

View ProjectsDelete ProjectChris Baume

06:2006:20.8006:2106:2206:2306:2406:2506:2606:27

01:0002:0003:0004:0005:0006:0007:0008:0009:0010:0011:0012:0013:0014:0015:0016:0017:0018:0019:0020:0021:0022:0023:00

Upload

DavisRifkind

SaveCopyPrint

serious even more serious allegation and one therefore the need to be very thoroughly investigated and when you do you call witnesses and they haven't decided who would give evidence as yet but there are obviously many former minister's home office Foreign Office ministers at the time would be obvious people to call.

[M1] Well they could be yes. We don't make any assumptions in advance. The phrase we would normally use is we go with the evidence takes us. And if the written material is adequate then we don't spend time and certainly having it repeated in oral evidence. If however the written material is either unclear or ambiguous or is not adequate and if we judge that individuals might be able to assist the inquiry then that is when we would ask them to give oral evidence with the Senate report on torture.

[F1] There were redactions that the U.K. had asked for. Are you trying to find out what those redactions were.

[M1] Well there's two points at issue here. First of all no one that I'm aware of the United Kingdom has seen all unredacted American

20 secs

How important is that allegation about the redaction to Libya.

what we're looking to see is not just what happened six seven years ago was where British agencies involved in maltreatment of detainees but also what lessons have been learned since then.

Thankfully there's been no allegations of any British involvement

2

3

6

4

7

5

8

9

11

10

1

View ProjectsDelete ProjectChris Baume

06:2006:2106:2206:2306:2406:2506:2606:27

01:0002:0003:0004:0005:0006:0007:0008:0009:0010:0011:0012:0013:0014:0015:0016:0017:0018:0019:0020:0021:0022:0023:00

Upload

Davis

Rifkind

▶■⏮Save⏹Copy🖨Print

▶■⏮Clear All⏹Save⏹Copy🖨PrintExport

20 secs

How important is that allegation about the redaction to Libya.

what we're looking to see is not just what happened six seven years ago was where British agencies involved in maltreatment of detainees but also what lessons have been learned since then.

Thankfully there's been no allegations of any British involvement

...even more serious allegation and one allegation has been to be very thoroughly investigated and when you do you call witnesses and they haven't decided who would give evidence as yet but there are obviously many former minister's home office Foreign Office ministers at the time would be obvious people to call.

[M1] Well they could be yes. We don't make any assumptions in advance. The phrase we would normally use is we go with the evidence takes us. And if the written material is adequate then we don't spend time and certainly having it repeated in oral evidence. If however the written material is either unclear or ambiguous or is not adequate and if we judge that individuals might be able to assist the inquiry then that is when we would ask them to give oral evidence with the Senate report on torture.

[F1] There were redactions that the U.K. had asked for. Are you trying to find out what those redactions were.

[M1] Well there's two points at issue here. First of all no one that I'm aware of the United Kingdom has seen any of the unredeacted American