

Name: Chris Interviewer: Dan

Date: 4/11/2019 Start

time: 1:43 End time:

Challenge name:

1. Interpreted the question: \_\_\_/10 pts

- a. \_2\_/2 points: Asked meaningful clarifying questions
- b. \_2\_/2 points: Identified inputs and outputs
- c. \_2\_/2 points: Visually illustrated the problem domain
- d. \_4\_/4 points: Identified optimal data structure and algorithm
- e. Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Solved the technical problem: \_\_\_/12 pts

- a. \_4\_/4 points: Presented & understood a working algorithm
- b. \_3\_/3 points: Final code was syntactically correct
- c. \_3\_/3 points: Final code was idiomatically correct
- d. \_2\_/2 points: Solution was the best possible option
- e. Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Analyzed the proposed solution: \_\_\_/6 pts

- a. \_2\_/2 points: Stepped through their solution
- b. \_2\_/2 points: Big O time and space are analyzed
- c. \_2\_/2 points: Explain an approach to testing
- d. Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Communicated effectively throughout: \_\_\_/12 pts

- a. \_6\_/6 points: Verbalized their thought process
- b. \_2\_/2 points: Used correct terminology
- c. \_1\_/1 point: Used the time available effectively
- d. \_1\_/1 point: Was not overconfident (not listening to suggestions)
- e. \_1\_/1 point: Was not under-confident (unsure of known algorithm)
- f. \_1\_/1 point: Whiteboard was readable (penmanship and spacing)
- g. Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

5. **Total Points:** \_\_\_/40 (giving up is an automatic fail)