Nicholls State University

Spring 2018 Student Instructional Report

Castille/Christopher Faculty: College:

Course:

Department: MNMK BA MNGT 502 EW (11128)

Course Enrollment: Evaluations Processed:

12 10

							Rani	į.									Mear			Standard Deviation				management of the	
Num 5	-Very I	THU.	4-Effect	ive 3	-Mod E	ffec	2-Some i		1-Ineffect	tive	0-N//	1		No Re	sp (Class L	Dept (Col U	niv (Class L	ept (Col U	niv	%ile	QuestionDescription
A Course Organization and Planning																									
A. Cou		50%		50%	0	0%	ol	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.50	4.28	4.28	4.41	0.53	0.91	0.93	0.87	49	Expl of Requirements
	5			20%		20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.40	4.39	4.36	4.46	0.84	0.82	0.88	0.83	35	Prep for Class
2	6	60%			0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.70	4.40	4.36	4.49	0.48	0.82	0.90	0.82	60	Command of Subj
3	7	70%		30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.50	4.22	4.25	4.42	0.53	1.02	1.02	0.92	49	Use of Class Time
4	5	50%	5	50%		10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.20	4.24	4.20	4.37	0.63	0.95	1.02	0.95	31	Sum Important Pts
5	3	30%	6	60%		10%	223						ization and P	lanning		4.46							ren.		
							weigi	neu Av	rugejo		Course	0.8													
B. Con			n			T		00/	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.60	4.27	4.21	4.38	0.52	0.93	1.01	0.93	55	Presentations
6	6	60%	4	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.90	4.46	4.54	4.69	0.32	0.91	0.82	0.67	71	Command of Lang
7	9	90%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%		0	0%	4.60	4.35	4.28	4.45	0.70	0.91	0.97	0.87	53	Use of Examples
8	7	70%	2	20%		10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.40	4.28	4.25	4.37	0.84	0.90	0.95	0.90	43	Challenging Probs
9	6	60%	2	20%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0% 0%	0	0%	_	0	0%	4.70	4.43	4.40	4.53	0.48	0.82	0.90	0.82	54	Enthusiasm
10	7	70%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0						0,0	4.64			552						
			5				Weig	hted Av	verage fo	or B.	. Comn	numcan	on			4.04			1915		Admic Control				
C. Fac	ulty/S	Studer	ıt Inter	action							39.5				0%	4.80	4.42	4.38	4.48	0.42	0.87	0.94	0.88	69	Helpfulness
11	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.80	4.56	4.52	4.60	0.42	0.77	0.83	0.78	61	Respect for Stds
12	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				4.80	4.43	4.36	4.48	0.42	0.82	0.93	0.87	71	Concern-Progress
13	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0% 0%	4.80	4.42	4.33	4.42	0.42	0.80	0.93	0.91	77	Avail for Extra Help
14	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.70	4.52	4.46	4.54	0.67	0.80	0.87	0.83	52	Listen to Std Ideas
15	8	80%	1	10%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	waters and the	1	076	4.78	Aleksia	4.10					34.55		
		Tipy i				POST.	Weig	hted A	verage f	for C	. Facul	ty/Stude	ent Interaction	n		4.70									
D. Ass	signm	ent, E	xams a	and Gr	ading	{ •							¥			4.00	4.00	4.07	4.45	0.42	0.87	0.91	0.89	78	Inform How Graded
16	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.80	4.36	4.37		0.53	1.02	1.02	0.96	55	Clarity of Exam
17	5	50%	5	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.50	4.15	4.15	4.32	0.53	0.91	0.91	0.87	47	Exam Coverage
18	5	50%	5	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.50	4.32	4.31	4.44		0.98	1.03	0.97	54	Comments on Work
19	7	70%	1	10%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.50	4.19	4.16		ļ	1.02	1.04	1.02		Quality of Text
20	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	7	78%		1	10%	2.50	4.19	4.15	4.27	1.00	1.02	1.04	0.97	!	
21	6	67%	0	0%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		1	10%	4.33	4.16	4.21	4.34	1.00	1.00	1.01	0.37	1 40	Andrews Andrews Control of the Contr
					d while		Wei	ghted A	verage	for I). Assig	gnment,	Exams and C	Grading		4.45									
E. Su	pplen	nentar	y Instr	uction	Meth	ods														6 75	0.04	1 04	0.00	49	Small Group Discus
22	5		4	40%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.40	4.16	4.12			0.91	1.01	0.92		
23	4	40%	6	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.40	4.03		4.24		1.03		0.98		Lab Exercises
24	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	78%		1	10%	4.00	4.17				1.01	1.02	0.91		
25	6	60%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%		0	0%	4.67	4.07		4.29		1.03	1.09	0.98		
26	7	70%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%		0	0%	4.78	4.23				1.01	1.01	0.94	-	-
27	1	11%		0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	89%		1	10%	5.00	4.20		4.35		0.99		0.96	1	
28	4	40%		40%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%		0	0%	4.33	4.26	4.29	4.41	0.71	0.88	0.94	0.89	39	Use of Computers
7,77,164.2								ed A	verage	for 1	E. Supp	lementa	ary Instructio	n Metho	ods	4.50									

Nicholls State University

Spring 2018 Student Instructional Report

Faculty: Castille/Christopher

College: BA

Course:

Department: MNMK

Course Enrollment: Evaluations Processed: 12 10

MNGT 502 EW (11128)

							Ra	ık								Mean				Standard Deviation					
Num	5-Much	More	4-M	ore	3-Sa	ите	2-L	ess	1-Much	Less	0-N/	Α		No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
F. Co	urse C	utcon	nes																						
29	2	20%	3	30%	3	30%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.50	3.59	3.60	3.84	1.08	0.98	1.05	1.03	26	Learning Increased
30	2	20%	4	40%	4	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.80	3.59	3.62	3.84	0.79	0.92	0.99	1.00	44	Achieved Objectives
31	2	20%	2	20%	6	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.60	3.53	3.50	3.77	0.84	1.06	1.14	1.12	36	Interest in Subject
32	1	10%	3	30%	5	50%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.40	3.67	3.63	3.88	0.84	1.01	1.06	1.04	14	Think Independently
33	1	10%	5	50%	3	30%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.60	3.67	3.66	3.90	0.84	1.03	1.08	1.05	25	Actively Involved
							Weig	ghted A	verage j	for F	. Course	Outc	omes		35 74	3.58			in Ab						
34	2	20%	5	50%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.90	3.69	3.73	3.88	0.74	0.88	0.94	0.99	53	Effort Put In
35	0	0%	3	30%	7	70%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.30	3.56	3.63	3.81	0.48	0.89	0.91	0.96	9	Prepared for Class
36	1	10%	6	60%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.80	3.63	3.73	3.85	0.63	0.96	0.99	1.03	48	Challenged by Crs
Num	5-Very	Diff	4-Som	e Diff	3-Abou	t Right	2-Some	: Elem	1-Verv	Elem				No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	Ouestion Description
37	0	0%	3	30%	7	70%	0	0%	0	0%				0	0%	3.30	3.34	3.41	3.40	0.48	0.64	0.71	0.73		Level of Difficulty
Num	5-Much	Heav	4-He	avier	3-Abou	t Same	2-Lig	hter	1-Much	Light				No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
38	2	20%	6	60%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%				0	0%	4.00	3.24	3.19	3.24	0.67	0.74	0.76	0.83		Work Load
Num	5-Very	Fast	4-Som	e Fast	3-Ahou	t Right	2-Some	e Slow	1-Verv	Slow				No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	Ouestion Description
39	0	0%	2	20%	8	80%	0	0%	0	0%				0	0%	3.20	3.16	3.27	3.25	0.42	0.59	0.65	0.64		Pace of Course
Num	5-Verv	Effec	4-Effe	ective	3-Mod	Effec	2-Some	e Ineff	1-Ineffe	ctive	0-N/	Α		No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
40	5	50%	4	40%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.40	3.92	3.90	4.09	0.70	0.92	0.98	0.93	70	Quality of Instruct
Num]-Mai/l	Minor	2-Colle	ve Rea	3-Ele	ctive	4-01	ther					()	No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
41	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%						0	0%	1.20	1.31	1.27	1.37	0.42	0.68	0.62	0.69	ĺ	Description of Crs
Num	1-Fresi	hman	2-Soph	omore	3-Jui	nior	4-Se	nior	5-Grad	luate	6-011	er		No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dent	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
42	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	0	0%		0	0%	5.00	3.74	3.16	2.61	0.00	0.69	1.07	1.22		Class Level
Num	1-Better	r Engl	2-Better	r Other	3-Ea	iual					No.			No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	Ouestion Description
43	7	70%	2	20%	1	10%				i				0	0%	1.40		1.09	1.06	0.70	0.40	0.37	0.31	/////	Comm in English
Num	1-Fen	nale	2-M	lale .										No R	esn	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dent	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
44	3	30%	7	70%						i				0	0%	1.70	مزدها فالمنتاب		1.34	0.48	0.50	0.50	0.48	/otre	Gender
Num	1.,	4	2-,	4.	3-1	3 +	4.	R	5-B		6-0	,	7-Below C	No R	esn	Class	Dent	Cal	Univ	Class	Dent	Col	Univ	Wila	QuestionDescription
45	5	50%	4	40%	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%			0%	1.70	2.95	3.04	2.94	0.95	1.56	1.74	1.74	=/otte	Grade Expected
		1200					DISTINGE	855.311	DESIGNATION OF		363176	0.5116					15252212					90519-000	GISTORIA E		100000

Footnotes

- 1. Percentile specifies the percentage of means that were less than or equal to your mean. For example, if you have a percentile of 45 for question 3, then 45 percent of the other courses evaluated had a class mean less than or equal to your class mean for question 3.
- 2. Standard Deviation is a measure of the dispersion of the students' responses. Lower standard deviation indicates more agreement among responses, and higher standard deviation indicates less agreement among responses.
- 3. N/A and missing responses were not included in the computation of means or percentiles.

502

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

By fan the simulation & writting the HP report

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

> make clean what is expected to turn in for all assignments.
> Introduce Tableau differently & spend time in class teaching

These structured powerpoints and more time for open discussion.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

The simulation was by fan the best part of the class. I wish there was more of that and also more focus there in class time. It's where I learned most in this class.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

Using the simulation

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Introduce Tableau sooner.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I appreciated your level of preparation. Also, the extra time you made yourself available was really helpful to my adminement in this course.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

I believe the tectures were most effective but also I feel the Annual report helped to solidify all the concepts.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Don't try to do too much. Some classes

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I enjayed the class. I think you are very knowledgable on the subject matter.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS: the simulation and slides.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE
SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR: should try
to organize the Moodle page as well
as assignment instructions before

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I felt a bit scatter brain sometimes and averwhelmed by tons of information, but I like his command and knowledge of the subject.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

Structure, profesionalism

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE

SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

We covered many interesting things that was new for me.

Sometimes the pace was very high and it was difficult for me to follow during the course.

I would appreciate sometimes to go deeper in some subject in order to roally acquire an applicable knowledge.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

Treally appreciate his profesionalism and the structure of the course. A pationale information treacher is always better for transmiting information and knowledges.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

Use of data analytics to see the clear picture of organization

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

May be sometime, we got information about the bassignment of prize of the late May be improvement on that Just happened once mough.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

the isdoing great job & the way he traches & me subject matter is perfect for graduette Student

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

This course had a perfect combination of both theory and practical applications. The readings were interesting and his lectures Kept you engaged in the topic. He taught us different out twores and tools that will definitely will be helpful in the Puture.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

The simulation was a for and great assignment.

I believe more insight about the results efter
each dension would improve the learning experience.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

Brot class in the MBA program so far.
Changed my view of the HR function from
a mundane thing to how it plays a
strategic role in any business.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

The ability to gain eltra credit regarding examo.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Decrease workload

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

Although this was a difficult course, I enjoyed this course.