LINDAT
CLARIAH-CZ

From the Prague Dependency Treebank to the Uniform Meaning Representation:
Gold-Standard Czech UMR Data and Partial Automatic Conversion

Markéta Lopatková, Hana Hledíková, Jan Štěpánek, Daniel Zeman

ITAT 2025, WAFNL, Sept. 2029, Telgárt, Slovakia

matfyz

Charles University
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics
Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics

ÚFAL

Motivation

- goal: Uniform Meaning Representation for Czech
  - semantics, abstracting away from syntax
  - cross-linguistic applicability
  - broad sem. interpretation of the text for cross-lingual applications

- annotation from scratch:
  - time consuming
  - expertise and training

- re-use existing corpus:
  - **automatic conversion** from Prague Dependency Treebank
  - rich annotation already there
  - the same procedure for all languages with PDT annotation
  - expertise and training still needed
  - evaluation: **manually annotated data**

UMR vs. PDT

UMR

- represents meaning

- encodes the frame-based predicate-argument structure of all eventive concepts
- includes aspectual information
- semantic relations crossing sentence boundaries:
  - coreference
  - temporal chains
  - epistemic modality

PDT

- represents linguistically structured meaning (vs. situational meaning)
- predicate-argument structure (valency) and dependency relations

- meaning of morphological categories

- coreference

- topic-focus articulation

Uniform Meaning Representation

```
##############################################################
# meta-info :: sent_id = u_tree-cs-s1-root
# :: snt1
Index: 1       2    3 4      5 6  7      8
Words: Lindsay left in order to eat lunch .

# sentence level graph:
(s1l / leave-02
    :ARG0 (s1p / person
        :name (s1n / name :op1 "Lindsay"))
    :aspect performance
    :purpose (s1e / eat-01
        :ARG0 s1p
        :ARG1 (s1l2 / lunch)
        :aspect performance))

# alignment:
s1l: 2-2 s1p: 1-1 s1n: 0-0 s1e: 6-6 s1l2: 7-7
```

```
# document level annotation:
(s1s0 / sentence
    :temporal ((document-creation-time :before s1l)
               (s1l :after s1e))
    :modal ((root :modal author)
            (author :full-affirmative s1l)
            (author :full-negative s1e)))
```

Prague Dependency Treebank

a	Structure
⊟ aux.rf	Unordered list
a#a-cmpr9410-011-p2s1w3	
a#a-cmpr9410-011-p2s1w5	
a#a-cmpr9410-011-p2s1w4	
lex.rf	a#a-cmpr9410-011-p2s1w6
deepord	4
functor	PRED
⊟ gram	Structure
aspect	proc
deontmod	hrt
diatgram	act
factmod	potential
iterativeness	it0
sempos	v
tense	nil
id	t-cmpr9410-011-p2s1w6
nodetype	complex
sentmod	enunc
t_lemma	vyvíjet_se
tfa	f
val_frame.rf	v#v41pgqA

Míra nezaměstnanosti by se měla vyvíjet protikladně,
než ve standardní ekonomice.

*The unemployment rate should develop in the opposite direction
to that in a standard economy.*

Manually Annotated UMR Data

Gold-standard data:

(sub)corpus	sentences	tokens	tokens per sentence	PDT-C nodes	UMR nodes	UMR per PDT-C
PDT	25	467	18.7	378	375	0.99
PDTSC	50	374	7.5	321	442	1.38
PCEDT	16	474	29.6	400	307	0.77
total	91	1315	14.5	1099	1124	1.02

Parallel annotations:

(sub)corpus	sentences	tokens	tokens per sentence	PDT-C nodes	UMR nodes Annot1 / Annot2	UMR per PDT-C (avg.)
PDT	11	192	17.5	151	153 / 150	1.00
PDTSC	10	63	6.3	68	75 / 71	1.26
total	21	255	12.1	209	228 / 221	1.07

Download the data: http://hdl.handle.net/11234/1-5951

Inter-Annotator Agreement (IAA)

Metric for graph comparison:

1) Match nodes:
   - different number of nodes
   - different alignment (nodes to words)

⟹ * juːmætʃ*

   - maps nodes primarily by word alignment
   - for nodes without alignment, requires concept identity
   - forces 1:1 mapping (selected the "best" node from 1:N)

2) Compare triples (F1):
   - `(node, relation, node)`
   - `(node, attribute, value)`

- final IAA (after reconciliation; table taken from Štěpánek et al., 2025)

UMR node mapping:						
Annot1 nodes	Annot2 nodes	mapped	recall	precision		F_1
228	221	215	94%	97%		96%

Concept and relation comparison (only mapped nodes):*						
Annot1 triples	Annot2 triples	match	recall	precision		F_1
633	644	595	94%	92%		93%

Concept and relation comparison:**						
Annot1 triples	Annot2 triples	match	recall	precision		$ju{:}m\alpha t\!\!f' = F_1$
663	659	595	90%	90%		90%

- analysis of main mismatches in the paper (events and argument structure, ellipses, granularity of NE classification, relations vs. attributes, attributes and their values)

- UMR allows for multiple valid annotations of the same meaning **!!!**

Automatic (Partial) Conversion

based on the tectogrammatical structure:

- structural transformations
    - coordination
    - coreference
    - relative clauses
    - raising and control verbs

- node labels
    - valency lexicon → PropBank
      default table

- edge labeling
    - t_lemma → concept

- selected attributes
    - aspect
    - degree, polarity, quant
    - refer-person, refer-number

- node alignment

often interact ⟹ further increases
the conversion complexity

ignored (so far)

- attributes:
    - mode, polite, quote
    - wiki, modal-strength
- most of the document level annotation

Download the data: http://hdl.handle.net/11234/1-5951

Automatic (Partial) Conversion – Quantitative Comparison

(tables taken from Štěpánek et al., 2025)

UMR node mapping:

corpus	MAN nodes	AUTO nodes	mapped	recall	precision	F_1
PDT	375	349	284	76%	81%	78%
PDTSC	442	305	235	53%	77%	63%
PCEDT	307	327	244	79%	75%	77%
total	1124	981	763	68%	78%	72%

UMR node mapping:

Annot1 nodes	Annot2 nodes	mapped	recall	precision	F_1
228	221	215	94%	97%	96%

Download the data: http://hdl.handle.net/11234/1-5951

Automatic (Partial) Conversion – Quantitative Comparison

(tables taken from Štěpánek et al., 2025)

Concept and relation comparison (only mapped nodes):

corpus	MAN triples	AUTO triples	match	recall	precision	F_1
PDT	844	819	502	59%	61%	60%
PDTSC	622	633	352	57%	56%	56%
PCEDT	714	588	342	48%	58%	53%
total	2180	2040	1196	55%	59%	57%

Concept and relation comparison (only mapped nodes):

Annot1 triples	Annot2 triples	match	recall	precision	F_1
633	644	595	94%	92%	93%

Download the data: http://hdl.handle.net/11234/1-5951

Automatic (Partial) Conversion – Quantitative Comparison

(tables taken from Štěpánek et al., 2025)

Concept and relation comparison:

corpus	MAN triples	AUTO triples	match	recall	precision	$ju{:}m\alpha t\!f$ = F_1
PDT	1082	916	502	46%	55%	50%
PDTSC	1318	770	352	27%	46%	34%
PCEDT	916	757	342	37%	45%	41%
total	3316	2443	1196	36%	49%	42%

Concept and relation comparison:

Annot1 triples	Annot2 triples	match	recall	precision	$ju{:}m\alpha t\!f$ = F_1
663	659	595	90%	90%	90%

Download the data: http://hdl.handle.net/11234/1-5951

From PDT to UMR:

Gold-Standard Czech UMR Data and Partial Automatic Conversion

- two different meaning representations
- manually annotated Czech UMR gold-standard data
  - IAA 90 %
- evaluation of the automatic (partial) conversion
  - transforms selected language phenomena from PDT to UMR
  - 53-60% accuracy on the aligned nodes
  - plan: cover more phenomena in the (near) future
- automatic conversion as an essential first step to reduce costs for full manual annotation

Download the data: http://hdl.handle.net/11234/1-5951

Acknowledgements

- The work described herein has been supported by the following grants:
  - Czech Science Foundation, *Language Understanding: from Syntax to Discourse* (Project No. 20-16819X)
  - Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic, *LINDAT/CLARIAH-CZ* (Project No. LM2023062)

- The project has been using data and tools provided by the *LINDAT/CLARIAH-CZ Research Infrastructure* (https://lindat.cz), supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic (Project No. LM2023062).