

Discrete Mathematics with Applications I

COMPSCI&SFWRENG 2DM3

McMaster University, Fall 2019

Wolfram Kahl

2019-09-25

All Propositional Axioms of the Equational Logic E — Fill in the Blanks!

- (3.1) Axiom, **Associativity** of \equiv :
- (3.2) Axiom, **Symmetry** of \equiv :
- (3.3) Axiom, **Identity** of \equiv :
- (3.8) Axiom, **Definition** of *false*:
- (3.9) Axiom, **Commutativity** of \neg with \equiv :
- (3.10) Axiom, **Definition** of \neq :
- (3.24) Axiom, **Symmetry** of \vee :
- (3.25) Axiom, **Associativity** of \vee :
- (3.26) Axiom, **Idempotency** of \vee :
- (3.27) Axiom, **Distributivity** of \vee over \equiv :
- (3.28) Axiom, **Excluded middle**:
- (3.35) Axiom, **Golden rule**:
- (3.57) Axiom, **Definition** of **Implication**:
- (3.58) Axiom, **Definition** of \Leftarrow , **Consequence**:

Read Textbook Chapter 3!

Read LADM Chapter 3 (pp. 41–68):

- 3.1: Propositional Calculus Preliminaries
- 3.2: Equivalence and true
- 3.3: Negation, Inequivalence, and false
- 3.4: Disjunction
- 3.5: Conjunction
- 3.6: Implication

Plan for Today

- Textbook Chapter 3: **Propositional Calculus**

- Revisiting conjunction
- Implication
- Knights and Knaves

Theorems Relating \wedge and \vee

(3.43) **Absorption:**

$$\begin{aligned} p \wedge (p \vee q) &\equiv p \\ p \vee (p \wedge q) &\equiv p \end{aligned}$$

(3.44) **Absorption:**

$$\begin{aligned} p \wedge (\neg p \vee q) &\equiv p \wedge q \\ p \vee (\neg p \wedge q) &\equiv p \vee q \end{aligned}$$

(3.45) **Distributivity of \vee over \wedge :**

$$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$$

(3.46) **Distributivity of \wedge over \vee :**

$$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$$

(3.47) **De Morgan:**

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(p \wedge q) &\equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \\ \neg(p \vee q) &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q \end{aligned}$$

De Morgan's Laws

Prove:

(3.47) **De Morgan:**

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(p \wedge q) &\equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \\ \neg(p \vee q) &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q \end{aligned}$$

Use, in particular:

(3.32)

$$t \vee u \equiv t \vee \neg u \equiv t$$

(3.35) **Axiom, Golden rule:** $t \wedge u \equiv t \equiv u \equiv t \vee u$



Theorems Relating \wedge and \equiv

- (3.48) (3.48) $p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge \neg q \equiv \neg p$
- (3.49) (3.49) $p \wedge (q \equiv r) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r \equiv p$
- (3.50) (3.50) $p \wedge (q \equiv p) \equiv p \wedge q$
- (3.51) Replacement: $(p \equiv q) \wedge (r \equiv p) \equiv (p \equiv q) \wedge (r \equiv q)$

Alternative Definitions of \equiv and \neq

- (3.52) Definition of \equiv : $p \equiv q \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
- (3.53) Definition of \neq : $p \neq q \equiv (\neg p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge \neg q)$

Implication

- (3.57) Axiom, Definition of Implication: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \vee q \equiv q$
- (3.58) Axiom, Definition of Consequence: $p \Leftarrow q \equiv q \Rightarrow p$
- Rewriting Implication:**
- (3.59) (Alternative) Definition of Implication: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$
- (3.60) (Dual) Definition of Implication: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p$
- (3.61) Contrapositive: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$

The “Golden Rule” and Implication

(3.35) **Axiom, Golden rule:**

$$p \wedge q \equiv p \equiv q \equiv p \vee q$$

Can be used as:

- $p \wedge q = (p \equiv q \equiv p \vee q)$
- $(p \equiv q) = (p \wedge q \equiv p \vee q)$
- ...
- $(p \wedge q \equiv p) \equiv (q \equiv p \vee q)$

(3.57) **Axiom, Definition of Implication:**

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \vee q \equiv q$$

(3.60) (Dual) **Definition of Implication:**

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p$$

Weakening/Strengthening Theorems

“ $p \Rightarrow q$ ” can be read “ p is stronger-than-or-equivalent-to q ”

“ $p \Rightarrow q$ ” can be read “ p is at least as strong as q ”

$$(3.76a) \quad p \Rightarrow p \vee q$$

$$(3.76b) \quad p \wedge q \Rightarrow p$$

$$(3.76c) \quad p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \vee q$$

$$(3.76d) \quad p \vee (q \wedge r) \Rightarrow p \vee q$$

$$(3.76e) \quad p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \wedge (q \vee r)$$

Implication Theorems 2

$$(3.62) \quad p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r$$

(3.63) **Distributivity of \Rightarrow over \equiv :**

$$p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \Rightarrow r$$

(3.64) **Self-distributivity of \Rightarrow :**

$$p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$$

(3.65) **Shunting:**

$$p \wedge q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$$

Some Property Names

Let \odot and \oplus be binary operators and \square be a constant.

(\odot and \oplus and \square are *metavariables* for operators.)

- “ \odot is symmetric”: $x \odot y = y \odot x$
- “ \odot is associative”: $(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z)$
- “ \odot is mutually associative with \oplus (from the left)”:
 $(x \odot y) \oplus z = x \odot (y \oplus z)$

For example:

- $+$ is mutually associative with $-$:
 $(x + y) - z = x + (y - z)$
- $-$ is not mutually associative with $+$:
 $(5 - 2) + 3 \neq 5 - (2 + 3)$

Some Property Names (ctd.)

Let \odot and \oplus be binary operators and \square be a constant.

(\odot and \oplus and \square are *metavariables* for operators.)

- “ \odot is symmetric”: $x \odot y = y \odot x$
- “ \odot is associative”: $(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z)$
- “ \odot is mutually associative with \oplus (from the left)”:
 $(x \odot y) \oplus z = x \odot (y \oplus z)$
- “ \odot is idempotent”: $x \odot x = x$
- “ \square is a unit/identity of \odot ”: $\square \odot x = x$ and $x \odot \square = x$
- “ \square is a zero of \odot ”: $\square \odot x = \square$ and $x \odot \square = \square$
- “ \odot distributes over \oplus from the left”:
 $x \odot (y \oplus z) = (x \odot y) \oplus (x \odot z)$
- “ \odot distributes over \oplus from the right”:
 $(y \oplus z) \odot x = (y \odot x) \oplus (z \odot x)$
- “ \odot distributes over \oplus ”:
 \odot distributes over \oplus from the left **and**
 \odot distributes over \oplus from the right

Implication Theorems 3

- (3.66) $p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge q$ $\langle \dots p \wedge q \equiv p \rangle$
- (3.67) $p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv p$ $\langle \dots p \wedge q \equiv p \rangle$
- (3.68) $p \vee (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv \text{true}$ $\langle \dots \neg p \vee q \rangle$
- (3.69) $p \vee (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv q \Rightarrow p$ $\langle \dots p \vee q \equiv q \rangle$
- (3.70) $p \vee q \Rightarrow p \wedge q \equiv p \equiv q$ $\langle \dots \text{Golden Rule } \dots \rangle$

Implication Theorems 4

- (3.71) **Reflexivity of \Rightarrow :** $p \Rightarrow p \equiv \text{true}$
- (3.72) **Right-zero of \Rightarrow :** $p \Rightarrow \text{true} \equiv \text{true}$
- (3.73) **Left-identity of \Rightarrow :** $\text{true} \Rightarrow p \equiv p$
- (3.74) $p \Rightarrow \text{false} \equiv \neg p$ — sometimes this is: Definition of \neg
- (3.75) **ex falso quodlibet:** $\text{false} \Rightarrow p \equiv \text{true}$

Implication Theorems 5

- (3.77) **Modus ponens:** $p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow q$
- (3.78) **Case analysis:** $(p \Rightarrow r) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q \Rightarrow r)$
- (3.79) **Case analysis:** $(p \Rightarrow r) \wedge (\neg p \Rightarrow r) \equiv r$

Implication Theorems 6

- (3.80) **Mutual implication:** $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv p \equiv q$
- (3.80b) **Reflexivity wrt. Equivalence:** $(p \equiv q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow q)$
- (3.81) **Antisymmetry:** $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (p \equiv q)$
- (3.82a) **Transitivity:** $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$
- (3.82b) **Transitivity:** $(p \equiv q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$
- (3.82c) **Transitivity:** $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \equiv r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)$