Discrete Mathematics with Applications I COMPSCI&SFWRENG 2DM3

McMaster University, Fall 2019

Wolfram Kahl

2019-11-06

Formalise!

```
P: Type
_called_: P \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathbb{B}
_isBrotherOf_: P \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathbb{B}
```

— The type of persons

- Helen called somebody.
- 4 Helen called somebody who called her.
- For everybody, there is somebody they haven't called.
- Aos called only brothers of Jun.
- Obama called everybody directly, or indirectly via at most two intermediaries.
- If Shirley called Alex, then everybody who called Jim also called somebody who called Alex.
- Jane called more people than Alex.

Plan for Today

- Textbook Chapter 11: Set Theory
 - Set comprehension
- Textbook Chapter 14: Relations
 - Pairs, Cartesian products

Set Comprehension

Set comprehension example:

$${x: \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 \le x < 5 \bullet x \cdot x} = {0, 1, 4, 9, 16}$$

(11.1) Set comprehension general shape: $\{x: t \mid R \bullet E\}$

— This set comprehension **binds** variable *x*!

Evaluated in state s, this denotes the set containing the values of E evaluated in those states resulting from s by changing the binding of x to those values from type t that satisfy R.

Note: The braces " $\{...\}$ " are **only** used for set notation!

Abbreviation for special case: $\{x \mid R\} = \{x \mid R \bullet x\}$

(11.2) Provided
$$\neg occurs('x', 'e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}'),$$

$$\{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}\} = \{x \mid x = e_0 \vee \dots \vee x = e_{n-1} \bullet x\}$$

Formalise!

$$P: Type$$
 — The type of persons _called_: $P \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Jane called more people than Alex.

$$\#\{p:P \mid Jane \text{ called } p\} > \#\{p:P \mid Alex \text{ called } p\}$$

Formalise!

The equation f x = 0 has at least five solutions.

Without sets: Use ≠ to assert "different":

With sets — first attempt:

$$\#\{x \mid f x = 0\} \ge 5$$

That does not work for, e.g., $(\forall x \bullet f x = 0)$, nor for $f = \sin$.

Taking into account possibly infinite sets of solutions:

$$(\exists S : set(\mathbb{R}) \mid \#S \ge 5 \bullet (\forall x \mid x \in S \bullet f x = 0))$$

Set Membership

(11.3) **Axiom, Set membership:** Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'F')$,

$$F \in \{x \mid R \bullet E\} \equiv (\exists x \mid R \bullet E = F)$$

$$F \in \{x \mid R\}$$

= (Expanding abbreviation)

$$F \in \{x \mid R \bullet x\}$$

= $\langle (11.3) \text{ Axiom, Set membership} - \text{provided} \neg occurs('x', 'F') \rangle$

$$(\exists x \mid R \bullet x = F)$$

= $\langle (9.19) \text{ Trading for } \exists \rangle$

$$(\exists x \mid x = F \bullet R)$$

= $\langle (8.14) \text{ One-point rule} - \text{provided} \neg occurs('x', 'F') \rangle$

$$R[x \coloneqq F]$$

(11.3.1) **Simple set compr. membership:** Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'F')$,

$$F \in \{x \mid R\} \equiv R[x := F]$$

Set Membership and Set Enumerations

(11.3) **Axiom, Set membership:** Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'F')$,

$$F \in \{x \mid R \bullet E\} \equiv (\exists x \mid R \bullet E = F)$$

(11.3.1) **Simple set compr. membership:** Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'F')$,

$$F \in \{x \mid R\} \equiv R[x := F]$$

(11.2) Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}')$,

$$\{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}\} = \{x \mid x = e_0 \vee \dots \vee x = e_{n-1} \bullet x\}$$

The empty set: $\{x \mid false \bullet x\} = \{\}$

Singleton sets: $\{x \mid x = E \bullet x\} = \{E\}$

— provided $\neg occurs('x', 'E')$

One-point set comprehension: $\{x \mid x = E \bullet F\} = \{F[x := E]\}$

— provided $\neg occurs('x', 'E')$

Set Comprehension versus Predicates

$$(11.5) \quad S = \{x \mid x \in S\}$$

provided $\neg occurs('x', 'S')$

$$(11.7) \quad x \in \{x \mid R\} \equiv R$$

(11.8) **Principle of comprehension:** To each predicate R there corresponds a set comprehension $\{x:T \mid R\}$ which contains the objects in T that satisfy R.

R is called a **characteristic predicate** of the set.

 $f_R : T \to \mathbb{B}$ with f : x = R is also called the **characteristic function** of the set.

Two alternatives for defining sets:

$$S = \{x \mid R\} \qquad x \in S \equiv R$$

$$T = \{x \mid x = 3 \lor x = 5\}$$
 $x \in T \equiv x = 3 \lor x = 5$

Calculate!

The size of a finite set S, that is, the number of its elements, is written #S

- #B
- $\#\{S : set(\mathbb{B}) \mid true \in S \bullet S\}$
- $\#\{T: set(set(\mathbb{B})) \mid \{\} \notin T \bullet T\}$
- $\# \{S : set(\mathbb{N}) \mid (\forall x : \mathbb{N} \mid x \in S \bullet x < n) \land \#S = k \bullet S \}$
- $\mathbb{B} = \{false, true\}$
- $S \in set(\mathbb{B}) \equiv S \subseteq \mathbb{B}$
- $set(\mathbb{B}) = \{\{\}, \{false\}, \{true\}, \{false, true\}\}$
- $T \in set(set(\mathbb{B})) \equiv T \subseteq set(\mathbb{B})$

Set Equality via Equivalence

(11.4) **Axiom, Extensionality:** Provided $\neg occurs('x', 'S, T')$,

$$S = T \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \in S \equiv x \in T)$$

$$(11.9) \{x \mid Q\} = \{x \mid R\} \equiv (\forall x \bullet Q \equiv R)$$

(11.10) Metatheorem set comprehension equality:

$$\{x \mid Q\} = \{x \mid R\}$$
 is valid

iff

 $Q \equiv R$ is valid.

(11.11) Methods for proving set equality S = T:

- (a) Use Leibniz directly
- (b) Use axiom Extensionality (11.4) and prove

$$v \in S \equiv v \in T$$

(c) Prove Q = R and conclude $\{x \mid Q\} = \{x \mid R\}$

Pairs and Cartesian Products

(14.1) — intentionally skipped

If *b* and *c* are expressions,

then $\langle b, c \rangle$ is their **2-tuple** or **ordered pair**

- "ordered" means that there is a **first** constituent (*b*) and a **second** constituent (*c*).
- (14.2) Axiom, Pair equality:

$$\langle b, c \rangle = \langle b', c' \rangle \equiv b = b' \wedge c = c'$$

(14.3) Axiom, Cross product:

$$S \times T = \{b, c \mid b \in S \land c \in T \bullet \langle b, c \rangle\}$$
$$= \{b : S; c : T \bullet \langle b, c \rangle\}$$

(14.4) Membership:

$$b \in S \land c \in T \equiv \langle b, c \rangle \in S \times T$$

 $b: t_1; c: t_2 \text{ iff } \langle b, c \rangle : t_1 \times t_2$

For types:

Some Cross Product Theorems

(14.5)
$$\langle x, y \rangle \in S \times T \equiv \langle y, x \rangle \in T \times S$$

$$(14.6) \quad S = \{\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad S \times T = T \times S = \{\}$$

$$(14.7) \quad S \times T = T \times S \quad \equiv \quad S = \{\} \vee T = \{\} \vee S = T$$

(14.8) **Distributivity of** \times **over** \cup :

$$S \times (T \cup U) = (S \times T) \cup (S \times U)$$

 $(S \cup T) \times U = (S \times U) \cup (T \times U)$

(14.9) Distributivity of \times over \cap :

$$S \times (T \cap U) = (S \times T) \cap (S \times U)$$

$$(S \cap T) \times U = (S \times U) \cap (T \times U)$$

(14.10) Distributivity of \times over -:

$$S \times (T - U) = (S \times T) - (S \times U)$$

$$(S-T) \times U = (S \times U) - (T \times U)$$

(14.12) **Monotonicity:** $S \subseteq S' \land T \subseteq T' \Rightarrow S \times T \subseteq S' \times T'$

Pairs and Pair Projections

(14.2) Axiom, Pair equality:

$$\langle b, c \rangle = \langle b', c' \rangle \equiv b = b' \wedge c = c'$$

(14.4p) Axiom, Pair projections:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{fst} & : & t_1 \times t_2 \to t_1 \\ \text{snd} & : & t_1 \times t_2 \to t_2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \text{fst } \langle b,c \rangle = b \\ \text{snd } \langle b,c \rangle = c \end{array}$$

(14.2p) **Pair equality:** For $p, q: t_1 \times t_2$,

$$p = q$$
 \equiv fst $p =$ fst $q \land$ snd $p =$ snd q

Proving (14.2e) **Pair extensionality:** $p = \langle \text{fst } p, \text{snd } p \rangle$:

$$p = \langle \text{fst } p, \text{snd } p \rangle$$

= $\langle (14.2p) \text{ Pair equality } \rangle$

fst $p = \text{fst } \langle \text{fst } p, \text{snd } p \rangle \land \text{snd } p = \text{snd } \langle \text{fst } p, \text{snd } p \rangle$

= ((14.4p) Pair projections)

 $fst p = fst p \wedge snd p = snd p$

= \langle (1.2) Reflexivity of equality, (3.38) Idempotency of \land \rangle *true*

Some Spice...

Converting between "different ways to take two arguments":

curry :
$$(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \times B \rightarrow C)$$

$$\operatorname{curry} f \langle x, y \rangle := f x y$$

uncurry :
$$(A \times B \to C) \to (A \to B \to C)$$

uncurry
$$g x y := g\langle x, y \rangle$$

These functions correspond to the "Shunting" law:

(3.65) **Shunting:**
$$p \land q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$$

The "currying" concept is named for Haskell Brooks Curry (1900–1982), but goes back to Moses Ilyich Schönfinkel (1889–1942) and Gottlob Frege (1848–1925).