## 2.4 Sequences and Summations

**Theorem** (2.4.19). Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j - a_{j-1}) = a_n - a_0$$

.

Proof.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j - a_{j-1}) = (a_n - a_{n-1}) + (a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}) + \dots + (a_1 - a_0)$$

By associativity for addition from the field axioms for real numbers, that is  $a_n + (-a_{n-1} + a_{n-1}) + (-a_{n-2} + a_{n-2}) + (-a_{n-3} + a_{n-3}) + \cdots + (-a_1 + a_1) + -a_0$  Clearly the inner terms cancel out. Thus,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j - a_{j-1}) = a_n - a_0$$

Theorem (2.4.20).

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{n}{n+1}$$

*Proof.* The identity of  $\left(\frac{1}{k(k+1)}\right)$  is  $\left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{(k+1)}\right)$ . This can be demonstrated by the equation

$$k\left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{(k+1)}\right) = \left(\frac{k+1}{k+1} - \frac{k}{k+1}\right) = \left(\frac{k+1-k}{k+1}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)$$

Dividing both sides of this equation by k gives the desired identity such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right)$$

The sequence for which is the telescopic summation

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{1}{n-1}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.4.19

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \left(-\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{1}\right) = \left(\frac{(-1) + (n+1)}{n+1}\right) = \frac{n}{n+1}$$

**Theorem** (2.4.21a). The summation of odd numbers from 1 to n is  $n^2$ .

*Proof.* The summation of odd numbers from 1 to n is given by,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2k - 1$$

by the definition for odd numbers. The identity of 2k-1 is the difference of squares  $k^2-(k-1)^2$ . This identity can be demonstrated by the statement

$$k^2 - (k-1)^2 = [k + (k-1)][k - (k-1)] = (2k-1)[k + (-k+1)] = (2k-1)1$$

So the summation of odd numbers from 1 to n is the telescoping summation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2 - (k-1)^2$$

By Theorem 2.4.19, that is  $n^2 - 0^2 = n^2$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2k - 1 = n^2$$

and indeed the summation of odd numbers from 1 to n is  $n^2$ .

**Theorem** (2.4.21b). The summation of natural numbers from 1 to n is

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 2.4.21a we know that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2k - 1 = n^2$$

This is the same as saying

$$n^{2} = \left(-n + \sum_{k=1}^{n} 2k\right) \equiv \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2k\right) = (n^{2} + n) = n(n+1)$$

We can factor the coefficient 2 out of the term of summation,

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{n} k = n(n+1)$$

And of course dividing both sides by 2 gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Thus, indeed, the summation of natural numbers from 1 to n is  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .

**Theorem** (2.4.22). The sum of squares from 1 to n is

$$\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

.

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_n\}$  be the sequence of integers from 1 to n. The formula for the summation of squares from 1 to n can be derived from the cube of n. By theorem 2.4.19,

$$n^3 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^3 - (k-1)^3$$

This summation is telescopic, and thus collapses to  $n^3 - (1-1)^3 = n^3$ . The expansion for  $(k-1)^3$  in that term of summation is  $k^3 - 3k^2 + 3k - 1$ , by the Binomial Theorem. Thus, yielding the algebraic identity

$$k^3 - (k-1)^3 = 3k^2 - 3k + 1$$

Hence,  $n^3 = \sum_{k=1}^n 3k^2 - 3k + 1$ , and by the field axioms,

$$n^{3} = \left(3\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}\right) - \left(3\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\right) + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} 1\right)$$

Note that  $(\sum_{k=1}^{n} 1) = n(1)$ , and by Theorem 2.4.21b,  $(3\sum_{k=1}^{n} k) = 3(\frac{n(n+1)}{2})$ . Thus,

$$n^{3} + 3\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n = 3\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}$$

Eliminating the coefficient 3 from the right-hand side by division gives us the sum of squares in terms of an equation,

$$\frac{1}{3}\left(n^3 + 3\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2$$

All that is left to do is to simplify the left-hand side  $\frac{1}{3}[n^3 + 3\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n] = \frac{2n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n - 2n}{6}$ . Factoring  $\frac{1}{6}n$  gives  $\frac{1}{6}n(2n^2 + 3n + 3 - 2) = \frac{1}{6}n(2n^2 + 2n + n + 1)$ . Factoring 2n out of the first two terms in the sum,  $\frac{1}{6}n[2n(n+1) + (n+1)]$ . The simplification process is complete by factoring (n+1) out of the sum,  $\frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ . Thus, the sum of squares

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

46

**Theorem** (2.4.25). Let m be a positive integer. The closed form formula for  $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor$  is  $\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{1}{6} (\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1) (4 \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor + 1) + (m - \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor^2 + 1) \rfloor$ .

*Proof.* By the properties for floor functions, there exists an integer  $n = \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor$  if and only if  $n^2 \leq k < n^2 + 2n + 1$ . Thus, each integer value  $n < \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor$  occurs exactly 2n+1 times, in the terms of summation. The value  $n = \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor$  occurs exactly  $(m - \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor^2 + 1)$  times. Subtracting those terms  $n = \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor$  from  $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor$  produces the sequence

$$\lfloor \sqrt{0} \rfloor (2 \lfloor \sqrt{0} \rfloor + 1) + \dots + (\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1) [2(\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1) + 1]$$

Summarily expressed as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{m}\rfloor - 1} n(2n+1)$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1} n(2n+1) \right) + \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (m - \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor^2 + 1)$$

That is, the summation of squares, and integers

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor = \left( 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1} n^2 \right) + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1} n \right) + \left[ \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (m - \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor^2 + 1) \right]$$

By Theorem 2.4.22, and by Theorem 2.4.21b

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor = \left\{ \frac{2}{6} \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1) [2(\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1) + 1] \right\} + \left\{ \frac{3}{6} \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1) \right\} + \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (m - \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor^2 + 1)$$

Factoring  $\frac{1}{6} \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1)$  out of the first two terms yields

$$\frac{1}{6} \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1) \{ 2[2(\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1) + 1] + 3 \} + \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (m - \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor^2 + 1)$$

And by arithmetic simplification that is

$$\frac{1}{6} \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1)(4 \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor + 1) + \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor (m - \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor^2 + 1)$$

Factoring  $\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor$  from the outer sum completes the derivation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt{k} \rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor \left[ \frac{1}{6} (\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1) (4 \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor + 1) + (m - \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor^2 + 1) \right]$$

**Theorem** (2.4.26). Let m be a positive integer. The closed form formula for  $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor$  is  $\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{1}{4} (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^2 - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor) (3 \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor + 1) + (m - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^3 + 1) \rfloor$ .

*Proof.* By the properties for floor functions there exists an integer  $n_k = \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor$  such that  $n_k^3 \leq k < n_k^3 + 3n_k^2 + 3n_k + 1$ . This means that each value less than  $\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor$  in the terms of summation occurs exactly  $3 \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor^2 + 3 \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor + 1$  times. The maximum value in the terms of summation occurs  $(m - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^3 + 1)$  times. Subtracting those terms consisting of the maximum value produces the sequence

$$n_0(3n_0^2+3n_0+1)+n_1(3n_1^2+3n_1+1)+\cdots+n_{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m}\rfloor -1}(3n_{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m}\rfloor -1}^2+3_{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m}\rfloor -1}+1)$$

Summarily expressed as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1} n(3n^2 + 3n + 1)$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1} n(3n^2 + 3n + 1) \right) + \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor (m - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^3 + 1)$$

That is, the summation of cubes, squares, and integers

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor = \left( 3 \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1} n^3 \right) + \left( 3 \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1} n^2 \right) + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1} n \right) + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor} n \right) + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor} n \right) + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1} n \right) + \left($$

By the closed form formula for each individual summation we have,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor = \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^2 (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1)^2 \right\} + \left\{ \frac{2}{4} \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1) [2(\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1) + 1] \right\} + \left\{ \frac{2}{4} \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor - 1) \right\} + \left\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor (m - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^3 + 1)$$

Algebraic simplification completes the derivation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \lfloor \sqrt[3]{k} \rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor \left[ \frac{1}{4} (\lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^2 - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor) (3 \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor + 1) + (m - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{m} \rfloor^3 + 1) \right]$$

**Theorem** (2.4.36). A subset of a countable set is countable.

*Proof.* Let A and B be sets such that  $A \subseteq B$ . B is countable by the hypothesis, and so by the definition for countability  $|B| \leq \aleph_0$ . By the definition of subset,  $|A| \leq |B| : |A| \leq \aleph_0$  and it follows that the subset of a countable set is countable.

**Theorem** (2.4.37). Let A, and B be sets such that  $A \subseteq B$ . If A is uncountable, then B is uncountable.

*Proof.* By the hypothesis,  $|A| > \aleph_0$  by the definition for countability since A is uncountable. By the definition for subset, the cardinality of B is at least the cardinality of A. Therefore the least cardinality for B is  $|B| > \aleph_0$ , and it follows that B is uncountable.

**Theorem** (2.4.38). Let A, and B be sets with equal cardinality. |P(A)| = |P(B)|.

*Proof.* The cardinality of a power set is 2 to the power of the set cardinality. By the hypothesis, |A| = |B| = n. Therefore,  $|P(A)| = 2^n$ , and  $|P(B)| = 2^n$ .

**Theorem** (2.4.40). The union of two countable sets is countable.

*Proof.* By cases. Let A, and B be countable sets. There are three cases that must be considered. (i) A and B are finite, (ii) exclusively A or B is finite and the other is countably infinite, (iii) A and B are both countably infinite.

- (i) Suppose A and B are finite. There exist natural numbers m, and n such that |A| = m and |B| = n. The maximum cardinality for  $A \cup B$  occurs when A and B are disjoint, where the cardinality is m + n. m + n is a natural number less than  $\aleph_0$ . Thus,  $A \cup B$  is finite and countable by definition.
- (ii) Without loss of generality suppose A is finite with cardinality n, and B is countably infinite. It must be that a sequence exists  $\{a_i\} = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots a_n\}$  containing all elements in A. Since a bijection exists between B and  $\mathbb{N}$  by the definition for countability, a sequence exists  $\{b_i\} = \{b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots\}$  containing all elements in B. Clearly, for the union of A and B a sequence exists  $\{c_i\} = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots\}$ . Infinite sequences are countable by definition, so  $A \cup B$  is a countably infinite set.
- (iii) Suppose A and B are infinitely countable sets. Since the set cardinalities are  $\aleph_0$ , A and B are bijective with  $\mathbb{N}$ . Thus, the elements in A can be ordered by the sequence  $\{a_i\} = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ , and the elements in B can be ordered by the sequence  $\{b_i\} = \{b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ . The union of A and B can be ordered by the sequence  $\{c_i\} = \{a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots\}$ . Thus a bijection exists between  $\mathbb{N}$  and the union of A and B, and the cardinality of that union is  $\aleph_0$ .

**Theorem** (2.4.41). The union of a countable number of countable sets is countable.

*Proof.* Let  $A_i$  be a countable set, for integers i=0 to  $n\leq\infty$  such that

$$S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} A_i$$

The function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to A_i$  is the sequence  $\{a_{ij}\} = a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \ldots$  Thus, by f, all elements  $a_{ij}$  in S can be listed in the second dimension

$$a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{02}, \dots$$
 $a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots$ 
 $a_{20}, a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots$ 
 $\vdots$ 

By tracing the diagonal path along the two dimensional listing for S we get the countable order

$$a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{10}, a_{20}, a_{11}, a_{02}, \dots$$

 $|S| \leq \aleph_0$ , and indeed the union of a countable number of countable sets is countable.

**Theorem** (2.4.42). The cardinality of  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  is aleph null.

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  is defined as  $\{\langle x,y \rangle | (x \in \mathbb{Z}^+) \land (y \in \mathbb{Z}^+) \}$ . Since x and y are positive integers, for every ordered pair  $\langle x,y \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\langle x,y \rangle$  exists if and only if the rational number  $\frac{x}{y}$  exists. Thus,  $\frac{x}{y}$  exists, and all elements in  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  can be represented by the two dimensional list

$$\langle 1, 1 \rangle \iff \frac{1}{1}, \langle 1, 2 \rangle \iff \frac{1}{2}, \langle 1, 3 \rangle \iff \frac{1}{3}, \dots$$

$$\langle 2, 1 \rangle \iff \frac{2}{1}, \langle 2, 2 \rangle \iff \frac{2}{2}, \langle 2, 3 \rangle \iff \frac{2}{3}, \dots$$

$$\langle 3, 1 \rangle \iff \frac{3}{1}, \langle 3, 2 \rangle \iff \frac{3}{2}, \langle 3, 3 \rangle \iff \frac{3}{3}, \dots$$

$$\vdots$$

The hypotheses in the biconditional converse statements for each list entry are the list elements in the proof for the countability of rational numbers. That means  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  is countable if and only if the rational numbers are countable. We know the rational numbers are countable. Therefore the cardinality of  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  is  $\aleph_0$ .

**Theorem** (2.4.43). The set of all finite bit strings is countable.

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_{n-1}\}$  be the sequence of bits for any finite bit string a(base-2) of length n. The unique base-2 expansion for  $\{a_{n-1}\}$  is the integer

$$a(\text{base-10}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i 2^i$$

Also, this integer can be converted to the unique base-2 bit string for a(base-10) by

$$a(\text{base-2}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [a(\text{base-10})(\text{mod } 2^{i+1})] 10^{i}$$

Since an invertible function exists between each finite bit string and some positive integer, there exists, a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the set of all finite bit strings. Thus, the cardinality for the set of all finite bit strings is  $\aleph_0$ , and the set of all finite bit strings is countable, by definition.