Nicholls State University

Spring 2019 Student Instructional Report

Faculty: Castille/Christopher

College: BA Do

Course Enrollment:
Evaluations Processed:

13 6

BA **Department:** MNMK MNGT 486 2T (10212)

	0									1															
	Rank														Mean				Standard Deviation						
um	5-Verv	Effec	4-Effe	ctive	3-Mod	Effec	2-Some	Ineff	1-Ineffe	ective	0-N	/A	N	o Re.	sp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
. Co	urse C	rgani	zation	and P	lannii	ng							Manager (1997)											de la la	
1	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.33	4.32	4.29	4.43	0.52	0.95	0.98	0.87	33	Expl of Requirements
2	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.50	4.47	4.38	4.49	0.55	0.86	0.91	0.81	41	Prep for Class
3	4	67%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	0%	4.67	4.50	4.41	4.50	0.52	0.86	0.92	0.82	53	Command of Subj
4	3	50%	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.33	4.34	4.27	4.43	0.82	0.99	1.04	0.89	30	Use of Class Time
5	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	0%	4.50	4.36	4.26	4.38	0.55	0.94	1.02	0.94	50	Sum Important Pts
							Weig	hted A	verage	for I	. Cours	e Orga	nization and Plann	ning		4.47									
. Co	mmun	icatio	n					15 15 15 15							11/20										
6	4	67%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.50	4.41	4.29	4.40	0.84	0.94	1.00	0.92	48	Presentations
7	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	5.00	4.53	4.56	4.67	0.00	0.84	0.80	0.69	100	Command of Lang
8	4	67%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.50	4.46	4.35	4.47	0.84	0.88	0.96	0.86	41	Use of Examples
9	4	67%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.67	4.40	4.32	4.40	0.52	0.86	0.94	0.89	68	Challenging Probs
10	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	5.00	4.58	4.48	4.54	0.00	0.79	0.87	0.82	100	Enthusiasm
			L				Weig	hted A	verage	for 1	3. Comn	nunica	ion			4.73				•					
l. Fac	culty/S	Studen	ıt Inte	ractio	n		a a la i					A di													
11	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	i	0	0%	4.67	4.42	4.41	4.50	0.82	0.99	0.96	0.87	53	Helpfulness
12	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.83	4.57	4.53	4.60	0.41	0.84	0.85	0.78	66	Respect for Stds
13	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.83	4.44	4.39	4.50	0.41	0.93	0.95	0.86	76	Concern-Progress
14	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.83	4.42	4.35	4.45	0.41	0.91	0.97	0.90	80	Avail for Extra Help
15	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.67	4.51	4.47	4.55	0.82	0.90	0.89	0.82	49	Listen to Std Ideas
							Weig	hted A	verage	for (C. Facul	y/Stuc	ent Interaction			4.77									
). As	signm	ent. E	xams a	and G	rading										Sur-										
16	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.83	4.41	4.36	4.47	0.41	0.92	0.97	0.88	81	Inform How Graded
17	2	33%	1	17%	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.67	4.17	4.16	4.33	1.21	1.09	1.06	0.98	11	Clarity of Exam
18	3	50%	1	17%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.17	4.35	4.32	4.44	0.98	0.93	0.95	0.90	22	Exam Coverage
19	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	5.00	4.27	4.21	4.37	0.00	1.02	1.06	0.96	100	Comments on Work
20	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	83%		0	0%	5.00	4.20	4.16	4.35	0.00	1.03	1.05	0.97	100	Quality of Text
21	2	33%	1	17%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.83	4.23	4.23	4.36	0.98	1.04	1.06	0.97	14	Assignment Helpful
1			-				Weis	thted A	verage	for	D. Assig	nment	Exams and Gradi	ng		4.32					i-				
E. Sm	nnlem	entar	y Instr	uction	Meth	ods														"					
22	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.83	4.30	4.23	4.37	0.41	0.91	0.97	0.88	87	Small Group Discus
23	1	17%	1	17%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%		0	0%	3.60	4.16	4.11	4.30	0.89	1.01	1.06	0.95	9	Term Paper/Project
24	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	4	67%		0	0%	3.00	4.26	4.20	4.42	0.00	0.97	1.03	0.90	6	Lab Exercises
25	2	33%	2	33%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.00	4.22	4.18	4.35	0.89	1.00	1.05	0.94	26	Group Projects
26	2	33%	1	17%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.83	4.23	4.24	4.41	0.98	0.99	1.00	0.91	17	Case Studies,
27	0	0%	1	17%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	50%		0	0%	3.33	4.21	4.22	4.39	0.58	1.01	1.02	0.92	10	Course Journals
		83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.83	4.39	4.35	4.44	0.41	0.84	0.92	0.86	83	Use of Computers

Nicholls State University

Faculty: Castille/Christopher

College: BA Department: MNMK

Course:

MNGT 486 2T

(10212)

Course Enrollment:

Evaluations Processed:

Ilment: 13
Processed: 6

Spring 2019 Student Instructional Report

Rank												_ ^		Мес	an		Sto	ındard I	Deviati	on	1				
ım	5-Much	More	4-M	ore	3-Sc	ıme	2-L		1-Much	Less	0-N	A	64.6	No Re	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ		Dept			%ile	QuestionDescription
Co	urse O	utcom	ies	5 1 1											V-2										
29	0	0%	3	50%	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.33	3.78	3.67	3.87	0.82	1.04	1.09	1.04	14	Learning Increased
30	0	0%	3	50%	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.33	3.81	3.69	3.88	0.82	0.95	1.03	1.01	13	Achieved Objectives
31	2	33%	1	17%	1	17%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0%		0	0%	3.33	3.77	3.59	3.79	1.63	1.09	1.15	1.13	22	Interest in Subject
32	2	33%	1	17%	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.67	3.86	3.72	3.91	1.21	0.99	1.06	1.04	32	Think Independently
33	2	33%	2	33%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.00	3.91	3.75	3.93	0.89	1.00	1.07	1.05	53	Actively Involved
_				•			Weig	ghted A	verage	for F	Course	Outco	mes			3.53									
34	1	17%	2	33%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.67	3,85	3.78	3.94	0.82	0.90	0.98	1.00	29	Effort Put In
35	0	0%	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.33	3.74	3.68	3,86	0.52	0.91	0.94	0.97	11	Prepared for Class
36	2	33%	1	17%	3	50%	0	0%	ο	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.83	3.76	3.78	3.90	0.98	0.97	1.01	1.04	45	Challenged by Crs
m	5-Very	Diff	4-Som	e Diff	3-Abou	t Right	2-Some	Elem	1-Verv	Elem			10.00	No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	OuestionDescription
7	2	33%	2	33%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%				0	0%	4.00	3,42	3.44	3.43	0.89	0.70	0.72	0.74		Level of Difficulty
m	5-Much	Heav	4-Hee	wier	3-Abou	t Same	2-Lie	hter	I-Much	Light			A Commence of the	No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	OuestionDescription
88	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				0	0%	4.83	3.40	3.27	3.28	0.41	0.80	0.79	0.84		Work Load
m	5-Verv	Fast	4-Some	e Fast	3-Ahou	ıt Right	2-Some	e Slow	1-Verv	Slow				No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	OuestionDescription
39	0	0%	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%				0	0%	3.33	3,23	3.26	3.30	0.52	0.58	0.63	0.66		Pace of Course
m	5-Verv	Effec	4-Effe	ctive	3-Mod	l Effec	2-Som	e Ineff	1-Ineff	ective	0-N	A		No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	OuestionDescription
10	3	50%	0	0%	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	3.83	4.09	3.95	4.09	1.33	0.98	1.01	0.93	25	Quality of Instruct
m	I-Mai/i	Minor	2-Colle	ge Rea	3-Ele	ctive	4-0	ther						No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	OuestionDescription
11	3	50%	0	0%	3	50%	0	0%						0	0%	2.00	1.30	1.30	1.36	1.10	0.65	0.64	0.68		Description of Crs
m	1-Fres	hman	2-Soph	omore	3Ju	mior	4-Se	nior	5-Grad	duate	6-01	her		No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	QuestionDescription
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	4.00	3.73	3.05	2.57	0.00	0.67	1.08	1.20		Class Level
ım	1-Bette	r Engl	2-Better	Other	3-E	aual			37			100	1200	No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	OuestionDescription
43	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%								0	0%	1.00	1.08	1.08	1.06	0.00	0.35	0.35	0.31		Comm in English
m	1-Fei	nale	2-M	(ale				8 15 8						No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	OuestionDescription
44	4	67%	2	33%										0	0%	1.33	1.49	1.54	1.34	0.52	0.51	0.50	0.48		Gender
ım	1-	A	2-,	A-	3-1	B+	4.	В	5-1	3-	6-0		7-Below C	No R	esp	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	Class	Dept	Col	Univ	%ile	OuestionDescription
45	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%	1	17%	0	0%	1	17%	0 0%	0	0%	3.33	3.08	3.14	2.91	1.63	1.71	1.80	1.77		Grade Expected

Footnotes

^{1.} Percentile specifies the percentage of means that were less than or equal to your mean. For example, if you have a percentile of 45 for question 3, then 45 percent of the other courses evaluated had a class mean less than or equal to your class mean for question 3.

^{2.} Standard Deviation is a measure of the dispersion of the students' responses. Lower standard deviation indicates more agreement among responses, and higher standard deviation indicates less agreement among responses.

^{3.} N/A and missing responses were not included in the computation of means or percentiles.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS

COURSE WAS: The tableau assignments because I feel that is the only thing I'll actually use in my career.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE

SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR: give more
time help (in class) for data camp of
coding. I felt it was so much easier
to learn things w/ R in class than
through Datacamp. I feel like that
wassed my time.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I hated almost everything about this course to coal only recommend the coarse to CIS or computer science Majors.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

Introduction to Rand Tableau

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

- Should stay exactly the same. Best professor to teach this class.

The class should be divided into two Pieces. Prableau

3)1 WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

I truly enjoyed the class and his lectures.

It really prepared me for my future career (AR)

(more than other classes I've teren)

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

I enjoyed How we were graded on effort rather than How correct: we were

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

Maybe lighten the work load

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

He was very caring twords students

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS

me + mu group members to make cust decisions that wentually we will have to make in class R-studio sessions were easier to understand.

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE

SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR: Should break up the Date Camp assign monts, wen though we've already discussed this option.

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

you've done an awesome job at explaining & working with the class to help us succeed this semister.

1) I BELIEVE THE MOST EFFECTIVE PART OF THIS COURSE WAS:

· Course content was reinforced by simulation accisions and results.
· Feeling like I was actively learning in this course,
versus other courses that are mostly book fearning.

e Feedback on assignments via goode docs. Wone of my other instructors have done that

2) TO IMPROVE THIS COURSE IN FUTURE SEMESTERS, THE PROFESSOR:

*Find a way to hold group members accountable (even if it is just or peer evaluation, or consider small peer evaluations throughout the semester to give students a chance to improve their effort)

3)I WOULD LIKE MY PROFESSOR TO KNOW THAT:

the vvorkload was heavy, but I did tearn a lot, I just wish there would have been a better way for my pears to be held accountable.