

Configuring a Crisis: Black Maternal Health and Bureaucratic Dispossession

Christian Hosam

2023-03-23

Abstract

To what extent does the unique structure of American healthcare perpetuate racial disparities in maternal mortality and morbidity rates? Maternal mortality in the United States is often configured as a crisis given the disproportionate number of maternal deaths in comparison to other advanced industrial countries. Much of the recent research and advocacy on combatting these disparities have focused on moving from individual frameworks of blame to centering stories of either provider-level implicit bias and/or racism as well as social determinants of health. One avenue that has been relatively unexplored is the structure of the American healthcare system, particularly the uniquely high proportion of healthcare functionality that is devolved to states and localities. Here, I look at the three counties within the state of Maryland with the highest concentration of Black residents to show how the types of health-care initiatives located at the county level focus on nudging birthing people into better outcomes in spite of medical and sociological literature showing that these interventions are misapplied.

Introduction

That maternal mortality is at epidemic levels in the United States is not up for debate. The trends, both in terms of overall numbers (which spiked in the wake of the Covid-19 pandemic) and in the crisis's attendant racial disparities, have not budged in decades, even as there has been more coverage, more attention paid by federal policymakers, most notably with the formation of the Black Maternal Health Caucus in Congress, and increased attention to structural factors by professional organizations such as the American Medical Association ("New Law Provides Data-Mapping Tool to Lower Maternal Mortality" 2023) and the Center for Disease Control ("Maternal Mortality Rates in the United States, 2020" 2022).

The crisis thus becomes not just a matter of life-and-death for the birthing people caught in the crossfire, but also a matter of national embarrassment, given that the national average of 23.8 deaths per 100,000 live births dwarfs the country with the second highest rate, New Zealand, which has 13.6 deaths ("The U.S. Maternal Mortality Crisis Continues to Worsen: An International Comparison" 2022). The problem becomes especially appalling when added to the mix is the corollary statistic according to the CDC, over 84% of pregnancy-related deaths were preventable. But what about this crisis is so uniquely American (Dembosky 2022)? What features of American society and the American policy state imperils so many birthing people, and so many Black women in particular?

The extant literature, particularly within public health focuses on moving away from individualistic factors that serve to blame birthing people for their "risky" behaviors and instead move towards a framing that centers both social determinants of health (Petersen et al. 2019) as well as in more recent years the racism that they face within the medical system as a result of provider-level racism, both in terms of mis- and under-diagnosis of pain and fear in addition to dismissal of their concerns in the immediate postpartum period (American College of Nurse-Midwives 2018). I affirm and agree with these fields. In this work, however, I intend to tackle the specificity of the American case by speaking more directly to a particular aspect of the American policy state that I argue also places Black birthing people in a unique form of risk: the federalist nature of American health care.

I will do this with three different approaches: the first is through the rise of community health programs within state and local governments. While the federal government often sets broad mandates for care within the United States, the authority for policies surrounding distribution of care and regulations about what care will look like in terms of what is necessary for birthing people to do under a program like Medicaid falls to the states and, increasingly, to local governments. This often means that to the extent that a birthing person is dealing with a government agent to assist with managing their pregnancy, this person is very rarely a federal official and is much more likely someone employed by a county or city government. This might be benign on its face, but even as the country claims to be moving in a more progressive direction with respect to avoiding individualistic narratives, there is often a disconnect between federal, state, and local frameworks for care, with the smaller governments often opting for more individualistic frameworks, serving to re-inscribe these cultural pathologies rather than undermining them. In ways similar to Jamila Michener's work on Medicaid as a site of potential discrimination, the federalist approach to healthcare results in uneven outcomes both within and between categories of care (Michener 2018).

The second is through the landscape of insurance coverage within the country. As Khiara Bridges vividly describes in her book *Reproducing Race*, being a recipient of Medicaid, which a disproportionate number of Black women are, places them within a particular context of surveillance by the state both in terms of the medical tests that they have to endure as well as the types of pathological narratives that they have to contend with being both poor and Black (Bridges 2011). By looking at the rates of change of those Black women who have private insurance (specifying between out-of-pocket and employer-covered insurance) as well as those who have public insurance (specifying between Medicaid and state insurance exchanges), I intend to show that while the type of surveillance that a birthing person will face might be subject to the type of health care they receive, the discrepancy is far lower and more complicated than we might intuitively imagine. I instead argue that even for those with private insurance, which is supposed to grant a level of autonomy and choice to the birthing person, the specter of race and anti-Blackness in particular can often mean that they are subject to many of the same types of pernicious pressures to subject themselves to increasingly invasive medical treatment that creates more and more opportunities for them to be judged as "unruly bodies" as well as become more likely to be seen as unfit and, as a result, be subject to state intervention of their bodies and of their children.

Finally, I look at OECD data to look at the ways in which the decomposition of healthcare expenditure in the United States is unique in many ways to its industrialized peers, shaping a healthcare system that allows ample opportunity for providers to play into their most base assumptions about all birthing people, but Black women in particular, because differences in funding between federal and state governments create an incentive-structure in which doctors have to make decisions that attend to both law as well as the interests of medical capital, putting them in the position of calculating between risk and care, and basing those decisions on their analysis of not just what is good for the birthing person but also what is most risk-neutral for their careers. This has the additional effect of structuring the two factors above. By devolving authority in complex, yet slipshod ways, the American healthcare system is something to be navigated for all people. For Black women in particular, they have to negotiate not only the mandates of whatever insurance coverage that they have in addition to whatever type of supplemental support that they might need from their local communities and governments but also be savvy enough to overcome the ever-present potential of racism and sexism affecting the type of medical treatment they receive. In my final section, I theorize on this phenomenon: calling it bureaucratic dispossession.

Taken together, the American maternal mortality crisis is one of racism and sexism that is faced most acutely by Black women. However, as I will show, these biases show up not just in the intimate spaces in which they are the most vulnerable but also in the very construction of how they receive care. In this way, Black women are yet again the canaries in the coal mine, highlighting the factors of the American political economy that lay right beneath the surface, imperiling us all but made clear through the specific and devastating impacts that they face.

Entrenching the Technocratic Model of Pregnancy

The Insurance Landscape for Black Birthing People in the United States

American Exceptionalism and Healthcare Expenditure

Theorizing Bureaucratic Dispossession

American College of Nurse-Midwives. 2018. “Racism and Racial Bias Position Statement.”

Bridges, Khiara. 2011. *Reproducing Race: An Ethnography of Pregnancy as a Site of Racialization*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Dembosky, April. 2022. “Health Department Medical Detectives Find 84.” *NPR*, October. <https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2022/10/21/1129115162/maternal-mortality-childbirth-deaths-prevention>.

“Maternal Mortality Rates in the United States, 2020.” 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hestat/maternal-mortality/2020/maternal-mortality-rates-2020.htm>.

Michener, Jamila. 2018. *Fragmented Democracy: Medicaid, Federalism, and Unequal Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108224987>.

“New Law Provides Data-Mapping Tool to Lower Maternal Mortality.” 2023. <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/population-care/new-law-provides-data-mapping-tool-lower-maternal-mortality>.

Petersen, Emily, David Goodman, PhD, Nicole L. Davis, PhD, Shanna Cox, and Carla Syverson. 2019. “Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Pregnancy-Related Deaths — United States, 2007–2016.” *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 68. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6835a3>.

“The U.S. Maternal Mortality Crisis Continues to Worsen: An International Comparison.” 2022. <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/blog/2022/us-maternal-mortality-crisis-continues-worsen-international-comparison>.