

# 1 Basic difference-in-differences example

Consider a simple difference-in-differences design with  $m$  clusters and  $n = 2$  time periods. Suppose that the first  $m_0$  clusters remain untreated in the second time period and the remaining  $m_1 = m - m_0$  clusters are treated in the second time period. The basic difference-in-differences model for this design is then

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_t + \delta T_{it} + e_{it}, \quad (1)$$

where  $T_{i1} = 1$  for  $i = m_0 + 1, \dots, m$ ,  $T_{it} = 0$  otherwise, and  $\delta$  is the average treatment effect.

Estimating  $\delta$  by OLS is exactly equivalent to taking first differences and then calculating the mean difference between treated and untreated clusters. Let  $d_i = y_{i1} - y_{i0}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\bar{d}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} d_i / m_0$ , and  $\bar{d}_1 = \sum_{i=m_0+1}^m d_i / m_1$ . Then  $\hat{\delta} = \bar{d}_1 - \bar{d}_0$ . In this simplified representation of the model, it is clear that the null hypothesis  $\delta = 0$  may be tested using a simple two-sample t-test on the difference scores, while allowing for unequal variances. The sampling variance of  $\hat{\delta}$  can be estimated from the difference scores as

$$V_{\Delta} = \frac{1}{m_0(m_0 - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} (d_i - \bar{d}_0)^2 + \frac{1}{m_1(m_1 - 1)} \sum_{i=m_0+1}^m (d_i - \bar{d}_1)^2.$$

Under a "working homoskedasticity" model, the degrees of freedom corresponding to  $V_{\Delta}$  are

$$\nu_{\Delta} = \frac{m^2(m_0 - 1)(m_1 - 1)}{m_0^2(m_0 - 1) + m_1^2(m_1 - 1)}$$

(?).

We shall now consider the variance estimator and degrees of freedom generated by the CR2 correction as applied to the full difference-in-differences model (1), while estimating  $\delta$  after absorbing the cluster- and period-specific effects. We use the "working independence" model for deriving the CR2 adjustment matrices and degrees of freedom. Following the notation of the main paper, this design has

$$\mathbf{R}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ T_{i1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{S}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{T}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I(i=1) & I(i=2) & \dots & I(i=m) \end{bmatrix}$$

After absorption,  $\ddot{\mathbf{R}}_i = (T_{i1} - m_1/m) / 2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}'$ ,  $\mathbf{M}_{\ddot{\mathbf{R}}} = 2m / (m_0 m_1)$ , and

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \frac{d_i - \bar{d}_0}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m_0, \quad \mathbf{e}_i = \frac{d_i - \bar{d}_1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{for } i = m_0 + 1, \dots, m.$$

If the CR2 adjustment matrices are calculated based on the absorbed model only, then

$$\mathbf{A}_i = \left( \mathbf{I}_i - \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_i \mathbf{M}_{\ddot{\mathbf{R}}} \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_i' \right)^{+1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + a_i & -a_i \\ -a_i & 1 + a_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$a_i = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_0 m}{m_0 m - m_1}} - 1 \right) \quad i = 1, \dots, m_0$$

$$a_i = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_1 m}{m_1 m - m_0}} - 1 \right) \quad i = m_0 + 1, \dots, m.$$

Using these adjustment matrices yields the variance estimator

$$V_{\ddot{\mathbf{R}}} = \frac{1}{m_0(m_0 - m_1/m)} \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} (d_i - \bar{d}_0)^2 + \frac{1}{m_1(m_1 - m_0/m)} \sum_{i=m_0+1}^m (d_i - \bar{d}_1)^2,$$

which will be slightly smaller than  $V_\Delta$ , with Satterthwaite degrees of freedom

$$\nu_{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}} = \frac{\left( \frac{m_0 - 1}{m_0(m_0 - m_1/m)} + \frac{m_1 - 1}{m_1(m_1 - m_0/m)} \right)^2}{\frac{1}{m_0(m_0 - m_1/m)} + \frac{1}{m_1(m_1 - m_0/m)}},$$

which will be slightly larger than  $\nu_\Delta$ .

Now consider calculating the adjustment matrices using the full design matrix, as recommended in the paper. Theorem 2 implies that the adjustment matrices can be calculated from  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ , ignoring the cluster-specific effects. We then have

$$\mathbf{A}_i = \left( \mathbf{I}_i - \ddot{\mathbf{U}}_i \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{\mathbf{U}}} \ddot{\mathbf{U}}_i' \right)^{+1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + b_i & -b_i \\ -b_i & 1 + b_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$b_i = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_0}{m_0 - 1}} - 1 \right) \quad i = 1, \dots, m_0$$

$$b_i = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_1 - 1}} - 1 \right) \quad i = m_0 + 1, \dots, m.$$

It can be verified that using these adjustment matrices yields a variance estimator that is exactly equivalent to  $V_\Delta$ , with degrees of freedom equal to  $\nu_\Delta$ .