ME EN 2450 Assignment HW 7

ept for source material explicitly ac-
ulations on honesty in academic work, o breaches of such policy and regula-
11/13/2024
Date u1467634
Student ID

Q1 _____/12 Q2 _____/10

Total: /22
Extra credit /2

Q1. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix

Consider the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 8 & 10 \\ 8 & 4 & 5 \\ 10 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) (2 points) To find eigenvalues of this matrix using the polynomial method, derive the characteristic equation (i.e., the equation that takes the form of polynomial = 0).
- (b) (2 points) To solve the characteristic equation, use **a root finding code (any method) you previously wrote**. You need to find at least one root (i.e., one eigenvalue for the linear system). Submit both your code and your result.
- (c) (2 point) Find all eigenvalues using **the same code** and clearly explain how you achieved that.
- (d) (4 points) **Independently**, write your own code to use the Power Method to determine both the largest and the smallest eigenvalues of the matrix.

Carry out 5 iterations for each eigenvalue.

Calculate the approximate relative error at each iteration.

Note that you code should be able to handle a general square matrix of any size

(Hint 01: Refer to Examples 27.7 and 27.8 in the textbook.).

(Hint 02: You can use built-in functions in Matlab or Python to find the matrix inverse.)

- (e) (2 points) Ask ChatGPT or similar Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools available online (BingChat, Bard, Claude, etc) to write a piece of code for you with the same requirements specified in (d). Test the code and write a short (1 to 3 sentences) compare and contrast between your own code and code generated by the AI tool.
- (f) (Extra Credit 2 points) Try to improve your own code so that it is at least better than the AI code in one aspect (any aspect would be fine).

Clearly define this particular aspect you choose in 1 sentence and then explain why your code is better. *NOTE: Dr. Pai and the TA team will not answer any questions regarding this extra credit task.*

Please also study **Lecture18_notes_PowerMethod.pdf** in the Lecture folder before you attempt this question.

NOTE: The largest/smallest eigenvalues are defined in terms of their magnitudes regardless of the positive or negative sign.

Q2: ODE eigenvalue problem

An axially loaded wood column (simply supported on both ends) has the following characteristics:

- $E = 10 \times 10^9 [Pa]$
- $I = 1.25 \times 10^{-5} [m^4]$
- L = 3[m]

$$P = \pi^2 \frac{EI}{L^2} \,, \tag{1}$$

where P is the analytical solution for the critical buckling load.

Reference Equations 27.17, 27.18, 27.20, and Examples 27.7 and 27.8 of the textbook for this question.

- 1. (1 point) Calculate the analytical buckling load of the first mode (n = 1) using Equation 1.
- 2. (2 points) By coding, use Power Method in Matlab or Python which takes two inputs: the matrix and the number of iterations.
 - The code should return the **smallest** eigenvalue. Submit your code.
 - (Hint 01: You can use the same code as Q1, either the AI-generated one or your own one.)
 - (Hint 02: You can use built-in functions in Matlab or Python to find the matrix inverse.)
- 3. (3 points) Using finite differences [see Equation 27.18 of the textbook], set up the coefficient matrix that results from using 5 nodes (2 boundary nodes and 3 interior nodes), evenly distributed along the column. By calling your Power Method function, compute the buckling load after 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 iterations. Submit your code, the tabulated results of the numerically-approximated buckling load vs. Power Method iterations.
- 4. (4 points) Increase BOTH the level of discretization (i.e., putting more nodes along the column) AND the number of iterations in Power Method, such that the numerically-approximated buckling load is within 1% from the analytical value. (i.e., relative true error < 1%)

Please also study **Lecture18_notes_ColumnBuckling.pdf** in the Lecture folder before you attempt this question.

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
def newtonRaphson( func, delta, guess, tolerance= 1 * 10**-10, maxIterations=10):
    nIterations = 0
    while (np.abs(func(guess)) > tolerance) and (nIterations < maxIterations):</pre>
        dfunc = (func(guess + delta) - func(guess - delta))/(2*delta)
        guess = guess - (func(guess)/dfunc)
        nIterations += 1
        if nIterations == maxIterations:
            print('Max iterations reached')
    return [guess, nIterations]
def seidel_solve(A, b, x, tol=1e-6, max_iter=10):
    n = len(b)
    iter = 0
    while iter < max_iter:</pre>
        previous_x = x.copy() # Make a full copy of the current solution
        for i in range(n):
            sigma = 0
            for j in range(n):
                if i != j:
                    sigma += A[i][j] * x[j]
            x[i] = (b[i] - sigma) / A[i][i] # Gauss-Seidel update
        if max(np.abs(np.subtract(previous_x, x))) < tol:</pre>
            return x
        iter += 1
    print("Warning: Max iterations exceeded without convergence")
    return x
def polvnomial(x):
    #determinant
    return (2-x)*((4-x)*(7-x) - 5*5) - 8*(8*(7-x) - 5*10) + 10*(8*5 - (4-x)*10)
def power method(A, number iterations=5, option='ones', return errors=False):
    count = 0
    lambda_prev = 1
    errors_max = np.zeros(number_iterations)
    lambda_max_new = 0
    lambda min new = 0
    #Finding max lambda
    if option == 'ones':
        b = np.ones(len(A))
    else:
        b = np.random.random(len(A))
    while count< number_iterations:
        eigenvec = np.linalg.matmul(A, b)
        lambda_max_new = np.linalg.norm(eigenvec)
        b = eigenvec/lambda_max_new
        errors_max[count] = ((lambda_max_new-lambda_prev)/lambda_max_new)
        lambda_prev = lambda_max_new
        count += 1
    #Finding min lambda
    count = 0
    lambda_prev = 1
    A_1 = np.linalg.inv(A)
    errors min = np.zeros(number iterations)
    if option == 'ones':
        b = np.ones(len(A))
    else:
        b = np.random.random(len(A))
    while count< number_iterations:
        eigenvec = np.linalg.matmul(A 1, b)
        lambda_min_new = np.linalg.norm(eigenvec)
        b = eigenvec/lambda_min_new
        errors_min[count] = ((lambda_min_new-lambda_prev)/lambda_min_new)
        lambda_prev = lambda_min_new
        count += 1
    lambda_min_new = 1/lambda_min_new
    if not return errors:
        return lambda_max_new, lambda_min_new
    return lambda_max_new, lambda_min_new, errors_max, errors_min
```

```
#method created by copilot
def power method AI(A, num iterations):
    n, m = np.shape(A)
    assert n == m, "Matrix must be square"
    # Initial vector (can be random)
    b_k = np.random.rand(n)
    # Power method for largest eigenvalue
    largest eigenvalue = None
    for i in range(num_iterations):
        # Calculate the matrix-by-vector product Ab
        b_k1 = np.dot(A, b_k)
        # Calculate the norm
        b_k1_norm = np.linalg.norm(b_k1)
        # Re-normalize the vector
        b_k = b_{k1} / b_{k1_norm}
        # Approximate eigenvalue
        largest_eigenvalue = np.dot(b_k.T, np.dot(A, b_k))
        # Calculate the approximate relative error
        error = np.linalg.norm(np.dot(A, b_k) - largest_eigenvalue * b_k) / np.linalg.norm(np.dot(A, b_k))
        print(f"AI Largest Eigenvalue Iteration {i+1}: {largest_eigenvalue:.3}, Error: {error:.3}")
    # Inverse power method for smallest eigenvalue
    smallest_eigenvalue = None
    for i in range(num iterations):
        # Solve the system of linear equations Ax = b
       b_k1 = np.linalg.solve(A, b_k)
        # Calculate the norm
       b_k1_norm = np.linalg.norm(b_k1)
        # Re-normalize the vector
        b_k = b_{k1} / b_{k1}_{norm}
        # Approximate eigenvalue (inverse of the largest eigenvalue of A^-1)
        smallest_eigenvalue = 1/np.dot(b_k.T, np.dot(A, b_k))
        # Calculate the approximate relative error
        error = np.linalg.norm(np.dot(A, b_k) - 1/(smallest_eigenvalue) * b_k) / np.linalg.norm(np.dot(A, b_k))
       print(f"AI Smallest Eigenvalue Iteration {i+1}: {smallest_eigenvalue:.3}, Error: {error:.3}")
   return largest_eigenvalue, smallest_eigenvalue
root1, iters = newtonRaphson(polynomial, .001, 2)
root2, iters = newtonRaphson(polynomial, .001, -7)
root3, iters = newtonRaphson(polynomial, .001, 15)
x_guesses = np.arange(-10, 20, .01)
y = [polynomial(i) for i in x_guesses]
# plt.figure()
# plt.plot(x, y)
# plt.title('Characteristic Equation')
# plt.show()
# print(f'roots: {root1}, {root2}, {root3}')
# I found the roots by plotting the characteristic equation then taking guesses for where
# each of the roots are, then putting those guesses as the initial values into my root finding method
# power method:
matrix = [
    [2, 8, 10],
    [8, 4, 5],
    [10, 5, 7]
```

```
data = {
    'error lMax' : el,
    'error lMin' : e2
}

df = pd.DataFrame(data)
df = df.rename_axis(index='Iteration')

print(df)
print(f'Largest eigenvalue, my code: {11}')
print(f'smallest eigenvalue, my code: {12}')
print()

print( power_method_AI(matrix, 5))

# My code is better than the AI code because it gets the answer right. The AI code forgot to takw the
# inverse of matrix A, Additionally my code is better because it has the ability to set what style of
# initial eigenvector is used, and uses parameter presets/overrides for simplicity.

# My code also has the additional functionality of returning the errors to analyze convergence. This could
# be a powerful tool when verifying a solution
```

11, 12, e1, e2 = power_method(matrix, return_errors=True)

```
error lMax
                           error lMin
Iteration
0
           9.708021e-01 -6.000726e-01
1
          -7.224298e-01 8.143134e-01
2
           3.041438e-06
                        9.650315e-03
3
           2.372011e-07
                         2.148230e-06
           3.087978e-08 4.771959e-10
Largest eigenvalue, my code: 19.884235934605204
Smallest eigenvalue, my code: 0.29424417485899357
AI Largest Eigenvalue Iteration 1: 18.2, Error: 0.34
AI Largest Eigenvalue Iteration 2: 19.6, Error: 0.127
AI Largest Eigenvalue Iteration 3: 19.9, Error: 0.046
AI Largest Eigenvalue Iteration 4: 19.9, Error: 0.0166
AI Largest Eigenvalue Iteration 5: 19.9, Error: 0.006
AI Smallest Eigenvalue Iteration 1: 0.0503, Error: 0.0166
AI Smallest Eigenvalue Iteration 2: 0.0504, Error: 0.046
AI Smallest Eigenvalue Iteration 3: 0.0509, Error: 0.127
AI Smallest Eigenvalue Iteration 4: 0.055, Error: 0.34
AI Smallest Eigenvalue Iteration 5: 0.135, Error: 0.844
(np.float64(19.883710603451952), np.float64(0.1345631978220767))
```

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
def power method(A, number iterations=5, option='ones', return errors=False):
    count = 0
    lambda\_prev = 1
    errors_max = np.zeros(number_iterations)
    lambda_max_new = 0
    lambda min new = 0
    #Finding max lambda
    if option == 'ones':
       b = np.ones(len(A))
    else:
       b = np.random.random(len(A))
    while count< number iterations:
        eigenvec = np.linalg.matmul(A, b)
        lambda_max_new = np.linalg.norm(eigenvec)
        b = eigenvec/lambda_max_new
        errors_max[count] = ((lambda_max_new-lambda_prev)/lambda_max_new)
        lambda_prev = lambda_max_new
        count += 1
    #Finding min lambda
    count = 0
    lambda_prev = 1
    A_1 = np.linalg.inv(A)
    errors min = np.zeros(number iterations)
    if option == 'ones':
       b = np.ones(len(A))
    else:
        b = np.random.random(len(A))
    while count< number_iterations:
        eigenvec = np.linalg.matmul(A 1, b)
        lambda_min_new = np.linalg.norm(eigenvec)
        b = eigenvec/lambda min new
        errors_min[count] = ((lambda_min_new-lambda_prev)/lambda_min_new)
        lambda_prev = lambda_min_new
       count += 1
    lambda_min_new = 1/lambda_min_new
    if not return_errors:
        return lambda_max_new, lambda_min_new
    return lambda_max_new, lambda_min_new, errors_max, errors_min
def buckling_load_analytical(nodes):
   E = 10*10**9
                       #Pa
   I = 1.25*10**-5
                       #m^4
   L = 3
   return np.pi**2 * E * I /( L**2)
def load_from_p_squared(pp):
   E = 10*10**9
                        #Pa
    I = 1.25*10**-5
                        #m^4
    return pp*(E*I)
def create_buckling_matrix(num_interior_nodes):
    A = np.zeros((num_interior_nodes, num_interior_nodes))
    A = A + np.diag(2 * np.ones(num interior nodes))
    A = A + np.diag(-1 * np.ones(num_interior_nodes - 1), 1)
    A = A + np.diag(-1 * np.ones(num_interior_nodes - 1), -1)
    return A
def solve_buckling_load(number_nodes, number_iterations):
    num_interior_nodes = number_nodes - 2
    A = create_buckling_matrix(num_interior_nodes)
    L = 3
    lmax, lmin = power_method(A, number_iterations)
    h_squared = (L / (number_nodes-1))**2
    p_squared = lmin / h_squared
    buckling_load = load_from_p_squared(p_squared)
    buck_load_analy = buckling_load_analytical(number_nodes)
    true_relative_error = np.abs((buck_load_analy - buckling_load)) / buck_load_analy
    # Collect data in a dictionary for each iteration
    data = {
```

```
'number_nodes': number_nodes,
       'number_iterations': number_iterations,
       'lmax': lmax,
        'lmin': lmin,
       'buckling_load': buckling_load,
       'buck_load_analy': buck_load_analy,
       'true_relative_error': true_relative_error
   return data
#Script body ------
print(f'The buckling load is {buckling_load_analytical(1)} for one node using the analytic method')
#5 nodes, so 3 interior
part3data=[]
for number_iterations in range(1,6):
   part3data.append(solve_buckling_load(5, number_iterations))
dfp3 = pd.DataFrame(part3data)
print('Variation on number iterations')
print(dfp3)
data = [] # Initialize an empty list to collect data
number_nodes = 3
true_relative_error = 1
while number_nodes < 1000:
   for number_iterations in range(1,6):
       data.append(solve_buckling_load(number_nodes, number_iterations))
       true_relative_error = data[-1]['true_relative_error']
       if true_relative_error < 0.01:
   if true_relative_error < 0.01:</pre>
       break
   number_nodes += 1
df = pd.DataFrame(data)
# Display the DataFrame
print('Variation on number of nodes')
print(df)
```

Variation on number iterations											
	number_nodes	number_iterations	lmax	lmin	buckling_load	buck_load_analy	true_relative_error				
0	5	1	1.414214	0.342997	76221.593397	137077.838904	0.443954				
1	5	2	2.449490	0.586033	130229.492295	137077.838904	0.049960				
2	5	3	3.366502	0.585794	130176.375339	137077.838904	0.050347				
3	5	4	3.412779	0.585787	130174.811353	137077.838904	0.050358				
4	5	5	3.414171	0.585786	130174.765313	137077.838904	0.050359				

4	5	5	3.414171	0.585786	130174.765313	137077.838904	0.050359			
Variation on number of nodes										
	number_nodes	number_iterations	lmax	c lmir	buckling_load	buck_load_analy	true_relative_error			
0	3	_ 1	2.000000	2.000000	111111.111111	137077.838904	0.189431			
1	3	2	2.000000	2.000000	111111.111111	137077.838904	0.189431			
2		3	2.000000	2.000000	111111.111111	137077.838904	0.189431			
3	3 3	4	2.000000	2.000000	111111.111111	137077.838904	0.189431			
4	3	5	2.000000	2.000000	111111.111111	137077.838904	0.189431			
2 3 4 5 6	4	1	1.414214	0.707107	88388.347648	137077.838904	0.355196			
	4	2	1.000000	1.000000	125000.000000	137077.838904	0.088109			
7	4	3	1.000000	1.000000	125000.000000	137077.838904	0.088109			
8	4	4	1.000000	1.000000	125000.000000	137077.838904	0.088109			
9	4	5	1.000000			137077.838904	0.088109			
10	5	1	1.414214			137077.838904	0.443954			
11	5	2				137077.838904	0.049960			
12	5	3				137077.838904	0.050347			
13	5	4	3.412779			137077.838904	0.050358			
14	5	5	3.414171			137077.838904	0.050359			
15	6	1	1.414214			137077.838904	0.503232			
16	6	2				137077.838904	0.031907			
17	6	3				137077.838904	0.032457			
18	6	4	2.617812			137077.838904	0.032469			
19	6	5	2.618029			137077.838904	0.032469			
20	7	1	1.414214			137077.838904	0.546703			
21	7	2				137077.838904	0.022007			
22	7	3				137077.838904	0.022627			
23	7	4	3.386018			137077.838904	0.022638			
24	7	5	3.615102			137077.838904	0.022639			
25	8	1	1.414214			137077.838904	0.580403			
26	8	2				137077.838904	0.016008			
27	8	3	2.898275			137077.838904	0.016662			
28	8	4	3.150964			137077.838904	0.016673			
29	8	5	3.223862			137077.838904	0.016673			
30	9	1	1.414214			137077.838904	0.607537			
31	9	2				137077.838904	0.012103			
32	9	3	2.898275			137077.838904	0.012775			
33	9	4	3.199702			137077.838904	0.012785			
34	9	5	3.403145			137077.838904	0.012785			
35	10	1	1.414214			137077.838904	0.629999			
36		2	2.236068	0.120699	135786.527932	137077.838904	0.009420			