

MATH 370 lecture 7

Chris Camano: ccamano@sfsu.edu

September 15, 2022

Formalization of Zeno's paradox:

$$a_n = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}$$

A random fact:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^x \frac{1}{k} = \infty$$

For all series of the form:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^x \frac{1}{i^p}$$

The limit is ∞ unless p is one.

$$a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = e$$

Theorem 1. A monotone sequence that is bounded converges: Assume we have an increasing sequence . Since we have a bound on the sequence denoted as M we then have:

$$\exists M \forall n a_n < M$$

We want to show that the limit of a_n exists, in order to do this we consider the nature of what it means to be bounded. The fact that the set is bounded implies the existence of a supremum for the sequence. This gives the following.

Due to the completeness of real numbers there exists some number S such that S acts as the supremum for the sequence. S is then by definition of supremum the least upper bound for all elements in the sequence.

We show that the limit of the sequence as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is equal to S . To show this we need to show that:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N : \forall n > N |a_n - S| < \epsilon$$

$$s - a_n < \varepsilon$$

$$s < \varepsilon + a_n$$

$s - \varepsilon$ is not an upper bound for a_n since S is the supremum which implies there exists N such that $a_N > S - \varepsilon$ so:

$$\forall n > N a_n \geq a_N > s - \varepsilon$$

Since the sequence is increasing. so we have proven that $a_n \geq s - \varepsilon$

Other theorems from the textbook:

Given s_n, t_n where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n t_n = st$$

Additional Theorem;

$$(s_n \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow \frac{1}{s_n} \rightarrow 0$$