

# Assignment: McEliece Cryptosystems, Due Wednesday, 11:59pm

*Chris Betsill*

*December 7, 2016*

## 1. Random Linear Codes

An  $[n, k]$  random linear code is a binary linear code with generating matrix of the form  $[I_k \ P]$ , where the rows of  $P$  are  $2i$ -dimensional binary vectors of weight  $i$ , chosen at random, where  $2i = n - k$ .

- a. Explain why the distance of such a code cannot exceed  $(n - k)/2 + 1$ .

Since each row of  $P$  has a weight of  $i$ , and  $i = \frac{n-k}{2}$ , and  $I_k$  always has a weight of 1, the distance of the linear code cannot exceed  $i + 1$ , which is equal to  $\frac{n-k}{2} + 1$

- b. Find a generating matrix for a  $[21, 5]$  random linear code with distance 9. Show how you produced your example.

```
vec <- vector()
i <- 2
vec <- c(vec, randBinVector(21, sample(1, 1:27)))
temp <- vector()
C <- matrix(vec, nrow = 1, byrow = TRUE)
while(i < 6){
  if(i < 4){
    test <- randBinVector(21, 13)
    temp <- c(vec, test)
    tempC <- matrix(temp, nrow = i, byrow = TRUE)
    if(codeDistance(tempC) >= 9){
      vec <- c(vec, test)
      i <- i + 1
    }
  }
  else{
    test <- randBinVector(21, 12)
    temp <- c(vec, test)
    tempC <- matrix(temp, nrow = i, byrow = TRUE)
    if(codeDistance(tempC) == 9){
      vec <- c(vec, test)
      i <- i + 1
    }
  }
}
C <- matrix(vec, nrow = 5, byrow = TRUE)

codeDistance(C)
```

[1] 9

```
generatorMatrix(C)
```

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]	[,5]	[,6]	[,7]	[,8]	[,9]	[,10]	[,11]	[,12]	[,13]
[1,]	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[2,]	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[3,]	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[4,]	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[5,]	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[6,]	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[7,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
[8,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
[9,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
[10,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
[11,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
[12,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
[13,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
[14,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[15,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[16,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

  

	[,14]	[,15]	[,16]	[,17]	[,18]	[,19]	[,20]	[,21]
[1,]	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
[2,]	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
[3,]	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
[4,]	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
[5,]	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
[6,]	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
[7,]	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
[8,]	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
[9,]	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
[10,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
[11,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
[12,]	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
[13,]	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
[14,]	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
[15,]	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
[16,]	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1

- c. Does syndrome decoding offer any advantage over brute force nearest neighbor decoding for this code? Explain.

No, syndrome decoding has the same number of operations as brute force nearest neighbor. ## 2. McEliece cryptosystem

Illustrate the seven steps of the McEliece public key cryptosystem using the code generated by the matrix `bch15` from Problem 1 of the last assignment. Make and state all of the required choices: the message Alice wants to send, the choices Bob makes to obtain the public key, and the random vector Alice uses. Use the functions `randBinVector`, `randInvMatrix`, and `randPermMatrix` appropriately. Show the results of all the intermediate calculations, and confirm that decoding works properly.

- Bob chooses the code generated by the matrix below, which can correct 3 errors.

```
G <- matrix(c(1,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,
              0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,
              0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,
              0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,
              0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,1),
            byrow=TRUE, nrow=5)
n <- ncol(G)
k <- nrow(G)
t <- 3
```

2. He then chooses a random binary invertible  $k \times k$  matrix  $S$  and a random  $n \times n$  permutation matrix  $P$ .

```
S <- randInvMatrix(k)
S[[1]]
```

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]	[,5]
[1,]	1	0	1	1	1
[2,]	1	1	1	0	0
[3,]	1	1	0	0	0
[4,]	1	1	1	0	1
[5,]	0	0	0	1	0

```
P <- randPermMatrix(n)
P
```

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]	[,5]	[,6]	[,7]	[,8]	[,9]	[,10]	[,11]	[,12]	[,13]
[1,]	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[2,]	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[3,]	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[4,]	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[5,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
[6,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
[7,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
[8,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[9,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
[10,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[11,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
[12,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
[13,]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
[14,]	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[15,]	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

  

	[,14]	[,15]
[1,]	0	0
[2,]	0	0
[3,]	0	0
[4,]	0	0
[5,]	0	0
[6,]	0	0
[7,]	0	0
[8,]	0	1

```

[9,]    0    0
[10,]   1    0
[11,]   0    0
[12,]   0    0
[13,]   0    0
[14,]   0    0
[15,]   0    0

```

3. Bob publishes  $G_1 = SGP$  and keeps  $S$ ,  $G$ , and  $P$  secret.

```

G1 <- ((S[[1]]%*%G)%*%P) %%2 # public key
G1

```

```

      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,8] [,9] [,10] [,11] [,12] [,13]
[1,]    0    0    1    1    1    1    0    1    1    0    0    1    0
[2,]    1    1    0    1    0    1    1    1    0    1    0    0    0
[3,]    1    1    0    0    1    1    0    0    0    0    1    1    1
[4,]    0    1    0    1    0    1    0    0    1    1    1    1    0
[5,]    1    0    1    0    1    0    1    1    0    0    0    0    1
      [,14] [,15]
[1,]      1     0
[2,]      1     0
[3,]      1     0
[4,]      0     0
[5,]      1     1

```

4. Alice encodes her message as a  $k$ -dimdimensional row vector (in this case randomly generated), and generates a random  $n$ -dimdimensional error vector  $e$  with weight  $t$ , and sends  $y = xG_1 + e$

```

e <- randBinVector(n, t)
e

```

```

[1] 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1

```

```

Message <- randBinVector(k, 4)
Message

```

```

[1] 1 0 1 1 1

```

```

y <- ((Message%*%G1) + e)%%2
y

```

```

      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,8] [,9] [,10] [,11] [,12] [,13]
[1,]    0    1    0    0    1    1    1    0    1    1    0    1    0
      [,14] [,15]
[1,]      1     0

```

5. To decrypt, Bob calculates  $y_1 = yP^{-1}$

```
y1 <- (y %*% solve(P) ) %% 2
```

```
y1
```

```
      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,8] [,9] [,10] [,11] [,12] [,13]
[1,]     1     1     0     0     1     1     1     0     0     1     1     0     0
      [,14] [,15]
[1,]       1     0
```

6. He then uses syndrome decoding to decode  $y_1$  to obtain the code word  $x_1$ . The first  $k$  bits are  $x_0$

```
cs <- syndrome(y1, parityCheckMatrix(G))
```

```
clst <- cosetLeaderSyndromeTable(G)
```

```
synds <- names(clst)
```

```
x1 <- clst[[match(cs, synds)]]
```

```
x0 <- (y1+x1) %% 2
```

```
x0 <- x0[1:k]
```

```
x0
```

```
[1] 1 0 0 0 0
```

7. Finally, he gets the decrypted message by calculating  $x_0 S^{-1}$

```
code <- (x0 %*% S[[2]]) %% 2
```

```
code
```

```
      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
[1,]     1     0     1     1     1
```

```
print(all(code == Message))
```

```
[1] TRUE
```

### 3. McEliece with Goppa Codes

Suppose Bob implements a McEliece cryptosystem using a Goppa code with parameters  $m = 7$  and  $t = 15$ .

a. How long is this code? How many errors can it correct? How many code words are there?

This code is  $2^7 = 128$  bits long, and it can correct 15 codewords, since the minimum distance between codes is  $2t + 1$ . There are 23 codes.

b. When Alice sends a message, she chooses a random error vector  $e$ . How many different possible error vectors can she choose from?

```
n <- 2^7
possibleE <- choose(n, n-15)

possibleE
```

```
[1] 1.321671e+19
```

- c. Suppose that Eve attempts a brute force attack to find the plaintext  $x$  by calculating all possible ciphertext messages that Alice could send. How many different ciphertexts of the form  $y = xG_1 + e$  are there, as  $x$  ranges over all possible plaintexts and as  $e$  ranges over all possible error vectors? (Note that even though this number seems large, the parameters given in this example are too small to be used in practice.)

```
possibleM <- 2^n

possibleM* possibleE
```

```
[1] 4.497414e+57
```

#### 4. Identify this cyclic code

- a. Show that  $x^2 + x + 1$  is a factor of  $x^3 + 1$ .

$$\frac{x^2+x+1}{x^3+1}$$

$$x(x^2 + x + 1) = x^3 + x^2 + x$$

$$(x^3 + 1) - (x^3 + x^2 + x) = x^2 + x + 1$$

$$\frac{x^2+x+1}{x^2+x+1} = 1$$

Thus,  $\frac{x^2+x+1}{x^3+1} = x + 1$

- b. Find all the code words of the cyclic code corresponding to the ideal  $\langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^3 + 1)$ . Write these code words as binary strings. Which familiar code is this?

$$x^2 + x + 1 = 111$$

Any multiplication of  $x$  to this always results in  $x^2 + x + 1$

Thus the code words are

111

000

This is a 3-repetition code.

#### 5. Parity check polynomial

Consider the cyclic code corresponding to the ideal  $\langle x + 1 \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^4 + 1)$ .

- a. Find a parity check polynomial for this code.

$$\frac{x+1}{x^4+1}$$

$$x^3 \times x + 1 = x^4 + x^3$$

$$(x^4 + 1) - (x^4 + x^3) = x^3 + 1$$

$$\frac{x+1}{x^3+1}$$

$$x^2 \times x + 1 = x^3 + x^2$$

$$(x^3 + 1) - (x^3 + x^2) = x^2 + 1$$

$$\frac{x+1}{x^2+1}$$

$$x \times (x + 1) = x^2 + x$$

$$(x^2 + 1) - (x^2 + x) = x + 1$$

$$\frac{x+1}{x^2+1} = 1$$

$$\text{Thus } \frac{x+1}{x^4+1} = x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$$

b. Use your parity check polynomial to determine whether each of the following is a code word:

$$\bullet 1 + x + x^3$$

$$\times x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$$

$$= x^6 + x^5 + 2x^4 + 3x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 1$$

$$= x^2 + x + 0 + x^3 + 0 + 0 + 1$$

$$= x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$$

$1 + x + x^3$  is not a codeword

$$\bullet x + x^2$$

$$\times x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$$

$$= x^5 + 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + x$$

$$= x + 0 + 0 + 0 + x$$

$$= 0$$

$x + x^2$  is a codeword