

**parc**<sup>®</sup>

A Xerox Company

# Efficient Security Binding Bootstrapping in CCN

Christopher A. Wood

Ersin Uzun



# Agenda

1. Content Object security and signature verification overview
2. Verification bottlenecks and possible optimizations
3. Different data formats
4. Different retrieval methods
5. Conclusion

# CCN Security Overview

**Assumption:** Classical PKI infrastructure is used for assigning, issuing, and trusting cryptographic keys



## **Security Basics:**

Content Objects are verified by consumers and routers

- Public verification keys and certificates are Content Objects
- Trust of keys and certificates is rooted in anchors


**Note:** verification is more efficient if the content hash is known a priori

# Content Verification Process



# A More Plausible Scenario...

Embed or link to the entire chain from the Content Object



# Performance Bottlenecks

Hash-based content retrieval is very efficient:

- No public key cryptographic operations or key retrieval issues

Bootstrapping hash-based retrieval induces performance issues:

- Message complexity (key resolution and certificate chain traversal)
- Bandwidth complexity (certificate size)
- Computation and time complexity (linear in chain length)

# Optimization Dimensions

Bottlenecks	Potential Optimizations
Message & Bandwidth Complexity	Modify certificate retrieval
Computation Overhead	Modify certificate format

# Certificate Data Format

The overhead induced by public-key certificate data formats could be reduced via:

- (1) Implicit certificates

- (2) Aggregate signatures



# Implicit Certificates

Traditional certificates are composed of three parts:

1. Identification data
2. Public key
3. Digital signature from certifying authority


Implicit certificates combine parts **(2)** and **(3)** into the **same value**.

Successful key extraction from the certificate implicitly verifies the signature

# Implicit Certificates (cont'd)

Proposal: Embed (now smaller) certificates with Content Objects

Instead of **verifying n signatures**, attempt to **extract n public keys**



# Drawbacks


- 1) Certificate chains cannot be longer than 3 nodes
- 2) Potential denial-of-service attacks
- 3) Requires composition with ECDSA to be useful and secure
- 4) ... and more.

See <http://www.secg.org/sec4-1.0.pdf> for more details.



# Aggregate Signatures

Aggregate signatures combine **n signatures over n distinct messages** into a single signature that can be **verified at once**




# Compressed Certificate Chains

Recall: Hierarchical trust based on certificate chains are used for content objects

Goal: Compress certificate chains with **n signatures** in a chain to **a single signature**

Content objects can link to compact chains



# Observations

Other fancy cryptographic techniques could be applied with other limiting bottlenecks

Current implicit certificate and aggregate signature schemes require cheaper or fewer cryptographic operations

... but, these computational savings **do not justify** their use



# Observations

Other fancy cryptographic techniques could be applied with other limiting bottlenecks:

Current implicit certificate and aggregate signature schemes require cheaper or fewer cryptographic operations

... but, these computational savings **do not justify** their use

***Claim:*** reducing the message complexity is more important

# Certificate Retrieval Optimizations

Certificates are likely to be retrieved on-demand for each content object (i.e., not embedded with content objects, but **linked**)

Goal: Reduce the number of requests for certificates

# Key Catalogs

Producers can build a Manifest-based catalog of keys


Each entry is a [name(prefix), key(name), hash] tuple

Consumers fetch the key catalog for a producer once

Verify the key catalog signature once and then efficiently access all other keys



# Key Catalog Structure




# Key Catalog Usage

Possible use cases:

1) All future keys can be fetched in parallel with content objects

2) All producer keys can be prefetched and stored



# Catalog Discovery

Consumers must know or discover the key catalog name:

- Installed with application software
- Inferred from a well-known name for each application, e.g.,

`lci:/parc/csl/nds/ccn/key-catalog`

- Provide a link to the key catalog in each content object




# Catalog Discovery

Consumers must know or discover the key catalog name:

- Installed with application software
- Inferred from a well-known name for each application, e.g.,

`lci:/parc/csl/nds/key-catalog`

- Provide a link to the key catalog in each content object



Content objects can point to  
related keys or key catalogs

# Wrapping Up

Discussed verification problems and performance bottlenecks


Applications are better served by optimizing the retrieval of certificates

Use Manifest-based key catalogs to efficiently access certificates

Questions?...

**parc**<sup>®</sup>

A Xerox Company



**Thank you**