

Name: Chris Interviewer: Paul Date: 4-17 Start time: 1:18 End time: 1:48

Challenge name:

1. Interpreted the question: 10/10 pts
  1. 2/2 points: Asked meaningful clarifying questions
  2. 2/2 points: Identified inputs and outputs
  3. 2/2 points: Visually illustrated the problem domain
  4. 4/4 points: Identified optimal data structure and algorithm
  5. Notes: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Solved the technical problem: 12/12 pts
  1. 4/4 points: Presented & understood a working algorithm
  2. 3/3 points: Final code was syntactically correct
  3. 3/3 points: Final code was idiomatically correct
  4. 2/2 points: Solution was the best possible option
  5. Notes: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Analyzed the proposed solution: 6/6 pts
  1. 2/2 points: Stepped through their solution
  2. 2/2 points: Big O time and space are analyzed
  3. 2/2 points: Explain an approach to testing
  4. Notes: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Communicated effectively throughout: 12/12 pts
  1. 6/6 points: Verbalized their thought process
  2. 2/2 points: Used correct terminology
  3. 1/1 point: Used the time available effectively
  4. 1/1 point: Was not overconfident (not listening to suggestions)
  5. 1/1 point: Was not under-confident (unsure of known algorithm)
  6. 1/1 point: Whiteboard was readable (penmanship and spacing)
  7. Notes: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Total Points: 40/40 (giving up is an automatic fail)