

# Nicholls State University

## Fall 2019 Student Instructional Report

Faculty: Castille/Christopher  
 College: BA Department: MNMK  
 Course: MNGT 475 2T (82026)

Course Enrollment: 23  
 Evaluations Processed: 20

Rank														Mean				Standard Deviation				%	Question/Description		
Num	5-Very Effec		4-Effective		3-Mod Effec		2-Some Ineff		1-Ineffective		0-N/A		No Resp		Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col			Univ	
A. Course Organization and Planning																									
1	15	75%	5	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.75	4.27	4.33	4.41	0.44	1.01	0.92	0.89	74	Expl of Requirements
2	15	75%	5	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.75	4.34	4.40	4.47	0.44	1.02	0.89	0.84	71	Prep for Class
3	16	80%	4	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.80	4.36	4.40	4.47	0.41	0.99	0.91	0.86	74	Command of Subj
4	14	70%	4	20%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.60	4.13	4.29	4.42	0.68	1.23	1.05	0.93	56	Use of Class Time
5	14	70%	5	25%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.60	4.16	4.23	4.35	0.75	1.18	1.07	1.00	57	Sum Important Pts
Weighted Average for A. Course Organization and Planning														4.70											
B. Communication																									
6	11	55%	8	40%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.45	4.23	4.28	4.38	0.76	1.12	1.03	0.96	42	Presentations
7	19	95%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.95	4.67	4.65	4.69	0.22	0.70	0.72	0.68	81	Command of Lang
8	14	70%	4	20%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.55	4.32	4.34	4.44	0.83	1.01	0.95	0.88	46	Use of Examples
9	16	80%	3	15%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.70	4.20	4.29	4.36	0.73	1.09	0.99	0.93	75	Challenging Probs
10	16	80%	3	15%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.70	4.47	4.47	4.53	0.73	0.92	0.86	0.84	51	Enthusiasm
Weighted Average for B. Communication														4.67											
C. Faculty/Student Interaction																									
11	16	80%	4	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.80	4.32	4.42	4.48	0.41	1.12	0.95	0.91	68	Helpfulness
12	15	75%	4	20%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.70	4.48	4.54	4.59	0.57	0.93	0.83	0.80	44	Respect for Stds
13	17	85%	3	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.85	4.34	4.42	4.47	0.37	1.04	0.93	0.90	79	Concern-Progress
14	14	70%	6	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.70	4.27	4.37	4.44	0.47	1.07	0.96	0.93	63	Avail for Extra Help
15	15	75%	3	15%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.65	4.44	4.49	4.54	0.67	0.99	0.89	0.85	44	Listen to Std Ideas
Weighted Average for C. Faculty/Student Interaction														4.74											
D. Assignment, Exams and Grading																									
16	13	65%	6	30%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%		0	0%	4.50	4.31	4.41	4.46	0.95	1.04	0.91	0.89	42	Inform How Graded
17	8	40%	8	40%	3	15%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%		0	0%	4.10	4.10	4.19	4.31	1.02	1.20	1.06	1.00	25	Clarity of Exam
18	13	65%	5	25%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.55	4.20	4.33	4.43	0.69	1.15	0.98	0.92	46	Exam Coverage
19	18	90%	1	5%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.80	4.13	4.22	4.33	0.70	1.15	1.05	0.99	81	Comments on Work
20	9	45%	4	20%	3	15%	1	5%	0	0%	3	15%		0	0%	4.24	4.07	4.17	4.30	0.97	1.17	1.08	1.01	36	Quality of Text
21	11	55%	7	35%	0	0%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%		0	0%	4.30	4.07	4.21	4.33	1.08	1.23	1.09	1.01	33	Assignment Helpful
Weighted Average for D. Assignment, Exams and Grading														4.42											
E. Supplementary Instruction Methods																									
22	17	85%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.80	4.21	4.25	4.35	0.52	1.06	0.98	0.92	85	Small Group Discus
23	8	40%	9	45%	2	10%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%		0	0%	4.15	4.13	4.16	4.29	0.99	1.04	1.00	0.96	30	Term Paper/Project
24	5	25%	2	10%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	11	55%		0	0%	4.33	4.17	4.23	4.37	0.87	1.10	1.01	0.95	43	Lab Exercises
25	11	55%	5	25%	2	10%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%		0	0%	4.20	4.10	4.21	4.32	1.15	1.13	1.05	0.97	34	Group Projects
26	5	25%	3	15%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	10	50%		0	0%	4.20	4.11	4.22	4.34	1.03	1.17	1.06	0.98	36	Case Studies, ...
27	4	20%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	15	75%		0	0%	4.60	4.23	4.28	4.36	0.89	1.04	0.99	0.97	65	Course Journals
28	13	65%	4	20%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%		0	0%	4.58	4.28	4.35	4.41	0.69	1.00	0.93	0.90	59	Use of Computers
Weighted Average for E. Supplementary Instruction Methods														4.41											



Rank															Mean				Standard Deviation				%ile	QuestionDescription		
Num	5-Much More		4-More		3-Same		2-Less		1-Much Less		0-N/A				No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col			Univ	
F. Course Outcomes																										
29	4	20%	9	45%	5	25%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%			0	0%	3.70	3.61	3.69	3.84	1.03	1.11	1.06	1.08	36	Learning Increased
30	5	25%	9	45%	4	20%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%			0	0%	3.80	3.64	3.71	3.86	1.06	1.05	1.02	1.04	41	Achieved Objectives
31	7	35%	6	30%	4	20%	1	5%	2	10%	0	0%			0	0%	3.75	3.59	3.56	3.74	1.29	1.17	1.17	1.18	48	Interest in Subject
32	9	45%	3	15%	5	25%	1	5%	2	10%	0	0%			0	0%	3.80	3.66	3.70	3.88	1.36	1.13	1.08	1.07	40	Think Independently
33	8	40%	7	35%	3	15%	0	0%	2	10%	0	0%			0	0%	3.95	3.68	3.74	3.90	1.23	1.11	1.08	1.09	45	Actively Involved
Weighted Average for F. Course Outcomes															3.80											
34	5	25%	11	55%	3	15%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%			0	0%	3.95	3.73	3.78	3.91	0.94	0.90	0.95	1.04	55	Effort Put In
35	5	25%	7	35%	7	35%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%			0	0%	3.75	3.63	3.69	3.85	1.02	0.86	0.92	0.99	44	Prepared for Class
36	8	40%	7	35%	3	15%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%			0	0%	4.00	3.67	3.77	3.86	1.12	0.95	1.01	1.12	62	Challenged by Crs
Num	5-Verv Diff		4-Some Diff		3-About Right		2-Some Elem		1-Verv Elem						No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription	
37	0	0%	13	65%	7	35%	0	0%	0	0%					0	0%	3.65	3.40	3.43	3.39	0.49	0.66	0.72	0.79		Level of Difficulty
Num	5-Much Heav		4-Heavier		3-About Same		2-Lighter		1-Much Light						No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription	
38	2	10%	11	55%	7	35%	0	0%	0	0%					0	0%	3.75	3.25	3.24	3.20	0.64	0.73	0.75	0.87		Work Load
Num	5-Verv Fast		4-Some Fast		3-About Right		2-Some Slow		1-Verv Slow						No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription	
39	1	5%	4	20%	15	75%	0	0%	0	0%					0	0%	3.30	3.21	3.27	3.29	0.57	0.66	0.63	0.67		Pace of Course
Num	5-Verv Effic		4-Effective		3-Mod Effic		2-Some Ineff		1-Ineffective		0-N/A				No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription	
40	10	50%	7	35%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%			0	0%	4.30	3.90	3.93	4.07	0.86	1.12	1.04	0.97	62	Quality of Instruct
Num	1-Maj/Minor		2-College Req		3-Elective		4-Other								No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription	
41	17	85%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%							0	0%	1.20	1.31	1.28	1.42	0.52	0.67	0.62	0.69		Description of Crs
Num	1-Freshman		2-Sophomore		3-Junior		4-Senior		5-Graduate		6-Other				No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription	
42	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	19	95%	0	0%	1	5%			0	0%	4.10	3.74	3.08	2.43	0.45	0.69	1.06	1.22		Class Level
Num	1-Better Enrl		2-Better Other		3-Fault										No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription	
43	20	100%	0	0%	0	0%									0	0%	1.00	1.05	1.06	1.05	0.00	0.27	0.30	0.30		Comm in English
Num	1-Female		2-Male												No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription	
44	18	95%	1	5%											1	5%	1.05	1.51	1.52	1.34	0.23	0.50	0.50	0.47		Gender
Num	1-A		2-A-		3-B+		4-B		5-B-		6-C		7-Below C		No Resp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription	
45	2	10%	2	10%	7	35%	7	35%	0	0%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.35	3.28	3.20	2.89	1.31	1.71	1.78	1.78		Grade Expected

Footnotes

1. Percentile specifies the percentage of means that were less than or equal to your mean. For example, if you have a percentile of 45 for question 3, then 45 percent of the other courses evaluated had a class mean less than or equal to your class mean for question 3.
2. Standard Deviation is a measure of the dispersion of the students' responses. Lower standard deviation indicates more agreement among responses, and higher standard deviation indicates less agreement among responses.
3. N/A and missing responses were not included in the computation of means or percentiles.

# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

powerpoints

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Dedicate more class time to the projects maybe. Set deadlines because setting our own caused a lot of procrastination.

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

Crunch the numbers was NOT effective, especially since we really did not review them together and actually apply it to the project.



# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

Lectures!

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Should let students not take the final

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I've taken you 3 times in the past four years; I'd take you multiple more. Thanks for being a great professor.

P.S. drop the final please ☺

# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

The instructors knowledge and clarity

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Group check ups or group goals to prevent loafing.

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

He rules!

# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

The most effective part of this course was the strategic analysis report

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

The entire class overall.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

should break down the project more & make the students have certain things due on specific dates so you can let them know if they are doing it correctly.

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

He was by far one of the professors I've ever had & he was VERY helpful throughout the semester.

# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

- maybe explain in a level students will understand
- breakdown the project more
- make each team have a part of the project due on certain days not just goals

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:



# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS: *the quizzes & presentations*

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

*the crunch the Hs were difficult  
i feel like they should relate to  
the course more*

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

*hes a good teacher / advisor*

# OPEN COMMENTS:

**1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS**

**COURSE WAS:** the internal consistency project  
& class discussions.

**2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE**

**SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:**

- Should do the math part of phases I + II in class of the internal consistency

**3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:**

you're doing great. Thanks for being so flexible & willing to compromise w/ our lives.

# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I really enjoyed this class :3  
I've learned a lot! thank you!



# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS

COURSE WAS: Quizzes & Sample exam questions. Overall great lectures

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE

SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Explain or have "view example" for crunch the number problems

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

Worst part of this class are the crunch the numbers problems

# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

in class discussion

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Make changes to the group project and put the quizzes on moodle to avoid purchasing management lab.

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I hate the group work but otherwise enjoyable class.

# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

You. You are the most effective part

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Should slow down explaining phase 2 of the project

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

You were great. A huge help. Very understanding



# OPEN COMMENTS:

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

The group project actively involved me in what we covered in class and increased my confidence in the area

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Having more of a role in the productivity of groups would hold students more accountable and prevent majority of the work load from landing on one member.

3) I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT: