Problem 1 Theoretical Analysis

Written By: Chris(Junyu) Liang, Student ID: 1159696

Question 1

If there are ${\bf n}$ elements in the base level, and as ${\bf p}=\frac{1}{2}$, there must be $\frac{1}{2}n$ elements on the second level. Similarly, there will be $\frac{1}{2}*\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{4}n$ elements on the third level. Thus, for a list of height 2, for example, it will have $n+\frac{1}{2}n$ elements in total. Therefore, if there are ${\bf i}$ levels in the list, there should be $\sum_{k=1}^i \frac{n}{2^{k-1}}$ elements in total. After solving, we get $2^{1-i}(2^i-1)n$ elements in total. Since 2^{1-i} and 2^i-1 are both constants as ${\bf i}$ is constant for a leap list that has a height of ${\bf i}$, we can conclude that the average space efficiency of a leap list is in O(n).

Question 2

The height of a leap list should be $\log_2 n$, with n denotes the number of elements in the base list, and with $p = \frac{1}{2}$. Since $p = \frac{1}{2}$, the second level should have $\frac{1}{2}n$ elements, and the third level should have $\frac{1}{4}n$ elements, and so on. That is, an upper level should contain half of the number of elements of the level below it. Assuming the number of elements inserted to a leap list is divisible by 2, as there more levels being built, the number of elements will be halfed at each level, with the top level only containing 1 element, which cannot be divided. In conclusion, we can conclude that for each level above the base level, number of elements in that level will be halfed, which resulting in a total height of $\log_2 n$.

Question 3

Let ${\bf h}$ denote the number of levels in total (i.e. height) of the leap list. On average, I assume the searching key is in the leap list, but not at the top level, and values in each level are normally distributed. Hence, when performing a search, it will be like using a binary search, with the first or second list finds out approximately mid-point, and the level below finds the mid-point of the mid-point, since we immediately perform a drop down when the <code>next</code> value is grater than key in a level. Therefore, on average, leap lists should have a similar time efficiency as using binary search, which is in O(log(n)).

Question 4

In the worst case, the key we are finding is larger than all elements in the leap list, which is not in the list. In this case, we need to search O(n) elements on every level of ${\bf h}$. Thus, the total complexity will be h*O(n)=O(hn). Since in question 2 I have concluded that the total height will be $log_2(n)$, in conclusion, the worst case complexity will be $O(hn)=O(nlog_2(n))$, where ${\bf h}=log_2(n)$.