APPENDIX A OVERVIEW ON THE INDUCTION PHASE

Notice that $\operatorname{suf}(i)$ is lexicographically smaller than $\operatorname{suf}(j)$ if and only if (1) x[i] < x[j] or (2) x[i] = x[j] and $\operatorname{suf}(i+1) < \operatorname{suf}(j+1)$. This property constitutes the core part of the IS method and has been utilized by SA-IS and other IS variants to derive the order of unsorted suffixes from the order of sorted ones following the 3-step induction phase below.

- S1 Clear S-type sub-buckets in sa. Scan sa^* leftward and insert each element into the current rightmost empty position in the corresponding S-type sub-bucket.
- S2 Clear L-type sub-buckets in sa and insert n-1 into the leftmost position in $\mathsf{sa_bkt_L}(x[n-1])$. Scan sa rightward with i increasing from 0 to n-1. For each scanned nonempty sa[i] with t[sa[i]-1]=0, insert sa[i]-1 into the current leftmost empty position in $\mathsf{sa_bkt_L}(x[sa[i]-1])$.
- S3 Clear S-type sub-buckets in sa. Scan sa leftward with i decreasing from n-1 to 0. For each scanned non-empty sa[i] with t[sa[i]-1]=1, insert sa[i]-1 into the current rightmost empty position in $sa_bkt_S(x[sa[i]-1])$.

In brief, given sa^* , S1 inserts all the S*-type suffixes into sa in their lexical order. Then, S2-S3 induce the order of L-and S-type suffixes from those already sorted in sa, respectively, where the relative order of two suffixes induced into the same sub-bucket matches their insertion order according to the previously stated property.

We show in Fig. 4 a running example with more details. As depicted, the input string x contains 6 S*-type suffixes sorted in line 3. When finished inserting the S*-type suffixes in lines 5-6, we first find the head of each L-type sub-bucket (marked by the symbol \wedge) and insert suf(13) into sa. Notice that suf(13) is a single character, it must be the smallest Ltype suffixes starting with 1. Thus, we put suf(13) into the leftmost position in $sa_bkt_L(1)$ in line 8. Then, we scan safrom left to right for inducing the order of all the L-type suffixes. In lines 10-11, when visiting sa[0] = 13 (marked by the symbol @), we check the type array t to find x[12] = 2is L-type and hence insert suf(12) into the current leftmost empty position in $sa_bkt_L(2)$. Similarly, in lines 12-13, we visit the next scanned item sa[1] = 11 and see that t[10] = 0, thus we place suf(10) into the current head of $sa_bkt_L(3)$. Following this way, we get all the L-type suffixes sorted in sa. After that, we first find the end of each S-type subbucket in lines 25-26 and scan sa leftward for inducing the order of all the S-type suffixes in lines 27-40. When visiting sa[13] = 2, we see x[1] is S-type and thus put suf(1) into the current rightmost empty position in $sa_bkt_S(1)$. Then, at sa[12] = 8, we see x[7] = 1 is S-type and thus put suf(7) into the current rightmost empty position in $sa_bkt_s(1)$. To repeat scanning sa in this way, we get all the S-type suffixes sorted in sa.

The work presented in [14] describes how to compute the LCP array during S2-S3 of the induction phase. Specifically, for any two suffixes placed at the neighboring positions in sa, their LCP-value can be computed according to one of the following two cases in respect to whether or not they are inserted into the same sub-bucket: if yes, then the LCP-value is one greater than that of the two suffixes from which inducing them; otherwise, the LCP-value is zero. The key operation herein is to compute the LCP-value

```
01
       x[p]:
       t[p]:
04
     Insert the sorted S*-type suffixes into sa^*
05
     bucket:
     sa*[p]: {-1 11 5 9 3 7 1} {-1 -1 -1} {-1 -1
07
     Sort L-type suffixes:
     sa^*[p]: {13 11 5 9
                                      7
                                            1} {-1 -1 -1} {-1 -1
                                 3
             \{13\ 11\ 5\ 9
                                            1} {12 -1 -1} {-1 -1
11
                                            1} {12 -1 -1} {10 -1
13
                                  3 7 1} {12 -1 -1} {10 4
                                  3 7 1} {12 -1 -1} {10 4
16
                                       7
                                            1} {12 -1 -1} {10 4
                                            1} {12 6 -1} {10 4
             {13 11 5 9
                                       7
20
             {13 11 5 9
                                            1} {12 6 0} {10 4
                                  3
     Sort S-type Suffixes:
25
             \{13 \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1}\} \quad \{12 \quad 6 \quad 0\} \quad \{10 \quad 4
26
27
             \{13 \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad 1\} \quad \{12 \quad 6 \quad 0\} \quad \{10
             \{13 \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad \textbf{-1} \quad 7 \quad \ 1\} \quad \{12 \quad 6 \quad \ 0\} \quad \{10 \quad \ 4
29
              {13 -1 -1 -1 3 7 1} {12 6 0} {10
              3 7 1} {12 6 0} {10 4
              {13 -1 5 9 3 7 1} {12 6
                                                          0} {10
                                 3 7 1} {12 6 0} {10
```

Fig. 4. An Example for inducing the suffix and LCP arrays.

of the inducing suffixes at the same time when inserting the induced suffixes into sa. For example, in lines 10-21 of Fig. 4, we induce $\mathfrak{suf}(12)$ and $\mathfrak{suf}(6)$ into the neighboring positions of $\mathfrak{sa_bkt_L}(2)$, respectively. If we keep recording the minimal over lcp(0,5], then we can obtain the LCP-value of the inducing suffixes $\mathfrak{suf}(13)$ and $\mathfrak{suf}(7)$ immediately after putting $\mathfrak{suf}(6)$ into sa. This problem is modeled as a range minimum query in [14] and can be answered within amortized $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time.