

# Introduction to Cyber Security

## Week 2: Cryptography

Ming Chow ([mchow@cs.tufts.edu](mailto:mchow@cs.tufts.edu))

Twitter: @0xmchow

# Learning Objectives

- By the end of this week, you will be able to:
  - Understand the difference between symmetric and asymmetric cryptography
  - Understand and use one-way hash functions
  - Understand how Transport Layer Security (TLS) works
  - Understand how and how not to store users' passwords

# Why Cryptography?

- To put it quite simply, it is the backbone of Cyber Security, critical for protecting information (confidentiality and integrity)
- Week 1 on networking and attacking networks alluded to the importance of Cryptography
  - Imagine a world without Cryptography. Imagine your usernames, passwords, credit card numbers, messages, secrets, account details, personal information, emails, etc. were all transmitted over a computer network in plaintext, unencrypted

# Warning

- This week is not a comprehensive study on Cryptography
- Cryptography stands as a course and field of its own
- While Cryptography is critical in Cyber Security, Cryptography and Cyber Security are not the same. Some academic institutions still teach Cyber Security as Cryptography. There is a lot more to Cyber Security than Cryptography.

# Definitions


- **Cryptography** - The process of communicating secretly through the use of *cipher*
- **Cryptanalysis** - The process of cracking or deciphering; code breaking
- **Cryptology** - The study of cryptography or cryptanalysis
- **Cleartext / plaintext** - What you are reading now
- **Encrypt** - convert information or data into code to prevent unauthorized access
- **Decrypt** – convert an encoded or unclear message into something intelligible, to plaintext
- **Cipher** - An algorithm to perform encryption and/or decryption
- **Cryptosystem** - Suite of algorithms to perform encryption and/or decryption

# The Golden Rule

- **“Don’t roll your own crypto”**
- The reason: <https://security.stackexchange.com/questions/18197/why-shouldnt-we-roll-our-own>
- “Anyone, from the most clueless amateur to the best cryptographer, can create an algorithm that he himself can't break. It's not even hard. What is hard is creating an algorithm that no one else can break, even after years of analysis. And the only way to prove that is to subject the algorithm to years of analysis by the best cryptographers around.” –Bruce Schneier
- Snake oil: <https://www.schneier.com/cryptogram/archives/1999/0215.html#snakeoil>

# Ancient History: Caesar Cipher

- A substitution cipher
- “Each letter in the original message (plaintext) is replaced with a letter corresponding to a certain number of letters up or down in the alphabet.”
- In this way, a message that initially was quite readable, ends up in a form that can not be understood at a simple glance.
- Source:  
<https://learncryptography.com/classical-encryption/caesar-cipher>
- The purpose of this: what if a messenger for Julius Caesar got mugged or murdered and the message that was supposed to be delivered to another party got intercepted or stolen by enemy?



# Security of a Cryptosystem

- The only perfectly secure algorithm is the *One-Time Pad*
- Is any crypto algorithm perfectly secure?
- Tradeoff 1: the cost of breaking a cipher exceeds the value of the encrypted information
- Tradeoff 2: the time required to break the cipher exceeds the useful lifetime of the information
- Very difficult to estimate cost and time required to break a cipher
  - There is always brute force
  - ...and then there is plain-old stealing or just asking for it



# One-Time Pad (OTP)

- Invented in 1917
- Impossible to crack
- The secret key (the cipher), with random data, must be the same length as the plaintext
- Assume "A" = 0, "B" = 1, "C" = 2, etc.
- Simple to use: just XOR, modular addition
  - <https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/cryptography/modarithmetic/e/modular-addition>
- Encryption: addition, mod 26
- Decryption: subtraction, if result is negative, add 26 and mod 26
- Rather impractical

# Algorithms

- Hash functions - one way encryption, no decryption thus no secret key
  - MD5 (insecure)
  - SHA1 (insecure), SHA256, SHA512
- Symmetric - single key for encryption and decryption
  - DES
  - AES
  - RC4
- Asymmetric a.k.a., public key - uses two different keys: one public (for encryption) and one private (for decryption)
  - Diffie-Hellman
  - RSA

# Base64

- NOT encryption!
- An encoding scheme of representing binary data using only printable (text) characters
  - Example: an image (JPG, PNG) contains binary data. Convert the binary data to text characters. <https://www.base64-image.de/>
- RFC 4648: <https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4648.txt>
- Operations: encode and decode
  - <https://www.base64decode.org/>
  - Example: `hello` encoded in Base64 is `aGVsbG8=`. The “=” at the end of encoding serves as padding.
- Many programming languages include a Base64 library
- Has an important place in Cyber Security
  - Used quite a bit, including for HTTP Basic Authentication
- References:
  - [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Basic\\_access\\_authentication](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Basic_access_authentication)
  - <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/201479/what-is-base-64-encoding-used-for>
  - <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/4070693/what-is-the-purpose-of-base-64-encoding-and-why-it-used-in-http-basic-authentic>
  - <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/6916805/why-does-a-base64-encoded-string-have-an-sign-at-the-end>

# Hash Functions

- Maps a variable length string of data to produce a fixed-length output in deterministic, public, and random manner
- No secret key
- Properties of a perfect hash function (recall properties of a hash function for hash tables in a Data Structures course):
  - One-way: cannot decrypt
  - No collisions: two unique strings cannot produce the same result
  - Randomness
  - Unfeasible to produce the whole hash space (pre-image resistance)
  - Given a hash result, unfeasible to produce the string

# Hash Functions: Tradeoffs

- Strengths:
  - Verifying integrity
- Weaknesses:
  - MD5 (128-bit hash value) is broken --not collision-resistant (two researchers created two files that shared the same hash value). Read:
    - <http://www.cs.colorado.edu/~jrblack/papers/md5e-full.pdf>
    - <http://eprint.iacr.org/2004/199.pdf>
  - SHA1 (160-bit hash value) is broken –badly; big news in February 2017
    - [http://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2005/02/sha1\\_broken.html](http://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2005/02/sha1_broken.html),
    - [http://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2005/02/cryptanalysis\\_o.html](http://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2005/02/cryptanalysis_o.html)
    - <https://security.googleblog.com/2017/02/announcing-first-sha1-collision.html>
    - <https://shattered.io/>

# Hash Function Applications

- Password storage
- Checksum of software packages
- Digital signatures
- Commits in Git which use SHA-1
  - <https://gist.github.com/masak/2415865>

```
commit 2d4dd2428250645fc76053c9e94b80b8fc100cb0 (HEAD -> gh-pages, origin/gh-pages)
Author: Ming Chow <mchow@cs.tufts.edu>
Date:   Sun May 21 17:40:58 2017 -0400
```

```
    Removed Adele example
```

# Example: Verifying the integrity of a download

1. Let's download the popular VLC Media Player for Windows (64-bit) at <https://get.videolan.org/vlc/2.2.6/win64/vlc-2.2.6-win64.exe>
2. Most software downloads will provide a checksum. For this version of VLC, we see SHA-256 checksum:  
21670eae2c8041d6f26667c664f97e8931f5977225bcc3c146902beb26305ed2  
**Downloading VLC 2.2.6 for Windows 64 bits**

Thanks! Your download will start in few seconds...  
If not, [click here](#). SHA-256 checksum: 21670eae2c8041d6f26667c664f97e8931f5977225bcc3c146902beb26305ed2

3. On Linux or Mac OS X terminal, run the following in the folder where the vlc-2.2.6-win64.exe file is: `shasum -a 256 vlc-2.2.6-win64.exe`. Result should match the checksum provided on the website. If result does NOT match, either a tampered download or corrupted download –both not good!

```
$ shasum -a 256 vlc-2.2.6-win64.exe
21670eae2c8041d6f26667c664f97e8931f5977225bcc3c146902beb26305ed2  vlc-2.2.6-win64.exe
```

# Symmetric Algorithms

- One key for encryption and decryption
- Let  $K$  = secret key (think password),  $C$  = Ciphertext,  $P$  = Plaintext,  $E$  = Encrypt function,  $D$  = Decrypt function
- $C = E_k(P)$
- $P = D_k(C)$
- Example: One-Time Pad
- Applications
  - Password protect a ZIP file –which uses AES: <https://security.stackexchange.com/questions/35818/are-password-protected-zip-files-secure>
- Strengths:
  - Modified key  $K$  will result in garbage plaintext in decryption
  - Fast!
- Weaknesses:
  - Those who know  $K$  can participate in communications (eavesdropping)
  - Impersonation attack if attacker knows  $K$
  - Not good for authenticity



# Asymmetric Algorithms a.k.a. Public Key Algorithms

- Two keys
  - Public key: which anyone can have, can be distributed publicly
  - Private key: only you should have
- How it works:
  - Alice and Bob agree on a public-key cryptosystem
  - Alice and Bob have their own public and private keys
  - Alice gives Bob her public key
  - Bob encrypts message with Alice's public key Alice decrypts the message with her private key
  - Even better: key signing (encrypt message with own private key)
- Arguably the most popular algorithm: RSA. Walkthrough: [http://www.di-mgt.com.au/rsa\\_alg.html](http://www.di-mgt.com.au/rsa_alg.html)
- Strengths:
  - Public key can be distributed any way possible
  - Confidentiality: only holder of private key can decrypt message
  - Integrity: any modification of the message would be revealed when decrypting
  - Non repudiation: Bob can prove to a third party that Alice is the originator of the message
- Weakness:
  - No authentication: anyone can encrypt a message given a public key
  - Man-in-the-Middle (MitM) attack

# Asymmetric Algorithms Applications

- Secure Socket Layer (SSL) now Transport Layer Security (TLS)
- SSH and SSH Keys
  - Why: “SSH keys provide a more secure way of logging into a virtual private server with SSH than using a password alone. While a password can eventually be cracked with a brute force attack, SSH keys are nearly impossible to decipher by brute force alone. Generating a key pair provides you with two long string of characters: a public and a private key. You can place the public key on any server, and then unlock it by connecting to it with a client that already has the private key. When the two match up, the system unlocks without the need for a password. You can increase security even more by protecting the private key with a passphrase.” <https://www.digitalocean.com/community/tutorials/how-to-set-up-ssh-keys--2>
  - Generating a new SSH key for GitHub: <https://help.github.com/articles/connecting-to-github-with-ssh/>
- PGP (Pretty Good Privacy)
- GPG formerly known as OpenPGP
- Bitcoin

# Passwords and Password Cracking

- Question: How long is your password good for? 30 days? 60 days? 90 days?
- Answer: As long as it is not broken
- The bottom line: it will only be a matter of when, not if, your password will be broken

Mixed upper and lower case alphabet plus numbers and common symbols.

**Mixed Alpha, Numerals & Symbols** 0123456789AaBbCcDdEeFfGgHhIiJjKkLlMmNnOoPpQqRrSsTtUuVvWwXxYyZz <SP>!"#\$%&'()\*+,-./:;<=>?@[\\]^\_`{|}~

| Password |                 | Class of Attack |           |          |          |         |          |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| Length   | Combinations    | Class A         | Class B   | Class C  | Class D  | Class E | Class F  |
| 2        | 9,216           | Instant         | Instant   | Instant  | Instant  | Instant | Instant  |
| 3        | 884,736         | 88½ Secs        | 9 Secs    | Instant  | Instant  | Instant | Instant  |
| 4        | 85 Million      | 2¼ Hours        | 14 Mins   | 1½ Mins  | 8½ Secs  | Instant | Instant  |
| 5        | 8 Billion       | 9½ Days         | 22½ Hours | 2¼ Hours | 13½ Mins | 1¼ Mins | 8 Secs   |
| 6        | 782 Billion     | 2½ Yrs          | 90 Days   | 9 Days   | 22 Hours | 2 Hours | 13 Mins  |
| 7        | 75 Trillion     | 238 Yrs         | 24 Yrs    | 2½ Yrs   | 87 Days  | 8½ Days | 20 Hours |
| 8        | 7.2 Quadrillion | 22,875 Yrs      | 2,287 Yrs | 229 Yrs  | 23 Yrs   | 2¼ Yrs  | 83½ Days |

# Cracking Passwords on a Linux System

- Two files of interest on a typical Linux box:
  - `/etc/passwd` - Contains users' information but no encrypted password; required for login
  - `/etc/shadow` - Contains users' passwords (encrypted) with additional details relating to the password (see <http://tldp.org/LDP/lame/LAME/linux-admin-made-easy/shadow-file-formats.html> for more details)
- Methods:
  - Brute-force
  - Wordlists
  - Rainbow tables. See <http://project-rainbowcrack.com/> for example.

# Tool: John the Ripper (JtR)

- <http://www.openwall.com/john/>
- Step 1: merge the `/etc/passwd` and `/etc/shadow` files via `unshadow` tool provided with JtR, save result to a text file (e.g., `crackme.txt`)
  - `unshadow passwd shadow > crackme.txt`
- Format of a password hash in an unshadowed file:
  - `$algorithm$salt$hash` where `$algorithm$` means one of the following:
    - `$1$` = MD5
    - `$2$` = Blowfish
    - `$5$` = SHA-256
    - `$6$` = SHA-512
  - Example:  
`msfadmin:$1$XN10Zj2c$Rt/zzCW3mLtUWA.iHZjA5/:1000:1000:msfadmin,,,:/home/msfadmin:/bin/bash`
  - **Password Salt** - random data as an additional input to a one-way function that hashes a password or passphrase. The primary function of a salt is to defend against dictionary attacks or a pre-computed rainbow table attack. In other words, to make a common password uncommon.
- Step 2: run `john crackme.txt` to start cracking passwords
  - "If valid password files are specified but no options are given, John will go through the default selection of cracking modes with their default settings." <http://www.openwall.com/john/doc/OPTIONS.shtml>

# Cracking Passwords Using Wordlists

- Daniel Miessler maintains a fantastic set of wordlists and other lists at <https://github.com/danielmiessler/SecLists>
- Wordlist mode in JtR: `john --wordlist=<FILE>  
crackme.txt`

# Additional Passwords Crackers

- L0phtCrack (commercial; <http://www.l0phtcrack.com/>)
- Cain & Abel (<http://www.oxid.it/cain.html>)
- Hashcat (<https://hashcat.net/hashcat/>)
- THC Hydra (free and open source)

```
Hydra v8.5 (c) 2017 by van Hauser/THC - Please do not use in military or secret service organization
s, or for illegal purposes.

Syntax: hydra [[[--l LOGIN]-L FILE] [-p PASS]-P FILE]] | [-C FILE]] [-e nsr] [-o FILE] [-t TASKS] [-M
FILE [-T TASKS]] [-w TIME] [-W TIME] [-f] [-s PORT] [-x MIN:MAX:CHARSET] [-IS0uvVd46] [service://se
rver[:PORT]/OPT]]

Options:
--l LOGIN or -L FILE login with LOGIN name, or load several logins from FILE
-p PASS or -P FILE try password PASS, or load several passwords from FILE
-C FILE colon separated "login:pass" format, instead of -L/-P options
-M FILE list of servers to attack, one entry per line, ':' to specify port
-t TASKS run TASKS number of connects in parallel per target (default: 16)
-U service module usage details
-h more command line options (COMPLETE HELP)
server the target: DNS, IP or 192.168.0.0/24 (this OR the -M option)
service the service to crack (see below for supported protocols)
OPT some service modules support additional input (-U for module help)

Supported services: adam6500 asterisk cisco cisco-enable cvs ftp ftps http[s]-(head|get|post) http[s
]-(get|post)-form http-proxy http-proxy-urlenum icq imap[s] irc ldap2[s] ldap3[-{cram|digest|md5}[s]
mysql mysql nntp oracle-listener oracle-sid pcanywhere pcnfs pop3[s] postgres rdp redis rexec rlogi
n rpcap rsh rtsp s7-300 sip smb smtp[s] smtp-enum snmp socks5 ssh sshkey teamspeak telnet[s] vmauthd
vnc xmpp

Hydra is a tool to guess/crack valid login/password pairs. Licensed under AGPL
v3.0. The newest version is always available at http://www.thc.org/thc-hydra
Don't use in military or secret service organizations, or for illegal purposes.

Example: hydra -l user -P passlist.txt ftp://192.168.0.1
```

# Transport Layer Security (TLS)

- Why? HTTPS is HTTP inside of a TLS session
- Uses BOTH symmetric and asymmetric crypto
- Secure communications between two parties over a network
- On top of TCP
- Different port numbers used for TLS connection. Port 443 for HTTPS
- Part 1: Data between two parties encrypted via symmetric crypto. Why? Speed
- Part 2: Identity of communicating parties identified via asymmetric crypto
- Connection integrity via message integrity check using a message authentication code
- **Digital certificates** - assert the online identities of individuals, computers, and other entities on a network
  - They are issued by certification authorities (CAs) that must validate the identity of the certificate-holder both before the certificate is issued and when the certificate is used.
  - Specification: [https://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc776447\(v=ws.10\).aspx](https://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc776447(v=ws.10).aspx)



# TLS Process

1. Client connects to TLS-enabled server. Client requesting a secure connection and presents a list of supported cipher suites (ciphers and hash functions).
2. The server checks what the highest SSL/TLS version is that is supported by them both, picks a ciphersuite from one of the client's options (if it supports one), and optionally picks a compression method.
3. The server sends back its identification via digital certificate (THIS MAY NOT HAPPEN)
4. Client confirms validity of certificate --or NOT!
5. Both the server and the client can now compute the session key (or shared secret) for the symmetric encryption and decryption of the data. This computation of the session key is known as **Diffie-Hellman key exchange**.
6. "The client tells the server that from now on, all communication will be encrypted, and sends an encrypted and authenticated message to the server."

## References on TLS

- <https://security.stackexchange.com/questions/20803/how-does-ssl-tls-work>
- <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/788808/how-do-digital-certificates-work-when-used-for-securing-websites-using-ssl>
- <http://security.stackexchange.com/questions/45963/diffie-hellman-key-exchange-in-plain-english>