Discrete Mathematics with Applications I COMPSCI&SFWRENG 2DM3

McMaster University, Fall 2019

Wolfram Kahl

2019-10-09

Formalise:

- The sum of the first n odd natural numbers is equal to n^2 .
- The product of *k* consecutive positive integers is always divisible by *k*!.
- For some non-trivial interval of positive integers, the product is less than the sum.

Plan for Today

- Conditional expressions: if_then_else_fi
- Textbook Chapters 8 and 9: Quantification and Predicate Logic
 - Sums and Products continued
 - Universal and Existential Quantification

Conditional Commands if condition then $statement_1$ • Pascal: else statement₂ if condition then $statement_1$ • Ada: statement₂ end if; if (condition) $statement_1$ • C/Java: else statement₂ if condition: $statement_1$ • Python: else: statement? if condition $statement_1$ • sh: else statement₂

Conditional Expressions — at Any Type

• Haskell/Elm:

if condition then $expr_1$ else $expr_2$

• C/Java:

condition ? $expr_1$: $expr_2$

• Python:

 $expr_1$ if condition else $expr_2$

• CALCCHECK (Exercise 6.4):

if condition then expr1 else expr2 fi

(Library-defined mixfix operator if_then_else_fi)

Using Conditional Expressions

Reasoning about Conditional Expressions

Exercise 6.4 introduces the *Library-defined* mixfix operator if_then_else_fi for conditional expressions:

 $condition : \mathbb{B} = expr_1 : t = expr_2 : t$ if condition then $expr_1$ else $expr_2$ fi : t

Definition allows reasoning about conditionals occurring "deep inside *P*":

```
Axiom "Definition of `if`" "`if` to \Lambda":

P[z = if b then x else y fi]

\equiv (b \Rightarrow P[z = x]) \Lambda (\neg b \Rightarrow P[z = y])
```

Use this after "backwards Substitution":

```
(u = if b then x else y fi)

≡( Substitution )

(u = z)[z = if b then x else y fi]

≡( "Definition of `if`" )

(b \Rightarrow (u = z)[z = x]) \land (\neg b \Rightarrow (u = z)[z = y])

≡( Substitution )

(b \Rightarrow u = x) \land (\neg b \Rightarrow u = y)
```

General Shape of Sum and Product Quantifications

$$(\sum x:t_1; y,z:t_2 \mid R \bullet E)$$
 $(\prod x:t_1; y,z:t_2 \mid R \bullet E)$

- Any number of **variables** *x*, *y*, *z* can be quantified over
- The quantified variables may have **type annotations** (which act as **type declarations**)
- Expression $R : \mathbb{B}$ is the **range** of the quantification
- Expression *E* is the **body** of the quantification
- *E* will have a number type $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$
- Both *R* and *E* may refer to the **quantified variables** *x*, *y*, *z*
- The type of the whole quantification expression is the type of *E*.
- The range defaults to true: $(\sum x \bullet E) = (\sum x \mid true \bullet E)$ $(\prod x \bullet E) = (\prod x \mid true \bullet E)$

("syntactic sugar", covered by reflexivity of =)

LADM/CALCCHECK Quantification Notation

Conventional sum quantification notation: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e = e[i := 1] + ... + e[i := n]$

The textbook uses a different, but systematic linear notation:

$$(\sum i \mid 1 \le i \le n : e)$$
 or $(+i \mid 1 \le i \le n : e)$

We use a variant with a "spot" "•" instead of the colon ":" and only use "big" operators:

$$(\sum i \mid 1 \le i \le n \bullet e)$$

Reasons for using this linear quantification notation:

- Clearly delimited introduction of quantified variables (dummies)
- **Arbitrary** Boolean expressions can define the **range** of the quantified variables $(\sum i \mid 1 \le i \le 7 \land even i \bullet i) = 2 + 4 + 6$
- Extends easily to multiple quantified variables:

$$(\sum i, j : \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \le i < j \le 4 \bullet i/j) = 1/2 + 1/3 + 1/4 + 2/3 + 2/4 + 3/4$$

```
The sum of the first n odd natural numbers is equal to n^2
Theorem "Odd-number sum":

(\sum i : \mathbb{N} | i < n • suc i + i) = n · n
Proof:

By induction on `n : \mathbb{N}`:

Base case:

Induction step:
```

```
The sum of the first n odd natural numbers is equal to n^2

Theorem "Odd-number sum":

(\sum i : \mathbb{N} \mid i < n \cdot \text{suc } i + i) = n \cdot n

Proof:

By induction on `n : \mathbb{N}`:

Base case:

(\sum i : \mathbb{N} \mid i < 0 \cdot \text{suc } i + i)

=(??)

Induction step:

(\sum i : \mathbb{N} \mid i < \text{suc } n \cdot \text{suc } i + i)

=(??)

=(??)

suc n \cdot \text{suc } n
```

Empty Range Axioms

(8.13) Axiom, Empty Range:

```
(\sum x \mid false \bullet E) = 0
(\prod x \mid false \bullet E) = 1
```

Manipulating Ranges

(8.23) **Theorem Split off term**: For $n : \mathbb{N}$ and dummies $i : \mathbb{N}$,

- Typical use: Verification of loops
- Generalisation: $\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \longrightarrow m : \mathbb{Z}$ (with $m \le n$)

The following work both with $m, n, i : \mathbb{N}$ and with $m, n, i : \mathbb{Z}$:

Theorem: Split off term from top:

$$m \le n \implies$$

$$(\sum i \mid m \le i < n+1 \bullet P) = (\sum i \mid m \le i < n \bullet P) + P[i := n]$$

Theorem: Split off term from bottom:

$$m \le n \implies$$

$$(\sum i \mid m \le i < n+1 \bullet P) = P[i := m] + (\sum i \mid m+1 \le i < n+1 \bullet P)$$

Disjoint Range Split

(8.16) Axiom, Range Split:

$$(\Sigma x \mid Q \lor R \bullet P) = (\Sigma x \mid Q \bullet P) + (\Sigma x \mid R \bullet P)$$

provided $Q \wedge R = false$ and each sum is defined.

(8.16) Axiom, Range Split:

$$(\Pi x \mid Q \lor R \bullet P) = (\Pi x \mid Q \bullet P) \cdot (\Pi x \mid R \bullet P)$$

provided $Q \wedge R = false$ and each product is defined.

That is: Summing up over a large range can be done by adding the results of summing up two disjoint and complementary subranges.

→ "Divide and conquer" algorithm design pattern

DIVIDE ET IMPERA

— Gaius Julius Caesar

Proving Split-off Term

(8.16) Axiom, Range Split:

$$(\Sigma x \mid Q \lor R \bullet P) = (\Sigma x \mid Q \bullet P) + (\Sigma x \mid R \bullet P)$$

provided $Q \land R = false$ and each sum is defined.

Theorem "Split off term" "Split off term at top":
$$(\sum i : \mathbb{N} \mid i < suc \ n \cdot E) = (\sum i : \mathbb{N} \mid i < n \cdot E) + E[i = n]$$

Axioms for One-element Ranges

(8.14) **Axiom, One-point Rule:** Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'D')$,

$$(\sum x \mid x = D \bullet E) = E[x := D]$$

$$(\prod x \mid x = D \bullet E) = E[x := D]$$

Example:

$$(\sum i : \mathbb{N} \bullet 5 + 2 \cdot i < 7 \mid 5 + 7 \cdot i)$$

$$= \langle \dots \rangle$$

$$(\sum i : \mathbb{N} \bullet i = 0 \mid 5 + 7 \cdot i)$$

$$= \langle \text{One-point rule} \rangle$$

$$(5 + 7 \cdot i)[i := 0]$$

$$= \langle \text{Substitution} \rangle$$

Important Quantification Laws I

(8.13) Empty Range:

 $5 + 7 \cdot 0$

$$(\Sigma x \mid false \bullet E) = 0$$

 $(\Pi x \mid false \bullet E) = 1$

(8.14) One-point Rule: Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'E')$, $(\Sigma x \mid x = E \bullet F) \equiv F[x := E]$

$$(\Sigma x \mid x = E \bullet F) \equiv F[x := E]$$

$$(\Pi x \mid x = E \bullet F) \equiv F[x := E]$$

(8.16) **Disjoint range split:** Provided $Q \wedge R = false$ and each sum is defined:

$$(\Sigma x \mid Q \lor R \bullet P) = (\Sigma x \mid Q \bullet P) + (\Sigma x \mid R \bullet P)$$

$$(\Pi x \mid Q \lor R \bullet P) = (\Pi x \mid Q \bullet P) \cdot (\Pi x \mid R \bullet P)$$

(8.23) **Split off term**: For $n : \mathbb{N}$ and dummies $i : \mathbb{N}$,

Universal and Existential Quantification

$$(\forall x \bullet P)$$

• "For all x, we have P"

$$(\forall x \mid R \bullet P)$$

• "For all x with R, we have P"

$$(\exists x \bullet P)$$

- "There exists an *x* such that *P* (holds)"
- "For some x, we have P"

$$(\exists x \mid R \bullet P)$$

- "There exists an *x* with *R* such that *P* (holds)"
- "For some x with R, we have P"

Universal and Existential Quantification

$$(\forall x \bullet p(x))$$

• "For all x, we have p(x)"

$$(\forall x \mid r(x) \bullet p(x))$$

• "For all x with r(x), we have p(x)"

$$(\exists x \bullet p(x))$$

- "There exists an x such that p(x) (holds)"
- "For some x, we have p(x)"

$$(\exists x \mid r(x) \bullet p(x))$$

- "There exists an x with r(x) such that p(x) (holds)"
- "For some x with r(x), we have p(x)"

Expanding Universal and Existential Quantification

Universal quantification (\forall) is

"conjunction (∧) with arbitrarily many conjuncts":

$$(\forall i \mid 1 \le i < 3 \bullet i \cdot d \ne 6)$$

= (Quantification expansion, substitution)

$$1 \cdot d \neq 6 \quad \land \quad 2 \cdot d \neq 6$$

Existential quantification (∃) is

"disjunction (v) with arbitrarily many disjuncts":

$$(\exists i \mid 0 \le i < 21 \bullet b[i] = 0)$$

= (Quantification expansion, substitution)

$$b[0] = 0 \quad \lor \quad b[1] = 0 \quad \lor \quad \ldots \quad \lor \quad b[20] = 0$$

General Shape of Universal and Existential Quantifications

$$(\forall x: t_1; y, z: t_2 \mid R \bullet P)$$
$$(\exists x: t_1; y, z: t_2 \mid R \bullet P)$$

- Any number of **variables** *x*, *y*, *z* can be quantified over
- The quantified variables may have **type annotations** (which act as **type declarations**)
- $R : \mathbb{B}$ is the range of the quantification
- $P : \mathbb{B}$ is the **body** of the quantification
- Both *R* and *P* may refer to the **quantified variables** *x*, *y*, *z*
- ullet The type of the whole quantification expression is $\mathbb B$.
- The range defaults to true: $(\forall x \bullet P) = (\forall x \mid true \bullet P)$ $(\exists x \bullet P) = (\exists x \mid true \bullet P)$

("syntactic sugar", covered by reflexivity of ≡)