

# MATH301 Homework 2

Chris Camano: ccamano@sfsu.edu

2/8/2022

## 1 Section 1.5 Problems:4a,4e,4f,4g,8

Suppose  $A = \{b, c, d\}$  and  $B = \{a, b\}$  Find :

1.5:4a +++

$$(A \times B) \cap (B \times B)$$

$$(A \times B) = \{(b, a), (b, b), (c, a), (c, b), (d, a), (d, b)\}$$

$$(B \times B) = \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, a), (b, b)\}$$

$\therefore$

$$(A \times B) \cap (B \times B) = \{(b, a), (b, b)\}$$

For this assignment I feel that I understood the task at hand and writing out the complete cartesian product makes it very easy to identify the intersection of the two sets.

1.5:4e +++

$$(A \times B) \cap B$$

$$(A \times B) = \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}$$

$$1, 2 \notin (A \times B) \therefore (A \times B) \cap B = \emptyset$$

This problem is very similar to the previous and I found that because there are no ordered pairs within B the intersection should be the empty set.

1.5:4f +++

$$\mathcal{P}(A) \cap \mathcal{P}(B)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}(A) &= \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\} \\ \mathcal{P}(B) &= \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \\ \therefore \mathcal{P}(A) \cap \mathcal{P}(B) &= \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}\end{aligned}$$

Like problem 1 I found that a complete expansion of the sets being examined really assists the action of identifying the intersection between the two sets.

**1.5:4g** +++

$$\mathcal{P}(A) - \mathcal{P}(B)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}(A) &= \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\} \\ \mathcal{P}(B) &= \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \\ \therefore \mathcal{P}(A) - \mathcal{P}(B) &= \{x | x \in \mathcal{P}(A), x \notin \mathcal{P}(B)\} = \{\{c\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}\end{aligned}$$

This problem was very fun to type in and I think that the set builder notation expressed at the end of my work is a nice description of the problem. here I am attempting to describe the set of elements in the powerset of A that are not within B.

**1.5:8** +++

See attached illustration, figure 1

This problem was very graphically intuitive and examining the regions described by the sets led to clear decision making for which sections I felt needed to be shaded.

## 2 Section 1.7 Problems 6,8,12,14

**1.7.6** +++

See attached illustration, figure 2

**1.7.8** +++

See attached illustration, figure 3

For problems 1.7.6 and 1.7.8 I found that focusing on building the section procedurally really helped my work. By methodically shading the regions described by the set algebra I found that both of these examples were equivalent.

**1.7.12** +++

The expression that describes this set is:

$$(A - B) \cup (B \cap C)$$

For this problem I found that it was very useful to first about how I would shade just the set of A. doing so made the intersection with the intersection of B and C very easy and then

it was evident that I needed to subtract B from the set A.

### **1.7.14+++**

The expression that describes this set is :

$$(A \cap B \cap C) \cap ((A - C) \cap (A - B))$$

This problem required quite alot of thinking for me compared to the others. I found that the best way to conceptualize this problem was to start with the full intersection of the three sets and then find a concise description of just the are within set A to union with the intersection.

## **3 4 dimensional cube illustration+++**

See attached illustration, figure 4.

I really enjoyed watching this video and feel that the powerset does seem to naturally want to exist as a cube or higherdimensional object with objective structure.

## **4 Bonus ++++**