

Lab 10 - Monadic Parsing Cont.

CS 1XA3

March 19th, 2018

Recall: Creating A Parser Type

- ▶ In the previous lecture, we defined a parsing function that returned a **Maybe** result, containing the parsed value and remaining string

```
parse :: String -> Maybe (a,String)
```

- ▶ This doesn't work too well in a function definition, however we can wrap this as a **datatype of Parsers** like so

```
data Parser a = Parser (String -> Maybe (a,String))
```

- ▶ Executing a Parser would involve **unwrapping the function** from the type and applying it to a **String**, we can automate this process with the following auxiliary function

```
parse :: Parser a -> String  
parse (Parser p) ss = p ss
```

Primitive Parser Combinators

We'll build more complicated Parsers out of smaller simple parsers
(**parser combinators**)

- ▶ An empty, zero parser that doesn't actually attempt to parse anything (we'll see how useful this is later)

```
zero :: Parser a
zero = Parser (\_ -> Nothing)
```

- ▶ A parser item for parsing any single **Char**

```
item :: Parser Char
item = let
    getItem ss = case ss of
        (c:cs) -> Just (c,cs)
        []      -> Nothing
    in Parser getItem
```

Revisiting Parsing Digits

- ▶ A function for parsing a single digit into an **Int** can be defined for this type as follows

```
parseDigit :: Parser Int
parseDigit = let
    char2Digit c = case c of
        '0' -> Just 0
        ...
        '9' -> Just 9
        _    -> Nothing
in Parser (\ss -> case ss of
    (c:ss') -> fmap (\x -> (x,ss')) (char2Digit c)
    []      -> Nothing )
```

- ▶ This function definition is tedious and messy, perhaps **Functors**, **Applicatives**, and **Monads** can help clean things up

Functor and Applicative Parser

- ▶ When defining a **Functor** instance, we need to wrap and unwrap **inside of a new function**

```
instance Functor Parser where
```

```
    fmap f p = Parser (\ss -> case parse p ss of
                                Just (a,ss') -> Just (f a,ss')
                                Nothing      -> Nothing )
```

- ▶ The **Applicative** instance is even messier, but still what you would expect

```
instance Applicative Parser where
```

```
    pure p    = Parser (\ss -> Just (p,ss))
    fp <*> p = Parser (\ss -> case parse fp ss of
                                Just (f,ss1) -> case parse p ss1 of
                                    Just (x,ss2) -> Just (f x,ss2)
                                    Nothing      -> Nothing
                                Nothing -> Nothing )
```

Parsing Using Monads!

- Functors and Applicatives are nice, but **Monads** will give us the real power we're looking for

```
instance Monad Parser where
  p >>= f = Parser (\ss -> case parse p ss of
    Just (a,ss') -> parse (f a) ss'
    Nothing       -> Nothing )
```

- There's another class that proves quite useful for parsing called **Alternative**, located in **Control.Applicative**

```
instance Alternative Parser where
  empty = zero
  p <|> q = Parser (\ss -> case parse p ss of
    Nothing -> parse q ss
    res      -> res)
```

Primitive Parser Combinators Cont.

Now that we have a **Monad** instance, we can continue defining **primitive combinators** with ease

- Parse an item under a condition

```
itemIf :: (Char -> Bool) -> Parser Char
itemIf cond = do { c <- item;
                  if cond c
                    then return c
                    else zero }
```

- A Parser for a single **specified Char**

```
char :: Char -> Parser Char
char c = itemIf (==c)
```

Case Study: Parsing two Characters

- ▶ Consider the following function

```
parseIF :: Parse String
parseIF = do { char 'i';
              char 'f';
              return "if" }
```

- ▶ **Note**: the suffix (leftover **String**) is passed along automatically
- ▶ **Note++**: if at any point **Nothing** is returned, the whole function returns **Nothing**

Revisiting: Parsing a Digit

- Now that we have the power of the **Monad**, we can redefine how to parse a digit

```
digit :: Parser Int
digit = do { c <- item;
            case c of
              '0' -> return 0
              ...
              '9' -> return 9
              _   -> zero }
```

- Much cleaner!

Primitive Parser Combinators Cont.

- Apply a parser over and over again until nothing is returned

```
manyP :: (Monad f, Alternative f) => f a -> f [a]  
manyP p = manyP1 p <|> return []
```

```
manyP1 :: (Monad f, Alternative f) => f a -> f [a]  
manyP1 p = do { a <- p;  
               as <- manyP p;  
               return (a:as) }
```

- **Note:** both functions return a List, **manyP** may return an empty list but **manyP1** returns at least one thing or **Nothing**

Challenge: Write some more useful Combinators

Try defining the following combinators yourself

- ▶ A **string** parser, similar to the **char** parser, but parses a whole **String**

```
string :: String -> Parser String
```

- ▶ A **spaces** parser that parses zero or more occurrences of spaces

```
spaces :: Parser String
```

- ▶ A **token** parser that works just like **string**, but allows for spaces padding the **String** being parsed

```
token :: String -> Parser String
```

Parsing Expressions With Infix Operators

- ▶ Consider we want to parse an expression with infix operators, like

"1+2+3+4+5" or "1+2*3" or "1"

- ▶ We need a parser combinator like this one

```
chainl1 :: Parser a -> Parser (a -> a -> a) -> Parser a
p 'chainl1' op = do { a <- p; rest a }
  where
    rest a = (do f <- op
                b <- p
                rest (f a b))
    <|> return a
```

Parsing Boolean Expressions

- ▶ Consider the following data type for Boolean Expressions

```
data BoolExpr = And BoolExpr BoolExpr
              | Or BoolExpr BoolExpr
              | Boolean Bool
  deriving Show
```

- ▶ We wish to be able to parse an expression in a `String` like

```
"0|1 & 0|0"
```

- ▶ into it's corresponding data type, i.e

```
And (Or (Boolean False) (Boolean True))
    Or (Boolean False) (Boolean False))
```

Parsing Boolean Expressions

- ▶ First, define a function for parsing boolean values

```
boolean :: Parser BoolExpr
boolean = let
    true = token "0" >> return (Boolean False)
    false = token "1" >> return (Boolean True)
in true <|> false
```

- ▶ Next, for our two infix operators **And** and **Or**

```
andOp = token "&" >> return And
orOp = token "|" >> return Or
```

- ▶ Finally, we put everything together with **chainl1**

```
expr = term 'chainl1' andOp
term = boolean 'chainl1' orOp
```

Challenge

- ▶ Create a Parser that parses a string of digits into an `Int`

`parseInt :: Parser Int`

Hint: parse each `digit` into a list with `manyP1`, then multiply and sum the `String` by 10^i

- ▶ Create a parser for the simple `Int` expression data type

```
data IntExpr = Add IntExpr IntExpr
              | Mult IntExpr IntExpr
              | Constant Int
```

`expr :: Parser IntExpr`