COM 5120 Communications Theory

Chapter 2 Deterministic and Random Signal Analysis

Jen-Ming Wu

Inst. Of Communications Engineering

Dept. of Electrical Engineering

National Tsing Hua University

Email: jmwu@ee.nthu.edu.tw

Fall, 2019

NTHU COM5120 -Communications Theory

Outline

- Deterministic Signal Analysis
 - Fourier and Hilbert analysis
 - Bandpass and lowpass signal representation

- Random Signal Analysis
 - Random variables
 - Bounds on tail probabilities
 - Random processes
 - Series expansion of random processes

Fourier Analysis

Fourier Transform

 \checkmark For a non-periodic signal x(t), $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(t)|^2 dt < \infty$

$$X(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) \exp(-j2\pi ft) dt$$
$$x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(f) \exp(j2\pi ft) df$$

Fourier Series

 \checkmark For a periodic signal x(t) with period T_0 , $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x_0(t)|^2 dt < \infty$

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_0(t - nT_0) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \exp(j2\pi nf_0 t)$$

where
$$c_n = \frac{1}{T_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_0(t) \exp(-j2\pi n f_0 t) dt$$
, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$

Please check the book for the F.T. pairs and properties.

Fourier Transform of Periodic Signals

• Given a periodic signal x(t) with period T_0 , $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x_0(t)|^2 dt < \infty$

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_0(t - nT_0) = x_0(t) * \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT_0)$$

• From Fourier transform pairs, it can be shown that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT_0) \leftrightarrow f_0 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(f - nf_0)$$
then $X(f) = X_0(f) F \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT_0) \right\}$

$$= X_0(f) f_0 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(f - nf_0)$$

$$= f_0 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} X_0(nf_0) \delta(f - nf_0)$$
where $X_0(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_0(t) \exp(-j2\pi ft) dt$

Fourier Transform of Periodic Signals


• For the periodic signal x(t) with period T_0 , $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x_0(t)|^2 dt < \infty$


$$x(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_0(t - nT_0) = x_0(t) * \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT_0)$$

$$X(f) = X_0(f)f_0 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(f - nf_0)$$

$$= f_0 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} X_0(f_0) \delta(f - nf_0)$$

✓ X(f) is a discrete signal





Hilbert Transform

Hilbert transform

$$\hat{x}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x(\tau)}{t - \tau} d\tau = x(t) * \frac{1}{\pi t}$$

Inverse Hilbert transform

$$x(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{x}(\tau)}{t - \tau} d\tau = -\hat{x}(t) * \frac{1}{\pi t}$$

- F.T. versus H.T. in communication systems?
 - The F.T. is used for evaluating the frequency content that provides the math basis for analyzing frequency response.
 - ➤ The H.T. shifts the phase of a signal so that the phase shift between signals can be utilized to separate signal in communication systems.

Hilbert Transform

• The Fourier Transform of H.T. pairs

$$x(t) \leftrightarrow X(f)$$

 $\hat{x}(t) \leftrightarrow \hat{X}(f)$

• What is the relation between X(f), and $\hat{X}(f)$?

Since
$$\hat{x}(t) = x(t) * \frac{1}{\pi t} \implies \hat{X}(f) = -j \operatorname{sgn}(f) X(f)$$

Note from F.T. pair:
$$\frac{1}{\pi t} \stackrel{F.T.}{\longleftrightarrow} -j \operatorname{sgn}(f)$$

• The Hilbert transform is called a quadrature filter that shift the phase of x(t) by $\pi/2$ in time domain.

$$Ex: x(t) = \cos(2\pi f_c t) \leftrightarrow \hat{x}(t) = \sin(2\pi f_c t)$$

Hilbert Transform Pairs

•
$$x(t) = \cos(2\pi f_c t) \leftrightarrow \hat{x}(t) = \sin(2\pi f_c t)$$


$$\therefore X(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\delta(f - f_c) + \delta(f + f_c) \right],$$


$$\hat{X}(f) = -j\operatorname{sgn}(f)X(f) = \frac{1}{2j} \left[\delta(f - f_c) - \delta(f + f_c) \right]$$

- $\sin(2\pi f_c t) \leftrightarrow -\cos(2\pi f_c t)$
- $\frac{\sin(t)}{\longleftrightarrow} \frac{1 \cos(t)}{}$
- t $\delta(t) \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\pi t}$ $\frac{1}{t} \leftrightarrow -\pi \delta(t)$

Hilbert Transform of Low Pass Signal

• Given the low-pass signal x(t) with H.T. $\hat{x}(t)$, then $\hat{X}(f) = \frac{1}{i} \operatorname{sgn}(f) X(f)$





Pre-envelopes

Motivation

Q1: How to represent positive/negative frequency parts of a signal in time domain?


Q2: How can we modify the frequency content of a real-valued signal x(t)? For example, elimination of the negative frequency components of a signal in time domain?

•Pre-envelope for positive frequency:

$$x_{+}(t) = \frac{1}{2}x(t) + \frac{J}{2}\hat{x}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow X_{+}(f) = \frac{1}{2}X(f) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sgn}(f)X(f)$$

$$= \begin{cases} X(f), & f > 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}X(0), & f = 0 \\ 0, & f < 0 \end{cases}$$



NTHU COM5120 -Communications Theory

Pre-envelopes

•Pre-envelope for negative frequency:

$$x_{-}(t) = \frac{1}{2}x(t) - \frac{\mathbf{j}}{2}\hat{x}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow X_{-}(f) = \frac{1}{2}X(f) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sgn}(f)X(f)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & f > 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}X(0), & f = 0 \\ X(f), & f < 0 \end{cases}$$

 The pre-envelope signal represents the positive or negative frequencies of a signal and is usually complex in time domain.

Pre-envelopes


- Properties of pre-envelope signal
- (1) $x_{+}(t) \leftrightarrow X_{+}(f)$: positive frequency part of X(f) $x_{-}(t) \leftrightarrow X_{-}(f)$: negative frequency part of X(f)
- (2) $x(t) = x_{+}(t) + x_{-}(t)$ $X(f) = X_{+}(f) + X_{-}(f)$
- (3) The positive and negative pre-envelopes are conjugate to each other,

$$x(t) = x^{*}(t)$$
 $\to X_{-}(f) = X_{+}^{*}(-f)$


Complex Envelope and Band-pass Signal

lacktriangle Given the real-valued symmetric band-pass signal x(t) with

$$X(f) = X_{+}(f) + X_{-}(f) = X_{+}(f) + X_{+}^{*}(-f)$$



• Define the low-pass signal of x(t) be $x_l(t) = x_l(t) + jx_Q(t)$ so that



$$X_{l}(f) = 2X_{+}(f + f_{0})$$

 $\rightarrow x_l(t) = x_I(t) + jx_Q(t)$ is called the complex envelope of x(t).

Q: What is the physical meaning of $x_I(t)$ and $x_Q(t)$ in the system?

• The passband signal can be represented

by the lowpass signal
$$X(f) = \frac{1}{2} [X_{l}(f - f_{0}) + X_{l}^{*}(-f - f_{0})]$$

Complex Envelope and Band-pass Signal

- Q: What is the relation of bandpass and lowpass signal in time domain? i.e. x(t) and $x_l(t)$ in the system?
- The positive pre-envelope of band-pass signal is

$$x_{+}(t) = \frac{1}{2}x_{l}(t) \exp(j2\pi f_{0}t) \quad i.e. \quad X_{+}(f) = \begin{cases} X(f), & f > 0 \\ 0, & f \le 0 \end{cases}$$

$$x_{l}(t) = 2x_{+}(t) \exp(-j2\pi f_{0}t)$$

$$= (x(t) + j\hat{x}(t)) \exp(-j2\pi f_{0}t)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{l}(t) \exp(j2\pi f_{0}t) = x(t) + j\hat{x}(t)$$

• As result, $x(t) = \text{Re}\left\{x_l(t)\exp(j2\pi f_0 t)\right\}$

$$= \underbrace{x_I(t)}_{\substack{\text{In-phase} \\ \text{component} \\ \text{of } x(t)}} \cos(2\pi f_o t) - \underbrace{x_Q(t)}_{\substack{\text{Quadrature} \\ \text{phase} \\ \text{component} \\ \text{of } x(t)}} \sin(2\pi f_o t)$$


Outline

- Deterministic Signal Analysis
 - Fourier and Hilbert analysis
 - Bandpass and lowpass signal representation

- Random Signal Analysis
 - Random variables
 - Bounds on tail probabilities
 - Random processes
 - Series expansion of random processes

Random Variable


• A random variable (r.v.) X is a mapping from the sample space S to a real number space R, i.e. $X:S \to R$



• A random variable can be defined by the distribution functions $F_{x}(x) = P_{x}[X \le x]$

or probability density function $f_X(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x)$

Discrete r.v. with discrete p.d.f.
 Continuous r.v. with continuous p.d.f.



Properties

1. Let g(X) be a function of r.v. X. The expectation of g(X) is

$$E[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(X) f_X(x) dx$$
or $\sum_{X} g(X) P_X(x)$

When
$$g(X) = X$$
, then $E[g(X)] = E[X] = \mu_X$ (mean)

When
$$g(X) = (X - \mu_X)^2$$
,
then $E[g(X)] = E[(X - \mu_X)^2] = \sigma_X^2$ (variance)

Properties

2. For two random variables *X* and *Y*, we say *X* and *Y* are:

- (1) independent if $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x) f_Y(y)$
- (2) uncorrelated if E[XY] = E[X]E[Y]

Properties

3. Moment generating function $\psi_X(s)$

$$g(X) = e^{sX}, \forall s$$
$$E[g(X)] = E[e^{sX}] \equiv \psi_X(s)$$

Moment generating function

$$\frac{d}{ds}\psi_X(s)\Big|_{s=0} = \mathrm{E}[X] = \mu_X$$
 First moment


$$\left. \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \psi_X(s) \right|_{s=0} = \mathrm{E}[X^2] = \sigma_X^2 + \mu_X^2$$
 Second moment

Bounds on tail probability

(1) Markov Inequality

Given a non-negative r.v. X, and $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$P[X \ge \alpha] \le \frac{E[X]}{\alpha}$$




proof

$$E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx \ge \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx$$
$$\ge \alpha \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = \alpha P[X \ge \alpha]$$

Bounds on tail probability

(2) Chernov Bound



$$P[X \ge \alpha] \le e^{-s\alpha} \psi_X(s), \forall \alpha > E[X]$$

Proof

$$P[X \ge \alpha] = P[e^{sX} \ge e^{s\alpha}]$$

By Markov inequality

$$P\left[e^{sX} \ge e^{s\alpha}\right] \le \frac{E\left[e^{sX}\right]}{e^{s\alpha}} = e^{-s\alpha}\psi_X(s), \forall \alpha > E[X], \forall s > 0$$

- ✓ Note:
 - The Chernov bound is a function of s.
 - There exists an optimal value of *s* that minimize the Chernov bound which is subject to the distribution function of *X*.

(1) Bernoulli random variable X

$$P[X = 1] = p, P[X = 0] = 1 - p$$

 $\mu_X = E[X] = p$
 $\sigma_X^2 = E[(X - \mu_X)^2] = p(1 - p)$

(2) Binomial random variable X

$$X = \text{Sum of } n \text{ indep. Bernoulli r.v.'s } = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

$$P[X = k] = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}, k = 0,1,...,n$$

$$\mu_X = np$$
 ; $\sigma_X^2 = np(1-p)$

(3) Gaussian random variable X

X is a Gaussian r.v. if


$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_X^2}} e^{\frac{-(X - \mu_X)^2}{2\sigma_X^2}},$$
denoted by $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$

 \rightarrow completely defined by μ_X and σ_X^2 (degree of freedom = 2)

Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, define Q-function

$$Q(x) \equiv 1 - F_X(x) = P[X \ge x]$$

$$= \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}} dt , x > \mu_X$$



= The tail probability of a Gaussian function

*Note:

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) \equiv \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-t^{2}} dt \implies Q(x) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

Let
$$X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_X^2)$$
, then

Let
$$X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_X^2)$$
, then
$$P[X \ge x] = \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_X} e^{\frac{-t^2}{2\sigma_X^2}} dt = Q\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_X}\right)$$

Chernov bound of Gaussian random variable

$$Q(x) \le \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right)$$

proof

By Chernov bound

$$Q(x) \le e^{-sx} \Psi_X(s) = e^{-sx} E[e^{sX}], \forall s$$

The tightest bound (i.e. min.) of the Chernov bound occurs when

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left\{ e^{-sx} \mathbf{E} \left[e^{sX} \right] \right\} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-sx} \mathbf{E} \left[X e^{sX} \right] - \lambda e^{-sx} \mathbf{E} \left[e^{sX} \right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E} \left[X e^{sX} \right] = x \mathbf{E} \left[e^{sX} \right]$$

where

(i)
$$E\left[e^{sX}\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{st} e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{s^2}{2} - st + \frac{t^2}{2}\right)\right] dt \cdot e^{\frac{s^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{s^2}{2}}$$

(ii)
$$E[Xe^{sX}] = \frac{d}{ds}E[e^{sX}] = se^{\frac{s^2}{2}}$$

$$E[Xe^{sX}] - xE[e^{sX}] = 0 \implies se^{\frac{s^2}{2}} - xe^{\frac{s^2}{2}} = 0 \implies s = x$$

The min Chernov bound at s = x is then

$$Q(x) \le e^{-sx} E[e^{sX}] = e^{-sx} e^{\frac{s^2}{2}} = e^{-x^2} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}, \text{ Q.E.D}$$

26

Tighter than the Chernov bound?

■ It can be shown that $Q(x) \le \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right)$

Proof: Given $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$, then

$$(Q(x))^{2} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u^{2}}{2}} du\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{v^{2}}{2}} dv\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u^{2}+v^{2}}{2}} du dv$$


 \boldsymbol{x}

Let
$$r^2 = u^2 + v^2$$
, $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u} \right)$

$$\Rightarrow (Q(x))^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{2}} r dr d\theta$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\sqrt{2}x}^{\infty} re^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dr \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta'$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[-e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \right]_{-}^{\infty} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{1}{4} e^{-x^2}, \quad \therefore Q(x) \le \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$



(4) Complex random variable

$$X = X_1 + j X_2$$

Let X_1 and X_2 be jointly Gaussian r.v. with joint prob. density $f(X_1, X_2)$.


$$\mu_X = \mu_{X_1} + j\mu_{X_2}; \sigma_X^2 = E[(X - \mu_X)(X - \mu_X)^*]$$

If X_1 and X_2 are *i.i.d.* (independent and identically distributed)

Gaussian r.v.'s with $\sigma_{X_1}^2 = \sigma_{X_2}^2$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_{X_1}^2 + \sigma_{X_2}^2$$

In this case, X is called **circularly symmetric** complex Gaussian or $X \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$




(5) Rayleigh distributed random variable

If X_1 and X_2 are i.i.d. Gaussian r.v.'s with X_1 , $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, then $X = \sqrt{X_1^2 + X_2^2}$ is a

Rayleigh r.v. with PDF:

$$f_X(x) = \frac{x}{\sigma^2} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma^2}}, x > 0$$

$$\mu_X = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \; ; \sigma_X^2 = \left(2 - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sigma^2$$



Example: Let $Y = X_1 + j X_2$, which is a complex Gaussian random variable.

If
$$X = |Y|$$
, then $X = \sqrt{X_1^2 + X_2^2}$ is a Rayleigh distributed r.v.

(6) Rician distributed random variable


If X_1 and X_2 are i.i.d. Gaussian r.v.'s with X_1 , $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

then $X = \sqrt{X_1^2 + X_2^2}$ is a **Rician r.v.**

$$f_X(x) = \frac{x}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + u^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) I_o(\frac{u x}{\sigma^2}), \ x > 0$$

$$\mu_X = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-\frac{K}{2}} \left[(1+K)I_0(\frac{K}{2}) + KI_1(\frac{K}{2}) \right];$$

$$E[X^2]=2 \sigma^2 + 2\mu$$
, where $K = \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2}$



Example: Let $Y = X_1 + j X_2$, which is a complex Gaussian random variable.

If
$$X = |Y|$$
, then $X = \sqrt{X_1^2 + X_2^2}$ is a Rician distributed r.v.

(7) Chi-squared (χ^2) distributed random variable


If X_i , i=1,2,...,n are i.i.d. Gaussian r.v. with

$$X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$
 , then $X = X_1^2 + X_2^2 \dots + X_n^2$

is a Chi-square r.v. with n-degrees of freedom with

pdf

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2^{n/2} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \sigma^n} x^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} e^{\frac{-x}{2\sigma^2}}, x > 0$$




where $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the Gamma function

Special case : n = 2, $X = X_1^2 + X_2^2$ is the power of complex Gaussian r.v. $Y = X_1 + jX_2$

Random Vector

 $\underline{X} = [X_1, X_2, ..., X_N]^T$ is a finite collection of r.v.'s mapping from S to R^n

e.g.
$$R^3$$



where X_i are real or complex random variables.

Q: Could you identify examples of application scenario using random vector in communication systems?

Gaussian random vector X

 \underline{X} is joint Gaussian and is characterized by its joint distribution function with mean vector

$$\underline{\mu}_{X} = [\mu_{X_1}, \mu_{X_2}, ..., \mu_{X_N}]^{T}$$

and covariance matrix

$$C_{\underline{X}} = E[(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}})(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}})^{*T}] \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$$

The joint distribution function


$$f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{X}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \cdot |C_{\underline{X}}|^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}})^{\mathrm{T}} C_{\underline{X}}^{-1} (\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}})\right]$$


where $|C_{\underline{X}}|$ = determinant of $C_{\underline{X}}$


Random Process (r. p.)

A r.p. $\{X(t)\}$ is an infinite collections of r.v.'s with mapping onto

a functional space







For fixed t_0 , $X(t) \equiv X(t_0)$ is a random variable

For fixed s_i , $X(t) \equiv X_i(t)$ is a sample function

A r.p. X(t) is completely characterized by all finite order distributions

$$F_{X(t_1),X(t_2),...,X(t_n)}(x_1,x_2,...,x_n), \forall t_1,...t_n, \forall n$$

Statistical Properties

- (1) Mean : $\mu_X(t) = E[X(t)] \Rightarrow$ a time function
- (2) Autocorrelation : $R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[X(t_1)X^*(t_2)]$
- (3) Cross-correlation : $R_{XY}(t_1, t_2) = E[X(t_1)Y^*(t_2)]$

Remarks

We say two random processes X(t) and Y(t) are :

(1) Uncorrelated if

$$E[X(t_1)Y(t_2)] = E[X(t_1)]E[Y(t_2)]$$
$$= \mu_X(t_1)\mu_Y(t_2), \forall t_1, t_2$$

- (2) Orthogonal if $E[X(t_1)Y(t_2)] = 0, \forall t_1, t_2$
- (3) Independent if

$$F_{XY}(x_1...x_n, y_1...y_n) = F_X(x_1...x_n)F_Y(y_1...y_n)$$

or $f_{XY}(x_1...x_n, y_1...y_n) = f_X(x_1...x_n)f_Y(y_1...y_n), \forall n$

Stationary random process

(1) Strict Sense Stationary (SSS)

For $\forall n$, the r.v.'s $\{X(t_1), ..., X(t_n)\}$ and $\{X(t_1 + \tau), ..., X(t_n + \tau)\}$ are identically distributed, i.e.

$$f_{X(t_1)...X(t_n)}(x_1...x_n) = f_{X(t_1+\tau)...X(t_n+\tau)}(x_1...x_n), \forall n$$

- ✓ Q: What is the physical meaning of stationary?
 - A: A stationary process means that the observation of X(t) statistics is shift-invariant.
- ✓ SSS concerns any high order of statistics and is generally difficult to prove.

Stationary random process

(2) Wide Sense Stationary (WSS)

A r.p. is said to be WSS if

- (i) Mean: $\mu_{v}(t) = \text{Const.}$
- (ii) Autocorrelation: a function of time difference $t_1 t_2$ only.

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[X(t_1)X^*(t_2)]$$


$$= E[X(t_1 + \tau)X^*(t_2 + \tau)]$$

$$= R_X(t_1 - t_2)$$

- ✓ WSS concerns only the 1^{st} and the 2^{nd} orders of statistics.
- ✓ Q: Why should we care about WSS?

A: The signal amplitude and power are what we can observe typically. 39

Stationary random process



(3) Cyclo-Stationary (Cyclo-S)

X(t) is cyclo-stationary with period T if

$$f_{X(t_1)...X(t_n)}(x_1...x_n) = f_{X(t_1+T)...X(t_n+T)}(x_1...x_n),$$

$$\forall n, \forall (t_1,...,t_n)$$

✓ The statistical properties are periodic.

(4) Wide-Sense Cyclo-Stationary (WSCS)

A r.p. X(t) is WSCS if the mean and autocorrelation functions are periodic.

(i)
$$E[X(t)] = E[X(t+kT)]$$
, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$

(ii)
$$R_X(t_1,t_2) = R_X(t_1 + kT,t_2 + kT), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall t_1,t_2$$

Ex. Let s(t) be a finite energy signal pulse and the discrete r.p. b_k be WSS with mean μ_b and the autocorrelation depends on n only, $R_b(n) = E[b_{k+n}b_k^*]$ Then the transmitted waveform with period T is

$$X(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b_k s(t - kT)$$

which is WS cyclo-stationary.

Proof (i) The 1st order statistics

$$\mu_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[X(t)] = \sum_k \mathbb{E}[b_k] s(t-kT)$$

$$= \mu_b \sum_k s(t-kT) = \mu_b \sum_k s(t+T-kT)$$

$$= \mu_X(t+T)$$

proof

(ii) The 2nd order statistics

$$\begin{split} R_{X}\left(t_{1},t_{2}\right) &= \mathbf{E}\left[X\left(t_{1}\right)X^{*}\left(t_{2}\right)\right], \qquad \forall t_{1},t_{2} \\ &= \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \mathbf{E}\left[b_{k}b_{l}^{*}\right] s\left(t-kT\right)s^{*}\left(t-lT\right) \\ &= \sum_{k} \sum_{l} R_{b}\left(k-l\right) s\left(t-kT\right)s^{*}\left(t-lT\right) \\ &= \sum_{k} \sum_{l} R_{b}\left[\left(k-1\right)-\left(l-1\right)\right] s\left(t+T-kT\right) s^{*}\left(t+T-lT\right) \\ &= R_{X}\left(t_{1}+T,t_{2}+T\right) \end{split}$$

From (i) and (ii), X(t) is wide sense cyclo-stationary.

Gaussian Random Process

Def. X(t) is a real Gaussian r.p. if $\forall n, \forall (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ $X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian random variables with joint PDF

$$f_X\left(x_1,\dots x_n\right) = \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{n/2} \cdot \left|\Lambda\right|^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{\boldsymbol{X}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_X\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \Lambda^{-1} \left(\underline{\boldsymbol{X}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_X\right)\right]$$

where

$$\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{X} = \mathbf{E}\left[\underline{\boldsymbol{X}}\right], \quad \boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\underline{\boldsymbol{X}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{X}\right) \left(\underline{\boldsymbol{X}} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{X}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$$

$$= E\left\{\begin{bmatrix} \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{X_{1}}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{N} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{X_{N}}\right) \end{bmatrix} \left[\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{X_{1}}\right), \dots, \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{N} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{X_{N}}\right) \right]\right\}$$

 $\Rightarrow f_X(x_1,...x_n)$ is characterized by μ_Y and Λ , which is determined by the 2nd order statistics $\mu_X(t)$ and $R_X(t_1,t_2)$, $\forall t_1,t_2$ 43

Gaussian Random Process

Def. X(t) is a complex Gaussian r.p. if $\forall n$, $\forall t_1, ..., t_n, X(t_1), ..., X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian complex random variables with joint PDF

$$f_X(x_1, \dots x_n) = \frac{1}{\pi^n \cdot |\Lambda|} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_X)^H \Lambda^{-1} (\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_X) \right]$$

 $\Rightarrow f_X(x_1,...x_n)$ is characterized by

$$\mu_X(t)$$
 and $R_{XX^*}(t_1,t_2) = E[X(t_1)X^*(t_2)]$

*Note : A WSS real Gaussian r.p. X(t)i.e. $\mu_X(t)$ = Const. and $R_X(t_1,t_2) = R_X(t_1-t_2)$ then X(t) is also a SSS

Ergodicity

Def. X(t) is ergodic in the mean if

$$E[X(t)] = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} X(t) dt = \mu_X$$
statistical average time average

Def. X(t) is ergodic in the autocorrelation if

$$E[X(t+\tau)X^*(t)] = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} X(t+\tau)X^*(t)dt$$

time-average autocorrelation

*Note: With the assumption of ergodic r.p., we can obtain the $\mu_X(t)$ and $R_X(t_1,t_2)$ and hence the PDF of a Gaussian r.p.

Transmission of a r.p. through a

Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) system

ullet Passing a deterministic signal x(t) through a LTI system h(t)

$$x(t) \xrightarrow{\text{LTI}} y(t) = x(t) * h(t)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t - \tau) x(\tau) d\tau$$

ullet Passing a r.p. X(t) through a LTI system h(t)

$$X(t) \longrightarrow h(t) \qquad Y(t)$$

$$Y(t) = \int h(t-\tau) \ X(\tau) d\tau$$

✓ The convolution relationship still holds.

Passing a WSS r.p. X(t) through a LTI system h(t)

Mean:
$$\mu_{Y}(t) = E[Y(t)] = E[\int h(\tau) X(t-\tau) d\tau]$$

$$= \int h(\tau) E[X(t-\tau)] d\tau$$

$$= \mu_{X} \int h(\tau) d\tau = \mu_{X} H(0) = const$$

Autocorrelation:

$$R_{Y}(t_{1},t_{2}) = E[Y(t_{1})Y^{*}(t_{2})]$$

$$= E[\int h(\tau_{1})X(t_{1}-\tau_{1})d\tau_{1} \cdot \int h^{*}(\tau_{2})X^{*}(t_{2}-\tau_{2})d\tau_{2}]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_{1}h(\tau_{1})\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_{2}h^{*}(\tau_{2})E[X(t_{1}-\tau_{1})X^{*}(t_{2}-\tau_{2})]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_{1}h(\tau_{1})\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_{2}h^{*}(\tau_{2})R_{X}(t_{1}-t_{2}-\tau_{1}+\tau_{2})$$

Passing a WSS r.p. X(t) through a LTI system h(t)

Let
$$\tau = t_1 - t_2$$

$$R_Y(t_1, t_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_1 h(\tau_1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_2 h^*(\tau_2) R_X(\tau - \tau_1 + \tau_2)$$

$$= R_X(\tau) * h(\tau) * h^*(-\tau) \Rightarrow R_Y(t_1, t_2) \text{ is } f_X \text{ of } \tau$$

$$= R_Y(\tau) H(f) H^*(f)$$

✓ The LTI output Y(t) is still WSS!

Note
$$\square$$
 f we define $S_X(f) = \mathscr{F}\{R_X(\tau)\}$
 $S_Y(f) = \mathscr{F}\{R_Y(\tau)\}$
then $S_Y(f) = S_X(f) |H(f)|^2$

 $S_{X}(f)$ is called the power spectral density of X(t).

Spectral Analysis

Define: The power spectral density of X(t)

is
$$S(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_X(\tau) e^{-j2\pi f \tau} d\tau$$

Properties of S(f)

(1)
$$S_X(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_X(\tau) d\tau \Rightarrow \text{d.c. power of } X(t)$$

(2) Average power =
$$E[X^2(t)] = R_X(0)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_X(f) df \qquad \rightarrow \text{Area of PSD}$$

where
$$R_X(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_X(f) e^{j2\pi f\tau} df$$

Properties of S(f)

- (3) Non-negative $S_X(f) \ge 0$, $\forall f$
- (4) If X(t) is real valued r.p., then S(f) = S(-f)

proof

Since
$$R_X\left(\tau\right) = \mathrm{E}\left[X\left(t_1\right)X\left(t_2\right)\right]$$
 (where $t_1 - t_2 = \tau$ by definition)
$$R_X\left(-\tau\right) = \mathrm{E}\left[X\left(t_2\right)X\left(t_1\right)\right] \quad (t_2 - t_1 = -\tau)$$


$$R_X\left(\tau\right) = R_X\left(-\tau\right),$$
 so $S_X\left(-f\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_X\left(\tau\right)e^{j2\pi f\tau}d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_X\left(-\tau\right)e^{j2\pi f\tau}d\tau$ Let $\tau' = -\tau \Rightarrow S_X\left(-f\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_X\left(\tau'\right)e^{-j2\pi f\tau'}d\tau' = S_X\left(f\right)$

Serial Expansion of Random Process

(1) Sampling Theorem (for deterministic signals)

Let the deterministic real signal x(t) be bandlimited with bandwidth W then x(t) can be represented by the discrete samples x[n] = x(nT)

at Nyquist rate $\frac{1}{T} \ge 2W$, i.e.



- **Q**: Do we have an equivalent sampling theorem for the random process?
- ✓ Can we represent the r.p. with a set of random variables?

For a bandlimited r.p. X(t) with $S_X(f) = 0, |f| \ge W$

 \Rightarrow There are different ways to expand X(t)

Serial Expansion of Random Process

(2) Karhunen-Loève (K-L) Expansion (1955)

If $\{\phi_n(t)\}$ are the eigen functions of COV function of X(t), then we have $\{X_n\}$ to be mutually uncorrelated

 \Rightarrow Use the least number of r.v. $\{X_n\}$ to represent X(t)

K - L expansion :
$$X(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \phi_n(t)$$
, $a < t < b$

where
$$X_n = \langle X(t), \varphi_n(t) \rangle = \int_a^b X(t) \varphi_n^*(t) dt$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} |\varphi_{n}(t)|^{2} dt = 1, \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(t) \varphi_{m}^{*}(t) dt = \begin{cases} 1, & n = m \\ 0, & n \neq m \end{cases}$$

The basis $\varphi_n(t)$ are eigen functions of the cov function,

$$\int_{a}^{b} C_{X}(t_{1}, t_{2}) \varphi_{n}(t_{2}) dt_{2} = \lambda_{n} \varphi_{n}(t), a < t < b$$
and
$$C_{X}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E\left\{ \left[X(t_{1}) - \mu_{X}(t_{1}) \right] \left[X(t_{2}) - \mu_{X}(t_{2}) \right]^{*} \right\}$$

$$= R_{X}(t_{1}, t_{2}) - \mu_{X}(t_{1}) \mu_{X}^{*}(t_{2})$$

It can be shown that $\{X_n\}$ are uncorrelated,

i.e.
$$COV[X_n, X_m] = E[(X_n - \mu_{X_n})(X_m - \mu_{X_m})^*] = \begin{cases} \lambda_n, & \text{if } n = m \\ 0, & \text{if } n \neq m \end{cases}$$

It can be also shown that the $C_X(t_1, t_2)$ can be decomposed as

$$C_X(t_1,t_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(t_1) \phi_n^*(t_2), a < t_1, t_2 < b$$

This is called Mercer's Theorem

HW #1 Due: 10/3/2019 Thur