### **Problem 1**

- Compress then encrypt. (A sensible approach, can compress data first and increase efficiency.)
- Encrypt then compress. (Used in specific scenerios such as steganography.)
- The order does not matter either one is fine. (As explained, the order does not matter, and either one is used in data encryption.)
- ☐ The order does not matter neither one will compress the data. (Trivially, either one is fine.)

## **Problem 2**

- $\Box$   $G'(k) = G(k) \parallel G(k)$  (The output is twice as long as the output of G, can be easily detected.)
- $\blacksquare$   $G'(k) = G(k \oplus 1^s)$  (The XOR operation modifies the seed k before it is used by G. Since G is secure, G' is also secure.)
- $\Box$  G'(k) = G(0) (The output of G' does not depend on the seed k, making it easy to distinguish.)
- $\Box$  G'(k) = G(1) (Same as the previous case.)
- $\Box$   $G'(k) = G(k) \parallel 0$  (It won't output a random 0.)
- $G'(k_1, k_2) = G(k_1) \parallel G(k_2)$  (Combines two independent outputs G, which remain secure.)
- $\blacksquare$  G'(k) = reverse(G(k)) (Reverse the bits of PRG output does not affect the randomness.)
- $\blacksquare$   $G'(k) = rotation_n(G(k))$  (Rotate n bits of PRG output does not affect the randomness.)

### **Problem 3**

- $\square \ p_1 = (k_1, \ k_2), \ p_2 = (k_1, \ k_2), \ p_3 = (k_2') \ ([p_1, \ p_2] : \times; \ [p_1, \ p_3] : (k_2, \ k_2'); \ [p_2, \ p_3] : (k_2, \ k_2'))$
- $\square \ p_1 = (k_1, k_2), \ p_2 = (k_1', k_2'), \ p_3 = (k_2') ([p_1, p_2] : (k_1, k_1') \lor (k_2, k_2'); \ [p_1, p_3] : (k_2, k_2'); \ [p_2, p_3] : \times)$
- $\blacksquare p_1 = (k_1, k_2), p_2 = (k_1', k_2), p_3 = (k_2') ([p_1, p_2] : (k_1, k_1'); [p_1, p_3] : (k_2, k_2'); [p_2, p_3] : (k_2, k_2'))$
- $\square$   $p_1 = (k_1, k_2), p_2 = (k_2, k_2'), p_3 = (k_2')$  ( $p_2$  can decrypt by only a single piece.)
- $\square \ p_1 = (k_1, \ k_2), \ p_2 = (k_1'), \ p_3 = (k_2') \left( [p_1, \ p_2] : (k_1, \ k_1'); \ [p_1, \ p_3] : (k_2, \ k_2'); \ [p_2, \ p_3] : \times \right)$

### **Problem 4**

- $\square$  No, there is a simple attack on this cipher. (The cipher has perfect secrecy.)
- $\blacksquare$  Yes. (Using different k, m, can get an evenly distributed c.)
- □ No, only the One Time Pad has perfect secrecy. (The cipher has perfect secrecy.)

#### **Problem 5**

- $\Box$   $E'(k, m) = E(0^n, m)$  (It uses constant key  $0^n$  for every encryption.)
- $E'((k, k'), m) = E(k, m) \parallel E(k', m)$  (It encrypts the message twice with two different keys and concatenates the results.)
- $\Box$   $E'(k, m) = E(k m) \parallel MSB(m)$  (Appending the MSB of the message leaks part of the plaintext.)
- $\blacksquare$   $E'(k, m) = 0 \parallel E(k, m)$  (Prepending a 0 does not leak any information about the plaintext.)
- $\Box$   $E'(k, m) = E(k, m) \parallel k$  (It reveals the key with the ciphertext.)
- $\blacksquare$  E'(k, m) = reverse(E(k, m)) (Reversing the order of the bits does not affect its security properties.)
- $\blacksquare$   $E'(k, m) = rotation_n(E(k, m))$  (Rotating the order of n bits does not affect its security properties.)

#### Problem 6

```
key: (61747461636b206174206461776e)_{16} \oplus (6c73d5240a948c86981bc294814d)_{16}
= (0d07a14569fface7ec3ba6f5f623)_{16}
defend at noon: (646566656e64206174206e6f6f6e)_{16} \oplus (0d07a14569fface7ec3ba6f5f623)_{16}
```

 $= (6962c720079b8c86981bc89a994d)_{16}$ 

```
1 #include <bits/stdc++.h>
2 #define For(z, x, y) for(int z = x; z \leq y; z ++)
3 #define sz(x) ((int) x.size())
4
5 using namespace std;
6
7 int32_t main(){
8
       string a, b;
9
       cin >> a >> b;
10
11
       For(i, 0, sz(a)-1){
12
           int ta = a[i] - '0', tb = b[i] - '0';
13
           cout << (ta ^ tb);</pre>
14
       }
15
16
       return 0;
17 }
```

### **Problem 7**

- $\square$  21 (Not needed, covered by node 1.)
- $\square$  17 (Not needed, covered by node 1.)
- $\square$  5 (Not needed.)
- 26 (Needed to cover 26.)
- 6 (Needed to cover 27 to 30.)
- 1 (Needed to cover 15 to 22.)
- 11 (Needed to cover 23 to 24.)
- $\square$  24 (Not needed, covered by node 11.)

# **Extra Credit**

- 1. SHA-256:
  - (a) Design: Operates on 32-bit words using 64 rounds of hashing.
  - (b) Output size: Produces a 256-bit hash value.
  - (c) Security: Designed to resist all known forms of cryptographic attacks, although its resistance to quantum computing attacks could be lower due to its smaller bit size compared to SHA-512.
- 2. SHA-512/256
  - (a) Design: Operates on 64-bit words and runs 80 rounds of hashing, which is more than SHA-256.
  - (b) Output Size: The output is truncated to 256 bits.
  - (c) Security: Theoretically, it provides security equivalent to SHA-256 against brute force attacks but is believed to be more resistant to certain types of cryptographic attacks.
- 3. Summary: While SHA-256 and SHA-512/256 have excellent security for current standards, SHA-512/256 is slightly better in theoretical security due to the larger internal state and word size.