Assignment Nonlinear Regression

A report containing solutions to the problems below is to be uploaded in the Blackboard as a single pdf-file, due no later than the deadline for this assignment. Submitted report should be well-organized and include step-by-step explanations, relevant plots, tables, R code and conclusions.

I. Computational problems

1. The dataset muscle is contained in the add-on R-package MASS. To load the package, type library(MASS) at the R-prompt. These data concern an experiment on muscle contraction for 21 animals. The observed variables are Strip (muscle identifier, a factor), Conc (CaCl concentration, a numeric vector) and Length (resulting length of muscle section, a numeric vector). There are 60 observations on each variable. The nonlinear model we are considering is

Length_i =
$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 \exp(-\text{Conc}_i/\theta_3) + \varepsilon_i$$
, $i = 1, ..., 60$,

where $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$ and $Var(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2$. We wish to estimate $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$.

- (i) Use R to construct a scatterplot of the data, according to the proposed model. State your observations about the plot.
- (ii) Obtain an estimate of θ , using nonlinear least squares estimation. Plot the fitted curve along with the data and comment on the quality of the fit.
- (iii) Possibly different values for θ_1 and θ_2 should be used (why?) for the different animals. The parameter vector is then $\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = (\theta_{1,1}, \dots, \theta_{1,21}, \theta_{2,1}, \dots, \theta_{2,21}, \theta_3)$ and the nonlinear model becomes

$$Y_{jk} = \theta_{1,k} + \theta_{2,k} \exp(-X_{jk}/\theta_3) + \varepsilon_{jk}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, 21,$$

where Y_{jk} and X_{jk} denote respectively Length and CaCl for the jth observation from the kth animal. Use nonlinear least squares to obtain an estimate of θ^* .

Hints: you can use the estimates from (ii) as the starting values for estimating θ^* ; use Length~th1[Strip]+th2[Strip]*exp(-Conc/th3) to design your formula for the nls function to make sure that only the data corresponding to each level of the variables Strip are used to estimate the corresponding components of θ^* . Here th1, th2 and th3 are the names given to the three components of the original parameter vector θ .

2. Let $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ and $f(x, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1 x + \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_3 + x^2}$. Suppose

$$Y_i = f(x_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Take n=100, choose reasonable values for $\boldsymbol{\theta}=(\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3),\ \sigma^2>0$ and $x_i\in[0,3],$ $i=1,\ldots,n$. Generate independent $\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{100}\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ distribution and use these values to form Y_1,\ldots,Y_{100} .

- (i) Make a scatterplot of your simulated data, along with a plot of the true curve $f(x, \theta)$. Comment. Then use nonlinear regression to estimate θ (take reasonable starting values). Also report your results for $\hat{\sigma}^2$ and $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\hat{\theta})$. Add a plot of the curve $f(x, \hat{\theta})$ to your scatterplot and comment on the quality of the fit.
- (ii) Plot the residuals against the fitted values, generate a normal quantile plot, and comment on the validity of the model assumptions.
- (iii) Obtain 98% confidence intervals for θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 , first using asymptotic normality, and then using the bootstrap. Comment on the agreement of the confidence intervals between the two methods, and their accuracy.
- (iv) Obtain a 98% confidence interval for the expected value of Y when x = 1.
- 3. The impact of weeds on the productivity of a crop is investigated. The file Weeds.txt (available on Blackboard) contains a column for the number N of healthy crops produced in each of 56 plots of land, along with the rate r of weeds found in each plot (in kg/ha). A proposed approach is to model the relationship between N and R using one of the following two functions: $f_1(r) = \delta + \frac{\alpha \delta}{1 + \exp[\beta \log(\gamma r)]}$ (the log-logistic function), where $\beta, \gamma > 0$ and $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$; or $f_2(r) = \gamma + \alpha \exp(-\beta r)$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Apply the nonlinear least squares method to fit model (2.1) from the lecture notes to the dataset Weeds using both f_1 and f_2 . Use appropriate methods to determine which function produces the best fit.

II. Theoretical problems

- 1. Suppose $Y_i = f(x_i, \theta) + \varepsilon_i$, i = 1, ..., n, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, f is everywhere differentiable and $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n$ are independent $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. Derive the expression for the log-likelihood function $\ell(\theta; \mathbf{x})$. Relate the MLE to the LSE and derive the MLE for σ^2 .
- 2. Suppose we have a data set which we want to describe by the nonlinear model

$$Y_i = \theta_1 x + 2\theta_3 x^2 + \frac{\theta_2 x^3}{3 + \exp(-\theta_4 x + x^2)} + \theta_5 + \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

Formulate the hypotheses that the model is in fact linear. Describe how you would test this hypothesis.

3. Suppose we have a dataset $\{(Y_1, x_1), \dots, (Y_n, x_n)\}$ which is modeled as follows:

$$Y_i = \sin(\theta_1 x_i) + \theta_1 \exp\{-\theta_2 x_i\} + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where (θ_1, θ_2) is to be estimated and such that $\theta_1 \neq 0, \varepsilon_1, \dots \varepsilon_n$ are independent random errors such that $E\varepsilon_i = 0$, $Var(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Give the normal equations for the above model.

Suppose n = 200, $x_1 = x_2 = \ldots = x_{100} = 0$ and $x_{101} = x_{102} = \ldots = x_{200} = 1$. Propose a starting value for the LSE $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$ in the Gauss-Newton method and explain your choice.