Nicholls State University

Fall 2017 Student Instructional Report

Castille/Christopher Faculty: College:

Course:

Department: MNMK BΑ MNGT 502 EW (80130)

Course Enrollment: Evaluations Processed: 11 11

	Kank and Sandara sanda										Mean					Standard Deviation			11 11 11 11 11 11					
Num	5-Very Effec	4-Effective	3	-Mod Effe	3, 1	2-Some	Ineff	1-Ineffe	rctive :	0-N	4		No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
A. C	ourse Organi	zation an	d Pla	inning																			50 1	
1	3 27%	5 45	%	3 27	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.00	4.31	4.30	4.39	0,77	0.90	0.91	0.89	18	Expl of Requirements
2	5 45%	6 55	%	0 0	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.45	4.45	4.40	4.43	0.52	0.79	0.83	0.86	36	Prep for Class
3	8 73%	3 27	%	0 0	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.73	4.44	4.40	4.45	0.47	0.83	88.0	0.87	66	Command of Subj
4	6 55%	3 27	%	0 0	%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.18	4.27	4.30	4.40	1.17	0.98	0.97	0.92	24	Use of Class Time
5	6 55%	2 18	%	2 18	%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.18	4.29	4.25	4.33	1.08	0.97	1.01	0.99	26	Sum Important Pts
	Weighted Average for A. Course Organization and Plan												lanning	,	4.31									
B. Communication											S. S. Å													
6	5 45%	3 27	%	2 18	%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.09	4,38	4.30	4.36	1.04	0.91	0.97	0.96	24	Presentations
7	11 100%	0 0	%	0 0	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	5.00	4.60	4.57	4.68	0.00	0.74	0.80	0.67	100	Command of Lang
8	6 55%	4 36	%	1 9	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.45	4.43	4.36	4.43	0.69	0.83	0.90	0.89	39	Use of Examples
9	7 64%	3 27	'%	1 9	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.55	4.36	4,29	4.34	0.69	0.85	0,93	0,93	58	Challenging Probs
10	8 73%	3 27	%	0 0	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.73	4.49	4.46	4.51	0.47	0.81	0.88	0.84	58	Enthusiasm
	B	L				Weig	nted A	verage j	or E	3. Comn	nunica	tion		ALCOHOLD THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	4.56					<u> </u>				
C. F.	aeulty/Studer	it Interac	tion			rio Micre	i in die			iálionikosi T				m(dale)	10000		SHE H		dia orași	agi algi	Julium		134 Mgs	
11	6 55%	2 18	%	3 27	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.27	4,51	4.47	4.48	0.90	0,81	0,86	0,90	23	Helpfulness
12	11 100%	0 0	%	0 0	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	5,00	4.61	4.60	4.61	0.00	0.76	0.76	0.78	100	Respect for Stds
13	8 73%	2 18	%	1 9	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.64	4.51	4.47	4.46	0,67	0.79	0.84	0.89	51	Concern-Progress
14	7 64%	3 27	%	0 0	%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%		0	0%	4.70	4.48	4.43	4.42	0.48	0.82	0.87	0.92	66	Avail for Extra Help
15	10 91%	1 9	%	0 0	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.91	4.57	4,55	4.55	0.30	0.76	0.78	0.82	83	Listen to Std Ideas
1	<u>'</u>	I· \				Weig.	hted A	verage)	for (. Facult	y/Stuc	lent Interaction	1		4.70					<u>,</u> ,				
D. A	ssignment, E	xams and	Gra	ding											enes di Balancia								uelikkija. Dinastii	
16		4 36	-	2 18	%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.00	4.38	4,41	4.44	1.00	0.92	0,89	0.90	16	Inform How Graded
17	4 36%	3 27	′%	3 27	%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%		0	0%	3,82	4.18	4.22	4.30	1.25	1.05	1.00	0.99	15	Clarity of Exam
18	6 55%	3 27	%	1 8	%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.27	4.37	4.38	4.43	1.01	88.0	0.89	0,90	27	Exam Coverage
19	8 73%	1 8	%	1 8	%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.45	4,25	4.24	4.31	1.04	0,96	1.00	0.99	46	Comments on Work
20	4 36%	1 8	%	3 27	%	1	9%	1	9%	1	9%		0	0%	3.60	4.19	4.15	4.29	1.43	1.01	1.05	1.02	9	Quality of Text
21	7 64%	2 18	%	2 18	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.45	4.21	4.25	4.32	0.82	0,98	1.00	0.99	44	Assignment Helpful
1						Weig	hted A	verage)	for I	D. Assig	nment.	Exams and G	rading		4.11	1								
E. St	ipplementary	Instruct	ion N	Aethod:			uging) r							10011519	140015111121								oregi	
22	8 73%	agaga, muasadalgiai,	%		%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.64	4.15	4.18	4.30	0.67	0.94	0.95	0,93	73	Small Group Discus
23	4 36%	L	%	2 18		0	0%	0	0%	1	9%		0	0%	4.20	4.02	4.07	4.26	0.79	1,02	1.01	0.97	37	Term Paper/Project
24	3 27%	3 27	%	0 0	%	0	0%	0	0%	5	45%		0	0%	4.50	4.16	4.22	4,36	0,55	0.97	0.97	0.94	58	Lab Exercises
25	6 55%	3 27	%	0 0	%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.18	4.08	4.11	4.26	1.17	1.03	1.04	1.00	36	Group Projects
26	4 36%	4 36	%	1 9	%	1	9%	0	0%	1	9%		0	0%	4.10	4.04	4.17	4,31	0.99	1.05	1,00	0,98	32	Case Studies,
27	2 18%	0 0	%	1 9	%.	0	0%	0	0%	8	73%		0	0%	4.33	4.06	4.18	4.32	1.15	1.11	1,03	0.98	45	Course Journals
28	7 64%	2 18	%	2 18	%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.45	4,33	4.38	4,39	0.82	0,89	0,86	0.90	46	Use of Computers
	I					Weig	hted A	verage j	or E	E. Supple	ementa	ry Instruction	Method	İs	4.34					. (C140C0-100X-10-1	o et o desc		

Nicholls State University

Faculty: Castille/Christopher College: BA

Department: ■ MNMK

Course Enrollment: Evaluations Processed:

11 11

7.4572.00	
all 2017 Student Instructional Report	Course: MNGT 502 EW (80130)
	** 1,700 C CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF
Rank	Mean Standard Devia
	No Pass Class Dept Col Univ Class Dept Col

		Rank												Mean				Standard Deviation								
Núm	5-Muc	h More	4-M	ore	3-52	ame	$i \sim 2$ - L	ess	1-Min	h Less	0-A	A			No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ.	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
F, C	urse (Outcor	nes																1177 102 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103							
29	1	9%	5	45%	3	27%	2	18%	O	0%	0	0%			0	0%	3.45	3.69	3.69	3.85	0.93	0.96	1.04	1.05	21	Learning Increased
30	a	0%	6	55%	3	27%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%	3.36	3.69	3.68	3.87	0.81	0.94	0.99	1,01	15	Achieved Objectives
31	1	9%	4	36%	4	36%	1	9%	1	9%	0	0%			0	0%	3.27	3.64	3.58	3.78	1.10	1.05	1.13	1,15	18	Interest in Subject
32	3	27%	7	64%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%		<u> </u>	0	0%	4.09	3.72	3.70	3.89	0.83	0.99	1,03	1.05	60	Think Independently
33	5	45%	5	45%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%	4.27	3.79	3.74	3.92	0.90	0,99	1.05	1.06	69	Actively Involved
i ·			•				Wei	ghted A	verage	for I	. Cours	e Outco	omes				3.69									
		1527 EUS							di di					8.71		i de la composição de l						id jita				
34	0	0%	7	64%	3	27%	1	9%	0	0%	Ö	0%			0	0%	3.55	3.76	3.74	3.91	0.69	0.92	0.99	1.02	20	Effort Put In
35	0	0%	4	36%	6	55%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%		1	0	0%	3.27	3.64	3,69	3.86	0.65	0,89	0.93	0.98	7	Prepared for Class
36	1	9%	7	64%	3	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%	3.82	3.69	3.70	3.87	0.60	0.98	1.05	1.08	46	Challenged by Crs
Num	5-Ver	ν Diff	4-Som	e Diff	3-Abot	u Rìght	-2-Som	e Flem	1-Ver	v Elem					No I	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
37	1	9%	4	36%	5	45%	1	9%	0	. 0%					0	0%	3,45	3.30	3,36	3,40	0,82	0.62	0.72	0.76		Level of Difficulty
Num	5-Muc	h Heav	4-Hec	yier.	3-Abor	ii Same	2-Li	hler	I-Muc	h Light					No F	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
38	0	0%	6	55%	5	45%	0	0%	0	0%					0	0%	3,55	3.20	3.10	3.21	0,52	0.75	0.78	0,84		Work Load
Num	S-17er	v Fasi	4.Som	Fast	3-Abot	n Right	2-Som	e Stow	1-Ver	v Słow		li il ilinii			No F	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	OuestionDescription
39	0	0%	3	30%	7	70%	0	0%	0	0%					1	9%	3.30	3.12	3.19	3.27	0.48	0.53	0.60	0.64		Pace of Course
Num	5 Var	v Effec	4 Effe	egioe	3-Mar	d Effec	2-Som	e Ineff	1-Ines	geoirie -	0.1	MAN	40.5		No I	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
40	5	45%	2	18%	3		1	9%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%	4.00	3,98	3.97	4.06	1,10	0.90	0.95	0.95	39	Quality of Instruct
Niún	1 1/0	Minor	2-Colle	ge Ren	7 17 1	ective	i in	ther	AL SOL	Annalisasi	moralio a		i iz ili i		No F	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
41	8	73%	0	0%	0	0%	3	27%		1.,					0	0%	1.82	1.31	1,29	1.40	1.40	0.65	0,62	0,68		Description of Crs
Numi	i i jaki	shman	2-Soph	1000000	3 1	inior	1.0	niór	sice.	iduate :	6-0	her		All had	No F	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	Ouestion Description
42	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	0%			0	0%	5.00	3.84	3.13	2,50	0.00	0.67	1.16	1.25		Class Level
182600	ن ندرز برا	er Engl	3 34	Other	ا ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	aual		e de la comp		1304	i de la				No I	esn	Class	Dent	CoL	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
<i>Numi</i> 43	<i>1-Bett</i> 9	er Eng 82%	2-15ener 2	18%	0	0%	12151171114-95	· / ***********************************		errenting.	and should be	va - (2011) (201	*445.0104		0	0%			i			0.40	0.35			Comm in English
Nim		male	2-1.1								757.750.VG				No I	arn	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Cal	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
1V11m1	6	mate 55%	5	45%	LIFLESCE BLASSE	12.75JH 1566	e 12 - G-1125	120/03/10/15/15	32180806311	(C. 1941) (C. 1971)	-24123222		200.12		0	0%			1.52			0.50	0.50	0.47		Gender
	ineralis.	17. 11. 1,12.131	LAGuer du sé	aggarajana		B÷	en en u	R	##118/4 2	Re	6-	2000	7 5.	low C	No F	arn	Class	Dent	Col	Thiv	Class	Dent	Cal	Univ	%ile	Ouestion Description
<i>Num</i> 45	2	-A 18%	2-z	45%	1 1	9%	1	9%	2	18%	0	0%				0%	2,64					1,60	1.73			Grade Expected
		10.70	L	-10 70	L <u>'</u> l.				L				'				I	L								

Footnotes

^{1.} Percentile specifies the percentage of means that were less than or equal to your mean. For example, if you have a percentile of 45 for question 3, then 45 percent of the other courses evaluated had a class mean less than or equal to your class mean for question 3.

^{2.} Stundard Deviation is a measure of the dispersion of the students' responses. Lower standard deviation indicates more agreement among responses, and higher standard deviation indicates less agreement among responses.

^{3.} N/A and missing responses were not included in the computation of means or percentiles.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

Down up one scioes

Down up one scioes

Dindy guide

Coors

Planet every other material you used.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

-) I think whatever you are doing is really affective in making us understand mad word management produces.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

JE can thankful to the knowledge you have given us I am y'ad to be your student. You are really hardworking person and I really apparaish the effect you put the each dans. I kel you won't more than up do for each loss.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

Discussion about oase study

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

upload the powerpoint before class stanting

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

Hour profession & enthusiasm inspire of me for the Human Management. Thank you.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

Weekly case studies from Harvard website

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

better would have been a single case study that would be followed throughout the semester. Very abstract material, so more concrete examples applications = better.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

Interesting class overall. A little above my head most of the time, but interesting material to discuss.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

* GLICO WIDE STUDY GUIDE

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

PROJECT MORE FOLLOWS ON EVALUATING HUMAN CAPITLE MANAGENENT. FOLLO 19 ON VN GOILS RATHER THILL HR.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I HAVE TRULY GRUNED INGGENT OF HIT WIRNAGENENT FOR NOT HAVING A PLEASE IN IT.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS **COURSE WAS:**

Innovative class paticipation in lectures. Activities during class time are interesting and makes unbearable concepts direstable.

Personal attention toward student achievement is unparalleled.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Toward the end of the somester, some concepts were getting quite technical, especially involving computerskills. Some time some of the concepts are not that readily applied to some of the technical Computer programs that are out here. I Students need need to have a better understanding and application of concepts before diving into such competer, and applications for staffing, training, etc.

3)1 WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

Thank you for the personal attention you have Shown your students!

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

ciass wide study guide & open classroom discussions were productive and gave me the opportunity to get attracks

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Although the cases were servit-relevant to course objectives I find that they look up too much time to read each week. I be here homework from the textbook or lecture.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

and I rearned a 10t. I think the project and cases could be a 1 H/E more relevant to class.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS **COURSE WAS:**

the cases because it made me see the matrial in ways it could actually happen in the husiness Setting.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Should provide the slide presentations before the class period so it will help with Answering case discussion questions.
Provide company choices for students to use for AlM2 flourish project that heed human capital

3)1 WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT: Improvement

This course has made me enjoy management more; before I hever really liked any of my qualitative business COURCES (Other Man PSAN 490).

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

The Cases gove studients a place to practice , had one for

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

The Aims Flowish project, was not affective in relates the Course materials to reality.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS: Class discussions when

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS,
THE PROFESSOR SHOULD: have clear has rections.

I found myself lost lots of the contractions.

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

this course was hard to follow throughout the itemster

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS **COURSE WAS:**

Case studies purtaining to the topicis discussed in tecture! class & in the textbook readings.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR: May provide

the ppt. presentation before

the start of class. May

provide descussion questions for

following week's class the night

of prior week's class.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

il enjoyed the group discussion and learning exercises that Challenged the way that i would typically view a particular situation.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

- -Learning the difference between human capital Management and human resources.
- -Learning how the correct human copital can help the business achieve its competitive educations.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

- -continue with the tearning objectives
- allow assignments to be hardled in at the beginning of
- heguire the book for the course,

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I don't feel as if I had enough background in management to fully understand the concepts. Using the first class to review or introduce general concepts with definitions would have helped me.