

Homework 2
Problem 1 - Working with Low-Rank
Approximations

Christian Howard
howard28@illinois.edu

Contents

1	Algorithm Design	3
1.1	Algorithm 1 - Baseline	4
1.2	Algorithm 2 - Interpolative Decomposition	4
2	Computational Complexity	6
2.1	Algorithm 1 - Baseline	6
2.2	Algorithm 2 - Interpolative Decomposition	6
2.3	Discussion of Algorithms	7
3	Complexity of Finding Low-rank Projection Matrix	8
3.1	Nominal Non-Adaptive Range Finder	8
3.2	Subsampled Random Fourier Transform Non-Adaptive Range Finder	8
4	Prove One Statement is True	9

1 Algorithm Design

In the problem statement for this part, we are to come up with an algorithm to tackle least square problems $Ax \cong b$ given that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is low rank and approximated as $A \approx QB$, where $B = Q^T A$ with $m > n \geq k$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$. Additionally, for cases where the least square solution is not unique, i.e. when $k < n$, we are asked to add that the solution x will minimize $|x|_2$.

If we first assume that $k = n$, we can find the least square solution by finding $x^* = \arg \min_x J(x)$ where $J(x)$ is defined below as:

$$\begin{aligned} J(x) &= (Ax - b)^T (Ax - b) \\ &= x^T A^T A x - 2x^T A^T b + b^T b \end{aligned}$$

If we differentiate with respect to x^T , we can find the solution by doing the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial x^T} &= 0 = 2A^T A x^* - 2A^T b \\ &= A^T A x^* - A^T b \end{aligned}$$

which implies a solution of:

$$x^* = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$$

If we assume A is in the form of a Singular Value Decomposition, i.e. $A = U \Sigma V^T$, then we can show the following solution for the Least Square solution when $k = n$:

$$\begin{aligned} x^* &= (V \Sigma U^T U \Sigma V^T)^{-1} V \Sigma U^T b \\ &= (V \Sigma^2 V^T)^{-1} V \Sigma U^T b \\ &= V \Sigma^{-2} V^T V \Sigma U^T b \\ &= V \Sigma^{-1} U^T b \\ &= V \Sigma^+ U^T b \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where Σ^+ is the pseudoinverse of Σ where basically all non-zero diagonal elements are replaced by their reciprocals. Now let us assume that $k < n$ so that we need to enforce that the solution x^* must also minimize $|x|_2$. We can find this solution x^* by solving the following optimization problem based on Lagrange Multipliers:

$$\begin{aligned} (x^*, \lambda^*) &= \arg \min_{x, \lambda} J(x, \lambda) \\ \text{where } J(x, \lambda) &= x^T x + \lambda^T (Ax - b) \end{aligned}$$

If we differentiate $J(\cdot, \cdot)$ with respect to x^T and λ^T , we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial J}{\partial x^T} &= 0 = 2x^* + A^T \lambda^* \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial \lambda^T} &= 0 = Ax^* - b\end{aligned}$$

The first equation implies $x^* = -\frac{1}{2}A^T \lambda$, the second leads to $\lambda^* = -2(AA^T)^{-1}b$. With these relationships, we find the solution for x^* is the following:

$$x^* = A^T (AA^T)^{-1} b$$

If we again substitute the SVD form of A into the expression for x^* , we can obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}x^* &= V\Sigma U^T (U\Sigma V^T V\Sigma U^T)^{-1} b \\ &= V\Sigma U^T (U\Sigma^2 U^T)^{-1} b \\ &= V\Sigma U^T U\Sigma^{-2} U^T b \\ &= V\Sigma^{-1} U^T b \\ &= V\Sigma^+ U^T b\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

What becomes obvious is that if we compare (1) with (2), the two solutions are identical. This means that using the SVD form of A to solve the Least Square problem works when $k \leq n$ and enforces minimizing $|x|_2$ when $k < n$. With this information, the algorithms we come up with only need to use the information we have to construct efficient SVD decompositions and then the rest is trivial.

1.1 Algorithm 1 - Baseline

1. Find SVD of $A = QB$
 - (a) Find SVD of $B \ni B = \hat{U}\Sigma V^T$
 - (b) Define $U = Q\hat{U} \ni A = U\Sigma V^T$
2. Compute $x^* = V\Sigma^+ U^T b$

1.2 Algorithm 2 - Interpolative Decomposition

1. Find SVD of $A = QB$
 - (a) Find J and P using an Interpolative Decomposition $\ni Q^T = Q_{(:,J)}^T P^T$
 - (b) Compute QR factorization $(A_{(J,:)})^T = \bar{Q}\bar{R}$
 - (c) Upsample row coefficients, $Z = P\bar{R}^T$

- (d) Compute SVD of $Z \ni Z = U\Sigma\hat{V}^T$
 - (e) Define $V^T = \hat{V}^T\bar{Q}^T \ni A = U\Sigma V^T$
2. Compute $x^* = V\Sigma^+U^Tb$

2 Computational Complexity

Before going into the complexity analysis, I want to first note that [1] states that a Full Householder QR factorization of some matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is $O(m^2n)$. Additionally, I will use the n -Truncated R-SVD such that for some matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ where $m \geq n$, the complexity is $O(mn^2)$. For use in analyzing the Interpolative Decomposition (ID), most of the heavy lifting of ID is based on the Column Pivoted QR Algorithm with complexity $O(mnk)$ for a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ that is rank k . These complexities will be used to describe the algorithms below.

2.1 Algorithm 1 - Baseline

1. Find SVD of $A = QB$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$
 - (a) Find SVD of $B \ni B = \hat{U}\Sigma V^T$. Note that $\hat{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$
 - Complexity: $O(nk^2)$
 - (b) Define $U = Q\hat{U} \ni A = U\Sigma V^T$
 - Complexity: $O(mk^2)$
2. Compute $x^* = V\Sigma^+U^Tb$
 - (a) Compute Σ^+ from Σ
 - Complexity: $O(k)$
 - (b) Define $q_1 = U^Tb$
 - Complexity: $O(km)$
 - (c) Define $q_2 = \Sigma^+q_1$
 - Complexity: $O(k^2)$
 - (d) Define $x^* = Vq_2$
 - Complexity: $O(kn)$

The overall complexity of Algorithm 1 as $O(nk^2 + mk^2 + km) \in O(mk^2)$.

2.2 Algorithm 2 - Interpolative Decomposition

1. Find SVD of $A = QB$
 - (a) Find J and P using an Interpolative Decomposition $\ni Q^T = Q_{(:,J)}^T P^T$
 - Complexity: $O(mk^2)$
 - (b) Compute QR factorization $(A_{(J,:)})^T = \bar{Q}\bar{R}$
 - Compute $C = (A_{(J,:)})^T(A_{(J,:)}) \rightarrow O(mk^2)$
 - Compute $\bar{R} = \text{cholesky}(C) \rightarrow O(k^3)$
 - Compute $\bar{R}^{-1} \rightarrow O(k^3)$

- Compute $\bar{Q} = (A_{(J,:)})^T \bar{R}^{-1} \rightarrow O(mk^2)$
 - (c) Upsample row coefficients, $Z = P\bar{R}^T$
 - Complexity: $O(mk^2)$
 - (d) Compute SVD of $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \ni Z = U\Sigma\hat{V}^T$
 - Complexity: $O(mk^2)$
 - (e) Define $V^T = \hat{V}^T \bar{Q}^T \ni A = U\Sigma V^T$
 - Complexity: $O(mk^2)$
2. Compute $x^* = V\Sigma^+U^Tb \rightarrow O(km)$

The overall complexity of Algorithm 2 is $O(mk^2)$.

2.3 Discussion of Algorithms

So what is interesting to note between the algorithms is they are both of complexity $O(mn^2)$ when $k = n$ since both could benefit from the Truncated R-SVD algorithm. This appears to be a different result than what is talked about in lecture since it is assumed the SVD of $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ in Algorithm 1 should be $O(n^2k)$, but if we can construct the SVD of $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ in $O(mk^2)$, then we should be able to also construct the SVD of B in $O(nk^2)$. This obviously goes against what is mentioned in the lecture notes, so not sure where the disparity lies.

3 Complexity of Finding Low-rank Projection Matrix

3.1 Nominal Non-Adaptive Range Finder

1. Construct random matrix $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \rightarrow O(nk)$
2. Get measurements from A by doing $Y = A\Omega \rightarrow O(mnk)$
3. Perform l iterations of the following based on the Power Iteration:
 - Perform QR of $Y \ni Y = \hat{Q}\hat{R} \rightarrow O(m^2k)$
 - Perform QR of $T = A^T \hat{Q}_{(:,1:k)} \ni T = \bar{Q}\bar{R} \rightarrow O(mnk + n^2k)$
 - Perform $Y = A\bar{Q}_{(:,1:k)} \rightarrow O(nmk)$
4. Perform QR of $Y \ni Y = \hat{Q}\hat{R} \rightarrow O(m^2k)$
5. Return $Q = \hat{Q}_{(:,1:k)} \rightarrow O(mk)$

The overall complexity of the Non-adaptive Range Finder is $O(m^2k)$ since this is the dominant complexity term throughout the algorithm. We can see here that this nominal Non-Adaptive Range Finder is slower than the approximate SVD computation algorithms described above by a factor of m which is taken as being large.

3.2 Subsampled Random Fourier Transform Non-Adaptive Range Finder

This SRFT Range Finder is based on algorithms described in [2] that allow one to speed up the nominal FFT and inverse FFT computations when you only care about either a subset of inputs points or a subset of output points. That said, I do not see how we can use this to speed up the computation of $Y = A\Omega'$, where Ω' is defined as:

$$\Omega' = \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} DFR$$

where

- D is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix whose entries are independent random variables uniformly distributed on the complex unit circle
- F is the unitary $n \times n$ DFT matrix based on the relationship

$$F_{pq} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-2\pi i(p-1)(q-1)/n}$$

- R is an $n \times k$ matrix that samples k coordinates from n uniformly at random, i.e., its k columns are drawn randomly without replacement from the columns of the $n \times n$ identity matrix

4 Prove One Statement is True

We are given that C is a square, low-rank matrix. Given this information, show that exactly one of the below statements are true:

- (A) The linear system $(I - C)x = b$ has a solution x
- (B) The linear system $(I - C)^T y = 0$ has a solution y such that $y^T b \neq 0$

For only one of the above statements to be true, we must show $A \iff \neg B$. To do this, we must show that $A \rightarrow \neg B$ and $\neg B \rightarrow A$. To prove $A \rightarrow \neg B$, let us assume A is true and that $(I - C)^T y = 0$ for some y . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(I - C)x &= b \\ y^T(I - C)x &= y^T b \\ 0 &= y^T b\end{aligned}$$

Since $y^T b = 0$, B cannot be true when A is true, implying that $A \rightarrow \neg B$. Now let us assume $\neg A$ and that $(I - C)^T y = 0$ for some y . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(I - C)x &\neq b \\ y^T(I - C)x &\neq y^T b \\ 0 &\neq y^T b\end{aligned}$$

The above result implies $\neg A \rightarrow B$ since both $(I - C)^T y = 0$ and $y^T b \neq 0$ were satisfied. Since $\neg A \rightarrow B$ is the contrapositive of $\neg B \rightarrow A$, we have now shown $A \iff \neg B$. This proves that only A or B can be true for some low-rank matrix C .

References

- [1] Gene H. Golub, Charles F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations 4th Edition*. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, 2013.
- [2] Henrik V. Sorensen, C. Sidney Burrus. *Efficient Computation of the DFT with Only a Subset of Input or Output Points*. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Volume: 41, Issue: 3, pgs. 1184 - 1200, Mar 1993.