report

Yang Cong

2022/1/20

Introduction

Background

Terapixel images offer an intuitive, accessible way to present information sets to stakeholders, allowing viewers to interactively browse big data across multiple scales. The challenge we addressed here is how to deliver the supercomputer scale resources needed to compute a realistic terapixel visualization of the city of Newcastle upon Tyne and its environmental data as captured by the Newcastle Urban Observatory.

Our solution is a scalable architecture for cloud-based visualization that we can deploy and pay for only as needed. The three key objectives of this work are to: create a supercomputer architecture for scalable visualization using the public cloud; produce a terapixel 3D city visualization supporting daily updates; undertake a rigorous evaluation of cloud supercomputing for compute intensive visualization applications.

We demonstrate that it is feasible to produce a high quality terapixel visualization using a path tracing renderer in under a day using public IaaS cloud GPU nodes. Once generated the terapixel image supports interactive browsing of the city and its data at a range of sensing scales from the whole city to a single desk in a room, accessible across a wide range of thin client devices.

Need for the project

The dataset was created from application checkpoint and system metric output from the production of a terapixel image. There are varieties of problems that can be addressed using the TeraScope dataset. What we need is to use what we learned to explore and analyse the Data.

Explore and analyse

Data Process

First, I decide to clean and deduplicate the data. Then look at the first question, that is, 'Which event types dominate task runtimes?'. To solve this question, I count the hostname for each data set and check whether both data set the hostname is the same using the setequal function of dplyr.

To get which event dominate task runtimes, we first should create a new data frame to compute the task runtimes and change time to hms format. I also create a new feature called duration which features the running time for each event. The new data frame we finally get is bellow.

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 8
##
                                                        'Saving Config'
     hostname
                 jobId
                           taskId
                                    Tiling
                                                                             Render
##
     <chr>>
                 <chr>
                           <chr>>
                                    <Duration>
                                                        <Duration>
                                                                             <Durat>
## 1 0d56a73007~ 1024-lvl~ b47f026~ 0.7209999999997s 0.0019999999999889s 23.501s
## 2 0d56a73007~ 1024-lvl~ 20fb9fc~ 0.99s
                                                       0.0030000000000011s 41.12s
## 3 0d56a73007~ 1024-lvl~ 3dd4840~ 0.97699999999997s 0.0019999999999889s 39.733s
## 4 0d56a73007~ 1024-lvl~ c9e249d~ 0.977s
                                                       0.00200000000000244s 33.156s
## 5 0d56a73007~ 1024-lvl~ c5a7a2d~ 0.911s
                                                       0.0019999999999989s 40.571s
## 6 0d56a73007~ 1024-lvl~ f600a58~ 0.92799999999997s 0.0019999999999978s 32.781s
## # ... with 2 more variables: TotalRender <Duration>, Uploading <Duration>
```

Then, for the next question: 'What is the interplay between GPU temperature and performance?' We only need the powerDrawWatt gpuTempC gpuUtilPerc and gpuMemUtilPerc. Here we creat three data frames to find out there interplay.

```
##
     c_temp power_avg
## 1
         26
             25.85500
## 2
         27
             26.11038
## 3
         28
             26.54370
## 4
         29
             26.84733
## 5
         30
             27.22727
## 6
         31
             28.46230
##
     c_temp gpuUtilPerc_avg
## 1
         26
                  0.0000000
## 2
         27
                  0.01000000
## 3
         28
                  0.06463116
         29
## 4
                  0.26281530
## 5
         30
                  0.66648561
## 6
         31
                  1.84438776
##
     c_temp gpuMemUtilPerc_avg
## 1
         26
                     0.00000000
                     0.00000000
## 2
         27
## 3
         28
                     0.01582349
## 4
         29
                     0.15482971
## 5
         30
                     0.38488399
## 6
         31
                     0.99986211
```

For question: 'What is the interplay between increased power draw and render time?' We need to find the relationship between power and render time. So I firstly calculate the avg render for each hostname. Then I calculate the avg power for each hostname. Now we can combine the data as bellow.

```
## c_hostname avg_render_list avg_power_list
## 1 0d56a730076643d585f77e00d2d8521a00000Q 38.4030579710145 80.51031
## 2 0745914f4de046078517041d70b22fe700000E 39.5478636363636 80.74267
## 3 b9a1fa7ae2f74eb68f25f607980f97d7000011 38.4058823529412 81.08708
```

```
## 4 0745914f4de046078517041d70b22fe7000008 38.9108805970149 81.22676
## 5 b9a1fa7ae2f74eb68f25f607980f97d700000X 39.3390447761194 81.24218
## 6 e7adc42d28814e518e9601ac2329c51300000J 38.9289264705882 81.27640
```

For quesion: 'Can we identify particular GPU cards (based on their serial numbers) whose performance differs to other cards? (i.e. perpetually slow cards)' We should calculate average Task Duration for Each GPU Seria and draw the total render time avg for each host. During this we also need to find out the relation between these differnt data frame to find a correct way to combine them. By checking it is obviously each hostname is a gpu card. So we can continue our calculating and combining. Here I finally draw 10 data so that it can be easier to analysis.

```
## 1 0d56a730076643d585f77e00d2d8521a000013 2785.27 325117172504
## 2 e7adc42d28814e518e9601ac2329c513000010 2781.344 325117063047
## 3 db871cd77a544e13bc791a64a0c8ed500000U 2780.764 323617021202
## 4 35bd84d72aca403b8129a7d652cc27500000M 2780.156 323617021014
## 5 0d56a730076643d585f77e00d2d8521a000009 2780.127 325117171253
## 6 4a79b6d2616049edbf06c6aa58ab426a00001C 2780.036 325117172005
```


Finally the last quesion: 'What can we learn about the efficiency of the task scheduling process?' First we should find out which can be uesd to measure the efficiency. I think the efficiency would be measured by the amount of idle time between tasks. For this analysis we would assess each hostname separately and we would record the total idle time for each hostname. The data would be used to analyse is below.

```
##
                                  c_hostname duration_time_list
## 1 0d56a730076643d585f77e00d2d8521a00000G
                                                         2911.27
## 2 a77ef58b13ad4c01b769dac8409af3f8000000
                                                         2871.26
## 3 2ecb9d8d51bc457aac88073f6da0546100000L
                                                        2900.228
## 4 a77ef58b13ad4c01b769dac8409af3f8000018
                                                        2879.901
## 5 0745914f4de046078517041d70b22fe7000013
                                                         2869.55
## 6 83ea61ac1ef54f27a3bf7bd0f41ecaa700000K
                                                        2895.302
##
     Total Render time avg efficiency duration
                  2733.432
## 1
                                      0.9389139
## 2
                  2697.437
                                      0.9394611
## 3
                  2725.208
                                      0.9396530
## 4
                   2706.66
                                      0.9398448
## 5
                  2697.341
                                      0.9399875
## 6
                  2722.004
                                      0.9401451
```

Data Analysis


For the first question, I use a bar chart to display the running time for each event. It is obviously render dominate task runtimes

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 8
##
     hostname
                           taskId
                                                         'Saving Config'
                                                                              Render
                 jobId
                                     Tiling
     <chr>>
                 <chr>
                            <chr>
                                     <Duration>
                                                         <Duration>
                                                                              <Durat>
## 1 0d56a73007~ 1024-lvl~ b47f026~ 0.7209999999997s 0.0019999999999889s 23.501s
## # ... with 2 more variables: TotalRender <Duration>, Uploading <Duration>
```




For the second question, I use three scatter plots to display the relation ship between these variables and a fit line to make the relationship more visible. So the GPU temperature is proportional to performance.


'geom_smooth()' using formula 'y ~ x'



'geom_smooth()' using formula 'y ~ x'




'geom_smooth()' using formula 'y ~ x'




For the third question. I decide to use the hostname as a distinction and compute the avg of the power and render.It also use a scatter plot to display the relationship. It seems that increased power draw means to a longer render.

'geom_smooth()' using formula 'y ~ x'



For the forth question, I first check the hostname for each gpu card, otherwise I can not connect the two data frame and so that I can not find out the question. Luckily I managed to find it. Then we can plot the box plot. Here I list the slowest 10 gpu cards.





The last question. It is about the amount of idle time between tasks. So I calculate the running time and render time for each GPU card and list them. Then I divide the total run time by the render time, got the efficiency ('efficiency duration' bellow).

##			c_hostname du	ration_time_list
##	1	0d56a730076643d585f77e00d2d8	521a00000G	2911.27
##	2	a77ef58b13ad4c01b769dac8409a	f3f8000000	2871.26
##	3	2ecb9d8d51bc457aac88073f6da0	546100000L	2900.228
##	4	a77ef58b13ad4c01b769dac8409a	f3f8000018	2879.901
##	5	0745914f4de046078517041d70b2	2fe7000013	2869.55
##	6	83ea61ac1ef54f27a3bf7bd0f41e	caa700000K	2895.302
##	7	b9a1fa7ae2f74eb68f25f607980f	97d7000000	2874.336
##	8	4c72fae95b9147189a0559269a69	53ff000013	2873.465
##	9	dcc19f48bb3445a28338db3a8f00	2e9c000007	2870.11
##	10	2ecb9d8d51bc457aac88073f6da0	546100001D	2878.175
##		Total_Render_time_avg effici	ency_duration	
##	1	2733.432	0.9389139	
##	2	2697.437	0.9394611	
##	3	2725.208	0.9396530	
##	4	2706.66	0.9398448	
##	5	2697.341	0.9399875	
##	6	2722.004	0.9401451	
##	7	2702.451	0.9402001	
##	8	2703.341	0.9407948	
##	9	2700.329	0.9408451	

10 2708.414 0.9410178

Conclusion

Based on the analysis above, we got the ansewers for these questions. It is obviously that the render task dominate task runtimes and the higher the GPU temperature, the better the performance. The increased power draw symbolizes a longger render time. It is easy for us to identify particular GPU cards by comparing their performance and it is possible for us to calculate the efficiency of each gpu and manage them.

Personal Reflections

Undertaking this project has increased my knowledge and develop my skills regarding an area in which I have a special interest. It also develop my skills regarding report writing.

During the work, once again I am familiar with the skills of using the R language. And when I get a fit for a bunch of unfamiliar data, I can get to grips with how to analyze them faster. At the same time, this time I also learned to operate on time data, and to link multiple data through a field.