## MATH310 Homework 10

Chris Camano: ccamano@sfsu.edu

November 14, 2022

## Stein 1.13

- 1. Prove that if a postive integer n is a perfect square, then n cannot be written in the form 4k+4 for k an integer.
- 2. Prove that no integers in the sequence:

is a perfect square

*Proof.* if n is of the form: 4k + 3 we can show that n must then be odd since :

$$4k+3=2(2k+1)+1$$

so if there exists a value x such that  $x^2 = 4k + 3$  then we can show by the definition of an odd number for an odd number of the form : (2l + 1)that :

$$(2l+1)^{2} = 4k+3$$

$$4l^{2}+4l+1 = 4k+3$$

$$4l^{2}+4l-4k+1 = 3$$

$$4(l^{2}+l-k)+1 = 3$$

$$4(l^{2}+l-k) = 2$$

$$2(l^{2}+l-k) = 1$$

$$(l^{2}+l-k) = \frac{1}{2}$$

which is a contradiction since k and l are integers.

Since numbers of the form 111... have remainder 3 mod 4 it can be shown that by the first proof since numbers of the form 4k+3 are never perfect squares that the sequence contains no perfect squares. Below is the proof: let the elements of the sequence be generated by the following expression:

$$a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n 10^i$$

Since 4 divides all values of this sequence for i > 2 we can consider the following:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} 10^{i} = 11 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \equiv 11 \mod 4$$

Which is the same as:

$$11 \equiv 3 \mod 4$$

and by the proof of part a we know that numbers of the forma 4k+3 cannot be perfect squares Thus we conclude that no elements of the sequence are perfect squares.

## Andrews 5.1.3

Find  $\tilde{a}$ , the inverse of a modulo c, when :

- 1. a = 2 and c = 5
- 2. a = 7 and c = 9
- 3. a = 12 and c = 17

*Proof.* Since the values of a and c are relatively small most of these were computed by inspection, we Know that there exists an inverse if a and c are coprime and in this case all a and c are in fact coprime meaning its worthwhile to consider potential solutions.

1. a = 2 and c = 5

The modular inverse of a is 3 since:

 $6 \equiv 1 \mod 5$ 

2. a = 7 and c = 9

The modular inverse of a is 4 since:

 $28 \equiv 1 \mod 9$ 

3. a = 12 and c = 17

The modular inverse of a is 10 since:

 $120 \equiv 1 \mod 17$ 

## Andrews 5.2.5

What is the remainder when  $41^{75}$  is divided by 3?

*Proof.* Using Fermat's little theorem we know that:

 $n^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ 

in our context:

 $n^2 \equiv 1 \mod 3$ 

Leveraging this fact we can re-express our original problem as follows:

$$41^{75} \equiv x \mod 3$$

$$41(41^{37})^2 \equiv x \mod 3$$

$$41 \equiv x \mod 3$$

$$41^{75} \equiv 41 \equiv 2 \mod 3$$

Andrews 5.2.6

What is the remainder when 473<sup>38</sup> is divided by 5?

*Proof.* Again Using Fermat's little theorem we know that:

$$n^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$$

in our context:

$$n^4 \equiv 1 \mod 5$$

Leveraging this fact we can re-express our original problem as follows:

$$473^{38} \equiv x \mod 5$$
  
 $473^2 (473^4)^9 \equiv x \mod 5$   
 $473^2 \equiv x \mod 5$ 

473<sup>2</sup> is a fairly large number but luckily since we are working mod 5 we only need to consider the final digit since the rest will be divisible by 5. giving:

$$473^{38} \equiv 473^2 \equiv 3^2 \equiv 9 \equiv 4 \mod 5$$

Problem 5

If n is composite then  $2^n - 1$  is composite. Compute using computational methods 3 numbers of the form  $2^n - 1$  that are prime

*Proof.* Let n be composite, then n=kp where p is a prime

$$2^n - 1 = 2^{kp} - 1$$

$$(2^k)^p - 1$$

$$\frac{(2^k)^p - 1}{2^k - 1}(2^k - 1)$$

Note that this is the result of a finite geometric series:

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{p} (2^k)^{p-i}\right] (2^k - 1)$$

Both of these are integers so we have shown that  $2^n - 1$  is a composite number since it is the produc tof two integers

*Proof.* Numbers of the form  $2^n - 1$  that are prime are denoted Mersenne primes. The converse of the contrapositive of the statement above is also true meaning that if n is prime then  $2^n - 1$  is prime as well. So to generate 3 numbers of this form we can simply pick the first 3 primes and observe the results

$$n=2$$
 :  $2^2-1=3$ 

$$n=3$$
 :  $2^3-1=7$ 

$$n = 5$$
 :  $2^5 - 1 = 31$