN HUKUM PASAR MODAL</i>	Ahmad Maulana <i>ASSEGAF, HAMZAH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Jean H. Reksodiputro <i>PT PEFINDO BIRO KREDIT</i>	Nadia Soraya <i>TNB &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Fabian Buddy Pascoal <i>HANAFIAH PONGGAWA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Hariyanto S.H. <i>HARIYANTO &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Mario Maurice Sinjal <i>NURJADIN SUMONO MULYADI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Sophia Rengganis <i>PWC INDONESIA</i>	Selvana Stella Oviona <i>BUDIDJAJA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
Prianto Budi <i>PT PRATAMA INDOMITRA KONSULTAN - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Ibnu Hasan <i>TNB &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Amalia Mayasari <i>SIMBOLON &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Rengganis Rengganis <i>HADROMI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Tirtamarta Sudarman <i>PT PESAT JAYA UTAMA</i>
Tony Budidjaja <i>BUDIDJAJA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Yansah Hasstriansyah <i>BADAN PELAYANAN TERPADU SATU PINTU (BPTS)</i>	Ella Melany <i>HANAFIAH PONGGAWA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Reza Riztama <i>PT PRATAMA INDOMITRA KONSULTAN - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Bambang Suprijanto <i>EY</i>
Didin Cahya Wahyudin <i>INDONESIAN PUBLIC TAX CONSULTANTS ASSOCIATION (AKPI) ASOSIASI KONSULTAN PAJAK PUBLIK INDONESIA</i>	Erwandi Hendarta <i>HADIPUTRANTO, HADINOTO &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Any Miami <i>PWC INDONESIA</i>	Mahesa Rumondor <i>ADNAN KELANA HARYANTO &amp; HERMANTO</i>	Puspita W. Surono <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>
Deded Chandra <i>BPI'S KESEHATAN</i>	Anang Hidayat <i>PT GPI LOGISTICS</i>	Kristo Molina <i>WITARA CAKRA ADVOCATES (IN ASSOCIATION WITH WHITE &amp; CASE LLP)</i>	Valdano Ruru <i>MAKARIM &amp; TAIRA S.</i>	Atik Susanto <i>OENTOENG SURIA &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Teresa Chiquita <i>MAKARIM &amp; TAIRA S.</i>	Nurman Hidayat <i>INDONESIA INVESTMENT COORDINATING BOARD</i>	Fifiek Mulyana SH <i>MULYANA ABRAR &amp; ADVOCATES</i>	Ayudi Rusmania <i>NURJADIN SUMONO MULYADI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Hantriono Susizo <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>
Roy Coastrio <i>LAWYER</i>	Brigitta Imam Rahyoe <i>BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Sri Mulyati <i>RIVAI TRIPRASETIO &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Indra Safitri <i>HIMPUNAN KONSULTAN HUKUM PASAR MODAL</i>	Otje Sutedi <i>PTI ARCHITECTS</i>
Juni Dani <i>BUDIDJAJA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Adiwidya Imam Rahyau <i>BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Alexander Nainggolan <i>HADROMI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Ayundha Sahar <i>OENTOENG SURIA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	ST Suwandi <i>PT BINTANG TIMUR</i>
Melli Darsa <i>MELLI DARSA &amp; CO.</i>	Deshaputra Intanperdana <i>HADROMI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Safita Ratna Narthilda <i>OENTOENG SURIA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Rika Salim <i>OENTOENG SURIA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Randy Suwenli <i>HANAFIAH PONGGAWA &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Reginald A. Dharma <i>ADNAN KELANA HARYANTO &amp; HERMANTO</i>	Jamaslin James Purba <i>JAMES PURBA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Imran Nating <i>INDONESIAN ASSOCIATION OF RECEIVERS AND ADMINISTRATORS (AKPI)</i>	Darma Saputra <i>BANK INDONESIA</i>	Aria Suyudi <i>CONSULTANT</i>
Nawangwulan Dilla Savitri <i>RIVAI TRIPRASETIO &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Pak Jatmiko <i>DISTRICT COURT OF SURABAYA</i>	Pak Jatmiko <i>OENTOENG SURIA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Widodo Saputro <i>PT ANDHIKA PRIMA PERKASA</i>	Pieter Talaway <i>PIETER TALAWAY &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
Natasha Djamin <i>OENTOENG SURIA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Edy Junaedi <i>BADAN PELAYANAN TERPADU SATU PINTU (BPTS)</i>	Ratih Nawangsari <i>OENTOENG SURIA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Mahardika K. Sardjana <i>HADIPUTRANTO, HADINOTO &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Kurniawan Tanzil <i>MAKARIM &amp; TAIRA S.</i>
Barna Djokonugroho <i>BUDIDJAJA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Brinanda Lidwina Kaliska <i>MAKARIM &amp; TAIRA S.</i>	Rizana Noor <i>PT KREDIT BIRO INDONESIA JAYA (KBIU)</i>	Nur Asyura Anggini Sari <i>BANK INDONESIA</i>	Arief Tejo S. <i>INDONESIAN LOGISTICS AND FORWARDERS ASSOCIATION</i>
Estu Dyah	Mirza Karim <i>KARIMSYAH LAW FIRM</i>	Monasicia Noviannei <i>INDONESIA INVESTMENT COORDINATING BOARD</i>	Haryo Sedewo <i>INDONESIA INVESTMENT COORDINATING BOARD</i>	Achmad Tri Cahyono <i>OTORITAS JASA KEUANGAN - INDONESIA FINANCIAL SERVICES AUTHORITY</i>
Asma El Moufti <i>PT TERMINAL PETIKEMAS SURABAYA</i>	Putra Nugraha <i>WITARA CAKRA ADVOCATES (IN ASSOCIATION WITH WHITE &amp; CASE LLP)</i>	Putra Nugraha <i>WITARA CAKRA ADVOCATES (IN ASSOCIATION WITH WHITE &amp; CASE LLP)</i>	Harment Sembiring <i>INDONESIA NATIONAL SINGLE WINDOW</i>	Gatot Triprasetio <i>RIVAI TRIPRASETIO &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Ahmad Fadli <i>BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Othman Karim <i>KARIMSYAH LAW FIRM</i>	Reza Nurtjahja <i>PT URBANE INDONESIA</i>	Ibrahim Senen <i>ARMAND YAPSUNTO MUHARAMSYAH &amp; PARTNERS (AYMP)</i>	Runi Tustira <i>PWC INDONESIA</i>
Nurulita Fauzie <i>BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Shakuntala Kartikasari <i>PTI ARCHITECTS</i>	Inta Oviyantari <i>PTI ARCHITECTS</i>	Erwin Setiawan <i>EY</i>	Trina Uli <i>SIMBOLON &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Edly Febrian Widjaja <i>BUDIDJAJA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Anita Lucia Kendarto <i>NOTARIS &amp; PEJABAT PEMBUAT AKTA TANAH</i>	Heru Tambudi <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Indra Setiawan <i>ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Sony Panji Wikaksono <i>BANK INDONESIA</i>
Fajar Febrandi <i>PT TOYOTA MOTOR MANUFACTURING</i>	Johan Kurnia <i>HADIPUTRANTO, HADINOTO &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Agung Pangestu Wijaya <i>PT DANADIPA BERTU</i>	Arief Setyadi <i>PKF ACCOUNTANTS &amp; BUSINESS ADVISERS</i>	Haykel Widiasmoko <i>ABDUL HAKIM GARUDA NUSANTARA, HARMAN &amp; PARTNER</i>
Ratna Febrina <i>SF CONSULTING</i>	Ayu Katarina Kusnadi <i>OENTOENG SURIA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Ay Tjihing Phan <i>PWC INDONESIA</i>	Lardi SH <i>LARDI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Arojoto Wisanto <i>BAM DECORIAT INDONESIA</i>
Lr. Fetnayeti <i>MINISTRY OF TRADE</i>	Winita E. Kusnandar <i>KUSNANDAR &amp; CO.</i>	Abraham Pierre <i>KPMG</i>	Taji M. Sianturi <i>TAJI &amp; REKAN</i>	Garry Wood <i>PT KREDIT BIRO INDONESIA JAYA (KBIU)</i>
Aprilda Fiona Butarbutar <i>APRILDA FIONA &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Diana Kusumasari <i>BUDIDJAJA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Deni Prasetyo <i>LAND DATA AND INFORMATION CENTER</i>	Kevin Omar Sidharta <i>ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Pelopor Yanto <i>LAND DATA AND INFORMATION CENTER</i>
Sinuhadji Frans Yoshua <i>OENTOENG SURIA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Jatmiko Adi Kusumo <i>INTERIORS &amp; CO.</i>	Norbertus Hengky Pratoko <i>INDONESIAN LOGISTICS AND FORWARDERS ASSOCIATION</i>	Jono Yeo <i>BUDIDJAJA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Akbar Zainuri <i>KARIMSYAH LAW FIRM</i>
	Rosevelt Riedel Lontoh <i>ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Fredie Pratomo <i>PT BINATAMA AKRINDO</i>	Obed Simamora <i>LAND OFFICE OF SURABAYA</i>	
	Noorfina Luthfiany <i>BANK INDONESIA</i>			

Mohammad Zamroni ZAMRO LAW FIRM	Shirin Ozra Entezari DR. SHIRIN O. ENTEZARI & ASSOCIATES	Atiyeh Rezaei DR. SHIRIN O. ENTEZARI & ASSOCIATES	Hazim Akram ATTORNEY-AT-LAW	Mohamad Khalil Ahmed Abbas Khareem
Jacob Zwaan KPMG	Seyyed Amir Hossein Etesami SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ORGANIZATION OF IRAN	Negin Saberi INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES	Qussay Jafar Al Alawi	Mohamad Khareem
<b>IRAN, ISLAMIC REP.</b>	Shahin Fadakar INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES	Emadaldin Sakhaei MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AND FINANCE AFFAIRS	Mohammed Al-Badri AMANAT BAGHDAD (BAGHDAD MAYORALTY)	Zaid Mahdi ADIB COMPANY
TRADE PROMOTION ORGANIZATION OF IRAN	Soroosh Falahati BAYAN EMROOZ INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Encyeh Seyed Sadr INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES	Hussein Al-Fadhili ATTORNEY-AT-LAW	Modher Taha Majeed AL TAMMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS
Sareh Abadtalab STATE ORGANIZATION FOR REGISTRATION OF DEEDS AND PROPERTIES	Allahyar Ghajar TEHRAN MUNICIPALITY - FANAVARAN SHAHR CO.	Ahmad Shabanifard INTERNATIONAL CENTRE OF HIGHER EDUCATION, AUSTRALIA	Hussein Al-Hadeethi	Hassan Melih HASSAN MELIH
Camellia Abdolsamad INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES	Nasim Gheidi GHEIDI & ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE	Sara Shabanifard RS COMPONENT	Nafa Ali MINISTRY OF ELECTRICITY	Ali Mohammad Kanbar
Morteza Adab COMPANY REGISTRATION OFFICE	S. Arash H. Mirmalek PERSONL CORPORATION	Khatereh Shahbazi INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES	Qismah Ali CENTRAL BANK OF IRAQ	Khalid Mozan AL MOZAN COMPANIES GROUP
Ali Ahmadi TEHRAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRIES AND MINES	Amir Hosseini PERSONL CORPORATION	Nasim Jahanbani GREAT TEHRAN ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY (GTEDC)	Ihsan Jasim Al-Khalidi MINISTRY OF PLANNING	Rasha Nadeem BHC LAW FIRM LLC
Mousa Ahmadi ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY	Mohammad Jalili IRAN CREDIT SCORING	Farzan Shirvanbeigi TEHRAN MUNICIPALITY - FANAVARAN SHAHR CO.	Rashid Al-Khouri RASHID AL-KHOURI	Adnan K. Nahidh SIYAH GROUP
Behrooz Akhlaghi INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES	Jafar Jamali SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ORGANIZATION OF IRAN	Rajat Ratan Sinha RCS PVT. LTD. BUSINESS ADVISORS GROUP	Zuhair Al-Maliki AL-MALIKI & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Ammar Naji CONFLUENT LAW GROUP
Hamidreza Alipour Shirsavar ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY	Hossein Kakhki IRAN CUSTOMS OFFICE	Pedram Soltani PERSONL CORPORATION	Ghath Raad Al-Nidawi MINISTRY OF PLANNING	Tom Nolda CONFLUENT LAW GROUP
Ali Amani DAYA-RAHYAFT AUDITING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES	Farid Kani ATIEH ASSOCIATES	Sepideh Taheri STATE ORGANIZATION FOR REGISTRATION OF DEEDS AND PROPERTIES	Rukaya Sabah Al-Oqabee MINISTRY OF PLANNING	Ammar Ralobide AMMAR RALOBIDE
Gholam Ali Asghari GREAT TEHRAN ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY (GTEDC)	Majid Mahallati A.M. MAHALLATI & CO.	Mohammad Reza Talischi PERSONL CORPORATION	Sattar Al-Qassy ACCOUNTANT	Akram Saeed ATTORNEY-AT-LAW
Zayer Ayat IRANIAN NATIONAL TAX ADMINISTRATION (INTA)	Gholam Reza Malekshoar CENTRAL BANK OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN	Ebrahim Tavakoli BARTAR ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Azhar Al-Rubaie MINISTRY OF PLANNING	Dhirar Salim KASB GENERAL CONTRACTING
Toktam Aynehkar PERSONL CORPORATION	Mahnaz Mehrinfar INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES	Vrej Torossian TOROSSIAN, AVANESSIAN & ASSOCIATES	Ali Al-Rubaai Maysa Alshukr MAYSA ALSHUKR	Kareem Salim Kamash GENERAL COMMISSION FOR TAXES
Fatemeh Bagherzadeh FARJAM LAW OFFICE	Fatemeh Sadat Mirsharifi MINISTRY OF COMMERCE	Gholam Hossein Vahidi DR. VAHIDI & ASSOCIATES	Florian Amereller AMERELLER RECHTSANWÄLTE	Mohammed Mustafa Sami PROGER SPA
Rambod Barandoust CONSULTANT	Ali Mirzaie STATE ORGANIZATION FOR REGISTRATION OF DEEDS AND PROPERTIES	Zohreh Yazdani Paraei ENGINEER	Suhail Asad PWC JORDAN	Ahmed Saude AHMED SAUODE
Hamid Berenjkar OFFICE OF HAMID BERENJKAR	Golazin Mokhtari ATIEH ASSOCIATES	Ahmad Yousefi ATTORNEY-AT-LAW	Raad N. Athab RAAD N. ATHAB	Abdelrahman Sherif DLA MATOUK BASSIOUNY (PART OF DLA PIPER GROUP)
Somayeh Bodaghi CENTRAL BANK OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN	Hamidreza Mokhtarian MEHR INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Parham Zahedi GHEIDI & ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE	Munther B. Hamoudi AL-BURAQ ENGINEERING CO. LTD.	Stephan Stephan PWC JORDAN
Golsa Daghighi INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES	Mehdi Mousavi PERSONL CORPORATION	Hamed Zamami BARTAR ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Malik Bair MENA ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF AMERELLER RECHTSANWÄLTE	Khaled Yaseen AL-SAQER ADVISERS & LEGAL SERVICES
Gholam-Hossein Davani DAYA-RAHYAFT AUDITING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES	Vahid Nasiri BAYAN EMROOZ INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Elham Zareie INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES	Rafeef Hadeed CENTRAL BANK OF IRAQ	Dahlia Zamel MENA ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF AMERELLER RECHTSANWÄLTE
Morteza Dezfoolian	Zohreh Papi CENTRAL BANK OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN	Fariba Norouzi PARSIAN INSURANCE CO.	Safaa Hadi Ghani CENTRAL BANK OF IRAQ	Haythem Zayed PWC JORDAN
Sofie Djagharbekian TOROSSIAN, AVANESSIAN & ASSOCIATES	Rasoul Nowrouzi	Ahmed Abboud Al Janabi MENA ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF AMERELLER RECHTSANWÄLTE	Nadhim Mansi Hafid Daniel Heintel MENA ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF AMERELLER RECHTSANWÄLTE	<b>IRELAND</b>
Sepideh Dowlatshahi BARTAR ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Farmand Pourkarim TEHRAN MUNICIPALITY - FANAVARAN SHAHR CO.	Hadeel Salih Abboud Al-Janabi MENA ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF AMERELLER RECHTSANWÄLTE	Abdulaziz Jabbar Abdulaziz COMPANY REGISTRAR DIRECTOR GENERAL	ESB INTERNATIONAL
Maryam Ebrahimi DENTONS	Mohammad Rahmani BAYAN EMROOZ INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Mohammad Abdul Hassan Ammar Abdul Jabbar PROGER SPA	Mohamad Rasool Jaber Riyadh Hassan Japak Shahad Jassem BHC LAW FIRM LLC	Seán Barton MCCANN FITZGERALD
Maryam Ebrahimi Ghaleaziz STATE ORGANIZATION FOR REGISTRATION OF DEEDS AND PROPERTIES	Yahya Rayegani PRAELEGAL IRAN	Alkaznaji Abdulhassan ALKAZNAJI ABDULHASSAN	Deepak John BRIDGEWAY SHIPPING & CLEARING SERVICES Jwad Kadhim Kareem AL-SHAMS SHOW	John Comerford COONEY CAREY CONSULTING LTD. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Roza Einifar INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES				Miranda Cox PWC IRELAND
				Emma Doherty MATHESON
				Gavin Doherty EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS
				Gillian Dally LK SHIELDS SOLICITORS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS
				Kenneth Egan ARTHUR COX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
				Garret Farrelly MATHESON
				Laura Feely EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS

Frank Flanagan <i>MASON HAYES &amp; CURRAN</i>	Roy Caner <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Doron Sadan <i>PWC ISRAEL</i>	Edoardo Augusto Bononi <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Mariano Davoli <i>PIROLA PENNUO ZEI &amp; ASSOCIATI</i>
Orla Hegarty <i>UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN</i>	Doron Cohen <i>RAVEH, RAVIV &amp; CO., CPAS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Dan Sharon <i>DAN SHARON - CONSULTING ENGINEERS 2002 LTD.</i>	Gianluca Borraccia <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Daniele De Giorgi <i>CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &amp; HAMILTON LLP</i>
Thomas Johnson <i>IRISH BUILDING CONTROL INSTITUTE</i>	Itay Deutsch <i>NASCHITZ, BRANDES, AMIR &amp; CO.</i>	Daniel Singerman <i>COFACEBDI</i>	Giampaolo Botta <i>SPEDIPORTO - ASSOCIAZIONE SPEDIZIONIERI CORRIERI E TRASPORTATORI DI GENOVA</i>	Antonio De Martinis <i>SPASARO DE MARTINIS LAW FIRM</i>
William Johnston <i>ARTHUR COX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Jonathan Finkstone <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Yoav Tal <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Giuseppe Broccoli <i>BDA STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Francesca De Paolis <i>STUDIO LEGALE SALVATORE DE PAOLIS</i>
Liam Kennedy <i>A&amp;L GOODBODY</i>	Eiran Furman <i>YIGAL ARNON &amp; CO.</i>	Eyal Weiss <i>WEISS-PORAT &amp; CO.</i>	Marco Buffarini <i>MINISTERO DELL'ECONOMIA E FINANZE</i>	Rosa Del Sindaco <i>ABBATESCIANNI STUDIO LEGALE E TRIBUTARIO</i>
Eamonn Madden <i>COONEY CAREY CONSULTING LTD. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Viva Gayer <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Zeev Weiss <i>WEISS-PORAT &amp; CO.</i>	Claudio Burello <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Claudio Di Falco <i>CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &amp; HAMILTON LLP</i>
Bernadette McArdle <i>IRISH BUILDING CONTROL INSTITUTE</i>	Tuvia Geffen <i>NASCHITZ, BRANDES, AMIR &amp; CO.</i>	Ita Yarmish <i>DAVE WOLF &amp; CO. LAW FIRM</i>	Sergio Calderara <i>CLEGAL</i>	Claudio Di Mario <i>ADL CONSULTING</i>
Gerry McCartney <i>IRISH CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Ido Gonon <i>GOLDFARB SELIGMAN &amp; CO.</i>	Michal Zohar Neistein <i>NASCHITZ, BRANDES, AMIR &amp; CO.</i>	Federico Calloni <i>STUDIO CORINO - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Silvia Digregorio <i>COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME</i>
Brid McCoy <i>AMOSS SOLICITORS</i>	Amos Hacmun <i>HESKIA-HACMUN LAW FIRM</i>	<b>ITALY</b>	Claudia Caluori <i>STUDIO DELL'AVVOCATO ANTICH</i>	Francesco Falsetti <i>SALINI IMPREGILO</i>
Eddie Meaney <i>DHL EXPRESS</i>	Liron HaCohen <i>YIGAL ARNON &amp; CO.</i>	Paolo Acciari <i>MINISTERO DELL'ECONOMIA E FINANZE</i>	Gianluca Cambareri <i>TONUCCI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Maddalena Ferrari <i>STUDIO NOTARILE FERRARI</i>
Kevin Meehan <i>COMPASS MARITIME LTD.</i>	Yael Herskovitz <i>GROSS, KLEINHENDLER, HODAK, HALEVY, GREENBERG &amp; CO.</i>	Fabrizio Acerbis <i>PWC ITALY</i>	Antonio Campagnoli <i>IL PUNTO REAL ESTATE ADVISOR</i>	Giuseppe Ferrelli <i>STUDIO LEGALE SINATRA</i>
Heather Murphy <i>MATHESON</i>	Tali Hirsch Sherman <i>MINISTRY OF CONSTRUCTION AND HOUSING</i>	Giuseppe Alemani <i>ALEMANI E ASSOCIATI</i>	Paolo Canal <i>ORSINGERH ORTU - AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI</i>	Barbara Mirta Ferri <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>
James O'Boyle <i>THE PROPERTY REGISTRATION AUTHORITY</i>	Mirit Hoffman Reif <i>DAVE WOLF &amp; CO. LAW FIRM</i>	Iacopo Aliverti Puri <i>DENTONS</i>	Stefano Carcarini <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Gianclaudio Fischetti <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>
Brian O'Malley <i>A&amp;L GOODBODY</i>	Vered Kirshner <i>PWC ISRAEL</i>	Federico Antich <i>STUDIO DELL'AVVOCATO ANTICH</i>	Paolo Franceschetti <i>AGENZIA DELLE ENTRATE</i>	Paolo Franchi <i>PWC ITALY</i>
Laura O'Sullivan <i>MASON HAYES &amp; CURRAN</i>	Yossi Katsav <i>RUTH CARGO</i>	Umberto Antonelli <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Gianni Carfi Pavia <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Pier Andrea Fré Torelli Massini <i>CARABBA &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Maurice Phelan <i>MASON HAYES &amp; CURRAN</i>	Zeev Katz <i>PWC ISRAEL</i>	Gea Arcella <i>CIVIL LAW NOTARY, LAWYER</i>	Cecilia Carrara <i>LEGANCE AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI</i>	Filippo Frigerio <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>
Kevin Quinn <i>PWC IRELAND</i>	Vered Kirshner <i>PWC ISRAEL</i>	Claudia Adele Aresu <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Sandro Cecili <i>ARIETI S.P.A. ACEA GROUP</i>	MariaLaura Frittella <i>COCUZZA E ASSOCIATI</i>
Brendan Ringrose <i>WHITNEY MOORE</i>	Adam Klein <i>GOLDFARB SELIGMAN &amp; CO.</i>	Roberto Argeri <i>CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &amp; HAMILTON LLP</i>	Nicla Cimmino <i>PWC ITALY</i>	Bruno Frugis <i>ITALIAN RÉVENU AGENCY</i>
Mark Traynor <i>A&amp;L GOODBODY</i>	Gideon Koren <i>GIDEON KOREN &amp; CO. LAW OFFICES</i>	Gaetano Arnò <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Ludovica Citarella <i>BDA STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Paolo Gallarati <i>NCTM STUDIO LEGALE</i>
Joe Tynan <i>PWC IRELAND</i>	Andrew Larah <i>STEINMETZ, HARING, GURMAN &amp; CO.</i>	Clemente Arricale <i>PRIVATE ENGINEER - CLEMENTE ARRICALE</i>	Ottavia Civardi <i>A. HARTRODT ITALIANA SRL</i>	Andrea Gangemi <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>
Marcus Walsh <i>A&amp;L GOODBODY</i>	Hadas Lavi <i>S. HOROWITZ &amp; CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Dana Leshem <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Domenico Colella <i>ORSINGERH ORTU - AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI</i>	Daniele Geronzi <i>LEGANCE AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI</i>
Patrick Walsh <i>PHILIP LEE SOLICITORS</i>	S. HOROWITZ & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Michelle Liberman <i>S. HOROWITZ &amp; CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Stefano Colla <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Vincenzo Fabrizio Giglio <i>GIGLIO &amp; SCOFERI STUDIO LEGALE DEL LAVORO</i>
Emma Weld-Moore <i>DANIEL MURPHY SOLICITORS</i>	Dana Leshem <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Alvise Becker <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Fabrizio Colonna <i>STELÉ PERELLI</i>	Antonio Grieco <i>GRIECO E ASSOCIATI</i>
Maura Young <i>IRISH CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Rotem Muntner <i>RUTH CARGO</i>	Amnon Lorch <i>YIGAL ARNON &amp; CO.</i>	Mattia Colonnelli de Gasperis <i>COLONNELLI DE GASPERIS STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Valentino Guarini <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>
<b>ISRAEL</b>	Gil Oren <i>YIGAL ARNON &amp; CO.</i>	Sharon Liberman <i>PWC ISRAEL</i>	Claudia Beranzoli <i>STUDIO SAVOIA</i>	Federico Guasti <i>STUDIO LEGALE GUASTI</i>
Ben Baharav <i>S. HOROWITZ &amp; CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Michael Mograbi <i>PELTRANSPORT</i>	Amnon Lorch <i>YIGAL ARNON &amp; CO.</i>	Carlo Berarducci <i>CARLO BERARDUCCI ARCHITECTURE</i>	Francesca Inchingolo <i>COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME</i>
Moshe Balter <i>BALTER, GUTH, ALONI LLP</i>	Rotem Muntner <i>RUTH CARGO</i>	Liron Mendelovitz <i>KRIEF ALBATROS LTD.</i>	Paola Bernardo <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Francesco Iodice <i>CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &amp; HAMILTON LLP</i>
Yuval Bar-Gil <i>YIGAL ARNON &amp; CO.</i>	Gil Oren <i>YIGAL ARNON &amp; CO.</i>	Court of Appeal of Rome	Marta Bianchi <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Alberto Irace <i>ARIETI S.P.A. ACEA GROUP</i>
Jacob Ben-Chitrit <i>YIGAL ARNON &amp; CO.</i>	Daniel Justin Permut <i>STEINMETZ, HARING, GURMAN &amp; CO.</i>	Carlo Berarducci <i>CARLO BERARDUCCI ARCHITECTURE</i>	Antonio Cutini <i>PWC ITALY</i>	Giovanni Izzo <i>ABBATESCIANNI STUDIO LEGALE E TRIBUTARIO</i>
Jeremy Benjamin <i>GOLDFARB SELIGMAN &amp; CO.</i>		Rotem Muntner <i>RUTH CARGO</i>	Barbara Cortesi <i>STUDIO LEGALE GUASTI</i>	
Marina Benvenisti <i>RUTH CARGO</i>		Gil Oren <i>YIGAL ARNON &amp; CO.</i>	Marco Cosa <i>NCTM STUDIO LEGALE</i>	
Rona Bergman Naveh <i>GROSS, KLEINHENDLER, HODAK, HALEVY, GREENBERG &amp; CO.</i>		Court of Appeal of Rome	Salvatore Cuzzocrea <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	

Ignazio La Candia <i>PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI &amp; ASSOCIATI</i>	Luca Occhetta <i>PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI &amp; ASSOCIATI</i>	Chiara Sestagalli <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIAUTO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Danielle Archer <i>THE LAW PRACTICE OF DANIELLE S. ARCHER &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Alton Morgan <i>LEGIS-ALTON E. MORGAN &amp; CO. ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Pietro La Fortezza <i>DLA PIPER</i>	Fabiana Padroni <i>RISTUCCIA &amp; TUFARELLI</i>	Ginevra Sforza <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Gregory Bennett <i>NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT &amp; PLANNING AGENCY</i>	Sharon Neil Smith <i>PATTERSON MAIR HAMILTON</i>
Cecilia Laporta <i>BDA STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Luciano Panzani <i>COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME</i>	Massimiliano Silvetti <i>LEGÁLIA</i>	Rachel Bond <i>PATTERSON MAIR HAMILTON</i>	Camara Nelson <i>WEST INDIES HOME CONTRACTORS</i>
Francesco Laureti <i>NCTM STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Ignazio Pasquetti <i>STUDIO PASQUETTI S.I.P. 2C</i>	Carlo Sinatra <i>STUDIO LEGALE SINATRA</i>	Christopher Bovell <i>DUNNCOX</i>	Sandralyn Nemhard <i>ABTAX LIMITED</i>
Stefano Liotta <i>ARIETI S.P.A. ACEA GROUP</i>	Giovanni Patti <i>ABBATESCIANNI STUDIO LEGALE E TRIBUTARIO</i>	Manlio Carlo Soldani	Errington Case <i>JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED</i>	Shiyonne Osborne <i>FOGA DALEY</i>
Alessandra Livrieri <i>A. HARTRODT ITALIANA SRL</i>	Gino Pazienza <i>ENER-PRICE</i>	Lorenzo Sozio <i>SPASARO DE MARTINIS LAW FIRM</i>	Terrence Cooper <i>CRIF NM CREDIT ASSURE LIMITED</i>	Gina Phillips Black <i>MYERS, FLETCHER &amp; GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Enrico Lodi <i>CRIF S.P.A.</i>	Federica Periale <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIAUTO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Elisa Sulcis <i>STUDIO LEGALE SINATRA</i>	Jemelia Davis <i>THE SUPREME COURT OF JAMAICA</i>	Shalise Porteous <i>NATIONAL LAND AGENCY</i>
Ottavia Lombardo <i>DLA PIPER</i>	Federica Pianta <i>COCUZZA E ASSOCIATI</i>	Maria Antonietta Tanico <i>STUDIO LEGALE TANICO</i>	Brian Denning <i>PWC JAMAICA</i>	Norman Rainford <i>KPMG</i>
Claudio Lumaca <i>AGENZIA DELLE ENTRATE</i>	Annmaria Pinzuti <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIAUTO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Andrea Tedoli <i>STUDIO LEGALE TEDIOLI</i>	Damion Dodd <i>PWC JAMAICA</i>	Judith Ramlogan <i>COMPANIES OFFICE</i>
Stefano Macchi di Cellere <i>MACCHI DI CELLERE GANGEMI LLP</i>	Maria Progida <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Giuseppe Telesca <i>AGENZIA DELLE ENTRATE</i>	Joan Ferreira-Dallas <i>ABTAX LIMITED</i>	Paul Randall <i>CREDITINFO JAMAICA LIMITED</i>
Federico Magi <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Daniele Raynaud <i>RAYNAUD STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Roberto Tirone <i>COCUZZA E ASSOCIATI</i>	Nicole Foga <i>FOGA DALEY</i>	Hilary Reid <i>MYERS, FLETCHER &amp; GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Carlo Majer <i>LEXELLENT</i>	Valentina Ricci <i>STÉL PERELLI</i>	Francesca Tironi <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Thalia Francis <i>KPMG</i>	Norman Shand <i>KINGSTON AND ST. ANDREW CORPORATION</i>
Roberta Marconi <i>ITALIAN REVENUE AGENCY</i>	Marianna Ristuccia <i>RISTUCCIA &amp; TUFARELLI</i>	Davide Tollardo <i>SPASARO DE MARTINIS LAW FIRM</i>	Lecia Gaye Taylor <i>HYLTON &amp; HYLTON</i>	Jacqueline Simmonds <i>JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED</i>
Anna Chiara Margottini <i>ORSINGER HER ORTU – AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI</i>	Filippo Maria Riva <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Giacinto Tommasini <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIAUTO TOMMASINI E MARTINELLI</i>	Kay-Ann Graham <i>NUNES, SCHOLEFIELD DELEON &amp; CO.</i>	Chantal Simpson <i>MYERS, FLETCHER &amp; GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Laura Marretta <i>ROMOLOTTI MARRETTA</i>	Cinzia Romano <i>STUDIO LEGALE SALVATORE DE PAOLIS</i>	Stefano Tresca <i>ISEED</i>	Narda Graham <i>DUNNCOX</i>	Tana'ania Small Davis <i>LIVINGSTON, ALEXANDER &amp; LEVY ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Donatella Martinelli <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIAUTO TOMMASINI E MARTINELLI</i>	Tomaso Edoardo Romolotti <i>ROMOLOTTI MARRETTA</i>	Luca Tufarelli <i>RISTUCCIA &amp; TUFARELLI</i>	Gabrielle Grant <i>MYERS, FLETCHER &amp; GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Hakon Stefansson <i>CREDITINFO JAMAICA LIMITED</i>
Federico Mattei <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Michele Salemo <i>STUDIOCREDIT</i>	Valentina Turco <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Howard Harris <i>FOGA DALEY</i>	Craig Stephen <i>CREDITINFO JAMAICA LIMITED</i>
Carloandrea Meacci <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIAUTO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Francesca Salerno <i>LEGANCE AVVOCATI ASSOCIAUTI</i>	Rachele Vacca de Dominicis <i>GRIECE &amp; ASSOCIATI</i>	Marsha Henry-Martin <i>MINISTRY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT &amp; COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT</i>	Danielle Stiebel <i>MYERS, FLETCHER &amp; GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Gianluca Medina <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIAUTO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Mike Salerno <i>KRCOM</i>	Mario Valentini <i>PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI &amp; ASSOCIATI</i>	Matthew A. Hogarth <i>WILMOT HOGARTH &amp; CO.</i>	Humphrey Taylor <i>TAYLOR CONSTRUCTION LTD.</i>
Gilberto Melchiorri <i>UNITED NATIONS ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE</i>	Giuseppe Santarelli <i>TONUCCI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Elisabetta Ventrella <i>BDA STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Donovan Jackson <i>NUNES, SCHOLEFIELD DELEON &amp; CO.</i>	Sherica Taylor <i>LEX CARIBBEAN</i>
Michele Melchiorri <i>UNITED NATIONS ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE</i>	Vera Santomartino <i>MINISTERO DELL'ECONOMIA E FINANZE</i>	Fabio Zanchi <i>BDA STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Emilio Zendri <i>ARIETI S.P.A. ACEA GROUP</i>	Marvalyn Taylor-Wright <i>TAYLOR-WRIGHT &amp; COMPANY</i>
Laura Mellone <i>BANK OF ITALY</i>	Arturo Santoro <i>PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI &amp; ASSOCIATI</i>	Domenico Zuccaro <i>CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &amp; HAMILTON LLP</i>	Topaz Johnson <i>DUNNCOX</i>	Lorraine Thomas-Harris <i>LTN LOGISTICS INTERNATIONAL CO. LTD.</i>
Priscilla Merlino <i>NUNZIANTE MAGRONE</i>	Guido Savelli <i>SPASARO DE MARTINIS LAW FIRM</i>	Filippo Zucchinelli <i>PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Grace Lindo <i>NUNES, SCHOLEFIELD DELEON &amp; CO.</i>	Lori-Ann Thompson <i>NATIONAL LAND AGENCY</i>
Marco Monaco Sorge <i>TONUCCI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Paolo Savini <i>AGENZIA DELLE ENTRATE</i>	Melinda Lloyd <i>JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED</i>	Rachael Lodge <i>FOGA DALEY</i>	John Vassell <i>DUNNCOX</i>
Maria Teresa Monteduro <i>MINISTERO DELL'ECONOMIA E FINANZE</i>	Filippo Savoia <i>STUDIO SAVOIA</i>	Marlon Lowe <i>JAMAICA CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT</i>	Marlene Walcott <i>NATIONAL LAND AGENCY</i>	Cherieese Walcott <i>NATIONAL LAND AGENCY</i>
Micael Montinari <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Felice Schipani <i>AGENZIA DELLE ENTRATE</i>	Zaila McCalla <i>THE SUPREME COURT OF JAMAICA</i>	Dominic Williams <i>JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED</i>	Dominic Williams <i>JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED</i>
Davide Moretti <i>BANK OF ITALY</i>	Alice Scotti <i>STUDIO LEGALE GUASTI</i>	INTERPLAN <i>MARITIME AND TRANSPORT LIMITED</i>	Karen McHugh <i>PWC JAMAICA</i>	Lisa Williams <i>LIVINGSTON, ALEXANDER &amp; LEVY ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Valeria Morosini <i>TOFFOLETTO E SOCI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Lidia Maria Sella <i>STUDIO CORNO - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	RIVI GARDENER & ASSOCIATE LTD. <i>THE SHIPPING ASSOCIATION OF JAMAICA</i>	Karlene McKenzie <i>CABINET OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF JAMAICA</i>	Anwar Wright <i>TAYLOR-WRIGHT &amp; COMPANY</i>
Monica Negri <i>BDA STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Andrea Semmola <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIAUTO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Rollin Alveranga <i>MINISTRY OF WATER, LAND, ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE</i>	Jaime Mendoza <i>UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE ON TRADE &amp; DEVELOPMENT (UNCTAD), GENEVA</i>	Scott Wright <i>TAYLOR-WRIGHT &amp; COMPANY</i>
Gianmatteo Nunziante <i>NUNZIANTE MAGRONE</i>	Susanna Servi <i>CARABBA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Althea Anderson <i>LEX CARIBBEAN</i>		Angelaen Young-Daley <i>JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED</i>

**JAPAN****KANSAI ELECTRIC POWER**Daiki Akahane  
**LAW OFFICES OF AKAHANE,  
ISEKI & HONDA (AIH LAW)**Fumika Cho  
**WHITE & CASE**Jeffrey Dressler  
**WHITE & CASE**Takuya Eguchi  
**MORI HAMADA &  
MATSUMOTO - OSAKA**Toyoki Emoto  
**ATSUMI & SAKAI**Shuntaro Fujii  
**ANDERSON MORI &  
TOMOTSUNE**Kiyoshi Fujita  
**ADACHI, HENDERSON,  
MIYATAKE & FUJITA**Miho Fujita  
**ADACHI, HENDERSON,  
MIYATAKE & FUJITA**Tatsuya Fukui  
**ATSUMI & SAKAI**Shinnosuke Fukuoka  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**David Gilmore  
**HERBERT SMITH FREEHILLS**Tomoko Goto  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**Taichi Haraguchi  
**ERNST & YOUNG TAX CO.**Yuichi Hasegawa  
**ADACHI, HENDERSON,  
MIYATAKE & FUJITA**Shunsuke Honda  
**ANDERSON MORI &  
TOMOTSUNE**Kai Hoshino  
**EY**Keisuke Imon  
**WHITE & CASE**Hiroshi Inagaki  
**HANKYU HANSHIN  
EXPRESS CO. LTD.**Ryuji Ino  
**ERNST & YOUNG TAX CO.**Akiko Isayama  
**PWC TAX JAPAN**Jun Ito  
**KINTETSU WORLD  
EXPRESS, INC.**Saki Kamiya  
**ANDERSON MORI &  
TOMOTSUNE**Kazuo Kasai  
**WHITE & CASE**Hiroshi Kasuya  
**BAKER & MCKENZIE**Yuijro Katayama  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**Kenji Kawakami  
**FUTABA CORPORATION**Toriuchi Kazuki  
**ALPS LOGISTICS CO. LTD.**Takumi Kiriyama  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**Akemi Kito  
**PWC TAX JAPAN**Akiko Kobayashi  
**CREDIT INFORMATION  
CENTER CORP.**Masayoshi Kobayashi  
**BAKER & MCKENZIE**Hiroyuki Konishi  
**KONISHI TAX AND  
ACCOUNTING**Yasuyuki Kurabayashi  
**CITY-YUWA PARTNERS**Takafumi Masukata  
**NIPPON EXPRESS CO., LTD.**Hiroaki Matsui  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**Naoki Matsuo  
**CITY-YUWA PARTNERS**Nakano Michiaki  
**SOUTH TORANOMON  
LAW OFFICES**Kazuya Miyakawa  
**PWC TAX JAPAN**Toshio Miyatake  
**ADACHI, HENDERSON,  
MIYATAKE & FUJITA**Teppei Mogi  
**OH-EBASHI LPC & PARTNERS**Michihiro Mori  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**Tatsuaki Murakami  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**Hirosato Nabika  
**CITY-YUWA PARTNERS**Hideto Nakai  
**KINDEN CORP.**Ken Nakatsuka  
**NAKATSUKA KEN TAX  
ACCOUNTING OFFICE**Keisuke Nishimura  
**WHITE & CASE**Miho Niunoya  
**ATSUMI & SAKAI**Fumiya Obinata  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**Takeshi Ogura  
**OGURA ACCOUNTING OFFICE**Kotaro Okamoto  
**EY**Daisuke Omote  
**ATSUMI & SAKAI**Takashi Saito  
**CITY-YUWA PARTNERS**Hitomi Sakai  
**KOJIMA LAW OFFICES**Yuka Sakai  
**CITY-YUWA PARTNERS**Sara Sandford  
**GARVEY SCHUBERT  
BARER LAW FIRM**Kei Sasaki  
**ANDERSON MORI &  
TOMOTSUNE**Tetsuro Sato  
**BAKER & MCKENZIE**Yuri Sugano  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**Sachiko Sugawara  
**ATSUMI & SAKAI**Tomoyuki Susukida  
**WHITE & CASE**Junya Suzuki  
**BAKER & MCKENZIE**Yasuyuki Suzuki  
**STANDARD CHARTERED BANK**Yoshimasa Takagi  
**EY**Hiroaki Takahashi  
**ANDERSON MORI &  
TOMOTSUNE**Hiroyuki Takahashi  
**ATSUMI & SAKAI**Y. Takahashi  
**SANKYU INC.**Junichi Tobimatsu  
**TOBIMATSU LAW**Yamamoto Tomohide  
**KINDEN CORP.**Takaharu Totsuka  
**ANDERSON MORI &  
TOMOTSUNE**Naohiro Toyoda  
**AEON FINANCIAL  
SERVICE CO. LTD.**Yoshito Tsuji  
**OBAYASHI CORPORATION**Takeo Tsukamoto  
**NISHIMURA & ASAHI**Ichiro Tsumiomi  
**EY**Shougo Tsuruta  
**PWC TAX JAPAN**Yoshihiro Tsutaya  
**ANDERSON MORI &  
TOMOTSUNE**Yuichi Urata  
**OH-EBASHI LPC & PARTNERS**Jun Usami  
**WHITE & CASE**Kenji Utsumi  
**NAGASHIMA OHNO  
& TSUNEMATSU**Tatsuya Yagishita  
**DAITO KOUN CO. LTD.**Mizuho Yamada  
**WHITE & CASE**Michi Yamagami  
**ANDERSON MORI &  
TOMOTSUNE**Shunichi Yamamoto  
**EY****JORDAN**DEPARTMENT OF  
LANDS & SURVEY

EY

Hayja'a Abu Al Hayja'a  
**TALAL ABU GHAZALEH  
LEGAL SERVICES CO.**Nayef Abu Alim  
**Premier Law Firm LLP**Hanin Abughazaleh  
**AL TAMIMI & COMPANY  
ADVOCATES & LEGAL  
CONSULTANTS**Fatina Abweini  
**MINISTRY OF JUSTICE**Waleed Adi  
**EMRC ENERGY AND MINERALS  
REGULATORY COMMISSION**Malak Al Hasoun  
**MINISTRY OF JUSTICE**Haifa Al Kiali  
**MAGISTRATES COURT**Zeina Al Nabih  
**AL TAMIMI & COMPANY  
ADVOCATES & LEGAL  
CONSULTANTS**Abd El Rahman M. Al  
Qatawenh  
**ATTORNEY**Wijdan Al Rabadi  
**EMRC ENERGY AND MINERALS  
REGULATORY COMMISSION**Ziad Al Shufiyyen  
**EMRC ENERGY AND MINERALS  
REGULATORY COMMISSION**Maha Al-Abdallat  
**CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN**Mohammad Al-Akhras  
**PWC JORDAN**Rawan Alameddin  
**HAMMOURI & PARTNERS  
LAW FIRM**Eman M. Al-Dabbas  
**INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS  
LEGAL ASSOCIATES**Ashraf Alja'fari  
**ZEINAB ALJAAFREH**Omar Aljazy  
**CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN**Sabri S. Al-Khassib  
**AMMAN CHAMBER  
OF COMMERCE**Liana Al-Mufleh  
**HAMMOURI & PARTNERS  
LAW FIRM**Naser Al-Mughrabi  
**PWC JORDAN**Moath Alsbin  
**EMRC ENERGY AND MINERALS  
REGULATORY COMMISSION**Ghada Al-Sha'arawi  
**BEIRUTI ATTORNEYS &  
COUNSELORS AT LAW**Zaid Al-Sha'rawi  
**CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN**Hussien Alsorakhi  
**ISTD**Eid M. Alwrikat  
**JUSTICE PALACE**Essa Amawi  
**AMAWI & CO. ADVOCATES  
& LEGAL CONSULTANTS**Mohammed Amawi  
**AMAWI & CO. ADVOCATES  
& LEGAL CONSULTANTS**Ahmad Amoudi  
**CRIF JORDAN**Faisal Asfour  
**KHALIFEH & PARTNERS  
LAWYERS**Raed Asfour  
**ISTD**Murad Awamleh  
**GREAT AMMAN  
MUNICIPALITY**

Hatem Barakat

Jafar Barham  
**JORDAN CUSTOMS**Aya Bassoumi  
**HAMMOURI & PARTNERS  
LAW FIRM**Ayhan Batarseh  
**ZALLOUM & LASWI LAW FIRM**Raeda Bawadi  
**MAGISTRATES COURT**Mohammad Beiruti  
**BEIRUTI ATTORNEYS &  
COUNSELORS AT LAW**Yotta Bulmer  
**HAMMOURI & PARTNERS  
LAW FIRM**Anwar Elliaan  
**THE JORDANIAN ELECTRIC  
POWER CO. LTD. (JEPCO)**Vincent Flamant  
**AQABA CONTAINER TERMINAL**Fadiz A. Freij  
**BEIRUTI ATTORNEYS &  
COUNSELORS AT LAW**Talah Ghosheh  
**AMAWI & CO. ADVOCATES  
& LEGAL CONSULTANTS**Rami Hadidi  
**HADDI & CO. ATTORNEYS**Tariq Hammouri  
**HAMMOURI & PARTNERS  
LAW FIRM**George Hazboun  
**INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATED  
FOR LEGAL CONSULTATIONS**Reem Hazboun  
**INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATED  
FOR LEGAL CONSULTATIONS**Jenan Hijjawi  
**COMPANIES CONTROL  
DEPARTMENT**Mohammad Hussein  
**AL REFA'I INTERNATIONAL  
TRANSPORT & CLEARING EST.**Tayseer Ismail Ibrahim  
**NOUR AL SHARQ TRADE  
COMPANY ENGINEERING  
& COMPANY SERVICES**Abdullah Jaradat  
**ABDULLAH & PARTNERS**Farah Jaradat  
**HAMMOURI & PARTNERS  
LAW FIRM**Emad Karkar  
**PWC JORDAN**Rakan Kawar  
**ALI SHARIF ZU'BI, ADVOCATES  
& LEGAL CONSULTANTS,  
MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI**Samer Kawar  
**SAMER KAWAR &  
ASSOCIATES, CONSULTANTS  
AND LEGAL ADVISORS**Ahmed Khalifeh  
**HAMMOURI & PARTNERS  
LAW FIRM**Nadeen Khrajet  
**ABDULLAH & PARTNERS**Nadeen Khresab  
**ABDULLAH LAW FIRM**Hussein Kofahy  
**CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN**Ammar Krayim  
**KRAYIM CONSTRUCTION**Lama Krayim  
**KRAYIM CONSTRUCTION**Rasha Laswi  
**ZALLOUM & LASWI LAW FIRM**Firas Malhas  
**INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS  
LEGAL ASSOCIATES**Ola Khalil Mohammad  
**CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN**Nour Nayef Momani  
**CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN**Hala Mujalli  
**GREAT AMMAN  
MUNICIPALITY**Khalidoun Nazer  
**KHALIFEH & PARTNERS  
LAWYERS**

Majd Nemeh <i>INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATED FOR LEGAL CONSULTATIONS</i>	Kuben Abzhanov <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Mariyash Kabikenova <i>REHABILITATION MANAGER</i>	Islambek Nurzhanov <i>SYNERGY PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Aigerim Tyurebayeva <i>KPMG KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Hamza Obidat <i>INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATED FOR LEGAL CONSULTATIONS</i>	Saule Akhmetova <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Elena Kaeva <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Ruslan Omarov <i>FIRST CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Anara Urakova <i>BMF PARTNERS LAW FIRM LLP</i>
Mohammad Ouglat <i>ISTD</i>	Altynbek Akpanov <i>ECONOMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Aybek Kambaliyev <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Sergazy Omash <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Azim Usmanov <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>
Mhanna Qattan <i>GREATER AMMAN MUNICIPALITY</i>	Akmalar Akylbayeva <i>ARNA PARTNERS</i>	Saltanat Kamenova <i>ECONOMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Kazieva Orynkul <i>STATE REVENUE COMMITTEE</i>	Aliya Utegaliyeva <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Naji Qutieshat <i>INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS LEGAL ASSOCIATES</i>	Gaukhar Alibekova <i>MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY</i>	Maksud Karaketov <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Yuliya V. Petrenko <i>BMF PARTNERS LAW FIRM LLP</i>	Nikita Sergeevich Vasilchuk <i>ENERGOPROMSTROIPROEKT LLC</i>
Osama Y. Sabbagh <i>THE JORDANIAN ELECTRIC POWER CO. LTD. (JEPCO)</i>	Assel Aralbayeva <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Alimzhan Karkinbaev <i>MINISTRY OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT</i>	Andrey Yuryevich Ponomarenko <i>ALMATY BRANCH OF THE RSE RESEARCH AND PRODUCTION CENTER OF LAND CADASTRE</i>	Sergei Vataev <i>DECHERT KAZAKHSTAN LLP</i>
Tareq Sahouri <i>SAHOURI &amp; PARTNERS LLC</i>	Andrey Artyushenko <i>ARTYUSHENKO &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Anel Kassabulatova <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Aigerim Raikhanova <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Larissa Yemelyanova <i>AQUITAS LAW FIRM</i>
Siwar Saket <i>KHALIFEH &amp; PARTNERS LAWYERS</i>	Samat Aryshev <i>ALMATY ENERGO ZBYT</i>	Aigerim Kauldashova <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Taizhanova Roza <i>OLYMPLEX ADVISERS</i>	Aigerim Yermahanova <i>MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY</i>
Majdi Salaita <i>ALI SHARIF ZU'BI, ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Zarina Baikenzhina <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	Madina Kazhimova <i>MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY</i>	Darya Ryapisssova <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Olga Olegovna Yershova <i>NOTARY ASSOCIATION OF THE ALMATY CITY</i>
Lana Salameh <i>JC LAW</i>	Rakhmat Baisuanov <i>ARNA PARTNERS</i>	Saltanat Kemalova <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Gaukhar Sapina <i>MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY</i>	Yerzhan Yessimkhanov <i>GRAIA INTERNATIONAL</i>
Omar Salhea <i>ISTD</i>	Aigul Baizhanova <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Lyazzat Keritebayeva <i>MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY</i>	Talgat Sariev <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Bakytgul Zhabaginova <i>ECONOMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Omar Sawadha <i>HAMMOURI &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Elmira Battal <i>SYNERGY PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Yekaterina Khamidullina <i>AQUITAS LAW FIRM</i>	Nazym Seidakmetova <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Saken Zhailauov <i>SAEN ENGINEERING GROUP</i>
Manhal Sayigh <i>THE JORDANIAN ELECTRIC POWER CO. LTD. (JEPCO)</i>	Aidos Bekov <i>JSC STATE CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Olga Kim <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Yerlan Serikbayev <i>MICHAEL WILSON &amp; PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Zhanar Zhandossova <i>BMF PARTNERS LAW FIRM LLP</i>
Adele Shaban <i>JC LAW</i>	Arman Berdalın <i>SAYAT ZHOLSHY &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Askar Konysbayev <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Aida Shadirova <i>DECHERT KAZAKHSTAN LLP</i>	Bulat Zhulamanov <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Mohammed Y. Shaban <i>AMIN KAWAR &amp; SONS CO.</i>	Aidyn Bikebayev <i>SAYAT ZHOLSHY &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Alexander Korobeinikov <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Abai Shaikenov <i>DENTONS KAZAKHSTAN LLP</i>	Liza Zhumahmetova <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>
Nadia Shahin <i>AMIN KAWAR &amp; SONS CO.</i>	Timur Bishanov <i>MINISTRY OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT</i>	Nurlan Kubenov <i>KPMG KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Elmira Shamayeva <i>WHITE &amp; CASE</i>	Balykov Daulen Zhumalovich <i>INTER-DISTRICT ECONOMIC COURT OF ALMATY</i>
Areen Shraideh <i>INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS LEGAL ASSOCIATES</i>	Aizhan Bozaeva <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Gaukhar Kudaibergenova <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Sofia Shaykhrazieva <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Sofiya Zhyllaidarova <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>
Stephan Stephan <i>PWC JORDAN</i>	Aziza Bozhakanova <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Gulmira Lamacharipova <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Meruert Sisembayeva <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	<b>KENYA</b>
Mohammed-Hanif Taraja <i>ABDULLAH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Victoria Chagay <i>ARTYUSHENKO &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Elena Lee <i>MICHAEL WILSON &amp; PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Alzhan Stankulov <i>SYNERGY PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	<b>PYRAMID BUILDERS</b>
Moawayah Tarawneh <i>KHALIFEH &amp; PARTNERS LAWYERS</i>	Alexander Chumachenko <i>AQUITAS LAW FIRM</i>	Madina Makanova <i>SYNLU LAW FIRM</i>	Nurzhan Stankulov <i>SYNERGY PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Philip Aluku <i>SDV TRANSAMI</i>
Khaled Tuffaha <i>KPMG KAWASMY &amp; PARTNERS CO.</i>	Yuliya Chumachenko <i>AQUITAS LAW FIRM</i>	Yerzhan Manasov <i>LINKAGE &amp; MIND LLP</i>	Ulan Stybyayev <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Barack Barkwang <i>COULSON HARNEY LLP</i>
Azzam Zalloum <i>ZALLOUN &amp; LASWI LAW FIRM</i>	Dmitriy Chumakov <i>SAYAT ZHOLSHY &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Marzhan Mardonova <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Zhaslan Alimgazinovich <i>IRMA PARITET LTD.</i>	Mohammed A. Bhatti <i>BHATTI ELECTRICAL LIMITED</i>
Mahmoud Zioud <i>THE JORDANIAN ELECTRIC POWER CO. LTD. (JEPCO)</i>	Saltanat Dauletova <i>KPMG KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Yessen Massalin <i>OLYMPLEX ADVISERS</i>	Sultanbekov <i>IRMA PARITET LTD.</i>	Hillary Biwott <i>CAPITAL MARKETS AUTHORITY</i>
Deema Abu Zulaikha <i>TALAL ABU GHAZALEH LEGAL SERVICES CO.</i>	Ruslan Degtyarenko <i>DENTONS KAZAKHSTAN LLP</i>	Nurkhan Mermankulov <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Zarina Syzdykova <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Dennis Chiruba <i>ANJARWALLA &amp; KHANNA ADVOCATES</i>
Kareem Zureikat	Inara Elemanova <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Bolat Miyatov <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Dana Tokmurzina <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Philip Coulson <i>COULSON HARNEY LLP</i>
<b>KAZAKHSTAN</b>	Sungat Essimkhanov <i>NUCLEAR AND ENERGY SUPERVISION AND CONTROL COMMITTEE OF THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY</i>	Victor Mokrousov <i>DECHERT KAZAKHSTAN LLP</i>	Mirat Tokombayev <i>NUCLEAR AND ENERGY SUPERVISION AND CONTROL COMMITTEE OF THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY</i>	Oliver Fowler <i>KAPLAN &amp; STRATTON</i>
Dinara Abdirova <i>OLYMPLEX ADVISERS</i>	Elena Motovilova <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Elena Motovilova <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Yerzhan Toktarov <i>SAYAT ZHOLSHY &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Peter Gachuhu <i>KAPLAN &amp; STRATTON</i>
Emil Halilovich Abd rashitov <i>NOTARY ASSOCIATION OF THE ALMATY CITY</i>	Alexander Giros <i>PARADIGM PROJECTS KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Andrei Mukazhanov <i>ALMATY ENERGO ZBYT</i>	Botanova Totynur <i>STATE REVENUE COMMITTEE</i>	Francis Gichuhu Kamau <i>A4 ARCHITECT</i>
Sardar Inarovich Abdysadykov <i>NOTARY ASSOCIATION OF THE ALMATY CITY</i>	Ardak Idayatova <i>AQUITAS LAW FIRM</i>	Daniyar Mussakhan <i>NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT</i>	Shynggys Turez <i>ECONOMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Ben Githinji <i>APT DESIGN SOLUTIONS</i>
Kuben Abzhanov <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Majra Iskakova <i>ALMATY ENERGO ZBYT</i>	Abylkhair Nakipov <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Maria Turganbaeva <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	William Ikutha Maema <i>ISEME, KAMAU &amp; MAEMA ADVOCATES</i>
	Kamil Jambakiyev <i>NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT</i>	Yevgeniya Nossova <i>DECHERT KAZAKHSTAN LLP</i>	Nurken Turmakhambetov <i>MINISTRY OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT</i>	Milly Jalega <i>ISEME, KAMAU &amp; MAEMA ADVOCATES</i>
	Galiya Joldybayeva <i>MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY</i>	Kulbatyrov Nurlan <i>ECONOMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Alexandr Tyo <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Abdilatir Jarso <i>B.M. MUSAU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>

Kenneth Kamaita <b>KAPLAN &amp; STRATTON</b>	Benjamin Musau <b>B.M. MUSAU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</b>	Joseph Taracha <b>CENTRAL BANK OF KENYA</b>	Seung Hee Grace Chang <b>SHINHAN CUSTOMS SERVICE INC.</b>	Ki Young Kim <b>YULCHON LLC</b>
Martha Kamau-Mutugi <b>KENYA POWER</b>	Peter Musyimi <b>KENYA LAW REFORM COMMISSION</b>	Maureen W. Makutano <b>AXIS KENYA</b>	Paavan Chhabra <b>HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC</b>	Rieu Kim <b>BARUN LAW LLC</b>
Cathrine Kamau <b>DELUXE INKS LIMITED</b>	Susan Mutinda <b>B.M. MUSAU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</b>	Angela Waki <b>COULSON HARNEY LLP</b>	Junghoon Cho <b>KOREAN ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION</b>	Sang-jin Kim <b>KEPCO</b>
Reuben Njoroge Kamau Kabbau <b>DREAMS ARCHITECTS</b>	Arnold Mutisya <b>COULSON HARNEY LLP</b>	Evelyn Wamae <b>KENYA TRADE NETWORK AGENCY (KENTRADE)</b>	Min Kyong Cho <b>WHITE &amp; CASE LLP FOREIGN LEGAL CONSULTANT OFFICE</b>	Seong Won (David) Kim <b>HANARO TNS</b>
Samuel Kamunyu <b>CAPITAL MARKETS AUTHORITY</b>	Joshua Mutua <b>KENYA POWER</b>	Arphaxade Wanjala <b>KAPLAN &amp; STRATTON</b>	Sung-Min Cho <b>JOYANG LOGISTICS</b>	Sun Kyoung Kim <b>YULCHON LLC</b>
Apollo Karumba <b>PWC KENYA</b>	Jane Mutulili <b>LA FEMME ENGINEERING SERVICES LTD.</b>	John Wekesa <b>KENYA POWER</b>	Young-Dae Cho <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>	Wonhyung Kim <b>YOON &amp; YANG LLC</b>
Ronald Khavagali <b>B.M. MUSAU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</b>	Jacob W. Mwangi <b>THE ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATION OF KENYA</b>	Edmond Wesonga <b>B.M. MUSAU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</b>	Jinhyuk Choi <b>BARUN LAW LLC</b>	Yoon Young Kim <b>HWANG MOK PARK PC</b>
Hassan Kibet <b>ISEME, KAMAU &amp; MAEMA ADVOCATES</b>	Peter Mwaura <b>ANJARWALLA &amp; KHANNA ADVOCATES</b>	<b>KIRIBATI</b>	Kyung-Joon Choi <b>KIM, CHANGE &amp; LEE</b>	Youn Jong Kim <b>SHINHAN CUSTOMS SERVICE INC.</b>
Alan Kigen <b>KAMOTHO MAIYO &amp; MBATIA ADVOCATES</b>	James Ndegwa <b>KENYA POWER</b>	<b>MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES</b>	Paul Jihoon Choi <b>BARUN LAW LLC</b>	Seong-Cheon Ko <b>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</b>
William M. Kilongo <b>ASSOCIATED SERVICES</b>	Christina Nduba-Banja <b>COULSON HARNEY LLP</b>	Kenneth Barden <b>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</b>	Sung-Soo Choi <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>	Joonghoon Kwak <b>LEE &amp; KO</b>
Timothy Kiman <b>SIGNON FREIGHT LTD.</b>	Mbage Ng'ang'a <b>WARUHUI K'OWADE &amp; NG'ANG'A ADVOCATES</b>	Susan Barrie <b>TOBARAOI TRAVEL</b>	Isabel Cleaver <b>HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC</b>	Alex Joong-Hyun Lee <b>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</b>
Meshack T. Kipturgo <b>SIGNON FREIGHT LTD.</b>	Christine Njau <b>ISEME, KAMAU &amp; MAEMA ADVOCATES</b>	Raweita Beniata <b>OLP KIRIBATI</b>	Robert Flemer <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>	Dong Hun Lee <b>KOREA CUSTOMS SERVICE</b>
Owen Koimburi <b>MAZARS KENYA</b>	James Ndegwa <b>KENYA POWER</b>	Ierevita Biriti <b>KIRIBATI CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY</b>	Mark Goodrich <b>WHITE &amp; CASE LLP FOREIGN LEGAL CONSULTANT OFFICE</b>	Eugene Lee <b>BARUN LAW LLC</b>
Emmanuel Kubo <b>SISULE MUNYI KILONZO &amp; ASSOCIATES</b>	Victor Njenga <b>KAPLAN &amp; STRATTON</b>	Tomitiana Eritama <b>MINISTRY OF LABOUR &amp; HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT</b>	Jason Ha <b>BARUN LAW LLC</b>	Hongyou Lee <b>PANALPINA KOREA LTD.</b>
Evelyn Kyania <b>B.M. MUSAU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</b>	Chege Njoroge <b>LESINKO NJOROGE &amp; GATHOGO</b>	Mantaia Kaogntao <b>MK LAW &amp; CO.</b>	Sang-Goo Han <b>YOON &amp; YANG LLC</b>	Jae-Hahn Lee <b>KIM, CHANGE &amp; LEE</b>
David Lekera <b>ISEME, KAMAU &amp; MAEMA ADVOCATES</b>	Jacqueline Njoroge <b>B.M. MUSAU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</b>	Tieri Kautuntamoa <b>BUSINESS &amp; COMPANIES REGULATORY DIVISION, BUSINESS REGULATORY CENTRE, MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRY &amp; COOPERATIVES</b>	Sang-Hoon Han <b>SHIN &amp; KIM</b>	Kyu Wha Lee <b>LEE &amp; KO</b>
Eric Lukoye <b>KENYA TRADE NETWORK AGENCY (KENTRADE)</b>	Alex Nyagah <b>ARCHBUILD LIMITED</b>	Motiti Moriati Koae <b>DEVELOPMENT BANK OF KIRIBATI</b>	Young Huh <b>HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC</b>	Kyung Yoon Lee <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>
Dominic Makau <b>CENTRAL ELECTRICALS INTERNATIONAL LTD.</b>	Rose Nyongesa <b>ISEME, KAMAU &amp; MAEMA ADVOCATES</b>	Laokiri Koreaua <b>KIRIBATI TAX OFFICE</b>	Ji-Sang Hur <b>KOREA CUSTOMS SERVICE</b>	Moonsub Lee <b>SOJONG PARTNERS</b>
Jacob Malelu <b>B.M. MUSAU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</b>	Conrad Nyukuri <b>AXIS KENYA</b>	Tion Neemia <b>SHIPPING AGENCY OF KIRIBATI</b>	C.W. Hyun <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>	Sangmin Lee <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>
Nicholas Malonza <b>SISULE MUNYI KILONZO &amp; ASSOCIATES</b>	Robert Oimeke <b>ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION (ERC)</b>	Tiiraa Roneti <b>MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND TOURISM</b>	James I.S. Jeon <b>SOJONG PARTNERS</b>	Seung Yoon Lee <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>
Esther Manthi <b>CAPITAL MARKETS AUTHORITY</b>	John Ojwang <b>NAIROBI CITY COUNTY GOVERNMENT</b>	Mautaaake Tannang <b>KIRIBATI ELECTRICAL CONSULTING &amp; CONTRACTING SERVICES</b>	Jae-Wook Jeong <b>SHIN &amp; KIM</b>	Su Yeon Lee <b>YULCHON LLC</b>
James Mburo Kamau <b>ISEME, KAMAU &amp; MAEMA ADVOCATES</b>	Sam Omukoko <b>METROPOL CORPORATION LTD.</b>	Naata Tekeea <b>DEVELOPMENT BANK OF KIRIBATI</b>	Changho Jo <b>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</b>	Young Jin Lee <b>SUPREME COURT OF KOREA</b>
Ken Melly <b>ISEME KAMAU &amp; MAEMA ADVOCATES (DLA PIPER)</b>	Esther Omulele <b>MMC AFRICA LAW</b>	Tauniu Teraoi Moy <b>TOBARAOI TRAVEL</b>	Bo Moon Jung <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>	Yong-Hee Lim <b>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</b>
Emma Miloyo <b>DESIGN SOURCE</b>	Andrew Ondieki <b>PWC KENYA</b>	Reei Tioti <b>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, LANDS &amp; AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT (MELAD)</b>	Haeng Chang Jung <b>HANARO TNS</b>	Yunseok Lim <b>SUPREME COURT OF KOREA</b>
Mansoor A. Mohamed <b>RUMAN SHIPCONTRACTORS LIMITED</b>	Cephas Osoro <b>HORWATH ERASTUS &amp; CO. MEMBER, CROWE</b>	Naata Tekeea <b>DEVELOPMENT BANK OF KIRIBATI</b>	Kyung-won Kang <b>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</b>	Young Min Kim <b>YOON &amp; YANG LLC</b>
Peter Momanyi <b>MAZARS KENYA</b>	Tom Odhiambo Onyango <b>TRIPLEOKLAW ADVOCATES</b>	Tauniu Teraoi Moy <b>TOBARAOI TRAVEL</b>	Young-Seok Ki <b>SHIN &amp; KIM</b>	Jae Wook Oh <b>BARUN LAW LLC</b>
Titus Mukora <b>PWC KENYA</b>	Cephas Osoro <b>HORWATH ERASTUS &amp; CO. MEMBER, CROWE</b>	Reei Tioti <b>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, LANDS &amp; AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT (MELAD)</b>	Jinku Kang <b>LEE &amp; KO</b>	Yon Kyun Oh <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>
Teresia Munywoki <b>B.M. MUSAU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</b>	Charles Osundwa <b>KAPLAN &amp; STRATTON</b>	Naata Tekeea <b>DEVELOPMENT BANK OF KIRIBATI</b>	Kyung-won Kang <b>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</b>	Danbi Park <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>
John Muoria <b>WARUHUI K'OWADE &amp; NG'ANG'A ADVOCATES</b>	Andrew Ragui <b>PWC KENYA</b>	Tauniu Teraoi Moy <b>TOBARAOI TRAVEL</b>	Young-Seok Ki <b>SHIN &amp; KIM</b>	Grace Park <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>
	Sonal Sejal <b>ANJARWALLA &amp; KHANNA ADVOCATES</b>	Reei Tioti <b>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, LANDS &amp; AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT (MELAD)</b>	Jihye Park <b>LEE &amp; KO</b>	Jihye Park <b>LEE &amp; KO</b>
			Byung-Tae Kim <b>SHIN &amp; KIM</b>	Sang Il Park <b>HWANG MOK PARK PC</b>
			Chul Man Kim <b>YULCHON LLC</b>	Yong Seok Park <b>SHIN &amp; KIM</b>
			Jennifer Min Sun Kim <b>SOJONG PARTNERS</b>	Sang-ug Ryu <b>SUPREME COURT OF KOREA</b>
			Jong-Hyun Kim <b>SHIN &amp; KIM</b>	Jeong Seo <b>KIM &amp; CHANG</b>

Minah Seo <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Naim Huruglica <i>KOSOVO CUSTOMS</i>	<b>KUWAIT</b>	Abdullah Al-Ayoub <i>ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB &amp; ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Nada Bourahmah <i>THE LAW OFFICES OF MISHARI AL-GHAZALI AND RAWAN MISHARI AL-GHAZALI</i>
Ji Seon Kim <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Rifat Hyseni <i>TAX ADMINISTRATION OF KOSOVO</i>	TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH <i>LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>	Abrar Alazemi <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Najmah Brown <i>AL-ADWANI LAW FIRM</i>
Changho Seong <i>SEOUL CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT</i>	Albert Islami <i>ALBERT ISLAMI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Maged Abd Al Hady <i>HORWATH AL-MUHANNA &amp; CO.</i>	Anwar Al-Bisher <i>ALBISHER LEGAL GROUP</i>	Maysaa Mousa Bushirri <i>KUWAIT MUNICIPALITY</i>
Mi-Jin Shin <i>KIM &amp; CHANG</i>	Liresa Kadriu <i>VALA CONSULTING</i>	Mohammad Abdal <i>ZAIN GROUP</i>	Ahmed Aldhoyan <i>ALRAAI LAW FIRM</i>	Twinkle Anie Chacko <i>ABDULRAZZAQ ABDULLAH &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Philippe Shin <i>SHIN &amp; KIM</i>	Arben Kelmendi <i>KELMENDI &amp; PARTNERS LLC</i>	Sherif Shawki Abdel-Fattah <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI &amp; CO.</i>	Areej Aldulaimi <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Alok Chugh <i>EY</i>
Moon-Bae Sohn <i>KOREA CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Leonik Mehmeti <i>DELOITTE</i>	Farid Abdin <i>MAERSK KUWAIT CO. W.L.L.</i>	Omar Hamad Yousuf Al-Essa <i>THE LAW OFFICE OF AL-ESSA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Bader Ali Dashti <i>CUSTOMS - GENERAL ADMINISTRATION</i>
Ahn Sooyoung <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Fitore Mekaj <i>BOGA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Ahmed Abdou <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Lulwha Alfahad <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Fouad Douglas <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI &amp; CO.</i>
Kiwon Suh <i>CHEONJI ACCOUNTING CORPORATION</i>	Delvina Nallbani <i>BOGA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Abdulrazzaq Abdullah <i>ABDULRAZZAQ ABDULLAH &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Nada F.A. Al-Fahad <i>GEC DAR GULF ENGINEERS CONSULTANTS</i>	Talal Edan <i>CUSTOMS - GENERAL ADMINISTRATION</i>
Catherine J. Yeo <i>KIM &amp; CHANG</i>	Driton Nikaj <i>RAIFFEISEN LEASING KOSOVA SH.P.K.</i>	Hossam Abdullah <i>AL-HOSSAM LEGAL</i>	Adaweyah Alfaiakawi <i>MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY</i>	Haya Essa Al Zayed <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
Elizabeth Shinwon Yoon <i>SHINHAN CUSTOMS SERVICE INC.</i>	Besim Osmani <i>AB OLIVIER &amp; ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Hani Abu Daqa <i>CREDIT INFORMATION NETWORK</i>	Rawan M. Al-Ghazali <i>THE LAW OFFICES OF MISHARI AL-GHAZALI AND RAWAN MISHARI AL-GHAZALI</i>	Mahmoud Ezzat <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>
Jae-Yoon Yoon <i>KOREA CUSTOMS SERVICE</i>	Besim Osmani <i>INTERLEX ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Mohammad Abulwafa <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Nora Al-Haroun <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>	Jomon George <i>HORWATH AL-MUHANNA &amp; CO.</i>
Huiwon Yun <i>HUIWON YUN PRIVATE PRACTITIONER</i>	Valdet Osmani <i>ARCHITECT ASSOCIATION OF KOSOVO</i>	Lina A.K. Adlouni <i>ADLOUNI &amp; PARTNERS LEGAL CONSULTANTS &amp; ATTORNEYS LAW FIRM</i>	Shaikhah Alhelai <i>Hanan Almudhahkah MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Michel Ghanem <i>MEYSAN PARTNERS</i>
<b>KOSOVO</b>	Loreta Peci <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.</i>	Hossam Afify <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI &amp; CO.</i>	Rasha Al-Naibari <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>	Marc-Aurele Grassin <i>MEYSAN PARTNERS</i>
KPMG ALBANIA SHPK <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (MED)</i>	Naser Papashtica <i>CRIMSON CAPITAL</i>	Basma Akbar <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>	Jasem Al-Oun <i>AREF INVESTMENT GROUP</i>	Sam Habbas <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>
MINISTRY OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY	Blerim Prestreshi <i>SCLR PARTNERS</i>	Khaldaid Al Ali <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Waleed Alowaiyesh <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>	Mohammed Haneefa <i>MAERSK KUWAIT CO. W.L.L.</i>
USAID PARTNERSHIPS FOR DEVELOPMENT PROJECT	Ilaz Ramajli <i>RAMAJLI &amp; PARTNERS CO.</i>	Hanan Al Gharably <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>	Yousef Alroumi <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>	Hussein M. Hassan <i>ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB &amp; ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Leonora Beka <i>KALO &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Vigan Rogova <i>ETHEM ROGOVA LAW FIRM</i>	Jasim Mohammad Al Habib <i>KUWAIT MUNICIPALITY</i>	Jasem Alsharekh <i>ALRAAI LAW FIRM</i>	Samir Ibrahim <i>ALRAAI LAW FIRM</i>
Gani Bucaj <i>ENERGY REGULATORY OFFICE</i>	Ariana Rozhaja <i>VALA CONSULTING</i>	Hamad M. Al Mashaan <i>AL-AHLIA CONTRACTING GROUP</i>	Adnan Alsharrab <i>CREDIT INFORMATION NETWORK</i>	Jad Jabre <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Destan Bujupaj <i>DESTAN BUJUPAJ ENFORCEMENT AGENT</i>	Shendrit Sadiku <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS KOSOVO</i>	Heyam Al Mehri <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Tariq Hamad Alshatti <i>AL-DOSTOUR LAW FIRM</i>	Wael S. Khalifa <i>GLOBAL CLEARINGHOUSE SYSTEMS</i>
Shyqiri Bytyqi <i>VALA CONSULTING</i>	Sami Salihu <i>TAX ADMINISTRATION OF KOSOVO</i>	Meshari Al Nusf <i>KUWAIT INSURANCE COMPANY</i>	Fahed Al-Subaib <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>	Mazen A. Khoursheed <i>PACKAGING &amp; PLASTIC INDUSTRIES CO. KSCC</i>
Ali Curri <i>KESCO</i>	Arbena Shehu <i>NOTARY CHAMBER OF THE REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO</i>	Hashem Al Qallaf <i>KUWAIT CITY FIRST INSTANCE COURT</i>	Haya Alzayed <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Dany Labaky <i>THE LAW OFFICE OF AL-ESSA &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Naim Devetaku <i>VALA CONSULTING</i>	Teki Shehu	Reqz Al Sammak <i>KUWAIT INSURANCE COMPANY</i>	Najed Abdul Aziz <i>ABDULRAHMAN MOHAMAD AL-BAHAR AND SONS WLL</i>	Ahmed Labib <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Sokol Elmazaj <i>BOGA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Ardi Shita	Nouf Al Sanea <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>	Akusa Batwala <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Anju Menon <i>ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB &amp; ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Mirjeta Emini <i>BOGA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Fatmir Stublla	Alhamed Abdul Aziz Al Thuwaikha	Lutfi Ben Fatma <i>AL-TWAIRI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Abdulrahman Mohamad <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>
Yllka Emini <i>TAX ADMINISTRATION OF KOSOVO</i>	Arbresha Tuhina <i>BAKER TILLY KOSOVO</i>	Shpend Zeika <i>KUWAIT CITY FIRST INSTANCE COURT</i>	Waleed BenHassan <i>CREDIT INFORMATION NETWORK</i>	Ayman Nada <i>AL MARKAZ LAW FIRM</i>
Haxhi Gashi <i>UNIVERSITY OF PRISHTINA, LAW FACULTY</i>	Gëzim Xharavina <i>ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND ENGINEERING</i>	Fahad Al Zumai <i>KUWAIT UNIVERSITY</i>	Piyush Bhandari <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	Seth Ochieng <i>HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC</i>
Adea Geci <i>AB OLIVIER &amp; ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Arta Xhemja <i>BAKER TILLY KOSOVO</i>	Ruzhdri Zenelaj <i>DELOTTITE</i>	Priyanka Bhandari <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	Rabea Saad Al-Muhanna <i>HORWATH AL-MUHANNA &amp; CO.</i>
Lorena Gega <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.</i>	Lulzim Zeika <i>BAKER TILLY KOSOVO</i>	Ruzhdri Zeqiri <i>CRIMSON CAPITAL</i>		
Jashar Goga <i>KOSOVO CUSTOMS</i>	Shpend Zeika <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS KOSOVO</i>	Shaha Zyliu <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO</i>		
Valon Hasanai <i>LAWYER</i>	Ruzhdri Zenelaj <i>DELOTTITE</i>			
Bujar Haxhidauti <i>KOSOVO CUSTOMS</i>	Ruzhdri Zeqiri <i>CRIMSON CAPITAL</i>			
Rudina Heroi-Puka <i>KESCO</i>	Shaha Zyliu <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO</i>			

Mohammed Radwan <i>ALRAAI LAW FIRM</i>	Saara Kabaeva <i>KOAN LORENZ</i>	Anthony Assassa <i>VDB LOI</i>	Tuan Nhu Nguyen <i>EY</i>	Janis Gavars <i>ELLEX KLAVINS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Johnson Rajan <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	Merim Kachkynbaeva <i>KALIKOVA &amp; ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Phetmany Boualivong <i>ELECTRICITE DU LAOS</i>	Souvanno S. Phabmixay <i>SV LEGAL ADVOCATE (LAO) CO. LTD.</i>	Andris Ignatenko <i>ESTMA LTD.</i>
Ganesh Ramanath <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI &amp; CO.</i>	Elena Kaeva <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Thatsnachone Bounthanh <i>XANGLAO ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>	Viengsavanh Phanthaly <i>ZICOLAW (LAOS) SOLE CO. LTD.</i>	Viesturs Kadikis <i>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</i>
Abdulwahab Abdullatif Sadeq <i>MEYSAN PARTNERS</i>	Amanbek Kebekov <i>DEPARTMENT OF CADASTRE AND REGISTRATION OF RIGHTS ON IMMOVABLE PROPERTY</i>	Siri Boudtakham <i>LAO LAW &amp; CONSULTANCY GROUP</i>	Nampanya Phayboun <i>ZICOLAW (LAOS) SOLE CO. LTD.</i>	Valters Kalme <i>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</i>
Aya Salih <i>AL-ADWANI LAW FIRM</i>	Sultan Khalilov <i>KALIKOVA &amp; ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Khammuun Bouxtry <i>ZICOLAW (LAOS) SOLE CO. LTD.</i>	Komonchanh Phet-as-a <i>ELECTRICITE DU LAOS</i>	Snezhina Kazakova <i>DHL EXPRESS LATVIA</i>
Ibrahim Sattout <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Evgeny Kim <i>KOAN LORENZ</i>	Xaynari Chanthala <i>LS HORIZON LIMITED (LAO)</i>	Vassana Phetlamphanh <i>ELECTRICITE DU LAOS</i>	Irina Kostina <i>ELLEX KLAVINS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Araf Shabeeb <i>THE LAW OFFICES OF MISHARI AL-GHAZALI AND RAWAN MISHARI AL-GHAZALI</i>	Nurdin Kumushbekov <i>USAID BEI BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (BY PRAGMA CORPORATION)</i>	Chatchai Chanuyuttasart <i>HUNG HUANG (LAO) LOGISTICS CO. LTD.</i>	Sengny Phimmany <i>SCIARONI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Dainis Leons <i>SADALES TIKLIS AS</i>
Chetan Sharma <i>ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB &amp; ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Kuttabai Marzabaev <i>ORION CONSTRUCTION COMPANY</i>	Nawika Charoenkitchatorn <i>LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Khamphaeng Phochanthilath <i>ZICOLAW (LAOS) SOLE CO. LTD.</i>	Indriķis Liepa <i>COBALT LEGAL</i>
Emad Tawfiq	Chinara Moldobaeva	Sirikarn Chatrastrai <i>LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Ketsana Phommachanh <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Dainis Locs <i>COURT ADMINISTRATION</i>
David Walker <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Umtul Muratkyzy <i>KOAN LORENZ</i>	Rawat Chomsri <i>LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Chansamone Phommachanto <i>T.E.C. LOGISTICS</i>	Rolands Lūsveris <i>SADALES TIKLIS AS</i>
Abdullah Y. Al Khurafi <i>MIRAS LEGAL</i>	Mariya Nazarova <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Bounyong Dalasone <i>LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Viengsamone Phommavongsa <i>BANK OF LAO PDR</i>	Zane Markverte <i>MARKVARTE LEXCHANGE LAW OFFICE</i>
Ahmed Zakaria <i>BOUBYAN CAPITAL INVESTMENT</i>	Karlygash Ospankulova <i>IGROUP, PUBLIC ASSOCIATION</i>	Bounyasith Daopasith <i>LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Phonexay Southiphong <i>DESIGN GROUP CO. LTD.</i>	Ivo Maskalans <i>COBALT LEGAL</i>
<b>KYRGYZ REPUBLIC</b>				
Almaz Abdiev <i>DEPARTMENT OF CADASTRE AND REGISTRATION OF RIGHTS ON IMMOVABLE PROPERTY</i>	Nargiz Sabyrova <i>VERITAS LAW AGENCY</i>	Agnès Couriol <i>DFDL</i>	Latsamy Sysamouth <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Baiba Orbidane <i>ELLEX KLAVINS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Yulia Abdumanapova <i>BAKER TILLY BISHKEK LLC</i>	Aisanat Safarbek Kyzy <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Aristotle David <i>ZICOLAW (LAOS) SOLE CO. LTD.</i>	Danyel Thomson <i>DFDL</i>	Guna Paidere <i>REGISTER OF ENTERPRISES</i>
Gulnara Akhmatova <i>LAWYER</i>	Emil Saryazhiev <i>CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU ISHENIM</i>	Simeuang Douangboudy <i>XANGLAO ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>	Arpon Tunjumras <i>LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Ilze Rauza <i>PWC LATVIA</i>
Atabek Akhmedov <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Erkin Saryazhiev <i>KOMPANION BANK CJSC</i>	Somlith Duangchanpasert <i>VDB LOI</i>	Huong Vu <i>EY</i>	Lelde Rozentale <i>STATE LAND SERVICE OF THE REPUBLIC OF LATVIA</i>
Sanzhar Aldashev <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Kanat Seidaliev <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Daodeuane Duangdara <i>VDB LOI</i>	Patthana Xaykosy <i>LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Elina Rozulapa <i>ER3</i>
Bayansulu Bassepova <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Saadat Shakirova <i>ARTE LAW FIRM</i>	Steve Goddard <i>ARION LEGAL</i>	Huong Vu <i>EY</i>	Andris Šķutāns <i>AB WAYS</i>
Kerim Begaliev <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Tatyana Shapovalova	Petlumphanh Inthajuck <i>ELECTRICITE DU LAOS</i>	<b>LATVIA</b>	Sandra Stipniiece <i>CHAMBER OF SWORN NOTARIES OF LATVIA</i>
Elena Bit-Avragim <i>VERITAS LAW AGENCY</i>	Aidin Omurlieviich Sharsheev <i>CENTRAL COLLATERAL REGISTRATION OFFICE UNDER MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Bounlay Kangmanivanh <i>VDB LOI</i>	COLLIERS INTERNATIONAL	Darja Tagajeva <i>PWC LATVIA</i>
Samara Dumanueva <i>KOAN LORENZ</i>	Anvar Suleimanov <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Valyna Keochomsi <i>LS HORIZON LIMITED (LAO)</i>	Raivis Bušmanis <i>STATE LABOUR INSPECTORATE</i>	Ruta Teresko <i>AZ SERVICE LTD.</i>
Nurlan Dzhusumaliev <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Guljan Tashimova <i>ORION CONSTRUCTION COMPANY</i>	Dokkeo Keovongsaa <i>BANK OF LAO PDR</i>	Andis Čonka <i>LATVIJAS BANKA</i>	Jānis Timermanis <i>AS KREDITINFORMĀCIJAS BIROJS</i>
Bakytbek Dzhusupbekov <i>DEPARTMENT OF CADASTRE AND REGISTRATION OF RIGHTS ON IMMOVABLE PROPERTY</i>	Nurlan Sadykovich Temiraliiev <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Phetlamphone Khanophet <i>BANK OF LAO PDR</i>	Ainis Dabols <i>LATVIAN ASSOCIATION OF TAX ADVISERS</i>	Edgars Timpa <i>STATE LABOUR INSPECTORATE</i>
Victor Efremov <i>VERITAS LAW AGENCY</i>	Jibek Tenizbaeva <i>KOAN LORENZ</i>	Sisomephieng Khanthalivanh <i>BANK OF LAO PDR</i>	Andris Dimants <i>COBALT LEGAL</i>	Ingus Užulis <i>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</i>
Akjoltai Elebesova <i>CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU ISHENIM</i>	Idaiat Toktash <i>LAW FIRM LEX</i>	Kan Khuprasert <i>LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Anete Dimitrovska <i>ELLEX KLAVINS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Maris Vainovskis <i>EVERSHEDS BITANS</i>
Chynara Esengeldieva <i>KOAN LORENZ</i>	Asel Tursuniiazova <i>KUMAREL NURAMIR LLC</i>	Ganesan Kolandevalu <i>KPMG LAO CO. LTD.</i>	Valters Diure <i>ELLEX KLAVINS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Elīna Vilde <i>EVERSHEDS BITANS</i>
Kymbat Ibakova <i>KOAN LORENZ</i>	Gulnara Uskenbaeva <i>AUDIT PLUS</i>	Natchar Leedae <i>LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE</i>	Edvīns Draba <i>LAW FIRM SORAINEN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Sabine Vilka <i>COBALT LEGAL</i>
Indira Ibraimova <i>MEGA STROY LLC</i>	Gulnara Uskenbaeva <i>SUPPLIER ASSOCIATION - COMMITTEE MEMBER OF CCI</i>	Anna Linden <i>SCIARONI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Jānis Dreimanis <i>COURT ADMINISTRATION</i>	Armands Viskers <i>BALTIC LEGAL</i>
Aidaraliev Erkin Isagalievich <i>ALTERNATIVA GARANT LAW FIRM</i>	Mansur Usmanov <i>MEGA STROY LLC</i>	Ha Manh Nguyen <i>EY</i>	Zlata Elksniņa-Začirinska <i>PWC LATVIA</i>	Krista Zariņa <i>ELLEX KLAVINS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
<b>LAO PDR</b>				
Chonchanok Akarakitkasem <i>LS HORIZON LIMITED (LAO)</i>				
Christian Metzger				
Vongsa Nanthavong <i>ELECTRICITE DU LAOS</i>				
Kristine Gailite				
Kaspars Freimanis <i>PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>				
Agate Ziverte				
Daiga Zivtina <i>ELLEX KLAVINS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>				
Vadims Zvicevics <i>COBALT LEGAL</i>				

**LEBANON**

Nadim Abboud  
**LAW OFFICE OF A.  
ABBOUD & ASSOCIATES**  
Nina Abdallah  
**KHATTAR ASSOCIATES**  
Nada Abdelsater-Abusamra  
**ABDELSATER ABUSAMRA &  
ASSOCIATES - ASAS LAW**  
Marie Abi Antoun  
**ABDELSATER ABUSAMRA &  
ASSOCIATES - ASAS LAW**  
Nancy Abou Ghaida  
**MENA CITY LAWYERS**  
Riham Al Ali  
**SMAYRA LAW OFFICE**  
Zeina Azzi  
**OBEID & MEDAWAR LAW FIRM**  
Corinne Baaklini  
**MENA CITY LAWYERS**  
Jean Baroudi  
**BAROUDI & ASSOCIATES**  
Boutros Bou Lattouf  
**EBL BUREAU IN BEIRUT**  
Tony Boutros  
**RUSSELL BEDFORD  
INTERNATIONAL**  
Najib Choucair  
**CENTRAL BANK OF LEBANON**  
Alice Choueiri  
**MENA CITY LAWYERS**  
Hadi Diab  
**SMAYRA LAW OFFICE**  
Mario El Cheikh  
**AGC SAL**  
Richard El Mouallem  
**PWC LEBANON**  
Nada Elsayed  
**PWC LEBANON**  
Hadi Fathallah  
**ESCO FATHALLAH & CO.**  
Izzat Fathallah  
**ESCO FATHALLAH & CO.**  
Wafic Fathallah  
**ESCO FATHALLAH & CO.**  
Ribal Fattal  
**LAW OFFICE OF A.  
ABBOUD & ASSOCIATES**  
Elie Feghali  
**BADRI AND SALIM EL  
MEOUCHI LAW FIRM,  
MEMBER OF INTERLEGES**  
Lea Ferzli  
**BAROUDI & ASSOCIATES**  
Serena Ghanimeh  
**ABDELSATER ABUSAMRA &  
ASSOCIATES - ASAS LAW**  
Ghassan Haddad  
**BADRI AND SALIM EL  
MEOUCHI LAW FIRM,  
MEMBER OF INTERLEGES**  
Rawad Halawi  
Rayan Hdayfe  
**EMEA LEGAL COUNSEL**  
Walid Honein  
**BADRI AND SALIM EL  
MEOUCHI LAW FIRM,  
MEMBER OF INTERLEGES**  
Chawkat Houalla  
**ADIB & HOUALLA LAW OFFICE**  
Fady Jamaleddine  
**MENA CITY LAWYERS**  
Mohammad Joumaa  
**PWC LEBANON**

Georges Jureidini  
**COSERV SARL -  
PANALPINA AGENTS**  
Elie Kachouh  
**ELC TRANSPORT SERVICES SAL**  
Georges Kadige  
**KADIGE & KADIGE LAW FIRM**  
Michel Kadige  
**KADIGE & KADIGE LAW FIRM**  
Raydan Kakoun  
**BADRI AND SALIM EL  
MEOUCHI LAW FIRM,  
MEMBER OF INTERLEGES**  
Tatiana Kehdy  
**BAROUDI & ASSOCIATES**  
Najib Khattar  
**KHATTAR ASSOCIATES**  
Abdo Maatouk  
**SMAYRA LAW OFFICE**  
Georges Mallat  
**HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM**  
Nabil Mallat  
**HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM**  
Aline Matta  
**TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH  
LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)**  
Rachad Medawar  
**OBEID & MEDAWAR LAW FIRM**  
Mirvat Moustapha  
**MENA CITY LAWYERS**  
Andre Nader  
**NADER LAW OFFICE**  
Rana Nader  
**NADER LAW OFFICE**  
Toufic Nehme  
**LAW OFFICES OF  
TOUCIF NEHME**  
Rana Osman  
**MENA CITY LAWYERS**  
Nehman Rhayem  
**ELECTRICITÉ DU LIBAN**  
Mireille Richa  
**TYAN & ZGHEIB LAW FIRM**  
Jihan Rizk  
**KHATTAR ASSOCIATES**  
Jihad Rizkallah  
**BADRI AND SALIM EL  
MEOUCHI LAW FIRM,  
MEMBER OF INTERLEGES**  
Mustafa Saadeh  
**TYAN & ZGHEIB LAW FIRM**  
Christelle Sahr  
**TYAN & ZGHEIB LAW FIRM**  
Nisrine Mary Salhab  
**HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM**  
Rached Sarkis  
**CONSULTANT**  
Mona Steir  
**HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM**  
Rami Smayra  
**SMAYRA LAW OFFICE**  
Nady Tyan  
**TYAN & ZGHEIB LAW FIRM**  
Alaa Zeineddine  
**EMEA LEGAL COUNSEL**  
**LESOTHO**  
ARCHIPLAN STUDIO  
BIDVEST PANALPINA LOGISTICS

KHATLELI TOMANE MOTEANE  
(KTM) ARCHITECTS  
MASERU MUNICIPAL COUNCIL  
Emile du Toit  
EY  
Motselisi Khiba  
HARLEY & MORRIS  
Mannette Khotle  
COMPUSCAN LESOTHO  
Makali Lephola  
LESOTHO REVENUE AUTHORITY  
Qhalehang Letsika  
MEI & MEI ATTORNEYS INC.  
Mateboho Lithakanyane  
QUANTUM CONSULTANTS  
Monica Louro  
WEBBER NEWDIGATE  
Veronica Matiea  
HIGH COURT  
John Mclean  
COMPUSCAN LESOTHO  
Renate Mholo  
EY  
Denis Molyneaux  
WEBBER NEWDIGATE  
Tseliso Monaphathi  
HIGH COURT  
Ntlatlapa Mosae  
SELLO-MAFATLE ATTORNEYS  
Molope Mothebu  
LESOTHO REVENUE AUTHORITY  
Daan Roberts  
WEBBER NEWDIGATE  
Duduzile Seamatha  
PWC  
Tiisetso Sello-Mafatle  
SELLO-MAFATLE ATTORNEYS  
Lindive Sephomolo  
ASSOCIATION OF LESOTHO  
EMPLOYERS AND BUSINESS  
Starford Sharite  
HIGH COURT  
Hennie Smit  
PWC SOUTH AFRICA  
Mooresi Tau Thabane  
Marorisang Thekiso  
PWC  
Phoka Thene  
LETSENQ DIAMONDS  
Mark Frederick Webber  
HARLEY & MORRIS  
Dieter Winkler  
COMPUSCAN LESOTHO  
**LIBERIA**  
Arthur Abdulai  
EXPRESS HANDLING SERVICES  
Kofi Abedu-Bentsi  
BAKER TILLY LIBERIA  
Adebayo M. Adeyemi  
TSC ENGINEERING  
AND CONSTRUCTION  
CONSULTANTS, INC.  
Rajesh Angepat  
BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS  
Patrick Bono  
FRONTIER LOGISTICS INC  
Henry N. Brunson  
FEDEX  
William Buku  
LIBERIA REVENUE AUTHORITY

F. Augustus Caesar Jr.  
**CAESAR ARCHITECTS, INC.**  
Eva-Mae Campbell  
**CAESAR ARCHITECTS, INC.**  
Preston Chea Doe  
**THELMA LAW & ASSOCIATES**  
Clarence Cooper  
**FRONTIER LOGISTICS INC**  
Henry Reed Cooper  
**COOPER & TOGBAH  
LAW OFFICE**  
John Davis  
**LIBERIA BANK FOR  
DEVELOPMENT AND  
INVESTMENT**  
Morris Davis  
**KEMP & ASSOCIATES**  
Frank Musah Dean  
**DEAN & ASSOCIATES**  
Samuel Dennis Jr.  
**SEB ELECTRICAL TEAM**  
Moses Dolo  
**LIBERIA ELECTRICITY  
CORPORATION**  
Emmanuel Enders  
**SEB ELECTRICAL TEAM**  
Francis Folleh  
**BRO'S ELECTRIC  
AND CONSTRUCTION  
ASSOCIATES (BECCA)**  
George Fonderson  
**BAKER TILLY LIBERIA**  
Christine Sonpon Freeman  
**COOPER & TOGBAH  
LAW OFFICE**  
Arthur W.B. Fumbah  
**BAKER TILLY LIBERIA**  
Lucia Gbala  
**HERITAGE PARTNER &  
ASSOCIATES, INC.**  
Deweh Gray  
**FEMALE LAWYERS  
ASSOCIATION OF LIBERIA**  
Ernest Hughes  
**LIBERIA ELECTRICITY  
CORPORATION**  
Ruth Jappah  
**JSGB & ASSOCIATES  
LEGAL CONSULTANTS**  
Cyril Jones  
**JONES & JONES**  
Abu Kamara  
**LIBERIA BUSINESS REGISTRY**  
Momolu G. Kanda Kai  
**CONGLOE AND  
ASSOCIATES INC.**  
Sophie Kayemba Mutebi  
PWC  
Boakai Kollie  
**ALLIANCE CONSULTING  
ENGINEERS, PLANNERS  
& SURVEYORS INC.**  
Jonah Soe Kotee  
**ASSOCIATION OF LIBERIAN  
HUMAN RESOURCE  
PROFESSIONALS (ALHRP)**  
Kwaiwa Kotee  
**ASSOCIATION OF LIBERIAN  
HUMAN RESOURCE  
PROFESSIONALS (ALHRP)**  
Cooper Kruah  
**HENRIES LAW FIRM**

Bob Weetol Livingstone  
**UNITED METHODIST  
UNIVERSITY**  
Jura Lynch  
**ATTORNEY-AT-LAW**  
Nim'ne E. Mombo  
**PKF INTERNATIONAL**  
Brenda Brewer Moore  
**ASSOCIATION OF LIBERIAN  
HUMAN RESOURCE  
PROFESSIONALS (ALHRP)**  
Klade Neufville  
**ASSOCIATION OF LIBERIAN  
HUMAN RESOURCE  
PROFESSIONALS (ALHRP)**  
Ndubuisi Nwabudike  
**SANNOH & PARTNERS**  
James Nyenpan  
**LEGAL WATCH**  
Bill Nyumah  
**BRO'S ELECTRIC  
AND CONSTRUCTION  
ASSOCIATES (BECCA)**  
Manzuer A. Raji  
**AFSAT CORPORATION**  
Arabella Reed  
**PWC**  
Sylvester Rennie  
**LEGAL WATCH**  
Boye A. Robertson  
**MONROVIA CITY  
CORPORATIONS (MCC)**  
Philomena Bloh Sayeh  
**CENTER FOR NATIONAL  
DOCUMENTS & RECORDS  
(NATIONAL ARCHIVES)**  
Ocelia Scott  
**CENTRAL BANK OF LIBERIA**  
Yancy Seeboe  
**NATIONAL CUSTOM BROKERS  
ASSOCIATION OF LIBERIA**  
Steven D. Seimavula  
**PKF INTERNATIONAL**  
Roland D. Siaka  
**WEST CONSTRUCTION  
LIBERIA, INC.**  
Albert S. Sims  
**SHERMAN & SHERMAN**  
Robert Smallwood  
**PWC**  
Victor B. Smith  
**ALLIANCE CONSULTING  
ENGINEERS, PLANNERS  
& SURVEYORS INC.**  
Christopher C. Swen  
**BEST BRAINS TECHNOLOGY  
LIBERIA LTD. (BBTELL)**  
Darlington Y. Talery  
**LIBERIA REVENUE AUTHORITY**  
Ambrose Taplah  
**KEMP & ASSOCIATES**  
Justin Tengbeh  
**NATIONAL CUSTOM BROKERS  
ASSOCIATION OF LIBERIA**  
Benjamin M. Togbah  
**COOPER & TOGBAH  
LAW OFFICE**  
Nyenati Tuan  
**TUAN WREH LAW FIRM**  
J. Awia Vankan  
**HERITAGE PARTNER &  
ASSOCIATES, INC.**  
T. Negbalee Warner  
**HERITAGE PARTNER &  
ASSOCIATES, INC.**

**LIBYA**

**ALTERAZ ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS**

**GOLDEN PLANNER ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING**

**ZAHAF & PARTNERS LAW FIRM**

Ahmed Abdulaziz  
**MUKHTAR, KELBASH & ELGHARABLI**

Abdallah B. Al Hasse  
**CONSULTANCY HOUSE**

Abdudayem Elgharabli  
**MUKHTAR, KELBASH & ELGHARABLI**

Abdul Salam El-Marghani  
**PWC**

Husam Elnaili  
**PWC**

Mahmoud ELSheikh  
**UNIVERSITY OF TRIPOLI**

Ahmed Ghattour  
**AHMED GHATTOUR & CO.**

Paolo Greco  
**P&A LEGAL**

Jalal Hamad  
**CONSULTANCY HOUSE**

Hassan Hassaan  
**DLA MATOUK BASSIOUNY (PART OF DLA PIPER GROUP)**

Bahloul Kelbash  
**MUKHTAR, KELBASH & ELGHARABLI**

Belkasem Magid Obadi  
**GENERAL ELECTRICITY COMPANY OF LIBYA (GECOL)**

Ibrahim Maher  
**DLA MATOUK BASSIOUNY (PART OF DLA PIPER GROUP)**

Mahmud Mukhtar  
**MUKHTAR, KELBASH & ELGHARABLI**

Ali Naser  
**LIBYAN CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER**

Abuejila Saif Annaser  
**SAIF ANNASER LAW OFFICE**

Muftah Saif Annaser  
**SAIF ANNASER LAW OFFICE**

Abdulkarim Tayeb  
**LIBYAN CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER**

Mazen Tumi  
**TUMI LAW FIRM**

**LITHUANIA**

Loreta Andziulyte  
**ECOVIS PROVENTUSLAW LAW FIRM**

Artūras Asakavičius  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Andrea August  
**AGENCY FOR INVESTMENTS AND COMPETITIVENESS**

Pavel Balbatunov

Lina Balbatunova

Rimgailė Baliūnaitė  
**NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR ENERGY CONTROL AND PRICES**

Petras Baltusevičius  
**DSV TRANSPORT UAB**

Jomilė Baranauskaitė  
**VALIUNAS ELLEX**

Donatas Baranauskas  
**VILNIUS MIESTO 14 - ASIS NOTARU BIURAS**

Kornelija Basijokienė  
**GLIMSTEDT**

Vilius Bernatonis  
**TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE**

Andrius Bogdanovičius  
**JSC CREDITINFO LIETUVA**

Ausra Brazauskienė  
**LAW FIRM ELLEX VALIUNAS IR PARTNERIAI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI**

Alina Burlakova  
**LAW FIRM ELLEX VALIUNAS IR PARTNERIAI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI**

Daiva Čekanavičienė  
**GLIMSTEDT**

Robertas Čiočys  
**LAW FIRM ELLEX VALIUNAS IR PARTNERIAI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI**

Justas Ciomanas  
**LITHUANIAN CHAMBER OF NOTARIES**

Giedre Daillidenaite  
**PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW**

Ignas Dargužas  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Aurelijus Daubaraitė  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Asta Daudaitė  
**MINISTRY OF ECONOMY**

Gintaras Daugela  
**BANK OF LITHUANIA**

Giedre Domkute  
**AAA LAW**

Artur Drapeko  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Dalia Foigt  
**COBALT LEGAL**

Reda Gabrilavičiūtė  
**MINISTRY OF JUSTICE**

Aida Ganusauskaitė  
**LAW FIRM ELLEX VALIUNAS IR PARTNERIAI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI**

Karolina Gasparke  
**BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW**

Yvonne Goldammer  
**BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW**

Živilė Golubėvė  
**NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR ENERGY CONTROL AND PRICES**

Joana Gramakovaitė  
**PWC LITHUANIA**

Dovile Greblkiene  
**VALIUNAS ELLEX**

Kostas Grigaitis  
**AAA LAW**

Skomantas Grigas  
**D. ZABIELA, M. RINDINAS AND S. GRIGAS LAW FIRM ZRG**

Arturas Gutauskas  
**PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW**

Frank Heemann  
**BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW**

Rokas Jankus  
**MOTIEKA & AUDZEVIČIUS**

Ieva Kairytė  
**PWC LITHUANIA**

Inga Karulaityte-Kvainauskiene  
**ECOVIS PROVENTUSLAW LAW FIRM**

Romualdas Kasperavičius  
**STATE ENTERPRISE CENTRE OF REGISTERS**

Milda Kaupeliénė  
**MINISTRY OF ECONOMY**

Jonas Kiauleikis  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Laura Kirilevičiūtė  
**MINISTRY OF ECONOMY**

Agnė Kiseliauskaitė  
**VALIUNAS ELLEX**

Augustas Klezys  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Tomas Kontautas  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Dalius Kontrimavicius  
**NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR ENERGY CONTROL AND PRICES**

Ieva Krivickaitė  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Gediminas Kuncevicius  
**INTERMODAL CONTAINER SERVICE**

Egidijus Kundelis  
**PWC LITHUANIA**

Lauras Lukosius  
**BALTIC FREIGHT SERVICES**

Odeta Maksvytė  
**PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW**

Linas Margevičius  
**LEGAL BUREAU OF LINAS MARGEVICIUS**

Vilius Martišius  
**METIDA LAW FIRM ZABOLIENE AND PARTNERS**

Laura Matukaitė  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Tautginas Mickevicius  
**MINISTRY OF JUSTICE**

Bronislovas Mikūta  
**STATE ENTERPRISE CENTRE OF REGISTERS**

Donata Montvydaitė  
**LAW FIRM ELLEX VALIUNAS IR PARTNERIAI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI**

Nerijus Nedzinskas  
**PWC LITHUANIA**

Michail Parchimovič  
**MOTIEKA & AUDZEVIČIUS**

Algirdas Pekšys  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Šarūnė Prankonytė  
**PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW**

Justina Rakauskaitė  
**GLIMSTEDT**

Marius Rindinas  
**D. ZABIELA, M. RINDINAS AND S. GRIGAS LAW FIRM ZRG**

Greta Roguckytė  
**TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE**

Vytautas Sabalys  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Ieva Kairytė  
**PWC LITHUANIA**

Svajone Saltauskienė  
**VILNIUS CITY 29TH NOTARY'S OFFICE**

Simona Šarkauskaitė  
**D. ZABIELA, M. RINDINAS AND S. GRIGAS LAW FIRM ZRG**

Arvydas Sedekerskis  
**LITHUANIAN ELECTRIC ENERGY ASSOCIATION**

Aušra Sičiūnienė  
**VILNIUS CITY MUNICIPALITY**

Donatas Šliora  
**TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE**

Agneska Stanulevic  
**PWC LITHUANIA**

Gintaré Stonienė  
**GINTARE**

Marius Sträckaitis  
**LITHUANIAN CHAMBER OF NOTARIES**

Ieva Taraliiene  
**STATE ENTERPRISE CENTRE OF REGISTERS**

Monika Tučiauskaitė  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Daiva Ušinskaitė-Filonovienė  
**TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE**

Vygantas Vaitkus  
**NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR ENERGY CONTROL AND PRICES**

Vilija Vaitkutė-Pavan  
**VALIUNAS ELLEX**

Adrijus Vegys  
**BANK OF LITHUANIA**

Agnietė Venckiene  
**LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS**

Darius Zabiela  
**D. ZABIELA, M. RINDINAS AND S. GRIGAS LAW FIRM ZRG**

Ernesta Žiogienė  
**PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW**

Povilas Žukauskas  
**LAW FIRM ELLEX VALIUNAS IR PARTNERIAI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI**

Audrius Žybėnas  
**GLIMSTEDT**

**LUXEMBOURG**

Tom Baumert  
**CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE GRAND-DUCHY OF LUXEMBOURG**

Louis Berns  
**ARENDT & MEDERNACH SA**

Sébastien Binard  
**ARENDT & MEDERNACH SA**

Eleonora Broman  
**LOYENS & LOEFF LUXEMBOURG SARL**

Christel Dumont  
**DENTONS**

Catherine Dupont  
**PWC LUXEMBOURG**

Gérard Eischen  
**CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE GRAND-DUCHY OF LUXEMBOURG**

Thomas Feider  
**ADMINISTRATION DE L'ENREGISTREMENT ET DES DOMAINES**

Margherita Gentili  
**DENTONS**

Peggy Goossens  
**PIERRE THIELEN AVOCATS**

Andreas Heinmann  
**GSK STOCKMANN + KOLLEGEN**

Véronique Hoffeld  
**LOYENS & LOEFF LUXEMBOURG SARL**

Chantal Keereman  
**BONN & SCHMITT**

François Kremer  
**ARENDT & MEDERNACH SA**

Paul Lanios  
**LAWYER**

Florence Lhyvernat  
**OPF PARTNERS**

Tom Loesch  
**LAW FIRM LOESCH**

Evelyne Lordong  
**ARENDT & MEDERNACH SA**

Laurent Lucius  
**CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE GRAND-DUCHY OF LUXEMBOURG**

Hawa Mahamoud  
**GSK STOCKMANN + KOLLEGEN**

Jeannot Medinger  
**CREOS LUXEMBOURG SA**

Philipp Metzschke  
**ARENDT & MEDERNACH SA**

Marco Peters  
**CREOS LUXEMBOURG SA**

Wim Piot  
**PWC LUXEMBOURG**

Elisa Ragazzoni  
**PAUL WURTH GEPROLUX SA**

Judith Rajimakers  
**LOYENS & LOEFF LUXEMBOURG SARL**

Jean-Luc Schaus  
**DECKER BRAUN AVOCATS**

Roger Schintgen  
**PAUL WURTH GEPROLUX SA**

Phillipe Schmit  
**ARENDT & MEDERNACH SA**

Alex Schmitt  
**BONN & SCHMITT**

Valerio Scollo  
**GSK STOCKMANN + KOLLEGEN**

**MACEDONIA, FYR**

Igor Aleksandrovski  
**APOSTOLSKA & ALEKSANDROVSKI**

Ljubinka Andonovska  
**CENTRAL REGISTRY OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA**

Natasha Andreeva  
**NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA**

Zlatko Antevski  
**LAWYERS ANTEVSKI**

Dina Apostolova  
**EMIL MIFTARI LAW OFFICE**

Dina Apostolovska  
**EMIL MIFTARI LAW OFFICE**

Maja Atanasova <i>GEORGI DIMITROV ATTORNEYS</i>	Vojdan Monevski <i>MONEVSKI LAW FIRM</i>	Ivana Velkovska <i>PWC MACEDONIA</i>	Corinne Holy Rakotonaina <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &amp; LEGAL MADAGASCAR - PWC MADAGASCAR</i>	Parson Harivel Razafindrainibe <i>ETUDE RAZAFINDRAINIBE / RAVOAJAHANAHARY</i>
Dragan Blažev <i>TIMELPROJECT ENGINEERING</i>	Svetlana Neceva <i>LAW OFFICE PEPELJUGOSKI</i>	Tome Velkovski <i>AAG - ANALYSIS AND ADVISORY GROUP</i>	Hery Rakotonindrainy <i>OFFICE DE REGULATION ÉLECTRICITÉ (ORE)</i>	Lisinaina Razafindrakoto <i>BUREAU DE LIAISON SGS</i>
Vladimir Bocevski <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>	Ilija Nedelkoski <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>	Sladjana Zafirova <i>TIVA-AS D.O.O.E.L. - VALANDOVO</i>	Fidèle Armand Rakotonirina <i>CABINET MAZARS FIVOARANA</i>	Olivier Ribot <i>LEXEL JURIDIQUE &amp; FISCAL</i>
Jela Bosković Ognjanoska <i>LAWELL ATTORNEYS</i>	Elena Nikodinovska <i>EMIL MIFTARI LAW OFFICE</i>	Marina Nikoloska <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>	Harotsilavo Rakotoson <i>SMR &amp; HR ASSOCIATES SA</i>	Louis Sagot <i>CABINET D'AVOCAT LOUIS SAGOT</i>
Ljupco Cvetkovski <i>DDK ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Dragisa Zlatkovski <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>			
Dragan Dameski <i>DDK ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Martin Odzaklieski <i>MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS</i>			<b>MALAWI</b>
Irene Dimitrievikj <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>	Bojana Paneva <i>LAW FIRM TRPENOSKI</i>			Everson Bandawe <i>ALLIANCE FREIGHT SERVICES LIMITED</i>
Elena Dimova <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>	Aleksandar Penovski <i>LAW FIRM TRPENOSKI</i>			Andrew Chimpololo <i>UNIVERSITY OF MALAWI (POLYTECHNIC COLLEGE)</i>
Ana Georgievska <i>DIMA FORWARDERS</i>	Ana Pepeljugska <i>LAW OFFICE PEPELJUGOSKI</i>			Runcain Chimwala <i>CONTINENTAL FREIGHT AGENCY LIMITED</i>
Dimche Georgievski <i>DIMA FORWARDERS</i>	Valentin Pepeljugska <i>LAW OFFICE PEPELJUGOSKI</i>			Richard Chinawa <i>OCEAN AIR FREIGHT SERVICES</i>
Bojan Gerovski <i>IKRP ROKAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Iva Petrovska <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>			Ricky Chingota <i>SAVJANI &amp; CO.</i>
Katarina Ginoska <i>GEORGI DIMITROV ATTORNEYS</i>	Andrea Popovski <i>CENTRAL REGISTRY OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA</i>			Maryann Chitseko <i>EY</i>
Marijana Gjoreska <i>CENTRAL REGISTRY OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA</i>	Sonja Risteska <i>ANALYTICA MK</i>			Gautoni D. Kainja <i>KAINJA &amp; DZONZI</i>
Angelina Gogusevska <i>TITANIA DOOEL – SKOPJE</i>	Ljubica Ruben <i>MENS LEGIS LAW FIRM</i>			Innocent Kalua <i>D&amp;A ATTORNEYS</i>
Verica Hadzi Vasileva-Markovska <i>AAG - ANALYSIS AND ADVISORY GROUP</i>	Sasho Saltirovski <i>EVN MACEDONIA</i>			Griffin Kamanga <i>SPINE CARGO CO.</i>
Ana Hadzieva-Angelovska <i>DDK ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Radovan Sanclic <i>LAW FIRM TRPENOSKI</i>			Cyprian Kambili
Aleksandar Ickovski	Lidija Sarafimova-Danevska <i>NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA</i>			Dannie J. Kamwaza <i>KAMWAZA DESIGN PARTNERSHIP</i>
Maja Jakimovska <i>THE LAW OFFICE OF MAJA JAKIMOVSKA</i>	Simonida Shosholceva-Giannisakis <i>IKRP ROKAS &amp; PARTNERS</i>			Frank Edgar Kapanda <i>SUPREME COURT OF APPEAL</i>
Aneta Jovanoska Trajanovska <i>LAWYERS ANTEVSKI</i>	Dijino Skrijelj <i>VICTORIA SPED D.O.O. SKOPJE</i>			Alfred Kaponda <i>ESCOM</i>
Emilija Kelesoska Sholjakovska <i>DDK ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Sonja Stojcevska <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>			Mavbuto Kasote <i>KAMWAZA DESIGN PARTNERSHIP</i>
Risto Kitev <i>MEPOS OPERATIVA LTD.</i>	Blagoj Stojevski <i>EVN MACEDONIA</i>			Alfred Majamanda <i>MBENDERA &amp; KHONO ASSOCIATES</i>
Zlatko T. Kolevski <i>KOLEVSKI LAW OFFICE</i>	Ana Stojilovska <i>ANALYTICA MK</i>			James Masumbu <i>TEMBENU, MASUMBU &amp; CO.</i>
Stanko Korunoski <i>CENTRAL REGISTRY OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA</i>	Dragica Tasevska <i>NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA</i>			Noel Misano <i>SAVJANI &amp; CO.</i>
Vladimir Kostoski <i>APOSTOLSKA &amp; ALEKSANDROVSKI</i>	Paul Tobin <i>PWC BULGARIA</i>			Vyamala Aggril Moyo <i>PWC MALAWI</i>
Andrea Lazarevska <i>GEORGI DIMITROV ATTORNEYS</i>	Elena Todorovska <i>LAWELL ATTORNEYS</i>			Misheck Msiska <i>EY</i>
Ivana Lekic <i>PWC MACEDONIA</i>	Toni Trajanov <i>MACEDONIAN CREDIT BUREAU AD SKOPJE</i>			Arthur Alick Msowoya <i>WILSON &amp; MORGAN</i>
Georgi Markov <i>PWC MACEDONIA</i>	Dragan Trajkovski <i>ELTEK</i>			Charles Mvula <i>DUMA ELECTRICS LTD. - CONTROL SYSTEMS AND ENERGY MANAGEMENT</i>
Emil Miftari <i>EMIL MIFTARI LAW OFFICE</i>	Svetlana Trendova <i>APOSTOLSKA &amp; ALEKSANDROVSKI</i>			Matthews Mwadzangati <i>BLANTYRE CITY COUNCIL</i>
Vlatko Mihailov <i>EMIL MIFTARI LAW OFFICE</i>	Stefan Trost <i>EVN MACEDONIA</i>			Mtchuka Mwale <i>NICHOLLS &amp; BROOKES</i>
Petra Mihajlovska <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>	Natasha Trpenoska <i>Trenchevska</i>			Patricia Mwase <i>CREDIT DATA CREDIT REFERENCE BUREAU LTD.</i>
Oliver Mirchevski <i>EVN MACEDONIA</i>	LAW FIRM TRPENOSKI			Yusuf Nthenda <i>CHIDOTHE, CHIDOTHE &amp; COMPANY</i>
Irena Mitkovska <i>LAWYERS ANTEVSKI</i>	Slavce Trpeski <i>AGENCY FOR REAL ESTATE CADASTRE</i>			Grant Nyirongo <i>ELEMECH DESIGNS</i>
Biljana Mladenovska Dimitrova <i>LAWYERS ANTEVSKI</i>	Vladimir Vasilevski <i>BETASPED D.O.O.</i>			
Martin Monevski <i>MONEVSKI LAW FIRM</i>				

Reena Pursham	Puan Morhaniza Hamir <i>MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION</i>	Khairon Niza Md Akhir <i>COMPANIES COMMISSION</i>	Shu Shuen Tan <i>ZUL RAFIQUE &amp; PARTNERS, ADVOCATE &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Mohamed Munawar <i>MUNAVVAR &amp; ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>
Donns Shawa <i>RD CONSULTANTS</i>	Khalid Hashim <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Arvind Menon <i>RANHILL BERSEKUTU SDN BHD</i>	Raphael Tay <i>CHOOI &amp; COMPANY</i>	Ahmed Murad <i>MAZLAN &amp; MURAD LAW ASSOCIATES</i>
<b>MALAYSIA</b>				
BANK NEGARA MALAYSIA	Noor Hassan <i>MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION</i>	Hasliana Mohamad <i>TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD</i>	Hemant Thakore <i>RANHILL BERSEKUTU SDN BHD</i>	Ismail Nashid <i>MALDIVES CUSTOMS SERVICE</i>
BURSA MALAYSIA	Andrew Heng <i>FERRIER HODGSON MH SDN BHD</i>	Hanani Hayati Mohd Adhan <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Kenneth Tiong <i>THE ASSOCIATED CHINESE CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY OF MALAYSIA (ACCCIM)</i>	Hassan Raif Mohamed <i>MALDIVES MONETARY AUTHORITY</i>
INLAND REVENUE BOARD OF MALAYSIA	Abdul Hafiz Bin Hidzir <i>TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD</i>	Azmi Mohd Ali <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Vijayakumar Varutharaju <i>TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD</i>	Sulakshan Ramanan <i>EY</i>
PLATINUM TAX CONSULTANTS SDN BHD	Wong Hin Loong <i>AZMAN, DAVIDSON &amp; CO.</i>	Nik Mohd Fadhil Bin Salleh <i>FIRE AND RESCUE DEPARTMENT OF KUALA LUMPUR</i>	Siti Wahida Binti Sheikh <i>Hussien CREDIT BUREAU MALAYSIA SDN BHD</i>	Sumeera Rodrigo <i>EY</i>
WORLDGATE EXPRESS SERVICES SDN BHD	Ang Seng Hing <i>USHAMAS FORWARDING (M) SDN BHD</i>	Muzzamir Mohd Mydin <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Anne Wai Yee Wong <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>	Ahmed Saif <i>STELCO</i>
Aniza Abd Manaf <i>CREDIT BUREAU MALAYSIA SDN BHD</i>	Wei En Hoong <i>TAY &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Zuhaidi Mohd Shahari <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Wan Rosmawati Wan Ibrahim <i>MALAYAN BANKING BERHAD</i>	Shuaib M. Shah <i>SHAH, HUSSAIN &amp; CO. BARRISTERS &amp; ATTORNEYS</i>
Azura Abd Rahman <i>LAND &amp; MINES OFFICE</i>	Mohamad Ali Abdul Husain <i>NORTH PORT (MALAYSIA) BHD</i>	Rohaizad Mohd Yusof <i>NORTH PORT (MALAYSIA) BHD</i>	Chee Lin Wong <i>SKRINE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mizna Shareef <i>SHAH, HUSSAIN &amp; CO. BARRISTERS &amp; ATTORNEYS</i>
Nor Azimah Abdul Aziz <i>COMPANIES COMMISSION</i>	Dato' Dr. Sallehudin Ishak <i>LAND &amp; MINES OFFICE</i>	Mohd Yusoff Mokhzani Aris <i>MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION</i>	Keat Ching Wong <i>ZUL RAFIQUE &amp; PARTNERS, ADVOCATE &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Manal Shihab <i>SUOOD ANWAR &amp; CO. - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Idayu Abdul Rahim <i>COMPANIES COMMISSION</i>	Kumarakuru Jai <i>FERRIER HODGSON MH SDN BHD</i>	Marina Nathan <i>COMPANIES COMMISSION</i>	Yeoh Keng Yao <i>TITIMAS LOGISTICS SDN BHD</i>	Fathimath Sodha <i>MALDIVES CUSTOMS SERVICE</i>
Sonia Abraham <i>AZMAN, DAVIDSON &amp; CO.</i>	Abdul Azis Japri <i>TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD</i>	Swee Kee Ng <i>SHEARN DELAMORE &amp; CO.</i>	Khairani M. Yusof <i>MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION</i>	Sumudu Wijesundara <i>EY</i>
Wilfred Abraham <i>ZUL RAFIQUE &amp; PARTNERS, ADVOCATE &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Norhaiza Jemon <i>COMPANIES COMMISSION</i>	Noor Wahida Noordin <i>MINISTRY OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND INDUSTRY</i>		Lubna Zahir Hussain <i>THE LAW COMMISSION OF THE MALDIVES</i>
Wan Nur Ain Nabilah <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Manfred Tee Jeok Renn <i>SHEARN DELAMORE &amp; CO.</i>	Allison Ong <i>AZMAN, DAVIDSON &amp; CO.</i>		
Muhammad Arif Harinder <i>TITIMAS LOGISTICS SDN BHD</i>	Jamielyn Jimmy <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>	Hock An Ong <i>BDO</i>		
Nur Sajati Binti Asan <i>Mohamed AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Dato' Dr. Ir. Andy K. H. Seo <i>Komathi P. Karuppanan AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Tamilmaran A/L Palaniappan <i>NORTH PORT (MALAYSIA) BHD</i>	<b>MALDIVES</b>	
Wan Amir Azlan <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Amos Kok <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>	Kim Yong Pang <i>FERRIER HODGSON MH SDN BHD</i>	AVANT-GARDE LAWYERS	
Shamsuddin Bardan <i>MALAYSIAN EMPLOYERS FEDERATION</i>	LOH Kok Leong <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD LC &amp; COMPANY - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Tan Kar Peng <i>KAMARUDDIN WEE &amp; CO. ADVOCATES &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	BANK OF MALDIVES PLC	
Mohd Nawawi bin Hj Said Abdullah <i>TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD</i>	Christopher Lee <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>	Aurobindo Ponniah <i>PWC MALAYSIA</i>	Samih Adam <i>MALDIVES MONETARY AUTHORITY</i>	
Ahmad Fuad bin Md Kasim <i>TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD</i>	Cing-Cing Lee <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Aminah Bt Abd Rahman <i>MINISTRY OF URBAN WELLBEING, HOUSING AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT</i>	Junaina Ahmed <i>SHAH, HUSSAIN &amp; CO. BARRISTERS &amp; ATTORNEYS</i>	
Che Adnan Bin Mohamad <i>NADI CONSULT ERA SDN BHD</i>	Richard Lee <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>	Ahmad Ridha Abdul Razak <i>ABRAZ ARKITECTS</i>	Mohamed Ahsan <i>ARCHENG STUDIO</i>	
Tahir bin Mohd Deni <i>TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD</i>	Seen Yin Lee <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>	Muzawipah Bt Md. Salim <i>TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD</i>	Mohamed Shahdy Anwar <i>SUOOD ANWAR &amp; CO. - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	
YM Tengku Rohana Binti Tengku Nawawi <i>LAND &amp; MINES OFFICE</i>	Jeff Leong <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>	Sugumar Saminathan <i>MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION</i>	Jatindra Bhatray <i>PWC MALDIVES</i>	
KC Chan <i>FREIGHT TRANSPORT NETWORK SDN BHD</i>	Alex Lian <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>	Victor Saw Seng Kee <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS ADVISORY SERVICES SDN BHD</i>	Asma Chan-Rahim <i>SHAH, HUSSAIN &amp; CO. BARRISTERS &amp; ATTORNEYS</i>	
Hong Yun Chang <i>TAY &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Koon Huan Lim <i>SKRINE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Zamzuri Selamat <i>SYARIKAT BEKALAN AIR SELANGOR SDN BHD (SYABAS)</i>	Fazleena Fakir <i>MALDIVES MONETARY AUTHORITY</i>	
Lee Cheng Keat <i>PERUNDING MEKTRIK SDN BHD</i>	San Peen Lim <i>PWC MALAYSIA</i>	Fiona Sequera <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>	Aishath Haifa <i>SHAH, HUSSAIN &amp; CO. BARRISTERS &amp; ATTORNEYS</i>	
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>	Lim Litt <i>FERRIER HODGSON MH SDN BHD</i>	Jagdev Singh <i>PWC MALAYSIA</i>	Abdul Rasheed Ibrahim <i>CUSTOMS SERVICE</i>	
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>	Kin Sin Low <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>	Adeline Thor Sue Lyn <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD LC &amp; COMPANY - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Ishan Ibrahim <i>ASIA FORWARDING PVT. LTD.</i>	
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>	Ahmad Lutfi Abdull Mutalip <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Muhendaran Suppiah <i>MUHENDARAN SRI</i>	Yameen Ibrahim <i>SUOOD ANWAR &amp; CO. - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Ir. Bashir Ahamed Maideen <i>NADI CONSULT ERA SDN BHD</i>	Esther Tan <i>ZUL RAFIQUE &amp; PARTNERS, ADVOCATE &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Savithri Karunaratne <i>EY</i>	
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>	John Matthew <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>	Prasanta Misra <i>PWC MALDIVES</i>	Prasanta Misra <i>PWC MALDIVES</i>	
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				
Jack Chor <i>CHRISTOPHER LEE &amp; CO.</i>				
Melinda Marie D'Angelus <i>AZMI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>				
Ruzaida Daud <i>ENERGY COMMISSION</i>				
Indra Gandhi <i>INDRA GANDHI &amp; CO.</i>				
Eric Chin <i>CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD</i>				
Jacky Choi <i>JEFF LEONG, POON &amp; WONG</i>				</

Celestin Maiga <b>SYTRAM</b>	Katia Cachia <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Avelino R. Gimao Jr. <b>MARSHALL ISLANDS SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION</b>	Mohamed Salem Mah <b>TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE NOUAKCHOTT</b>	Satyajit Bundhoo <b>BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL CHAMBERS</b>
Bérenger Y. Meuke <b>JURIFIS CONSULT</b>	Simon Camilleri <b>CREDITINFO</b>	Richard W. Hamlin <b>OKNEY &amp; HAMLIN</b>	Moustapha Maouloud <b>GUICHET UNIQUE MAURITANIA</b>	Adele Catherine <b>ENSAFRICA (MAURITIUS)</b>
Claudia Randrianavory <b>JOHN W. FFOOKS &amp; CO.</b>	Joseph Caruana <b>MALTA FINANCIAL SERVICES AUTHORITY (MFSA)</b>	Don Hess <b>COLLEGE OF THE MARSHALL ISLANDS</b>	Bah Elbar M'beirk <b>CHAMBRE COMMERCIALE AUPRÈS DE LA COUR D'APPEL DE NOUAKCHOTT</b>	Bernard Chan Sing <b>MAURITIUS NETWORK SERVICES LTD.</b>
Bourema Sagara <b>JURIFIS CONSULT</b>	Laragh Cassar <b>CAMILLERI CASSAR ADVOCATES</b>	Jerry Kramer <b>PACIFIC INTERNATIONAL, INC.</b>	Abdou M'Bodj	D.P. Chinien <b>REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES AND BUSINESSES, CORPORATE AND BUSINESS REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT</b>
Alassane T. Sangaré <b>NOTARY</b>	Kyle DeBattista <b>CAMILLERI PREZIOSI</b>	Lani Milne <b>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY - MARSHALL ISLANDS</b>	Ould Med Yahya <b>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOMAINES ET DU PATRIMOINE DE L'ÉTAT</b>	Stephanie Chong Mei Lin Ah Tow <b>MCB GROUP LIMITED</b>
Oumar Sanogo <b>DIRECTION DE L'INSPECTION DU TRAVAIL</b>	David Felice <b>ARCHITECTURE PROJECT</b>	Philip A. Okney <b>LAW OFFICE OF PHILIP A. OKNEY</b>	Mazar Mohamed Mahmoud Hmettou	Jenifer Chung <b>PWC MAURITIUS</b>
Djibril Semega <b>CABINET SEAG CONSEIL</b>	Neville Gatt <b>PWC MALTA</b>	Steve Philip <b>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</b>	Ahmed Salem Mohamed Vall <b>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS</b>	Jessen Coolen <b>MCB GROUP LIMITED</b>
Mamadou Moustapha Sow <b>CABINET SOW &amp; ASSOCIÉS</b>	Steve Gingell <b>PWC MALTA</b>	Dennis James Reeder <b>REEDER &amp; SIMPSON</b>	Layti Ndiaye <b>SOGECO MAURITANIA</b>	Asmaa Coowar <b>PWC MAURITIUS</b>
Boubacar Thiam <b>ASSOCIATION PROFESSIONNELLE DES BANQUES ET ÉTABLISSEMENT FINANCIERS DU MALI</b>	Sandro Grech <b>SG MALTA LIMITED - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</b>	Michael Slinger <b>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</b>	Mine Ould Abdoullah <b>CABINET D'AVOCAT OULD ABDOULLAH</b>	Amritraj Dassyne <b>CHAMBERS OF NOTARIES OF MAURITIUS</b>
Abdoulaye Touré <b>CELLULE TECHNIQUE DES REFORMES ET DU CLIMAT DES AFFAIRES</b>	Karl Grech Orr <b>GANADO ADVOCATES</b>	David M. Strauss <b>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</b>	Ishagh Ould Ahmed Miské <b>CABINET ISHAGH MISKE</b>	Veda Dawoonaauth <b>EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (MAURITIUS)</b>
Moctar Toure <b>COMMISSION DE REGULATION DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DE L'EAU</b>	Roberta Gulic Hammett <b>PWC MALTA</b>	Kurt Hyzler <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Moustapha Ould Bilal <b>TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE NOUAKCHOTT</b>	Martine de Fleuriot de la Colinière <b>ENSAFRICA (MAURITIUS)</b>
Imirane A. Touré <b>DIRECTION NATIONALE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'HABITAT</b>	Consuelo Marzi <b>GANADO ADVOCATES</b>	Michael Misud <b>IDENTITY MALTA AGENCY</b>	M'Hamed Ould Bouboult <b>MINISTÈRE DES AFFAIRES ÉCONOMIQUES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT</b>	A. Delbar <b>CUSTOMS HOUSE BROKERS ASSOCIATION</b>
Lasseni Touré <b>ETUDE GAOUSSOU HAIDARA</b>	Henri Mizzi <b>CAMILLERI PREZIOSI</b>	Jemal Abde Nasser Ahmed <b>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES</b>	Abdellahi Ould Charrouck <b>ATELIER ARCHITECTURE ET DESIGN</b>	Carolyn Desvaux de Marigny <b>ENSAFRICA (MAURITIUS)</b>
Alassane Traoré <b>ICON SARL</b>	John Paris <b>CREDITINFO</b>	Kane Aly <b>GUICHET UNIQUE MAURITANIA</b>	Hassena Ould Ely <b>PORT AUTONOME DE NOUAKCHOTT</b>	Shalinee Deepaul-Halkhoree <b>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</b>
<b>MALTA</b>	Jonathan Scerri <b>ENEMALTA PLC</b>	Jude Schembri <b>PWC MALTA</b>	Ahmed Ould Radhi <b>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</b>	Amil Emandin <b>ASSOCIATION PROFESSIONNELLE DES TRANSITAIRES</b>
<b>CENTRAL BANK OF MALTA</b>	Ian Stafrace <b>IAN STAFRACE LEGAL</b>	Ian Stafrace <b>MALTA ENTERPRISE</b>	Dieng Adama Boubou <b>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</b>	Yannick Fok <b>EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (MAURITIUS)</b>
Shawn Agius <b>INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT</b>	Pierre Theuma <b>MALTA ENTERPRISE</b>	Dimitris Tsoukalas <b>W.J. PARNIS ENGLAND</b>	Mohamed Marouf Bousbe <b>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</b>	J. Gilbert Gnany <b>MCB GROUP LIMITED</b>
Matthew Attard <b>GANADO ADVOCATES</b>	Andrei Vella <b>CAMILLERI PREZIOSI</b>	Luca Vella <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Moulaye Ahmed Boussabou <b>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</b>	Tilotma Gobin Jhurry <b>BANK OF MAURITIUS</b>
Nicole Attard <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Simone Vella Lenicker <b>ARCHITECTURE PROJECT</b>	Quentin Zahra <b>EUROFREIGHT</b>	Mohamed Cheikh Abdallah AFACOR - AUDIT FINANCE ASSISTANCE COMPTABLE ORGANISATION SARL	Chavi Gonpot <b>BLC CHAMBERS</b>
Mark Attard Montalto <b>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</b>	Andrei Vella <b>CAMILLERI PREZIOSI</b>	Andrew J. Zammit <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Brahim Ebety <b>Moulaye El Hassen Kamara SOCERE LAMBERT SOME MAURITANIE (SLSM)</b>	Yandraduth Googoolye <b>BANK OF MAURITIUS</b>
Anthony Azzopardi <b>DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL AND EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS</b>	Luca Vella <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Fadel Elaoune <b>MINISTÈRE DES AFFAIRES ÉCONOMIQUES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT</b>	Mohamed Yarguett <b>MINISTÈRE DU PÉTROLE, DE L'ÉNERGIE ET DES MINES</b>	Moorari Gujadur <b>MADUN GUJADHUR CHAMBERS</b>
Kevan Azzopardi <b>MALTA FINANCIAL SERVICES AUTHORITY (MFSA)</b>	Simone Vella Lenicker <b>ARCHITECTURE PROJECT</b>	Boumiya Hamoud <b>CHEIKHANY JULES LAW OFFICE</b>	Sophie Teffahi <b>PORT AUTONOME DE NOUAKCHOTT</b>	Gopal Gupta <b>VELOGIC LTD.</b>
Leonard Bonello <b>GANADO ADVOCATES</b>	Quentin Zahra <b>EUROFREIGHT</b>	Oumar Sada Kelly <b>ASSURIM CONSULTING</b>	Mohamed Yarguett <b>MINISTÈRE DU PÉTROLE, DE L'ÉNERGIE ET DES MINES</b>	Arvin Halkhoree <b>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</b>
Amanda Bonci <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Andrew J. Zammit <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Mohamed Koum Maloum BETEM INGENIERIES DE L'ÉNERGIE ET DE L'EAU	Keshav Beeharry <b>MCB GROUP LIMITED</b>	Arzeenah Hassunally <b>PWC MAURITIUS</b>
Christopher Borg <b>ENEMALTA PLC</b>	<b>MARSHALL ISLANDS</b>	Mohamed Lemine O/Bah <b>PACIFIC INTERNATIONAL, INC.</b>	Khoushwant Bheem Singh <b>NOTARY</b>	Rubishwur Hemoo <b>MINISTRY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND OUTER ISLANDS</b>
Kris Borg <b>DR. KRIS BORG &amp; ASSOCIATES - ADVOCATES</b>	<b>BANK OF MARSHALL ISLANDS</b>	Raquel De Leon <b>MARSHALL ISLANDS SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION</b>	Valerie Bisasur <b>BLA CHAMBERS</b>	Nooreena Hosany <b>MINISTRY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND OUTER ISLANDS</b>
Mario Raymond Borg <b>INLAND REVENUE DÉPARTEMENT</b>	<b>MARSHALLS ENERGY COMPANY</b>	Kenneth Gideon <b>PII SHIPPING</b>	Urmila Boolell <b>BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL CHAMBERS</b>	Deoyani Hurrynag <b>REGISTRAR GENERAL</b>
Martina Borg Steven <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Helkena Anni <b>MARSHALL ISLANDS REGISTRY</b>			Navin Jowaheer <b>WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY</b>
Karl Briffa <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Kenneth Barden <b>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</b>			
Josianne Brimmer <b>FENECH &amp; FENECH ADVOCATES</b>	Tune Carlos <b>PACIFIC INTERNATIONAL, INC.</b>			
Joseph Buhagiar <b>MALTA ENTERPRISE</b>	Raquel De Leon <b>MARSHALL ISLANDS SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION</b>			
Jeanelle Cachia <b>GVZH ADVOCATES</b>	Kenneth Gideon <b>PII SHIPPING</b>			

Nishi Kichenin <i>JURISTAX</i>	Steven Sarangavany Sengayen <i>STEVEN &amp; ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Rodrigo Barros <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Carlos De la Garza <i>MARTINEZ, ALGABA, DE HARO, CURIEL Y GALVAN-DUQUE SC</i>	Ricardo Gonzalez Orta <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>
Thierry Koenig <i>ENSAFRICA (MAURITIUS)</i>	Bhavish Sewraz <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>	Hernando Becerra de Cima <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLE SC</i>	Jorge de Presno <i>BASHAM, RINGE Y CORREA, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Antonio Gonzalez Rodriguez <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>
Anthony Leung Shing <i>PWC MAURITIUS</i>	Deviantee Sobarun <i>REGISTRAR GENERAL</i>	Luis Horacio Bortoni Vazquez <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO (SEDUE)</i>	Franco Alberto Del Valle Prado <i>DEL VALLE, PRADO Y FERNANDEZ, SC</i>	Jose Gonzalez-Elizondo <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>
Jayram Luximon <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>	Menzie Sunglee <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>	SECRETARIAT FOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ECOLOGY	Tracy Delgadillo Miranda <i>J.A. TREVINO ABOGADOS SA DE CV</i>	Alvaro Gonzalez-Schiaffino <i>BASHAM, RINGE Y CORREA, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>
Malcolm Moller <i>APPLEBY</i>	Dhanesswurnath Vikash <i>THAKOOR</i>	Andrea Burgos Harfush <i>CREEL, GARCIA-CUELLAR, AIZA Y ENRIQUEZ SC</i>	Julia Diaz <i>MULTITRASLADOS</i>	James Graham <i>3CT</i>
Bala Moonsamy <i>CMT INTERNATIONAL LTD.</i>	Muhammad R.C. Uteem <i>UTEEM CHAMBERS</i>	Gilberto Calderon <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Carlos Diez Garcia <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLE SC</i>	Sergio Granados <i>PWC MEXICO</i>
Ramdas Mootanah <i>ARCHITECTURE &amp; DESIGN LTD.</i>	Amy Vaulbert de Chantilly <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>	Adrian Martin Camacho Fernandez <i>COMISION FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD</i>	Felipe Dominguez <i>MOORE STEPHENS OROZCO MEDINA SC</i>	Adrian Guarneros <i>SERVICIO DE ADMINISTRACIÓN TRIBUTARIA</i>
Manisha Mootoocurpen <i>BANYMANDHUB</i>	Nashenta Vuddamalay Zindel <i>ENSAFRICA (MAURITIUS)</i>	Enrique Camarena Dominguez <i>MAQUEO, DE GARAY Y AGUILAR SC</i>	Dolores Enriquez <i>PWC MEXICO</i>	Antonio Guerra Gomez <i>GUERRA GOMEZ, ABOGADOS</i>
Ashwin Mudhoo <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>	Bobby Yerkiah <i>KPMG</i>	Samuel Campos Leal <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLE SC</i>	David Escalante <i>KPMG CARDENAS DOSAL SC</i>	Mario Alberto Gutierrez <i>PWC MEXICO</i>
Loganayan Munian <i>ARTISCO INTERNATIONAL</i>	<b>MEXICO</b>			
Khemila Narraido <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>	COMISIÓN REGULADORA DE ENERGIA	Carlos Cano <i>PWC MEXICO</i>	Isaura Escobar <i>DELEGACIÓN DE AZCAPOTZALCO</i>	Yves Hayaux-du-Tilly <i>NADER, HAYAUX &amp; GOEBEL</i>
Nicholas Ng <i>EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (MAURITIUS)</i>	INSTITUTO REGISTRAL Y CATASTRAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN	Jaime Cantú <i>MULTITRASLADOS</i>	Miguel Espitia <i>BUFETE INTERNACIONAL</i>	F. Abimael Hernández <i>SOLÓRZANO, CARVAJAL, GONZÁLEZ Y PÉREZ-CORREA SC</i>
Daniel Ng Cheong Hin <i>MAURITIUS CARGO COMMUNITY SERVICES LTD.</i>	NOTARÍA PÚBLICA 62	Tomás Cantú González <i>CANTU ESTRADA Y MARTINEZ (CEM ABOGADOS)</i>	Miguel Espitia <i>GLOBAL BUSINESS UNIVERSITY</i>	Roberto Hernandez Garcia <i>COMAD SC</i>
Stéphanie Odayen <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>	SECRETARIAT OF LABOUR AND SOCIAL WELFARE	Carlos Carbalj <i>J.A. TREVINO ABOGADOS SA DE CV</i>	Alfredo Falconer Orbe <i>COMISION NACIONAL BANCARIA Y DE VALORES</i>	David Hoyos de la Garza <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>
Nawsheen Oozeer <i>BOARD OF INVESTMENT (MAURITIUS)</i>	Andrea Melissa Alanís Ochoa <i>PENA MOURET ABOGADOS SC</i>	Fernando Antonio Cardenas Gonzalez <i>NOTARY PUBLIC #44</i>	Lucía Fernández <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLE SC</i>	Ricardo Ibarra <i>SERVICIO DE ADMINISTRACIÓN TRIBUTARIA</i>
Cristelle Parsooramen <i>BANYMANDHUB</i>	Jaime Alejandro Gutiérrez Vidal <i>INSTITUTO FEDERAL DE ESPECIALISTAS DE CONCURSOS MERCANTILES</i>	Lisa Carral F. <i>SANTAMARINA Y STETA SC</i>	Victor Fernandez Sanchez <i>COMISIÓN FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD</i>	Ivan Imperial <i>KPMG CARDENAS DOSAL SC</i>
Elsie Rasolohery Pascal <i>ENSAFRICA (MAURITIUS)</i>	Federico Alvarez Gonzalez <i>WHITE &amp; CASE SC</i>	Pedro Carreon <i>PWC MEXICO</i>	Pedro Flores <i>MOORE STEPHENS OROZCO MEDINA SC</i>	María Concepción Isoard Viesca <i>RITCH MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, SC</i>
Hasanal Pirbhai <i>MADUN GUJADHUR CHAMBERS</i>	Miguel Andrade Gómez <i>ASOCIACIÓN MEXICANA DE AGENTES</i>	Lesly Arellano <i>RIVADENEYRA, TREVINO &amp; DE CAMPO SC</i>	Julio Flores Luna <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Jorge Jiménez <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD MÉXICO - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>
Varuna Punchoo <i>NOTARY</i>	Francisco Samuel Arias González <i>NOTARY PUBLIC 28</i>	Francisco Javier Arias Vazque <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Valente Fuentes Tello <i>MAQUEO, DE GARAY Y AGUILAR SC</i>	Diana Juárez Martínez <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>
Iqbal Rajahbalee <i>BLC CHAMBERS</i>	José Alejandro Astorga Hilbert <i>INSTITUTO FEDERAL DE ESPECIALISTAS DE CONCURSOS MERCANTILES</i>	Ernesto Chávez <i>INTERCONTINENTAL NETWORK SERVICES</i>	Manuel Galicia <i>GALICIA ABOGADOS SC</i>	Adrian Kohlmann <i>KOVA INNOVACIÓN</i>
Lilowtee Rajmun <i>MAURITIUS EXPORT ASSOCIATION</i>	Rodrigo Avendano <i>WHITE &amp; CASE SC</i>	Carlos A. Chávez Pereda <i>J.A. TREVINO ABOGADOS SA DE CV</i>	Maria Antonieta Galvan Carriles <i>TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DE JUSTICIA DEL LA CIUDAD DE MÉXICO</i>	Alfredo Kupfer Dominguez <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>
Vivekanand Ramburun <i>CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT</i>	Maria Paulina Avendaño Verduzco <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Rodrigo Conesa <i>RITCH MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, SC</i>	Mauricio Gamboa <i>TRANSUNIÓN DE MEXICO SA SIC</i>	Ricardo León-Santacruz <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>
Dhanraj Ramdin <i>MAURITIUS REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>	Bruno Cordova <i>PWC MEXICO</i>	Bruno Cordova <i>PWC MEXICO</i>	Brenda García <i>PWC MEXICO</i>	Luis Leyva Martinez <i>COMISION NACIONAL BANCARIA Y DE VALORES</i>
Jayshen Rammah <i>MERITS CONSULTING ENGINEERS LTD.</i>	Elsa Regina Ayala Gómez <i>SECRETARIA DE ECONOMÍA, DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE NORMATIVIDAD MERCANTIL (RUG)</i>	Samantha Cornu Sandoval <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO (SEDUE) - SECRETARIAT FOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ECOLOGY</i>	Eduardo Garcia Fraschetto <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Carlos López Juárez <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>
Annabelle Ribet <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>	Alberto Avila <i>FEDERATION OF INTERAMERICAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY (FICI)</i>	Nancy Mireya Coronado Perez <i>DIRECCION DE PROTECCION CIVIL (CIVIL PROTECTION AGENCY)</i>	Paulo Gabriel Garza González <i>PODER JUDICIAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	Alfonso López Lajud <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>
Nicolas Richard <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>	Alfonso Azcona Anaya <i>ZITYMERKA SA DE CV</i>	Jose Covarrubias-Azuela <i>SOLÓRZANO, CARVAJAL, GONZÁLEZ Y PÉREZ-CORREA SC</i>	Arturo Garza-Matar <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Rogelio Lopez-Velarde <i>LOPEZ VELARDE, HEFTYE Y SORIA SC</i>
André Robert <i>BLC ROBERT &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Vanessa Barajas <i>HUB LOGISTICS MEXICO</i>	Juan Paulo Cruz de la Curz <i>PODER JUDICIAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	Jose Alberto Gonzalez <i>KPMG CARDENAS DOSAL SC</i>	Jose Antonio Lozada Capetillo <i>TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DE JUSTICIA DEL LA CIUDAD DE MÉXICO</i>
Purmessur Sarwansingh <i>MINISTRY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND OUTER ISLANDS</i>	Jorge Barrero Stahl <i>SANTAMARINA Y STETA SC</i>	David Cuellar <i>PWC MEXICO</i>	Pamela Gonzalez <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLE SC</i>	
Hurrydeo Seebchurrun <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>				
Geetanjali Seewoosurrun <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>				
Gilbert Seeyave <i>BDO FINANCIAL SERVICES LTD.</i>				

Arturo Lozano Guerrero <i>CANTU ESTRADA Y MARTINEZ (CEM ABOGADOS)</i>	Maria José Ortiz Haro <i>GALICIA ABOGADOS SC</i>	Eduardo Reyes Diaz-Leal <i>BUFETE INTERNACIONAL</i>	José Santiago <i>GRUPO IMEV, SA DE CV</i>	Jerry Kramer <i>PACIFIC INTERNATIONAL, INC.</i>
Gerardo Maltos <i>GRUPO SYS</i>	Gilberto Osio <i>SOLÓRZOANO, CARVAJAL, GONZÁLEZ Y PÉREZ-CORREA SC</i>	Héctor Reyes Freaner <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Monica Schiaffino Pérez <i>LITTLER MEXICO</i>	Simon Lihpai <i>DIVISION OF FORESTRY &amp; MARINE CONSERVATION</i>
Gabriel Manrique <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD MÉXICO - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Cynthia Irene Osio Sanchez <i>COMAD SC</i>	Hector Francisco Reyes Lopez <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO (SEDUE) SECRETARIAT FOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ECOLOGY</i>	Arturo Suárez <i>KPMG CARDENAS DOSAL SC</i>	Ronald Pangelinan <i>A&amp;P ENTERPRISES INC.</i>
Esteban Maqueo Barnetche <i>MAQUEO, DE GARAY Y AGUILAR SC</i>	Raúl Paniahua <i>NADER, HAYAUX &amp; GOEBEL</i>	Claudia Ríos <i>PWC MEXICO</i>	Juan Francisco Torres Landa <i>RUFFO HOGAN LOVELLS</i>	Salomon Salmon <i>MICRONESIAN LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION</i>
José Antonio Marquez González <i>NOTARY PUBLIC #2</i>	Victor Paz <i>CAF-SIAC CONTADORES</i>	Fernando Rivadeneyra <i>RIVADENEYRA, TREVINO &amp; DE CAMPO SC</i>	Jaime A. Tovar Villegas <i>NOTARÍA 116</i>	Nora Sigrah <i>FSM DEVELOPMENT BANK</i>
Renato Martines Quezada <i>EC LEGAL</i>	Gabriel Peña Mouret <i>PENA MOURET ABOGADOS SC</i>	Jose Ignacio Rivero <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLO SC</i>	Jaime A. Treviño <i>J.A. TREVINO ABOGADOS</i>	Joseph Vitt <i>POHNPEI TRANSFER &amp; STORAGE, INC.</i>
Carlos Manuel Martinez <i>PWC MEXICO</i>	Sergio Peña Zazueta <i>TRANSUNION DE MÉXICO SA SIC</i>	Beatriz Robles <i>CAF-SIAC CONTADORES</i>	Roberto Treviño Ramos <i>PODER JUDICIAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	<b>MOLDOVA</b>
Gerardo Martínez <i>RIVADENEYRA, TREVINO &amp; DE CAMPO SC</i>	Arturo Perdomo <i>GALICIA ABOGADOS SC</i>	Alba Rodriguez Chamorro <i>COMISION NACIONAL BANCARIA Y DE VALORES</i>	Alfonso Vargas <i>RITCH MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, SC</i>	<i>NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR FINANCIAL MARKETS</i>
Victor Hugo Núñez Martínez <i>MEXICAN TAX ADMINISTRATION SERVICE (SAT)</i>	Eduardo Perez Armenta <i>MOORE STEPHENS OROCZO MEDINA SC</i>	Irazu Rodríguez Garza <i>COMISIÓN FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD</i>	Layla Vargas Mugá <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>	<i>UNION FENOSA</i>
Juan Sergio Alfonso Martínez González <i>COMISION FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD</i>	Luis Uriel Pérez Delgado <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Cecilia Rojas <i>GALICIA ABOGADOS SC</i>	Camillo Vázquez Lopez <i>SANTAMARINA Y STETA SC</i>	Alexei Bosneaga <i>MINISTRY OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION</i>
Ana Lilia Martínez Valdés <i>SECRETARÍA DE ECONOMÍA - MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	José Jacinto Pérez Silva <i>OPERADORA TERRA REGIA SA</i>	Maria Eugenia Romero Torres <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Denise Carla Vazquez Wallach <i>SECRETARIA DE ECONOMÍA, DIRECCION GENERAL DE NORMATIVIDAD MERCANTIL (RUG)</i>	Andrei Caciurencu <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Mariana Maxinez <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Pablo Perezalonzo Egúia <i>RITCH MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, SC</i>	Raúl Sahagun <i>BUFETE INTERNACIONAL</i>	José Luis Vega Garrido <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Olga Ceban <i>NATIONAL UNION OF JUDICIAL OFFICERS</i>
Jose Alberto Miguel Perez <i>SECRETARÍA DE ECONOMÍA, DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE NORMATIVIDAD MERCANTIL (RUG)</i>	Fernando Pérez-Corra <i>SOLÓRZOANO, CARVAJAL, GONZÁLEZ Y PÉREZ-CORREA SC</i>	Juan Pablo Sainz <i>NADER, HAYAUX &amp; GOEBEL</i>	Rodrigo Vejar Félix <i>MAQUEO, DE GARAY Y AGUILAR SC</i>	Roman Ceban <i>MOLDOVA BUSINESS PEOPLE ASSOCIATION</i>
Angel Humberto Montiel Trujano <i>TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DE JUSTICIA DEL LA CIUDAD DE MÉXICO</i>	Guillermo Piecarchic <i>PMC LAW SC</i>	José Roberto Salinas <i>SALINAS PADILLA, ROMAN ÁVILA &amp; ASSOCIATES, LEGAL FIRM SC</i>	Luis Miguel Velasco Lizárraga <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Valeriu Cernei <i>GLADEI &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Ignacio R. Morales Lechuga <i>NOTARÍA 116</i>	José Piecarchic Cohen <i>PMC LAW SC</i>	Jorge Sanchez <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Enrique Lavin Velez <i>MEXICAN TAX ADMINISTRATION SERVICE (SAT)</i>	Valentina Chiper <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>
Daniel Moran <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLO SC</i>	Federico Pineda <i>HUB LOGISTICS MEXICO</i>	Federico Pineda <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Lucero Sánchez de la Concha <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Ludmila Ciubaciuc <i>PWC MOLDOVA</i>
Guillermo Moran <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Ricardo Platt <i>FEDERATION OF INTERAMERICAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY (FIIC)</i>	Luis Sanchez Galguera <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Adrian Roberto Villagomez Aleman <i>COMAD SC</i>	Daniel Cobzac <i>COBZAC &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Emilio Rodriguez Muniz <i>MEXICAN TAX ADMINISTRATION SERVICE (SAT)</i>	Gizeh Polo <i>CREEL, GARCÍA-CUÉLLAR, AIZA Y ENRIQUEZ SC</i>	Rodrigo Sanchez Mejorada <i>SÁNCHEZ-MEJORADA, VELASCO Y RIBE</i>	Claudio Villavicencio <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLO SC</i>	Andrei Cigan <i>MOLDOVA BUSINESS PEOPLE ASSOCIATION</i>
Eloy F. Muñoz M. <i>IMEYEL SOLUCIONES INTEGRALES SA DE CV</i>	Víctor Manuel Ponce Rosendo <i>JUNTA LOCAL DE CONCILIACIÓN Y ARBITRAJE DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	Karla Sanchez Reyes <i>SECRETARÍA DE ECONOMÍA, DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE NORMATIVIDAD MERCANTIL (RUG)</i>	Juan Pablo Villa Vizcaya <i>CREEL, GARCÍA-CUÉLLAR, AIZA Y ENRIQUEZ SC</i>	Anastasia Derevanchina <i>PWC MOLDOVA</i>
Juan Nájera <i>NDA NAJERA DANIEL &amp; ASOCS</i>	David Eugenio Puente-Tostado <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Rodrigo Sanchez Mejorada <i>SÁNCHEZ-MEJORADA, VELASCO Y RIBE</i>	Antonio Zuazua <i>KPMG CARDENAS DOSAL SC</i>	Silviu Foca <i>BIROUL DE CREDIT - MOLDOVA</i>
Jorge Narváez Hasfura <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Eric Quiles Gutierrez <i>WHITE &amp; CASE SC</i>	Alberto Sanchez Rodriguez <i>DIRECCION DE PROTECCION CIVIL (CIVIL PROTECTION AGENCY)</i>	Paula Villavicencio <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLO SC</i>	Iulia Furtuna <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>
Javier Luis Navarro Velasco <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Olga Cristina Ramirez Acosta <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO (SEDUE) - SECRETARIAT FOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ECOLOGY</i>	Cristina Sanchez Webber <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Juan Pablo Villa Vizcaya <i>CREEL, GARCÍA-CUÉLLAR, AIZA Y ENRIQUEZ SC</i>	Ana Galus <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>
Mario Neave <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Jorge Francisco Ramirez <i>Mazlum MEXICAN TAX ADMINISTRATION SERVICE (SAT)</i>	Carlos Sanchez Mejorada y Velasco <i>SÁNCHEZ-MEJORADA Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Marcelino Actouka <i>POHNPEI UTILITIES CORPORATION</i>	Vasile Gherasim <i>POPA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
Pablo Nosti Herrera <i>MIRANDA &amp; ESTAVILLO SC</i>	Manuel Ramos <i>BUFETTE DE OBRAS, SERVICIOS Y SUMINISTROS SA DE CV</i>	Cristina Sánchez-Urtiz <i>MIRANDA &amp; ESTAVILLO SC</i>	Nixon Anson <i>POHNPEI UTILITIES CORPORATION</i>	Roger Gladei <i>GLADEI &amp; PARTNERS</i>
	Carolina Ramos Balsteros <i>MIRANDA &amp; ESTAVILLO SC</i>	Quetzalcoatl Sandoval Mata <i>VELEZ Y SANDOVAL SC</i>	Rusty Carlos <i>POHNPEI STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY</i>	Victoria Gonceanuc <i>COBZAC &amp; PARTNERS</i>
	Brindisi Reyes Delgado <i>RITCH MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, SC</i>	Ricardo Sandoval Ortega <i>COMISIÓN FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD</i>	Lam Dang <i>CONGRESS OF THE FSM</i>	Silvia Grosu <i>PWC MOLDOVA</i>
		María Esther Sandoval Salgado <i>INSTITUTO FEDERAL DE ESPECIALISTAS DE CONCURSOS MERCANTILES</i>	Erick Divinagracia <i>RAMP &amp; MIDA LAW FIRM</i>	Andrian Guzun <i>SCHOENHERR</i>
			Mark Heath <i>MICRONESIA REGISTRATION ADVISORS, INC.</i>	Patricia Handraman <i>GLADEI &amp; PARTNERS</i>
				Ana Iovu <i>COBZAC &amp; PARTNERS</i>
				Vladimir Iurkovski <i>SCHOENHERR</i>
				Roman Ivanov <i>VERNON DAVID &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
				Cristina Martin <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>

Angela Matcov <i>AGENCY OF LAND RELATIONS AND CADASTRE STATE ENTERPRISE "CADASTRU"</i>	Nandinchimeg Banzragch <i>TSOGT &amp; NANDIN</i>	Sebastian Rosholt <i>MINTER ELLISON</i>	Radoš-Lolo Kastratović <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA</i>	Redouane Assakhen <i>CENTRE RÉGIONAL D'INVESTISSEMENT</i>
Mihaela Mitroi <i>PWC ROMANIA</i>	Delgermaa Bataa <i>NEW LOGISTICS LLC</i>	Scott Schlink <i>MINTER ELLISON</i>	Milica Komar <i>VUKMIROVIC MISIC LAW FIRM</i>	Adnane Bahija <i>DAR ALKHIBRA</i>
Alexandru Munteanu <i>PWC MOLODOVA</i>	Uranzaya Batdorj <i>TSETS LLP</i>	Bayarjargal Sodbaatar <i>ANAND ADVOCATES LAW FIRM</i>	Ana Kršmanović <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Fassi-Fihri Bassamat <i>CABINET BASSAMAT &amp; ASSOCIEE</i>
Serghei Munteanu <i>MINISTRY OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION</i>	Dashzeveg Bat-Erdene <i>DELOTTE</i>	Tumurkhuu Sukbaatar <i>UBEDN</i>	Nikola Martinović <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA</i>	Toufiq Benali <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'AMÉNAGEMENT DU TERRITOIRE</i>
Oxana Novicov <i>NATIONAL UNION OF JUDICIAL OFFICERS</i>	Azzaya Batsuuri <i>ELECTROSETIPROJECT, LLC</i>	Ganbayar Surmaajav <i>THE BANK OF MONGOLIA</i>	Milica Milanović <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CONSULTING D.O.O.</i>	Meriem Benis <i>HAJJI &amp; ASSOCIES</i>
Igor Dobobescu <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Solongo Battulga <i>GTS ADVOCATES LLP</i>	Ganbagana Toqtokhbayar <i>DELOTTE</i>	Ivan Nikolic <i>TOTAL SPED</i>	Badria Benjelloun <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'AMÉNAGEMENT DU TERRITOIRE</i>
Aelita Orhei <i>GLADEI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Altandulga Bazzarragchaa <i>UBEDN</i>	Enkhtuvshin Tsetsegmaa <i>ANDERSON AND ANDERSON LLP</i>	Novica Pesic <i>PESIC &amp; BAJCETA</i>	Karim Benkirane <i>ESPACE TRANSIT</i>
Vladimir Palamarcu <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>	Jacob Blacklock <i>LEHMAN, LEE &amp; XU</i>	Ganzaya Tsogtgerel <i>ANDERSON AND ANDERSON LLP</i>	Zorica Pesic Bajceta <i>PESIC &amp; BAJCETA</i>	Mohamed Benkirane <i>ESPACE TRANSIT</i>
Bodiu Pantelimon <i>SRL RECONCIVIL</i>	Bayar Budragchaa <i>ELC LLP ADVOCATES</i>	Dudgen Turbat <i>THE BANK OF MONGOLIA</i>	Luka Popović <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Meriem Benzakour <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MORSAD</i>
Carolina Parcalab <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	David C. Buxbaum <i>ANDERSON AND ANDERSON LLP</i>	Munkh-Orgil Tuvaandorj <i>ANAND ADVOCATES LAW FIRM</i>	Dragana Radević <i>CEED</i>	Oussama Boualam <i>LYDEC</i>
Iulian Pașătii <i>GLADEI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Tsendmaa Choijamts <i>PWC MONGOLIA</i>	Alicia Yen <i>HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC</i>	Radovan Radulovic <i>MONTENOMAX</i>	Ali Bougrine <i>UGGC LAW FIRM</i>
Maya Pircalab <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Khatanbat Dashdarjaa <i>ARLEX CONSULTING SERVICES</i>	Khosbayar Zorig <i>ARLEX CONSULTING SERVICES</i>	Ivan Radulović <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Bouhaib Chahi <i>AGENCE NATIONALE DE LA CONSERVATION FONCIÈRE DU CADASTRE ET DE LA CARTOGRAPHIE (ANCFCC)</i>
Vladimir Plehov	Zoljargal Dashnyam <i>GTS ADVOCATES LLP</i>	<b>MONTENEGRON</b>	Dražen Raičković <i>FINANCEPLUS</i>	Abdallah Chater <i>CENTRE RÉGIONAL D'INVESTISSEMENT</i>
Dumitru Popescu <i>PWC MOLODOVA</i>	Otgontuya Davaanyam <i>ANDERSON AND ANDERSON LLP</i>	<i>CEDIS</i>	Branka Rajićic <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CONSULTING D.O.O.</i>	Anas Chorfi <i>AGENCE MAROCAINE POUR LE DEVELOPPEMENT DE L'ENTREPRISE (AMDE)</i>
Irina Popușoi <i>COBZAC &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Tseveensuren Davkharbayar <i>MONGOL ADVOCATES</i>	<i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Sead Salkovic <i>FINANCEPLUS</i>	Mahat Chraibi <i>PWC ADVISORY MAROC</i>
Irina Rotari <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Onchinsuren Dendevsambuu <i>DELOTTE</i>	Anja Abramovic <i>PRELEVĆ LAW FIRM</i>	Slaven Šćepanović <i>SCEPANOVIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Marie-Amélia da Silva Marques <i>CHASSANY WATRELOT &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>
Elena Sadovici <i>CUSTOMS SERVICE OF THE REPUBLIC OF MOLODOVA</i>	Elisabeth Ellis <i>MINTER ELLISON</i>	Jelena Bogetic <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Marko Tintor <i>CENTRAL BANK OF MONTENEGRON</i>	Driss Debbagh <i>KETTANI LAW FIRM</i>
Alexandru Savva	Gerel Enebish <i>LEHMAN, LEE &amp; XU</i>	Bojana Bošković <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Vera Vučelic <i>HARRISONS SOLICITORS</i>	Merieme Diouri <i>ETUDE DE NOTARIAT MODERNE</i>
Adrian Sorcean <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Sanjkhand Erdenebaatar <i>PWC MONGOLIA</i>	Bojan Božović <i>HARRISONS SOLICITORS</i>	Dragoljub Cibulić <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Mohssin El Makoudi <i>DAR ALKHIBRA</i>
Tatiana Stavinschi <i>PWC MOLODOVA</i>	Alimaa Erdenebat <i>HOGAN LOVELLS</i>	Dragan Dakic <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Saša Vujačić <i>LAW OFFICE VUJAČIĆ</i>	Hamid Errida <i>ACCOUNTHINK MAROC SARLAU</i>
Liviu Surdu <i>GLORINAL SRL</i>	Oyunbold Ganchimeg <i>THE BANK OF MONGOLIA</i>	Vladimir Dašić <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Jelena Vujišić <i>LAW OFFICE VUJIŠIĆ</i>	Kunal Fabiani <i>Safia Fassi-Fihri BFR ASSOCIÉS</i>
Lilia Tapu <i>PWC MOLODOVA</i>	Dulguna Gantumur <i>MINTER ELLISON</i>	Savo Djurović <i>ADRIATIC MARINAS D.O.O.</i>	Tatjana Vujosevic <i>MINISTRY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM</i>	Simon Guidecoq <i>HOUDAHABACHI - HB LAW FIRM LLP</i>
Cristina Tiscul-Diaconu <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Simon Guidecoq	Dragan Draca <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CONSULTING D.O.O.</i>	Lana Vukmirovic Misic <i>VUKMIROVIC MISIC LAW FIRM</i>	Kamal Habachi <i>BAKOUCHE &amp; HABACHI - HB LAW FIRM LLP</i>
Alexander Tuceac <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>	Dermot Kane <i>KANE TUNELLING LLC</i>	Veselin Dragičević <i>CHAMBER OF ECONOMY OF MONTENEGRON, SECTOR FOR ASSOCIATIONS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>	Sandra Zdravkovic <i>MONTECCO INC D.O.O.</i>	Amin Hajji <i>HAJJI &amp; ASSOCIES</i>
Alexander Turcan <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>	Undram Lhagvasuren <i>ANAND ADVOCATES LAW FIRM</i>	Fata Hodžić <i>LAW OFFICE VUJAČIĆ</i>	Djordje Zejak <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Zohra Hasnaoui <i>CABINET H-AVOCATS</i>
<b>MONGOLIA</b>	Azzaya Lkhachin <i>PWC MONGOLIA</i>	Sladana Dragović <i>NORMAL COMPANY</i>	Jelena Zivkovic <i>EUROFAST GLOBAL</i>	Ahmad Hussein <i>CABINET H-AVOCATS</i>
Amarmurun Amartuvshin <i>LEHMAN, LEE &amp; XU</i>	Amarjargal Lkhagvaa <i>LEHMAN, LEE &amp; XU</i>	Dragana Filipović <i>MINISTRY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM</i>	Samir Agoumi <i>DAR ALKHIBRA</i>	Bahya Ibn Khaldoun <i>UNIVERSITÉ M.V. SOUISSI RABAT, MAROC</i>
Odgerel Amgalan <i>MONLOGISTICS WORLDWIDE LLC</i>	Ganzorig Luvsan <i>UBEDN</i>	Mile Gujić <i>NORMAL COMPANY</i>	Ali Alamri <i>MOROCCAN CARGO PARTNER</i>	
Dunnaran Baasankhhuu <i>MINTER ELLISON</i>	Bayarmaan Manjav <i>MONGOLYN ALT (MAK) CORPORATION</i>	Danilo Gvozdenović <i>MINISTRY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM</i>	Aishah Alkaff <i>CREDITINFO MAROC</i>	
Munkhjargal Baashuu <i>GTS ADVOCATES LLP</i>	Christopher Melville <i>HOGAN LOVELLS</i>	Fata Hodžić <i>LAW OFFICE VUJAČIĆ</i>	Amina Ammor <i>CREDITINFO MAROC</i>	
Telenged Baast <i>MONLOGISTICS WORLDWIDE LLC</i>	Mend-Amar Narantsetseg <i>GTS ADVOCATES LLP</i>	Ana Jankov <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Maïlis Andrieu <i>CHASSANY WATRELOT &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	
Minjae Baek <i>ANDERSON AND ANDERSON LLP</i>	Tsogt Natsagdorj <i>TSOGT &amp; NANDIN</i>	Nada Jovanovic <i>CENTRAL BANK OF MONTENEGRON</i>		
Molor Bakhdal <i>TSETS LLP</i>	Enkhsetseg Nergui <i>ANAND ADVOCATES LAW FIRM</i>	Milica Jovicevic <i>MONTENOMAX</i>		
	Bayarsaikhan Nyamragchaa <i>TSAST CONSTRUCTION LLC</i>			

Younes Jalal <i>TRANSIT JALAL</i>	Henrique Castro Amaro <i>AMARO ARQUITECTOS E ASSOCIADOS LDA</i>	Zara Jamal <i>JLA</i>	Ricardo Veloso <i>PWC ANGOLA</i>	Hnin Thet Wai <i>ZICOLAW MYANMAR LTD.</i>
Nadia Kettani <i>KETTANI LAW FIRM</i>	Carolina Balate <i>PWC MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Adriano João <i>PWC MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Joaquim Vilanculos <i>FL&amp;A - FERNANDA LOPES &amp; ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS</i>	Lucy Wayne <i>LUCY WAYNE &amp; ASSOCIATES LIMITED</i>
Rita Kettani <i>KETTANI LAW FIRM</i>	Goncalo Barros Cardoso <i>GUILHERME DANIEL &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Katia Jussub <i>CM&amp;A - CARLOS MARTINES &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>		Htut Khaung Win <i>YANGON CITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE</i>
Yassir Khalil <i>YASSIR KHALIL STUDIO</i>	Ebrahim Bhikhá <i>PWC MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Gimina Langa <i>SAL &amp; CALDEIRA, ADVOGADOS, LDA</i>		Khin Phyu Win <i>MYANMAR GLOBAL LAW FIRM</i>
Abdelatif Laamrani <i>LAAMRANI LAW OFFICE</i>	Abubacar Calú <i>ELECTROVISAO LDA</i>	Rui Loforte <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>		Myo Win <i>VDB LOI</i>
Hakim Lahlou <i>LAHLOU-ZIOUI &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Eduardo Calú <i>SAL &amp; CALDEIRA, ADVOGADOS, LDA</i>	B. Longamane <i>FL&amp;A - FERNANDA LOPES &amp; ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS</i>		Zaw Win <i>YANGON CITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE</i>
Mhammed Lahlou <i>ETUDE DE NOTARIAT MODERNE</i>	Alexandra Carvalho Monjardino <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>	Fernanda Lopes <i>FL&amp;A - FERNANDA LOPES &amp; ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS</i>		Cho Cho Wynn <i>THILAWA SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE</i>
Zineb Laraqui <i>CABINET ZINEB LARAQUI</i>	Natéricio Chambule <i>MAPUTO CITY COURT (COMMERCIAL CHAMBER)</i>	Mara Lopes <i>HENRIQUES, ROCHA &amp; ASSOCIADOS (MOZAMBIQUE LEGAL CIRCLE ADVOGADOS)</i>		Kyaw Ye Tun <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>
Mohamed Amine Mahboub <i>ETUDE DE ME MAHBOUB</i>	Pedro Chilengue <i>MOTT MACDONALD PDNA MOÇAMBIQUE, LDA</i>	Eugénio Luis <i>BANCO DE MOÇAMBIQUE</i>		<b>NAMIBIA</b>
Amine Mahfoud <i>AMINE MAHFOD NOTAIRE</i>	Pedro Couto <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Duarte Marques da Cruz <i>MC&amp;A - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>		Gino Absai <i>KPMG ADVISORY SERVICES (NAMIBIA) PTY. LTD.</i>
Noureddine Marzouk <i>PWC ADVISORY MAROC</i>	Avelar da Silva <i>INTERTEK INTERNATIONAL LTD.</i>	Thera Daï <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>		Joos Agenbach <i>KOEP &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Adil Morsad <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MORSAD</i>	Thera Daï <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Stayleir Marroquim <i>MARROQUIM, NKUTUMULA, MACIA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>		Tiaan Bazuin <i>NAMIBIAN STOCK EXCHANGE</i>
Ahmed Morsad <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MORSAD</i>	Guilherme Daniel <i>GUILHERME DANIEL &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Carlos Martins <i>CM&amp;A - CARLOS MARTINES &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>		Adeline Beukes <i>STANDARD BANK NAMIBIA LIMITED</i>
Tayeb Mohamed Omar <i>AVOCAT AU BARREAU DE CASABLANCA</i>	Fabrícia de Almeida Henriques <i>HENRIQUES, ROCHA &amp; ASSOCIADOS (MOZAMBIQUE LEGAL CIRCLE ADVOGADOS)</i>	João Martins <i>PWC MOZAMBIQUE</i>		Daneale C. Beukes <i>ENGLING, STRITTER &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Hicham Oughza <i>DAR ALKHIBRA</i>	Arlinda de Lurdes Nhaquila <i>CONSERVATORIA DO REGISTO DAS ENTIDADES LEGAIS</i>	Tiago Martins <i>TRANSTEX GLOBAL LOGISTICS OPERATIONS PTY. LTD.</i>		Clifford Bezuidenhout <i>ENGLING, STRITTER &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Mohamed Oulkhour <i>CHASSANY WATRELOT &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Alferio Dgedge <i>FL&amp;A - FERNANDA LOPES &amp; ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS</i>	João Mayer Moreira <i>VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>		Benita Blume <i>H.D. BOSSAU &amp; CO.</i>
Abderrahim Outass <i>FONCTION LIBÉRALE</i>	Fulgêncio Dimande <i>MANICA FREIGHT SERVICES SARL</i>	Ana Berta Mazuze <i>HENRIQUES, ROCHA &amp; ASSOCIADOS (MOZAMBIQUE LEGAL CIRCLE ADVOGADOS)</i>		Hanno D. Bossau <i>H.D. BOSSAU &amp; CO.</i>
Mohamed Rifi <i>PWC ADVISORY MAROC</i>	Teresa Empis Falcão <i>VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Jean-Louis Neves Mandelli <i>SHEARMAN &amp; STERLING LLP</i>		Stephanie Busch <i>ENSAFRICA   NAMIBIA</i>
Morgane Saint-Jalmes	Ahmad Essak <i>PWC MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Ildio Nhamahango <i>BDO MOZAMBIQUE</i>		Andy Chase <i>STAUCH+PARTNERS ARCHITECTS</i>
Mehdi Salmouni-Zerhouni <i>SAALMOUNI-ZERHOUNI LAW FIRM</i>	Vanessa Fernandes <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Kekobad Patel <i>CONFEDERAÇÃO DAS ASSOCIAÇÕES ECONÔMICAS DE MOÇAMBIQUE (CTA)</i>		Esi Chase <i>ADVOCATE</i>
Farhat Smail <i>ADMINISTRATION DES DOUANES ET IMPOTS INDIRECTS</i>	Telmo Ferreira <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Diana Ramalho <i>SAL &amp; CALDEIRA, ADVOGADOS, LDA</i>		Dirk Hendrik Conradie <i>CONRADIE &amp; DAMASEB</i>
Rachid Tahri <i>ASSOCIATION DES FREIGHT FORWARDERS DU MAROC</i>	Arsénio Ricardo <i>ELECTROCUAMBA</i>	Christopher Tanner <i>FAO REPRESENTATION</i>		Myra Craven <i>ENS</i>
Rim Tazi <i>LPA-CGR AVOCATS</i>	Maria Fatima Fonseca <i>MAPUTO CITY COURT (COMMERCIAL CHAMBER)</i>	Acacio Tembe <i>MOTT MACDONALD PDNA MOÇAMBIQUE, LDA</i>		André Davids <i>MAERSK NAMIBIA PTY. LTD.</i>
Kenza Yamani <i>CHASSANY WATRELOT &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Pinto Fulane <i>BANCO DE MOÇAMBIQUE</i>	Constando Tevete <i>FL&amp;A - FERNANDA LOPES &amp; ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS</i>		Britt du Plessis <i>STANDARD BANK NAMIBIA</i>
Amine Zniber <i>ETUDE DE NOTAIRE ZNIBER</i>	Nipul K. Govan <i>HENRIQUES, ROCHA &amp; ASSOCIADOS (MOZAMBIQUE LEGAL CIRCLE ADVOGADOS)</i>	Liana Utxavo <i>MANICA FREIGHT SERVICES SARL</i>		Marcha Erni <i>TRANSUNION</i>
Meryem Zoubir <i>CHASSANY WATRELOT &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Jorge Graca <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Cesar Vamos Ver <i>SAL &amp; CALDEIRA, ADVOGADOS, LDA</i>		Johann Espag <i>CLARKE ARCHITECTS</i>
<b>MOZAMBIQUE</b>	Abdul Satar Hamid <i>BDO MOZAMBIQUE</i>			Ulrich Etzold <i>ETZOLD-DUENHAGE FIRM</i>
HPF ADVOGADOS				Stefan Hugo <i>PWC NAMIBIA</i>
Amina Abdala <i>TTA - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>				Frank Köplinger <i>KÖPPLINGER BOLTMAN</i>
Duarte Amaral da Cruz <i>MC&amp;A - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>				Norbert Liebich <i>TRANSWORLD CARGO PTY. LTD.</i>
Luis Antunes <i>LUFTEC – TÉCNICAS ELÉCTRICAS LDA</i>				Anneri Lück <i>PWC NAMIBIA</i>

Prisca Mandimika <i>MINISTRY OF LANDS AND RESETTLEMENT</i>	Usha Pandey <i>PRADHAN, GHIMIRE &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Marcel Kettenis <i>PWC NETHERLANDS</i>	<b>NEW ZEALAND</b>	Robert Muir <i>LAND INFORMATION NEW ZEALAND</i>
John Mandy <i>MMM CONSULTANCY</i>	Dev Raj Paudyal <i>UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND</i>	Edwin M.A.J. Kleefstra <i>STOLP+KAB ADVISEURS EN ACCOUNTANTS BV</i>	<i>RSM NEW ZEALAND (AUCKLAND)</i>	Ian Page <i>BRANZ</i>
Marie Mandy <i>MMM CONSULTANCY</i>	Devendra Pradhan <i>PRADHAN, GHIMIRE &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Christian Koedam <i>PWC NETHERLANDS</i>	<i>Mo Al Obaidi</i> <i>HESKETH HENRY LAWYERS</i>	Mihai Pascariu <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>
Tiago Martins <i>TRANSITEX GLOBAL LOGISTICS OPERATIONS PTY. LTD.</i>	Rajan Sharma <i>NEPAL FREIGHT FORWARDERS ASSOCIATION</i>	Gerard Koster <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	<i>Michael Brosnanah</i> <i>MINISTRY OF BUSINESS, INNOVATION &amp; EMPLOYMENT</i>	Jose Paul <i>AUCKLAND CITY COUNCIL</i>
Memory Mbai <i>KPMG ADVISORY SERVICES (NAMIBIA) PTY. LTD.</i>	P. L. Shrestha <i>EVERGREEN CARGO SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Thomas Kraan <i>STICHTING BUREAU KREDIET REGISTRATIE</i>	<i>Daniel Brunt</i> <i>NEW ZEALAND CUSTOMS SERVICE</i>	Marcus Playle <i>RUSSELL MCVEAGH</i>
Johan Nel <i>PWC NAMIBIA</i>	Rajeshwor Shrestha <i>SINHA VERMA LAW CONCERN</i>	Andrey Kvitowski <i>AKADIS BV</i>	<i>Paul Chambers</i> <i>ANDERSON CREAGH LAI LIMITED</i>	Silvana Schenone <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>
Tim Parkhouse <i>NAMIBIAN EMPLOYER'S FEDERATION</i>	Ramji Shrestha <i>PRADHAN, GHIMIRE &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Lucas Lustermans <i>EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND BV</i>	<i>Philip Coombe</i> <i>PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT LLP</i>	Kelvin Sue <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Frank Sauerbach <i>DEUTSCHE GESELLSCHAFT FÜR INTERNATIONALE ZUSAMMENARBEIT (GIZ)</i>	Suman Lal Shrestha <i>H.R. LOGISTIC PVT. LTD.</i>	Danique Meijer <i>HVK STEVENS LEGAL BV</i>	<i>Robyn Cox</i> <i>MINISTRY OF BUSINESS, INNOVATION &amp; EMPLOYMENT</i>	Andrew Tetzlaff <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Johny M. Smith <i>WALVIS BAY CORRIDOR GROUP</i>	Mahesh Kumar Thapa <i>SINHA VERMA LAW CONCERN</i>	Sharon Neven <i>PWC NETHERLANDS</i>	<i>George Culver</i> <i>PWC NEW ZEALAND</i>	Jennifer Tunna <i>LOWNDES</i>
Helmut Stolze <i>CONRADIE &amp; DAMASEB</i>		Matthias Noorderlander <i>AUTHORITY FOR CONSUMERS AND MARKETS</i>	<i>John Cuthbertson</i> <i>PWC NEW ZEALAND</i>	Ben Upton <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Axel Stritter <i>ENGLUNG, STRITTER &amp; PARTNERS</i>		Peter Plug <i>OFFICE OF ENERGY REGULATION</i>	<i>Matthew Davie</i> <i>BELL GULLY</i>	Simon Vannini
Erentia Tromp <i>INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS OF NAMIBIA</i>	Maarten Appels <i>VAN DOORNE NV</i>	Hugo Reumkens <i>VAN DOORNE NV</i>	<i>Laura Drake</i> <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mike Whale <i>LOWNDES</i>
Willem van Greunen <i>KÖPPLINGER BOLTMAN</i>	Ruud Berndsen <i>LIANDER</i>	Jan Willem Schenk <i>HVK STEVENS LEGAL BV</i>	<i>Igor Drinkovic</i> <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	<b>NICARAGUA</b>
Stefan van Zijl <i>KOEP &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Gert Jan Boeve <i>VAN BENTHEM &amp; KEULEN NV</i>	Rutger Schimmelpenninck <i>HOUTHOFF BURUMA</i>	<i>Ashton Dunn</i> <i>ASTECH ELECTRICAL LTD.</i>	Guillermo Abella <i>INTERMODAL   CMA CGM</i>
	Reint Bolhuis <i>AKD LAWYERS &amp; CIVIL LAW NOTARIES</i>	Jack Schrijver <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	<i>Jonathan Embling</i> <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	Samantha Aguilar <i>LATAMLEX NICARAGUA</i>
	Matthijs Bolkenstein <i>EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND BV</i>	Maaike Sips <i>PWC NETHERLANDS</i>	<i>Alexandra Flaus</i> <i>WEBB HENDERSON</i>	Yara Valesia Alemán Sequeira <i>ARIAS LAW</i>
Narayan Bajaj <i>NARAYAN BAJAJ &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Roland Brandsma <i>PWC NETHERLANDS</i>	Fedor Tanke <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	<i>Michael Garshore</i> <i>WEBB HENDERSON</i>	Bernardo Arauz <i>BAUTRANS &amp; LOGISTICS</i>
Jaya Raj Bhandari <i>NEPAL ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY</i>	Ate Bremmer <i>KENNEDY VAN DER LAAN</i>	Manon Ultee <i>PWC NETHERLANDS</i>	<i>Ian Gault</i> <i>BELL GULLY</i>	Humberto Argüello <i>CETREX</i>
Ankit Dhakal <i>DHAKAL &amp; GHIMIRE LAW OFFICES, PVT. LTD.</i>	Martin Brink <i>VAN BENTHEM &amp; KEULEN NV</i>	Kor Van Dijk <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	<i>Tony Gault</i> <i>PWC NEW ZEALAND</i>	Alfredo Artiles <i>KPMG</i>
Sarita Duwal <i>JKK AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Margriet de Boer <i>JUST LITIGATION ADVOCATUUR BV</i>	Jack Schrijver <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	<i>Syvane Ghanny</i> <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	Maria Alejandra Aubert <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>
Suraj Guragain <i>LA &amp; ASSOCIATES CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	Wyneke de Gelder <i>PWC NETHERLANDS</i>	Gert-Jan van Gijs <i>VAT LOGISTICS (OCEAN FREIGHT) BV</i>	<i>Lucy Harris</i> <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Juan Ramon Aviles Molina <i>LAWYER</i>
Janak Raj Joshi <i>MINISTRY OF LAND REFORM AND MANAGEMENT</i>	Taco de Lange <i>AKD LAWYERS &amp; CIVIL LAW NOTARIES</i>	Wies van Kesteren <i>DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE WESTBROEK</i>	<i>James Hawes</i> <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Soledad Balladares <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS</i>
Rabin K.C. <i>CORPORATE LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Rolef de Weij <i>HOUTHOFF BURUMA</i>	IJsbrand Van Straten <i>STIBBE</i>	<i>Matthew Kersey</i> <i>RUSSELL MCVEAGH</i>	Henrik Bang <i>EXPORTADORA ATLANTIC SA</i>
Shreedhar Kapali <i>SHANGRI-LA FREIGHT PVT. LTD.</i>	Marc Diepstraten <i>PWC NETHERLANDS</i>	Frédéric Verhoeven <i>HOUTHOFF BURUMA</i>	<i>Jeffrey Lai</i> <i>ANDERSON CREAGH LAI LIMITED</i>	Ana Carolina Baquero Urroz <i>LATIN ALLIANCE</i>
Jha Kaushlendra <i>JKK AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Menno Duin <i>VERENIGING VAN ROTTERDAMSE CARGADOORS</i>	Janine Verweij <i>OFFICE OF ENERGY REGULATION</i>	<i>Kate Lane</i> <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	Minerva Adriana Bellorín Rodríguez <i>PACHECO COTO</i>
Gourish K. Kharel <i>KTO INC.</i>	Sharon Edoo <i>EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND BV</i>	Reinout Vriesendorp <i>DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE WESTBROEK</i>	<i>Michael Langdon</i> <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	Flavio Andrés Berrios Zepeda <i>MULTICONULT &amp; CIA LTDA</i>
Amir Maharan <i>SAFE CONSULTING ARCHITECTS &amp; ENGINEERS PVT. LTD.</i>	Noël Ellens <i>FRUYTIER LAWYERS IN BUSINESS</i>	Floris-Jan Werners <i>VAN DOORNE NV</i>	<i>Alex MacDuff</i> <i>RUSSELL MCVEAGH</i>	Blanca Buitrago <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>
Ashok Man Kapali <i>SHANGRI-LA FREIGHT PVT. LTD.</i>	Arjan Enneman <i>EXPATAX BV</i>	Stephan Westera <i>LEXENCE</i>	<i>Annaliese McIntyre</i> <i>WEBB HENDERSON</i>	Edmundo Castillo <i>EXPERTIS CASTILLO Y FIALLOS</i>
Bijaya Mishra <i>PRADHAN, GHIMIRE &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Jan Hockx <i>LEXENCE</i>	Marcel Willems <i>FIELDFISHER NV</i>	<i>Andrew Minturn</i> <i>QUALTECH INTERNATIONAL LTD.</i>	Francisco Castro <i>PWC NICARAGUA</i>
Anjan Neupane <i>NEUPANE LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Mick Hurks <i>HOCKER ADVOCATEEN</i>	Bianco Witjes <i>LIANDER</i>	<i>Phillipa Muir</i> <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Brenda Darce <i>CETREX</i>
	Niels Huurdeman <i>HOUTHOFF BURUMA</i>	Bob Zonderwijk <i>VAN DOORNE NV</i>		Maricarmen Espinosa de Molina <i>MOLINA &amp; ASOCIADOS CENTRAL LAW</i>
				Ana Gabriel Espinoza Arias <i>ARIAS LAW</i>
				Maria Antonieta Fiallos <i>EXPERTIS CASTILLO Y FIALLOS</i>

Diana Fonseca <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Joe Henry Thompson <i>ESTUDIO JURÍDICO ADUANERO</i>	Habibou Kane Kadoure <i>AGENCE PROJEDIS AFRIQUE</i>	Mohammed K. Abdulsalam <i>GIRAS LTD.</i>	Blessing Ajunwo <i>ALLIANCE LAW FIRM</i>
Terencio Garcia Montenegro <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>	Diógenes Velásquez V. <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Bernar-Oliver Kouaovi <i>CABINET KOUAOVI</i>	Innocent Abiodye <i>NNENNA EJEKAM ASSOCIATES</i>	Ahmed Akanbi <i>AKANBI &amp; WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Maryeling Suyen Guevara Sequeira <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Gustavo Viales <i>ASOCIAACION NICARAGÜENSE DE AGENTES NAVIERAS</i>	Fati Kountche-Adjji <i>CABINET FATI KOUNTCHE</i>	Lemea Abina <i>STERLING PARTNERSHIP</i>	Azeez Akande <i>JACKSON, ETI &amp; EDU</i>
Federico Gurdian <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>	Diana Zelaya <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>	Zeinabou Labo Maiga <i>MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE</i>	Oluseyi Abiodun Akinwunmi <i>AKINWUNMI &amp; BUSAR LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Manuel Akinshola <i>JACOBS &amp; BIGAELS</i>
Eduardo Gutierrez <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Mario Zelaya <i>DGUERRERO INGS. SA</i>	Lambert Lainé <i>ETUDE DE MAÎTRE ACHIMI RILIWANOU</i>	Zainab Abolarin <i>CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED</i>	Iwlade Akintayo <i>KUSAMOTU &amp; KUSAMOTU</i>
Denisse Gutierrez Rayo <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>	<b>NIGER</b>	Aly Mamadou Ousmane <i>MINISTÈRE DU COMMERCE ET DE LA PROMOTION DU SECTEUR PRIVÉ</i>	Akinbiyi Abudu <i>EY</i>	Bukola Akinwonmi <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>
Gerardo Hernandez <i>CONSORTIUM LEGAL</i>	<i>BCEAO</i>	Sabiou Mamane Naissa <i>TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE NIAMEY</i>	Peter Adaji <i>CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION</i>	Jesuloba Akinyele <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>
Rodrigo Ibarra Rodney <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	<i>CREDITINFO VOLO</i>	Mamane Sani Manane <i>BUREAU D'ÉTUDES BALA &amp; HIMO</i>	Bashir H. Adamu <i>DESIGN PLUS</i>	Dafe Akpeneye <i>PWC NIGERIA</i>
Eduardo Lacayo <i>TRANSUNION</i>	<i>MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉNERGIE ET DU PETROLE</i>	Ali Moctar <i>CHAMBRE DES NOTAIRES DU NIGER</i>	Alawale Adebambo <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>	Folake Alabi <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>
Brenda Ninoska Martínez Aragón <i>CONSORTIUM LEGAL</i>	<i>VILLE DE NIAMEY</i>	Sadou Mounkaila <i>HASKÉ SOLAIRE</i>	Olufunmilayo Adebanojo <i>FIRST BANK OF NIGERIA PLC</i>	Temidayo Alade <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>
Jose Ivan Mejia Miranda <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>	Abdallah Abdoulati <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DES ETATS DE L'Afrique de l'Ouest</i>	Yayé Mounkaila <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS BALA &amp; HIMO</i>	Olakeye Adebiyi <i>WTS ADEBIYI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Ezinne Alajemba <i>AKANBI &amp; WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Xiomara Mena <i>CETREX</i>	Cyprien Abdoulaye <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Ali Hamidou Nafissatou <i>CELLULE DE PARTENARIAT PUBLIC PRIVÉ</i>	Olasupo Musa Adedokun <i>NATIONAL COLLATERAL REGISTRY</i>	Toyosi Alasi <i>BANWO &amp; IGHODALO</i>
Fernando Midence Mantilla <i>ALVARADO Y ASOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Daouda Adamou <i>OFFICE NOTARIAL AHD</i>	Ibrahim Mounouni <i>BUREAU D'ÉTUDES BALA &amp; HIMO</i>	Joseph Adegbite <i>NIGERIAN PORTS AUTHORITY</i>	Joke Aliu <i>ALUKO &amp; OYEBODE</i>
Soraya Montoya Herrera	Issoufou Adamou <i>NIGELEC</i>	Ali Hamidou Nafissatou <i>CELLULE DE PARTENARIAT PUBLIC PRIVÉ</i>	Kunle Adegbite <i>CANAAN SOLICITORS</i>	Al-Amin Aliyu <i>CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION</i>
Jeanethe Morales Núñez <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS</i>	Mohamadou Amadou <i>FIDUCIAIRE CONSEILS ET AUDIT</i>	Idrissa Tchernaka <i>SCPA LBTI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Bode Adegoke <i>BLOOMFIELD LAW PRACTICE</i>	Maimunat Aliyu <i>CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION</i>
Tania Muñoz <i>KPMG</i>	Issouf Baco <i>SOCIÉTÉ NIGÉRIENNE DE TRANSIT (NITRA)</i>	Linda Rakotonavalona <i>JOHN W. FFOOKS &amp; CO.</i>	Steve Adehi <i>STEVE ADEHI AND CO.</i>	Usman Aliyu Mahmud <i>NIGERIAN COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION</i>
Luis Murillo <i>REX CARGO NICARAGUA SA</i>	Ibrahim Boubacar Arbi <i>CABINET D'INGÉNIERIE CONSEIL (CIC-NIGER SARL)</i>	Achimi M. Riliwanou <i>ETUDE DE MAÎTRE ACHIMI RILIWANOU</i>	Olufunke Adekoja <i>AELEX, LEGAL PRACTITIONERS &amp; ARBITRATORS</i>	Jonathan Aluju <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>
Ramón Ortega <i>PWC</i>	Amadou Boukar <i>CELLULE DE PARTENARIAT PUBLIC PRIVÉ</i>	Idrissa Tchernaka <i>SCPA LBTI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Ademola Adesalu <i>CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED</i>	Chioma Amadi <i>AKANBI &amp; WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Jose René Orúe Cruz <i>CENTRO DE MEDIACIÓN Y ARBITRAJE CMA</i>	Mohamed Amadou Boukar <i>ÉTUDE DE MAÎTRE MOHAMED AMADOU BOUKAR</i>	Hamadou Yaouba <i>ÉTUDE DE MAÎTRE DODO DAN GADO HAOUA</i>	Adebayo Adewale <i>BAWAG CHAMBERS</i>	Kayode Amodu <i>J.B. MAJUYAGBE &amp; CO.</i>
Silvio Guillermo Otero Quiroz <i>GLOBAL TRANS INTENRACIONAL</i>	Moustapha Boukari <i>CABINET BOUKARI</i>	Wouro Yahia <i>ÉTUDE D'AVOCATS MARC LE BIHAN &amp; COLLABORATEURS</i>	Agbolade Adeyemi <i>UDO UDUMA &amp; BELO-OSAGIE</i>	Sola Arifayan <i>IKEYI &amp; ARIFAYAN</i>
Ivania Paguaga <i>ARIAS LAW</i>	Moussa Coulibaly <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS SOUNA-COULIBALY</i>	Ali Yeya <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Tunji Adeyemi <i>BANWO &amp; IGHODALO</i>	Oluseye Arowolo <i>DELOITTE</i>
Antonio Palomares <i>DISNORTE-DISSUR</i>	Moussa Dantia <i>MAISON DE L'ENTREPRISE NIGER</i>	Tinni Younoussa <i>BATE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Olamilekan Adeyemo <i>PWC NIGERIA</i>	Jude Ashiedu <i>EDUJETAGE</i>
Andrea Paniagua <i>PWC DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</i>	Aïssatou Djibo <i>ÉTUDE DE MAÎTRE DJIBO AÏSSATOU</i>	<b>NIGERIA</b>	Mary Adeyi <i>DIKKO AND MAHMOUD SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES</i>	Oluwapelumi Asiwaju <i>G. ELIAS &amp; CO. SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES</i>
Wilder Pérez <i>AIMAR GROUP</i>	Ali Djimba <i>CAT LOGISTICS</i>	ASO VILLA DEMO DAY <i>CREDIT REGISTRY SERVICES (CREDIT BUREAU) PLC</i>	Albert Adu <i>ALLIANCE LAW FIRM</i>	Zion Athora <i>EY</i>
Rigoberto Pineda <i>PINEDA GARCÍA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Mai Moussa Ellhadji Basshir <i>TRIBUNAL DE GRANDE INSTANCE HORS CLASSE DE NIAMEY</i>	FEDERAL INLAND REVENUE SERVICE <i>LAGOS STATE GOVERNMENT</i>	Dayo Adu <i>BLOOMFIELD LAW PRACTICE</i>	Ebunoluwa Awosika <i>AJUMOGOBIA &amp; OKEKE</i>
Alonso Porras <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Boureima Fodi <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS SOUNA-COULIBALY</i>	NIGERIAN MARITIME ADMINISTRATION & SAFETY AGENCY <i>LAGOS STATE GOVERNMENT</i>	Daniel Agbor <i>UDO UDUMA &amp; BELO-OSAGIE</i>	Anthony Ayalogu <i>NIGERIAN CUSTOMS</i>
Olga Renee Torres <i>LATIN ALLIANCE</i>	Abder Rhamane Halidou <i>CHAMBRE NATIONALE DES NOTAIRES DU NIGER</i>	Ijeoma Abalogu <i>GBENGA BIOBAKU &amp; CO.</i>	Akram Ahmed <i>BHAGAVAN CLEARING AGENCY NIGERIA LTD.</i>	Israel Aye <i>STERLING PARTNERSHIP</i>
Yader Oswaldo Reyes Membreno <i>GRUPO VESTA</i>	Abdoulaye <i>CHAMBRE NATIONALE DES NOTAIRES DU NIGER</i>	Ismail Abdulaziz <i>POINTBLANK ATTORNEYS</i>	Balarabe Ahmed <i>MAERSK LINE NIGERIA</i>	Seth Azubuike <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>
Erwin Rodriguez <i>PWC NICARAGUA</i>	Souley Hammi Illiassou <i>CABINET KOUAOVI</i>	Stella Abdulkadir <i>JACOBS &amp; BIGAELS</i>	Fatima Aigbomian <i>STERLING PARTNERSHIP</i>	Tomilehin Babafemi <i>G. ELIAS &amp; CO. SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES</i>
Carlos Taboada Rodríguez <i>CONSORTIUM LEGAL</i>	Ali Idrissa Sounna <i>TOUTELEC NIGER SA</i>	Bala Abdullahi <i>BANK OF AGRICULTURE</i>	Kunle Ajagbe <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>	Zainab Babalola <i>AKINWUNMI &amp; BUSAR LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Patricia Rodríguez <i>MULTICONSULT &amp; CIA LTDA</i>	Seybou Issifi <i>URBAMED CONSULT</i>	Fariha Abdullahi <i>DIKKO AND MAHMOUD SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES</i>	Babatunde Ajibade <i>SPA AJIBADE &amp; CO.</i>	Bisola Babington <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>
Alfonso José Sandino Granera <i>CONSORTIUM LEGAL</i>			Odein Ajumogobia <i>AJUMOGOBIA &amp; OKEKE</i>	Jerry Bakut <i>NIGERIAN EXPORT PROCESSING ZONE AUTHORITY</i>
Rodrigo Taboada <i>CONSORTIUM LEGAL</i>				
Carlos Téllez <i>GARCÍA &amp; BODÁN</i>				

Mohammed Bawa <i>CENTRAL BANK OF NIGERIA</i>	Oluwabamise Fatoke <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>	Jelilat Kareem <i>CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED</i>	E.A. Offiong <i>NATIONAL COLLATERAL REGISTRY</i>	Bayo Omole <i>MATRIX SOLICITORS</i>
Risikat Bukola Bello <i>MINISTRY OF PHYSICAL PLANNING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</i>	Yetunde Filani <i>WTS ADEBIYI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Olatunde King <i>BANWO &amp; IGHODALO</i>	Ugonna Ogbuagu <i>IKEYI &amp; ARIFAYAN</i>	David Omoleye <i>KANO DISTRIBUTION ELECTRICITY COMPANY</i>
Gilbert Benson-Oladeinbo <i>G. ELIAS &amp; CO. SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES</i>	Augustine Fischer <i>APM TERMINALS</i>	Dolapo Kokuyi <i>DETAIL COMMERCIAL SOLICITORS</i>	Nelson Ogbuanya <i>NOCS CONSULTS</i>	Seun Ormathosho <i>CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED</i>
Ibifubara Berenibara <i>AELEX, LEGAL PRACTITIONERS &amp; ARBITRATORS</i>	Fatai Folarin <i>DELOITTE</i>	Ayodele Kusamotu <i>KUSAMOTU &amp; KUSAMOTU</i>	Godson Ogaheneochukwu <i>UDO UDOME &amp; BELO-OSAGIE</i>	Oluwatunmise Omotoyinbo <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>
Betty Biayeibo <i>PUNUKA ATTORNEYS &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Tajudeen Funsho <i>TAJUDEEN AO FUNSHO AND ASSOCIATE</i>	Folabi Kuti <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>	Ozofu Ogiemudia <i>UDO UDOME &amp; BELO-OSAGIE</i>	Funke Onakoya <i>AKINVUNMI &amp; BUSARI LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Taofeek Bola Shittu <i>IKEYI &amp; ARIFAYAN</i>	Peter Gai <i>CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION</i>	Alhassan L. Alhassan <i>HOPE ATTORNEYS</i>	Abimbola Ogunbanjo <i>CHRIS OGUNBANJO &amp; CO.</i>	Adetola Onayemi <i>OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT</i>
Cephas Caleb <i>ALUKO &amp; OYEBODE</i>	Hassana Gambo <i>UNIVERSAL ARCHITECTS AND ENGINEERING CONSULTS</i>	Mobolaji Ladapo <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>	Makinde Ogunleye <i>CORPORATE CASTLES LTD.</i>	Gabriel Onojason <i>ALLIANCE LAW FIRM</i>
Afolabi Caxton-Martins <i>ADCAX NOMINEES LTD.</i>	Lionel Garrick <i>FORTELEGAL PARTNERS</i>	Abubakar Ladi Dahiru <i>CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION</i>	Yvonne Ogunoiki <i>IKEYI &amp; ARIFAYAN</i>	Fred Onuobia <i>G. ELIAS &amp; CO. SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES</i>
Mercy Chibuike-Iheama <i>CENTRE FOR MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT (CMD)</i>	Remi Gbajumo <i>MAGISTRATE COURT (LAGOS)</i>	Ishaya Livinus Etsu <i>NIGERIAN ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (NERC)</i>	Adebola Ogunsanya <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>	Aaron Onyebuchi <i>STRACHAN PARTNERS</i>
Ukata Christian <i>AFRIGLOBE SHIPPING LINES LTD.</i>	Akalunu Gertrude Uzochikwa <i>CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION</i>	Tahav Iorse-Sheriffs <i>ASSOCIATED ATTORNEY</i>	Gloria Ogwu <i>PUNUKA ATTORNEYS &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Amede Opata <i>DE SPLENDOR SOLICITORS</i>
Kyzito Dakyen <i>CENTRAL BANK OF NIGERIA</i>	Sagir Gezawa <i>S. S. GEZAWA &amp; CO.</i>	Obinna Maduako <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>	Oladimeji Ojo <i>ALUKO &amp; OYEBODE</i>	Nnamdi Oragwu <i>PUNUKA ATTORNEYS &amp; SOLICITORS</i>
Bisola Dere <i>STERLING PARTNERSHIP</i>	Temitope Giwa <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>	Abubakar Mahmoud <i>DIKKO AND MAHMOUD SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES</i>	Cindy Ojogbo <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>	Benedict Oregbemhe <i>SPA AJIBADE &amp; CO.</i>
Obinna Dike <i>ALLIANCE LAW FIRM</i>	Osayaba Giwa-Osagie <i>GIWA-OSAGIE &amp; CO</i>	Muhammad Mainassara <i>CENTRAL BANK OF NIGERIA</i>	Mercy Ojukwu <i>CENTRAL BANK OF NIGERIA</i>	Gbenga Oregun <i>GMT LIMITED</i>
Damilola Durosimi-Etti <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>	Lateefat Hakeem-Bakare <i>AJUMOGOBIA &amp; OKEKE</i>	Abdu Maiwada Abubakar <i>KANO MAGISTRATE COURT</i>	Chinyere Okafor <i>G. ELIAS &amp; CO. SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES</i>	Ola Orewale <i>AELEX, LEGAL PRACTITIONERS &amp; ARBITRATORS</i>
Colin Egemonye <i>GOLDSMITHS SOLICITORS</i>	Ibrahim Hashim <i>ELECTROMECH PRIME UTILITY RESOURCES LTD.</i>	Oghogho Makinde <i>ALUKO &amp; OYEBODE</i>	Ikenna Okafor <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>	Tunde Osasona <i>WHITESTONE WORLDWIDE LTD.</i>
Osaro Eghobamien S.A.N. <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>	Tokunbo Ibrahim <i>PWC NIGERIA</i>	Brenda Masangwa <i>MKONO &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Ngo-Martins Okonmah <i>ALUKO &amp; OYEBODE</i>	Olufunmilayo Osifuye <i>LAGOS STATE PHYSICAL PLANNING &amp; DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY</i>
Emmanuel Egwuagu <i>OBLA &amp; CO.</i>	Joseph Idiong <i>ASSOCIATION OF NIGERIAN EXPORTERS</i>	Kolawole Mayomi <i>SPA AJIBADE &amp; CO.</i>	Chukwuma Okoroafor <i>SOLOLA &amp; AKPANA</i>	Olufemi Ososanya <i>HLB Z.O. OSOSANYA &amp; CO.</i>
Nnenna Ejekam <i>NNENNA EJEKAM ASSOCIATES</i>	Maymunah Idris <i>FEDERAL MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Felicia Mosuro <i>ADCAX NOMINEES LTD.</i>	Chidubem Okoye <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>	Ignatius Nwosu Owelle <i>HOMELUX CONSTRUCTION &amp; EQUIPMENT CO. LTD.</i>
Tunde Ekundayo <i>GIANT VIEWS PLUS</i>	Anjola Ige <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>	Bashir Mudi <i>KANO URBAN PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (KNUPDA)</i>	Oluwatosin Okunrinboye <i>AJUMOGOBIA &amp; OKEKE</i>	Abraham Oyakhilome <i>FIRST &amp; FIRST INTERNATIONAL AGENCIES</i>
David Elesinmogun <i>ELESINMOGUN &amp; EGWUATU</i>	Chimezie Ihekweazu <i>CHIKWEM CHAMBERS</i>	Oluwatoyin Nathaniel G. ELIAS & CO. SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES	Stephen Ola Jagun <i>JAGUN ASSOCIATES</i>	Olamijoke Oyebode <i>PWC NIGERIA</i>
Theophilus I. Emuwa <i>AELEX</i>	Chidinma Ihemedu <i>ALLIANCE LAW FIRM</i>	Ugochi Ndebbio <i>KPMG</i>	Eniola Oladunjoye <i>BANWO &amp; IGHODALO</i>	Oluwatomiwa Oyedara <i>AKINVUNMI &amp; BUSARI LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Kenneth Erikume <i>PWC NIGERIA</i>	Oluwabukola Iji <i>SPA AJIBADE &amp; CO.</i>	Juliet Ndoh <i>IMO STATE UNIVERSITY</i>	Moshood Olajide <i>PWC NIGERIA</i>	Taiwo Oyedele <i>PWC NIGERIA</i>
Idongesit Essien <i>NIGERIAN EXPORT PROMOTION COUNCIL (NEPC)</i>	Emmanuel Ikeakonwu <i>DELOITTE</i>	Justine Nidiya <i>CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION</i>	Kola B. Olatunbosun <i>ARCHITEXT ASSOCIATES</i>	Abiodun Oyeledun <i>DETAIL COMMERCIAL SOLICITORS</i>
Samuel Etuk <i>1ST ATTORNEYS</i>	Nduka Ikeyi <i>IKEYI &amp; ARIFAYAN</i>	Ifunanya Nwajagu <i>FEDERAL MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Funmilayo Olofintuyi <i>KUSAMOTU &amp; KUSAMOTU</i>	Bukola Oyeneyin <i>AKANBI &amp; WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Samuel Etukakpan <i>ENABLING BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT SECRETARIAT</i>	Meshach Ikpe <i>ABUBAKAR D. SANI &amp; CO.</i>	Obinna Nwankwo <i>NATIONAL COLLATERAL REGISTRY</i>	Adebayo Ologe <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>	Olubukola Oyerinde <i>PWC NIGERIA</i>
Ekiomado Ewere-Isaiah <i>JACKSON, ETI &amp; EDU</i>	Funmi Ilamah <i>ENABLING BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT SECRETARIAT</i>	Ugochukwu Obi <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>	Olasade Olowe <i>JACKSON, ETI &amp; EDU</i>	Ademola Oyewumi <i>TIGER SHIPPING</i>
William Ezeagu <i>NIGERIAN EXPORT PROMOTION COUNCIL (NEPC)</i>	Ifedolapo Ilesanmi <i>KUSAMOTU &amp; KUSAMOTU</i>	V. Uche Obi <i>ALLIANCE LAW FIRM</i>	Temi Olowu <i>UDO UDOME &amp; BELO-OSAGIE</i>	Samuel Oyeyipo <i>NIGERIAN EXPORT PROMOTION COUNCIL (NEPC)</i>
Nosike Ezebo <i>IKEYI &amp; ARIFAYAN</i>	Oyeniyi Immanuel <i>STREAMSOWERS &amp; KOHN</i>	Nnamdi Obinwa <i>KPMG</i>	Uma Olugo <i>1ST ATTORNEYS</i>	Patrick Oyong <i>FEDERAL MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
Anse Agu Ezetah <i>CHIEF LAW AGU EZETAH &amp; CO.</i>	Ifedayo Iroche <i>PERCHSTONE &amp; GRAEYS</i>	Chijoike Odo <i>DELOITTE</i>	Olufunke Olutoye <i>ALUKO &amp; OYEBODE</i>	Samuel Pamah <i>BENSON AND BROTHERS COMPANY</i>
Kenechi Ezezika <i>IKEYI &amp; ARIFAYAN</i>	Paul Kalejaiye <i>KUSAMOTU &amp; KUSAMOTU</i>	Onyinye Odogwu <i>PUNUKA ATTORNEYS &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Peter Oluwafemi <i>JUDE &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Mahendra Pandey <i>EKO ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION PLC</i>
Babatunde Fagbahunlu <i>ALUKO &amp; OYEBODE</i>	Okorie Kalu <i>PUNUKA ATTORNEYS &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Abutu Odu <i>OLAJIDE OYEWOLE LLP</i>	Tolulope Omidiji <i>PWC NIGERIA</i>	
Omowumi Fajemiroye <i>OLANIWUN AJAYI LP</i>	Evarist Kameja <i>MKONO &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>		Emmanuel Omoju <i>WTS ADEBIYI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	

Tunde Popoola CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED	NORWAY	Leif Petter Madsen WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Zuhaira Al Sulaimani CURTIS MALLETT - PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP	Ahmed Subai SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS
Sulayman Bolante Raheem MINISTRY OF PHYSICAL PLANNING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT	NORWEGIAN BUILDING AUTHORITY	William Peter Nordan ADVOKATFIRMAET SIMONSEN VOGT WIIG	Haitham Omar Albalulah MOHAMMED IBRAHIM LAW FIRM	Roy Thomas OMAN CABLES INDUSTRY (SAOG)
Nura Sagir Umar HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE - KANO	Nanette Arvesen ADVOKATFIRMAET THOMMESSEN AS	Christina Norland ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Najat Al-Ismaily SNR DENTON & CO.	Rajesh Vaidyanathan KHMII RAMDAN
Kofo Salam-Alada CENTRAL BANK OF NIGERIA	Jan L. Backer WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Ole Kristian Olbsy HOMBLE OLSBY	Ahmed Aljahwari M.O. HOUSING	Simon Ward CURTIS MALLETT - PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP
Sheriff Salami CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED	Eli Beck Nilsen PWC NORWAY	ADVOKATFIRMA AS	Adil Alsobhi ALSOBHI CONSTRUCTION	
Simisola Salu PWC NIGERIA	Stig Berge ADVOKATFIRMAET THOMMESSEN AS	Einar Riddervold PWC NORWAY	Umaima Al-Wahaibi SNR DENTON & CO.	<b>PAKISTAN</b>
Temitope Samagbeyi EY	Elin Bergman MENON ECONOMICS	Ståle Skutle Arneson ADVOKATFIRMA	Ahmed Amor Al Esry EY	CARGO CORPORATION
Abubakar Sani ABUBAKAR D. SANI & CO.	John Ole Bjørnerud HAFSLUND	SIMONSEN VOGT WIIG	Sahar Askalan SAHAR ASKALAN LEGAL ADVOCACY & CONSULTANCY (SALEGAL)	FEDERAL BOARD OF REVENUE
Yewande Senbore OLANIWUN AJAYI LP	Ingrid Fladberg Brucker ADVOKATFIRMA	Fredrik Sparre-Enger ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Russell Aycock PWC OMAN	MAERSK LINE
Eric Sesu PWC NIGERIA	Camilla Bull HOMBLE OLSBY	Iselin Stolpestad THE BRONNOYSUND REGISTER CENTER	Azhar Azmi SAHAR ASKALAN LEGAL ADVOCACY & CONSULTANCY (SALEGAL)	NATIONAL ELECTRIC POWER REGULATORY AUTHORITY
Taofeek 'Bola Shittu IKEYI & ARIFAYAN	Per Arne Dæhli ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Svein Sulland ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Piyush Bhandari INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY	Tahir Abbas MCC PORT QASIM
Christine Sijuwade UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE	Tron Dalheim ARNITZEN DE BESCHE	Liss Sunde ADVOKATFIRMA AS	Priyanka Bhandari INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY	Zaheer Abbas Chughtai QAISER & ABBAS ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE COUNSELLORS
Olusina Sipasi AELEX, LEGAL PRACTITIONERS & ARBITRATORS	Olusina Sipasi HAFSLUND	Kai Solve Urke WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Sadaf Buchanan SNR DENTON & CO.	Mahmood Abdul Ghani MAHMOOD ABDUL GHANI & CO.
Olugbenga Sodipo IKEYI & ARIFAYAN	Lill Egeland ADVOKATFIRMA	Oyvind Vagan THE BRONNOYSUND REGISTER CENTER	Francis D'Souza TROWERS & HAMILNS	Umer Abdullah ABDULLAH & HUSSAIN
Similoluwa Somuyiwa OLANIWUN AJAYI LP	Similoluwa Somuyiwa OLANIWUN AJAYI LP	SIMONSEN VOGT WIIG	Justine Harding SNR DENTON & CO.	Farid Ud Din Ahmad KPMG
Adeola Summola UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE	Knut Ekern PWC NORWAY	Knut Ekern PWC NORWAY	Davis Kallukaran HORWATH MAK GHAZALI LLC	Khalil Ahmad KARIM CHAMBER
Femi Sunmonu FEMI SUMMONU & ASSOCIATES-QAIS CONRAD LAUREATE SOLICITORS & NOTARY PUBLIC	Turid Ellingsen STATENS KARTVERK	Marius Moursund Gisvold WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Faiz Khan AL BUSAIDIY MANSOOR JAMAL & CO.	Nadeem Ahmad ORR, DIGNAM & CO. ADVOCATES
Rafiu Sunmonu DELMORE ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION COMPANY LIMITED	Gjermund Grimsby MENON ECONOMICS	Leo A. Grünfeld MENON ECONOMICS	Al Waleed Al Kiyumi SNR DENTON & CO.	Rana Ahmad RANA IJAZ & PARTNERS
Kolade T. Olawuni BABALAKIN & CO.	Jarand Gule YARA INTERNATIONAL ASA	Jarand Gule YARA INTERNATIONAL ASA	Hamed Amur Al Hajri OMAN CABLES INDUSTRY (SAOG)	Jawad Ahmed MUHAMMAD FAROOQ & CO. CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS
Ijeoma Uche KPMG	Erlend Haaskjold ARNITZEN DE BESCHE	Erlend Haaskjold ARNITZEN DE BESCHE	Mohammed Al Khalili AL BUSAIDIY MANSOOR JAMAL & CO.	Shabbir Ahmed MCC PORT QASIM
Kelechi Ugbeva BLACKWOOD AND STONE LP	Heber WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Heber WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Fathiya Mbarak TROWERS & HAMILNS	Shahid Ahmed PROCON ENGINEERING PVT. LTD., PART OF MASTER MOTOR CORPORATION PVT. LTD.
Chinyerugo Ugoji AELEX	Hilde Høksnes ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Hilde Høksnes ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Abdulrehda Al Lawati SNR DENTON & CO.	Waheed Ahmed LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE CONSULTANTS
Ovie E. Ukiiri AJUMOGOBA & OKEKE	Heidi Holmelin ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Heidi Holmelin ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Habib Murad Ali Al Raisi CENTRAL BANK OF OMAN (CBO)	Jamil Ahmed Khan ERCTION ENGINEERS AND CONTRACTORS
Aniekan Ukpahan UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE	Odd Hylland PWC NORWAY	Odd Hylland PWC NORWAY	Hamood Al Rawahi SAHAR ASKALAN LEGAL ADVOCACY & CONSULTANCY (SALEGAL)	Majid Ahmed Khan ERCTION ENGINEERS AND CONTRACTORS
Adamu M. Usman F.O. AKINRELE & CO.	Anette Istre ADVOKATFIRMA	Anette Istre ADVOKATFIRMA	Ahmed Naveed Farooqui OMAN CABLES INDUSTRY (SAOG)	Muhammed Anas Ajmal NAVEENA EXPORTERS
Ebere Uzum UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE	Simonsen Vogn Wiig ADVOKATFIRMA AS	Simonsen Vogn Wiig ADVOKATFIRMA AS	Raghavendra Pangala SEMAC & PARTNERS LLC	Mehmood Alam TMT LAW SERVICES
Uzoamaka Wemambu STANBIC IBTC BANK LTD.	Andreas Jarbø ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Andreas Jarbø ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Dhanalakshmi Pillai Perumal SNR DENTON & CO.	Abbas Ali EY
Uchechukwu Wigwe AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Kyrre Width Kielland ADVOKATFIRMA RÆDER DA	Kyrre Width Kielland ADVOKATFIRMA RÆDER DA	Johnson Rajan INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY	Akhtar Ali AKHTAR ALI ASSOCIATES
Kamaludeen Yahaya KAMALUDEEN YAHAYA & CO.	Jarle Kjelingtvit UNIL AS	Jarle Kjelingtvit UNIL AS	Eman Al Shahry SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS	Syed Ahmed Ali SURRIDGE & BEECHENO
Samuel Yisa KPMG	Eirin Koogstad ARNITZEN DE BESCHE	Eirin Koogstad ARNITZEN DE BESCHE	Said bin Saad Al Shahry SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS	Tabassum Ali TMT LAW SERVICES
Naomi Zayumba MKONO & CO. ADVOCATES	Bente Langsrød ARNITZEN DE BESCHE	Bente Langsrød ARNITZEN DE BESCHE	Thamer Al Shahry SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS	Syed Ali Zafar MANDVIWALLA & ZAFAR
	Per Einar Lunde PWC NORWAY	Per Einar Lunde PWC NORWAY	Wafa Al Shuaibi SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS	A.R. Asad DACO INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT PVT. LTD.
			Nick Simpson SNR DENTON & CO.	Jam Asif Mehmood AHMED & QAZI

Bushra Aslam <i>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION</i>	Dilawar Hussain <i>DS ENGINEERING SERVICES</i>	Mohsin Malik <i>BUILDERS ASSOCIATES PVT. LTD.</i>	Ghulam Rasool <i>HAIDER SHAMSI &amp; CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	Hana Yahyal <i>SAIDUDDUN &amp; CO.</i>
Muhammad Awais <i>EY</i>	Shaukat Hussain <i>SYED INTISAR HUSSAIN AL HAJI FAW MOTORS (PVT.) LIMITED</i>	Nadeem Malik <i>PROCON ENGINEERING PVT. LTD., PART OF MASTER MOTOR CORPORATION PVT. LTD.</i>	Saad Rasool <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Muhammad Yousuf <i>HAIDER SHAMSI &amp; CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>
Jahanzeb Awan <i>KHALID ANWER &amp; CO.</i>	Azhar Iqbal <i>QURESHI LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Muhammad Mansoor <i>UF LOGISTICS</i>	Tayyab Raza <i>TMT LAW SERVICES</i>	Syed Zeeshan Ali <i>EY</i>
Anum Azhar <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Hasan Irfan Khan <i>IRFAN &amp; IRFAN</i>	Basharat Mehmood <i>QURESHI LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Abdur Razzaq <i>QAMAR ABbas &amp; CO.</i>	<b>PALAU</b>
Shaezer Azmat <i>EY</i>	Fiza Islam <i>LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS &amp; CORPORATE CONSULTANTS</i>	Aitzaz Manzoor Memon <i>RIAA BARKER GILLETTE KARACHI</i>	Khalid A. Rehman <i>SURRIDGE &amp; BEECHENO</i>	Jun Aclan <i>CTSI LOGISTICS</i>
Fawad Baluch <i>KHALID ANWER &amp; CO.</i>	Muzaffar Islam <i>LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS &amp; CORPORATE CONSULTANTS</i>	Muhammad Mudassir <i>ADVOCATE HIGH COURT</i>	Saad Saboor <i>EY</i>	Kenneth Barden <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>
Hasan Hameed Bhatti <i>LAHORE WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPANY</i>	Ilyas Jabbar <i>STATE BANK OF PAKISTAN</i>	Syed Muhammad Ijaz <i>HUZAIMA &amp; IKRAM</i>	Ahmed Saeed <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Tito Cabunagan <i>PALAU PUBLIC UTILITY CORPORATION</i>
Akeel Bilgrami <i>NAJMI BILGRAMI COLLABORATIVE PVT. LTD.</i>	Tariq Nasim Jan <i>DATACHECK PVT. LTD.</i>	Kashif Mukhtar <i>NATIONAL INDUSTRIES</i>	Rana Sajjad <i>RANA JIAZ &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Maria Cristina Castro <i>WESTERN CAROLINE TRADING CO.</i>
Huzaima Bukhari <i>HUZAIMA &amp; IKRAM</i>	Zafarullah Jan <i>KARACHI INTERNATIONAL CONTAINER TERMINAL</i>	Anwar Kashif Mumtaz <i>SAIDUDDUN &amp; CO.</i>	Aftab Salahuddin <i>EY</i>	Anthony Frazier
Aitzaz Aslam Chaudhary <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Ismail Javed <i>JAVED UMAR ENTERPRISES</i>	Faiza Muzaffar <i>LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS &amp; CORPORATE CONSULTANTS</i>	Jawad A. Sarwana <i>ABRAHAM &amp; SARWANA</i>	Sterlina Gabriel <i>BUREAU OF LAND AND SURVEYS</i>
Waheed Chaudhary <i>LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS &amp; CORPORATE CONSULTANTS</i>	Rubina Javed <i>TEXPERTS INTERNATIONAL</i>	Mohammad Nadeem <i>PROCON ENGINEERING PVT. LTD., PART OF MASTER MOTOR CORPORATION PVT. LTD.</i>	Shakil Sarwar <i>GHANDHARA NISSAN LTD.</i>	Wilbert Kamerang <i>PALAU SHIPPING COMPANY, INC.</i>
Salman Chima <i>CHIMA &amp; IBRAHIM</i>	M. Javed Hassan <i>TEXPERTS INTERNATIONAL</i>	Muhammad Gohar Nawaz <i>LAHORE WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPANY</i>	Mohammad Ali Seena <i>SURRIDGE &amp; BEECHENO</i>	Mouias Kangichi <i>KOROR STATE GOVERNMENT</i>
Khurram Shehzad Chughtai <i>JUS &amp; REM</i>	Babur Kabir <i>MCC PORT QASIM</i>	Faryal Nazir <i>LMA EBRAHIM HOSAIN, BARRISTERS, ADVOCATES &amp; CORPORATE LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Zulfiqar Shah <i>LAND ADMINISTRATION &amp; REVENUE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (LARMIS)</i>	Carlos Mariano <i>CARLOS MARIANO LAW FIRM</i>
Faisal Daudpota <i>KHALID DAUDPOTA &amp; CO.</i>	Minam Karim <i>LMA EBRAHIM HOSAIN, BARRISTERS, ADVOCATES &amp; CORPORATE LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Muhammad Shahid <i>SYNTHETIC PRODUCTS ENTERPRISES LIMITED</i>	Muhammad Shahid <i>SYNTHETIC PRODUCTS ENTERPRISES LIMITED</i>	Ramsey Ngiraibai <i>KOROR PLANNING AND ZONING OFFICE</i>
Junaid Daudpota <i>KHALID DAUDPOTA &amp; CO.</i>	Habib Kazi <i>KHALID ANWER &amp; CO.</i>	Omaimah Nazir <i>DATACHECK PVT. LTD.</i>	Shabir Sharif <i>MASTRADE21</i>	Techur Rengulbai <i>BUREAU OF PUBLIC WORKS</i>
Diana Dsouza <i>DATACHECK PVT. LTD.</i>	Mayhar Kazi <i>RIAA BARKER GILLETTE KARACHI</i>	Ahmad Pervez Mirza <i>ARCHITECTS AFFILIATION</i>	Arshad Shehzad <i>TAXPERTS</i>	William L. Ridpath <i>WILLIAM L. RIDPATH, ATTORNEY-AT-LAW (AMCIT)</i>
Huma Ejaz Zaman <i>MANDVIWALLA &amp; ZAFAR</i>	Aftab Ahmed Khan <i>SURRIDGE &amp; BEECHENO</i>	Faisal Perwaiz Umer <i>PERWAIZ UMAR ENTERPRISES</i>	Adnan Sheikh <i>AKRAM SHEIKH LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	V. Tikei Sbal <i>FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS COMMISSION</i>
Ikram Fayaz <i>QAMAR ABAS &amp; CO.</i>	Ahmad Shahzad Khan <i>MARIUM ASSOCIATES</i>	Shahbakht Pirzada <i>RIAA BARKER GILLETTE KARACHI</i>	Barrister Sherjeel <i>AKRAM SHEIKH LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Rhinehart Silas <i>BUREAU OF REVENUE, CUSTOMS AND TAXATION</i>
Kausar Fecto <i>KAUSAR FECTO &amp; CO. CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	Ameer Khan <i>INDUS MOTORS CO. LTD.</i>	Khushbakht Qaiser <i>QAISSER &amp; ABBAS ATTORNEYS &amp; CORPORATE COUNSELLORS</i>	Muneeb Ahmed Shiekh <i>MANDVIWALLA &amp; ZAFAR</i>	Ken Sugiyama <i>PALAU PUBLIC UTILITY CORPORATION</i>
Aman Ghanchi <i>UNILEVER PAKISTAN LIMITED</i>	Arif Khan <i>QAMAR ABAS &amp; CO.</i>	Zarfishan Qaiser <i>QAISSER &amp; ABBAS ATTORNEYS &amp; CORPORATE COUNSELLORS</i>	Muhammad Siddique <i>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION</i>	Sylcerius Tewalei <i>BUREAU OF LABOUR</i>
Asma Ghayoor <i>SINDH BUILDING CONTROL AUTHORITY</i>	Bilal Khan <i>AL HAJI FAW MOTORS (PVT.) LIMITED</i>	Naveed Qamar <i>PROCON ENGINEERING PVT. LTD., PART OF MASTER MOTOR CORPORATION PVT. LTD.</i>	Masood Siddiqui <i>ASHAKOOR &amp; BROS</i>	<b>PANAMA</b>
Irfan Mir Halepota <i>LAW FIRM IRFAN M. HALEPOTA</i>	Hilal Khan <i>AL HAJI FAW MOTORS (PVT.) LIMITED</i>	Junaid Qureshi <i>PAKISTAN INTERNATIONAL CONTAINER TERMINAL</i>	Ameena Suhaib <i>QURESHI LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Alvaro Aguilar <i>LOMBARDI AGUILAR GROUP</i>
Asma Hameed Khan <i>SURRIDGE &amp; BEECHENO</i>	Jahan Khan <i>SHAHEEN LOGISTICS PVT. LTD.</i>	Adnan Qureshi <i>QURESHI LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Haris Syed Raza <i>GERRY'S DNATA PVT. LTD.</i>	Gabriel Aguilar <i>LOMBARDI AGUILAR GROUP</i>
Ikramul Haq <i>HUZAIMA &amp; IKRAM</i>	Shahzeb N. Khan <i>RIAA BARKER GILLETTE</i>	Shair Khan <i>MCC PORT QASIM</i>	Muhammad Tahir <i>STATE BANK OF PAKISTAN</i>	Aristides Anguizola <i>MORGAN &amp; MORGAN</i>
Salman Haq <i>EY</i>	Faiz Ullah Khan Niazi <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Abdul Rahman <i>QAMAR ABAS &amp; CO.</i>	Waqas Ahmed Tamimi <i>DELOITTE YOUSUF ADIL, CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	Mercedes Araúz de Grimaldo <i>MORGAN &amp; MORGAN</i>
Khalil Hashmi <i>SYNTHETIC PRODUCTS ENTERPRISES LIMITED</i>	Misbah Kokab <i>TMT LAW SERVICES</i>	Nageen Rahman <i>KPMG</i>	Ali Thaheem <i>SURRIDGE &amp; BEECHENO</i>	Khatiya Asvat <i>PATTON, MORENO &amp; ASVAT</i>
Saim Hashmi <i>AHMED &amp; QAZI</i>	Muhammad Saleem Kundti <i>KUNDI SERVICES LTD.</i>	Zaki Rahman <i>LMA EBRAHIM HOSAIN, BARRISTERS, ADVOCATES &amp; CORPORATE LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Saud ul-Hassan <i>EY</i>	Fernando Aued <i>PATTON, MORENO &amp; ASVAT</i>
Faiz-ul Hassan <i>LAND ADMINISTRATION &amp; REVENUE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (LARMIS)</i>	Ali Abbas Lali <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Arslan Rana <i>MCC PORT QASIM</i>	Farhan Ullah <i>ATTORNEY</i>	Francisco A. Barrios G. <i>PWC PANAMA</i>
Mohammad Hassan Bakshi <i>ASSOCIATION OF BUILDERS AND DEVELOPERS OF PAKISTAN (ABAD)</i>	Irfan Majeed <i>NAVEENA EXPORTERS</i>	Kashif Rasheed <i>PAK SUZUKI MOTOR CO. LTD.</i>	Fiza Usama <i>LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS &amp; CORPORATE CONSULTANTS</i>	Gustavo Adolfo Bernal <i>ETESA</i>
Khizer Hayat <i>DANYAL AGENCIES</i>			Chaudhary Usman <i>LMA EBRAHIM HOSAIN, BARRISTERS, ADVOCATES &amp; CORPORATE LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Klaus Bieberach Schriebl <i>TAX@PANAMA</i>
				Giovanna Cardelluccio <i>APC BURO SA</i>
				Johanna Castillo <i>ARIAS LAW</i>

Luis Chalhoub ICAZA, GONZALEZ- RUIZ & ALEMAN	Nelson E. Sales ALFARO, FERRER & RAMÍREZ	Sinton Spence Mbe SINTON SPENCE CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS	Christian Kemper KEMPER – DEJESUS & PANGRAZIO ABOGADOS	Cesar Angulo MUNÍZ, RAMÍREZ, PERÉZ- TAIMAN & OLAYA ABOGADOS
Julio César Contreras III AROSEMENA NORIEGA & CONTRERAS	Daniel Sessa GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ	Lilian Sukot PNG POWER LTD.	Gabriel Lamas ONIX SACI CONSULTING + ENGINEERING	Evelin Aragon Grados ADEX
Gonzalo Córdoba APC BURÓ SA	Yannis Solis de Amaya UNION FENOSA - EDEMET - EDECHI	Alex Tongayu INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Pablo Livieres Guggiari ESTUDIO JURÍDICO LIVIERES GUGGIARI	Jimy Atunga Rios M.A.V. LOGÍSTICA Y TRANSPORTE SA
Juan Carlos Croston MANZANILLO INTERNATIONAL TERMINAL OPERATOR MIT	Hermes Tello ELECTROMECHANICAL CONSULTING GROUP	Sally Weatherstone DENTONS	Nestor Loizaga FERRERE ABOGADOS	Guilhermo Auler AULER Y PINTO ABOGADOS
Eduardo De Alba ARIAS, FABREGA & FÁBREGA	Ramón Varela MORGAN & MORGAN	Stuart Wilson LCS ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS	Augusto Mengual MIATERRA	Brian Avilos PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PÉREZ ABOGADOS
Claudio De Castro ARIAS, FÁBREGA & FÁBREGA	Gabriela Vasquez GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ	Alicia Yen HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC	Oscar A. Mersan Galli MERSÁN ABOGADOS	Arelis Avila Tagle CONUDFI
Jorge G. Lombardi Dutari LOMBARDI AGUILAR GROUP	Mario Vlieg ALEMAN, CORDERO, GALINDO & LEE		María Esmeralda Moreno Rodríguez Alcalá MORENO RUFFINELLI & ASOCIADOS	Jose Luis Aylón Carreño CÁMARA PERUANA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN
Marisol Ellis ICAZA, GONZALEZ- RUIZ & ALEMAN			Monica Núñez BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS	Guillermo Bracamonte MIRANDA & AMADO
Felipe Escalona GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ			Anibal Pangrazio KEMPER – DEJESUS & PANGRAZIO ABOGADOS	Stephany Giovanna Bravo de Rueda Arce RANSA COMERCIAL SA
Ricardo Eskildsen Morales ESKILDSEN & ESKILDSEN	Rob Addis PENTAGON FREIGHT SERVICES (PNG) LTD.	Sandybelle Avalos RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Y CONSULTORES	Wilfredo Caceres ESTUDIO MUÑÍZ, RAMIREZ, PÉREZ-TAIMAN & OLAYA
María Cristina Fábrega ARIAS LAW	Ian Clarke DENTONS	Enrique Benitez BDO AUDITORES CONSULTORES	Rocio Penayo MORENO RUFFINELLI & ASOCIADOS	Renzo Camaiora GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN
Juan Pablo Fabrega Polleri FABREGA, MOLINO & MULINO	Paul Cullen DENTONS	Maximo Gustavo Benitez Gimenez SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS - BCP	Yolanda Pereira BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS	Fernando Castro MUÑÍZ, RAMÍREZ, PERÉZ- TAIMAN & OLAYA ABOGADOS
L. Fernandes THE PANAMA MARITIME CHAMBER	Rebecca Cullen DENTONS	Alex Berkemeyer BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS	Maria Antonia Ramírez de Gwynn GWYNN & GWYNN - LEGAL COUNSELLORS	Alvaro Chuquipiondo BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
Michael Fernandez CÁMARA PANAMÉNA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN (CAPAC)	Gibson Geroro GERORO LAWYERS	Hugo T. Berkemeyer BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS	Veronica Recalde KEMPER – DEJESUS & PANGRAZIO ABOGADOS	Sandra Copacondori BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
Enna Ferrer ALFARO, FERRER & RAMÍREZ	Simon Guidecoq STEAMSHIPS TRADING COMPANY LTD.	Lea Henao ESTUDIO JURÍDICO LIVIERES GUGGIARI	Juan Ramírez Biedermann ESTUDIO JURÍDICO LIVIERES GUGGIARI	Tomas Cosco RUSSELL BEDFORD PERÚ - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Angie Guzmán MORGAN & MORGAN	Clarence Hoot INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Carlos Cañete BDO AUDITORES CONSULTORES	Carlos Cañete BDO AUDITORES CONSULTORES	Ricardo de la Piedra ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Edgar Herrera GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ		Pedro Cuevas ADMINISTRACIÓN NACIONAL DE ELECTRICIDAD	Pedro Cuevas ADMINISTRACIÓN NACIONAL DE ELECTRICIDAD	Jose Dediós PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PÉREZ ABOGADOS
Jorge L. Lara T. INGENIERIA LARA SA	Lauari Ikavape INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Sergio Dejesus KEMPER – DEJESUS & PANGRAZIO ABOGADOS	Natalia Enciso Benitez NOTARY PUBLIC	Carlos Roberto Drago Llanos SUNAT
Cristina Lewis de la Guardia GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ	Timothy Koris PNG POWER LTD.	Y CONSULTORES	Veronica Recalde KEMPER – DEJESUS & PANGRAZIO ABOGADOS	Alex Espinoza PWC PERU
Esteban Lopez Moreno KATZ Y LOPEZ	Sarah Kuman ALLENS	Natalia Enciso Benitez NOTARY PUBLIC	Mauricio Salgueiro VOUGA ABOGADOS	Hugo Espinoza Rivera SUNARP
Ivette Elisa Martinez Saenz PATTON, MORENO & ASVAT	Peter Lowing LEAHY LEWIN NUTLEY SULLIVAN	Doug Mageo PNG POWER LTD.	Emmanuel Trulls FERRERE ABOGADOS	María del Pilar Falcón Castro ESTUDIO LLONA & BUSTAMANTE ABOGADOS
Olmedo Miranda Boyd AROSEMENA NORIEGA & CONTRERAS	Stephen Massa DENTONS	Stephen Massa DENTONS	Andres Vera VOUGA ABOGADOS	Napoleón Fernández SUNARP
David M. Mizrachi Fidangue MIZRACHI, DAVARRO & URIOLA	Steve Patrick GADENS LAWYERS	Ray Paul PNG CUSTOMS SERVICE	David Vera VOUGA ABOGADOS	Fiama Fernandez Saldamando CONUDFI
Erick Rogelio Muñoz SUCRE, ARIAS & REYES		Darao Peter INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Walter Vera VOUGA ABOGADOS	Luis Enrique Narro Forno SUNAT
Mayrolis Parther ARIAS LAW		Lourdes Gonzalez DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE LOS REGISTROS PÚBLICOS	Carlos Vogua VOUGA ABOGADOS	Luis Fuentes BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
Hassim Patel PWC PANAMA		Lou Pipi NCDC MUNICIPALITY	Sigfrido Gross Brown ESTUDIO JURÍDICO GROSS BROWN	Julio Gallo GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN
Sebastián Perez UNION FENOSA - EDEMET - EDECHI		Nancy Pogla ALLENS LINKLATORS	Marcelo Gul Pavoni TMF GROUP	Lorena Galvez GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN
Nayda Price PATTON, MORENO & ASVAT		Desmond Pokajam INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Carl Gwynn GWYNN & GWYNN - LEGAL COUNSELLORS	Alejandra Giufra Chavez ESTUDIO LLONA & BUSTAMANTE ABOGADOS
Linda Quintero PINZON LOZANO & ASOCIADOS ARQUITECTOS		Herjit Saini DENTONS	Norman Gwynn SUPREME COURT OF JUSTICE	Diego Gomez BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
Anel Roach ALEMAN, CORDERO, GALINDO & LEE		Renee Siaguru ALLENS	Manfred Heyn FERRERE ABOGADOS	
Mario Rognoni AROSEMENA NORIEGA & CONTRERAS				

Rafael Gonzales <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Roxana Antonieta Pantigozo <i>SUNAT</i>	Hugo Silva <i>RODRIGO, ELIAS, MEDRANO ABOGADOS</i>	Rosario Carmela Asutria <i>SEC</i>	Hector De Leon, Jr. <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>
Gerardo Guzman <i>DELMAR UGARTE ABOGADOS</i>	Edmundo Paredes <i>SUPERINTENDENCY OF BANKING, INSURANCE AND PRIVATE PENSION FUND ADMINISTRATOR</i>	Ricardo P. Silva <i>ESTUDIO MUNIZ, RAMIREZ, PEREZ-TAIMAN &amp; OLAYA</i>	Francis Avellana <i>BAP CREDIT BUREAU, INC.</i>	Ann Sherrol De los Santos <i>SHAREHOLDERS ASSOCIATION OF THE PHILIPPINES (SHAREPHIL)</i>
Carlos Hernández Ladera <i>RANSA COMERCIAL SA</i>	Lucianna Polar <i>ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Carla Sinchi <i>PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PEREZ ABOGADOS</i>	Alex B. Runes <i>MERALCO</i>	Anthony Dee <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>
Jose Antonio Honda <i>ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Angélica Portillo Flores <i>SUNARP</i>	Enrique Sebastián Soto Ruiz <i>CONGRESO DE LA REPUBLICA</i>	Manuel Battalones <i>BAP CREDIT BUREAU, INC.</i>	Corazon Del Castillo <i>SIGUION REYNA MONTECILLO &amp; ONGSIAKO</i>
Diego Huertas del Pino <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Juan Manuel Prado <i>Bustamante ESTUDIO LLONA &amp; BUSTAMANTE ABOGADOS</i>	Jose Steck <i>NPG ABOGADOS</i>	Merope Bautista <i>TRADECON TRADING &amp; CONSTRUCTION</i>	Evelyn Dela Cerna <i>FAST LINK</i>
Felipe Eduardo Iannacone Silva <i>SUNAT</i>	Maribel Príncipe Hidalgo <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	Edmundo Taboada <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Vera Marie Bautista <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Aimee Rose dela Cruz <i>ISLA LIPANA &amp; CO.</i>
César Ballón Izquierdo <i>RANSA COMERCIAL SA</i>	María José Puetas <i>GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN</i>	Carlos Tapia <i>NPG ABOGADOS</i>	Rosario Cherry Bernaldo <i>SHAREHOLDERS ASSOCIATION OF THE PHILIPPINES (SHAREPHIL)</i>	Jenny Jean Domino <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>
Sacha Larrea <i>SCOTIABANK PERU</i>	Cesar Puntriano <i>PWC PERU</i>	Claudia Tejada <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Ronald Bernas <i>QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Rolando Ducut <i>IN-LINE FORWARDER</i>
Alexandra Lemke <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Manuel Quindimil <i>CÁMARA DE COMERCIO AMERICANA DEL PERÚ</i>	Xenia Tello <i>ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Irene Joy Besido García <i>KAPUNAN GARCIA &amp; CASTILLO LAW OFFICES</i>	Alexander Dy <i>VILLANUEVA GABIONZA &amp; DY LAW OFFICES</i>
Gonzalo Leo <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Bruno Marchese Quintana <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	Ricardo Arturo Toma Oyama <i>SUNAT</i>	Kristine Bongcaron <i>MARTINEZ VERGARA GONZALEZ &amp; SERRANO</i>	Karla Eunice <i>PAREDES GARCIA AND GOLEZ LAW OFFICE</i>
Juan Carlos Leon Siles <i>ADEX</i>	Fernando M. Ramos <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Arturo Tuesta <i>PWC PERU</i>	Pearl Grace Cabali <i>PUTAY JACINTO SANTOS LAW OFFICE</i>	Colonel Jesus Fernandez <i>LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY</i>
German Lora <i>PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PEREZ ABOGADOS</i>	Alonso Rey Bustamante <i>PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PEREZ ABOGADOS</i>	Jean A. Unda Valverde <i>SOCIEDAD NACIONAL DE MINERIA, PETROLEO Y ENERGIA</i>	Juan Arturo Iluminado <i>Cagampang de Castro DE CASTRO &amp; CAGAMPANG- DE CASTRO LAW FIRM</i>	Florida Fomaneg <i>ISLA LIPANA &amp; CO.</i>
Rafael Lulli Meyer <i>REBAZA, ALCÁZAR &amp; DE LAS CASAS ABOGADOS FINANCIEROS</i>	José Miguel Reyes <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Jack Vainstein <i>VAINESTEIN &amp; INGENIEROS SA</i>	Justina Callangan <i>SEC</i>	Catherine Franco <i>QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL</i>
Cesar Luna Victoria <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	Andrea Rieckhof <i>GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN</i>	Erick Valderrama <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD PERU - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Renato Calma <i>ORTEGA, BACORRO, ODULIO, CALMA &amp; CARBONELL</i>	Arnelito Garcia <i>AB GARCIA CONSTRUCTION INC.</i>
Milagros Maravi Sumar <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	Andres Rieckhof <i>REBAZA, ALCÁZAR &amp; DE LAS CASAS ABOGADOS FINANCIEROS</i>	Yelitza Valdivia <i>MIRANDA &amp; AMADO</i>	Roselle Carraig <i>ISLA LIPANA &amp; CO.</i>	Geraldine S. Garcia <i>FOLLOSCO MORALLOS &amp; HERCE</i>
Orlando Marchesi <i>PWC PERU</i>	Angie Rivera <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Mitchell Alex Valdiziezo Del Carpio <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	Mia Carmela Imperial <i>QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Vicente Gerochi IV <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>
Carlos Martínez Ebell <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	Juan Manuel Robles <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	Manuel Villa-Garcia <i>ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Domingo Castillo <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Ma. Cecilia Gironella <i>GIRONELLA LAW OFFICE</i>
Jesús Matos <i>ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Erick Rojas <i>CÁMARA PERUANA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN</i>	Rafael Villaran <i>ESTUDIO LUIS ECHECOPAR GARCIA SRL</i>	Jon Edmarc R. Castillo <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Carlo Miguel Romeo S. Go <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>
Gino Menchola <i>PWC PERU</i>	Martin Ruggiero <i>PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PEREZ ABOGADOS</i>	Agustín Yrigoyen <i>GARCIA SAYAN ABOGADOS</i>	Luis M. Catibayan <i>BUREAU OF IMPORT SERVICES</i>	Manuel Z. Gonzalez <i>MARTINEZ VERGARA GONZALEZ &amp; SERRANO</i>
Jorge Miranda <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	Felix Arturo Ruiz Sanchez <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	Sabino Zaconeta Torres <i>ASOCIACIÓN PERUANA DE AGENTES MARÍTIMOS</i>	Ria Danielle Ching <i>KPMG R.G. MANABAT &amp; CO.</i>	George Matthew Habacon <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>
Diego Muñiz <i>ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Carolina Sáenz <i>RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND</i>	<b>PHILIPPINES</b>	Kenneth L. Chua <i>QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Judy Hao <i>ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA &amp; CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)</i>
Juan Carlos Novoa <i>SOCIEDAD NACIONAL DE MINERIA, PETROLEO Y ENERGIA</i>	Carolina Salcedo <i>ESTUDIO MUÑIZ, RAMIREZ, PEREZ-TAIMAN &amp; OLAYA</i>	<b>CREDIT INFORMATION CORPORATION</b>	Juan Paolo E. Colet	Jose Emmanuel Hernandez <i>DE GUZMAN SAN DIEGO MEJIA &amp; HERNANDEZ</i>
Lilian Oliver <i>SUNARP</i>	Raúl Sanchez <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	<b>DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY</b>	Toni Angeli Coo	Tadeo F. Hilado <i>ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA &amp; CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)</i>
Alexandra Orbezo <i>REBAZA, ALCÁZAR &amp; DE LAS CASAS ABOGADOS FINANCIEROS</i>	Raul Sanchez Sabogal <i>ADEX</i>	Go Abigail <i>SIGUION REYNA MONTECILLO &amp; ONGSIAKO</i>	Karl Raymond Cruz <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Benito Jose L. de los Santos <i>ABS LAW FIRM</i>
Luis Orrego <i>DELMAR UGARTE ABOGADOS</i>	Pablo Santos <i>CONUDFI</i>	Juan Paolo Agbayani <i>MARTINEZ VERGARA GONZALEZ &amp; SERRANO</i>	Robert Dalaodao <i>IN-LINE FORWARDER</i>	Charmane Kanahashi <i>PAREDES GARCIA AND GOLEZ LAW OFFICE</i>
Ariel Orrego-Villacorta <i>BARRIOS &amp; FUENTES ABOGADOS</i>	Pablo Santos Curo <i>ADEX</i>	Ma. Carmen Agcaoili-Orena <i>AGCAOILI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Thomas John Thaddeus de Castro <i>AGCAOILI &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Justin Vincent La Chica <i>ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC &amp; DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Cristina Oviedo <i>PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PEREZ ABOGADOS</i>	Victor Scarsi <i>LUZ DEL SUR</i>	Shirley Alinea <i>MARTINEZ VERGARA GONZALEZ &amp; SERRANO</i>	Emerico O. de Guzman <i>ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA &amp; CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)</i>	
David Pacheco <i>ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Martin Serkovic <i>ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Charina Amanda B. Javier <i>SKALA ARCHITECTS</i>		
Nélida Palacios <i>SUNARP</i>		Henry D. Antonio <i>KPMG R.G. MANABAT &amp; CO.</i>		

Carina Laforteza <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Mariah-Rose Rafaela Ong <i>KPMG R.G. MANABAT &amp; CO.</i>	Erdan Suero Cristina Suralvo <i>O CAMPO &amp; SURALVO LAW OFFICES</i>	Karolina Czapska <i>RACZKOWSKI PARUCH LAW FIRM IUS LABORIS POLAND GLOBAL HR LAWYERS</i>	Aleksandra Kozlowska <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>
Fredieric Landicho <i>NAVARRO AMPER &amp; CO.</i>	Maria Christina Ortua <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Shennan Sy <i>KALAW SY VIDA SELVA &amp; CAMPOS</i>	Katarzyna Czwartosz <i>WHITE &amp; CASE M. STUDNIAREK I WSPÓLNICY - KANCELARIA PRAWNA SP.K.</i>	Adam Królik <i>KANCELARIA PRAWA RESTRUKTURYZACYJNEGO I UPADŁOŚCIOWEGO TATARIA I WSPÓŁPRACOWNICY</i>
Hiyasmin Lapitan <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Ma. Milagros Padernal <i>UY SINGSON ABELLA &amp; CO.</i>	Fidel T. Valeros <i>PUYAT JACINTO SANTOS LAW OFFICE</i>	Michał Dąbrowski <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Iga Kwasny <i>MOORE STEPHENS CENTRAL AUDIT SP. Z O.O.</i>
Everlene Lee <i>ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA &amp; CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)</i>	Sheila Mae Panares <i>SEC</i>	Gloria Victoria Y. Taruc <i>ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION</i>	Aleksandra Danielewicz <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	Ewa Łachowska-Brol <i>WIERBOWSKI EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND SP.K., MEMBER OF EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (EUROPE) LIMITED</i>
Jennifer Lee <i>QUASHA ANCHETA PENA &amp; NOLASCO</i>	Benedicto Panigbatan <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Carlos Martin Tayag <i>ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC &amp; DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Andrzej Dwowski <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD POLAND SP.Z O.O. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Wojciech Langowski <i>MILLER CANFIELD</i>
Jevel Lee <i>AB GARCIA CONSTRUCTION INC.</i>	Gil Christopher Paredes <i>KPMG R.G. MANABAT &amp; CO.</i>	Amando Tetangco Jr. <i>BANGKO SENTRAL NG PILIPINAS</i>	Ewa Don-Siemion <i>CHAJEC, DON-SIEMION &amp; ZYTO LEGAL ADVISORS</i>	Katarzyna Lawinska <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>
Ana Liezl Pelayo <i>IN-LINE FORWARDER</i>	Hilario Paredes <i>PAREDES GARCIA &amp; GOLEZ LAW OFFICE</i>	Jolina Pauline Tuazon <i>PUYAT JACINTO SANTOS LAW OFFICE</i>	Bartosz Draniiewicz <i>KANCELARIA PRAWA GOSPODARCZEGO I EKOLOGICZNEGO DR BARTOSZ DRANIIEWICZ</i>	Agnieszka Lehwerk <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>
Joyce Liza Chan <i>QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Ma. Patricia Paz <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Roland Glenn Tuazon <i>ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC &amp; DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Edyta Dubikowska <i>SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS</i>	Monika Leszko <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>
Roane Alfredo Lopez <i>ORTEGA, BACORRO, ODULIO, CALMA &amp; CARBONELL</i>	Maria Pilar Pilares-Gutierrez <i>CASTILLO LAMAN TAN PANTALEON &amp; SAN JOSE</i>	Martin Victorino Cusi <i>VILLANUEVA GABIONZA &amp; DY LAW OFFICES</i>	Patryk Filipiak <i>FILIPPIAKBABICZ LEGAL, ZIMMERMAN FILIPIAK RESTRUKTURYZACJA SA</i>	Konrad Piotr Lewandowski <i>MAURICE WARD &amp; CO. SP. Z.O.O.</i>
Herbert M. Bautista <i>LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY</i>	Maybellin Pinpin-Malayo <i>ISLA LIPANA &amp; CO.</i>	Virginia B. Viray <i>PUYAT JACINTO SANTOS LAW OFFICE</i>	Maciej Geromin <i>ALLERHAND INSTITUTE</i>	Agnieszka Lisiecka <i>WARDYŃSKI &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Mel A. Macaraig <i>CASTILLO LAMAN TAN PANTALEON &amp; SAN JOSE</i>	Hailin Quintos <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Gil Roberto Zerrudo <i>QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Michał Gliński <i>WARDYŃSKI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Tomasz Listwan <i>MOORE STEPHENS CENTRAL AUDIT SP. Z O.O.</i>
Sam Angelo Maducco <i>KPMG R.G. MANABAT &amp; CO.</i>	Revelino Rabaja <i>ISLA LIPANA &amp; CO.</i>	Martín Victorino Cusi <i>VILLANUEVA GABIONZA &amp; DY LAW OFFICES</i>	Bartosz Draniiewicz <i>KANCELARIA PRAWA GOSPODARCZEGO I EKOLOGICZNEGO DR BARTOSZ DRANIIEWICZ</i>	Paweł Ludwiak <i>ELTECH</i>
Marlon G. Mariano <i>LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY</i>	Frederika Rentoy <i>LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY</i>	Elaine Patricia S. Reyes-Rodolfo <i>ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA &amp; CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)</i>	Magdalena Gmür <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	Konrad Marciniuk <i>MILLER CANFIELD</i>
Hector A. Martinez <i>PLATON, MARTINEZ FLORES SAN PEDRO &amp; LEÃO</i>	Leandro Ben Robediso <i>KPMG R.G. MANABAT &amp; CO.</i>	Pedro P. Rodriguez <i>LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY</i>	Rafał Godlewski <i>WARDYŃSKI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Adam Marszałek <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>
Michael Mejia <i>DE GUZMAN SAN DIEGO MEJIA &amp; HERNANDEZ</i>	Pedro J. Romulo <i>ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC &amp; DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Ricardo J. Romulo <i>ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC &amp; DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Paweł Groele <i>ALLERHAND INSTITUTE</i>	Paweł Meus <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW</i>
Enriquejo J. Mendoza <i>ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC &amp; DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Renz Jeffrey A. Ruiz <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Marcin Baćal <i>CHAJEC, DON-SIEMION &amp; ZYTO LEGAL ADVISORS</i>	Andrzej Grześkiewicz <i>GRIDNET</i>	Tomasz Michalik <i>MDDP MICHALIK DŁUSKA DZIEDZIC I PARTNERZY</i>
Maria Teresa Mercado-Ferrer <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Patrick Henry D. Salazar <i>QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Tomasz Baranczyk <i>PWC POLAND</i>	Marcin Hołówka <i>KANCELARIA ADWOKATA MARCINA HOŁÓWKI</i>	Anna Miernik <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE</i>
Marianne Miguel <i>SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &amp; GATMAITAN</i>	Neptali Salvanera <i>ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA &amp; CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)</i>	Michał Barłowski <i>WARDYŃSKI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Łukasz Iwański <i>ENERGOMIX</i>	Tomasz Milewski <i>MILLER CANFIELD</i>
Jesúsito G. Morallos <i>FOLLOSOS MORALLOS &amp; HERCE</i>	Rodolfo San Diego <i>DE GUZMAN SAN DIEGO MEJIA &amp; HERNANDEZ</i>	Justyna Bartnik <i>MORAWSKI &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Michał Jadwiśiak <i>WHITE &amp; CASE M. STUDNIAREK I WSPÓLNICY - KANCELARIA PRAWNA SP.K.</i>	Joanna Młot <i>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA</i>
Gregorio S. Navarro <i>NAVARRO AMPER &amp; CO.</i>	Neptali Salvanera <i>ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA &amp; CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)</i>	Wojciech Bieganski <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	Jakub Jędrzejak <i>WKB WIERCINSKI, KWIECIŃSKI, BAĘHR SP.K.</i>	Adam Morawski <i>MORAWSKI &amp; PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Perpetua Calliope Ngo <i>MARTINEZ VERGARA GONZALEZ &amp; SERRANO</i>	Rodolfo San Diego <i>DE GUZMAN SAN DIEGO MEJIA &amp; HERNANDEZ</i>	Paulina Blukacz <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Magdalena Kalirńska <i>WKB WIERCINSKI, KWIECIŃSKI, BAĘHR SP.K.</i>	Grzegorz Namiotkiewicz <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE</i>
Krisanto Karlo Nicolas <i>NICOLAS &amp; DE VEGA LAW OFFICES</i>	Jennilyn Sio <i>SHAREHOLDERS ASSOCIATION OF THE PHILIPPINES (SHAREPHIL)</i>	Joanna Bugajska <i>JAMP</i>	Mateusz Kaliniński <i>KANCELARIA PRAWA KANCELARIA PRAWNA SP.K.</i>	Michał Niemirowicz-Szczyt <i>LEX IUVAT KANCELARIA RADCY PRAWNUGO MICHAŁ NIEMIROWICZ-SZCZYT</i>
Harold Ocampo <i>ISLA LIPANA &amp; CO.</i>	Neil Sison <i>SISON CORILLO PARONE &amp; CO.</i>	Rafał Burda <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	Karolina Kalucka <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	Dominika Nowak <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>
Jude Ocampo <i>OCAMPO &amp; SURALVO LAW OFFICES</i>	Felice Suzanne Soria <i>ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA &amp; CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)</i>	Kinga Cekiera <i>BREVELLS CEKIERA OLEKSIEWICZ SP.K.</i>	Aleksandra Kaminska <i>DENTONS</i>	Marta Osowska <i>WHITE &amp; CASE M. STUDNIAREK I WSPÓLNICY - KANCELARIA PRAWNA SP.K.</i>
Karen Ocampo <i>OCAMPO &amp; SURALVO LAW OFFICES</i>	Manilyn Rose Setelo <i>ISLA LIPANA &amp; CO.</i>	Olga Chodorowska <i>KAMIŃSKI &amp; PARTNERS KANCELARIA PRAWNICZA SP.K.</i>	Tomasz Kański <i>SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI &amp; SZLĘZAK</i>	Tomasz Ostrowski <i>WHITE &amp; CASE M. STUDNIAREK I WSPÓLNICY - KANCELARIA PRAWNA SP.K.</i>
Gwyneth Ong <i>MARTINEZ VERGARA GONZALEZ &amp; SERRANO</i>	Felicia Suzette Soria <i>ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA &amp; CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)</i>	Małgorzata Chruciak <i>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA</i>	Iwona Karasek-Wojciechowicz <i>JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY</i>	Slawomir Paruch <i>RACZKOWSKI PARUCH LAW FIRM IUS LABORIS POLAND GLOBAL HR LAWYERS</i>
		Łukasz Chruściel <i>RACZKOWSKI PARUCH LAW FIRM IUS LABORIS POLAND GLOBAL HR LAWYERS</i>	Zbigniew Korba <i>DELOTTE DORADZTWO PODATKOWE SP. Z O.O.</i>	Krzysztof Pawlak <i>SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI &amp; SZLĘZAK</i>
		Jacek Korzeniewski <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>		

Agata Pawlak-Jaszczak <i>KANCELARIA PRAWNA PISZCZ, NOREK I WSPÓLNICY SP.K.</i>	Anna Wietrzyńska-Ciołkowska <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	João Cadete de Matos <i>BANCO DE PORTUGAL</i>	Tiago Gali Macedo <i>GALI MACEDO &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Ana Sofia Silva <i>CUATRECASAS, GONÇALVES PEREIRA, RL (PORTUGAL)</i>
Malgorzata Pietrzak-Paciorek <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Anna Wojciechowska <i>WKB WIERCIŃSKI, KWIECIŃSKI, BAEHR SP.K.</i>	Susana Caetano <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Ana Margarida Maia <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Rui Silva <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>
Mariusz Purgał <i>TOMASIK, PAKOSIEWICZ, GROELE ADWOKACI I RADCOWIE PRAWNI SP.P.</i>	Jakub Wolński <i>BNT NEUPERT ZAMORSKA &amp; ZAMORSKA PARTNERZY SP.J.</i>	Vicente Caldeira Pires <i>PEDRO RAPOSO &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Carlos Pedro Marques <i>EDP DISTRIBUIÇÃO - ENERGIA, SA</i>	João Silva Pereira <i>BARROCAS ADVOGADOS</i>
Anna Ratajczyk-Sałamacha <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW</i>	Steven Wood <i>BLACKSTONES</i>	Inês Calor <i>CICS.NOVA, UNIVERSIDADE NOVA DE LISBOA</i>	Catarina Medeiros <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Inês Sousa Godinho <i>GÓMEZ-ACEBO &amp; POMBO ABOGADOS, SLP SUCURSAL EM PORTUGAL</i>
Radosław Rudnik <i>CHAJEC, DON-SIEMION &amp; ZYTO LEGAL ADVISORS</i>	Anna Wyrzykowska <i>WKB WIERCIŃSKI, KWIECIŃSKI, BAEHR SP.K.</i>	Francisco Campilho <i>EDP DISTRIBUIÇÃO - ENERGIA, SA</i>	Patricia Melo Gomes <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Francisco Sousa Guedes <i>SGOC SOUSA GUEDES, OLIVEIRA COUTO &amp; ASSOCIADOS, SOC. ADVOGADOS RL</i>
Szymon Sakowski <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	Edyta Zalewska <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW</i>	Vitor Campos <i>NATIONAL LABORATORY FOR CIVIL ENGINEERING - LNEC</i>	Joaquim Luís Mendes <i>GRANT THORTON CONSULTORES LDA.</i>	Carmo Sousa Machado <i>ABREU ADVOGADOS</i>
Marek Sawicki <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	Maciej Zalewski <i>WHITE &amp; CASE M. STUDNIAREK I WSPÓLNICY - KANCELARIA PRAWNA SP.K.</i>	Rui Capote <i>PLÉN - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS, RL</i>	Andreia Morins <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Rui Souto <i>RAPOSO SÁ MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>
Gabriela Siegmund <i>SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWEKI &amp; SZLĘZAK</i>	Anna Zieman <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	Fernando Cardoso da Cunha <i>GALI MACEDO &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	António Mouteira Guerreiro <i>MOUTEIRA GUERREIRO, ROSA AMARAL &amp; ASSOCIADOS - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>	Ricardo Veloso <i>PWC ANGOLA</i>
Karol Skibniewski <i>SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWEKI &amp; SZLĘZAK</i>	Dariusz Zimnicki <i>CHAJEC, DON-SIEMION &amp; ZYTO LEGAL ADVISORS</i>	João Carneiro <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Petra Carreira <i>GARRIGUES PORTUGAL SLP - SUCURSAL</i>	Diogo Vitorino Martins <i>MOUTEIRA GUERREIRO, ROSA AMARAL &amp; ASSOCIADOS - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>
Michał Smitko-Pleszko <i>BLACKSTONES</i>	Agnieszka Ziółek <i>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA</i>	Isa Carvalho <i>MBS ADVOGADOS</i>	Eduardo Paulino <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	<b>PUERTO RICO (U.S.)</b>
Marek Sosnowski <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW</i>	Katarzyna Zukowska <i>WARDYŃSKI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Jaime Carvalho Esteves <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	João Branco Pedro <i>NATIONAL LABORATORY FOR CIVIL ENGINEERING - LNEC</i>	AUTORIDAD DE ENERGÍA ELÉCTRICA
Maciej Stepien <i>PWC POLAND</i>	Krzysztof Żyto <i>CHAJEC, DON-SIEMION &amp; ZYTO LEGAL ADVISORS</i>	Filipa Castanheira de Almeida <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Inga Petkelyte-Kilikeviciene <i>KPL LEGAL</i>	Martha L. Acevedo-Peña <i>O'NEILL &amp; BORGES LLC</i>
Małgorzata Studniarek <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	<b>PORTUGAL</b>			
Michał Subocz <i>WHITE &amp; CASE M. STUDNIAREK I WSPÓLNICY - KANCELARIA PRAWNA SP.K.</i>	Victor Abrantes <i>INTERNATIONAL SALES AGENT</i>	Tiago Castanheira Marques <i>ABREU ADVOGADOS</i>	Pedro Catão Pinheiro <i>GALI MACEDO &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Olga Angueira <i>COLEGIO DE ARQUITECTOS Y ARQUITECTOS PAISAJISTAS DE PUERTO RICO</i>
Michał Suska <i>ENERGOMIX</i>	Anabela Aguilar Salvado <i>PEDRO RAPOSO &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	João Duarte de Sousa <i>GARRIGUES PORTUGAL SLP - SUCURSAL</i>	Acácio Pita Negrão <i>PLÉN - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS, RL</i>	Antonio A. Arias-Larcada <i>MCCONNELL VALDÉS LLC</i>
Filip Świtala <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Bruno Andrade Alves <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Sara Ferraz Mendonça <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Margarida Ramalho <i>ASSOCIAÇÃO DE EMPRESAS DE CONSTRUÇÃO, OBRAS PÚBLICAS E SERVIÇOS</i>	Hermann Bauer <i>O'NEILL &amp; BORGES LLC</i>
Jadwiga Szabat <i>ECOVIS SYSTEM REWIDENT SP. Z O.O.</i>	Igor Amarii <i>MBS ADVOGADOS</i>	Joana Andrade Correia <i>RAPOSO BERNARDO &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Ana Luisa Ferreira <i>ABREU ADVOGADOS</i>	Jorge Capó Matos <i>O'NEILL &amp; BORGES LLC</i>
Leonart Szanajca-Kossakowski <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	Luís Antunes <i>LUFTEC - TÉCNICAS ELÉTRICAS LDA</i>	Rita Ferreira Lopes <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Sara Reis <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Odemaris Chacon <i>ESTRELLA, LLC</i>
Emil Szczepanik <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Filipa Arantes Pedroso <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Eduardo Fonseca <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Maria João Ricou <i>CUATRECASAS, GONÇALVES PEREIRA, RL (PORTUGAL)</i>	Manuel De Lemos <i>MANUEL DE LEMOS AIA ARQUITECTOS</i>
Łukasz Szegda <i>WARDYŃSKI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Miguel Azevedo <i>GARRIGUES PORTUGAL SLP - SUCURSAL</i>	Rui Glória <i>TOCHA, CHAVES &amp; ASSOCIADOS, SROC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Ana Robin de Andrade <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Carla Diaz <i>PWC PUERTO RICO</i>
Marcelina Szwed <i>DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.</i>	João Barza <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Nuno Gundar da Cruz <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Telmo Rodrigues <i>ABREU ADVOGADOS</i>	Antonio Escudero Viera <i>MCCONNELL VALDÉS LLC</i>
Maciej Szwedowski <i>SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS</i>	Manuel P. Barrocas <i>BARROCAS ADVOGADOS</i>	Pedro Rosa <i>GARRIGUES PORTUGAL SLP - SUCURSAL</i>	Filomena Rosa <i>INSTITUTO DOS REGISTOS E DO NOTARIADO</i>	Ubaldo Fernandez <i>O'NEILL &amp; BORGES LLC</i>
Karol Tatara <i>KANCELARIA PRAWA RESTRUKTURYZACYJNEGO I UPADŁOŚCIOWEGO TATARĄ I WSPOLPRACOWNICY</i>	Jeanine Batalha Ferreira <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Tiago Lemos <i>PLÉN - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS, RL</i>	Francisco Salgueiro <i>NEVILLE DE ROUGEMONT &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Alfonso Fernández <i>IVY GROUP</i>
Dariusz Tokarczuk <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW</i>	Mark Bekker <i>BEKKER LOGÍSTICA</i>	Bruno Lobato <i>MOUTEIRA GUERREIRO, ROSA AMARAL &amp; ASSOCIADOS - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>	Maria do Ceu Santiago <i>MBS ADVOGADOS</i>	Nelson William González <i>COLEGIO DE NOTARIOS DE PUERTO RICO</i>
Mateusz Tuśznio <i>WARDYŃSKI &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Antonio Belmar da Costa <i>ASSOCIAÇÃO DOS AGENTES DE NAVEGAÇÃO DE PORTUGAL (AGEPOR)</i>	Andréa Bento Simões <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Filipe Santos Barata <i>GÓMEZ-ACEBO &amp; POMBO ABOGADOS, SLP SUCURSAL EM PORTUGAL</i>	Pedro Janer <i>CMA ARCHITECTS &amp; ENGINEERS LLP</i>
Dominika Wagrodzka <i>BNT NEUPERT ZAMORSKA &amp; ZAMORSKA PARTNERZY SP.J.</i>	Andrea Bento Simões <i>MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Helga Lopes Ribeiro <i>MOUTEIRA GUERREIRO, ROSA AMARAL &amp; ASSOCIADOS - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL</i>	Cláudia Santos Malaquias <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Rubén M. Medina-Lugo <i>CANCIO, NADAL, RIVERA &amp; DÍAZ</i>
Emilia Waszkiewicz <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	João Bettencourt da Camara <i>CREDINFORMAÇÕES - EQUIFAX</i>	João Bettencourt da Camara <i>PEDRO RAPOSO &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Cristina Serrazina <i>PEDRO RAPOSO &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Oscar O. Meléndez-Sauri <i>MALLEY TAMARGO &amp; MELÉNDÉZ-SAURI LLC</i>
Cezary Wernic <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>				Juan Carlos Méndez <i>REICHARD &amp; ESCALERA</i>
Sebastian Wieczorek <i>DENTONS</i>				Antonio Molina <i>PIETRANTONI MÉNDEZ &amp; ALVAREZ LLC</i>

Luis Mongil-Casasnovas <i>CANCIO, NADAL, RIVERA &amp; DÍAZ</i>	Priyanka Bhandari <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	Murad Sawalha <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Oana Cornescu <i>TUCA ZBÂRCEA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Alexandra Ichim
Jose Armando Morales Rodriguez <i>JAM CARGO SALES INC.</i>	Alexis Coleman <i>PINSENT MASONS LLP</i>	Zain Al Abdin Sharar <i>QATAR INTERNATIONAL COURT AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION CENTRE</i>	Sergiu Crețu <i>TUCA ZBÂRCEA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Alina Ignat <i>REGIONAL INSPECTORATE FOR CONSTRUCTIONS BUCHAREST</i>
Jhansel Núñez <i>ATTORNEY</i>	Michael Earley <i>SULTAN AL-ABDULLA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Ali Sophie <i>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>	Alexandru Cristea <i>TUCA ZBÂRCEA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Mariana Ionescu <i>ORDINUL ARHITECTILOR DIN ROMANIA</i>
Virmarily Pacheco <i>COLEGIO DE NOTARIOS DE PUERTO RICO</i>	Fouad El Haddad <i>LALIVE LLC</i>	Abdul Aziz Mohammed Sorour <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Tiberiu Csaki <i>DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL</i>	Cătălina Iordache <i>BUCHAREST CITY HALL</i>
Diego R. Puello Álvarez <i>MCCONNELL VALDÉS LLC</i>	Ahmed Eljaale <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Tabara Sy <i>LALIVE LLC</i>	Radu Damaschin <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Diana Emanuela Ispas <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>
Marta Ramirez <i>O'NEILL &amp; BORGES LLC</i>	Mohammed Fouad <i>SULTAN AL-ABDULLA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	<b>ROMANIA</b>	Anca Danilescu <i>ZAMFIRESCU RACOTI &amp; PARTNERS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Horia Ispas <i>TUCA ZBÂRCEA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>
Roberto E. Reyes Perez <i>REYES PEREZ &amp; ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Dalal K. Farhat Harb <i>FD CONSULT</i>	ARHIPAR SRL	Dan Dascalu <i>D&amp;B DAVID ȘI BAIAS LAW FIRM</i>	Cristian Lina <i>EVERSHEDS LINA &amp; GUIA SCA</i>
Jesus Rivera <i>BANCO POPULAR DE PUERTO RICO</i>	Walid Honein <i>BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES</i>	Elena Abdulgani <i>MCGREGOR &amp; PARTNERS SCA</i>	Adrian Deaconu <i>TAXHOUSE SRL</i>	Edita Lovin <i>RETIRED JUDGE OF ROMANIAN SUPREME COURT OF JUSTICE</i>
Kenneth Rivera-Robles <i>FPV &amp; GALÍNDIZ CPAS, PSC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Rafiq Jaffer <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Daniel Alexie <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>	Luminița Dima <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Ileana Lucian <i>MUŞAT &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>
Victor Rodriguez <i>MULTITRANSPORT &amp; MARINE CO.</i>	Tamsyn Jones <i>KPMG QATAR</i>	Cosmin Anghel <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>	Rodica Dobre <i>PWC ROMANIA</i>	Madalina Mailat <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>
Victor Rodriguez <i>PWC PUERTO RICO</i>	Dani Kabbani <i>EVERSHEDS</i>	Mihai Anghel <i>TUCA ZBÂRCEA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Monia Dobrescu <i>MUŞAT &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Smaranda Mandrescu <i>POP &amp; PARTNERS SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Edgardo Rosa <i>FPV &amp; GALÍNDIZ CPAS, PSC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Upali Kashthuriarachchi <i>PWC QATAR</i>	Gabriela Anton <i>TUCA ZBÂRCEA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Constantin Dragos-Mircea <i>OFFICE OF ARCHITECTURE</i>	Gelu Titus Maravela <i>MARAVELA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>
Jorge M. Ruiz Montilla <i>MCCONNELL VALDÉS LLC</i>	Pradeep Kumar <i>DIAMOND SHIPPING SERVICES</i>	Francesco Atanasio <i>ENEL</i>	Laura Adina Duca <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Alexandra-Mikaela Mărășoiu <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>
Jaime Santos <i>PIETRANTONI MÉNDEZ &amp; ALVAREZ LLC</i>	Frank Lucente <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Ioana Avram <i>EVERSHEDS LINA &amp; GUIA SCA</i>	Alina Dumitrascu <i>CABINET CONSULTANTA ECONOMICA MERCESCU</i>	Neil McGregor <i>MCGREGOR &amp; PARTNERS SCA</i>
Tania Vazquez Maldonado <i>BANCO POPULAR DE PUERTO RICO</i>	Seem Maleh <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Andreea Badea <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Andrei Badiu <i>3B EXPERT AUDIT - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Mariana Mercescu <i>CABINET CONSULTANTA ECONOMICA MERCESCU</i>
Raúl Vidal y Sepúlveda <i>OMNIA ECONOMIC SOLUTIONS LLC</i>	Julie Menhem <i>EVERSHEDS</i>	Georgiana Balan <i>D&amp;B DAVID ȘI BAIAS LAW FIRM</i>	Nastasia Dumitru <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Mirela Metea <i>MARAVELA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>
<b>QATAR</b>	Muhammad Mitha <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Andrea Badea <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Francesco Atanasio <i>ENEL (FORMER ELECTRICA MUNTEANIA SUD)</i>	Maria Cristina Metelet <i>POP &amp; PARTNERS SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Hani Al Naddaf <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Peter Motti <i>DENTONS</i>	Ioana Avram <i>EVERSHEDS LINA &amp; GUIA SCA</i>	Iulia Ferăstrău-Grigore <i>MARAVELA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Cătălina Mihăilescu <i>TUCA ZBÂRCEA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>
Abdulla Mohamed Al Naimi <i>QATAR CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Sujani Nisansala <i>PWC QATAR</i>	Andrei Badiu <i>3B EXPERT AUDIT - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Raluca Gabor <i>TUCA ZBÂRCEA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Stefan Mihaescu <i>D&amp;B DAVID ȘI BAIAS LAW FIRM</i>
Grace Alam <i>BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES</i>	Neil O'Brien <i>PWC QATAR</i>	Mihai Buciuman <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Adriana Gaspar <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Mihaela Mitroi <i>PWC ROMANIA</i>
Rashed Albuflasa <i>NOBLE GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i>	Ferdinand Ray Ona II <i>NOBLE GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i>	Sandra Cahu <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Monica Georgiadis <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Marian Mustareata <i>NATIONAL BANK OF ROMANIA</i>
Mohammad Alkhalifa <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Michael Palmer <i>SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (MEA) LLP</i>	Maria Cambien <i>PWC ROMANIA</i>	Ştefan Ghenciulescu <i>ORDINUL ARHITECTILOR DIN ROMANIA</i>	Adriana Neagoe <i>NATIONAL BANK OF ROMANIA</i>
Maitha Al-Naemi <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Sony Pereira <i>NATIONAL SHIPPING AND MARINE SERVICES COMPANY WLL</i>	Victor Cândea <i>STATE INSPECTORATE FOR CONSTRUCTIONS</i>	George Ghitu <i>MUŞAT &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Larisa-Georgiana Negoiș <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>
Maryam Al-Thani <i>QATAR CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Johnson Rajan <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	Ioana Cercel <i>D&amp;B DAVID ȘI BAIAS LAW FIRM</i>	Fanizzi Giuseppe <i>ENEL</i>	Manuela Marina Nestor <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>
Zied Alzobi <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Sohaib Rubbani <i>PWC QATAR</i>	Marius Chelaru <i>STOICA &amp; ASOCIAȚII - SOCIETATE CIVILĂ DE AVOCATI</i>	Magda Grigore <i>MARAVELA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Andreea Nica <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>
Amira Awad <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Lilia Sabbagh <i>BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES</i>	Teodor Chirvase <i>JINGA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Adina Grosu <i>DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL</i>	Theodor Catalin Nicolescu <i>NICOLESCU &amp; PERIANU LAW FIRM</i>
Imran Ayub <i>KPMG QATAR</i>	Mohamed Samy <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Razvan Constantinescu <i>DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL</i>	Ana-Maria Hrituc <i>PROTOPOPESCU, PUSCAS SI ASOCIAȚII</i>	Raluca Onufreicu <i>SĂVESCU &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>
Piyush Bhandari <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>		Paula Corban <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Florentina Hurdubei <i>TUCA ZBÂRCEA &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>	Gabriela Oprea <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>
		Anamaria Corbescu <i>DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL</i>	Romina Iancu <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Andrei Ormenean <i>MUŞAT &amp; ASOCIAȚII</i>
		Camelia Iantuc <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>	Camelia Iantuc <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>	Bogdan Papandopol <i>DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL</i>
				Mircea Parvu <i>SCPA PARVU SI ASOCIAȚII</i>
				Gheorghe Pătrașcu <i>BUCHAREST CITY HALL</i>

Laurentiu Petre SÄVESCU & ASOCIAȚII	Tania Stefanita TAXHOUSE SRL	Teymur Akhundov ALRUD LAW FIRM	Svetlana Demicheva DENTONS	Nadezhda Karavanova DEPARTMENT OF URBAN PLANNING POLICY OF MOSCOW
Sergiu Petrea SC TECTO ARHTECTURA SRL	Sorin Corneliu Stratula STRATULA MOCANU & ASOCIAȚII	Vera Akimkina UNIFEEDER	German Derbushev PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL	Kamil Karibov BEITEN BURKHARDT RECHTSANWÄLTE (ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW)
Ana Maria Placintescu MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII	Cătălina Sucaci MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII	Anatoly E. Andriash NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP	Yana Dianova GRATA INTERNATIONAL	Pavel Karpunin CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES
Carolina Pleșniuc EVERSHEDS LINA & GUIA SCA	Alina Tacea MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII	Aleksei Anisimov KHAZOV, KASHKIN & PARTNERS	Daniel Dmitriev ENERGIA LLC	Ekaterina Karunets BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED
Claudiu Pop POP & PARTNERS SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Felix Tapai	Diana Tătulescu NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN	Olga Duchenko KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Roman Kashkin KHAZOV, KASHKIN & PARTNERS
Mihai Popa MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII	Amelia Teis D&B DAVID SAI BAIAS LAW FIRM	Vitaly Anisimov GRATA INTERNATIONAL	Elizaveta Dvoishnikova CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Ivan Khaydurov HOUGH TROFIMOV & PARTNERS
Alina Elena Popescu MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII	Iulian Tepure ORDINUL ARHITECTILOR DIN ROMANIA	Irina Anyukhina ALRUD LAW FIRM	Arslan Dyakiev PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL	Evgeny Khazanov ROLL STANDARD
Iulian Popescu MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII	Ciprian Timofte TUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII	Suren Avakov AVAKOV TARASOV & PARTNERS	Natalia Dybina KHAZOV, KASHKIN & PARTNERS	Snezhana Kitaeva LENENERGO
Mariana Popescu NATIONAL BANK OF ROMANIA	Anda Todor DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL	Vladimir S. Averyanov LAW OFFICE OF Averyanov & OLENEV	Sergey Fedorov BORENIUS ATTORNEYS RUSSIA LTD.	Ksenia Kochneva DLA PIPER
Tiberiu Potyesz BITRANS LTD.	Adela Topescu PWC ROMANIA	Anna Babich MANNHEIMER SWARTLING	Victoria Feleshtin LEVINE BRIDGE	Vadim Kolomnikov DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
Olga Preda POP & PARTNERS SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Andra Trantea DLA PIPER DINU SCA	Vladimir Barbolin CLIFFORD CHANCE	Ilya Fomin GOLSBLAT BLP	Oleg Kolotilov KULKOV, KOLOTILOV & PARTNERS (KK&P)
Elena Monica Preotescu DLA PIPER DINU SCA	Sebastian Radocea TUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII	Marc Bartholomy CLIFFORD CHANCE	Dzhaniko Gagua N-LOGISTICS	Aleksey Konevsky PEPELIAEV GROUP
Magdalena Raducanu DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL	Madalina Trifan DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL	Gleb Bazarin LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM	Marsel Galautdinov JSC LUKOIL	Anastasia Konovalova NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP
Alexandra Radulescu DLA PIPER DINU SCA	Andrei Vartires DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL	Edward Bekeschenko BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED	Victoria Belykh OKB - UNITED CREDIT BUREAU	Vadim Konyushkevich LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM
Dana Rădulescu	Argentina Rafail DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL	Cristina Tutuianu PWC ROMANIA	Evgenia Belokon NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP	Alexander Korkin PEPELIAEV GROUP
Corina Ricman CLIFFORD CHANCE BAEDA SCA	Cosmin Vasilescu DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL	Artem Berlin KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Mikhail Beshtoyev FWD FREIGHT AND FORWARDING	Evgenia Korotkova DECHERT LLP
Bogdan Riti MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII	Cristina Gabriela Vedel POP & PARTNERS SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Dmitry Bessolitsyn PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL	Vladimir Grigoriyev COMMITTEE ON URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ARCHITECTURE OF ST PETERSBURG	Evgeniy Koshkarov ARVIST
Ioan Roman MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII	Luigi Vendrami DHL INTERNATIONAL ROMANIA	Roman Bevzenko PEPELIAEV GROUP	Lidia Gorshkova PEPELIAEV GROUP	Igor Kostennikov YUST LAW FIRM
Angela Rosca TAXHOUSE SRL	Daniel Nicolae Vinerean	Ekateryna Boeva ALRUD LAW FIRM	Anton Grebenikov FWD FREIGHT AND FORWARDING	Vadim Kovalyov CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES
Florica Salayatah STATE INSPECTORATE FOR CONSTRUCTIONS	Maria Vlad JINGA & ASOCIAȚII	Sergey Bogatyev BEITEN BURKHARDT RECHTSANWÄLTE (ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW)	Vladimir Grigoriyev COMMITTEE ON URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ARCHITECTURE OF ST PETERSBURG	Dmitriy Kozlov UNITED CONSULTING GROUP
Cristina Sandu TAXHOUSE SRL	Andrei Zaharescu BUCHAREST CITY HALL	Andrey Bondarchuk COMMITTEE ON URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ARCHITECTURE OF ST PETERSBURG	Igor Gushev DUVERNOIX LEGAL	Alyona Kozyreva NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP
Raluca Sanucean TUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII	Stefan Zamfirescu ZAMFIRESCU RACOTI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Natalia Borisevich UNITED CONSULTING GROUP	Teymur Guseynov EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Leonid Kropotov DLA PIPER
Andrei Săvescu SÄVESCU & ASOCIAȚII	FEARLESS FEDERAL CUSTOMS SERVICE	Julia Borozdina PEPELIAEV GROUP	George Gutiev GOLSBLAT BLP	Ekaterina Krylova AGENCY FOR STRATEGIC INITIATIVES
Corina Simion PWC ROMANIA	FEDERAL SERVICE FOR STATE REGISTRATION, CADASTER AND CARTOGRAPHY IN MOSCOW	Thomas Brand BRAND & PARTNER	Roman Ibrivay MOESK	Elena Kukushkina BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED
Alina Solschi MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII	FEDERAL SERVICE FOR STATE REGISTRATION, CADASTER AND CARTOGRAPHY IN ST. PETERSBURG	Olga Chirkova HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.	Anton Isakov GOLSBLAT BLP	Leonid Kulakov COMMITTEE ON URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ARCHITECTURE OF ST PETERSBURG
Oana Soviani DENTONS EUROPE - TODOR SI ASOCIAȚII SPARL	HYUNDAI MOTOR MANUFACTURING RUS, LLC	Alexander Chizhov EY	Roman Ishmukhametov BAKER & MCKENZIE	Dmitry Kunitsa MORGAN LEWIS
Diana Stan ORDINUL ARHITECTILOR DIN ROMANIA	INTRANS	Dmitry Churin CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Polina Kachkina KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Roman Kuzmin LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM
Georgiana Stan DLA PIPER DINU SCA	MOSENERGOSBYT	Marat Davletbaev NEKTOROV, SAVELIEV & PARTNERS	Nikita Kalinichenko NEKTOROV, SAVELIEV & PARTNERS	Sergei L. Lazarev RUSSIN & VECCHI
Ionut Stancu NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN	SAINT PETERSBURG SUPPLY COMPANY	Darya Degtyareva ALRUD LAW FIRM	Maxim Kalinin BAKER & MCKENZIE	Ekaterina Lazorina PWC RUSSIA
Marie-Jeanna Stefanescu RATEN-CITON	WHITE & CASE LLP RUSSIA	Andrei Afanasiiev BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED	Lilia Kalinina PENNVILLE HOLDINGS LIMITED	Bogdan Lebed BUDMAKS CONSTRUCTION

Sergei Lee CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN INTERNATIONAL LTD.	Kliment Nechaev CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Artem Samoylov LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM	Alexandra Ulezko KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Natacha Bugondo Flavia Busingye EAST AFRICAN COMMUNITY SECRETARIAT
Evgenny Lidzhiev LIDINGS LAW FIRM	Tatiana Nikolayevna Nekrasova MOESK	Kirill Saskov KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Olga Varlamova PENNIVILLE HOLDINGS LIMITED	Louis de Gonzague Mukerangabo VISION TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY
Sergey Likhachev GOLSBLAT BLP	Dmitry Nekrestyanov KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Ulf Schneider SCHNEIDER GROUP	Anastasia Vasilieva BEITEN BURKHARDT RECHTSANWÄLTE (ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW)	Paul Frobisher Mugambwa PWC
Anastasiya Likhanova GEOMETRIYA	Tatyana Neveeva EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Maxim Semenyako IUSLAND LAW OFFICES	Sergey Vasiliev DLA PIPER	Claver Gakwau RWANDA ENERGY GROUP
Gregory Linkov CENTER FOR INNOVATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FOUNDATION	Petr Nikitenko LIDINGS LAW FIRM	Vladimir Shabanov YT SAINT-PETERSBURG JSC	Artem Vasutin DELOITTE & TOUCHE CIS	Patrick Gashagaza GPO PARTNERS RWANDA
Yulia Litovtseva PEPELIAEV GROUP	Alexey Nikitin BORENUS ATTORNEYS RUSSIA LTD.	Alexei Shcherbakov TSDS GROUP OF COMPANIES	Inna Vavilova PRIME ADVICE ST. PETERSBURG LAW OFFICE	Jean Havugimana ECODESEP LTD.
Dmitry Lobachev KHRENOV & PARTNERS	Pavel Novikov BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED	Alexander Shevchuk ASSOCIATION OF INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS	Stanislav Veselov ALRUD LAW FIRM	Francois Xavier Kalinda UNIVERSITY OF RWANDA
Maxim Losik CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN INTERNATIONAL LTD.	Anton Novoseltsev LIDINGS LAW FIRM	Yulia Aleksandrovna Shirokova MOESK	Denis E. Voedovin DENTONS	Désiré Kamanzi ENSAFRICA RWANDA
Stepan Lubavsky FINEC	Gennady Odarich PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL	Vladimir Skrynnik JUST PRIVATUM LAW FIRM	Alekssei Volkov NATIONAL BUREAU OF CREDIT HISTORIES	Wilson Karegyeya RWANDA UTILITIES AND REGULATORY AUTHORITY
Yulia Ludinova COMMITTEE ON URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ARCHITECTURE OF ST PETERSBURG	Elena Ogawa LEVINE BRIDGE	Yury Smolin DE BERTI JACCIA FRANCHINI FORLANI STUDIO LEGALE	Yuriy Vorobyev PEPELIAEV GROUP	Tushabe Karim RWANDA DEVELOPMENT BOARD
Sergey Lyadov TRANS BUSINESS	Irina Onikienko CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Mikhail Sergeevich Smolko GSP GROUP	Viktoria Aleksandrovna Vostrosablina MOESK	Didas Kayihura FOUNTAIN ADVOCATES
Ilya Lyubchenko UNITED CONSULTING GROUP	Julia Oprenko NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP	Nikolay Solodovnikov PEPELIAEV GROUP	Andrey Yakushin CENTRAL BANK OF RUSSIA	Eudes Kayumba LANDMARK STUDIO
Aleksandr Lyuboserdov PROFESSIONAL LEGAL CENTER	Ekaterina Orlova ATTORNEY	Julia Solomkina LEVINE BRIDGE	Vadim Yudenkov OOO GEOTECHNIC	Théophile Kazeneza CABINET D'AVOCATS KAZENEZA
Alexei Yurievich Makarovskiy MOESK	Olga Pankova BAKER & MCKENZIE	Ksenia Soloschenko CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN INTERNATIONAL LTD.	Sergey Yurov MONASTYRSKY, ZYUBA, STEPANOV & PARTNERS	Valence Kimeny NATIONAL BANK OF RWANDA
Bagel Maksim Anatolyevich GARANT ENERGO	Sergey Petrachkov ALRUD LAW FIRM	Elena Solovyeva AGENCY FOR STRATEGIC INITIATIVES	Vladislav Zabrodin CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Patrice Manirakiza REPRO LTD.
Sofya Mamanova NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP	Maya Petrova BORENUS ATTORNEYS RUSSIA LTD.	Sergey Sosnovsky PEPELIAEV GROUP	Roman Zaitsev DENTONS	Isaïe Mhayimana ZENITH LAW FIRM
Alisa Manaka MOESK	Sergei Pikin ENERGY DEVELOPMENT FUND	Ksenia Stepanisheva LIDINGS LAW FIRM	Marina Zaykova CLOSED STOCK COMPANY STS ENERGY	Alvin Mihigo R & PARTNERS LAW FIRM
Vilena Mandrika RUSSIN & VECCHI	Ivan Podbereznjak DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP	Elena Subocheva RUSSIN & VECCHI	Andrey Zelenin LIDINGS LAW FIRM	Calvin Mitali EQUITY JURIS CHAMBERS
Igor Marmalidi PEPELIAEV GROUP	Anna Ponomareva GOLSBLAT BLP	Ilya Sukharnikov EV VALUATION AND ADVISORY SERVICES LLC	Andrey Zharskiy ALRUD LAW FIRM	Merard Mpabwanamaguru CITY OF KIGALI - ONE STOP CENTER FOR CONSTRUCTION
Igor Matveyev BORENUS ATTORNEYS RUSSIA LTD.	Sergei Vladimirovich Popov OOO SKIV	Andrey Sukhov DEPARTMENT OF URBAN PLANNING POLICY OF MOSCOW	Roman Zhavner EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Alex Mugire RWANDA CUSTOMS
Ekaterina Mayorova ALRUD LAW FIRM	Ilya Povetkin LENENERGO	Fredrik Svensson MANNHEIMER SWARTLING	Artem Zhavoronkov DENTONS	Richard Mugisha TRUST LAW CHAMBERS
Vladimir Meleshin EXPRESS REGISTRATOR	Natalia Prisekina RUSSIN & VECCHI	Dagadina Svetlana CLIFF LEGAL SERVICES	Evgeny Zhilin YUST LAW FIRM	Elonie Mukandoli NATIONAL BANK OF RWANDA
Anastasia Mergasova CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Svetlana Prokofieva LENENERGO	Dmitry Tarasov AVAKOV TARASOV & PARTNERS	Ekaterina Znamenskaya NEKTOROV, SAVELIEV & PARTNERS	Léopold Munderere CABINET D'AVOCATS-CONSEILS
Maria Mikhailova MORGAN LEWIS	Daniil Riven BAKER & MCKENZIE	Julia Tarasova LEVINE BRIDGE	<b>RWANDA</b>	Jacques Munyandamutsa RWANDA ENERGY UTILITY CORPORATION LIMITED
Stanislav Mikhailov HOLDING RBI	Anton Romanov DMSTR CONSTRUCTION	Ilya Tarbaev ABZ-DORSTROY	BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS	Pascal Mutesa RWANDA ENERGY UTILITY CORPORATION LIMITED
Nadezhda Minina NEKTOROV, SAVELIEV & PARTNERS	Alexander Rostovsky CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN INTERNATIONAL LTD.	Vladlena Terekhina PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL	GM CORPORATE CONSULT LIMITED (GMCC)	Emmanuel Abijuru CAPITAL PERFORMANCE ADVOCATES
Dmitry Mishin PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL	Kirill Rubashevskiy LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM	Tatiana Tereshchenko PRIME ADVICE ST. PETERSBURG LAW OFFICE	Angel Phionah Ampurire TRUST LAW CHAMBERS	Patrick Mutimura BMP CONSULTING
Michael Morozov KPMG RUSSIA	Ekaterina Rudova CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Evgeny Timofeev GOLSBLAT BLP	Ray Amusengeri PWC	Pothin Muvara RWANDA NATURAL RESOURCES AUTHORITY, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF LAND TITLES
Natalya Morozova VINSON & ELKINS	Alexander Rudyakov YUST LAW FIRM	Evgeni Tregubenko T&T SERVICES, LLC	Richard Balenzi TRUST LAW CHAMBERS	Grace Nishimwe RWANDA NATURAL RESOURCES AUTHORITY, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF LAND TITLES
Ivan Nasonov N-LOGISTICS	Anna Rybalko DELOITTE & TOUCHE CIS	Sergey A. Treshchev SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS MOSCOW LLC	Alberto Basomingera CABINET ZÉNITH LAW FIRM	Aimable Nkuranga TRANSUNION RWANDA
Elena Nazarova SCHNEIDER GROUP	Marianna Rybynok KHRENOV & PARTNERS	Alexander Tsakoev NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP		Martin Nkurunziza GPO PARTNERS RWANDA
	Gudisa Sakania MOESK			

Pius Ntzazinda <i>TRUST LAW CHAMBERS</i>	Keilani Soloi <i>SOLOI SURVEY SERVICES</i>	Giuseppe Ragini <i>STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE GIUSEPPE RAGINI</i>	Abdulay Godinho <i>DIRECÇÃO DOS REGISTOS E NOTARIADO DE SÃO TOMÉ</i>	Omar Al Ansari <i>LEGAL ADVISORS, ABDULAZIZ I. AL-AJLAN &amp; PARTNERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE LIMITED</i>
Fred Nuwagaba <i>EAST AFRICAN COMMUNITY SECRETARIAT</i>	Leiataua Tom Tinai <i>INSTITUTION OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS SAMOA (IPES)</i>	Daniela Reffi <i>UFFICIO TECNICO DEL CATASTO</i>	Ronísima Gomes Santana <i>BANCO INTERNACIONAL DE SÃO TOMÉ E PRÍNCIPE</i>	Fayez Al Debs <i>PWC SAUDI ARABIA</i>
Christy Nyarwaya <i>PWC</i>	Leilani Va'a-Tamat <i>VAAI HOGLUND &amp; TAMATI LAW FIRM</i>	Marco Giancarlo Rossini <i>STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE</i>	Fernando Lima da Trindade <i>MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS, GEOGRAPHICAL-CADASTRE, NATURAL RESOURCES, AND ENVIRONMENT</i>	Abdulrahman Saleh Alzeraigi Al Sohaibani <i>ABDULNASIR AL SOHAIBANI</i>
Emile Nzabamwita <i>CASE CONSULTANTS</i>	Shane Wulf <i>MWK LAWYERS</i>		Pascoal Lima Dos Santos Daio <i>LAWYER</i>	Abdullah Al Tamimi <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>
Dieudonne Nzafashwanayo <i>ENSAFRICA RWANDA</i>			João Mayer Moreira <i>VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Sulaiman Al Tuwajri <i>SAUDI ARABIAN GENERAL INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</i>
Aaron Nzeiyimana <i>CMA-CGM RWANDA</i>			Herlander Rossi Medeiros <i>DIRECÇÃO GERAL DOS REGISTROS E DO NOTARIADO</i>	Khalid Al-Abdulkareem <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE</i>
Seth Ochieng <i>HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC</i>	Renzo Balsimelli <i>UFFICIO URBANISTICA</i>		Silvino Mendes <i>DIRECÇÃO DE OBRAS PÚBLICAS E URBANISMO</i>	Luay Alasmr <i>ALAMR GROUP COMPANY FOR ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY</i>
Nelson Ogara <i>PWC</i>	Dennis Beccari <i>AVV. ERIKA MARANI</i>	António de Barros A. Aguiar <i>SOCOGESTA</i>	Manuel Morais <i>EQUADOR - VIAGENS E TURISMO LDA (AGENTE BELLETRANS)</i>	Nizar Al-Awwad <i>SAUDI CREDIT BUREAU - SIMAH</i>
Josue Penalosa Quispe <i>BRALIRWA LTD.</i>	Gian Luca Belluzzi <i>STUDIO COMMERCIALE BELLUZZI</i>	Eudes Aguiar <i>AGUIAR &amp; PEDRONHO STUDIO</i>	Raul Mota Cerveira <i>VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Fahad AlDehais <i>EVERSHEDS LAW FIRM</i>
Fred Rwihindu <i>RFM ENGINEERING LTD.</i>	Gianna Burgagni <i>STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE</i>	Adelino Amado Pereira <i>AMADO PEREIRA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Victor Nascimento <i>DESPACHANTE VICTOR NASCIMENTO</i>	Eisa Aleisa <i>SAUDI ARABIA CUSTOMS</i>
Yves Sangano <i>RWANDA DEVELOPMENT BOARD, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL OF RWANDA</i>	Cecilia Cardogna <i>STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE</i>	André Aureliano Aragão <i>JURICONSULTA &amp; ADVOGADO</i>	Virna Neves <i>STP COUNSEL, MEMBER OF THE MIRANDA ALLIANCE</i>	Nasser AlFaraj <i>LEGAL ADVISORS, ABDULAZIZ I. AL-AJLAN &amp; PARTNERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE LIMITED</i>
Pierre Valery Singizumukiza <i>SINGIZUMUKIZA PIERRE VALERY - NOTARY PUBLIC</i>	Vincent Cecchetti <i>CECCHETTI, ALBANI &amp; ASSOCIATI</i>	Nuno Barata <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Zerna Nezef <i>STP COUNSEL, MEMBER OF THE MIRANDA ALLIANCE</i>	Afnan K. Al-Haboudal <i>AL-GHAZZAWI PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION</i>
Asante Twagira <i>ENSAFRICA RWANDA</i>	Debora Cenni	Jeanine Batalha Ferreira <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Anastácio Oliveira	Fatima Alhasan <i>LEGAL ADVISORS, ABDULAZIZ I. AL-AJLAN &amp; PARTNERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE LIMITED</i>
Nelly Umugwaneza	Alberto Chezzi <i>STUDIO CHEZZI</i>	Lara Beirao <i>CENTRAL BANK OF SÃO TOMÉ E PRÍNCIPE</i>	Ana Posser <i>POSSE DA COSTA ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS</i>	Nicholas Diacos Al-Hejailan <i>THE LAW FIRM OF SALAH AL-HEJAILAN</i>
M. Aimee Uwanyiligira <i>RWANDA ENERGY UTILITY CORPORATION LIMITED</i>	Marco Ciacci <i>BANCA AGRICOLA</i>	Angelo De Jesus Bonfim <i>AMADO PEREIRA &amp; ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Guilherme Posser da Costa <i>POSSE DA COSTA ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS</i>	Omar AlHoshan <i>ALHOSHAN CPAS &amp; CONSULTANTS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>
Maureen Wamahiu <i>TRANSUNION RWANDA</i>	Sara Cupioli <i>UFFICIO TRIBUTARIO DELLA REPUBBLICA DI SAN MARINO</i>	Miris Botelho Bernardo <i>TRIBUNAL DE 1A INSTANCIA DE SÃO TOME (JUIZO CIVEL)</i>	Cosme Bonfim Afonso Rita <i>CÂMARA DE COMÉRCIO, AGRICULTURA E SERVIÇOS</i>	Abdulaziz Alhussan <i>OSOOL LAW FIRM</i>
Stephen Zawadi <i>MILLENNIUM LAW CHAMBERS</i>	Alessandro de Mattia <i>AZIENDA AUTONOMA DI STATO PER I SERVIZI PUBBLICI</i>	Sukanya Braganca <i>BANCO INTERNACIONAL DE SÃO TOMÉ E PRÍNCIPE</i>	Ilma Salvaterra <i>GUICHÉ ÚNICO PARA EMPRESAS</i>	Naif Aljbaly <i>NAIF ALJBALY LAW FIRM</i>
<b>SAMOA</b>	<b>SEGRETERIA DI STATO INDUSTRIA ARTIGIANATO E COMMERCIO TRASPORTI E RICERCA - DIPARTIMENTO ECONOMIA</b>	Paula Caldeira Dutschmann <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Vitor Santos <i>EBIC - EMPRESA DE CONSTRUÇÃO CIVIL</i>	Sultan Almasoud <i>SHERMAN &amp; STERLING IN ASSOCIATION WITH DR. SULTAN ALMASOUD &amp; PARTNERS</i>
<i>BETHAM BROTHERS ENTERPRISES LTD.</i>	Marcello Forcellini <i>STUDIO CHEZZI</i>	Jaime Carvalho Esteves <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	Edinha Soares Lima <i>SOLIMA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Saud Almelhem <i>DEPARTMENT OF ZAKAT &amp; INCOME TAX</i>
<i>LESA MA PENN</i>	Davide Gasperoni <i>UFFICIO TRIBUTARIO DELLA REPUBBLICA DI SAN MARINO</i>	Tânia Cascais <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Manikson Trigueiros <i>POSSE DA COSTA ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS</i>	Aiman Meqham Almegham <i>AL-MEQHAM CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS</i>
Ferila Brown <i>PLANNING AND URBAN MANAGEMENT AGENCY</i>	Simone Gatti <i>WORLD LINE</i>	Mirian Castelo David Pontífice <i>BANCO INTERNACIONAL DE SÃO TOMÉ E PRÍNCIPE</i>	Afonso Varela <i>PRIVATE PRACTITIONER</i>	Nabil Abdullah Al-Mubarak
Lawrie Burich <i>QUANTUM CONTRAX LTD.</i>	Cinzia Gueretti <i>WORLD LINE</i>	Francisco Chibeles <i>ECOMOVEL</i>	Idalécio Viana	Naif I. Alnammi <i>SAUDI ARABIA CUSTOMS</i>
Shelley Burich <i>QUANTUM CONTRAX LTD.</i>	Anna Maria Lonfernini <i>STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE LONFERNINI</i>	Olinto Costa <i>DIRECTORATE OF TAXES</i>		Sultan Alqudairy <i>SAUDI CREDIT BUREAU - SIMAH</i>
Henry Tamotu Ah Ching	Erika Marani <i>AVV. ERIKA MARANI</i>	Inês Barbosa Cunha <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>		Waleed Khaled AlRudaian <i>SAUDI ARABIAN GENERAL INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</i>
Fiona EY <i>CLARKE EY LAWYERS</i>	Lucia Mazza <i>UFFICIO TECNICO DEL CATASTO</i>	Jaime de Oliveira <i>ODL &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>		Ahmad Alsadhan <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE</i>
Patrick Fepulea'i <i>FEPULEA'I &amp; SHUSTER</i>	Daniela Mina	Celiza Deus Lima <i>ODL &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>		Abdulmohsen Alshenify <i>SAUDI ARABIA CUSTOMS</i>
Taulapapa Brenda <i>Heather-Latu LATU LAWYERS</i>	Gianluca Minguzzi <i>ANTAO PROGETTI S.P.A.</i>	Cláudia do Carmo Santos <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>		
Komisi Koria <i>CLARKE EY LAWYERS</i>	Emanuela Montanari	Stela dos Santao Soares <i>POSSE DA COSTA ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS</i>		
Herman Kruse <i>KRUSE, ENARI &amp; BARLOW</i>	Lorenzo Moretti <i>STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE</i>	Agostinho Fernandes <i>BANCO INTERNACIONAL DE SÃO TOMÉ E PRÍNCIPE</i>		
Matafeo George Latu <i>LATU LAWYERS</i>	Alfredo Nicolini <i>LAWYER</i>	Edmar Ferriera Carvalho <i>LAWYER</i>		
Tima Leavai <i>LEAVAI LAW</i>	Sara Pelliccioni <i>STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE AVV. MATTEO MULARONI - IN ASSOCIAZIONE CON BUSSOLETTI NUZZO &amp; ASSOCIATI</i>	Maria Figueiredo <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>		
Peato Sam Ling <i>SAMOA SHIPPING SERVICES LTD.</i>		Salvador Fonseca <i>DIRECTORATE OF TAXES</i>		
Sala Theodore Sialau Toalepai <i>SAMOA SHIPPING SERVICES LTD.</i>				

Wisam AlSindi <i>ALSINDI LAW FIRM</i>	<b>SENEGAL</b>	Cheikh Loum Pouye <i>FINKONE TRANSIT SA</i>	Milan Brkovic <i>ASSOCIATION OF SERBIAN BANKS</i>	Ivan Krsikapa <i>NINKOVIC LAW OFFICE</i>
Abdullah Alsowayan <i>SAUDI ARABIAN MONETARY AGENCY</i>	BCEAO	Mamadou Mbaye <i>SCP MAME ADAMA GUEYE &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Marina Bulatovic <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Zach Kuvizić <i>KUVIZIC &amp; TADIC LAW OFFICE</i>
Hussain Alsudairy <i>MINISTRY OF MUNICIPAL AND RURAL AFFAIRS</i>	CREDITINFO VOLO	Ngouda Mbaye <i>HECTO ENERGY</i>	Marija Čabarkapa <i>AVS LEGAL</i>	Kosta D. Lazic <i>LAW OFFICE KOSTA D. LAZIC</i>
Faisal Alzamil <i>EVERSHEDS LAW FIRM</i>	Baba Aly Barro <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &amp; LEGAL SA</i>	Mamadou Berthe <i>ATELIER D'ARCHITECTURE</i>	Ana Čalić Turudija <i>PRICA &amp; PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Milan Lazić <i>KN KARANOVIĆ &amp; NIKOLIĆ</i>
Haroon Ansary <i>PWC SAUDI ARABIA</i>	Ibrahima Diagne <i>GAINDE 2000</i>	Ibrahim Mbaye <i>HECTO ENERGY</i>	Dragoljub Cibulić <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Ružica Mačukat <i>SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY (SBRA)</i>
Lamisse Bajunaid <i>ALSINDI LAW FIRM</i>	Amadou Diouldé Diallo <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'ASSAINISSEMENT</i>	Elodie Dagneaux Ndiaye <i>APIX AGENCE CHARGÉE DE LA PROMOTION DE L'INVESTISSEMENT ET DES GRANDS TRAVAUX</i>	Vladimir Dabić <i>THE INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR FINANCIAL MARKET DEVELOPMENT</i>	Miladin Maglov <i>SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY (SBRA)</i>
John Balouziyah <i>DENTONS</i>	Abdoul Aziz Dieng <i>CENTRE DE GESTION AGREE DE DAKAR</i>	Sadiel Ndiaye <i>SCP NDIAYE &amp; MBODJ</i>	Marina Djaciar <i>BELGRADE COMMERCIAL COURT</i>	Aleksandar Mančev <i>PRICA &amp; PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Nada Bashammakh <i>ALSINDI LAW FIRM</i>	Malick Dieng <i>CAFEX</i>	Absatou Ndiaye Samaké <i>GENI &amp; KEBE</i>	Milan Dakic <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Djordje Mijatov <i>LAW OFFICE ILIĆ</i>
Piyush Bhandari <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	Alioune Badara Diop <i>ONAS</i>	Amadou Diop <i>GAINDE 2000</i>	Jovica Damjanovic <i>DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Predrag Milenković <i>DRAŽIĆ, BEATOVIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Priyanka Bhandari <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	Angélique Pouye Diop <i>APIX AGENCE CHARGÉE DE LA PROMOTION DE L'INVESTISSEMENT ET DES GRANDS TRAVAUX</i>	Moustapha Ndiaye <i>CABINET MAÎTRE MOUSTAPHA NDOYE</i>	Vladimir Dašić <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Milena Mitić <i>KN KARANOVIĆ &amp; NIKOLIĆ</i>
Kamal El-Batnigi <i>KPMG</i>	Fodé Diop <i>ART INGÉNIERIE SUARL</i>	Macoumba Niang <i>REGISTRE DU COMMERCE ET DU CRÉDIT MOBILIER</i>	Gili Dekel <i>DIRECT CAPITAL S D.O.O.</i>	Aleksandar Mladenović <i>MLADENOVIC &amp; STANKOVIC IN COOPERATION WITH ROKAS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM</i>
Emad El-Hout <i>ALFANAR PRECAST</i>	Medieumbe Diouf <i>ONAS</i>	Herinjiva Tahirisoa <i>Rakotonirina</i>	Lidija Djeric <i>LAW OFFICES POPOVIC, POPOVIC &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Veljko Nešić <i>PRICA &amp; PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Majed Mohammed Garoub <i>LAW FIRM OF MAJED M. GAROUB</i>	Abdoulaye Drame <i>CABINET ABDOU LAYE DRAME</i>	Abibatou Samb-Diouck <i>ETUDE SAMB-DIOUCK</i>	Uroš Djordjević <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ &amp; SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Dimitrije Nikolić <i>GEBRUDER WEISS D.O.O.</i>
Fehem Hashmi <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE</i>	Fodé Diop <i>SCI LA PROMOBILIÈRE</i>	François Sarr <i>SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE D'AVOCATS FRANÇOIS SARR &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Zeljko Djurić <i>CONTINENTAL WIND</i>	Djurdje Ninković <i>NINKOVIC LAW OFFICE</i>
Amjad Husein <i>DENTONS</i>	Abdoulaye Drame <i>CABINET ABDOU LAYE DRAME</i>	Ibrahim Faye <i>SCI LA PROMOBILIÈRE</i>	Jelena Kuveljic Dmitric <i>Dragan Draca</i>	Bojana Noskov <i>WOLF THEISS</i>
Christopher H. Johnson <i>JOHNSON &amp; PUMP IN ASSOCIATION WITH AL-SHARIF LAW FIRM</i>	Fama de Sagama Fall Gueye <i>ONAS</i>	Moustapha Faye <i>SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE D'AVOCATS FRANÇOIS SARR &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Ilja Dražic <i>DRAŽIĆ, BEATOVIĆ &amp; PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Zvonko Obradović <i>SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY (SBRA)</i>
Zaid Mahayni <i>SEDCO HOLDING</i>	Ibrahim Faye <i>SCI LA PROMOBILIÈRE</i>	Catherine Faye Diop <i>ORDRE DES ARCHITECTES DU SÉNÉGAL</i>	Dragan Gajin <i>NEWTON LAW GROUP</i>	Igor Oličić <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA OLIJAC &amp; TODOROVIC</i>
Mohammed Majed AlQahtani <i>UNIFIED REGISTRY - MINISTRY OF COMMERCE &amp; INDUSTRY</i>	Balla Gnignue <i>SCP MAME ADAMA GUEYE &amp; ASSOCIÉS</i>	Ndèye Khoudia Tounkara <i>ETUDE ME MAYACINE TOUNKARA ET ASSOCIÉS</i>	Jovana Gavrilovic <i>PRICA &amp; PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Stefan Pavlović <i>MLADENOVIC &amp; STANKOVIC IN COOPERATION WITH ROKAS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM</i>
Justin McGettigan <i>KPMG</i>	Antoine Gomis <i>SCP SENGHOR &amp; SARR, NOTAIRES ASSOCIÉS</i>	Ibra Thiombane <i>CABINET JURAFRIK CONSEIL EN AFFAIRES (JCA)</i>	Jelena Gazivoda <i>LAW OFFICES JANKOVIĆ, POPOVIĆ &amp; MITIĆ</i>	Časlav Petrović <i>ZAVIŠIN SEMIZ &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Rukn Eldeen Mohammed <i>OMRANIA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Matthias Hubert <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &amp; LEGAL SA</i>	Senka Andelković <i>NATIONAL ALLIANCE FOR LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>	Danica Gligorjevic <i>PRICA &amp; PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Jasmina Petrović <i>CITY OF BELGRADE, URBANISM DEPARTMENT</i>
Humaid Mudhaffr <i>SAUDI CREDIT BUREAU - SIMAH</i>	Abdou Kader Konaté <i>ARCHITECTE DPLG</i>	Aleksandar Andrejic <i>PRICA &amp; PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Miloš Ilić <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ &amp; SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Mihajlo Prica <i>PRICA &amp; PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Grahame Nelson <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Malick Kandji <i>APIX AGENCE CHARGÉE DE LA PROMOTION DE L'INVESTISSEMENT ET DES GRANDS TRAVAUX</i>	Aleksandar Arsic <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CONSULTING D.O.O.</i>	Marko Janicijevic <i>TOMIC SINDELJIC GROZA LAW OFFICE</i>	Branka Rajicic <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CONSULTING D.O.O.</i>
Johnson Rajan <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	Abdou Dialy Kane <i>CABINET MAÎTRE ABDOU DIALY KANE</i>	Vlado Babic <i>AIR SPEED</i>	Ana Jankov <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Branimir Rajsic <i>KARANOVIC &amp; NIKOLIC LAW FIRM</i>
Faisal Saad Al-Bedah <i>SAUDI ARABIA CUSTOMS</i>	Mahi Kane <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &amp; LEGAL SA</i>	Jovan Beara <i>UVRA</i>	Mihajlo Jovanović <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA OLIJAC &amp; TODOROVIC</i>	Marko Repić <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA OLIJAC &amp; TODOROVIC</i>
Emad Salameh <i>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Sidy Kanoute <i>AVOCAT À LA COUR</i>	Slavko Bingulac <i>IMMORENT SINGIDUNUM D.O.O.</i>	Irena Kalmić <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Sonja Sehovac <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ &amp; SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>
Muhammad Anum Saleem <i>EVERSHEDS LAW FIRM</i>	Mouhammed Kebe <i>GENI &amp; KEBE</i>	Jelena Bojovic <i>NATIONAL ALLIANCE FOR LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>	Dušan Karalić <i>DMK TAX &amp; FINANCE</i>	Stojan Semiz <i>ZAVIŠIN SEMIZ &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Subahi Mohammed Subahi <i>AL-GHAZZAWI PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION</i>	Mamadou Lamine Ba <i>APIX</i>	Milica Košutić <i>LAW OFFICES JANKOVIĆ, POPOVIĆ &amp; MITIĆ</i>	Marija Karalić <i>DMK TAX &amp; FINANCE</i>	Neda Spajić <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ &amp; SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>
Mohammed Yaghmour <i>PWC SAUDI ARABIA</i>	Doudou Charles Lo <i>FINKONE TRANSIT SA</i>	Bojana Bregovic <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Vidak Kovacevic <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Marko Srdanović <i>MUNICIPALITY OF SURCIN</i>
Soudki Zawaydeh <i>PWC SAUDI ARABIA</i>				Mirjana Stankovic <i>DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING GROUP</i>

Dragana Stanojević USAID BUSINESS ENABLING PROJECT - BY CARDNO EMERGING MARKETS USA LTD.	Alex Ellenberger ADD LOCUS ARCHITECTS LTD.	Mallay F. Bangura ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION AND SUPPLY AUTHORITY	Sahid Mohammed Sesay SERRY KAMAL & CO	Kohe Hasan REED SMITH
Milica Stojanović LAW OFFICES JANKOVIĆ, POPOVIĆ & MITIĆ	Joseph Francois SEYCHELLES PLANNING AUTHORITY	Philip Bangura BANK OF SIERRA LEONE	Mohamed Sherrington Samura ELECTRICITY GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION COMPANY (EGTC)	Kaiwei Ho MINISTRY OF MANPOWER
Petar Stojanović JOKSOVIĆ, STOJANOVIĆ AND PARTNERS	Bernard Georges GEORGES & GEORGES	Ayesha Bedwei PWC GHANA	Vivian Solomon SUPREME COURT OF SIERRA LEONE	Jay Jay JUST R. TRANSPORT ENTERPRISE PTE. LTD.
Nikola Sugaris ZAVIŠIN SEMIZ & PARTNERS	Fred Hoareau COMPANY AND LAND REGISTRY	Adiatu Iyamide Betts KPMG	Millicent Stronge DELUXE CHAMBER	Chong Kah Kheng RAJAH & TANN SINGAPORE LLP
Robert Sundberg DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING GROUP	Brian Julie BRYAN JULIE LAW CHAMBERS	Anthony Y. Brewah BREWAH & CO.	Donald Samuel Williams NATIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY (NRA), LARGE TAXPAYERS OFFICE (LTO), DOMESTIC TAX DEPARTMENT (DTD)	Poh Chee Kai BUILDING & CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY
Marko Tesanovic WOLF THEISS	Conrad Lablache PARDIWALLA TWOMEY LABLACHE	Nicholas Colin Browne-Marke COURT OF APPEALS	Oluymesi Williams CLAS CONSULT LTD.	Soo How Koh PWC SINGAPORE
Ana Tomic JOKSOVIĆ, STOJANOVIĆ AND PARTNERS	Alison Lister SEYCHELLES REVENUE COMMISSION	Siman Mans Conte INCOME TAX BOARD OF APPELLATE COMMISSIONERS	Prince Williams CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION OF SIERRA LEONE	Wong Kum Hoong ENERGY MARKET AUTHORITY
Jovana Tomić ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE	Carlos Loizeau CENTRAL BANK OF SEYCHELLES	Kwesi Amo Dadson PWC GHANA		Huen Poh Lai RSP ARCHITECTS PLANNERS & ENGINEERS (PTE) LTD.
Snežana Tosić SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY (SBRA)	Malcolm Moller APPLEBY	Samiria Decker CLAS CONSULT LTD.		K. Latha ACCOUNTING & CORPORATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, ACRA
Goran Vučić JOKSOVIĆ, STOJANOVIĆ AND PARTNERS	Marcus Naiken HUNT, DELTEL & CO. LTD.	Momoh Dumbuya ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION AND SUPPLY AUTHORITY		Dave Lau ACCOUNTING & CORPORATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, ACRA
Srećko Vučaković MORAVCEVIC, VOJNOVIC & PARTNERS IN COOPERATION WITH SCHOENHERR	Margaret Nourice STAMP DUTY COMMISSION	Manilius Garber JARRETT-YASKEY, GARBER & ASSOCIATES: ARCHITECTS (YJGA)		Yvonne Lay INLAND REVENUE AUTHORITY OF SINGAPORE
Tanja Vukotić Marinković SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY (SBRA)	Brian Orr MEJ ELECTRICAL	Francis Kwame Gerber HALLOWAY & PARTNERS SOLICITORS		Lee Lay See RAJAH & TANN SINGAPORE LLP
Milena Vuković Buha AJILON SOLUTIONS	Zara Pardiwalla PARDIWALLA TWOMEY LABLACHE	Cyril Jalloh NATIONAL SOCIAL SECURITY AND INSURANCE TRUST		Eng Beng Lee RAJAH & TANN SINGAPORE LLP
Miloš Vujić PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE	Wendy Pierre COMPANY AND LAND REGISTRY	Mohamed Jalloh AKIM AND SATU C&F AGENCY		Yuan Lee WONG TAN & MOLLY LIM LLC
Djordje Zejak BDK ADVOKATI	Victor Pool OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	Ahmed Yassin Jallo-Jamboria Ransford Johnson LAMBERT & PARTNERS, PREMIERE CHAMBERS		Edwin Leow NEXIA TS TAX SERVICES PTE. LTD.
Miloš Živković ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE	Lisa Rouillon ATTORNEY-AT-LAW	Jerrie Kamara KPMG		Yik Wee Liew WONG PARTNERSHIP LLP
Igor Živkovski ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE	Serge Rouillon ATTORNEY-AT-LAW	Alieyah Keita Patrick Syl Kongo NATIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY		Joshua Lim ACCOUNTING & CORPORATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, ACRA
<b>SEYCHELLES</b>	Divino Sabino PARDIWALLA TWOMEY LABLACHE	Lansana Kotor-Kamara FAST TRACK COMMERCIAL COURT		Kenneth Lim ALLEN & GLEDHILL LLP
PUBLIC UTILITIES CORPORATION	Veerghese Samuel OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	Anthony Savy de St. Maurice AQUARIUS SHIPPING AGENCY LTD.		Meng May Lim BUILDING & CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY
Fanette Albert SEYCHELLES PLANNING AUTHORITY	Kieran B. Shah BARRISTER & ATTORNEY-AT-LAW	George Kwatia PWC GHANA		Peng Hong Lim PH CONSULTING PTE. LTD.
Clifford Andre LAW CHAMBER OF CLIFFORD ANDRE	Brohnsson Winslow WINSLOW NAYA CONSULTING	Millicent Lewis-Ojumu CLAS CONSULT LTD.		William Lim CREDIT BUREAU SINGAPORE PTE. LTD.
Justin Bacharie ELECTRICAL CONSULTANT SEYCHELLES	<b>SIERRA LEONE</b>	Michala Mackay CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION OF SIERRA LEONE		Wai Hui Ling BUILDING & CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY
Karishma Beegoo APPLEBY	Amos Odame Adjei PWC GHANA	Ibrahim Mansaray FAST TRACK COMMERCIAL COURT		Joseph Liow STRAITS LAW
Terry Biscornet SEYCHELLES PLANNING AUTHORITY	Alfred Akibo-Betts NATIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY	Clifford Marcus-Roberts KPMG		Eugene Luah DREW NAPIER
Cyril Bonnelame OCEANA FISHERIES	Padrina Ardua Annan PWC GHANA	Tamba P. Ngewba MINISTRY OF WORKS HOUSING AND INFRASTRUCTURE (MWH&I)		Chang Bek Mei BUILDING & CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY
Juliette Butler APPLEBY	Awoonor Renner BCAR - BEACARD CHAMBERS AWOONOR RENNER	Francis Nyama ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION AND SUPPLY AUTHORITY		Loh Meiling NEXIA TS TAX SERVICES PTE. LTD.
Petar Chakarov HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC	Gideon Ayi-Owoo PWC GHANA	Afolabi Oluwole CUSTOMERWORTH		Nikisha Mirpuri REED SMITH
Francis Chang-Sam LAW CHAMBERS OF FRANCIS CHANG-SAM	Isiaka Balogun KPMG	Eduard Parkinson ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION AND SUPPLY AUTHORITY		Girish Naik PWC SINGAPORE
	Abdul Akim Bangura ASSOCIATION OF CLEARING AND FORWARDING AGENCIES SIERRA LEONE	Alusine Sesay JUDICIARY OF SIERRA LEONE		Daryl Ng DNKH LOGISTICS

Beng Hong Ong <i>WONG TAN &amp; MOLLY LIM LLC</i>	Matúš Fojtl <i>GEODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND CADASTRE AUTHORITY</i>	Christiana Serugova <i>PWC SLOVAKIA</i>	Nina Kristarič <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>	Ana Vran <i>FABIANI, PETROVIČ, JERAJ, REJC ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD.</i>
Teo Han Ping <i>MINISTRY OF MANPOWER</i>	Marek Follrich <i>SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS</i>	Michal Simunic <i>ČECHOVÁ &amp; PARTNERS S.R.O.</i>	Uroš Križanec <i>SKM LAW FIRM</i>	Katja Wostner <i>BDO SVETOVAJENJE D.O.O.</i>
Mark Quek <i>ALLEN &amp; GLEDHILL LLP</i>	Roman Hamala <i>HAMALA KLUCH VÍGLASKÝ S.R.O.</i>	Jaroslav Škubal <i>PRK PARTNERS S.R.O.</i>	Sabina Lamut <i>LAMUTS D.O.O.</i>	Petra Zapušek <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>
Teck Beng Quek <i>LAND TRANSPORT AUTHORITY</i>	Tatiana Hlušková <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Jakub Vojtko <i>JNC LEGAL S.R.O.</i>	Borut Leskovec <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>	Nina Žefran <i>DELOITTE</i>
Johnson Rajan <i>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</i>	Peter Hodál <i>WHITE &amp; CASE S.R.O.</i>	Otakar Weis <i>PWC SLOVAKIA</i>	Vesna Ložak <i>ODVETNIKA DRUZBA NEFFAT</i>	Tina Žvanut Mič <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>
Lim Bok Hwa Sandy <i>JUST R. TRANSPORT ENTERPRISE PTE. LTD.</i>	Veronika Hrušovská <i>PRK PARTNERS S.R.O.</i>	Katarina Zaprazna <i>PWC SLOVAKIA</i>	Jera Majzelj <i>ODVETNIKI ŠELIH &amp; PARTNERJI</i>	
Jimmy Soh <i>YUSEN LOGISTICS SINGAPORE PTE LTD.</i>	Lucia Huntatová <i>JNC LEGAL S.R.O.</i>	Michal Záthurecký <i>WHITE &amp; CASE S.R.O.</i>	Miroslav Marchev <i>PWC SVETOVAJENJE D.O.O.</i>	<b>SOLOMON ISLANDS</b>
Hak Khoon Tan <i>ENERGY MARKET AUTHORITY</i>	Miroslav Jalec <i>ZÁPADOSLOVENSKÁ DISTRIBUČNÁ AS</i>	Miroslav Žaťko <i>ČECHOVÁ &amp; PARTNERS S.R.O.</i>	Nastja Merlak <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>	<i>CREDIT &amp; DATA BUREAU LIMITED</i>
Henry Tan <i>NEXIA TS TAX SERVICES PTE. LTD.</i>	Mária Juraševská <i>PWC SLOVAKIA</i>	Dagmar Zukalová <i>ZUKALOVÁ - ADVOKÁTSKA KANCELÁRIA S.R.O.</i>	Matjaž Miklavčič <i>SODO D.O.O.</i>	Agnes Atkin <i>MINISTRY OF LAND, HOUSING AND SURVEY</i>
Kristy Tan <i>ALLEN &amp; GLEDHILL LLP</i>	Michaela Jurková <i>ČECHOVÁ &amp; PARTNERS S.R.O.</i>	Nika Bosnič <i>ODVETNIKI ŠELIH &amp; PARTNERJI</i>	Bojan Mlaj <i>ENERGY AGENCY OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA</i>	Don Boykin <i>PACIFIC ARCHITECTS LTD.</i>
Martin Tan <i>URBAN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY</i>	Tomáš Kamenec <i>ZUKALOVÁ - ADVOKÁTSKA KANCELÁRIA S.R.O.</i>	Maša Drkuščík <i>ODI LAW FIRM</i>	Eva Možina <i>SCHOENHERR</i>	Anthony Frazier
Tay Lek Tan <i>PWC SINGAPORE</i>	Marián Kapec <i>ZÁPADOSLOVENSKÁ DISTRIBUČNÁ AS</i>	Andrej Ekart <i>LOCAL COURT MARIBOR</i>	Domen Neffat <i>ODVETNIKA DRUZBA NEFFAT</i>	Julie Haro <i>PREMIERE GROUP OF COMPANIES LTD.</i>
Yong Seng Tay <i>ALLEN &amp; GLEDHILL LLP</i>	Kristina Klenova <i>WHITE &amp; CASE S.R.O.</i>	Mojca Fakin <i>FABIANI, PETROVIČ, JERAJ, REJC ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD.</i>	Mateja Odar <i>ODVETNIKI ŠELIH &amp; PARTNERJI</i>	John Katahanas <i>SOL - LAW</i>
Joo Heng Teh <i>TEH JOO HENG ARCHITECTS</i>	Martin Kluch <i>HAMALA KLUCH VÍGLASKÝ S.R.O.</i>	Aleksander Ferk <i>PWC SVETOVAJENJE D.O.O.</i>	Ela Omersa <i>FABIANI, PETROVIČ, JERAJ, REJC ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD.</i>	Sebastian Keso <i>TRADCO SHIPPING</i>
Siu Ing Teng <i>SINGAPORE LAND AUTHORITY</i>	Ivan Kolenič <i>ČECHOVÁ &amp; PARTNERS S.R.O.</i>	Ana Filipov <i>FILIPOV O.P.D.O.O.</i>	Neli Okretić <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>	Judy Kirchner <i>BJS AGENCIES LTD.</i>
Edwin Tong <i>ALLEN &amp; GLEDHILL LLP</i>	Roman Konrad <i>PROFINAM, S.R.O.</i>	Pavle Flere <i>DELOITTE</i>	Ela Omersa <i>FABIANI, PETROVIČ, JERAJ, REJC ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD.</i>	Silverio Lepe <i>SOL - LAW</i>
Keam Tong Wong <i>WOH HUP PRIVATE LIMITED</i>	Miroslav Kopac <i>NATIONAL BANK OF SLOVAKIA</i>	Alenka Gorenčič <i>DELOITTE</i>	Sonja Omerza <i>DELOITTE</i>	Wayne Morris <i>MORRIS &amp; SOJNOCKI CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>
Kok Siong Wong <i>STEVEN TAN RUSSELL BEDFORD PAC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Jakub Kováčik <i>CLS ČAVOJSKÝ &amp; PARTNERS, S.R.O</i>	Mia Gostinčar <i>LAW FIRM MIRO SENICA AND ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Aljaz Perme <i>DELOITTE</i>	Maurice Noniputo <i>KRAMER AUSENCO</i>
Siew Kwong Wong <i>ENERGY MARKET AUTHORITY</i>	Karel Kováč <i>NOTARSKA KOMORA SLOVENSKÉJ REPUBLIKY</i>	Eva Gostisa <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>	Nataša Pipan-Nahtigal <i>ODVETNIKI ŠELIH &amp; PARTNERJI</i>	Andrew Radclyffe
Isaac Yong <i>FIRE SAFETY &amp; SHELTER DEPARTMENT</i>	Gabriela Kubicová <i>PWC SLOVAKIA</i>	Hermina Govekar Vičič <i>BANK OF SLOVENIA</i>	Petra Plevník <i>LAW FIRM MIRO SENICA AND ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Gregory Joseph Sojnocki <i>MORRIS &amp; SOJNOCKI CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>
Lin Zhan <i>REED SMITH</i>	Soňa Lehocká <i>ALIANCIAADVOKÁTOV AK, S.R.O.</i>	Damijan Gregorc <i>LAW FIRM MIRO SENICA AND ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Bojan Podgoršek <i>NÓTARIAT</i>	John Sullivan <i>SOL - LAW</i>
Yin Zili <i>BUILDING &amp; CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY</i>	Alex Medek <i>WHITE &amp; CASE S.R.O.</i>	Barbara Hočevá <i>PWC SVETOVAJENJE D.O.O.</i>	Anja Primožič <i>DELOITTE</i>	Makario Tagini <i>GLOBAL LAWYERS, BARRISTERS &amp; SOLICITOR</i>
<b>SLOVAK REPUBLIC</b>	Nina Molcanova <i>PWC SLOVAKIA</i>	Branko Ilić <i>ODI LAW FIRM</i>	Špela Remec <i>ODVETNIKI ŠELIH &amp; PARTNERJI</i>	Whitlam K. Togame <i>WHITLAM K TOGAME LAWYERS</i>
ZÁRECKÝ ZEMAN	Petra Murinová <i>DEDÁK &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Andraž Jadek <i>DELOITTE</i>	Jasmina Rešidovič <i>NOTARY OFFICE KOŠAK</i>	Yolande Yates <i>GOH &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Beáta Babačová <i>ČECHOVÁ &amp; PARTNERS S.R.O.</i>	Miloš Nagy <i>ZÁPADOSLOVENSKÁ DISTRIBUČNÁ AS</i>	Matjaž Jan <i>ODI LAW FIRM</i>	Patricia Rot <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>	
Ján Budinský <i>CRIF - SLOVAK CREDIT BUREAU, S.R.O.</i>	Jakob Kováč <i>CLS ČAVOJSKÝ &amp; PARTNERS, S.R.O</i>	Andrej Jarkovič <i>LAW FIRM JANEŽIČ &amp; JARKOVIČ LTD.</i>	Sanja Savič <i>DELOITTE</i>	<b>SOMALIA</b>
Peter Čavojský <i>CLS ČAVOJSKÝ &amp; PARTNERS, S.R.O</i>	Andrea Olšovská <i>PRK PARTNERS S.R.O.</i>	Jernej Jeraj <i>FABIANI, PETROVIČ, JERAJ, REJC ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD.</i>	Bostjan Sedmak <i>ODVETNIK SEDMAK</i>	Abdul Rahmad Haji Abdalla <i>BANADIR REGIONAL ADMINISTRATION - MUNICIPALITY OF MOGADISHU</i>
Katarína Čechová <i>ČECHOVÁ &amp; PARTNERS S.R.O.</i>	Simona Rapavá <i>WHITE &amp; CASE S.R.O.</i>	Boris Kastelic <i>FINANCIAL INSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA</i>	Branka Sedmak <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>	Ismail Abdullahi <i>MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS</i>
Tomas Cermak <i>WEINHOLD LEGAL</i>	Gerta Sámelová-Flášniková <i>ALIANCIAADVOKÁTOV AK, S.R.O.</i>	Lovro Kleindienst <i>TRANSOCEAN SHIPPING</i>	Andreja Škořík Klanjšček <i>DELOITTE</i>	Abdkarin Mohamed Ahmed <i>HORN LEGAL CONSULTING SERVICES</i>
Tomáš Cibula <i>WHITE &amp; CASE S.R.O.</i>	Zuzana Satkova <i>PWC SLOVAKIA</i>	Sašo Koderman <i>ODVETNIK SEDMAK</i>	Nives Slemenjak <i>SCHOENHERR</i>	Tahlii H. Ahmed <i>HORN LEGAL CONSULTING SERVICES</i>
Peter Drenka <i>HAMALA KLUCH VÍGLASKÝ S.R.O.</i>	Nikoleta Scasna <i>PWC SLOVAKIA</i>	Miro Košak <i>NOTARY OFFICE KOŠAK</i>	Rok Starc <i>NOTARY OFFICE KOŠAK</i>	Maryan Ahmed Harun <i>HORN LEGAL CONSULTING SERVICES</i>
Jan Dvorecký <i>SCM LOGISTICS S.R.O.</i>	Neža Kranjc <i>ODVETNIKI ŠELIH &amp; PARTNERJI</i>	Gregor Kovačič <i>JADEK &amp; PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.</i>	Gregor Strojlin <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA</i>	Mohamed Ali <i>SIMATECH INTERNATIONAL - SIMA MARINE LTD.</i>

Daud Ali Abdulle HOLAC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Brendon Christian BUSINESS LAW BC	Rajat Ratan Sinha RCS PVT. LTD. BUSINESS ADVISORS GROUP	Peter Pitya MINISTRY OF HOUSING	Pelayo de Salvador Morell DESALVADOR REAL ESTATE LAWYERS
Abdulkadir Ali Adow MAYOR'S OFFICE AT THE MUNICIPALITY OF MOGADISHU	Haydn Davies WEBBER WENTZEL	Richard Steinbach NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SOUTH AFRICA	Lomoro Robert Bullen LOMORO & CO. ADVOCATES	Iván Delgado González PÉREZ - LLORCA
Bile Dhoore EAST AFRICA MODERN ENGINEERING COMPANY (EAMECO)	Gretchen de Smit ENS	Danie Strachan ADAMS & ADAMS	Jeremaih Sauka MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Rossanna D'Onza BAKER & MCKENZIE
Abdi Abshir Dorre SOMALI CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY	Heather Dodd SAVAGE + DODD ARCHITECTS	Zaidah Swart WOLFSOHN AND ASSOCIATES	James Tadiwe NATIONAL CONSULTANTS ASSOCIATION	Iván Escrivano J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Mohamed Dubad EAST AFRICA MODERN ENGINEERING COMPANY (EAMECO)	Anine Greef TRANSUNION	Anton Theron TONKIN CLACEY PRETORIA	Mut Turuk TURUK & CO. ADVOCATES	Julia Fernández Esteban EVERSHEDS NICEA
Hassan Mohammed Farah HOLAC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Daneille Halters TRANSUNION	Paul Vermeulen CITYPOWER	Daniel Wani ENGINEERING COUNCIL OF SOUTH SUDAN	Adriadna Galimany GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS
Omar Mohamed Farah HOLAC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Nastascha Harduth WERKSMAINS INC.	Jean Visagie PWC SOUTH AFRICA	Simon Patrick Wani AJO & CO. ADVOCATES	Patricia García BAKER & MCKENZIE
Abdiwahid Osman Haji MOGADISHU LAW OFFICE	Julian Jones CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.	Rory Voller COMPANIES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COMMISSION (CIPC)	<b>SPAIN</b>	Valentín García González CUATRECASAS, GONÇALVES PEREIRA
Mahad Hassan HORN LEGAL CONSULTING SERVICES	Tobie Jordaan CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.	Anthony Whittaker CITYPOWER	EQUIFAX IBERICA	Ignacio García Silvestre BAKER & MCKENZIE
Mahdi Hassan DARYEEL SHIPPING AND FORWARDING	J. Michael Judin JUDIN COMBRINCK INC. ATTORNEYS	St. Elmo Wilken ENS	MINISTERIO DE ECONOMÍA, INDUSTRIA Y COMPETITIVIDAD	Borja García-Alamán J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Sadia Hassan	Lisa Koenig TRANSUNION	Gareth Williams-Wynn KARTER MARGUB & ASSOCIATES	Basilio Aguirre REGISTRO DE LA PROPIEDAD DE ESPAÑA	Cristino Gomez ARKITANDEM SL
Abdirahman Hassan Wardere MOGADISHU UNIVERSITY	Jeffrey Kron NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SOUTH AFRICA	Colin Wolfsohn WOLFSOHN AND ASSOCIATES	Íñigo Alejandre ASHURST LLP	Manuel Gomez J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Hassan Abukar Hirabe HOLAC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	David Kruyer CONCARGO PTY. LTD.	<b>SOUTH SUDAN</b>	Maria Alonso DLA PIPER SPAIN	Juan Ignacio Gomeza Villa NOTARIO DE BILBAO
Said Mohamed Hussein MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY	Johnathan Leibbrandt WEBBER WENTZEL	Mufti Othaneil Akum MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Angel Alonso Hernández URÍA & MENÉNZEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Flaminia González-Barba Bolza WHITE & CASE
Ahmed Jama Kheire ADAMI GENERAL SERVICE	Eric Levenstein WERKSMAINS INC.	Roda Allison Dokolo LOMORO & CO. ADVOCATES	Alfonso Alvarado Planas DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE INDUSTRIA, ENERGÍA Y MINAS	Álvaro González-Escalada LOGESTA
Godfrey Maina Macharia	Shoayb Loonat ENUMERATE CONSULTING	Monyluak Alor Kuol LIBERTY ADVOCATES LLP	Javier Álvarez J&A GARRIGUES SLP	David Grasa Graell AGG
Ahmed Mahmoud	Kyle Mandy PWC SOUTH AFRICA	Jimmy Araba Parata ENGINEERING COUNCIL OF SOUTH SUDAN	Jacobo Archilla Martín-Sanz ASOCIACIONI COLEGIO NACIONAL DE INGENIEROS DEL ICAI	Carlos Hernández METROPOLITANA DE ADUANAS Y TRANSPORTES & ICONTAINERS.COM
Abdiwahid Mohamed SOMALI CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY	Venashrie Mannar ADAMS & ADAMS	Gabriel Isaac Awow MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Irene Arávalo WHITE & CASE	Juan Miguel Hernandez Herrera URÍA & MENÉNZEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Mariam Mohamed	Tiago Martins TRANSTEX GLOBAL LOGISTICS OPERATIONS PTY. LTD.	Premal Batavia INFOTECH GROUP	Serena Argente Escartín RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASOCIADOS	Gabriele Hofmann FOURLAW ABOGADOS
Bashir Mohamed Sheikh MOGADISHU UNIVERSITY	Patt Mazibuko CITY OF JOHANNESBURG - BUILDING DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT	Leo Bouma NEWTON LAW GROUP	Nuria Armas BANCO DE ESPAÑA	Pablo Hontoria PÉREZ - LLORCA
Ali Mohamud Mahadalle HIJAZ CLEARANCE AND FORWARDING SERVICE	Terrick McCallum BAKER & MCKENZIE	Soro Edward Eli IMPORT FORUM INTERNATIONAL LIMITED	Ana Armijo ASHURST LLP	Alejandro Huertas León J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Samia Saciid EAST AFRICA MODERN ENGINEERING COMPANY (EAMECO)	Katlego Mmuoe	Halim Gebeili NEWTON LAW GROUP	Denise Bejarano PÉREZ - LLORCA	Guillermo Lillo Jaramillo J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Hassan Yusuf INTERNATIONAL BANK OF SOMALIA	Azwindini Molaudzi CITY OF JOHANNESBURG - BUILDING DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT	Ajo Noel Julius Keny AJO & CO. ADVOCATES	Monika Beltram MONEREO MEYER MARINEL-LO ABOGADOS	Maria Lourdes López Rivera PWC SPAIN
<b>SOUTH AFRICA</b>	Laban Naidoo CITY OF JOHANNESBURG - BUILDING DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT	Benson Karuuru EY	Vicente Bootello J&A GARRIGUES SLP	Esperanza Lopez Rodriguez URÍA & MENÉNZEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
BIDVEST PANALPINA LOGISTICS	Graeme Palmer GARLICK & BOUSFIELD INC.	Jimmy Kato JIREH SERVICES COMPANY LIMITED	Agustín Bou JAUSAS	Marina Lorente J&A GARRIGUES SLP
PINSENT MASONS AFRICA LLP	Shannon Quinn JUDIN COMBRINCK INC. ATTORNEYS	Nawaz Khan SOUTH SUDAN ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS	Héctor Bouzo Cortejosa SOLCAISUR S.L.	Alberto Lorenzo BANCO DE ESPAÑA
Douglas Ainslie BOWMANS	Kwanele Radebe THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED	Hellen Achiro Lotara NATIONAL MINISTRY OF LABOUR, PUBLIC SERVICE & HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT	Antonio Bravo EVERSHEDS NICEA	Julio Isidro Lozano LVA LUIS VIDAL + ARCHITECTS
Nicolaos Akritidis PARADIGM ARCHITECTS	Malope Ramagaga CITYPOWER	Ajo & Co. ADVOCATES	Laura Camarero BAKER & MCKENZIE	Joaquín Macías ASHURST LLP
Okyereba Ampopo-Anti WEBBER WENTZEL	Lucinde Rhoodie CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.	Ramadhan A.M. Mogga RAMADHAN & LAW ASSOCIATES	Rosalía Cambroner DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DEL ESPACIO PÚBLICO, AYUNTAMIENTO DE MADRID	Alberto Manzanares ASHURST LLP
Kobus Blignaut ATTORNEY	Wesley Rosslyn-Smith UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA	Issa Muzamil JUBA ASSOCIATED ADVOCATES	Lola Cano BANCO DE ESPAÑA	Gregorio Marañón Medina MARAÑÓN LONGORIA SL
Zamadeyi Cebisa WEBBER WENTZEL	Richard Shein BOWMANS	Peter Atem Ngor RHINO STARS	Ignacio Castrillón Jorge IBERDROLA DISTRIBUCIÓN ELÉCTRICA SAU	Daniel Marín GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS
	David Short FAIRBRIDGES ATTORNEYS		Miguel Cruz Amorós PWC SPAIN	Ignacio Martín Martín CAZORLA ABOGADOS, SLP

Marina Martinez  
*BAKER & MCKENZIE*  
Jorge Martin-Fernández  
*CLIFFORD CHANCE*  
Alberto Mata  
*THE SPAIN AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION*  
José Manuel Mateo  
*J&A GARRIGUES SLP*  
María Jesús Mazo Venero  
*CONSEJO GENERAL DEL NOTARIADO*  
José María Menéndez Sánchez  
*ASOCIACION/ COLEGIO NACIONAL DE INGENIEROS DEL ICAI*  
Valentín Merino López  
*VALENTÍN MERINO ARQUITECTOS SL*  
Alberto Monreal Lasheras  
*PWC SPAIN*  
Pedro Manuel Moreira Dos Santos  
*SCA LEGAL SLP*  
Enrique Moreno Serrano  
*URIA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI*  
Pedro Neira  
*CAZORIA ABOGADOS, SLP*  
Àlex Nistal Vázquez  
*MONREO, MEYER & MARINEL-LO ABOGADOS SLP*  
Nicolás Nogueroles Peiró  
*COLEGIO DE REGISTRADORES DE LA PROPIEDAD Y MERCANTILES DE ESPAÑA*  
Rafael Nuñez-Lagos de Miguel  
*URIA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI*  
Álvaro Felipe Ochoa Pinzón  
*J&A GARRIGUES SLP*  
Juan Oñate  
*LINKLATERS*  
Francisco Pablo  
*DHL EXPRESS*  
Isabel Palacios  
*CLIFFORD CHANCE*  
Daniel Parejo Ballesteros  
*J&A GARRIGUES SLP*  
Julio Peralta de Arriba  
*WHITE & CASE*  
Patricia Pila  
*DLA PIPER SPAIN*  
María José Plaza  
*ASOCIACION/ COLEGIO NACIONAL DE INGENIEROS DEL ICAI*  
Carlos Pol  
*JAUSAS*  
Carolina Posse  
*GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS*  
Ignacio Quintana Elena  
*PWC SPAIN*  
Nelson Raposo Bernardo  
*RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASOCIADOS*  
Álvaro Rifá  
*URIA MENÉNDEZ*  
Javier Rodríguez  
*GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS*  
Eduardo Rodríguez-Rovira  
*URIA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI*

Álvaro Rojo  
*J&A GARRIGUES SLP*  
Javier Romeu  
*TIBA INTERNACIONAL SA*  
Mireia Sabate  
*BAKER & MCKENZIE*  
Jaime Salvador  
*RUSSELL BEDFORD ESPAÑA AUDITORES Y CONSULTORES SL - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL*  
Eduardo Santamaría Moral  
*J&A GARRIGUES SLP*  
Pablo Santos Fita  
*DELOITTE ABOGADOS*  
Marcos Soberón  
*LINKLATERS*  
Raimon Tagliavini  
*URIA MENÉNDEZ*  
Francisco Téllez de Gregorio  
*FOURLAW ABOGADOS*  
Adrián Thery  
*J&A GARRIGUES SLP*  
Ivan Tintore Subirana  
*METROPOLITANA DE ADUANAS Y TRANSPORTES & CONTAINERS.COM*  
Alejandro Valls  
*BAKER & MCKENZIE*  
Adrián Vázquez  
*URIA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI*  
Juan Verdugo  
*J&A GARRIGUES SLP*  
Fernando Vives Ruiz  
*J&A GARRIGUES SLP*  
**SRI LANKA**  
Asanka Abeysekera  
*TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES*  
Anushika Abeywickrama  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
Nihal Sri Ameresekere  
*CONSULTANTS 21 LTD.*  
Nandi Anthony  
*CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU OF SRI LANKA*  
Surangi Arawwawala  
*PWC SRI LANKA*  
Peshala Attygalle  
*NITHYA PARTNERS*  
Harsha Cabral  
*CHAMBERS OF HARSHA CABRAL*  
Dilmini Cooray  
*D.L. & F. DE SARAM*  
Savanthya De Saram  
*D.L. & F. DE SARAM*  
Chamari de Silva  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
Suvendrini Dimbulana  
*D.L. & F. DE SARAM*  
Nilmini Ediriweera  
*JULIUS & CREASY*  
Manjula Ellepola  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
Amila Fernando  
*JULIUS & CREASY*  
Anjali Fernando  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
Ayomi Fernando  
*EMPLOYERS' FEDERATION OF CEYLON*

P.N.R. Fernando  
*COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL*  
Thuwaraka Ganeshan  
*TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES*  
Thambippillai Gobalasingam  
*DELOITTE*  
Jivan Goonetilleke  
*D.L. & F. DE SARAM*  
Naomal Goonewardena  
*NITHYA PARTNERS*  
Shehara Gunasekera  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
M. Basheer Ismail  
*DELOITTE*  
David Jacob  
*FITS EXPRESS PVT. LTD.*  
Sonali Jayasuriya-Rajapakse  
*D.L. & F. DE SARAM*  
Niral Kadawatharachchie  
*FREIGHT LINKS INTERNATIONAL (PTE.) LTD.*  
Rajah Kadigama  
*FAST TRANSIT LOGISTICS PVT. LTD.*  
Charana Kanankegamage  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
H.E.I. Karunaratna  
*COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL*  
Chamila Karunaratne  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
Sankha Karunaratne  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
Janaka Lakmal  
*CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU OF SRI LANKA*  
Ishara Madarasinghe  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
Sujeewa Mudalige  
*PWC SRI LANKA*  
Kandiah Neelakandan  
*NEELAKANDAN & NEELAKANDAN*  
Abirami Nithianthan  
*TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES*  
Nirosha Peiris  
*TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES*  
Priyantha Peiris  
*COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL*  
Dayaratne Perera  
*COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL*  
Nissanka Perera  
*PWC SRI LANKA*  
W.A. Chulananda Perera  
*DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS*  
Sunil Premarathna  
*DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS*  
Nishan Premathiratne  
*CHAMBERS OF HARSHA CABRAL*  
Sabaratnam Rajendran  
*DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS*  
Rasheedha Ramjani  
*TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES*  
Hiranthi Ratnayake  
*PWC SRI LANKA*  
Sanjeewanie Ratnayake  
*CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU OF SRI LANKA*

Mohamed Rizni  
*SPEED INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT SYSTEMS LTD.*  
Heshika Rupasinghe  
*TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES*  
Shane Silva  
*JULIUS & CREASY*  
Priya Sivagananathan  
*JULIUS & CREASY*  
A.H. Sumathipala  
*NEELAKANDAN & NEELAKANDAN*  
Harshana Suriyapperuma  
*SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION*  
J.M. Swaminathan  
*JULIUS & CREASY*  
Shehara Varia  
*F.J. & G. DE SARAM*  
G.G. Weerakkody  
*COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL*  
Charmalie Weerasekera  
*LAWYER*  
Oshan Wijewardena  
*D.L. & F. DE SARAM*  
John Wilson  
*JOHN WILSON PARTNERS*  
**ST. KITTS AND NEVIS**  
Michella Adrien  
*THE LAW OFFICES OF MICHELLA ADRIEN*  
Charlene Berry  
*SCOTIABANK*  
Neil Coates  
*GRANT THORNTON*  
Rayana Dowden  
*WEBSTER LAW FIRM*  
Bernie Greaux  
*TROPICAL SHIPPING*  
Dahlia Joseph Rowe  
*JOSEPH ROWE ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW*  
Adeola Moore  
*INLAND REVENUE AUTHORITY*  
Shaunette Pemberton  
*GRANT THORNTON*  
Steadroy Pemberton  
*FIVE DIAMOND SERVICES COMPANY LTD*  
Tony Scatliffe II  
*R & T DESIGN-BUILD CONSULTANTS GROUP LTD.*  
Heidi Lynn Sutton  
*LAW OFFICES OF T.A.C.T. LIBURD & H.D. SUTTON*  
Sanshe N.N. Thompson  
*ST. KITTS ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT*  
Deborah Tyrell  
*HALIX CORPORATION*  
Larry Vaughan  
*CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT*  
Leonora Walwyn  
*WALWYN LAW*  
Lennox Warner  
*LENNOX WARNER AND PARTNER*  
Charles Wilkin QC  
*KELSICK, WILKIN & FERDINAND*  
Collin Williams  
*ROYAL LOGISTICS*

**ST. LUCIA**  
Clive Antoine  
*MINISTRY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT, ENERGY, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*  
Natalie Augustin  
*GLITZENHIRN AUGUSTIN & CO.*  
Oswald Augustin  
*JOSEPH SHIPPING*  
Judge Francis Belle  
*EASTERN CARIBBEAN SUPREME COURT*  
Sardia Cenac-Prospere  
*FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES*  
Geoffrey Duboulay  
*FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES*  
Michael Duboulay  
*FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES*  
Lydia Faisal  
*RICHARD FREDERICK AND LYDIA FAISALS' CHAMBERS*  
Sylma Finisterre  
*FINISTERRE ATTORNEYS*  
Brenda Floissac-Fleming  
*FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES*  
Peter I. Foster  
*PETER I. FOSTER & ASSOCIATES*  
Carol J. Gedeon  
*CHANCERY CHAMBERS*  
Garth George  
*ST. LUCIA ELECTRICITY SERVICES LTD.*  
Cheryl Goddard-Dorville  
*FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES*  
Claire Greene-Malaykhan  
*PETER I. FOSTER & ASSOCIATES*  
Natasha James  
*EASTERIN CARIBBEAN SUPREME COURT*  
John Larcher  
*J.H. LARCHER'S ELECTRICS LTD.*  
Kareem Larcher  
*J.H. LARCHER'S ELECTRICS LTD.*  
Bradley Paul  
*BRADLEY PAUL ASSOCIATES*  
Richard Peterkin  
*GRANT THORNTON*  
Candace Polius  
*POLIUS & ASSOCIATES*  
Martin S. Renee  
*RENEE'S CONSTRUCTION COMPANY*  
Matthew T. Sargusingh  
*TRI-FINITY ASSOCIATES*  
Catherine Sealy  
*PROCUREMENT SERVICES INTERNATIONAL*  
Chala Smith  
*REGISTRY OF COMPANIES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY*  
Finelle Smith  
*GRANT THORNTON*  
Avery Trim  
*MINISTRY OF PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT, HOUSING, AND URBAN RENEWAL*  
Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil  
*CHAMBERS OF JENNIFER REMY & ASSOCIATES*

**ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES**

CENTRAL WATER AND SEWERAGE AUTHORITY  
ST. VINCENT ELECTRICITY SERVICES LTD.

Kay R.A. Bacchus-Browne  
KAY BACCHUS-BROWNE CHAMBERS

Stanley DeFreitas  
DEFREITAS & ASSOCIATES

Vilma Diaz de Gonsalves  
CORPORATE SERVICES INC.

Theona R. Elizee-Stapleton  
COMMERCE & INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE (CIPo)

Zhinga Horne Edwards  
LAW CHAMBERS OF ZHINGA HORNE EDWARDS

Stanley John  
ELIZABETH LAW CHAMBERS

Moulton Mayers  
MOULTON MAYERS ARCHITECTS

Martin Sheen  
COMMERCE & INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE (CIPo)

Shelford Stowe  
MINISTRY OF HOUSING, INFORMAL HUMAN SETTLEMENTS, LANDS AND SURVEYS

Trevor Thompson  
TVA CONSULTANT

**SUDAN**

Omer Abdel Ati  
OMER ABDELAJI LAW FIRM

Ali Abdelrahman Khalil SHAMI, KHALIL & SIDDIG ADVOCATES

Mohammed Abdullah  
Mohammed SDV LOGISTICS

Wala Hassan Aboalela EL KARIB & MEDANI ADVOCATES

Abdalla Abuzeid  
ABDALLA A. ABUZEID & ASSOCIATES

Mohamed Ibrahim Adam DR. ADAM & ASSOCIATES

Hatim Al Hag

Abdalla Bashir Ibrahim Alataya MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES

Imtinan Ali  
CIASA

Omer El Sharif Abdulla EMIRATES ISLAMIC BANK

Ahmed Eldirdiri  
SUDANESE COMMERCIAL LAW OFFICE (SCLO)

Mohamed Elebodi  
CIASA

Ahmed M. Elhillali  
AMERICAN SUDANESE CONSULTING INC.

Mustafa Elshiekh  
CIASA

Hatim Elshoush  
EL BARKAL ENGINEERING COMPANY

Eyhab Fadl  
MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES

Huzeifa Fareed Ahmed Osman  
MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES

Nazar Hamad  
AL SHAIEA COMPANY

Amr Hamad Omar  
EMIRATES ISLAMIC BANK

Ahmed Hamoda Elnour  
SUDAN ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION COMPANY

Elwaleed Hussein  
CIASA

Mohamed Ibrahim  
SOMARAIN ORIENTAL CO.

Alaa Jalal Eldin Mohamed Ibrahim  
MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES

Ahmed Mahdi  
MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES

Ghada Mahmoud Eljeedawi  
SOMARAIN ORIENTAL CO.

Tarig Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer  
MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES

Amin Mekki Medani  
EL KARIB & MEDANI ADVOCATES

Lamis Mohamed Abdalgadir Osman  
MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES

Sayab Mohamed Osman Ibrahim Swar  
MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES

Tarig Monim  
TM ADVISORY

Tariq Mubarak  
EL KARIB & MEDANI ADVOCATES

Abdulhakim Omar  
SDV LOGISTICS

Rayan Omer  
OMER ABDELAJI LAW FIRM

Enas Salih  
SHAMI, KHALIL & SIDDIG ADVOCATES

Abdelkhalig Shaib ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS

Wafa Shami  
SHAMI, KHALIL & SIDDIG ADVOCATES

Husameldin Taha  
SUDANESE COMMERCIAL LAW OFFICE (SCLO)

Marwa Taha  
SHAMI, KHALIL & SIDDIG ADVOCATES

Abdel Gadir Warsama Ghalib DR. ABDEL GADIR WARSAMA GHALIB & ASSOCIATES LEGAL FIRM

Mohamed Zain  
KAYAN CONSULTANCY

**SURINAME**

NOTARIAAT BLOM

Robert Bottse  
HBN LAW

Sieglien Burleson  
Dennis Chandansingh  
DCA ACCOUNTANTS & CONSULTANTS

Anneke Chin-A-Lin  
VSH SHIPPING

Anoeschka Debipersad

A.E. DEBIPERSAD & ASSOCIATES

Norman Doorson

MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE GLIS

Marcel K. Eyndhoven  
N.V. ENERGIEBEDRIJVEN SURINAME

Kenneth Foe A. Man

Dirk Heave

Rachelle Jong-Along-Asan

HAKRINBANK NV

Antoon Karg

LIM A. PO LAW FIRM

Johan Kastelein

KASTELEIN DESIGN

Satish Mahes

HAKRINBANK NV

Henk Naarendorp  
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY

Joanne Pancham

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY

Edwards Redijosentone

N.V. ENERGIEBEDRIJVEN SURINAME

Adiel Sakoer

NV EKLIPZE LOGISTICS

Tjanderwatie Sieglien Sewdien

COSTER ADVOCATES

Priya Soechitram  
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY

Albert D. Soedamah  
LAWFIRM SOEDAMAH & ASSOCIATES

Jane Peggy Tjon  
COSTER ADVOCATES

Silvano Tjong-Ahini  
MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE GLIS

Carol-Ann Tjon-Pian-Gi  
LAWYER AND SWORN TRANSLATOR

Milton van Brussel

BDO

Jennifer van Dijk-Silos  
LAW FIRM VAN DIJK-SILOS

Kenneth van Gom  
GOM FOOD INDUSTRIES NV

Baboeld Widjindra  
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY

Andy Wong  
N.V. ENERGIEBEDRIJVEN SURINAME

Anthony Wong  
GENERAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION OF SURINAME

**SWAZILAND**

FEDERATION OF SWAZILAND EMPLOYERS AND CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

KPMG

Lucas Bhembe  
EZULWINI MUNICIPALITY

Ray Dlamini  
BICON CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Veli Dlamini  
INTERFREIGHT PTY. LTD.

Chris Forte  
SWAZI SURVEYS

Earl John Henwood  
HENWOOD & COMPANY

Andrew Linsey

PWC SWAZILAND

Mangaliso Magagula

MAGAGULA & HILOPHE

Nhlanhla Maphangwa  
LANG MITCHELL ASSOCIATES

Gabsile Maseko

ROBINSON BERTRAM

Tshidi Masisi-Hlanze

MASISI-HLANZE ATTORNEYS

Sabelo Masuku

HOWE MASUKU

NSIBANDE ATTORNEYS

Thandiwe Mkandla

HENWOOD & COMPANY

Kenneth J. Motsa

ROBINSON BERTRAM

George Mzungu

M&E CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Knox Nxumalo

ROBINSON BERTRAM

Koba Quashie

KOBLA QUASHIE AND ASSOCIATES

José Rodrigues

RODRIGUES & ASSOCIATES

Bongani Simelane

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF MBABANE

Pieter Smoor

INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANTS (IDC)

John Thomson

MORMOND ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS

Manene Thwala

THWALA ATTORNEYS

Bradford Mark Walker

BRAD WALKER ARCHITECTS

Patricia Zwane

TRANSUNION ITC

SWAZILAND PTY. LTD.

**SWEDEN**

STOCKHOLM CITY HALL

Charles Andersson

ASHURST ADVOKATBYRÅ AB

Therese Andersson

ÖHLRLINGS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AB

Mats Berter

MAQS LAW FIRM

Alexander Broch

ÖRESUNDS REDOVISNING AB

Laura Carlson

STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY,

DEPARTMENT OF LAW

Åke Dahlqvist

UC

Mia Edlund

BAKER & MCKENZIE

Lars Hartzell

ELMZELL ADVOKATBYRÅ AB,

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Elisabeth Heide

ASHURST ADVOKATBYRÅ AB

Erik Hygrell

WISTRAND ADVOKATBYRÅ

Rickard Jansson

PANALPINA AB

Kim Jokinen

ÖHRLING

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AB

Elena Kadelburger

MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP

Almira Kashani

MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP

Jarle Kjelingtveit

UNIL AS

Rikard Lindahl

ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Dennis Linden

LANTMÄTERIET

Inger Lindhe

LANTMÄTERIET

Heléne Lindqvist

BOLAGSVERKET - SWEDISH COMPANIES REGISTRATION OFFICE (SCRO)

Christoffer Monell

MANNHEIMER SWARTLING ADVOKATBYRÅ

Karl-Arne Olsson

WESSLAU SODERQVIST

ADVOKATBYRÅ

Jesper Schönbeck

ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Mikael Söderman

ADVOKATFIRMAN BASTLING & PARTNERS

Astrid Trolle Adams

MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP

Petter Vaeren

FLOOD HERSLOW HOME

Albert Wällgren

ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Carl Johan Wallnerström

SWEDISH ENERGY

MARKETS INSPECTORATE (ENERGIMARKNADSINSPEKTIONEN)

Magnus Wennerhorn

WHITE & CASE

Anna Werner

ELMZELL ADVOKATBYRÅ AB, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

**SWITZERLAND**

Rashid Bahar

BAR & KARRER AG

Marc Bernheim

STAIGER ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD.

Myriam Büchi-Bänteli

PWC SWITZERLAND

Lukas Bühlmann

PWC SWITZERLAND

Martin Burkhardt

LENZ & STAHELIN

Massimo Calderan

ALTENBURGER LTD.

LEGAL + TAX

Ivo Cathry

FRORIEP LEGAL AG

Boudry Charles

LALIVE

Flavio Delli Colli

LENZ & STAHELIN

Erik Hygrell

WISTRAND ADVOKATBYRÅ

Stefan Eberhard

OBERSON ABELS SA

Suzanne Eckert <i>WENGER PLATTNER</i>	Alaa Ahmad <i>SYRIAN STRATEGIC THINK TANK RESEARCH CENTER</i>	Yo-Yi Chen <i>FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL</i>	Tien-Tsai Lin <i>NATIONAL CUSTOMS BROKERS ASSOCIATION OF ROC</i>	Chun-Feng Wu <i>TAIWAN INTERNATIONAL PORTS CORP. LTD.</i>
Jana Essebier <i>VISCHER AG</i>	Sadi Alkhouri <i>KPMG SYRIA</i>	Chun-Yih Cheng <i>FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL</i>	Veronica Lin <i>EIGER</i>	Ja-Lin Wu <i>NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL</i>
Robert Furter <i>PESTALOZZI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Serene Almaleh <i>SULTANS LAW</i>	Cathy Chin <i>TAIWAN INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS &amp; SUPPLY CHAIN ASSOCIATION</i>	You-Jing Lin <i>CHI-SHENG LAW FIRM</i>	Pei-Yu Wu <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>
Gaudenz Geiger <i>STAIGER ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD.</i>	Layla Alsamman <i>DELOTTE SYRIA</i>	May Chou <i>APL</i>	Julia Liu <i>BOLLORÉ LOGISTICS TAIWAN LTD.</i>	Yen-yi Wu <i>WINKLER PARTNERS</i>
Riccardo Geiser <i>ALTENBURGER LTD. LEGAL + TAX</i>	Jamil Ammar <i>RUTGERS LAW SCHOOL</i>	Philip T. C. Fei <i>FEI &amp; CHENG ASSOCIATES</i>	Kang-Shen Liu <i>LEXCEL PARTNERS</i>	Alex Yeh <i>LCS &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Matthias Giger <i>CEVA LOGISTICS</i>	Ghada Armali <i>SARKIS &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Mark Harty <i>LCS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Shu-Ying Liu <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS</i>	<b>TAJIKISTAN</b>
Olivier Hari <i>SCHELLENBERG WITTMER LTD.</i>	Reem Awad <i>COMMERCE &amp; ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>	Sophia Hsieh <i>TSAR &amp; TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Wanyi Liu <i>FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY COMMISSION, BANKING BUREAU</i>	<i>AITEN CONSULTING GROUP</i>
Thomas H. Henle <i>IL INDUSTRIE-LEASING LTD.</i>	Mohammad Khaled <i>Darwicheh</i>	Chin-Yun Hsu <i>SECURITIES AND FUTURES BUREAU, FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY COMMISSION</i>	Stacy Lo <i>LEXCEL PARTNERS</i>	<i>ASSOCIATION OF BANKS OF TAJIKISTAN</i>
Anouk Hirt <i>BÄR &amp; KARRER AG</i>	Richard El Moualllem <i>PWC LEBANON</i>	Robert Hsu <i>BOLLORÉ LOGISTICS TAIWAN LTD.</i>	Alice Lu <i>LCS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	<i>BAKER TILLY TAJIKISTAN</i>
Ani Homberger <i>LALIVE</i>	Nada Elsayed <i>PWC LEBANON</i>	Jamie Huang <i>HUANG &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Judy Lu <i>LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Bakhtiyor Abdulloev <i>ABM TRANS SERVICE LLC</i>
David Jenny <i>VISCHER AG</i>	Anas Ghazi <i>MEETHAK - LAWYERS &amp; CONSULTANTS</i>	Margaret Huang <i>LCS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Wan-Chu Lu <i>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</i>	Manuchehr Abdusamadzoda <i>CIBT - CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU IN TAJIKISTAN</i>
L. Mattias Johnson <i>FRORIEP LEGAL AG</i>	Gordon Gray <i>NATIONAL U.S.-ARAB CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</i>	T.C. Huang <i>HUANG &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Joseph Ni <i>GOOD EARTH CPA</i>	Zarrina Adham <i>CJSC MDO HUMO</i>
Cyrill Kaeser <i>LENZ &amp; STAHELIN</i>	Fadi Kardous <i>KARDOUS LAW OFFICE</i>	Charles Hwang <i>YANGMING PARTNERS</i>	Patrick Pai-Chiang Chu <i>LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Zulfiya Akchurina <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>
Michael Kramer <i>PESTALOZZI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mamon Katbeh <i>CENTRAL BANK OF SYRIA</i>	Gloria Juan <i>YANGMING PARTNERS</i>	Shiaw-Der Pan <i>MOTC</i>	Ilhom Amirhonov <i>ABM TRANS SERVICE LLC</i>
Cédric Lenoir <i>LALIVE</i>	Hussein Khaddour <i>SYRIAN LEGAL BUREAU</i>	Jung-Chang Lai <i>TAIPEI CITY GOVERNMENT</i>	Lloyd Roberts <i>EIGER</i>	Dzhamshed Asrorov <i>CJSC MDO HUMO</i>
Beat Luescher <i>AZ ELEKTRO AG</i>	Alaa Nizam <i>ALAA NIZAM LAW OFFICE</i>	Wei-Ping Lai <i>YU-DING LAW FIRM</i>	Ching-Ping Shao <i>NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY</i>	Gulanor Atobek <i>DELOITTE &amp; TOUCHE LLC</i>
Valerie Meyer Bahar <i>NIEDERER KRAFT &amp; FREY AG</i>	Gabriel Oussi <i>OUSSI LAW FIRM</i>	En-Fong Lan <i>PRIMORDIAL LAW FIRM</i>	Scarlett Tang <i>TSAR &amp; TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Amirbek Azizov <i>MINISTRY OF LABOR, MIGRATION AND EMPLOYMENT OF POPULATION</i>
Kaisa Miller <i>EY</i>	Fadi Sarkis <i>SARKIS &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Jenny Lee <i>PAMIR LAW GROUP</i>	Bee Leay Teo <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Denis Bagrov <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>
Andrea Molino <i>MAG LEGIS SA</i>	Arem Taweel <i>EBRAHEEM TAWEEEL LAW OFFICE</i>	Max Lee <i>TSAR &amp; TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	David Tien <i>LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Abdulbori Baybabaev <i>LAW FIRM LEX</i>
Konrad Moor <i>BÜRGI NAGELI LAWYERS</i>	Ebraheem Taweel <i>EBRAHEEM TAWEEEL LAW OFFICE</i>	Vivian Lee <i>HUANG &amp; PARTNERS</i>	C.F. Tsai <i>HEALY CONSULTANTS GROUP PLC</i>	Petar Chakarov <i>CJSC MDO HUMO</i>
Marco Mühlemann <i>EY</i>	Angela Oppliger <i>VISCHER AG</i>	John Li <i>LCS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	John Li <i>LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Manvel Harutyunyan <i>GRANT THORNTON LLP</i>
Daniela Reinhardt <i>PWC SWITZERLAND</i>	<b>TAIWAN, CHINA</b>			
Alexander Reus <i>DIAZ REUS &amp; TARG LLP</i>	Jack Chang <i>YANGMING PARTNERS</i>	Justin Liang <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	C.F. Tsai <i>DEEP &amp; FAR, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Elena Kaeva <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Roman Rinderknecht <i>EY</i>	Kuo-Ming Chang <i>JOINT CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER</i>	Angela Lin <i>LEXCEL PARTNERS</i>	Chiun-Yi Tsai <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS</i>	Assel Khamzina <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Ueli Schindler <i>AECOMIURS</i>	Sur-Form Chang <i>ACER INCORPORATED</i>	Frank Lin <i>REXMED INDUSTRIES CO. LTD.</i>	David Tsai <i>LEXCEL PARTNERS</i>	Alisher Khoshimov <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>
Daniel Schmitz <i>PWC SWITZERLAND</i>	Victor Chang <i>LCS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Jeffrey Lin <i>JOINT CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER</i>	Rita Tsai <i>APL</i>	Khurshed Mirziyoev <i>TAX COMMITTEE UNDER GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF TAJIKISTAN</i>
Corinne Studer <i>HANDELNSREGISTERAMT DES KANTONS</i>	Christine Chen <i>WINKLER PARTNERS</i>	Kien Lin <i>JOINT CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER</i>	Yu-ti Tsai <i>WINKLER PARTNERS</i>	Kamoliddin Mukhamedov <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>
Jean-Paul Vulliéty <i>LALIVE</i>	Daniel Chen <i>WINKLER PARTNERS</i>	Lilian Lin <i>FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY COMMISSION, BANKING BUREAU</i>	Huan-Kai Tseng <i>PWC TAIWAN</i>	Rustam Nazrišo <i>NAZRISHO &amp; MIRZOEV LAW FIRM LLC</i>
Patrick Weber <i>EKZ ELEKTRIZITÄTSWERKE DES KANTONS ZÜRICH</i>	Edgar Y. Chen <i>TSAR &amp; TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Ming-Yen Lin <i>DEEP &amp; FAR, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Vivian W. Chen <i>PWC TAIWAN</i>	Temirlan Nildibayev <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Stefan Zanger <i>BELGLOBE INTERNATIONAL LLC</i>	Emily Chen <i>LCS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Nelson J. Lin <i>HUANG &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Antoine Wang <i>TBBC LTD.</i>	Ganchina Nuralieva <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>
Marc Zimmermann <i>LENZ &amp; STAHELIN</i>	Nicholas V. Chen <i>PAMIR LAW GROUP</i>	Rich Lin <i>LCS &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Felix Yifan Wang <i>YANGMING PARTNERS</i>	Bahodur Nurov <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>
<b>SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC</b>		Romy Chen <i>NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL</i>	Sheau Chyng Lin <i>PRIMORDIAL LAW FIRM</i>	Anjelika Pazdnyakova <i>GRANT THORNTON LLP</i>
EY	Ying-Hua Chen <i>TAIWAN STOCK EXCHANGE</i>		Huang William <i>GIBSON ELECTRICAL CONSULTANCY</i>	Faizali Rajabov <i>ASSOCIATION OF CONSTRUCTORS OF TAJIKISTAN</i>

Firdavs S. Mirzoev <i>NAZRISHO &amp; MIRZOEV LAW FIRM LLC</i>	Umaiya Masoli <i>BANK OF TANZANIA</i>	METROPOLITAN ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY	Pakwan Chuensunwankul <i>DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, MINISTRY OF COMMERCE</i>	Arunee Mahathorn <i>THANATHIP &amp; PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED</i>
Aisanat Safarbek Kyzzy <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Abdul Masunga <i>ZIMBABWE ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION &amp; DISTRIBUTION COMPANY</i>	MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Suwanna Chuerboonchai <i>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION</i>	Florian Maier <i>ANTARES ADVISORY LTD.</i>
Nadir Saidovich <i>SAID LTD.</i>	Verediana Mkabahati <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>	PEL ENGINEERING CO. LTD.	Nuttita Chungsawat <i>ANTARES ADVISORY LTD.</i>	Thanissorn Masuchand <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>
Emin Sanginzoda <i>MINISTRY OF LABOR, MIGRATION AND EMPLOYMENT OF POPULATION</i>	Deogratius Mmasy <i>PWC TANZANIA</i>	Panida Agkavikai <i>BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED</i>	Paul Connolly <i>INTERNATIONAL LEGAL COUNSELLORS THAILAND LIMITED (ILCT)</i>	Rudeewan Mikhanorn <i>EY</i>
Kanat Seidaliev <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Freddy Moshy <i>TANZANIA REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>	Chavapol Akkaravoranun <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Samruay Daengduang <i>DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, MINISTRY OF COMMERCE</i>	Pongphan Narasin <i>MAZARS THAILAND LTD.</i>
Marina Shamilova <i>LEGAL CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Mirumbe Mseti <i>PWC TANZANIA</i>	Narapat Amornkosit <i>ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION (ERC)</i>	Monnira Danwiwat <i>BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED</i>	Anuwat Ngamprasertkul <i>PWC THAILAND</i>
Takdir Sharifov <i>TAKDİR SHARIFOV PRIVATE PRACTITIONER</i>	Ayoub Mtaya <i>NEXLAW ADVOCATES</i>	Somsak Anakkasela <i>PWC THAILAND</i>	Thanathat Ghonkaew <i>COMIN THAI ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS CO. LTD.</i>	Bowornsith Nitiyavanich <i>HUGHES KRUPICA CONSULTING CO. LTD.</i>
Sherzod Sodatkadamov <i>NAZRISHO &amp; MIRZOEV LAW FIRM LLC</i>	Angel Mwesiga <i>ABENRY &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES</i>	Salinthip Anpattanakul <i>SILK LEGAL COMPANY LTD.</i>	Thirapa Glinsukan <i>PWC THAILAND</i>	Nicha Nutchayangkul <i>LAWPLUS LTD.</i>
Farzona Tilavova <i>KAMOLOT 1 CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Deogratias Myamani <i>BANK OF TANZANIA</i>	Puangrat Anusanti <i>EY</i>	Manita Hengpraprosopchoke <i>THANATHIP &amp; PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED</i>	Surapol Opasatiem <i>NATIONAL CREDIT BUREAU CO. LTD.</i>
Aliya Utegaliyeva <i>PWC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Athanasius Nangali <i>ZIMBABWE ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION &amp; DISTRIBUTION COMPANY</i>	Janist Aphornratana <i>TMF THAILAND LIMITED</i>	Kullakarn Indrasawat <i>THAI CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT</i>	Wynn Pakdeejit <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>
Abdurakhmon Yuldoshev <i>MINISTRY OF LABOR, MIGRATION AND EMPLOYMENT OF POPULATION</i>	Gerald Nangi <i>AKO LAW IN ASSOCIATION WITH CLYDE &amp; CO.</i>	Jedsada Ariyachatkul <i>THAI CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT</i>	Chalermpol Intarasing <i>TILLEKE &amp; GIBBINS</i>	Rangsima Pakkoh <i>ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION (ERC)</i>
<b>TANZANIA</b>				
ILALA MUNICIPAL COUNCIL	Stella Ndikimi <i>EAST AFRICAN LAW CHAMBERS</i>	Amara Bhuwanawat <i>SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED</i>	Monthchai Itisurasing <i>LEED AP</i>	Pakinee Pipatpoka <i>NATIONAL CREDIT BUREAU CO. LTD.</i>
KINONDONI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL	Janet Ndyetabura <i>VELMA LAW</i>	Nuttaporn Boonchokchua <i>PORT AUTHORITY OF THAILAND</i>	Kanok Jullamon <i>THE SUPREME COURT OF THAILAND</i>	Virjo Piyawattanametha <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>
Said Athuman <i>TANZANIA REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>	Raymond Ngatuni <i>ENSAFRICA TANZANIA ATTORNEYS</i>	Janpen Boonmool <i>TVL GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i>	Suthatip Jullamon <i>THE SUPREME COURT OF THAILAND</i>	Harit Na Pombejra <i>SILK LEGAL COMPANY LTD.</i>
Lydia Dominic	Burure Ngocho <i>ISHENGOMA, KARUME, MASHA &amp; MAGAI ADVOCATES</i>	Anawat Buraphachon <i>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND TOWN &amp; COUNTRY PLANNING</i>	Chalermpol Intarasing <i>TILLEKE &amp; GIBBINS</i>	Panitt Pongpakdee <i>ONESTOP EXPORT SERVICE</i>
Lucas Elingaya <i>EAST AFRICAN LAW CHAMBERS</i>	Alex Thomas Ngulumia <i>ENSAFRICA TANZANIA ATTORNEYS</i>	Thanakorn Busarasopitkul <i>PWC THAILAND</i>	Monthchai Itisurasing <i>LEED AP</i>	Ratana Poonsombudlert <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>
Esther April Erners <i>CRB AFRICA LEGAL</i>	Hope Paul <i>ENSAFRICA TANZANIA ATTORNEYS</i>	Guillaume Busschaert <i>COMIN THAI ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS CO. LTD.</i>	Kanok Jullamon <i>THE SUPREME COURT OF THAILAND</i>	Ruengrit Pooprasert <i>BLUMENTHAL RICHTER &amp; SUMET</i>
Asma Hilal <i>CRB AFRICA LEGAL</i>	Charles R.B. Rwechungura <i>CRB AFRICA LEGAL</i>	Brendan Carroll <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Suthatip Jullamon <i>THE SUPREME COURT OF THAILAND</i>	Predee Pravichpaibul <i>WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT &amp; PEANGPANOR LTD.</i>
Anitha Ishengoma <i>TANESCO LTD.</i>	Eve Hawa Sinare <i>REX CONSULTING LIMITED</i>	Nopadol Chaipunya <i>BANGKOK METROPOLITAN ADMINISTRATION</i>	Wallaya Kaewrungruang <i>SIAM COMMERCIAL BANK PCL</i>	Kanya Pujuksamai <i>THAI CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION UNDER HM THE KING'S PATRONAGE</i>
Protase R.G. Ishengoma <i>ISHENGOMA, KARUME, MASHA &amp; MAGAI ADVOCATES</i>	Ambassador Mwanaiidi Sinare Major <i>ENSAFRICA TANZANIA ATTORNEYS</i>	Panotporn Chalodhorn <i>OFFICE OF THE JUDICIARY</i>	Nuttinee Kaewsa-ard <i>NATIONAL CREDIT BUREAU CO. LTD.</i>	Rangsima Rattana <i>LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT</i>
Sujata Jaffer <i>NEXIA SJ TANZANIA</i>	Henry Sondo <i>ABENRY &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES</i>	Albert T. Chandler <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>	Prasert Kongkaupham <i>THAI CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION UNDER HM THE KING'S PATRONAGE</i>	Warantorn Rattanasombat <i>SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED</i>
Faustin Joseph Kahatano <i>ASSOCIATION ARCHITECTS OF TANZANIA</i>	Miriam Sudi <i>PWC TANZANIA</i>	Ison Chandrawong <i>BANGKOK JURIST LTD.</i>	Amnart Kongsakda <i>BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED</i>	Vunnipa Ruamrangsi <i>PWC THAILAND</i>
Alex Kalanje <i>ZIMBABWE ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION &amp; DISTRIBUTION COMPANY</i>	David Tarimo <i>PWC TANZANIA</i>	Udomphan Chantana <i>DEPARTMENT OF LANDS</i>	Alan Laichareonsup <i>TILLEKE &amp; GIBBINS</i>	Ruthai Rugrachagarn <i>THAI CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION UNDER HM THE KING'S PATRONAGE</i>
Njerii Kanyama <i>ENSAFRICA TANZANIA ATTORNEYS</i>	Vulfrida Teye <i>VELMA LAW</i>	Phadet Charoensivakon <i>NATIONAL CREDIT BUREAU CO. LTD.</i>	Phannarat La-Ongmanee <i>TMF THAILAND LIMITED</i>	Chaiwat Rungsipanodorn <i>BANGKOK METROPOLITAN ADMINISTRATION</i>
Charles Kisoka <i>EAST AFRICAN LAW CHAMBERS</i>	Regis Tissier <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>	Damrong Charoenying <i>BANGKOK METROPOLITAN ADMINISTRATION</i>	Chanida Leelantakul <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Watchara Sanghawattana <i>THAI CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION UNDER HM THE KING'S PATRONAGE</i>
Stanley Mabiti <i>ABENRY &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES</i>	Camilla Yusuf <i>CRB AFRICA LEGAL</i>	Chonlada Chayaroensuksakul <i>TVL GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i>	William Lehane <i>SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED</i>	Peangnate Sathiensopon <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>
Nkanwa Magina <i>BANK OF TANZANIA</i>	<b>THAILAND</b>	Cheewin Chiangkan <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Atthapong Limchaikit <i>INTER CONNECTIONS LOGISTICS</i>	Ubolmas Sathiensopon <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>
Mandisa Maketa <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>	ADVANCE ADJUSTING ASSOCIATES CO. LTD. (AAA)	Chinnavat Chinsangaram <i>WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT &amp; PEANGPANOR LTD.</i>	Sakchai Limsripothong <i>WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT &amp; PEANGPANOR LTD.</i>	Peangnate Sawatdipong <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>
Sunil Maru <i>SUMAR VARMA ASSOCIATES</i>	C.K. & P ELECTRIC CO. LTD.	Weerawong Chittmittrapap <i>WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT &amp; PEANGPANOR LTD.</i>	Wassana Limwattanakul <i>TVL GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i>	Alexander James Seeley <i>INTERNATIONAL LEGAL COUNSELLORS THAILAND LIMITED (ILCT)</i>
Salome Masenga <i>I &amp; M BANK TANZANIA</i>	INSPECTRUM ENGINEERING SERVICES	Suphakorn Chueabunchai <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>	Weerasak Loysaior <i>THAI CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT</i>	
	LS HORIZON LIMITED		Prateep Lumrungruang <i>DFDL</i>	
	MESI ENGINEERING CO. LTD.			

Pradujdan Sirion <i>BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED</i>	Surasak Vajasit <i>RAJAH &amp; TANN</i>	Vega Ramadhan <i>PWC INDONESIA</i>	Cedric Chalvon <i>SEGUCE TOGO</i>	Koffi Sylvain Mensah Attoh <i>CABINET MAÎTRE MENSAH-ATTOH</i>
Panya Sittisakson <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Chinachart Vatanasuchart <i>TILLEKE &amp; GIBBINS</i>	Gaurav Sareen <i>DELOITTE</i>	Essenouwa Degla <i>COMPAGNIE ENERGIE ELECTRIQUE DU TOGO (CEET)</i>	Colette Migan <i>CABINET MAÎTRE MENSAH-ATTOH</i>
Kulit Sombatsiri <i>THAI CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT</i>	Kanokkorn Viriyasutum <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>	Ricardo Silva <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Kokou Djegnon <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'HABITAT</i>	Laname Nayante <i>CALAFI</i>
Ratanavadee Somboon <i>LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT</i>	Anthony Visate Loh <i>DELOITTE</i>	Erik Stokes <i>RMS ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION</i>	Sédjro Koffi Dogbeavou <i>SCP DOGBEAVOU &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Kwami Obosou <i>OTR – COMMISSARIAT DES DOUANES</i>
Kowit Somwaiya <i>LAWPLUS LTD.</i>	Somboon Weerawutiwong <i>PWC THAILAND</i>	Fernando Torrão Alves <i>CAIXA GERAL DE DEPOSITOS (CGD)</i>	Essiame Koko Dzoka <i>LAWYER</i>	Dissadama Ouro-Bodi <i>OFFICE TOGO LAIS DES RECETTES</i>
Audray Souche <i>DFDL</i>	Auradee P. Wongsaroj <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>	Tim Robert Watson <i>PWC INDONESIA</i>	Aklesso Louis-Edson Edeou <i>VERSUS ARCHITECTURE</i>	Francky Rakotondrina <i>JOHN W. FFOOKS &amp; CO.</i>
Korapat Sukhummek <i>PWC THAILAND</i>	Somchai Yungkarn <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>		Bassimouillé Edjam-Etchaki <i>DIRECTION DES SERVICES TECHNIQUE DE LA MAIRIE</i>	Tihana Ana Relijic <i>VIDAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Atchara Suknaibaiboon <i>TMF THAILAND LIMITED</i>	Yada Yuwataepakorn <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>		Mathias A. Edorh-Komahe <i>LAWYER</i>	Samuel Sanwogou <i>CHAMBRE DE COMMERCE ET D'INDUSTRIE DU TOGO (CCIT)</i>
Picharn Sukparangsee <i>BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED</i>	Jose Abilio <i>CUSTOMS, MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	A. M. Abbi Toyi <i>DIRECTION DES AFFAIRES DOMANIALES ET CADASTRALES</i>	Koffi Mawunyo Equagoo <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MAÎTRE MENSAH-ATTOH, KOFFI SYLVIAN</i>	Adjémida Douato Soedjede <i>SAFFCO</i>
Malee Sumanotayan <i>THAI CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT</i>	Nur Aini Djafar Alkatiri <i>BANCO CENTRAL DE TIMOR-LESTE</i>	Abbas Aboulaye <i>AUTORITÉ DE RÉGLEMENTATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ (ARSE)</i>	Bérenger Ette <i>PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE</i>	Galolo Soedjede <i>CABINET DE MAÎTRE GALOLO SOEDJEDA</i>
Nuchapa Sungkakorn <i>THAI CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT</i>	Rui Amendoeira <i>VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Claude Adama <i>AQUEREBURU AND PARTNERS, SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	N'dane Felibigou-Edjeou <i>OTR – COMMISSARIAT DES DOUANES</i>	Lazare Sossoukpe <i>SCP DOGBEAVOU &amp; ASSOCIES</i>
Apinan Suntharanan <i>SIAM COMMERCIAL BANK PCL</i>	José Borges Guerra <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Djifa Emefa Adjale Suku <i>SCP DOGBEAVOU &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Akossi Fonouvi <i>CABINET DE MAÎTRE GALOLO SOEDJEDA</i>	Mouhamed Tchassona Traore <i>ETUDE ME MOUHAMED TCHASSONA TRAORE</i>
Pattamakan Suparp <i>TMF THAILAND LIMITED</i>	Paula Caldeira Dutschmann <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Mensah Adje <i>AQUEREBURU AND PARTNERS, SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	Ayélé Annie Gbadoe Deckon <i>AQUEREBURU AND PARTNERS, SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	Gagnon Yawo Table <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS ME TABLE GAGNON</i>
Tanatis Surabuworn <i>BANGKOK METROPOLITAN ADMINISTRATION</i>	Miguel Carreira Martins <i>WONG ALLIANCE</i>	Kossi Mawuse Adjedomole <i>MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS</i>	Mémèsilé Dominique Gnazo <i>CABINET DE NOTAIRE GNAZO</i>	Fafavi Tossah Adom <i>SCP DOGBEAVOU &amp; ASSOCIES</i>
Nattapon Suraratrangsri <i>ZICOLAW</i>	João Cortez Vaz <i>VDA - VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Komi Adjivon Kouwvi <i>SOCIÉTÉ TOGO LAISE DES EAUX</i>	Tchakoura Gnon <i>OTR – COMMISSARIAT DES DOUANES</i>	Senyo Komla Wozufia <i>COMELEC ÉLECTRICITÉ</i>
Ruenvadee Suwanmongkol <i>LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT</i>	Joana Custóias <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Koudzo Mawuëna Agbemapple <i>AUTORITÉ DE RÉGLEMENTATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ (ARSE)</i>	Tino Hoffer <i>AQUEREBURU AND PARTNERS, SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	Apotevi Zekpa <i>COMPAGNIE ENERGIE ÉLECTRIQUE DU TOGO (CEET)</i>
Naddaporn Suwanvajukkasikij <i>LAWPLUS LTD.</i>	Octaviana Da S. A. Maxanches <i>BANCO CENTRAL DE TIMOR-LESTE</i>	Martial Akakpo <i>MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS</i>	Atchroe Leonard Johnson <i>SCP AQUEREBURU &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Komla Edem Zotchi <i>MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS</i>
Hunt Talmage <i>CHANDLER MHM LIMITED</i>	Pascoela M. R. da Silva <i>BANCO CENTRAL DE TIMOR-LESTE</i>	Nicolas Kossi Akidjetan <i>ORDRE NATIONAL DES ARCHITECTES DU TOGO (ONAT)</i>	Sandra Ablamba Johnson <i>PRESIDIÈCE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE DU TOGO</i>	<b>TONGA</b>
Jedsadaporn Tamnarajit <i>MTOUCHE CO. LTD. THAILAND</i>	Francisco de Deus Maia <i>BANCO CENTRAL DE TIMOR-LESTE</i>	Yves Yaovi Akoue <i>ETINSEL</i>	Gilbert Josias <i>CHAMBRE DE COMMERCE ET D'INDUSTRIE DU TOGO (CCIT)</i>	Delores Elliott
Jeffery Tan <i>TRICHAROEN ENGINEERING CO., LTD.</i>	Luis de Oliveira Sampaio <i>JSMP - JUDICIAL SYSTEMS MONITORING PROGRAMME</i>	Kossi Adoté Akpagana <i>SCP DOGBEAVOU &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Molgah Kadjaka-Abougnima <i>CABINET DE NOTAIRE KADJAKA-ABOUGNIMA</i>	Pipiena Faupula <i>MINISTRY OF REVENUE AND CUSTOMS</i>
Sasivimol Tanasartti <i>LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT</i>	Casimiro dos Santos <i>JSMP - JUDICIAL SYSTEMS MONITORING PROGRAMME</i>	Eku Patrick Amendah <i>ORDRE NATIONAL DES ARCHITECTES DU TOGO (ONAT)</i>	Amatékoé Kangni <i>MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS</i>	Lopeti Heimuli <i>MINISTRY OF INFRASTRUCTURE</i>
Kornjan Tangkrisanakajorn <i>THANATHIP &amp; PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED</i>	Tony Duarte	Coffi Alexis Aqueruburu <i>AQUEREBURU AND PARTNERS, SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL</i>	Komivi Kassegne <i>COMPAGNIE ENERGIE ÉLECTRIQUE DU TOGO (CEET)</i>	Taaniela Kula <i>MINISTRY OF LANDS, SURVEY, NATURAL RESOURCES &amp; ENVIRONMENT</i>
Thitima Tangprasert <i>EY</i>	Anthony Frazier	Cécile Assogbavi <i>ETUDE NOTARIALE ASSOGBAVI</i>	Kodko Cephas Keoula <i>CHAMBRE DE COMMERCE ET D'INDUSTRIE DU TOGO (CCIT)</i>	Loupua Kuli <i>LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF TONGA</i>
Ornanong Tesabamroong <i>S.J. INTERNATIONAL LEGAL CONSULTING AND ADVISORY CO., LTD.</i>	João Galamba de Oliveira <i>ABREU AND C&amp;C ADVOGADOS</i>	Kossi Ayate <i>TRIBUNAL DE LOME</i>	Agbélouonou Koudasse <i>CABINET DE MAÎTRE GALOLO SOEDJEDA</i>	Moses Lavemai <i>PORTS AUTHORITY TONGA</i>
Noppramart Thammateeradaycho <i>SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED</i>	Tereza Garcia André <i>MIRANDA &amp; ASSOCIADOS</i>	Antoine Ayivi <i>LIGUE DES GENIES</i>	Kokou Kpeglo <i>OTR – COMMISSARIAT DES DOUANES</i>	Samisoni Masila <i>TONGA DEVELOPMENT BANK</i>
Norarat Theeranukoon <i>BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED</i>	Adi Ghanie <i>PWC INDONESIA</i>	Sandrine Badjili <i>MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS</i>	Hokaméto Kpenou <i>AUTORITÉ DE RÉGLEMENTATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ (ARSE)</i>	Seini Movete <i>TONGA DEVELOPMENT BANK</i>
Atitaya Thongboon <i>LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT</i>	Eusebio Gutierrez <i>UNIDO BUSINESS REGULATORY CONSULTANT</i>	Ibrahima Beye <i>PRÉSIDENCE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE DU TOGO</i>	Kokou Kpeglo <i>OTR – COMMISSARIAT DES DOUANES</i>	Sione Tomasi Naite Fakahua <i>FAKAHUA-FA'OTUSIA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
Waraporn Tungwatcharobol <i>RAJAH &amp; TANN</i>	Carolina Letra <i>CAIXA GERAL DE DEPOSITOS (CGD)</i>	Andre Lopez <i>ANL TIMOR, UNIPESSOAL LDA</i>	Assiom Kossi Bokodjin <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS ME TOBLE GAGNON</i>	Laki M. Niu <i>LAKI NIU OFFICES</i>
Kitipong Urappepatanapong <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Elisa Pereira <i>ABREU AND C&amp;C ADVOGADOS</i>		Emmanuel Mamlan <i>MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS</i>	Sipiloni Raass <i>JAIMI ASSOCIATES - ARCHITECTS</i>
Supawadee Vajasit <i>RAJAH &amp; TANN</i>				Tuipulotu Taufoou <i>DATELINE TRANS-AM SHIPPING</i>

Vaimoana Taukolo <i>MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, TOURISM AND LABOUR</i>	Sheldon Mycoo <i>SYNOVATIONS LIMITED</i>	Peter Bismuth <i>TUNISIE ELECTRO TECHNIQUE</i>	Sofian Obbia <i>CENTRAL BANK OF TUNISIA</i>	Elvan Aziz <i>PAKSOY LAW FIRM</i>
Fine Tohi <i>DATELINE TRANS-AM SHIPPING</i>	Kevin Nurse <i>JOHNSON, CAMACHO &amp; SINGH</i>	Omar Boukhdir <i>BOLLORE AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>	Olfa Othmane <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE</i>	Burak Babacan <i>KPMG</i>
Lesina Tonga <i>LESINA TOÑGA LAW FIRM</i>	Kerry Pariag <i>TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DIVISION</i>	Mongi Bousbia <i>SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)</i>	Habiba Raouadi <i>CHAFTER RAOUADI LAW FIRM</i>	Derya Baksi <i>TARLAN – BAKSI LAW FIRM</i>
Pesallli Tuiano <i>MINISTRY OF INFRASTRUCTURE</i>	Yolander Persaud <i>ASHMEAD ALI &amp; CO.</i>	Salaheddine Caid Essebsi <i>CAID ESSEBSI &amp; BEN SALEM ASSOCIES</i>	Nizar Sdiri <i>NIZAR SDIRI LAW FIRM</i>	Aslıhan Balci <i>SOMAY HUKUK BÜROSU</i>
Distquaine P. Tu'ihalamaka <i>MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, TOURISM AND LABOUR</i>	Sonji Pierre Chase <i>JOHNSON, CAMACHO &amp; SINGH</i>	Elyes Chafter <i>CHAFTER RAOUADI LAW FIRM</i>	Ferid Smida <i>OFFICE DE LA TOPOGRAPHIE ET DU CADASTRE - TUNISIE</i>	Naz Bandik Hatipoglu <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>
Paula Tupou <i>ALPA ELECTRIC COMPANY LIMITED</i>	Catherine Ramnarine <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH &amp; CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Zine el Abidine Chafter <i>CHAFTER RAOUADI LAW FIRM</i>	Hafedeh Trabelsi <i>CABINET D'ARCHITECTURE HAFDEH TRABELSI</i>	Ayça Bayburan <i>ADMİ - MAVİOĞLU &amp; ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>
Christine M. 'Uta'atu <i>UTA'ATU &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Krystal Richardson <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH &amp; CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Ali Chaouali <i>SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)</i>	Wassim Turki <i>AWT AUDIT &amp; CONSEIL</i>	Harun Bayramoglu <i>ITKİB İSTANBUL TEXTILE AND APPAREL EXPORTERS' ASSOCIATION</i>
Tahifisi Vehikite <i>KTEC CONSULTANTS. KINGDOM OF TONGA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>	Clyde Roach <i>ROTECH SERVICES LTD.</i>	Faouzi Cheikh <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE</i>	Anis Wahabi <i>AWT AUDIT &amp; CONSEIL</i>	İmge Besenk <i>PEKİN &amp; PEKİN</i>
Foto Veikune <i>MINISTRY OF INFRASTRUCTURE</i>	Keith Robinson <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LIMITED</i>	Mona Cherif <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mohamed Zaanouni <i>CABINET ZAAOUNI &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Serdar Bezen <i>BEZEN &amp; PARTNERS</i>
<b>TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO</b>				Yeşim Bezen <i>BEZEN &amp; PARTNERS</i>
<i>REGULATED INDUSTRIES COMMISSION</i>				Ayşe Eda Biçer <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>
Ashmead Ali <i>ASHMEAD ALI &amp; CO.</i>	Neshan Singh <i>MINISTRY OF TRADE, INDUSTRY AND INVESTMENT</i>	Abdelmalek Dahmani <i>DAHMANI TRANSIT INTERNATIONAL</i>	BOĞAZICI ELEKTRİK <i>DAGİTİM AŞ (BEDAŞ)</i>	Cansin Bilal <i>PWC TURKEY</i>
Linda M. Besson <i>CARIBBEAN EMPLOYERS CONFEDERATION</i>	Stephen A. Singh <i>JOHNSON, CAMACHO &amp; SINGH</i>	Mohamed Derbel <i>BDO</i>	GUNDUZ SIMSEK GAGO <i>AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Aysegul Bogrun <i>ERSOY BİLGEHAN LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS</i>
Luis Dini <i>HSMDT LTD.</i>	Tammy Timal-Toonday <i>GRANT THORNTON ORBIT SOLUTIONS LIMITED</i>	Mohamed Lotfi El Ajeri <i>EL AJERI LAWYERS EAL</i>	Metin Abut <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Seyma Boydak <i>SERAP ZUVİN LAW OFFICES</i>
Rosanne Dopson <i>J.D. SELLIER &amp; CO.</i>	Jonathan Walker <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH &amp; CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Sarra Elloumi <i>CABINET ZAAOUNI &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Hakan Ağú <i>PENETRA YMM LTD.</i>	Başak Burnin <i>PERA CONSTRUCTION</i>
Thomas Escalante <i>TRANSUNION</i>	Turkessa Warwick <i>BROKERAGE SOLUTION</i>	Abderrahmen Fendri <i>CAF MEMBRE DU RÉSEAU INTERNATIONAL PWC</i>	Zeynep Ahmetoğlu <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Esin Çamlıbel <i>TURUNC LAW OFFICE</i>
Dennis Fakoory <i>FAKOORY &amp; COMPANY LTD.</i>	Tonika Wilson-Gabriel <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LIMITED</i>	Noureddine Ferchiou <i>FERCHIOU &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Deniz Akbaş <i>SERAP ZUVİN LAW OFFICES</i>	Ümit Can <i>SARIYAHMOĞLU LAW OFFICE</i>
Hadyn-John Gadsby <i>J.D. SELLIER &amp; CO.</i>		Rym Ferchiou <i>FERCHIOU &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Fatih Akbulut <i>CAYA GROUP</i>	Nabi Can Acar <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>
Glenn Hamel-Smith <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH &amp; CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>		Amina Fradi <i>CAF MEMBRE DU RÉSEAU INTERNATIONAL PWC</i>	Efe Can Akinci <i>ADMİ - MAVİOĞLU &amp; ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Zeynep Cantımur <i>CAPITAL MARKETS BOARD OF TURKEY</i>
Melissa Inglefield <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH &amp; CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>		Imen Guettat <i>CAF MEMBRE DU RÉSEAU INTERNATIONAL PWC</i>	Seda Akipek <i>CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM</i>	Maria Lianides Celebi <i>BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>
Randall Karim <i>MINISTRY OF TRADE, INDUSTRY AND INVESTMENT</i>		Anis Jaboloun <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Müjdem Aksoy Çevik <i>CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM</i>	Ersay Çete <i>MINISTRY OF CUSTOMS AND TRADE</i>
Sunil Laloo <i>GA FARRELL AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Mourad Abdelmoula <i>AFINCO, A MEMBER OF NEXIA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Badis Jedidi <i>MEZIOU KNANI &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Sinan Akyüz <i>MINISTRY OF CUSTOMS AND TRADE</i>	Huseyin Batuhan Çolak <i>HERGUNER BİLGİN OZEKE</i>
Mariella Lange <i>HSMDT LTD.</i>	Ilhem Abderrahim <i>SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)</i>	Sami Kallel <i>KALLEL &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Simge Akyüz-Haybat <i>DEVRES LAW OFFICE</i>	Sertac Cosgun <i>PWC TURKEY</i>
Orrisha Maharajh <i>JOHNSON, CAMACHO &amp; SINGH</i>	Adly Bellagha <i>ADLY BELLAGHA &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>	Mabrouk Maalaoui <i>CAF MEMBRE DU RÉSEAU INTERNATIONAL PWC</i>	Duygu Alkan <i>ADMİ - MAVİOĞLU &amp; ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Yavuz Davioğlu <i>PWC TURKEY</i>
Kevin Maraj <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LIMITED</i>	Zied Ben Ali <i>SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE D'INDUSTRIE ELECTRIQUE ET DE LUMIÈRE (STIEL)</i>	Slim Malouche <i>MALOUCHE AVOCATS-CONSEILS</i>	Ekin Altıntaş <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Gizem Demirci <i>SARIYAHMOĞLU LAW OFFICE</i>
Christian Marquez <i>MINISTRY OF TRADE, INDUSTRY AND INVESTMENT</i>	Thouraya Ben Ghenia <i>TRIBUNAL IMMOBILIER - TUNISIE</i>	Samia Mayara <i>ACCELEA ENGINEERING</i>	Selin Barlin Aral <i>PAKSOY LAW FIRM</i>	Ebru Demirhan <i>TABOGLU &amp; DEMIRHAN</i>
Imtiaz Mohammed <i>DELTA ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS, LTD.</i>	Wassim Ben Mahmoud <i>BUREAU WASSEM BEN MAHMOUD</i>	Sarah Mebazaa <i>ARCHITECT</i>	Ergun Benan Arseven <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Rüchan Derici <i>3E DANİŞMANLIK LTD. ŞTİ.</i>
Nassim Mohammed <i>EY</i>	Amel Ben Rahal <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE</i>	Mohamed Mgazzen <i>SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)</i>	Mehmet Mücahit Arvas <i>MINISTRY OF CUSTOMS AND TRADE</i>	Emine Devres <i>DEVRES LAW OFFICE</i>
David Montgomery <i>HLB MONTGOMERY &amp; CO.</i>	Anis Ben Said <i>GLOBAL AUDITING &amp; ADVISING</i>	Amel Mrabet <i>EL AJERI LAWYERS EAL</i>	Aysun Atıl <i>MINISTRY OF CUSTOMS AND TRADE</i>	Şule Dilek Çelik <i>CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM</i>
Evelyn Murphy <i>TROPICAL SHIPPING AGENCY UNLIMITED</i>	Abdelfetah Benahjî <i>FERCHIOU &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Mohamed Taieb Mrabet <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE</i>	Serdar Ay <i>MINISTRY OF CUSTOMS AND TRADE</i>	Deniz Dincer Öner <i>PWC TURKEY</i>
	Slah-Eddine Bensaïd <i>SCET-TUNISIE</i>	Hichem M'rabet <i>SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)</i>	Aykut Aydin <i>BEZEN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Derya Doğan <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>
	Abdessattar Berraies <i>CABINET ZAAOUNI &amp; ASSOCIES</i>	Imen Nouira <i>CONSERVATION FONCIÈRE TUNISIA</i>	Murat Ayyıldız <i>ERYÜREKLİ LAW OFFICE</i>	Orkun Dokener <i>3E DANİŞMANLIK LTD. ŞTİ.</i>
				Safa Mustafa Durakoğlu <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>

Hakan Durusel <i>PEKIN &amp; PEKIN</i>	Ilker Karabulut <i>3E DANIŞMANLIK LTD. ŞTİ.</i>	Nursen Osmanoglu <i>OSMANOGLU HUKUK   OSMANOGLU LAW FIRM</i>	Bekir Tarik Yigit <i>GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF LAND REGISTRY AND CADASTRE</i>	MaryRose Akii <i>FBW GROUP</i>
Elsen Ece Otlu <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Özgür Karacaoğlu <i>MINISTRY OF CUSTOMS AND TRADE</i>	Mert Özden <i>SARIIBRAHIMOĞLU LAW OFFICE</i>	Aylin Tarlan Tüzemen <i>TARLAN – BAKSI LAW FIRM</i>	Daniel Anguala <i>ANGUALIA, BUSIKU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Gülşen Engin <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Başak Karakoç <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Yusuf Mansur Özer <i>ERSOY BILGEHAN LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS</i>	Eser Taşçı <i>TUYID - TURKISH IR SOCIETY</i>	Robert Apenya <i>ENGORU, MUTEBI ADVOCATES</i>
Gökben Erdem Dirican <i>PEKIN &amp; PEKIN</i>	Elçin Karatay <i>PEKIN &amp; PEKIN</i>	Duygu Ozmen <i>PEKIN &amp; BAYAR LAW FIRM</i>	Mehmet Ali Taskin <i>ODAMAN &amp; TASKIN LAW FIRM</i>	Leria Arinaitwe <i>SEBALU &amp; LULE ADVOCATES</i>
Goktug Ersoy <i>PAKSOY LAW FIRM</i>	Özge Kavasoğlu <i>THE BANKS ASSOCIATION OF TURKEY</i>	Özlem Özijoğlu <i>YASED - INTERNATIONAL INVESTORS ASSOCIATION</i>	Selen Terzi Özsoylu <i>PAKSOY LAW FIRM</i>	Justine Bagyenda <i>BANK OF UGANDA</i>
Naz Esen <i>TURUNC LAW OFFICE</i>	Betül Kencebay <i>TUYID - TURKISH IR SOCIETY</i>	Ahmed Pekin <i>PEKIN &amp; PEKIN</i>	Deniz Torun <i>ADMID - MAVIOGLU &amp; ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Edward Balaba <i>EY</i>
Özgür Can Geçim <i>EY</i>	Burak Kepkep <i>PAKSOY LAW FIRM</i>	Ferhat Pekin <i>PEKIN &amp; BAYAR LAW FIRM</i>	Oguz Tumis <i>3E DANIŞMANLIK LTD. ŞTİ.</i>	Robert Bbosa <i>KYEYUNE ROBERT</i>
Tuba Gedik <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Süleyman Kışac <i>TÜRK TELEKOM</i>	İlkhan Peksen <i>ERSOY BILGEHAN LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS</i>	Mehmet Selcuk Turkoglu <i>CAPITAL MARKETS BOARD OF TURKEY</i>	Alice Namuli Blazevic <i>KATENDE, SSEMPEBWA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Oya Gençay <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY</i>	Serhat Kisakurek <i>BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Ecem Pirler <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Ibrahim Tutar <i>PENETRA YMM LTD.</i>	Joseph Buwembo <i>BUWEMBO &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Mehmet Emir Göka <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Özlem Kızıl Voyvoda <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Erenalp Renber <i>PEKIN &amp; PEKIN</i>	Burcu Tuçlu Ersin <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Mark Bwambale <i>KAMPALA CAPITAL CITY AUTHORITY (KCCA)</i>
Göksu Gökay <i>PEKIN &amp; PEKIN</i>	Selman Koç <i>CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM</i>	Dilara Saatçioğlu <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Karya Ücer <i>HERGUNER BILGEN OZEKE</i>	Mulindwa Muwonge Desire <i>BUWEMBO &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Hafize Gökçe <i>ADMID - MAVIOGLU &amp; ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Serhan Koçaklı <i>KOLCUOĞLU DEMIRKAN KOÇAKLI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Gülbin Şahinbeyoğlu <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY</i>	Sait Uğur <i>ADMID - MAVIOGLU &amp; ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Matovu Emmy <i>MARMA TECHNICAL SERVICES</i>
Alev Güclüer <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Korhan Kocalı <i>CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM</i>	Batuhan Şahmaya <i>BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Leyla Ulukan <i>ERSOY BILGEHAN LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS</i>	Nasali Joan <i>BUWEMBO &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Serkan Gul <i>HERGUNER BILGEN OZEKE</i>	Galya Kohan <i>TABOGLU &amp; DEMIRHAN</i>	Bulent Sarac <i>MINISTRY OF CUSTOMS AND TRADE</i>	Özlem Üntez <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Lwanga John Bosco <i>MARMA TECHNICAL SERVICES</i>
Kenan Güler <i>GÜLER DINAMİK GÜMRÜK MÜŞAVİRLİĞİ AŞ</i>	Umut Korkmaz <i>PEKIN &amp; PEKIN</i>	Selim Sarıihamoğlu <i>SARIIBRAHIMOĞLU LAW OFFICE</i>	Burcu Urgancı <i>HERGUNER BILGEN OZEKE</i>	Nicholas Kabonge <i>PWC UGANDA</i>
Onur Gülsaran <i>CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM</i>	Vedia Nihal Yokuscu <i>TARLAN – BAKSI LAW FIRM</i>	Gülce Saydam Pehlivan <i>PAKSOY LAW FIRM</i>	Ü. Barış Urhan <i>TÜSİAD</i>	Marion Kakembo <i>KS K ASSOCIATES</i>
Omer Gümüşel <i>PEKIN &amp; BAYAR LAW FIRM</i>	Nazım Olcay Kurt <i>HERGUNER BILGEN OZEKE</i>	Üğur Sebzeci <i>BEZEN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Doğa Usluel <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Francis Kamulegeya <i>PWC UGANDA</i>
Arzum Gunalcin <i>GÜNALÇİN HUKUK BÜROSU</i>	Aybala Kurtuldu <i>SERAP ZUVİN LAW OFFICES</i>	Sımal Seker <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Anıl Uysal <i>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>	Doreen Kansiime <i>SEBALU &amp; LULE ADVOCATES</i>
Nurettin Gündoğmuş <i>AKTİF INVESTMENT BANK AS</i>	Dilara Leventoğlu <i>TABOGLU &amp; DEMIRHAN</i>	Selen Senocak <i>KOLCUOĞLU DEMIRKAN KOÇAKLI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Ufuk Yalcın <i>HERGUNER BILGEN OZEKE</i>	Stephen Kasenge <i>KS K ASSOCIATES</i>
Zeki Gündüz <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Orhan Yavuz Mavioğlu <i>ADMID - MAVIOGLU &amp; ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Ömer Kayhan Seyhun <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY</i>	Ayşegül Yalçınmanı <i>CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM</i>	Allan Katangaza <i>BOWMANS (AF MPANGA, ADVOCATES)</i>
Burcu Güray <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Maral Minasyan <i>KOLCUOĞLU DEMIRKAN KOÇAKLI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Irmak Seymen <i>ADMID - MAVIOGLU &amp; ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Hasan Yaşar <i>PEKIN &amp; PEKIN</i>	Arthur Katende <i>KATENDE, SSEMPEBWA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Can Gürlek <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Gökhan Mirahmetoglu <i>UNION OF CHAMBERS AND COMMODITY EXCHANGES OF TURKEY</i>	Çağrı Sünbul <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Cüneyit Yetgin <i>GÜLER DINAMİK GÜMRÜK MÜŞAVİRLİĞİ AŞ</i>	Baati Katende <i>KATENDE, SSEMPEBWA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Aysegül Gürsoy <i>CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM</i>	Busra Nur <i>ODAMAN &amp; TASKIN LAW FIRM</i>	Esin Tabooğlu <i>TABOGLU &amp; DEMIRHAN</i>	Muhammet Yiğit <i>BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	David Katende <i>ENVIROKAD</i>
Tuna Gürsu <i>MINISTRY OF CUSTOMS AND TRADE</i>	Zumbul Odaman Taskin <i>ODAMAN &amp; TASKIN LAW FIRM</i>	Sezil Simsek <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Beste Yıldızılı <i>TURUNC LAW OFFICE</i>	Sim K. Katende <i>KATENDE, SSEMPEBWA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Göktuğ Halac <i>BEZEN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Pelin Oguzer <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Murat Soylu <i>BEZEN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Can Yilmaz <i>SERAP ZUVİN LAW OFFICES</i>	Collins Dicksons Kateshumbwa <i>UGANDA REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>
Gülin Halebek Kuşakoğlu <i>TUYID - TURKISH IR SOCIETY</i>	Mert Oner <i>KPMG</i>	Çağrı Sünbul <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Senay Yılmaz <i>TOBB - THE UNION OF CHAMBERS AND COMMODITY EXCHANGES OF TURKEY</i>	Vincent Katutsi <i>KATEERA &amp; KAGUMIRE ADVOCATES</i>
Timur Hülagü <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY</i>	Yavuz Oner <i>KPMG</i>	Esin Tabooğlu <i>TABOGLU &amp; DEMIRHAN</i>	Melis Yüksel <i>SARIIBRAHIMOĞLU LAW OFFICE</i>	Assumpta Kermigisha <i>NANGWALA, REZIDA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Tolga İpek <i>HERGUNER BILGEN OZEKE</i>	Volkan Oray <i>GÜLER DINAMİK GÜMRÜK MÜŞAVİRLİĞİ AŞ</i>	Aysenaz Tahmaz <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Murat Yülek <i>PGLOBAL GLOBAL ADVISORY AND TRAINING SERVICES LTD.</i>	Lucy Kermigisha <i>EY</i>
Mustafa Isik <i>MINISTRY OF CUSTOMS AND TRADE</i>	Mine Oner <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Dilara Tamtürk <i>ADMID - MAVIOGLU &amp; ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Izzet Zakuto <i>SOMAY HUKUK BÜROSU</i>	Sebagalla M. Kigozi <i>UGANDA MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION</i>
Sevi İslamec <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Kerem Utku Örer <i>TARLAN – BAKSI LAW FIRM</i>	Elif Tan <i>MOROĞLU ARSEVEN</i>	Serap Zuvin <i>SERAP ZUVİN LAW OFFICES</i>	Kenneth Kihembo <i>KS K ASSOCIATES</i>
M. Yağız Kacar <i>TURUNC LAW OFFICE</i>	Burcu Osmanoglu <i>OSMANOGLU HUKUK   OSMANOGLU LAW FIRM</i>	Eda Tanrıverdi <i>TURUNC LAW OFFICE</i>	<b>UGANDA</b> <i>MMAKS ADVOCATES</i>	
Ali Can Kahya <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>				
Zeynep Kalayci <i>PAKSOY LAW FIRM</i>				

Mubarka Nkuutu Kirunda <i>UGANDA MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION</i>	Paul Semanda <i>FBW GROUP</i>	Oleksandr Fomenko <i>KIEVENERGO</i>	Oles Kvyat <i>ASTERS</i>	Viktor Poternak <i>AIG LAW FIRM</i>
Lillian Helen Kuteesa <i>NANGWALA, REZIDA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Alan Shonubi <i>SHONUBI, MUSOKE &amp; CO.</i>	Andriy Fortunenko <i>AVELLUM</i>	Yulia Kyrypa <i>AEQUO</i>	Viktoria Prokharenko <i>AURORA PJSC</i>
Mercy Kyomugasho-Kainobwisho <i>UGANDA REGISTRATION SERVICES BUREAU</i>	Charles Lwanga Ssemanda <i>BESTIN LIMITED</i>	Oleksandr Frolov <i>CMS CAMERON</i>	Oleksii Latsko <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	Vadym Samoilenko <i>ASTERS</i>
Musuuza Lawrence <i>BUWEMBO &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Ambrose Turyahabwe <i>DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING (U) LTD.</i>	Ivan Nikolaevich Gelyukh <i>KIEVENERGO</i>	Afanasiev & Partners <i>AFANASIEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Olga Samusieva <i>HLB UKRAINE</i>
Arnold Lule <i>ENGORU, MUTEBI ADVOCATES</i>	Bernanya Twebaze <i>UGANDA REGISTRATION SERVICES BUREAU</i>	Leonid Gilevich <i>ILYASHEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Yevgen Levitskyi <i>AEQUO</i>	Iuliia Savchenko <i>ASTERS</i>
Michael Malan <i>COMPUSCAN CRB LTD.</i>	<b>UKRAINE</b>	Vitalii Grusevych <i>CONFEDERATION OF BUILDERS OF UKRAINE</i>	Nikolay Alexandrovich Lezin <i>KIEVGORSTROY</i>	Maryana Sayenko <i>ASTERS</i>
Richard Marshall <i>PWC UGANDA</i>	Yaroslav Abramov <i>INTEGRITES</i>	Yaroslav Guseynov <i>PWC</i>	Maksym Libanov <i>NATIONAL SECURITIES AND STOCK MARKET COMMISSION</i>	Natalia Selyakova <i>DENTONS</i>
Alex Mbonye Manzi <i>UGANDA SHIPPERS COUNCIL</i>	Denys Absalyamov <i>JSC UKRENERGOCHERMET</i>	Ilihar Hakhramanov <i>AVELLUM</i>	Nickolas Likhachov <i>SPENSER &amp; KAUFFMANN</i>	Viktor Semenyuta <i>KIEVENERGO</i>
Paul Moores <i>FBW GROUP</i>	Igor Agarkov <i>ROKADA GROUP</i>	Vitalii Hamalii <i>PWC</i>	Artem Lukyanov <i>DENTONS</i>	Olga Serbul <i>LAW FIRM IP &amp; C CONSULT LLC</i>
Robert Mugabe <i>UGANDA REGISTRATION SERVICES BUREAU</i>	Mykola Agarkov <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	Mykola Heletiy <i>CMS CAMERON</i>	Anastasiya Lytvynenko <i>ALKIRIS LAW FIRM</i>	Anna Shabinskaya <i>PRIVATE NOTARY - SHABINSKAYA ANNA VIKTOROVNA</i>
Patrick Mugalula <i>KATENDE, SSEMEPBWA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Mykola Aleksandrov <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	Mckenna LLC	Dmytro Makarenko <i>STATE SERVICE FOR GEODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND CADASTER</i>	Stepan Shef <i>HLB UKRAINE</i>
Albert Mukasa <i>BKA ADVOCATES</i>	Rovtov Alexander <i>CONFEDERATION OF BUILDERS OF UKRAINE</i>	Dmytro Honcharenko <i>ETERNA LAW</i>	Oleh Malskyi <i>ETERNA LAW</i>	Victor Shekera <i>KPMG</i>
Cornelius Mukibi <i>C. MUKIBI SENTAMU &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Anna Babych <i>AEQUO</i>	Oksana Ilchenko <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	Victor Marchan <i>DENTONS</i>	Naida Shykhkerimova <i>KPMG</i>
Priscilla Mutebi <i>ENGORU, MUTEBI ADVOCATES</i>	Gleb Bialyi <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Olga Ivanova <i>ARZINGER</i>	Dmytro Simashko <i>DLA PIPER</i>
Miriam Nabatanzi <i>UGANDA REGISTRATION SERVICES BUREAU</i>	Aleksandr Biryukov <i>LCF LAW GROUP</i>	Tetyana Ivanovich <i>SPENSER &amp; KAUFFMANN</i>	Alexander Martinenko <i>CMS CAMERON</i>	Anton Sintsov <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>
Harriet Nakaddu <i>PWC UGANDA</i>	Oleg Boichuk <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	Jon Johannesson <i>IBCH</i>	Mckenna LLC	AFANASIEV & PARTNERS
Victoria Nakaddu <i>SEBALU &amp; LULE ADVOCATES</i>	AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Oleg Kachmar <i>VASIL KISIL &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Larysa Melnychuk <i>ZAMMLER 3PL</i>	Anastasia Sotir <i>AEQUO</i>
Eva Nalwanga Gitta <i>KASIRYE BYARUHANGA AND CO.</i>	Bohdan Bon <i>DENTONS</i>	Oleg Kanikovskyi <i>PROXEN &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Arsenyi Milyutin <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	Yulia Spolitak <i>ETERNA LAW</i>
Matthias Nalyanya <i>LEX UGANDA ADVOCATES &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Timur Bondarev <i>ARZINGER</i>	Kostiantyn Karaianov <i>DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC</i>	AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Ivan Mustanien <i>EY</i>
Priscilla Namusikwe <i>SHONUBI, MUSOKE &amp; CO.</i>	Alexander Borodkin <i>VASIL KISIL &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Yuriy Katser <i>KPMG</i>	Adam Mycyk <i>DENTONS</i>	Natalia Spyrydonova <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>
Jane Nankabirwa <i>FBW GROUP</i>	Pavlo Byelousov <i>AEQUO</i>	Pavlo Khodakovsky <i>ARZINGER</i>	Mariya Natsyna <i>AIG LAW FIRM</i>	AFANASIEV & PARTNERS
Diana Nannono <i>KATENDE, SSEMEPBWA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Kateryna Chechulina <i>CMS CAMERON</i>	Halyna Khomenko <i>EY</i>	Artem Naumov <i>INYURPOLIS LAW FIRM</i>	Andriy Stelmaschuk <i>VASIL KISIL &amp; PARTNERS</i>
Nusula Kizito Nassuna <i>CAPITAL MARKETS AUTHORITY</i>	Iaroslav Cheker <i>KPMG</i>	Vadym A. Kizlenko <i>ILYASHEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Yuriy Nechayev <i>AVELLUM</i>	Roman Stepanenko <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>
Martin Ngugi <i>BROSBN CONSULTANTS ARCHITECTURE AND PLANNING</i>	Sergey Chulkov <i>KIEVENERGO</i>	Uliana Kolodii <i>ZAMMLER 3PL</i>	Mykola Negrych <i>GEOS DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION</i>	Andriy Stetsenko <i>CMS CAMERON</i>
Charles Odere <i>LEX UGANDA ADVOCATES &amp; SOLICITORS</i>	Graham Conlon <i>CMS CAMERON</i>	Maryana Kolyada <i>PWC</i>	Anna Ogrenchuk <i>LCF LAW GROUP</i>	Mckenna LLC
Mercy Odu <i>BOWMANS (AF MPANGA, ADVOCATES)</i>	Andrii Koshman <i>KPMG</i>	Natalia Kondrashyna <i>ASTERS</i>	Olena Ohonovska <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	Mykola Stetsenko <i>AVELLUM</i>
William Okello	Ivan Demtsio <i>KPMG</i>	Stanislav Koptilin <i>ILYASHEV &amp; PARTNERS</i>	AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Artem Stoyanov <i>LCF LAW GROUP</i>
Joseph Oteng Otogo <i>ELECTRICITY REGULATORY AUTHORITY</i>	Aleksandr Deputat <i>ELIT GROUP</i>	Andrey Kosharny <i>ELIT GROUP</i>	Kateryna Oliynyk <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	Dmitriy Sykaluk <i>DLF ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Alex Rezida <i>NANGWALA, REZIDA &amp; CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Dmytro Donenko <i>ENGARDE ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Andrii Koshman <i>KPMG</i>	AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Dmytro Symanov <i>CAI &amp; LENARD</i>
Moses Segawa <i>SEBALU &amp; LULE ADVOCATES</i>	Mariana Dudnyk <i>PWC</i>	Vladimir Kotenko <i>EY</i>	Maryna Opirska <i>DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC</i>	Marharyta Tatarova <i>ETERNA LAW</i>
	Igor Dykunskyy <i>DLF ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Alla Kozachenko <i>DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC</i>	Liliya Palko <i>KPMG</i>	Anna Tkachenko <i>DENTONS</i>
	Anna Folvarochna <i>ASTERS</i>	Alona Kravchenko <i>INYURPOLIS LAW FIRM</i>	Olena Papazova <i>KPMG</i>	Dmytro Tkachenko <i>DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC</i>
		Alina Kuksenko <i>ASTERS</i>	Alesya Pavlynska <i>ARZINGER</i>	Ivan Trofimenko <i>RIQUEZA CAPITAL GROUP, LLC</i>
		Vitali Kulinich <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY</i>	Yuriy Petrenko <i>SPENSER &amp; KAUFFMANN</i>	Ivan Trofimenko <i>DEPT. OF STATE REGISTRATION AND NOTARIAT AT THE MINISTRY OF JUSTICE OF UKRAINE</i>
		AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Konstantin Pilkov <i>CAI &amp; LENARD</i>	Oleg Tsvyah <i>STATE GEOKADASTRE</i>
		Tatyana Kuzmenko <i>AIG LAW FIRM</i>	Serhiy Piontovsky <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Andriy Tsvyetkov <i>ATTORNEYS' ASSOCIATION GESTORS</i>
			Sergiy Popov <i>KPMG</i>	

Serhii Uvarov <b>AVELLUM</b>	Hussain Almatrood <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	Jonia Kashalaba <b>PWC UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</b>	Azizur Rahman <b>CHANCE ELECTROMECHANICAL WORKS LLC</b>	Baher Yousef <b>ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS GROUP (ECG)</b>
Camiel van der Meij <b>PWC</b>	Khaled Kilani <b>ARAMEX EMIRATES LLC</b>	Saurbh Kothari <b>AFRIDI &amp; ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</b>	Mohammed Sanaur Rahman <b>AL BADHA ELECTRICAL &amp; SANITARY INS. LLC</b>	Mohammed Zeen <b>NAFFCO</b>
Andriy Valentinovich Vavrish <b>CHIEF DEPARTMENT OF TOWN-PLANNING, ARCHITECTURE AND URBAN ENVIRONMENT DESIGN OF THE KIEV CITY STATE ADMINISTRATION</b>	Layali AlMulla <b>DUBAI MUNICIPALITY</b>	Vipul Kothari <b>KOTHARI AUDITORS &amp; ACCOUNTANTS</b>	Nooshin RahmaniJade <b>ARAA GROUP ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	<b>UNITED KINGDOM</b>
Slava Vlasov <b>PWC</b>	Mohammed Alsuboosi <b>DUBAI COURTS</b>	Ravi Kumar <b>DUBAI TRADE</b>	Johnson Rajan <b>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</b>	<b>EXPERIAN LTD.</b>
Yuliia Volkova <b>AEQUO</b>	Yousaf Al-Suwaidi <b>DUBAI COURTS</b>	Charles S. Laubach <b>AFRIDI &amp; ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</b>	Mehul Rajyaguru <b>AL HILU STAR ELECTROMECHANICAL WORKS LLC</b>	<b>THE INSOLVENCY SERVICE</b>
Elena Volyanskaya <b>LCF LAW GROUP</b>	Piyush Bhandari <b>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</b>	Daniele Lavalle <b>AL ETIHAD CREDIT BUREAU</b>	Chatura Randeniya <b>AFRIDI &amp; ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</b>	<b>WHITE &amp; CASE LLP LONDON</b>
Olexiy Yanov <b>LAW FIRM IP &amp; C CONSULT LLC</b>	Maryam Bin Lahej Al-Falasi <b>DUBAI COURTS</b>	Rana Madi <b>DUBAI MUNICIPALITY</b>	Jochem Rossel <b>PWC UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</b>	<b>Alexandra Adams</b> <b>CLYDE &amp; CO.</b>
Yulia Yashenkova <b>AIG LAW FIRM</b>	Mazen Boustany <b>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</b>	Christine Maksoud <b>BAROUDI &amp; ASSOCIATES</b>	Mohammad Safwan <b>AL HASHEMI PLANNERS, ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS</b>	<b>Philip Allenby</b> <b>DLA PIPER UK LLP</b>
Aleksandra Yevstafyeva <b>EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV &amp; PARTNERS</b>	Omar Bushabab <b>BUSINESS REGISTRATION IN DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</b>	Arslan Malik <b>OHM ELECTROMECHANIC</b>	Said Said <b>DUBAI TRADE</b>	<b>Clare Barras</b> <b>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLP</b>
Vasyl Yurmanovich <b>INTEGRITES</b>	Diego Carmona <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	Junaid Malik <b>AL ETIHAD CREDIT BUREAU</b>	Mohammed Ahmed Saleh <b>DUBAI MUNICIPALITY</b>	<b>Corina Barsa</b> <b>CLYDE &amp; CO.</b>
Anton Zaderygolova <b>DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC</b>	R. Chandran <b>TRANSWORLD SHIPPING</b>	Srikrishnan Mannapara <b>SONY MEA</b>	Osama Shabaan <b>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</b>	<b>Ravi Basra</b> <b>LUBBOCK FINE - MEMBER OF RUSSEL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</b>
Galya Zagorodniuk <b>DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC</b>	Maggie Chang <b>PWC UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</b>	Shammas Manthadathil <b>AL MURJAN ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION LLC</b>	Hassan Shakrouf <b>GLOBAL TEAM UAE</b>	<b>Marie Batchelor</b> <b>BIRKETTS LLP</b>
Anna Zorya <b>ARZINGER</b>	Pooja Dabir <b>PWC UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</b>	Lorance Mathew <b>SAFE PLUS TECHNICAL SERVICES LLC</b>	Advaita Sharma <b>ADAM GLOBAL</b>	<b>Andrew Booth</b> <b>ANDREW BOOTH ARCHITECT</b>
<b>UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</b>				
AL HAMD <b>ELECTROMECHANICAL WORKS LLC</b>	Niaz Ebrahim <b>BRIGHT ELECTRICAL WORKS LLC</b>	Mohamed Mihlar <b>INTERGULF LTD. (AN IFCO GROUP CO.)</b>	Clinton Slogrove <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	<b>Moshe Bordon</b> <b>MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY &amp; MCCLOY LLP</b>
PEARL HOMES TECHNICAL SERVICES LLC DUBAI UAE	Ghassan El Asmar <b>DUBAI ELECTRICITY AND WATER AUTHORITY</b>	Abdulla Mohamed <b>ARAA GROUP ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	Izabella Szadkowska <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	<b>Marlies Braun</b> <b>WEDLAKE BELL LLP</b>
REED SMITH	Amrany El Bagoury <b>AL SAFAR &amp; PARTNERS</b>	Badih Moukarzel <b>HUOOQ LEGAL PRACTICE</b>	Junaid Malik <b>AL ETIHAD CREDIT BUREAU</b>	<b>Rob Briggs</b> <b>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLP</b>
Qurashi Abdulghani <b>DUBAI MUNICIPALITY</b>	ADVOCACY & LEGAL CONSULTATIONS	Udayan Mukherjee <b>DENTONS</b>	Clinton Slogrove <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	<b>Howard Bushell</b> <b>HER MAJESTY'S LAND REGISTRY</b>
Nadia Abdulrazagh <b>NADIA ABDULRAZAGH</b>	Syed Ali Hussnain Gilani <b>AL MEHER CONTRACTING CO. LLC</b>	Mohammed Murshed Alam <b>AL MURJAN ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION LLC</b>	Hamad Thani Mutar <b>DUBAI COURTS</b>	<b>Jonathan Caldwell</b> <b>DLA PIPER UK LLP</b>
Saleh Abdurahman <b>ARAA GROUP ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	Nasim Hashim <b>AFRIDI &amp; ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</b>	Sarathne Natarajan <b>NAFFCO</b>	Nitin Tirath <b>DUBAI TRADE</b>	<b>Brendon Christian</b> <b>BUSINESS LAW BC</b>
Laith Abu Quaqod <b>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</b>	Talal Mohammed Hassan <b>Al-Tamimi</b>	Himadri Pathak <b>INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY</b>	Mohsen Tomh <b>OPTIONS ENGINEERING CONSULTANCIES</b>	<b>Karen Clarke</b> <b>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLP</b>
Hesam Aghalou <b>OHM ELECTROMECHANIC</b>	Al Tamimi & Company <b>ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	Vijendra Vikram Singh Paul <b>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</b>	Arun Udayabhanu <b>BRIGHT ELECTRICAL WORKS LLC</b>	<b>Colin Cochrane</b> <b>REED SMITH LLP</b>
Laila Al Asbahi <b>TAMLEEK REAL ESTATE REGISTRATION TRUSTEE</b>	Ahmed Hegazy <b>TAMLEEK REAL ESTATE REGISTRATION TRUSTEE</b>	Iqbal Pedhwala <b>SHABMAN'S TRADING EST</b>	Hannan Uddin <b>CHANCE ELECTROMECHANICAL WORKS LLC</b>	<b>Simon Cohen</b> <b>SHEARMAN &amp; STERLING LLP</b>
Mahmood Al Bastaki <b>DUBAI TRADE</b>	Bedarul Hoque <b>POWER ELECTROMECHANICAL WORKS LLC</b>	Sinov Philip <b>AFRIDI &amp; ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</b>	Sriram Viswanathan <b>DYNATRADE AUTOMOTIVE GROUP</b>	<b>Michael Collard</b> <b>5 PUMP COURT CHAMBERS</b>
Obaid Saif Atiq Al Falasi <b>DUBAI ELECTRICITY AND WATER AUTHORITY</b>	Rita Jaballah <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	Motaz Qaoud <b>AL KHAWAJA ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY</b>	Gary Watts <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	<b>James Collinson</b> <b>DLA PIPER UK LLP</b>
Yousuf Mohd Al Khazraji <b>DUBAI ELECTRICITY AND WATER AUTHORITY</b>	Tara Jamieson <b>AFRIDI &amp; ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</b>	Samer Qudah <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	Jody Waugh <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	<b>James Cross</b> <b>REED SMITH LLP</b>
Abdullah Al Nasser <b>ARAA GROUP ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	Edger Larose Joseph <b>AMPTEC ELECTROMECHANICAL LLC</b>	Mohamed Younus Rafeeq <b>BINLAHEJ ELECTROMECHANICAL LLC</b>	Arun Udayabhanu <b>BRIGHT ELECTRICAL WORKS LLC</b>	<b>Ashley Damiral</b> <b>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLP</b>
Marwan Sultan Al Sabbagh <b>DUBAI ELECTRICITY AND WATER AUTHORITY</b>	Sony Joseph <b>INTERTECHS ELECTROMECHANICAL CONTRACTORS LLC</b>	Yusuf Rafiudeen <b>DUBAI ELECTRICITY AND WATER AUTHORITY</b>	Hannan Uddin <b>CHANCE ELECTROMECHANICAL WORKS LLC</b>	<b>John Dewar</b> <b>MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY &amp; MCCLOY LLP</b>
Buti Al Subosi <b>TAMLEEK REAL ESTATE REGISTRATION TRUSTEE</b>	Gul Kalam <b>OHM ELECTROMECHANIC</b>	Ashraf M. Rahman <b>ADAM GLOBAL</b>	Sriram Viswanathan <b>DYNATRADE AUTOMOTIVE GROUP</b>	<b>Shannon Diggory</b> <b>REED SMITH LLP</b>
Faizan Asif Ali <b>BLUE ZONE ELECTROMECHANICAL LLC</b>			Gary Watts <b>AL TAMIMI &amp; COMPANY ADVOCATES &amp; LEGAL CONSULTANTS</b>	<b>Zaki Ejaz</b> <b>RIGHT LEGAL ADVICE</b>

Donald Gray <i>DARWIN GRAY LLP</i>	Tom Neilson <i>MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY &amp; MCCLOY LLP</i>	Manish Antani <i>EISNER JAFFE PC</i>	David Newberg <i>COLLIER, HALPERN, NEWBERG, NOLLETTI, LLP</i>	Gaston Atchugarry <i>GASTON ATCHUGARRY ARQUITECTURA-URUGUAY</i>
Louise Gullifer <i>OXFORD UNIVERSITY, COMMERCIAL LAW CENTER</i>	Peter Newman <i>MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY &amp; MCCLOY LLP</i>	Pamy J. S. Arora <i>CORNELL GROUP, INC.</i>	Samuel Nolen <i>RICHARDS, LAYTON &amp; FINGER, P.A., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Leticia Barrios
Marc Harvey <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>	Kevin Nicholson <i>PWC UNITED KINGDOM</i>	Eve Brackmann <i>STUART KANE</i>	Christopher O'Connell <i>PARKER, MILLIKEN, CLARK, O'HARA &amp; SAMUELIAN</i>	Juan Bonet <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Andrew Haywood <i>PENNINGTONS MANCHES LLP</i>	Phil Norton <i>CLYDE &amp; CO.</i>	Steven Clark <i>CLARK FIRM PLLC</i>	Federico Cruz <i>RICHARD O'NEILL CONSOLIDATED EDISON CO. OF NY, INC.</i>	Sofia Borba <i>ARCHITECT</i>
Nicky Heathcote <i>HER MAJESTY'S LAND REGISTRY</i>	Felicia Hanson Ofori-Quaah <i>MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY &amp; MCCLOY LLP</i>	María Amalia Cruz <i>VILAS DHAR</i>	Eric Pezold <i>SEYFARTH SHAW LLP</i>	Luis Burastero Servetto <i>LUIS BURASTERO &amp; ASOC.</i>
Robert Hillhouse <i>CLYDE &amp; CO.</i>	Elizabeth Ormesher <i>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLP</i>	Joshua L. Dittelberg <i>SEYFARTH SHAW LLP</i>	Darrell Pierce <i>DYKEMA</i>	Valeria Cabrejos <i>AMORIN ABOGADOS</i>
Jess Hogan <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>	Ivan Orsolini <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Motsa Dubois <i>FIABCI</i>	Shanen Prout <i>LAW OFFICE OF SHANEN R. PROUT</i>	Lucia Carbalal <i>POSADAS, POSADAS &amp; VECINO</i>
Chris Horrocks <i>DECHERT LLP</i>	Karolina Pechanova <i>DIAZ REUS &amp; TARG LLP</i>	Michael Dyll <i>TEXAS INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT</i>	Kenneth Rosen <i>UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA SCHOOL OF LAW</i>	Federico Caresani <i>GALANTE &amp; MARTINS</i>
Daden Hunt <i>BIRKEETTS LLP</i>	Ross Pooley <i>LATHAM &amp; WATKINS LLP</i>	David Eilden <i>PARKER, MILLIKEN, CLARK, O'HARA &amp; SAMUELIAN</i>	Richard Rosen <i>NYC DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS</i>	Augusto Cibils <i>PWC URUGUAY</i>
Karl Hurley <i>OFGEM</i>	Helena Potts <i>LATHAM &amp; WATKINS LLP</i>	Julia Fetherston <i>BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Daren M. Schleter <i>LAW OFFICE OF DAREN M. SCHLETER</i>	Maria Noel Corchs <i>TMF GROUP</i>
Michael Josypenko <i>INSTITUTE OF EXPORT</i>	Alexander Reus <i>DIAZ REUS &amp; TARG LLP</i>	Irma Foley <i>ORRICK, HERRINGTON &amp; SUTCLIFFE LLP</i>	William Shawn <i>SHAWCOULSON LLP</i>	Victoria Costa <i>HUGHES &amp; HUGHES</i>
Katherine Keenan <i>WEDLAKE BELL LLP</i>	Lizette Robleto de Howarth <i>THE LAW SOCIETY OF ENGLAND &amp; WALES</i>	Robert Goethe <i>CORNELL GROUP, INC.</i>	Joseph Tannous <i>JT CONSTRUCTION</i>	Leonardo Couto <i>JOSE MARIA FACAL &amp; CO.</i>
Pascal Lalande <i>HER MAJESTY'S LAND REGISTRY</i>	Alex Rogan <i>SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &amp; FLOM LLP</i>	William Gould <i>TROYGOULD PC</i>	Michael Temin <i>FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP</i>	Hernán de la Fuente <i>ESCRIBANIA DE LA FUENTE</i>
Keavy Larkin <i>OFGEM</i>	David Rough <i>FREEDOM INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES</i>	Javier Gutierrez <i>STUART KANE</i>	Magda Theodate <i>GLOBAL EXECUTIVE TRADE CONSULTING</i>	Fernando De Posadas <i>POSADAS, POSADAS &amp; VECINO</i>
Mickael Laurans <i>THE LAW SOCIETY OF ENGLAND &amp; WALES</i>	Philippa Scott <i>SHEARMAN &amp; STERLING LLP</i>	Tony Hadley <i>EXPERIAN</i>	Julie Travis <i>KAMINE CONSTRUCTION LAW</i>	Rosana Diaz <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE SERVICIOS FINANCIEROS - BANCO CENTRAL DEL URUGUAY</i>
Bob Ledsome <i>DEPARTMENT FOR COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT</i>	Angela Shaw <i>HER MAJESTY'S LAND REGISTRY</i>	Thomas Halket <i>HALKET WEITZ LLP</i>	Frederick Turner <i>TURNER &amp; TURNER</i>	Carolina Diaz De Armas <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Sarah Leslie <i>SHEPHERD &amp; WEDDERBURN</i>	Sandra Simoni <i>DEPARTMENT FOR COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT</i>	Timi Anyon Hallem <i>MANATT, PHELPS &amp; PHILLIPS, LLP</i>	James J. Varellas III <i>VARELLAS &amp; VARELLAS</i>	Analia Fernández Gonzalez <i>BERGSTEIN ABOGADOS</i>
Monika Lorenzo-Perez <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Stuart Swift <i>MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY &amp; MCCLOY LLP</i>	Donald Hamman <i>STUART KANE</i>	Javier Villa <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Javier Fernández Zerbino <i>BADO, KUSTER, ZERBINO &amp; RACCHETTI</i>
Suzy Lovell <i>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLP</i>	Alex Turner <i>DEPARTMENT FOR COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT</i>	Dennis Harber <i>MIAMI LEGAL, TITLE &amp; REMEDIATION</i>	Robert Wallace <i>STUART KANE</i>	Hector Ferreira <i>HUGHES &amp; HUGHES</i>
Ryan Lynch <i>MEMORY CRYSTAL LLP</i>	Julia Vaynzof <i>CLYDE &amp; CO.</i>	Sanford Hillsberg <i>TROYGOULD PC</i>	Ann Marie Zaletel <i>SEYFARTH SHAW LLP</i>	Fabiana Ferreyra <i>TMF GROUP</i>
Joanna Macintosh <i>LATHAM &amp; WATKINS LLP</i>	Amelia Villiers-Stuart <i>WEDLAKE BELL LLP</i>	Christopher Kelleher <i>SEYFARTH SHAW LLP</i>	Olga Zalomí <i>LAW OFFICES OF OLGA ZALOMÍ, PC</i>	Juan Federico Fischer <i>FISCHER &amp; SCHICKENDANTZ</i>
Neil Maclean <i>SHEPHERD &amp; WEDDERBURN</i>	John LaBar <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>	Joshua Kochath <i>COMAGE CONTAINER LINES</i>	Isaac B. Zaur <i>CLARICK GUERON REISBAUM LLP</i>	Federico Florin <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Neil Magrath <i>UK POWER NETWORKS</i>	Geoff Wilkinson <i>WILKINSON CONSTRUCTION CONSULTANTS</i>	John Labar <i>HENRY, MCCORD, BEAN, MILLER, GABRIEL &amp; LABAR PLLC</i>	Malka Zeefe <i>DENTONS</i>	Sergio Franco <i>PWC URUGUAY</i>
Christopher Mallon <i>SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &amp; FLOM LLP</i>	Alistair White <i>REED SMITH</i>	Jen Leary <i>CLIFTONLARSONALLEN LLP</i>	<b>URUGUAY</b>	Andrés Fuentes <i>ARCIA STORACE FUENTES MEDINA ABOGADOS</i>
Peter Manning <i>SIMMONS &amp; SIMMONS LLP</i>	Nicholas Williams <i>REED SMITH</i>	Wen-Ching Lin <i>LAW OFFICES OF WEN-CHING LIN</i>	EQUIFAX - CLEARING DE INFORMES	Diego Galante <i>GALANTE &amp; MARTINS</i>
Jane Marsden <i>MEMORY CRYSTAL LLP</i>	Alexandra Wood <i>CLYDE &amp; CO.</i>	Bradford L. Livingston <i>SEYFARTH SHAW LLP</i>	GRAETZ NUÑEZ	Margarita Garcia <i>ESTUDIO LOZANO LTDA.</i>
Charles Mayo <i>SIMMONS &amp; SIMMONS LLP</i>	David Ziambi <i>LATHAM &amp; WATKINS LLP</i>	Jeffrey Makin <i>ARENT FOX LLP</i>	JIMÉNEZ DE ARECHAGA, VIANA & BRAUSE	Alejandra Garcia <i>FERRERE ABOGADOS</i>
Antoinette McManus <i>PWC UNITED KINGDOM</i>	<b>UNITED STATES</b>	Dietrick Miller <i>TROYGOULD PC</i>	Marta Alvarez <i>ADMINISTRACIÓN NACIONAL DE USINAS Y TRANSMISIÓN ELÉCTRICA (UTE)</i>	Daniel Garcia <i>PWC URUGUAY</i>
Monika Mecevic <i>DECHERT LLP</i>	Sam J. Alberts <i>DENTONS</i>	Stephanie Moura <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Bernardo Amorín <i>AMORIN ABOGADOS</i>	Enrique Garcia Pini <i>ADMINISTRACIÓN NACIONAL DE USINAS Y TRANSMISIÓN ELÉCTRICA (UTE)</i>
Paul Miller <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Benjamin Alexander <i>GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS CLAMAN &amp; MACHTINGER LLP</i>	Kelly J. Murray <i>PWC UNITED STATES</i>	Alfredo Acuña <i>FERRERE ABOGADOS</i>	Rodrigo Goncalvez <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Charlotte Moller <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>				Daniel Gonzalez <i>POSADAS, POSADAS &amp; VECINO</i>
Agnes Molnar <i>REED SMITH</i>				
Becca Naylor <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>				

Nelson Alfredo Gonzalez <i>SDV URUGUAY</i>	Carolina Sarroca <i>ARCIA STORACE FUENTES MEDINA ABOGADOS</i>	Otabek Suleimanov <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Arturo De Sola Lander <i>DE SOLA PATE &amp; BROWN, ABOGADOS - CONSULTORES</i>	Carlos Rivero <i>CÁMARA DE CONSTRUCCION DE VENEZUELA</i>
Pablo Gonzalez <i>TMF GROUP</i>	Eliana Sartori <i>PWC URUGUAY</i>	Nargiza Turgunova <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Carlos Domínguez Hernández <i>HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO &amp; DUQUE</i>	Andreina Rondón <i>CONAPRI</i>
Renato Guerrieri <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Leonardo Slinger <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>		Omar Fernandez Russo <i>CEPACEX</i>	Pedro Saghy <i>DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SC</i>
Tomas Gurmendez <i>POSADAS, POSADAS &amp; VECINO</i>	Fabiana Steinberg <i>HUGHES &amp; HUGHES</i>	<b>VANUATU</b>	Jose Javier Garcia <i>PWC VENEZUELA</i>	Eva Marina Santos <i>HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO &amp; DUQUE</i>
Andrés Hessdörfer <i>OLIVERA ABOGADOS</i>	Dolores Storace <i>ARCIA STORACE FUENTES MEDINA ABOGADOS</i>	Utilities Regulatory Authority of Vanuatu	Maria Geige <i>DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SC</i>	Laura Silva Aparicio <i>HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO &amp; DUQUE</i>
Marcela Hughes <i>HUGHES &amp; HUGHES</i>	Carolina Techera <i>PWC URUGUAY</i>	Barry Amoss <i>SOUTH SEA SHIPPING (VANUATU) LTD.</i>	Luis Ignacio Gil Palacios <i>PALACIOS, ORTEGA Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Lenhy Saraid Torrealba Flores <i>EMPRESAS MEDINA</i>
Alfredo Inciarte Blanco <i>ESTUDIO INCIARTE</i>	Lucia Techera <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Loïc Bernier <i>CAILLARD &amp; KADDOUR</i>	Adriana Goncalves <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Oscar Ignacio Torres <i>TRAVIESO EVANS ARRIA RENGEL &amp; PAZ</i>
Jimena Lanzani <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Juan Ignacio Troccoli <i>FISCHER &amp; SCHICKENDANTZ</i>	Alan Brown <i>FLETCHER CONSTRUCTION</i>	Andres Gonzalez Crespo <i>CASAS RINCON GONZALEZ RUBIO &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Arnoldo Troconis <i>D'EMPAIRE REYNA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Santiago Madalena <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Gerardo Viñoles <i>VINOLES ARQUITECT STUDIO</i>	Shirley Bule <i>BARRETT &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Diego Gonzalez Crespo <i>CASAS RINCON GONZALEZ RUBIO &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Jose Valecillos <i>D'EMPAIRE REYNA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>
Leandro Marques <i>PWC URUGUAY</i>	Mario Vogel <i>TMF GROUP</i>	Laurence Cameron <i>PACIFIC CUSTOMS &amp; FREIGHT AGENCIES</i>	Enrique Gonzalez Crespo <i>CASAS RINCON GONZALEZ RUBIO &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Delfin Zambrano
Ana Claudia Marrero <i>BERGSTEIN ABOGADOS</i>	Maria Eugenia Yavarone <i>FERRERE ABOGADOS</i>	Frederic Derousseau <i>UNELCO</i>	Alfredo Hurtado <i>HURTADO ESTEBAN Y ASOCIADOS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	<b>VIETNAM</b>
Enrique Martínez Schickendantz <i>ASOCIACIÓN DE DESPACHANTES DE ADUANA DEL URUGUAY</i>		Delores Elliott	Chris Kernot <i>FR8 LOGISTICS LTD.</i>	<i>GRANT THORNTON LLP</i>
Leonardo Melos	Ulugbek Abdullaev <i>DENTONS</i>	David Hudson <i>HUDSON &amp; SUGDEN</i>	Sandy Mwetu <i>MUNICIPALITY OF PORT VILA</i>	<i>HO CHI MINH CITY POWER CORPORATION (EVN HCMC)</i>
Ricardo Mezzera <i>MEZZERA ABOGADOS</i>	Jahongir Abdurasulov <i>CHARGES REGISTRY OF THE CENTRAL BANK OF UZBEKISTAN</i>	Mark Pardoe <i>SOUTH SEA SHIPPING (VANUATU) LTD.</i>	Alfredo Hurtado <i>HURTADO ESTEBAN Y ASOCIADOS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Viet Anh Hoang <i>DIMAC LAW FIRM</i>
Alejandro Miller Artola <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Ravshan Adilov <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Nisha Rambay <i>BARRETT &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Enrique Itriago <i>RODRIGUEZ &amp; MENDOZA</i>	Frederick Burke <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE (VIETNAM) LTD.</i>
Daniel Ignacio Mosco Gómez <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Azizbek Akhmadjonov <i>KOSTA LEGAL</i>	Mark Stafford <i>BARRETT &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Daniela Jaimes <i>DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SC</i>	Tran Cong Quoc <i>BIZCONSULT LAW FIRM</i>
Pablo Mosto <i>ADMINISTRACIÓN NACIONAL DE USINAS Y TRANSMISIÓN ELÉCTRICA (UTE)</i>	Rustam Akramov <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Martin St-Hilaire <i>CABINET AJC, AN INDEPENDENT CORRESPONDENT MEMBER OF DFK INTERNATIONAL</i>	Gabriela Longo <i>PALACIOS, ORTEGA Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Giles Thomas Cooper <i>DUANE MORRIS LLC</i>
Mateo Noseda <i>GUYER &amp; REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Bobir Artukmetov <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Elvina Asanova <i>GRATA INTERNATIONAL</i>	Sarai Lopez <i>TRANSPORTE INTERNACIONAL LÓGICA OCEÁNICA, CA</i>	Thi Bich Tram Dao <i>INDOCHINE COUNSEL</i>
María Concepción Olivera <i>OLIVERA ABOGADOS</i>	Bokhodir Atakhanov <i>CENTER FOR COORDINATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF SECURITIES MARKET</i>	Bokhodir Atakhanov <i>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY OF UZBEKISTAN (CCIU)</i>	Chris Abreu <i>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE</i>	Thuy Linh Do <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD KTC ASSURANCE &amp; BUSINESS ADVISORS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>
Juan Martín Olivera Amato <i>OLIVERA ABOGADOS</i>	Jakhongir Azimov <i>DIPLOMAT LAW FIRM</i>	Maxim Dogonkin <i>KOSTA LEGAL</i>	Tamara Adrian <i>ADRIAN &amp; ADRIAN</i>	Linh Doan <i>LVN &amp; ASSOCIATES</i>
Lucía Patrón <i>FERRERE ABOGADOS</i>	Alisher Chaykhov <i>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY OF UZBEKISTAN (CCIU)</i>	Nail Hassanov <i>KOSTA LEGAL</i>	Janet Aguiar <i>DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SC</i>	Dang The Duc <i>INDOCHINE COUNSEL</i>
Mariana Pisón <i>BERGSTEIN ABOGADOS</i>	Nadir Hassanova <i>IMPREX</i>	Maxim Dogonkin <i>KOSTA LEGAL</i>	Juan Enrique Agüster <i>HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO &amp; DUQUE</i>	Thanh Duong <i>DIMAC LAW FIRM</i>
Walter Planells <i>FERRERE ABOGADOS</i>	Kamila Khamraeva <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Nail Hassanov <i>KOSTA LEGAL</i>	Servio T. Altuve Jr. <i>SERVIO T. ALTUVE R. &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Thanh Long Duong <i>ALIAT LEGAL</i>
Maria Clara Porro <i>FERRERE ABOGADOS</i>	Sergey Mayorov <i>SIMAY KOM</i>	Nadira Hassanova <i>AZALIA IMPREX</i>	Leidis Amengual <i>CONAPRI</i>	José Manuel Ortega <i>PALACIOS, ORTEGA Y ASOCIADOS</i>
Maria Posada <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE SERVICIOS FINANCIEROS - BANCO CENTRAL DEL URUGUAY</i>	Muzaffar Salomov <i>CREDIT BUREAU CREDIT INFORMATIONAL-ANALYTICAL CENTRE LLC</i>	Aixa Añez <i>D'EMPAIRE REYNA &amp; ASOCIADOS</i>	Aixa Añez <i>DE SOLA PATE &amp; BROWN, ABOGADOS - CONSULTORES</i>	Pedro Pacheco <i>PWC VENEZUELA</i>
María Carolina Queraltó <i>ARCIA STORACE FUENTES MEDINA ABOGADOS</i>	Nizomiddin Shakhabutdinov <i>LEGES ADVOkat LAW FIRM</i>	Sergey Mayorov <i>SIMAY KOM</i>	Carlos Bachrich Nagy <i>DE SOLA PATE &amp; BROWN, ABOGADOS - CONSULTORES</i>	Bruno Paredes <i>LOGISTIKA TSM</i>
María Macarena Rachetti <i>PWC URUGUAY</i>	Alisher Shaykhov <i>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY UZBEKISTAN</i>	Muzaffar Salomov <i>CREDIT BUREAU CREDIT INFORMATIONAL-ANALYTICAL CENTRE LLC</i>	Marian Basciani <i>DE SOLA PATE &amp; BROWN, ABOGADOS - CONSULTORES</i>	Ruth Paz <i>PWC VENEZUELA</i>
Agustín Rachetti Pérez <i>BADO, KUSTER, ZERBINO &amp; RACHETTI</i>	Sofia Shakhrizieva <i>CENTIL LAW FIRM</i>	Nizomiddin Shakhabutdinov <i>LEGES ADVOkat LAW FIRM</i>	Bernardo Pisani <i>RODRIGUEZ &amp; MENDOZA</i>	Bernardo Pisani <i>RODRIGUEZ &amp; MENDOZA</i>
Cecilia Ricciardi <i>FISCHER &amp; SCHICKENDANTZ</i>		Alisher Shaykhov <i>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY UZBEKISTAN</i>	Eduardo Porcarelli <i>CONAPRI</i>	Eduardo Porcarelli <i>CONAPRI</i>

Loc Le Thi YKVN	Van Anh Thai RUSSELL BEDFORD KTC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Victor Ghattas ARCO	Suhaib Sharief THE PALESTINIAN COMPANY FOR OPERATIONAL AND CAPITAL LEASE (PALLEASE)	Khaled Mohammed Salem Ali LUQMAN LEGAL ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS
Logan Leung RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Nguyen Thi Hong Thang VN COUNSEL	Lina Ghbeish PALESTINE CAPITAL MARKETS AUTHORITY	Kareem Fuad Shehadeh A.F. & R. SHEHADEH LAW OFFICE	Nigel Truscott DAMAC GROUP
Tien Ngoc Luu VISION & ASSOCIATES	Dinh The Phuc ELECTRICITY REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF VIETNAM	Hussein Habbab PALESTINE IJARA COMPANY	Mazin Theeb SHAHD ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS	<b>ZAMBIA</b>
Christopher Marjoram PWC VIETNAM	Tan Heng Thye CSP LEGAL LLC	Nadeen Haddad THE PALESTINIAN COMPANY FOR OPERATIONAL AND CAPITAL LEASE (PALLEASE)	Tareq Z. Touqan EQUITY LEGAL GROUP	ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES
Hoang Minh Duc DUANE MORRIS LLC	Chi Anh Tran BAKER & MCKENZIE (VIETNAM) LTD.	Mohannad Hajali EY	Yazeed Zakarneh PALESTINIAN SHIPPERS' COUNCIL	Sudhir Balsure SWIFT FREIGHT INTERNATIONAL LTD.
Duy Minh Ngo VB LAW	Son Tran Duc RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Ali Hamoudeh JERUSALEM DISTRICT ELECTRICITY COMPANY (JDECO)	Kosty Ziadeh ZIADEH LAW OFFICE	Agatha Ntutuma Banda MINISTRY OF LANDS AND NATURAL RESOURCES
Anh Thi Tu Nguyen INDOCHINE COUNSEL	Nam Hoai Truong INDOCHINE COUNSEL	George Handal BETHLEHEM FREIGHT	<b>YEMEN, REP.</b>	Salome Banda KPMG
Ha Nguyen DIMAC LAW FIRM	Tran Yen Uyen CSP LEGAL LLC	Omar Hannoun PALESTINE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CO.	Khalid Abdullah SHEIKH MOHAMMED ABDULLAH SONS (EST. 1927)	Wilson Banda PATENTS AND COMPANIES REGISTRATION AGENCY (PACRA)
Huong Nguyen MAYER BROWN LLP	Dzung Vu LVN & ASSOCIATES	Samir Hulileh PADICO HOLDINGS	Tariq Abdullah LAW OFFICES OF SHEIKH TARIQ ABDULLAH	Lewis K. Bwalya ZESCO LTD.
Khanh Ly Nguyen RUSSELL BEDFORD KTC ASSURANCE & BUSINESS ADVISORS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Hong Hanh Vu MAYER BROWN LLP	Hiba I. Husseini HUSSEINI & HUSSEINI	Shafiq Adat LAW OFFICES OF SHEIKH TARIQ ABDULLAH	Anthony Bwembya PATENTS AND COMPANIES REGISTRATION AGENCY (PACRA)
Minh Tuan Nguyen VIET PREMIER LAW LTD.	Phuong Vu LVN & ASSOCIATES	Ayman Jbail EY	Jamal Adimi JAMAL ADIMI LAW OFFICE	Lilian Chibale KPMG
Oanh Nguyen BAKER & MCKENZIE (VIETNAM) LTD.	Thu Hang Vu HONOR PARTNERSHIP LAW COMPANY LIMITED	Bilal Kamal KAMAL LAW FIRM	Ghazi Shaif Al Aghbari AL AGHBARI & PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Bonaventure Chibamba Mutale ELLIS & CO.
Quoc Phong Nguyen ALIAT LEGAL	Que Vu Thi RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Rasem Kamal KAMAL & ASSOCIATES - ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS-AT-LAW	Maher Al Kladi LAW OFFICES OF SHEIKH TARIQ ABDULLAH	Mwelwa Chibesakunda CHIBESAKUNDA & COMPANY, MEMBER OF DLA PIPER GROUP
Thi Minh Ngoc Nguyen THE NATIONAL CREDIT INFORMATION CENTRE - THE STATE BANK OF VIETNAM	Son Ha Vuong VISION & ASSOCIATES	Mohamed Khader LAUSANNE TRADING CONSULTANTS	Khaled Al Wazir KHALED AL WAZIR LAW FIRM	Sydney Chipoyae JOHN KAITE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS
Thi Phuong Lan Nguyen VIETNAM CREDIT INFORMATION JSC (PCB)	<b>WEST BANK AND GAZA</b>	Deena Khalaf AL KAMAL SHIPPING AND CLEARING CO. (LTD.)	Noura Yahya H. Al-Adhhi CENTRAL BANK OF YEMEN	Alick Chirwa SINOK LOGISTICS LTD.
Thi Phuong Thao Nguyen VIETNAM CREDIT INFORMATION JSC (PCB)	Khaldon Abu Alsoud ARAB BANK	Raja Khwaled COMPANIES CONTROL	Yaser Al-Adimi ABDUL GABAR A. AL-ADIMI FOR CONSTRUCTION & TRADE	Sydney Chisenga CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS
Tien Hoa Nguyen S&B LAW	Nidal Abu Lawi PALESTINE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CO.	Mahmoud Kittana KAMAL LAW FIRM	Ramzi Al-Ariqi GRANT THORNTON YEMEN	Bradley Choonga PWC ZAMBIA
Tieu My Nguyen HONOR PARTNERSHIP LAW COMPANY LIMITED (HPLAW)	Tareq Al Masri MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY	Sireen Lubbadeh MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY	Khaled Al-Buraihi KHALED AL-BURAIHI FOR ADVOCACY & LEGAL SERVICES	Namuyombe Gondwe SWIFT FREIGHT INTERNATIONAL LTD.
Tram Nguyen LVN & ASSOCIATES	Shadi Al-Haj PWC	Dima Saad Mashqaq RAMALLAH MUNICIPALITY	Ahmed Al-Gharasi AL-GHASARI TRADING	Prasad Hettiarachchi ELECTRICAL MAINTENANCE LUSAKA LTD.
Tram Nguyen YKVN	Sharhabee Al-Zaeem AL-ZAEEM & ASSOCIATES	Wroud Meliji THE PALESTINIAN COMPANY FOR OPERATIONAL AND CAPITAL LEASE (PALLEASE)	Mohamed Taha Hamood AL-Hashimi MOHAMED TAHA HAMOOD & CO.	Jackie Jhala CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS
Trang Nguyen THE NATIONAL CREDIT INFORMATION CENTRE - THE STATE BANK OF VIETNAM	Haytham L. Al-Zubi AL-ZUBI LAW OFFICE	Emir Mushahwar LAW OFFICES OF NABIL A. MUSHAHWAR	Omar Al-Qatani CENTRAL BANK OF YEMEN	Malcolm G.G. Jhala DELOITTE
Dong Huong Nguyen Thi RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Moayad Amouri PWC	Manal Nassar JERUSALEM DISTRICT ELECTRICITY COMPANY (JDECO)	Qais Alsanabani Q&A LAW OFFICE	Bruce Kaemba ZAMBIA CUSTOMS AND FORWARDING AGENTS ASSOCIATION
Hung Duy Pham RUSSELL BEDFORD KTC ASSURANCE & BUSINESS ADVISORS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Muhamad Assaf ITTOQAN CONSULTING SERVICES	Firas Attereh HUSSAM ATTEREH GROUP FOR LEGAL SERVICES	Tony H. Nassar A.F. & R. SHEHADEH LAW OFFICE	Charles Kafunda HIGH COURT
Huong Pham YKVN	Duaa Aweida ITTOQAN CONSULTING SERVICES	Imad Dayyah TRAINING & MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE (TAMI)	Mark-George Nesnas ITTOQAN CONSULTING SERVICES	John K. Kaite JOHN KAITE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS
Thanh Huong Pham THE NATIONAL CREDIT INFORMATION CENTRE - THE STATE BANK OF VIETNAM	Anan Boshnag E-FREIGHT INTERNATIONAL CO.	Ashraf Far ITTOQAN CONSULTING SERVICES	Raed Rajab Wael Saadi PWC	Kelly Kalumba GREEN COLD ARCHITECTS
Anh Vu Phan INDOCHINE COUNSEL	Imad Dayyah TRAINING & MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE (TAMI)	Hazem Salah ARAB BANK	Hazem Salah ARAB BANK	Arnold Kasalwe EY ZAMBIA
Phan Nguyen Minh Phuong VN COUNSEL	Ali Faroun PALESTINIAN MONETARY AUTHORITY	Maysa Sarhan PALESTINIAN MONETARY AUTHORITY	Laila A. Mohammed AL AGHBARI & PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Johan Lombaard MANICA AFRICA PTY. LTD.
Dang Anh Quan RUSSIN & VECCHI	Philip Farrage BAKER TILLY INTERNATIONAL		Zuhair Abdul Rasheed LAW OFFICES OF SHEIKH TARIQ ABDULLAH	Fumanikile Lungani CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS
Nguyen Que Tam CSP LEGAL LLC				Christopher Mapani PATENTS AND COMPANIES REGISTRATION AGENCY (PACRA)

Ernest Mate <i>CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Clayton Z. Chikara <i>DHLAKAMA B. ATTORNEYS</i>	Ostern Mutero <i>SAWYER &amp; MKUSHI</i>
Bonaventure Mbewe <i>BONAVVENTURE MBEWE</i>	Nonhlanhla Chiromo <i>RESERVE BANK OF ZIMBABWE</i>	Alec Tafadzwa Muza <i>MAWERE &amp; SIBANDA LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Harriet Mdala <i>MUSA DUDHIA &amp; COMPANY</i>	Ruzayi Chiviri <i>RESERVE BANK OF ZIMBABWE</i>	Christina Muzerengi <i>GRANT THORNTON ZIMBABWE</i>
Jyoti Mistry <i>PWC ZAMBIA</i>	Beloved Dhlakama <i>DHLAKAMA B. ATTORNEYS</i>	Christopher Muzhingi <i>PWC ZIMBABWE</i>
Mukuka Mubanga <i>ZESCO LTD.</i>	Farayi Dyirakumunda <i>EXPERT DECISION SYSTEMS ZIMBABWE</i>	Sympathy Muzondiwa <i>SAWYER &amp; MKUSHI</i>
Monde Mukela <i>ENTRY POINT AFRICA</i>	Paul Fraser <i>LOFTY &amp; FRASER</i>	Duduzile Ndawana <i>GILL, GODLONTON &amp; GERRANS</i>
Chintu Y. Mulendema <i>CYMA</i>	Takunda Gumbo <i>CHINAWA LAW CHAMBERS</i>	Itayi Ndudzo <i>MUTAMANGIRA AND ASSOCIATES</i>
Muchinda Muma <i>CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Takura Gumbo <i>ATHERSTONE &amp; COOK</i>	Maxwell Ngorima <i>BDO TAX &amp; ADVISORY SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>
Charles Musonda <i>SIMSURVEY MAPPING &amp; CONSULTANTS</i>	Obert Chaurura Gutu <i>GUTU &amp; CHIKOWERO</i>	Edwell Ngwenya <i>FREIGHT WORLD PVT. LTD.</i>
Lloyd Musonda <i>PATENTS AND COMPANIES REGISTRATION AGENCY (PACRA)</i>	Charles Jaure <i>ZIMBABWE INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</i>	Tatenda Nhemachena <i>MAWERE &amp; SIBANDA LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Chanda Musonda-Chiluba <i>AFRICA LEGAL NETWORK (ALN)</i>	Shamiso Khupe <i>GUTU &amp; CHIKOWERO</i>	Farai Nyabereka <i>MANOKORE ATTORNEYS</i>
Arthi Muthusamy <i>PWC ZAMBIA</i>	Charity Machiridza <i>BDO TAX &amp; ADVISORY SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Michael Nyamazana <i>AFRICA CORPORATE ADVISORS</i>
Inonge Elizabeth Muuba <i>MUSA DUDHIA &amp; COMPANY</i>	Rita Makarau <i>HIGH COURT ZIMBABWE</i>	Dorothy Pasipanodya <i>GILL, GODLONTON &amp; GERRANS</i>
Kafula Mwiche <i>MADISON FINANCIAL SERVICES PLC</i>	Zanudeen Makorie <i>COGHLAN, WELSH &amp; GUEST</i>	Phillipa M. Phillips <i>PHILLIPS LAW</i>
Hope Ndaa <i>MUSA DUDHIA &amp; COMPANY</i>	Chatapiwa Malaba <i>KANTOR AND IMMERMAN</i>	Nobert Musa Phiri <i>MUVINGI &amp; MUGADZA LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Peter Ngoma <i>SIMSURVEY MAPPING &amp; CONSULTANTS</i>	Oleen Maponga nee Singizi <i>EXPERT DECISION SYSTEMS ZIMBABWE</i>	John Ridgewell <i>BCHOD AND PARTNERS</i>
Miriam Sabi <i>ZRA - TAXPAYER SERVICES</i>	Gertrude Maredza <i>GUTU &amp; CHIKOWERO</i>	Edward Rigby <i>CASLING, RIGBY, MCMAHON</i>
Namakuza Shandavu <i>CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	R. R. Mariwa <i>ZIMBABWE ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION &amp; DISTRIBUTION COMPANY</i>	Unity Sakhe <i>KANTOR &amp; IMMERMAN</i>
Lindiwe Shawa <i>PWC ZAMBIA</i>	Tsungirirai Marufu-Maune <i>GUTU &amp; CHIKOWERO</i>	Bellina Sigauke <i>RESERVE BANK OF ZIMBABWE</i>
Clavel M. Sianondo <i>MALAMBO AND COMPANY</i>	David Masaya <i>PWC ZIMBABWE</i>	Sichoni Takoleza <i>ZIMBABWE INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</i>
Chitembo Simwanza <i>ZESCO LTD.</i>	Collen Masunda <i>RESERVE BANK OF ZIMBABWE</i>	Ruby Tapera <i>ZIMBABWE INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</i>
Mutengo Sindano <i>MINISTRY OF LANDS AND NATURAL RESOURCES</i>	Norman Mataruka <i>RESERVE BANK OF ZIMBABWE</i>	Murambiwa Tarabuku <i>PEARCE MCCOMISH ARCHITECTS</i>
Mildred Stephenson <i>CREDIT REFERENCE BUREAU AFRICA LIMITED T/A TRANSUNION</i>	Thembibe Mazingi <i>COGHLAN, WELSH &amp; GUEST</i>	Takunda Timbe <i>MUVINGI &amp; MUGADZA LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Jimmy Zulu <i>DELOTTE</i>	Jim McCormish <i>PEARCE MCCOMISH ARCHITECTS</i>	Sonja Vas <i>SCANLEN &amp; HOLDERNESS</i>
Lungisanzi Zulu <i>BANK OF ZAMBIA</i>	Nyasha Mhunduru <i>EXPERT DECISION SYSTEMS ZIMBABWE</i>	Adam Bongani Wenyimo <i>GUTU &amp; CHIKOWERO</i>
<b>ZIMBABWE</b>	H.P. Mkushi <i>SAWYER &amp; MKUSHI</i>	Ruvimbo Zakeo <i>GILL, GODLONTON &amp; GERRANS</i>
<i>FINANCIAL CLEARING BUREAU</i>	Kundai Msemberi <i>SECURITIES &amp; EXCHANGE COMMISSION</i>	
Richard Beattie <i>THE STONE/BEATTIE STUDIO</i>	Sithembinkosi Msipa <i>JUDICIAL SERVICES COMMISSION</i>	
Tim Boulton <i>MANICA AFRICA</i>	Benjamin Mukandi <i>FREIGHT WORLD PVT. LTD.</i>	
Peter Cawood <i>PWC ZIMBABWE</i>	Haruperi Mumbengegwi <i>MANOKORE ATTORNEYS</i>	
Shaxious Cheza <i>ZIMBABWE INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</i>	Tiri Muringani <i>SPEARTEC</i>	
Simplicius Julius Chihambakwe <i>CHIHAMBAKWE, MUTIZWA &amp; PARTNERS</i>	Eldard Mutasa <i>HIGH COURT ZIMBABWE</i>	



*Doing Business* 2018 is the 15th in a series of annual reports investigating the regulations that enhance business activity and those that constrain it. The report provides quantitative indicators covering 11 areas of the business environment in 190 economies. The goal of the *Doing Business* series is to provide objective data for use by governments in designing sound business regulatory policies and to encourage research on the important dimensions of the regulatory environment for firms.



**WORLD BANK GROUP**

[www.doingbusiness.org](http://www.doingbusiness.org)