Christopher Wood
Reflection Essay #3

In recent years there has been increasing evidence of the integration of programming language paradigms. As shown by Antoy and Hanus [1], multi-paradigm languages can provide many useful benefits for developers in a variety of applications. These authors present a cast study of Curry, a functional logic programming language, but the implementation details and programming practices they discuss have far-reaching implications beyond the scope of this particular language. In fact, several general advantages and disadvantages of multi-paradigm languages emerge through a careful analysis of their work.

Perhaps the greatest benefit of multi-paradigm languages is the ability to selectively apply helpful programming techniques from any of the supported languages. For example, Curry gives programmers the ability to make use of nondeterminism with free variables and multiple rule declarations, while at the same time supporting functional composition to aid in algorithmic abstractions. Antoy and Hanus present an example of encoding a regular expression matcher in Curry that makes use of nondeterminism through multiple rules and functional patterns to determine if a given input string matches a specific regular expression. Due to Curry's built-in demand-driven search strategy and narrowing techniques the simplistic nondeterministic nature of this solution does not cause exponential time complexity. Thus, the benefit of having these different language features at your disposal is an attempt to make the language more declarative without causing serious performance degradations.

In the context of Curry, another major benefit of its functional and logic juxtaposition is that is enables programmers to more effectively prototype software solutions to particular problems. If a solution or an efficient algorithm is not known, then the nondeterministic nature of Curry, combined with a functional representation of the problem, can be used to explore the steps that are used to find a solution. This might lead to additional insight about the problem at hand and also inspire programmers to devise creative algorithms to generate correct solutions.

Speaking in generalities, both of these benefits come from the ability to encode problem solutions in more abstract descriptions. Thus, as more declarative features are added into a language, the development toolbox grows deeper and enables programmers to construct increasingly novel solutions to problems. Also, being able to look at problems from different perspectives within the same language gives the programmer more flexibility in selecting and encoding a particular solution.

Unfortunately, as with any level of software abstraction, there is a price to be paid for the added convenience factors that make software development easier. In Curry, the search strategy employed to intelligently search the state space of a free variable is motivated by demand-driven evaluations, constrained construction, and the use of definitional trees to structure re-write rules. Even with these improvements, the search space of a free variable is still determined by the rule and equation constraints imposed by the programmer. If these are not very well defined and the programmer wants to explore the search space for a solution, then the search strategy cannot optimize its behavior to yield acceptable performance. Thus, we see that a trade-off between performance and ease of development arise in such situations.

Furthermore, multi-paradigm abstractions may also introduce conflicts between attributes of some of the languages, thus yielding negative effects when programs are developed or run.

As a simple example, consider the attributes of design flexibility and code readability. While multi-paradigm languages may offer more flexibility in design and implementation decisions (simply because they lend more control to the programmer), the additional syntax and program semantics that come with this merge can burden the programmer and future code maintainers. For example, during my time in industry I ran into cases where usage of lambda expressions in C# code seemed foreign to my co-workers, often to the point where they would not pass my code through inspection unless I used the traditional imperative analogues.

Overall, we see that there are indeed several benefits and drawbacks to multi-paradigm languages, as thoroughly discussed by Antoy and Hanus, who used Curry as a representative for the functional logic paradigm. One of the biggest messages from their article is that it is extremely important to consider all of the implications of a multi-paradigm language before readily adopting it for full-time use. There are many theoretical and practical advantages and disadvantages to such languages, and as with any programming problem, the ideal language is best chosen by examining its usefulness in the context of a specific task at hand. There is no "one size fits all" language that any merging of paradigms can solve.

References

[1] Sergio Antoy and Michael Hanus. Functional logic programming. *Commun. ACM*, 53(4):74–85, April 2010.