

Lab 11 - Expression Trees and Term Rewriting

CS 1XA3

March 26th, 2018

Recursive Data Types In Haskell

- ▶ By now, you should have the hang of how to define your own `List` type in Haskell

```
data List a = Node a (List a) | Empty
```

- ▶ Recursive data types allow you to define [linked data structures](#)
- ▶ By [linking](#) values together in different ways we can model different relationships between data

Binary Trees (1)

- ▶ A **Binary Tree** is like a **Linked List** with two links at each **Node** instead of one
- ▶ There are a few different approaches to creating **Binary Trees** you could take, consider the following example that holds all its values in each **Leaf**

```
data BinTree a = Node (BinTree a) (BinTree a)
                | Leaf a
```

```
-- Example
```

```
Node (Node (Leaf 1) (Leaf 2))
      (Node (Leaf 3) (Leaf 4))
```

Binary Trees (2)

- ▶ Alternatively, we could keep values inside the **Nodes** as well as each **Leaf**

```
data BinTree a = Node a (BinTree a) (BinTree a)
               | Leaf a
```

-- Example

```
Node 1 (Node 2 (Leaf 3) (Leaf 4))
      (Leaf 5)
```

- ▶ Even more choices: Perhaps we use **Empty** instead of **Leaf a**, or we give the option of **Empty** or **Leaf**. **Challenge**: try implementing those **Trees**

Multi-Way Trees (Rose Trees)

- ▶ Multi-way Trees (sometimes called **Rose Trees**), allow for an arbitrary number of **branches** at each **Node** (as opposed to the strict 2 in **Binary Trees**)
- ▶ How would we implement such a tree?

Multi-Way Trees (Rose Trees)

- ▶ Multi-way Trees (sometimes called **Rose Trees**), allow for an arbitrary number of **branches** at each **Node** (as opposed to the strict 2 in **Binary Trees**)
- ▶ How would we implement such a tree?

```
data RoseTree a = RoseTree a [RoseTree a]
```

- ▶ **Food for thought**: why are there no leaves? What if we want an empty tree?

Data Maps (Dictionaries)

- ▶ **Maps** (also known as **Dictionaries**) associate **key/value** pairs, allowing indexing of **values** by **keys**
- ▶ Efficient definitions of **Maps** are a bit tricky, luckily a very efficient one is provided by **Data.Map.Strict**.

```
data Map k v = ...
```

- ▶ It's recommended to import with a qualifier, i.e

```
import qualified Data.Map.Strict as Map
```

Using Data Maps

- ▶ You can construct a **Map** from a list of tuples like using the **fromList** function

```
import qualified Data.Map.Strict as Map
```

```
intMap :: Map.Map Int String
```

```
intMap = Map.fromList [(0,"Hello"),(1,"GoodBye")]
```

- ▶ Retrieve a value from a key using the **lookup** function

```
zeroLookup :: Map.Map Int b -> b
```

```
zeroLookup intMap = case Map.lookup 0 intMap of  
    Just val -> val  
    Nothing  -> error "Error: lookup failed"
```


Expression Trees

- ▶ The previous tree's had **generalized nodes** (i.e indistinguishable from each other).
- ▶ Sometimes we wish to encode more specific information about each **Node**, for example when encoding an expression
- ▶ The following tree structure can be used to encode expressions with operators of different arity (# of arguments)

```
data Expr a = Op1 (Expr a) (Expr a)
             | Op2 (Expr a) (Expr a) (Expr a)
             | Op3 (Expr a)
             | Const a
```

Example: Boolean Expressions

- ▶ Consider the following expression tree for encoding boolean expressions

```
data BExpr a = And (BExpr a) (BExpr a)
              | Or  (BExpr a) (BExpr a)
              | Not (BExpr a)
              | Const a
              | Var String
```

- ▶ Common boolean expressions can be encoded with this type like so

```
-- (true or false) and (not false)
expr :: BExpr Bool
expr = And (Or (Const True) (Const False)
            (Not (Const False)))
```

Evaluating Encoded Expressions

- ▶ We can evaluate an expression of type `Bexpr Bool` to `Bool` by pattern matching

```
eval (And e1 e2) = (eval e1) && eval e2
eval (Const x)  = x
...
```

- ▶ For evaluating `Var`'s, we need to provide values for given identifiers. We can use a `Map`

```
eval :: Map.Map String Bool -> BExpr Bool -> Bool
eval vrs (Var nm) = case Map.lookup nm vrs of
    (Just val) -> val
    Nothing    -> error "Error: failed lookup"
```

Term Rewriting

Consider the following “simplifications” that can be performed on boolean expressions. If performed completely, the resulting expression is guaranteed to satisfy certain conditions known as being in **Conjunctive Normal Form**

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conjunctive_normal_form

-- Double Negation

`Not (Not e) => e`

-- De Morgans Laws

`Not (Or e1 e2) => And (Not e1) (Not e2)`

`Not (And e1 e2) => Or (Not e1) (Not e2)`

-- Distributivity

`Or e1 (And e2 e3) => And (Or e1 e2) (Or e1 e3)`

`Or (And e1 e2) e3 => And (Or e1 e3) (Or e2 e3)`

Term Rewriting

We can construct a function for implementing these rules in Haskell as follows: **Note:** the function is unfinished

```
cnf :: BExpr Bool -> BExpr Bool
-- Double Negation
cnf (Not (Not e)) = cnf e
-- De Morgans Laws
cnf (Not (Or e1 e2))
    = cnf $ And (Not e1) (Not e2)
cnf (Not (And e1 e2))
    = cnf $ Or (Not e1) (Not e2)
-- Distributivity
cnf (Or e1 (And e2 e3))
    = cnf $ And (Or e1 e2) (Or e1 e3)
cnf (Or (And e1 e2) e3)
    = cnf $ And (Or e1 e3) (Or e2 e3)
```

Term Rewriting

In order to completely rewrite our expression to CNF, we need to cover the rest of our cases and recurse through appropriately

```
cnf (And e1 e2) = And (cnf e1) (cnf e2)
```

```
cnf (Or e1 e2)  = Or  (cnf e1) (cnf e2)
```

```
cnf (Not e)     = Not (cnf e)
```

```
cnf e           = e
```

Challenge: Numerical Expressions

- ▶ Create an datatype for numerical expressions, it should include variables with string identifiers
- ▶ Create an evaluation function for your datatype
- ▶ Write a simplification function that performs the following simplifications
 - ▶ $0 + x = x + 0 = 0$
 - ▶ $x - x = 0$
 - ▶ $0 * x = x * 0 = 0$
 - ▶ $x^i * x^j = x^{i+j}$

Hint: implement exponents with the tag **Exp** (**Expr** **a**) **a**