## Worksheet 1: Euclidean Algorithm

7. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both zero. Prove that there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$$
.

More generally, prove that

$$ax + by = c$$

has a solution  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  if and only if  $gcd(a,b) \mid c$ .

If gcd(a,b)|c then there exist  $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ax+by=c

*Proof.* Let  $S=\{am+bn>0, m, n\in \mathbb{Z}\}$  S is nonempty and  $s\subset so$  by the well ordering principle there exists a smallest element denoted  $S_{min}$ .

Lemma:  $S_{\min} = \gcd(a,b)$ 

*Proof.* To prove that  $S_{min}$ =gcd(a,b) then we must first show that  $S_{min}$  is a common divisor of a and b and that if there exist other common divisors that  $S_{min}$  is greater.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $S_{\min} \nmid a$  then by the divison algorithm a can be expressed as the following:

 $a = S_{\min}q + r$   $0 \le r < S_{\min}$ 

$$S_{\min} \in S : S_{\min} = am^* + bn^*$$

$$a = (am^* + bn^*)q + r$$

$$r = a - (am^* + bn^*)q$$

$$r = a - am^*q + bn^*q$$

$$r = a(1 - qm^*) + b(-qn^*)$$

Which implies that  $r \in S$  since r > 0 by construction, however by the division algorithm  $r < S_{\min}$  which contradicts the statement  $S_{\min}$  is the smallest element meaning that  $S_{\min}$  must divide a, and by a symmetric proof  $S_{\min}$  must divide b.

Let e|a and e|b then by the definition of divisibility:

$$a = ek, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$
  
 $b = el, l \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 $S_{\min} = am^* + bn^*$   
 $S_{\min} = ekm^* + eln^*$   
 $S_{\min} = e(km^* + ln^*)$   
 $e|S_{\min}$ 

So it is shown that  $S_{\min} = gcd(a, b)$ 

If  $S_{\min} = gcd(a, b)$  then since gcd(a, b)|c,  $S_{\min}|c$  which implies:

$$c = S_{\min}k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$c = (am^* + bn^*)k$$

$$c = am^*k + bn^*k$$

$$c = a(m^*k) + b(n^*k)$$

So we have shown the existence of integer multiples x and y such that c=ax+by

## If ax+by=c then $gcd(a,b) \mid c$

Let  $d=\gcd(a,b)$  then by the definition of  $\gcd d|a$  and d|b. This implies:

$$c = (dk)x + (dl)y = d(kx + ly), \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Hence, d | c.

8. Andrews 2.3.1.

The linear diophantine equation has a solution if and only if gcd(a,b)|c for those with solutions the general form of the solution set takes the following form:

$$x = x_0 + t \frac{b}{\gcd(a,b)}$$
  $y = y_0 - t \frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)}$   $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

*Proof.* Here are the sample solutions found computationally during our meeting:) The x and y that solve the equation 2x + 3y = 4 are -10 and 8 The x and y that solve the equation 17x + 19y = 23 are -2 and 2 no solution The x and y that solve the equation 23x + 29y = 25are 9 and -7 The x and y that solve the equation 10x + -8y = 42 are -6 and -8 no solution

9. Experiment with the sage command divmod. Use it with two arguments, say a 6-digit and a 3-digit number, and check that sage gives the correct answer.

sage: divmod(146329, 846) (172,817)

*Proof.* 
$$172 * 846 + 817 = 145512 + 817 = 146329$$

10. Experiment with the sage command xgcd. Use it with two 5-digit arguments and check that sage gives the correct answer.

sage: xgcd(12345, 67891)

(1, 15668, -2849)

sage: xgcd(40921,33333) (271, 22, -27)

Proof.

## Worksheet 2: Primes

1.	Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Show that, if $g = \gcd(a, b)$ then $\gcd(\frac{a}{g}, \frac{b}{g}) = 1$ .
	<i>Proof.</i> Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g = gcd(a, b)$ , then
	$a = g \cdot i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b = g \cdot j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$
	$\frac{a}{g} = i$ and $\frac{b}{g} = j$
	Now we will prove by contradiction that i and j have a gcd of 1.
	Suppose $gcd(i, j) = g_{ij} > 1$ then $i = g_{ij} \cdot c_i$ and $j = g_{ij} \cdot c_j$ where $c_i, c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$
	Then $a = (g \cdot g_{ij}) \cdot c_i$ and $b = (g \cdot g_{ij}) \cdot c_j$
	But then $(g \cdot g_{ij}) a$ and $(g \cdot g_{ij}) b$ and $(g \cdot g_{ij}) > g$ which is a contradiction since $g = gcd(a,b)$
	So $gcd(i, j)$ has to be one.
	So $\gcd(\frac{a}{g}, \frac{b}{g}) = 1$
2.	Give a careful definition of a <i>prime number</i> .  A prime number is a natural number greater than one such that its only divisors are 1 and itself
3.	Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .
	(a) Prove that, if $a \mid bc$ and $gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then $a \mid c$ .
	$\square$
	(b) Conclude that if $p$ is prime and $p \mid ab$ , then $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$ .
	Proof. $\Box$
	(c) Give a counterexample that shows the previous sentence is wrong if $p$ is not prime.
	Proof.