COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3

Discrete Mathematics with Applications II Winter 2020

1 Mathematical Proof

William M. Farmer

Department of Computing and Software McMaster University

January 8, 2020



Admin — January 8

- Tutorials start next week.
 - ► Bring your laptop with you to the tutorial if you need help installing LaTeX.
- Regular lecture on Friday.
- M&Ms start next week after the lecture on Friday.
- Thank you for your bio sheets.
- Office hours: To see me please send me a note with times.
- Are there any questions?

W. M. Farmer

COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3 Winter 2020: 1 Mathematical Proof

2/17

Mathematical Proofs (iClicker)

In mathematics, a proof is

- A. Similar to a scientific experiment.
- B. A preponderance of evidence for the truth of a statement.
- C. A plausible argument that a statement is true.
- D. An evaluation of a boolean-valued expression.
- E. None of the above.

Mathematical Proof

- In mathematics, a proof is a deductive argument intended to show that a conclusion follows from a set of premises.
- A theorem is a statement (i.e., that a conclusion follows from a set of premises) for which there is a proof.
- A conjecture is a statement for which there is reason to believe that it is true but there is not yet a proof.
- Proof is the preeminent technique of mathematics.
- As a means to establish truth, proof is unique to mathematics!

Reading Mathematical Proofs (iClicker)

How comfortable are you with reading proofs?

- A. It scares me to death!
- B. It is like reading a foreign language I don't know.
- C. I can do it, but I don't enjoy it.
- D. It is hard to do, but it gives me a deeper understanding of computing.
- E. Reading proofs is as natural as breathing.

Writing Mathematical Proofs (iClicker)

How comfortable are you with writing proofs?

- A. It scares me to death!
- B. It is like reading a foreign language I don't know.
- C. I can do it, but I don't enjoy it.
- D. It is hard to do, but it gives me a deeper understanding of computing.
- E. Writing proofs is as natural as breathing.

W. M. Farmer

COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3 Winter 2020: 1 Mathematical Proof

5/17 W. M. Farmer

COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3 Winter 2020: 1 Mathematical Proof

C /1

Purposes of Mathematical Proof

- 1. Communicating mathematical ideas.
- 2. Certifying that mathematical results are correct.
- 3. Organizing mathematical knowledge.
- 4. Discovering new mathematical facts.
- 5. Learning mathematics.
- 6. Showing the universality of mathematical results.
- 7. Establishing coherency with a body of mathematical knowledge.
- 8. Creating mathematical beauty.

Styles of Mathematical Proof

- There are many styles of proof such as:
 - ► A description of a deduction.
 - ▶ A prescription of how to produce a deduction.
 - ▶ A deduction presented in a two-column format.
 - A computation.
 - A construction.
 - ► A geometric proof.
 - A visual proof.
 - ► A classic (nonconstructive) proof.
 - ► A constructive proof.
- Two important and competing styles are:
 - 1. The traditional proof style.
 - 2. The formal proof style.

Traditional Proof Style

- A traditional proof is an argument for some intended audience expressed in a stylized form of natural language.
- The terminology and notation may be ambiguous, assumptions may be unstated, and the argument may contain gaps.
- Reader is expected to be able to resolve the ambiguities, identify the unstated assumptions, and fill in the gaps.
- Writer has great freedom to express traditional proofs in whatever manner that is deemed to be most effective.
 - ▶ The main focus is on making key ideas understandable.
 - ► Low-level details are usually performed by computation or left to be verified by the reader.
- The traditional proof style is primarily good for communication but also for organization, discovery, and beauty.

W. M. Farmer

COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3 Winter 2020: 1 Mathematical Proof

W. M. Farmer

9/17

COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3 Winter 2020: 1 Mathematical Proof

10/17

Traditional vs. Formal Proofs (iClicker)

Which style of proof do you prefer?

- A. Traditional.
- B. Formal.

Formal Proof Style

- A formal proof is a derivation in a proof system for a formal logic.
- A formal proof can be presented in two ways:
 - ► As a description of the actual derivation.
 - ▶ As a prescription for creating the derivation.
- Software systems can be used to interactively develop and mechanically check formal proofs.
- Writer is highly constrained by the logic, the proof system, and the fact that every detail must be verified.
- As a result, the meaning of the theorem and the key ideas of proof may not be readily apparent to the reader.
- There is a very high assurance that the theorem is correct!
- The formal proof style is primarily good for certification but also for organization and discovery.

Writing Traditional Proofs (iClicker)

To learn how to write traditional proofs, you should

- A. Read proofs given in mathematics articles and textbooks.
- B. Write proofs of facts you already know.
- C. Translate formal proofs into traditional proofs.
- D. Do exercises that ask for a proof.

Proving a Conjunction (iClicker)

Which is not a valid way to prove $A \wedge B$?

- A. Prove A and B separately.
- B. Prove A and B together.
- C. Prove A and then prove B assuming A.
- D. Prove A assuming B and prove B assuming A.

Methods of Proof for Propositional Formulas

- How does one usually prove an implication $A \Rightarrow B$? Assume A and then prove B using A.
- How does one usually prove a negation $\neg A$.
 - ▶ Assume *A* and then derive a contradiction.
- How does one usually prove a conjunction $A \wedge B$? Prove A and then prove B assuming A.
- How does one usually prove a disjunction $A \vee B$?

Assume $\neg A$ and then prove B, or assume $\neg B$ and then prove A.

• How does one usually prove a biconditional $A \Leftrightarrow B$?

Prove $A \Rightarrow B$ and $B \Rightarrow A$.

W. M. Farmer

COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3 Winter 2020: 1 Mathematical Proof

13/17 W. M. Farmer

COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3 Winter 2020: 1 Mathematical Proof

14/17

Methods of Proof for Quantified Formulas

- How does one usually prove a universal statement $\forall x \in S . A$?
 - 1. Assume $x \in S$ and then prove A.
 - 2. Assume $\exists x \in S$. $\neg A$ and then derive a contradiction.
 - 3. If $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, then prove $A[x \mapsto a_1], \dots, A[x \mapsto a_n]$.
 - 4. If there is an inductive principle for S, then prove $\forall x \in S$. A by induction.
- How does one usually prove an existential statement $\exists x \in S . A$?
 - 1. For some $a \in S$, prove $A[x \mapsto a]$.
 - 2. Assume $\forall x \in S$. $\neg A$ and then derive a contradiction.

Kinds of Theorems

- A theorem is a statement for which there is a proof.
- The following terms are used to classify theorems:
 - 1. An axiom is a theorem whose truth is assumed.
 - 2. A proposition is a theorem that is immediately or easily proved.
 - 3. A lemma is a theorem, usually of a technical nature, that is used to prove other more fundamental theorems.
 - 4. A theorem is a theorem of fundamental importance.
 - A corollary is a theorem, usually of fundamental importance, that follows immediately from other theorems.

Proof Terminology [1/2]

- The phrase "if and only if (iff)" means logical equivalence.
- The word "obvious" means almost no thinking is needed.
- The word "clearly" or phrase "can be easily shown" signals to the reader that the result can be verified with little effort.
- The phrases "trivial case" and "trivial argument" refer, respectively, to a case and an argument with extremely simple structure.
- The phrase "straightforward argument" means an argument, that may be long, in which each step of the argument is obvious.
- A proof is "similar" to another proof if it employs the same structure or techniques.

Proof Terminology [2/2]

- A "brute force verification" is one in which every possible case is individually verified.
- A "symmetric argument" is an argument that is obtained from another argument by a structure-preserving transformation.
- A notion is "well-defined" if its definition is fully and precisely given.
- The phrase "the following are equivalent (TFAE)" refers to a list of logically equivalent statements.
- The phrase "without loss of generality (WLOG)" is used to signal to the reader that it suffices to consider a special case instead of the general case.
- "QED (quod erat demonstrandum)", □, or signifies that the proof is complete.

W. M. Farmer

COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3 Winter 2020: 1 Mathematical Proof

W. M. Farmer

17/17

COMPSCI/SFWRENG 2FA3 Winter 2020: 1 Mathematical Proof

18/17