

**COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3**  
**Discrete Mathematics with Applications II**  
**Winter 2020**

## **3 Predicate Logic**

William M. Farmer

Department of Computing and Software  
McMaster University

February 9, 2020



# Outline

1. Orders revisited
2. Logic
3. MSFOL, a many-sorted first-order logic
  - a. Syntax
  - b. Semantics
  - c. Theories
  - d. Proof systems
4. Summary

# 1. Orders Revisited

# Pre-Orders

- A **pre-order** is a mathematical structure  $(S, \leq)$  where  $\leq$  is a binary relation on  $S$  that is:
  - ▶ **Reflexive**:  $\forall x \in S . x \leq x$ .
  - ▶ **Transitive**:  $\forall x, y, z \in S . x \leq y \wedge y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z$ .
- **Example**:  $(F, \Rightarrow)$  is a pre-order where  $F$  is a set of formulas and  $\Rightarrow$  is implication.
- A pre-order can have cycles.
- Every binary relation  $R$  on a set  $S$  can be extended to a pre-order on  $S$  by taking the reflexive and transitive closure of  $R$ .
- A **equivalence relation** is a pre-order  $(S, E)$  that is:
  - ▶ **Symmetric**:  $\forall x, y \in S . x E y \Rightarrow y E x$ .

# Partial Orders

- A **weak partial order** is a mathematical structure  $(S, \leq)$  where  $\leq$  is a binary relation on  $S$  that is:
  - ▶ **Reflexive**:  $\forall x \in S . x \leq x$ .
  - ▶ **Antisymmetric**:  $\forall x, y \in S . (x \leq y \wedge y \leq x) \Rightarrow x = y$ .
  - ▶ **Transitive**:  $\forall x, y, z \in S . (x \leq y \wedge y \leq z) \Rightarrow x \leq z$ .
- A **strict partial order** is a mathematical structure  $(S, <)$  where  $<$  is a binary relation on  $S$  that is:
  - ▶ **Irreflexive**:  $\forall x \in S . \neg(x < x)$ .
  - ▶ **Asymmetric**:  $\forall x, y \in S . x < y \Rightarrow \neg(y < x)$ .
  - ▶ **Transitive**:  $\forall x, y, z \in S . (x < y \wedge y < z) \Rightarrow x < z$ .
- **Examples**:  $(\mathcal{P}(S), \subseteq)$  and  $(\mathcal{P}(S), \subset)$  are weak and strict partial orders.
- A partial order does not have cycles.
- Every pre-order can be interpreted as a partial order.

## Weak vs. Strict Orders (iClicker)

If  $(S, \leq)$  is a weak partial order, then  $(S, <)$  will be the same order expressed as strict partial order if  $<$  is defined as

- A.  $a < b$  iff  $a \leq b \wedge a = b$ .
- B.  $a < b$  iff  $a \leq b \vee a = b$ .
- C.  $a < b$  iff  $a \leq b \wedge a \neq b$ .
- D.  $a < b$  iff  $a \leq b \vee a \neq b$ .

# Some Basic Order Definitions

- Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a weak partial order and  $S \subseteq P$ .
- A **maximal element** [**minimal element**] of  $S$  is a  $M \in S$  [ $m \in S$ ] such that  $\neg(M < x)$  [ $\neg(x < m)$ ] for all  $x \in S$ .
- The **maximum element** or **greatest element** [**minimum element** or **least element**] of  $S$ , if it exists, is the  $M \in S$  [ $m \in S$ ] such that  $x \leq M$  [ $m \leq x$ ] for all  $x \in S$ .
- An **upper bound** [**lower bound**] of  $S$  is a  $u \in P$  [ $l \in P$ ] such that  $x \leq u$  [ $l \leq x$ ] for all  $x \in S$ .
- The **least upper bound** or **supremum** [**greatest lower bound** or **infimum**] of  $S$ , if it exists, is a  $U \in P$  [ $L \in P$ ] such that  $U$  is an upper bound of  $S$  and, if  $u$  is an upper bound of  $S$ , then  $U \leq u$  [ $L$  is a lower bound of  $S$  and, if  $l$  is a lower bound of  $S$ , then  $l \leq L$ ].

# Review

- Four kinds of recursion and induction:
  1. Natural number
  2. Structural
  3. Ordinal
  4. Well-founded
- Orders



# Total Orders

- A **weak total order** is a mathematical structure  $(S, \leq)$  where  $\leq$  is a binary relation on  $S$  that is:
  - ▶ **(Reflexive)**:  $\forall x . x \leq x$ .
  - ▶ **Antisymmetric**:  $\forall x, y \in S . (x \leq y \wedge y \leq x) \Rightarrow x = y$ .
  - ▶ **Transitive**:  $\forall x, y, z \in S . (x \leq y \wedge y \leq z) \Rightarrow x \leq z$ .
  - ▶ **Total**:  $\forall x, y \in S . x \leq y \vee y \leq x$ .
- A **strict total order** is a mathematical structure  $(S, <)$  where  $<$  is a binary relation on  $S$  that is:
  - ▶ **Irreflexive**:  $\forall x \in S . \neg(x < x)$ .
  - ▶ **(Asymmetric)**:  $\forall x, y \in S . x < y \Rightarrow \neg(y < x)$ .
  - ▶ **Transitive**:  $\forall x, y, z \in S . (x < y \wedge y < z) \Rightarrow x < z$ .
  - ▶ **Trichotomous**:  $\forall x, y \in S . x < y \vee y < x \vee x = y$ .

**Examples:**  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}, \leq)$ ,  $(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$ , and  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$  are weak total orders.

# Well-Orders

- A **well-order** is a strict total order  $(S, <)$  such that every nonempty subset of  $S$  has a minimum element with respect to  $<$ .
- **Proposition.** Every well-order  $(S, <)$  is Noetherian, i.e.,  $S$  contains no infinite descending sequences of the form

$$\cdots < x_2 < x_1 < x_0.$$

- **Examples.**
  1.  $(\mathbb{N}, <)$  is a well-order where  $<$  is the usual order on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
  2.  $(\mathbb{Z}, <)$  is **not** a well-order where  $<$  is the usual order on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
  3.  $(S, <)$  is a well-order where  $S$  is
$$\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \dots, 1, 1\frac{1}{2}, 1\frac{2}{3}, 1\frac{3}{4}, \dots\}$$
and  $<$  is the usual order on  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
  4.  $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, <_{\text{lex}})$  is a well-order where  $<_{\text{lex}}$  is lexicographic order on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

## 2. Logic

# What is Logic?

1. The study of the principles underlying sound reasoning.
  - ▶ Central idea: **logical consequence**.
2. The branch of mathematics underlying mathematical reasoning and computation.

# Fundamental Distinctions

Logic makes several fundamental distinctions:

- Syntax vs. semantics.
- Language vs. metalanguage.
- Theory vs. model.
- Truth vs. proof.

# Metalanguages (iClicker)

Which of the following is a suitable metalanguage for English?

- A. ☐ English.
- B. First-order logic.
- C. ☐ French.
- D. ☐ Latin.
- E. Old English.

# What is a Logic?

- Informally, a logic is a system of reasoning.
- Formally, a **logic** is a family of **formal languages** with:
  1. A **common syntax**.
  2. A **common semantics**.
  3. A notion of **logical consequence**.
- A logic may include one or more **proof systems** for mechanically deriving that a given formula is a logical consequence of a given set of formulas.
- **Two most common examples:**
  - ▶ Propositional logic.
  - ▶ First-order logic.
- First-order logic is called **first-order predicate logic** and **first-order quantificational logic**.

# What is Predicate Logic?

- Predicate logic is the study of statements about individuals constructed using functions, predicates, propositional connectives, and quantifiers.
- Examples of predicate logics:
  - ▶ First-order logic.
  - ▶ Many-sorted first-order logic.
  - ▶ Second-order logic.
  - ▶ Simple type theory (classical higher-order logic).
  - ▶ Dependent type theory (constructive higher-order logic).
- First-order logic is the leading form of predicate logic.
  - ▶ It is “first-order” because quantification is over individuals but not over higher-order objects such as functions and predicates.
  - ▶ It is suited more for theory than practice.



# Many-Sorted First-Order Logic

- Many-sorted first-order logic is a version of first-order logic that admits multiple domains of individuals.
  - ▶ These domains are called **sorts**.
  - ▶ Standard first-order logic has just a single sort.
- This single change makes many-sorted first-order logic much more practical than standard first-order logic.
- We will develop a version of **many-sorted first-order logic** called **MSFOL**.

## 3a. MSFOL: Syntax

# Types

- Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a nonempty set of symbols called **base types**.
  - ▶ Each base type denotes a **sort**.
- A  **$\mathcal{B}$ -type** is a string of symbols defined inductively by:
  - ▶ **(Type of booleans)**  $\mathbb{B}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -type.
  - ▶ **(Base type)** Each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -type.
  - ▶ **(Function type)** If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $\mathcal{B}$ -types, then  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -type.
  - ▶ **(Product type)** If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $\mathcal{B}$ -types, then  $(\alpha \times \beta)$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -type.
- Thus a  $\mathcal{B}$ -type is built from  $\mathbb{B}$  and the members of  $\mathcal{B}$  by applying the function and product type constructors.
- We may omit matching pairs of parentheses in types when there is no loss of meaning.

# Syntax vs. Semantics (iClicker)

Which sentence in English is syntactically incorrect?

- A. They goes to Mac.
- B. His statements infer that he is angry.
- C. She knows the induction principal that is needed.
- D. Long live the king!
- E. All of the above.

## Base Cases (iClicker)

How many base cases does the definition of a  $\mathcal{B}$ -type have?

- A. 0.
- B. 1.
- C. .
- D. 4.

# $\mathcal{B}$ -Types as an Inductive Set

- The set of  $\mathcal{B}$ -types can be formally defined as an inductive type.
- Let **Type** be the inductive set defined by the following constructors:
  1.  $\mathbb{B} : \text{Type}$ .
  2.  $\text{Base} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Type}$ .
  3.  $\text{Function} : \text{Type} \times \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$ .
  4.  $\text{Product} : \text{Type} \times \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$ .
- Thus the members of **Type** represent the  $\mathcal{B}$ -types.

# Admin — January 28

- A notetaker for this course is needed for SAS students.
  - ▶ For more information and to register, see <https://sas.mcmaster.ca/volunteer-notetaking/>
  - ▶ Send questions to [sasnotes@mcmaster.ca](mailto:sasnotes@mcmaster.ca).
- Midterm Test 1 will be on Wed, Feb 5, at 7:00–9:00 PM.
  - ▶ Format: Two-stage test, 30 multiple-choice questions
  - ▶ Covers the material from Weeks 01, 02, 03, and 04.
  - ▶ Sample test will be posted on Mon, Feb 3.
  - ▶ Review session on Wed, Feb 5, instead of the lecture.
  - ▶ Room assignments will be posted on Avenue.
- Solutions to Week 03 Exercises
  - ▶ The ideas behind the solutions are more important than the solutions themselves.
  - ▶ Some of the solutions are a bit rough in various ways.
- Office hours: To see me please send me a note with times.
- Are there any questions?

## Assignment 2: Question 1

Let Poly be the inductive type defined by the following constructors:

$X : \text{Poly}.$

$\text{Coeff} : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Poly}.$

$\text{Sum} : \text{Poly} \times \text{Poly} \rightarrow \text{Poly}.$

$\text{Prod} : \text{Poly} \times \text{Poly} \rightarrow \text{Poly}.$

Define

$\text{val} : \text{Poly} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$

by structural recursion using pattern matching so that, for all  $p \in \text{Poly}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\text{val}(p, q)$  is the value of  $p$  at  $q$ .



## Assignment 2: Question 2

Let  $\text{BinNum}$  be the inductive set defined by:

$\text{Nil} : \text{BinNum}.$

$\text{Join} : \text{BinNum} \times \text{Bit} \rightarrow \text{BinNum}.$

The function  $\text{val} : \text{BinNum} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is defined by:

$\text{val}(\text{Nil}) = 0.$

$\text{val}(\text{Join}(u, \text{Zero})) = 2 * \text{val}(u).$

$\text{val}(\text{Join}(u, \text{One})) = (2 * \text{val}(u)) + 1.$

The function  $\text{add} : \text{BinNum} \times \text{BinNum} \rightarrow \text{BinNum}$  is defined using recursion and pattern matching (see Assignment 1).

Prove, for all  $u, v \in \text{BinNum}$ ,

$\text{val}(\text{add}(u, v)) = \text{val}(u) + \text{val}(v).$

# Review

- Orders.
- Logic.
- Types in the MSFOL syntax.

# Signatures

- A **formal language** of a logic is a set of **expressions** built from a set of **symbols** that include:
  1. **Variables** that may have different meanings in an interpretation of the language.
  2. **Logical constants** like  $\wedge$  and  $\forall$  that have the same meaning in every interpretation of every language.
  3. **Nonlogical constants** like  $0$  and  $<$  that have the same meaning within an interpretation of the language but possibly different meanings within different interpretations of the language.
  4. **Punctuation symbols** like parentheses.
- The **signature**  $S$  of a formal language  $L$  defines the set of nonlogical symbols of  $L$ .
  - ▶ We will see that  $S$  also specifies the expressions of  $L$ .

# Signatures in MSFOL

- Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a countably infinite set of symbols called **variable symbols**.
- A **signature of MSFOL** is a tuple  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  where:
  1.  $\mathcal{B}$  is a nonempty set of **base types**.
  2.  $\mathcal{C}$  is a set of symbols called **constant symbols**.
  3.  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set of symbols called **function symbols**.
  4.  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set of symbols called **predicate symbols**.
  5.  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  are pairwise disjoint.
  6.  $\tau$  is a function that maps the members of  $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ -types such that:
    - a.  $\tau(c) \in \mathcal{B}$  for each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ .
    - b.  $\tau(f)$  has the form  $(\alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_n) \rightarrow \alpha$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ .
    - c.  $\tau(p)$  has the form  $(\alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  for each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- $\Sigma$  is **finite** if  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  are finite.

# Examples of Signatures [1/3]

- $\Sigma_{\text{eq}} = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  where

- ▶  $\mathcal{B} = \{U\}.$

- ▶  $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset.$

- ▶  $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset.$

- ▶  $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset.$

is the signature of a language for **equality**.

- $\Sigma_{\text{ord}} = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  where

- ▶  $\mathcal{B} = \{U\}.$

- ▶  $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset.$

- ▶  $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset.$

- ▶  $\mathcal{P} = \{<\}$  with  $\tau(<) = U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{B}.$

is the signature of a language for a **strict order**.

## Examples of Signatures [2/3]

- $\Sigma_{\text{nat}}^1 = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  where
  - ▶  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbb{N}\}$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$  with  $\tau(0) = \mathbb{N}$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{F} = \{S, +, *\}$  with  $\tau(S) = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tau(+) = \tau(*) = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{P} = \{<\}$  with  $\tau(<) = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ .

is the signature of a language for **natural number arithmetic**.

- $\Sigma_{\text{nat}}^2 = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  where
  - ▶  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbb{N}\}$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{C} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $\tau(0) = \tau(1) = \tau(2) = \dots = \mathbb{N}$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{F} = \{+, *\}$  with  $\tau(+) = \tau(*) = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{P} = \{<\}$  with  $\tau(<) = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ .

is the signature of an alternate language for **natural number arithmetic**.

# Examples of Signatures [3/3]

- $\Sigma_{\text{stack}} = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  where:
  - ▶  $\mathcal{B} = \{\text{Element}, \text{Stack}\}$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{error}, \text{bottom}\}$  with  $\tau(\text{error}) = \text{Element}$  and  $\tau(\text{bottom}) = \text{Stack}$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{F} = \{\text{push}, \text{pop}, \text{top}\}$  with  $\tau(\text{push}) = \text{Element} \times \text{Stack} \rightarrow \text{Stack}$ ,  $\tau(\text{pop}) = \text{Stack} \rightarrow \text{Stack}$ , and  $\tau(\text{top}) = \text{Stack} \rightarrow \text{Element}$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset$ .

is the signature of a language for **stacks of abstract elements**.

# Terms

- In MSFOL, a **term** is an expression that denotes a **member of a sort**.
- Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  be a signature of MSFOL and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- A  **$\Sigma$ -term of type  $\alpha$  of MSFOL** is a string of symbols defined inductively by:
  1. **(Variable)** If  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $x : \alpha$  is a  $\Sigma$ -term of type  $\alpha$ .
  2. **(Constant)** If  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\tau(c) = \alpha$ , then  $c$  is a  $\Sigma$ -term of type  $\alpha$ .
  3. **(Function Application)** If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with
$$\tau(f) = (\alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_n) \rightarrow \alpha$$
and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are  $\Sigma$ -terms of type  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , respectively, then  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -term of type  $\alpha$ .
- Let  $T_\Sigma$  be the set of  $\Sigma$ -terms.



# Terms (iClicker)

Which of the following is a  $\Sigma_{\text{nat}}^1$ -term? Note that  $+$ ,  $*$ , and  $<$  are written as infix operators.

A.  $x$  where  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ .

B.  $S$ .

C.  $S(0)$ .

D.  $0 + 1$ .

E.  $0 < 0$ .

# Terms as a Family of Inductive Sets

- Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  be a finite signature of MSFOL.
- The set of  $\Sigma$ -terms can be formally defined as a family of inductive sets, one for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- Let  $\{\text{Term}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{B}\}$  be the set of inductive sets simultaneously defined by the following constructors:
  1. For all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\text{Var}_\alpha : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Term}_\alpha$ .
  2. For all  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{Con}_c : \text{Term}_{\tau(c)}$ .
  3. For all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
$$\text{FunApp}_f : \text{Term}_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times \text{Term}_{\alpha_n} \rightarrow \text{Term}_\alpha$$
where  $\tau(f) = (\alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_n) \rightarrow \alpha$ .
- Thus, for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\text{Term}_\alpha$  is an inductive set representing the  $\Sigma$ -terms of type  $\alpha$  of MSFOL.

# Formulas

- A **formula** is an expression that denotes a **boolean value** (i.e., true or false).
- Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  be a signature of MSFOL.
- A  **$\Sigma$ -formula of MSFOL** is string of symbols defined inductively by:
  1. (**Equality**) If  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are  $\Sigma$ -terms of  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $(t_1 = t_2)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -formula.
  2. (**Predicate Application**) If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\tau(p) = (\alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are  $\Sigma$ -terms of type  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , respectively, then  $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -formula.
  3. (**Negation and Implication**) If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\Sigma$ -formulas, then  $\neg A$  and  $(A \Rightarrow B)$  are  $\Sigma$ -formulas.
  4. (**Universal Quantification**) If  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $A$  is a  $\Sigma$ -formula, then  $(\forall x : \alpha . A)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -formula.
- Let  $F_\Sigma$  be the set of  $\Sigma$ -formulas.

# Formulas (iClicker)

Which of the following is a  $\Sigma_{\text{nat}}^1$ -formula (without using any notational definitions or conventions)? Note that  $+$ ,  $*$ , and  $<$  are written as an infix operators.

- A.  $0 < 0.$
- B.  $0 = 0.$
- C.  $\forall x : \mathbb{N} . 0 = x$
- D.  $0 + S(0)$
- E.  $0 < S(0) \wedge S(0) < 0.$

# Formulas as an Inductive Set

- Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  be a finite signature of MSFOL.
- The set of  $\Sigma$ -formulas can be formally defined as an inductive set.
- Let **Form** be the inductive set defined by the following constructors:
  1. For all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\text{Eq}_\alpha : \text{Term}_\alpha \times \text{Term}_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Form}$ .
  2. For all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  
$$\text{PredApp}_p : \text{Term}_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times \text{Term}_{\alpha_n} \rightarrow \text{Form}$$
where  $\tau(p) = (\alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ .
  3.  $\text{Neg} : \text{Form} \rightarrow \text{Form}$ .
  4.  $\text{Implies} : \text{Form} \times \text{Form} \rightarrow \text{Form}$ .
  5.  $\text{Forall} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{B} \times \text{Form} \rightarrow \text{Form}$ .
- Thus **Form** is an inductive set representing the  $\Sigma$ -formulas of MSFOL.

# Admin — January 29

- You can nominate instructors and TAs for an McMaster Student Union (MSU) teaching award until Feb 2 at <https://www.msumcmaster.ca/services-directory/29-teaching-awards/surveys>
- A notetaker for this course is needed for SAS students.
  - ▶ For more information and to register, see <https://sas.mcmaster.ca/volunteer-notetaking/>
  - ▶ Send questions to [sasnotes@mcmaster.ca](mailto:sasnotes@mcmaster.ca).
- Midterm Test 1 will be on Wed, Feb 5, at 7:00–9:00 PM.
  - ▶ Format: Two-stage test, 30 multiple-choice questions
  - ▶ Covers the material from Weeks 01, 02, 03, and 04.
  - ▶ Sample test will be posted on Mon, Feb 3.
  - ▶ Review session on Wed, Feb 5, instead of the lecture.
  - ▶ Room assignments will be posted on Avenue.
- Office hours: To see me please send me a note with times.
- Are there any questions?

# Review

MSFOL syntax.

- $\mathcal{B}$ -types.
- Signatures.
- $\Sigma$ -terms.
- $\Sigma$ -formulas.

# Notational Definitions

$(s \neq t)$	stands for	$\neg(s = t).$
$\top$	stands for	$(\forall x : \mathbb{B} . (x : \mathbb{B} = x : \mathbb{B})).$
$\text{F}$	stands for	$\neg\top.$
$(A \vee B)$	stands for	$(\neg A \Rightarrow B).$
$(A \wedge B)$	stands for	$\neg(\neg A \vee \neg B).$
$(A \Leftrightarrow B)$	stands for	$((A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow A)).$
$(\exists x : \alpha . A)$	stands for	$\neg(\forall x : \alpha . \neg A).$



# Syntactic Conventions

- A pair of matching parentheses in a term or a formula may be dropped if there is no resulting ambiguity.
- A variable  $x : \alpha$  occurring in the body  $A$  of  $(\Box x : \alpha . A)$ , where  $\Box \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ , may be written as  $x$  if there is no resulting ambiguity.
  - ▶ So  $\forall x : \mathbb{N} . 0 = x : \mathbb{N}$  can be written as  $\forall x : \mathbb{N} . 0 = x$ .
- Let  $\Box$  be  $\forall$  or  $\exists$ .  $(\Box x_1 : \alpha_1 \dots (\Box x_n : \alpha_n . A) \dots)$  may be written as

$$(\Box x_1 : \alpha_1, \dots, x_n : \alpha_n . A).$$

Similarly,  $(\Box x_1 : \alpha \dots (\Box x_n : \alpha . A) \dots)$  may be written as

$$(\Box x_1, \dots, x_n : \alpha . A).$$

# Variable Binders

- A **variable binder** is an operator applied to a variable  $x$  and an expression  $B$  that binds the occurrences of  $x$  in  $B$  to a collection of values.
- **Examples of variable binders in logic:**
  1. Universal quantification:  $\forall x . B$ .
  2. Existential quantification:  $\exists x . B$ .
  3. Function abstraction:  $\lambda x . B$ .
  4. Definite description:  $\iota x . B$ .
  5. Indefinite description:  $\epsilon x . B$ .
- The only primitive variable binder in MSFOL is  $\forall$ .
  - ▶  $\exists$  is a notational definition derived from  $\forall$ .
- **Examples of variable binders in mathematics:**

$$\sum_{x=m}^n B, \prod_{x=m}^n B, B[x \mapsto a], \int_a^b B dx, \lim_{x \rightarrow a} B, \{x \mid B\}$$

# Bound and Free Variables [1/3]

- Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  be a signature,  $t$  be a  $\Sigma$ -term, and  $A$  be a  $\Sigma$ -formula.
- An occurrence of a variable  $x : \alpha$  in  $A$  is **bound** [**free**] if it is [not] in a subformula of  $A$  of the form  $\forall x : \alpha . B$ .
- A variable  $x : \alpha$  is **bound** [**free**] in  $A$  if there is a bound [free] occurrence of  $x : \alpha$  in  $A$ .
- A variable  $x : \alpha$  is **free** in  $t$  if it occurs in  $t$ .
- A  $\Sigma$ -term or  $\Sigma$ -formula is **open** [**closed**] if are [no] variables free in it.
- A  **$\Sigma$ -sentence** is a closed  $\Sigma$ -formula.
- $t$  is **free for**  $x : \alpha$  **in**  $A$  if no free occurrence of  $x : \alpha$  in  $A$  is within a subformula of  $A$  of the form  $\forall y : \beta . B$  such that  $y : \beta$  is free in  $t$  (i.e., **if no variable captures occurs**).

# Bound and Free Variables (iClicker)

In which of the following  $\Sigma_{\text{nat}}^2$ -formulas is  $x : \mathbb{N}$  is free?

A.  $2 < 4 \wedge 4 < 6$ .

B.  $y : \mathbb{N} = z : \mathbb{N}$ .

C.  $x : \mathbb{N} = z : \mathbb{N}$ .

D.  $\exists x : \mathbb{N} . 0 < x : \mathbb{N}$ .

E.  $(\forall x : \mathbb{N} . 0 < x : \mathbb{N}) \wedge (0 < x : \mathbb{N})$ .

# Closed Formulas (iClicker)

Which of the following  $\Sigma_{\text{nat}}^2$ -formulas is closed?

A.  $x : \mathbb{N} = y : \mathbb{N}$ .

B.  $2 + 3 = 6$ .

C.  $\forall y : \mathbb{N} . (x : \mathbb{N} + y : \mathbb{N})$ .

D.  $(3 = 7) \vee (\forall x : \mathbb{N} . 0 < 7)$ .

E.  $\forall x : \mathbb{N} . ((x : \mathbb{N} = y : \mathbb{N}) \wedge (\forall y : \mathbb{N} . x : \mathbb{N} < y : \mathbb{N}))$

# Bound and Variables [2/3]

- The **set of free variables** of  $t$ , written  $\text{fvar}(t)$ , is defined by pattern matching as follows:
  1.  $\text{fvar}(x : \alpha) = \{x : \alpha\}$ .
  2.  $\text{fvar}(c) = \emptyset$ .
  3.  $\text{fvar}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{fvar}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{fvar}(t_n)$ .
- The **set of free variables** of  $A$ , written  $\text{fvar}(A)$ , is defined by pattern matching as follows:
  1.  $\text{fvar}(s = t) = \text{fvar}(s) \cup \text{fvar}(t)$ .
  2.  $\text{fvar}(p(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \text{fvar}(t_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{fvar}(t_n)$ .
  3.  $\text{fvar}(\neg B) = \text{fvar}(B)$ .
  4.  $\text{fvar}(A \Rightarrow B) = \text{fvar}(A) \cup \text{fvar}(B)$ .
  5.  $\text{fvar}(\forall x : \alpha . A) = \text{fvar}(A) \setminus \{x : \alpha\}$ .

# Bound and Free Variables [3/3]

- The **set of bound variables** of  $A$ , written  $\text{bvar}(A)$ , is defined by pattern matching as follows:
  1.  $\text{bvar}(s = t) = \emptyset$ .
  2.  $\text{bvar}(p(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \emptyset$ .
  3.  $\text{bvar}(\neg B) = \text{bvar}(B)$ .
  4.  $\text{bvar}(A \Rightarrow B) = \text{bvar}(A) \cup \text{bvar}(B)$ .
  5.  $\text{bvar}(\forall x : \alpha . A) = \text{bvar}(A) \cup \{x : \alpha\}$ .

# Substitutions [1/2]

- The result of substituting a  $\Sigma$ -term  $t$  of type  $\alpha$  for the variable  $x : \alpha$  in a  $\Sigma$ -term  $s$ , written  $s[x \mapsto t]$ , is defined by pattern matching on  $s$  as follows:
  1.  $(x : \alpha)[x \mapsto t] = t$ .
  2.  $(y : \beta)[x \mapsto t] = y : \beta$   
when  $x$  is not  $y$  or  $\alpha$  is not  $\beta$ .
  3.  $c[x \mapsto t] = c$ .
  4.  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)[x \mapsto t] = f(t_1[x \mapsto t], \dots, t_n[x \mapsto t])$ .



# Substitutions [2/2]

- The result of substituting a  $\Sigma$ -term  $t$  of type  $\alpha$  for the free occurrences of the variable  $x : \alpha$  in a  $\Sigma$ -formula  $A$ , written  $A[x \mapsto t]$ , is defined by pattern matching on  $A$  as follows:

1.  $(s_1 = s_2)[x \mapsto t] = (s_1[x \mapsto t] = s_2[x \mapsto t])$ .
2.  $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)[x \mapsto t] = p(t_1[x \mapsto t], \dots, t_n[x \mapsto t])$ .
3.  $(\neg A)[x \mapsto t] = \neg A[x \mapsto t]$ .
4.  $(A \Rightarrow B)[x \mapsto t] = (A[x \mapsto t] \Rightarrow B[x \mapsto t])$ .
5.  $(\forall x : \alpha . A)[x \mapsto t] = (\forall x : \alpha . A)$ .
6.  $(\forall y : \beta . A)[x \mapsto t] = (\forall y : \beta . A[x \mapsto t])$   
when  $x$  is not  $y$  or  $\alpha$  is not  $\beta$ .

# Substitution (iClicker)

Which of the following substitutions is not correct?

- A.  $(0 < x : \alpha)[x \mapsto y : \alpha] = (0 < y : \alpha).$
- B.  $(\forall x : \alpha . 1 = x : \alpha)[x \mapsto y : \alpha] = (\forall x : \alpha . 1 = y : \alpha).$
- C.  $(\forall x : \alpha . 1 = x : \alpha)[x \mapsto y : \alpha] = (\forall x : \alpha . 1 = x : \alpha).$
- D.  $(\forall y : \alpha . 1 = x : \alpha)[x \mapsto y : \alpha] = (\forall y : \alpha . 1 = y : \alpha).$

Note that a variable capture occurs in D.

## 3b. MSFOL: Semantics

# Mathematical Structures

- Loosely speaking, a **mathematical structure** is a set of mathematical values that are structured in some manner.
- A typical mathematical structure consists of:
  - A finite set of nonempty **domains** (sets) of values:  
 $D_1, \dots, D_m$ .
  - A finite set of **distinguished values** in the domains:  
 $a_1, \dots, a_n$
  - A finite set of **functions** whose inputs and outputs are in the domains:  $f_1, \dots, f_q$
  - A finite set of **relations** over the domains:  $R_1, \dots, R_r$ .
- Such a mathematical structure may be written as a tuple:  
 $(D_1, \dots, D_m, a_1, \dots, a_n, f_1, \dots, f_q, R_1, \dots, R_r)$ .
- Example:** The integers with addition and multiplication:  
 $(\mathbb{Z}, 0, 1, +, -, *, =, <)$ .

# Examples of Mathematical Structures

- Number systems.
- Orders.
- Equivalence relations.
- Algebraic structures.
- Lattices.
- Graphs.
- Trees.
- Geometries.
- Topologies.
- Measures.
- Abstract data types (ADTs) used in computing (e.g, ADTs for strings, lists, streams, arrays, records, stacks, and queues).

# Admin — February 4

- Midterm Test 1 will be on Wed, Feb 5, at 7:00–9:00 PM.
  - ▶ Format: Two-stage test, 30 multiple-choice questions
  - ▶ Covers the material from Weeks 01, 02, 03, and 04.
- Room assignments for Midterm Test 1:
  - ▶ CNH 104: Last names beginning with A–P.
  - ▶ KTH B135: Last names beginning with Q–Z.
- Sample test is posted on Avenue.
- Review session on Wed, Feb 5, instead of the lecture.
  - ▶ There will be a regular lecture on Friday.
- TA help session on Tue, Feb 4, 3:30–5:00 in ABB 271.
- Office hours: To see me please send me a note with times.
- Are there any questions?

# Assignment 3

**Question 1.** Let subformulas :  $F_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(F_{\Sigma})$  be the function that maps a formula  $A \in F_{\Sigma}$  to the set of subformulas of  $A$ . Define subformulas by structural recursion using pattern matching.

**Question 2.** Suppose  $F$  is the set of partial and total functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .

1. Show that  $(F, \sqsubseteq)$  is a weak partial order but not a weak total order.
2. Describe the set of minimal elements of  $(F, \sqsubseteq)$ .
3. Describe the set of maximal elements of  $(F, \sqsubseteq)$ .
4. Does  $(F, \sqsubseteq)$  have a minimum element? If so, what is it?
5. Does  $(F, \sqsubseteq)$  have a maximum element? If so, what is it?

# Review

- Notational definitions.
- Syntactic conventions.
- Variable binders.
- Bound and free variables.
- Substitutions.
- Mathematical structures.



# Finite Signatures as Tuples of Symbols

- Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  be a finite signature of MSFOL where:

1.  $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ .
2.  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ .
3.  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_q\}$ .
4.  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ .

- $\Sigma$  can then be written as

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \\ (c_1 : \tau(c_1)), \dots, (c_n : \tau(c_n)), \\ (f_1 : \tau(f_1)), \dots, (f_q : \tau(f_q)), \\ (p_1 : \tau(p_1)), \dots, (p_r : \tau(p_r)))$$

or, when  $\tau$  is known, as

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1, \dots, f_q, p_1, \dots, p_r).$$

# Signatures (iClicker)

A signature

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1, \dots, f_q, p_1, \dots, p_r)$$

is

- A. A language.
- B. A mathematical structure.
- C. An interpretation of a mathematical structure.
- D. Interpreted by a mathematical structure.

# Semantics [1/5]

- Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \tau)$  be a signature of MSFOL.
- A  $\Sigma$ -structure is a pair  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{D}, I)$  where  $\mathcal{D}$  is a collection  $\{D_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{B}\}$  of nonempty domains and  $I$  is a function on  $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{P}$  (called the **interpretation function** of  $\mathcal{M}$ ) such that:
  1.  $I(c) \in D_{\tau(c)}$  for each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ .
  2.  $I(f) : D_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times D_{\alpha_n} \rightarrow D_\alpha$  is an  $n$ -ary function for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\tau(f) = \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ .
  3.  $I(p) : D_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times D_{\alpha_n} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$  is an  $n$ -ary predicate for each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\tau(p) = \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ .

## Semantics [2/5]

- A  $\Sigma$ -structure interprets the **symbols** of  $\Sigma$  as **mathematical values**.

- If  $\Sigma$  is

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1, \dots, f_q, p_1, \dots, p_r),$$

then a  $\Sigma$ -structure  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{D}, I)$  can be viewed as the mathematical structure

$$(D_{\alpha_1}, \dots, D_{\alpha_m}, I(c_1), \dots, I(c_n), I(f_1), \dots, I(f_q), I(p_1), \dots, I(p_r))$$

(in which the predicates  $I(p_i)$  are considered as relations).

- We need to define the **value** of a  $\Sigma$ -term and a  $\Sigma$ -formula in a  $\Sigma$ -structure.

## $\Sigma$ -Structures (iClicker)

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -structure. Which statement is true?

- A. Each  $\Sigma$ -formula has a unique value in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- B. Each open  $\Sigma$ -formula has a unique value in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- C. Each closed  $\Sigma$ -formula (i.e.,  $\Sigma$ -sentence) has a unique value in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Semantics [3/5]

- Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{D}, I)$  be a  $\Sigma$ -structure.
- A **variable assignment** into  $\mathcal{M}$  is a function that maps each  $x : \alpha$  to an element of  $D_\alpha$  (where  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ ).
- Let  $\text{assign}(\mathcal{M})$  be the set of variable assignments into  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Given  $\varphi \in \text{assign}(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $d \in D_\alpha$ , let  $\varphi[x : \alpha \mapsto d]$  be the  $\varphi' \in \text{assign}(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $\varphi'(x : \alpha) = d$  and  $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v)$  for all  $v \neq x : \alpha$ .

# Semantics [4/5]

- The **valuation function** for  $\mathcal{M}$  is a binary function  $V^{\mathcal{M}}$  that satisfies the following conditions for all  $\varphi \in \text{assign}(\mathcal{M})$  and all  $\Sigma$ -terms  $t$  and  $\Sigma$ -formulas  $A$ :
  1.  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(x : \alpha) = \varphi(x : \alpha)$ .
  2.  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(c) = I(c)$ .
  3.  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = I(f)(V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(t_1), \dots, V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(t_n))$ .
  4.  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(s = t) = \text{T}$  if  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(s) = V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(t)$  and  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(s = t) = \text{F}$  otherwise.
  5.  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(p(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = I(p)(V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(t_1), \dots, V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(t_n))$ .
  6.  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(\neg A) = \text{F}$  [T] if  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \text{T}$  [F].
  7.  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(A \Rightarrow B) = \text{F}$  if  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \text{T}$  and  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(B) = \text{F}$  and  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(A \Rightarrow B) = \text{T}$  otherwise.
  8.  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(\forall x : \alpha . A) = \text{T}$  if  $V_{\varphi[x:\alpha \mapsto d]}^{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \text{T}$  for all  $d \in D_{\alpha}$  and  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}(\forall x : \alpha . A) = \text{F}$  otherwise.

# Semantics [5/5]

- Let  $A \in F_\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma \subseteq F_\Sigma$ .
- $A$  is **satisfiable** if  $V_\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \top$  for some  $\Sigma$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\varphi \in \text{assign}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- $A$  is **valid** in  $\mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{M}$  is a **model** for  $A$ , written  $\mathcal{M} \models A$ , if  $V_\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \top$  for all  $\varphi \in \text{assign}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- $A$  is **(universally) valid**, written  $\models A$ , if  $A$  is valid in every  $\Sigma$ -structure.
- $\mathcal{M}$  is a **model** for  $\Gamma$ , written  $\mathcal{M} \models \Gamma$ , if  $\mathcal{M} \models B$  for all  $B \in \Gamma$ .
- A sentence  $A$  is a **semantic consequence** of a set  $\Gamma$  of sentences, written  $\Gamma \models A$ , if  $\mathcal{M} \models \Gamma$  implies  $\mathcal{M} \models A$  for all  $\Sigma$ -structures  $\mathcal{M}$ .
  - ▶ A somewhat more complicated definition is needed when  $\Gamma \cup \{A\}$  contains open formulas.
  - ▶ **Semantic consequence** is a form of **logical consequence**.



# Valid Formulas (iClicker)

Which of the following  $\Sigma$ -formulas is not valid in MSFOL?

A.  $x : \alpha = x : \alpha.$

B.  $p(a) \Rightarrow p(a).$

C.  $\exists x : \alpha . x = x.$

D.  $\boxed{\exists x, y : \alpha . x \neq y.}$

# Notes on Quantifiers

- The universal and existential quantifiers are **duals** of each other:

$$\neg(\forall x : \alpha . A) \Leftrightarrow \exists x : \alpha . \neg A,$$

$$\neg(\exists x : \alpha . A) \Leftrightarrow \forall x : \alpha . \neg A.$$

- Changing the order of quantifiers in a formula usually changes the meaning of the formula!

▶ As a rule,  $\forall x : \alpha . \exists y : \beta . A \not\equiv \exists y : \beta . \forall x : \alpha . A$ .

- In a formula of the form  $\forall x : \alpha . \exists y : \beta . A$ , the value of the existentially quantified variable  $y$  depends on the value of the universally quantified variable  $x : \alpha$ .
- A universal statement like “All mice are rodents” is formalized as  $\forall x : \text{Animal} . \text{mouse}(x) \Rightarrow \text{rodent}(x)$ .
- An existential statement like “Some rodents are mice” is formalized as  $\exists x : \text{Animal} . \text{rodent}(x) \wedge \text{mouse}(x)$ .

## 3c. MSFOL: Theories

# Theories

- A **theory** of MSFOL is a pair  $T = (\Sigma, \Gamma)$  where:
  1.  $\Sigma$  is a signature of MSFOL.
  2.  $\Gamma$  is a set of sentences in  $F_\Sigma$  called the **axioms** of  $T$ .
- $\mathcal{M}$  is a **model** for  $T$ , written  $\mathcal{M} \models T$ , if  $\mathcal{M} \models \Gamma$ .
- $A$  is **valid** in  $T$ , written  $T \models A$ , if  $\Gamma \models A$ .
- $T$  is **satisfiable** if there is a model for  $\Gamma$ .
- **Examples:**
  - ▶ Theories of orders, lattices, and boolean algebras.
  - ▶ Theories of monoids, groups, rings, and fields.
  - ▶ First-order Peano arithmetic (natural number arithmetic).
  - ▶ Theory of real closed fields (real number arithmetic).

# Example: Theory of Strict Partial Orders

- Let  $\Sigma = (\{U\}, \emptyset, \emptyset, \{<\}, \tau)$  where  $\tau(<) = U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ .
- Let  $\Gamma$  be the following set of  $\Sigma$ -sentences:
  1.  $\forall x : U . \neg(x < x)$ .
  2.  $\forall x, y : U . x < y \Rightarrow \neg(y < x)$ .
  3.  $\forall x, y, z : U . (x < y \wedge y < z) \Rightarrow x < z$ .
- Then  $T_{\text{spo}} = (\Sigma, \Gamma)$  is a theory of MSFOL called the **theory of strict partial orders**.
- The models of  $T_{\text{spo}}$  are all possible strict partial orders.
- If we can show  $T_{\text{spo}} \models A$ , then we know that  $A$  is valid in every strict partial order!

# Admin — February 7

- Midterm Test 1.
  - ▶ Marks will be posted next week.
  - ▶ Solutions will be posted next week.
- Office hours: To see me please send me a note with times.
- Are there any questions?

# Review

- MSFOL syntax
  - ▶ Signatures
  - ▶  $\Sigma$ -terms
  - ▶  $\Sigma$ -formulas
  - ▶ Bound and free variables.
  - ▶ Substitution.
- MSFOL semantics.
  - ▶  $\Sigma$ -structures.
  - ▶ Variable assignments.
  - ▶ Valuation function  $V_{\varphi}^{\mathcal{M}}$ .
  - ▶ A formula is satisfiable, valid in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and valid.
  - ▶ A formula is a semantic consequence of a set of formulas.
- MSFOL theories.
  - ▶ Signature and a set of axioms.
  - ▶ Model for  $T$ , formula valid in  $T$ ,  $T$  is satisfiable.

# Theories (iClicker)

Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a model of  $T_{\text{spo}}$ . Which of the following statements is true?

- A.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a weak partial order.
- B.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a strict partial order.
- C.  $\mathcal{M}$  is not a strict total order.

- D.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a strict total order iff
$$T_{\text{spo}} \models \forall x, y : U . x < y \vee y < x \vee x = y.$$



# Theories (iClicker)

Every theory has a model. Is this statement true or false?

A. True.

B. ☒ False.

## Example: Theory of Monoids

- Let  $\Sigma = (\{M\}, \{e\}, \{*\}, \emptyset, \tau)$  where  $\tau(e) = M$  and  $\tau(*) = M \times M \rightarrow M$ .
- Let  $\Gamma$  be the following set of  $\Sigma$ -sentences:
  1.  $\forall x, y, z : M . (x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$ .
  2.  $\forall x : M . e * x = x$ .
  3.  $\forall x : M . x * e = x$ .
- Then  $T_{\text{mon}} = (\Sigma, \Gamma)$  is a theory of MSFOL called the **theory of monoids**.
- Examples of models of  $T_{\text{mon}}$ :
  1.  $(\mathbb{N}, 0, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{N}, 1, *)$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}, 0, +)$ , etc.
  2.  $(\text{String}, "", \text{concatenation})$ .
  3.  $(\text{IntegerList}, [], \text{append})$ .
  4.  $(U \rightarrow U, \text{identity-function}, \text{function-composition})$ .

# Theory Extensions (iClicker)

Let  $T = (\Sigma, \Gamma)$  and  $T' = (\Sigma, \Gamma')$  be theories of MSFOL.

Which of the following statements is true if  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$  (i.e., if  $T'$  is an **extension** of  $T$ ).

- A. Every model of  $T$  is a model of  $T'$ .
- B. Every model of  $T'$  is a model of  $T$ .
- C. If  $T$  is satisfiable, then  $T'$  is satisfiable.
- D. If  $T'$  is satisfiable, then  $T$  is satisfiable.

# First-Order Peano Arithmetic

- Let  $\Sigma = (\{\mathbb{N}\}, \{0\}, \{S, +, *\}, \emptyset, \tau)$  where  $\tau(0) = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\tau(S) = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\tau(+) = \tau(*) = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .
- Let  $\Gamma$  be the following set of  $\Sigma$ -formulas:
  1.  $\forall x : \mathbb{N} . 0 \neq S(x)$ .
  2.  $\forall x, y : \mathbb{N} . (S(x) = S(y) \Rightarrow x = y)$ .
  3.  $\forall x : \mathbb{N} . x + 0 = x$ .
  4.  $\forall x, y : \mathbb{N} . x + S(y) = S(x + y)$ .
  5.  $\forall x : \mathbb{N} . x * 0 = 0$ .
  6.  $\forall x, y : \mathbb{N} . x * S(y) = (x * y) + x$ .
  7. Each universal closure  $A$  of a formula of the form

$$(B[x \mapsto 0] \wedge (\forall x : \mathbb{N} . B \Rightarrow B[x \mapsto S(x)])) \Rightarrow \forall x : \mathbb{N} . B$$

where  $B$  is a  $\Sigma$ -formula.

- Then  $T_{\text{pa}} = (\Sigma, \Gamma)$  is a theory of MSFOL called **first-order Peano arithmetic**.

# Theory vs. Model

- A mathematical structure is a **concrete** mathematical model.
- A theory is an **abstract** mathematical model.
- A theory can be viewed as a specification of its models.
  - ▶ A theory is to a model as a specification is to an implementation.
- Theories fall into two categories:
  - ▶ Those intended to describe a **single model** (e.g., **first-order Peano arithmetic**).
  - ▶ Those intended to describe a **collection of models** (**theory of monoids**).

## 3d. MSFOL: Proof Systems

# Proof Systems

- A **proof system** for MSFOL is a set of **axioms** and **rules of inference** for constructing **proofs** that say a particular formula  $A$  follows from a particular set  $\Gamma$  of premises.
- Let  $P$  be a proof system for MSFOL.
- $A$  is **syntactic consequence** of  $\Gamma$  in  $P$ , written  $\Gamma \vdash_P A$ , if there is a proof of  $A$  from  $\Gamma$  in  $P$ .
- $A$  is a **theorem** in  $P$ , written  $\vdash_P A$ , if there is a proof of  $A$  from  $\emptyset$  in  $P$ .
- $\Gamma$  is **consistent** in  $P$  if not every formula is a syntactic consequence of  $\Gamma$  in  $P$ .
- $P$  is **sound** if  $\Gamma \vdash_P A$  implies  $\Gamma \models A$ .
- $P$  is **complete** if  $\Gamma \models A$  implies  $\Gamma \vdash_P A$ .
- If  $T = (\Sigma, \Gamma)$  is a theory of MSFOL, then  $A$  is a **theorem** of  $T$  in  $P$ , written  $T \vdash_P A$ , if  $\Gamma \vdash_P A$ .

## 4. Summary



# Truth vs. Proof

Semantics	Syntax
truth	proof
semantic consequence	syntactic consequence
$A$ is valid $\models A$	$A$ is a theorem in $P$ $\vdash_P A$
$A$ is valid in $T$ $T \models A$	$A$ is a theorem of $T$ in $P$ $T \vdash_P A$
$T$ is satisfiable	$T$ is consistent in $P$

- Semantic consequence and syntactic consequence are different forms of logical consequence.
- The semantic and syntactic notions are equivalent in the most common logics:
  - ▶ Propositional logic (Bernays, 1918).
  - ▶ First-order logic (Gödel, 1930).
  - ▶ Simple type theory (Henkin, 1950)

# Mathematical Problems: Fundamental Form

- Most mathematical problems can be expressed as statements of the form

$$T \models A$$

where  $T$  is a theory and  $A$  is a sentence.

- There are three basic ways of deciding whether or not  $T \models A$ :

1. **Model checking:**

Show that  $\mathcal{M} \models A$  for each model  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $T$ .

2. **Proof:**

Show  $T \vdash_P A$  for some sound proof system  $P$ .

3. **Counterexample:**

Show  $\mathcal{M} \models \neg A$  for some model  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $T$ .