

# 1 Problem 1

1. Yes. The polynomial is irreducible over  $GF(2)$ , and it generates a maximal length LFSR sequence of length 255.
2. The maximum cycle length generated by  $x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$  is  $2^8 - 1 = 255$ . We can use the following program to verify the answer:

```

1 key = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]
2 for i in range(1, 1000):
3     if key == [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]:
4         print(f'{i}: {key}')
5     tmp = key[0]
6     for j in range(7):
7         key[j] = key[j + 1]
8     key[7] = 0
9     if tmp:
10        key[3] = 1 ^ key[3]
11        key[4] = 1 ^ key[4]
12        key[5] = 1 ^ key[5]
13        key[7] = 1 ^ key[7]

```

Output:

```

1 1: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]
2 256: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]
3 511: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]
4 766: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]

```

3. Not all irreducible polynomials are primitive polynomials. For example,  $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$  is irreducible over  $GF(2)$ , but it is not primitive because it does not generate a maximal length LFSR sequence of length 15.

```

1 key = [0, 0, 0, 1]
2 for i in range(1, 20):
3     if key == [0, 0, 0, 1]:
4         print(f'{i}: {key}')
5     tmp = key[0]
6     for j in range(3):
7         key[j] = key[j + 1]
8     key[3] = 0
9     if tmp:
10        key[0] = 1 ^ key[0]
11        key[1] = 1 ^ key[1]
12        key[2] = 1 ^ key[2]
13        key[3] = 1 ^ key[3]

```

Output:

```

1 1: [0, 0, 0, 1]
2 6: [0, 0, 0, 1]
3 11: [0, 0, 0, 1]
4 16: [0, 0, 0, 1]

```

## 2 Problem 2

1. output:

```

1 Cipher text: 010000000101011001001010010100010101001101110101000101111100010
2 1010111000110100000110001101110111001100111010101010110100111000000000101110
3 1011001101010000011101111101100110111101011111000100011001000010100010100001
4 1010011010100110001100101001011101000100111001011011000100001110011001111011
5 0110000011110110011010110000100100010100101011110000100100011101111001000000
6 0110100010111000000000101110010010100101101001110010001110110110000011001111
7 1001100011110111100110011100110011110111100000010000110011111111100110000011
8 0111110100010100010010100110100000110101100011011111011110011000110000101110
9 1101001000000010100100110101000111101101001001011010110100010100110101111010
10 10010100010000100111110100001111101010110110101000100100011110010000010111111
11 0111100110010101011011001010011111111000011111100001101001001011100100001011
12 0110001110100111101010110011100010010010110000011111010010010111110001111110
13 101010100101101110110001110011010111110100010100101011101111101111110011000
14 0101011011000011011010010011000000011000110001001110100100101111001100010000
15 1000011111011001000101001010011111101000001101101000001110100000001111101000
16 0010110100110100000010001111011110001100011010011011011010001011111100000000
17 1011111110011000111011101101001111100001110111010000010010000111101100010011
18 1101011101001000011101011001101001000001111010010011111100011000010111101101
19 0011010011111111110100011111010100000101101001010110010101100100101101110111
20 0000111111101111001111000001011001000001111011000011000100010110110010100111
21 0001000000101111010110000000011010110010111000110000000110000100001111100101
22 0010000100101101001010100011111010010001010110100100101011110011000011101111
23 1000100010100111000010001100011111100001100001011110010111101101001101001010
24 1110100100110111100000011111011010000100111000110010100000101001101100010000
25 1110011010101011011110011101110111000101110011010001110111011101110101011111
26 1100111001100101001011000010000100110010000011101111110010011100110111111101
27 0100010011011110010110101101001100010001000001001110111101001000100111100000
28 1010101010100101001000001010010110100001001100101000000011101010001010100011
29 1010100111010101001111000101111001000010001100111010000011001110101100110010
30 0000011001111000100100111101110011000000011011011010110100100111101000010010
31 0110001111000001001011000011011010100011101010001110111001000011101010000011
32 0110101010111011000101111
33
34 Decrypted text: ATNYCUWEARESTRIVINGTOBEAGREATUNIVERSITYTHATTRANSCENDSDISCIPL
35 INARYDIVIDESTOSOLVETHEINCREASINGLYCOMPLEXPROBLEMSTHATTHEWORLDFACESWEWILLCONT
36 INUETOBEGUIDEDBYTHEIDEATHATWECANACHIEVESOMETHINGMUCHGREATERTOGETHERTHANWECAN
37 INDIVIDUALLYAFTERALLTHATWASTHEIDEATHATLEDTOTHECREATIONOF FOURUNIVERSITYINTHEFI
38 RSTPLACE

```

2. Yes. Given a 8-stage LSFR, we know:

$$\begin{cases} a_n = (a_{n+1}C_7 + a_{n+2}C_6 + a_{n+3}C_5 + \dots + a_{n+6}C_2 + a_{n+7}C_1 + a_{n+8}C_0) \bmod 2 \\ a_{n+1} = (a_{n+2}C_7 + a_{n+3}C_6 + a_{n+4}C_5 + \dots + a_{n+7}C_2 + a_{n+8}C_1 + a_{n+9}C_0) \bmod 2 \\ a_{n+2} = (a_{n+3}C_7 + a_{n+4}C_6 + a_{n+5}C_5 + \dots + a_{n+8}C_2 + a_{n+9}C_1 + a_{n+10}C_0) \bmod 2 \\ a_{n+3} = (a_{n+4}C_7 + a_{n+5}C_6 + a_{n+6}C_5 + \dots + a_{n+9}C_2 + a_{n+10}C_1 + a_{n+11}C_0) \bmod 2 \\ a_{n+4} = (a_{n+5}C_7 + a_{n+6}C_6 + a_{n+7}C_5 + \dots + a_{n+10}C_2 + a_{n+11}C_1 + a_{n+12}C_0) \bmod 2 \\ a_{n+5} = (a_{n+6}C_7 + a_{n+7}C_6 + a_{n+8}C_5 + \dots + a_{n+11}C_2 + a_{n+12}C_1 + a_{n+13}C_0) \bmod 2 \\ a_{n+6} = (a_{n+7}C_7 + a_{n+8}C_6 + a_{n+9}C_5 + \dots + a_{n+12}C_2 + a_{n+13}C_1 + a_{n+14}C_0) \bmod 2 \\ a_{n+7} = (a_{n+8}C_7 + a_{n+9}C_6 + a_{n+10}C_5 + \dots + a_{n+13}C_2 + a_{n+14}C_1 + a_{n+15}C_0) \bmod 2 \end{cases}$$

Knowing  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{15}$ , can compute  $C_0, C_1, \dots, C_7$ , thus can solve a 8-stage LFSR.

3.  $C_0 = 1, C_1 = 0, C_2 = 0, C_3 = 0, C_4 = 1, C_5 = 1, C_6 = 1, C_7 = 0$

```

1 f16keyoutput = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0]
2 solution = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
3
4 for i in range(255):
5     flg = 1
6     for j in range(8):
7         c = 0
8         for k in range(8):
9             c += solution[k] * f16keyoutput[j+1+k]
10        if(f16keyoutput[j] != c%2):
11            flg = 0
12            break
13    if flg:
14        print(solution)
15        break
16
17    cnt = 0
18    while(solution[cnt]):
19        solution[cnt] = 0
20        cnt += 1
21    solution[cnt] = 1

```

Output:

```

1 [0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1]

```

### 3 Problem 3

1. output:

```

1 Naive algorithm:
2 (1, 2, 3, 4): 38688 (2, 3, 1, 4): 54314 (3, 4, 1, 2): 42889
3 (1, 2, 4, 3): 39161 (2, 3, 4, 1): 54895 (3, 4, 2, 1): 38954
4 (1, 3, 2, 4): 39184 (2, 4, 1, 3): 43461 (4, 1, 2, 3): 31338
5 (1, 3, 4, 2): 54739 (2, 4, 3, 1): 42571 (4, 1, 3, 2): 35229
6 (1, 4, 2, 3): 43078 (3, 1, 2, 4): 43135 (4, 2, 1, 3): 35416
7 (1, 4, 3, 2): 35168 (3, 1, 4, 2): 42929 (4, 2, 3, 1): 31271
8 (2, 1, 3, 4): 39189 (3, 2, 1, 4): 35047 (4, 3, 1, 2): 39013
9 (2, 1, 4, 3): 58516 (3, 2, 4, 1): 42893 (4, 3, 2, 1): 38922
10 Average: 41666.66666666666664, Standard Deviation: 7168.251295740747
11
12 Fisher - Yates shuffle:
13 (1, 2, 3, 4): 41951 (2, 3, 1, 4): 41694 (3, 4, 1, 2): 41600
14 (1, 2, 4, 3): 41832 (2, 3, 4, 1): 41521 (3, 4, 2, 1): 41528
15 (1, 3, 2, 4): 41968 (2, 4, 1, 3): 41997 (4, 1, 2, 3): 41651
16 (1, 3, 4, 2): 42073 (2, 4, 3, 1): 41199 (4, 1, 3, 2): 41263
17 (1, 4, 2, 3): 41594 (3, 1, 2, 4): 41737 (4, 2, 1, 3): 41348
18 (1, 4, 3, 2): 41466 (3, 1, 4, 2): 41953 (4, 2, 3, 1): 41777
19 (2, 1, 3, 4): 41668 (3, 2, 1, 4): 41553 (4, 3, 1, 2): 41643
20 (2, 1, 4, 3): 41730 (3, 2, 4, 1): 41299 (4, 3, 2, 1): 41955
21 Average: 41666.66666666666664, Standard Deviation: 240.0315372797685

```

2. Fisher-Yates shuffle is better because it demonstrates a more uniform distribution, as indicated by a lower standard deviation compared to the Naïve algorithm.
3. The Naïve algorithm is known to be biased because the probability of each element in each position is not equal, primarily due to the fact that it always selects from the entire range of indices for swapping. In contrast, the Fisher-Yates shuffle ensures each position can only swap with positions before it (or itself), leading to a more uniform and unbiased distribution.

### 4 Appendix

Package used in the program: numpy

```
1 pip install numpy
```