# Meeting 2023-07-17 (Federica, Cris, Zdeňka, Eva F., JH, Dan, Šárka, Michal)

**UMR Writer:**

Summary from the last meetings: the interface does not seem to be developed enough yet, for now, we are not going to use it.

JH: somebody (everybody) in our group should be able to work with it. The American group will develop the tool later and we yet need to stay in contact.

JH: UMR-writer 2.0 – [Sijia Ge](https://aclanthology.org/people/s/sijia-ge/) from ACL meeting (Boulder) is going to develop it. It should be better. This guy is from China, employed just til December 2023. JH has his e-mail address.

Paper on UMR writer 2.0. <https://aclanthology.org/2023.law-1.21/>

**Using TrEd**

JH: It would be good to work in TrEd. (edges in the graphs, based on the nodes; possibility to see the tree as a whole).

But we should be still able to edit it in UMR Writer

**Convertor from AMR**

Míša:

Three types how coreference is represented in UMR (described in the documentation on Github)

The original instructions from UMR about coreference are unclear.

JH: whenever we have a question, we should write to Julia – we need to set a frequent communication.

Dan: write it as an issue to Julia (official [UMR github](https://github.com/umr4nlp/umr-guidelines/issues), not our github)

**Events (processes) and entities**

Dan: Named events (named entities): should they have the abstract concept of entity?

Basically: event (ARG0, ARG1…), and then adding attributes which are usual for entities (name, link to Wikipedia)

Dan: **Basic question: why do we need to discern states and entities? Why are states not understood as events (with copulas)?**

JH: AMR classic problem: entity vs. type. It is clear with named entities (the type does not show up). IBM – name, type: company-entity.

JH: UMR is about the structure of the graph. They talk about the types only.

ŠZ: What is the difference between a concept and a type?

JH: more or less, they are the same. The most important difference is between specific cases (my running at Marathon) and concepts (running itself)

JH: *a clever man – he is clever*:

* In the ideal representation by JH it would be the same (predicate, eventive concept)
* In the UMR representation it would be different (modification – event / predicate)

Dan: still, what does it change in the annotation? Ask by Julia?

**Inferences**

JH: we want to make immediate inferences (if you listen to me, what you remember that I said), not more

**How far should our annotation be based on the word formation?**

Cf. CZ *jídlo* (food, from *jíst,* annotated as a part of the verb *jíst – to eat*) and EN *food* (not connected with *eat*) – different annotation?

Zdeňka: **How far should we match UMR?**

JH: within “UMR Light-Contact project” we should be as close as possible.

In other projects, we need UMR as a referential annotation – our next annotation can show what is wrong there and make it better.

For now, do it in the spirit of the guidelines.

Zdeňka: could we join internal Bolder etc. meeting?

JH: Julia said there are some files annotated for English. Could we get an access to some file? – JH can ask Julia.

Dan: Solution: until further notice, we will do it this way…

JH: We are preparing an example file; the proper annotation will follow.

Dan: **How is SynsemClass connected with our annotation?**

JH: Not yet, we will use rather PDT-Vallex for Czech.

**Next steps**

JH: try to annotate a short text

Federica: tried the annotation for Latin, comparing it to the Czech valency files on github (frames)

ŠZ: proposed points of the meetings:

* Presentation of a part of guidelines (as before)
* Presentation of a part of the annotation (Estonian file, Latin by Federica…)
* Discussion on the problems of the annotation

**Next meetings:**

24/7 13:00 (Markéta +, Dan +, Federica +, Cris +, Míša +, Eva F +, Zdeňka ? – zoom?, JH -, no zoom)

31/7 14:00 (Zdeňka+, JH +, Cris+, Markéta +?, Míša +, Eva +, Dan -, Šárka -, Federica –

21/8 – 13:00 (Federica – zoom, Cris +, Zdeňka +?, JH in China – zoom +?, Eva ?, Dan +, Míša +/zoom, Šárka ?)

28/8 – 13:00 (Zdeňka +, JH +, Cris -, Dan +, Federica +, Eva ?, Šárka ?)

# Meeting 2023-07-10 (Markéta, Dan, Zdeňka, Federica, Cris, Šárka)

1. **Meeting with Julia – summary:**

* Dan: Julia is not using the UMR writer either
* Markéta: the structures disappear there
* Summary: we are not going to master the UMR writer, for the time being

1. **Eventive concepts (a document by Markéta) – comments on the document on Github**

* Markéta: not found any references to semantic type / information packaging
* Dan: information packaging – typological term, very general definition
* Markéta: there are books on information packaging by Bill Croft; Federica has the book Radical Construction Grammar
* The man who is tall = the tall man
* Please, go through the document and comment on the examples
* References about basic terms: Jackendoff, William Croft
* Zdeňka: we can ask Mirjam Fried about construction grammar
* Dan: concentrate on data, not so much on the theories

1. **Entities (a document by Dan) – comments on the document on Github**

* Dan: there are categorial named entities (name of a product – many things); what about the Estonians – nationality in UMR; Novákovi – family in UMR;
* Dan: proper noun – morphological term Dan is using
* When NE should be used?
  + Estonian
  + A general affiliation to a country rather than nationality etc.

If possible, please, go through the document and comment on the examples

* Is connection to a valency lexicon AND Wikipedia possible (like a specific war – a fight would be in a valency lexicon, the name of the war, as a named entity, would be in Wikipedia)

**In general:** we need to collect examples in Czech and other languages and ask Julia

Find a nice sentence with the WW2 (classification event / entity) and ask JH, Julia…