Extensions and Variants

Chris Conlon

Saturday 4th October, 2025

Grad IO

BLP Extensions: Demographics (Nevo 2000)

▶ It is helpful to allow for interactions with consumer demographics (such as income).

▶ A few ways to do this:
- You could just use cross sectional variation in s_{jt} and $\overline{y}_t$ (mean or median income).
- Better: Divide up your data into additional "markets" by demographics: do you observe $\mathfrak{s}_{jt}$ at this level? [May not be possible!]
- Better: Draw y_{it} from a geographic specific income distribution. Draw ν_i from a general distribution of unobserved heterogeneity.

▶ Ex: Nevo (2000) Cereal demand sampled individual level y_{it} from geographic specific CPS data

▶ Joint distribution of income, income-squared, age, child at home.

$$\beta_i = \overline{\beta} + \Pi y_i + \Sigma \nu_i$$

▶ with enough observations on the same product it is possible to include fixed effects

$$\delta_{jt}(\tilde{\theta}_2) = x_{jt}\beta - \alpha p_{jt} + \underbrace{\xi_{jt}}_{\xi_j + \xi_t + \Delta\xi_{jt}}$$

▶ What does ξ_j mean in this context?

▶ What would ξ_t mean in this context?

▶ $\Delta\xi_{jt}$ is now the structural error term, this changes our identification strategy a little.

▶ We need instruments that change within product and across market.

- ie: $z_{jt} - \overline{z}_{\cdot t} - \overline{z}_{j\cdot} = \Delta z_{jt}$ has to have some variation left!

Extensions: Micro Data (Petrin 2002), (microBLP 2004)

Suppose we had additional data on behavior of individuals (in addition to aggregate market shares).

▶ Examples:
- For some customers have answer to "Which car would you have purchased if the car you bought was not available?"
- Demographic data on purchasers of a single brand.
- Full individual demographic and choice data.

Nielsen data surveys panelists on everything they buy with a UPC code including what store they purchased from.

▶ Also tracks household characteristics (Race, Income, Education, HH Size, etc.)

▶ Can calculate covariance of characteristics (such as price) with demographics (income, education, etc.) conditional on purchase

▶ Can calculate purchase probability conditional on demographics: Did you buy any yogurt this trip, week, month, year?

Should we use these as individual data? Or Aggregate data from scanner data with additional moments?

▶ Previously we had moment conditions from orthogonality of structural error (ξ) and (X, Z) in order to form our GMM objective.

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{jt}|z_{jt}] = 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\xi_{jt}' Z_{jt}] = 0$$

▶ We can incorporate additional information using "micro-moments" or additional moment conditions to match the micro data.

- $Pr(\text{ i buys j }|y_i \in [0, \$20K]) = c_1$ or $Cov(d_i, s_{ijt}) = c_2$
- Construct an additional error term ζ_1, ζ_2 and interact that with instruments to form additional moment conditions.
- Econometrics get tricky when we have a different number of observations for $\mathbb{E}[\zeta' Z_m] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[\xi' Z_d] = 0$.
  - May not be able to get covariance of moments taken over different sets of observations!
  - People often assume optimal weight matrices are block diagonal.

$$(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\theta}_2, \hat{\delta}) = \underset{\beta, \theta_2, \delta}{\arg\min} \big(\underbrace{-\log \hat{L}(\theta_2, \delta) + \hat{\Pi}(\beta, \delta)}_{\hat{\Omega}(\beta, \theta_2, \delta)} \big)$$

▶ $\log \hat{L}(\theta_2, \delta)$ is individual log-likelihood where δ_{jm} are free parameters and θ_2 are nonlinear parameters.

▶ $\hat{\Pi}(\beta, \delta)$ is derived from the moments: $\mathbb{E}[(\delta_{jm} - \beta x_{jm} - \alpha p_{jm})\, z_{jm}] = 0$

▶ We don't impose $s_{jt} = \mathfrak{s}_{jt}$

Efficiency requires correcting micro-data to avoid double-counting:

$$\log \hat{L}(\theta, \delta) = \underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{j=0}^{J_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} w_{im} d_{ijm} \log s_{jm}(y_{im})}_{\text{micro}} + \underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{j=0}^{J_m} \left(N_m s_{jm} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} w_{im} d_{ijm} \right) \log s_{jm}}_{\text{macro}}$$

Extensions: Second Choices (Conlon, Mortimer, Sarkis 2022)

We need to see at least some $\mathcal{D}_{jk}$

$$\min_{s_{ij}, \pi_i} \sum_{(k,j) \in \text{OBS}} \left(\mathcal{D}_{kj} - D_{kj} \right)^2 + \lambda_1 \cdot \sum_j \left(\mathcal{S}_j - \sum_i \pi_i \cdot s_{ij} \right)^2$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad D_{kj} = \sum_{i=1}^I \pi_i \cdot \frac{s_{ij}}{1 - s_{ik}} \cdot \frac{s_{ik}}{s_k}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_j = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_j}{\overline{q}_0 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{J}_t} \mathcal{Q}_k}$$

$$0 \le s_{ij}, \pi_i, s_j, D_{kj} \le 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^I \pi_i = 1, \quad \sum_j s_{ij} = 1$$

▶ Semi-parametric (finite-mixture) if we let I grow

▶ Use cross validation to select # of types I.

Alternative: Vertical Model (Bresnahan 1987)

▶ Imagine everyone agreed on the quality of the products offered for sale.

▶ The only thing people disagree on is willingness to pay for quality

$$U_{ij} = \overline{u} + \delta_j - \alpha_i p_j$$

▶ How do we estimate?

- Sort goods from $p_1 < p_2 < p_3 \ldots < p_J$.
  It must be that $\delta_1 < \delta_2 < \ldots < \delta_J$. Why?
- Normalize o.g. to 0 so that $0 > \delta_1 - \alpha_i p_1$ or $\alpha_i > \delta_1/p_1$.
- $s_0 = F(\infty) - F(\frac{\delta_1}{p_1}) = 1 - F(\frac{\delta_1}{p_1})$ where $F(\cdot)$ is CDF of α_i.
- In general choose j IFF:

$$\frac{\delta_{j+1} - \delta_j}{p_{j+1} - p_j} < \alpha_i < \frac{\delta_j - \delta_{j-1}}{p_j - p_{j-1}}$$

$$s_j = F\left(\frac{\delta_{j+1} - \delta_j}{p_{j+1} - p_j}\right) - F\left(\frac{\delta_j - \delta_{j-1}}{p_j - p_{j-1}}\right)$$

Estimation

▶ Choose parameters θ of $F(\cdot)$ in order to best match s_j.

- Can do MLE $\arg\max_\theta \sum_j -\mathfrak{s}_j \log s_j(\theta)$.
- Can do least squares $\sum_j (\mathfrak{s}_j - s_j(\theta))^2$.
- Can do IV/GMM if I have an instrument for price. $\delta_j = x_j\beta + \xi_j$.
- Extremely easy when $F \sim \exp(\lambda)$.

▶ What about elasticities?

- When I change the price of j it can only affect (s_{j-1}, s_j, s_{j+1}).
- We have set all of the other cross-price elasticities to be zero.
- If a luxury car and a truck have similar prices, this can create strange substitution patterns.

Pure Characteristics Model: Berry Pakes (2001/2007)

$$u_{ij} = \delta_j + \beta_i x_{jt} + \xi_{jt} + \underbrace{\sigma_e}_{\to 0} \cdot \varepsilon_{ijt}$$

▶ Can think of this like random coefficients model where we take the variance of ϵ to zero.

▶ Can think of this a vertical model, with vertical tastes over several characteristics.

- PCs: everyone prefers more Mhz, more RAM, and more storage but differ in WTP.
- Possible that there is no PC specific ε.

▶ Advantages

- Logit error means there is always some substitution to all other goods.
- Reality may be you only compete with a small number of competitors.
- Allows for crowding in the product space.

▶ Disadvantage: no closed form for s_j, so estimation is extremely difficult.

▶ Minjae Song (Homotopy) and Che-Lin Su (MPCC) have made progress using two different approaches.

Even More Flexibility (Fox, Kim, Ryan, Bajari)

Suppose we wanted to nonparametrically estimate $f(\beta_i|\theta)$ instead of assuming that it is normal or log-normal.

$$s_{ij} = \int \frac{\exp[x_j\beta_i]}{1 + \sum_k \exp[x_k\beta_i]} f(\beta_i|\theta)$$

▶ Choose a distribution $g(\beta_i)$ that is more spread out that $f(\beta_i|\theta)$
▶ Draw several β_s from that distribution (maybe 500-1000).
▶ Compute $\hat{s}_{ij}(\beta_s)$ for each draw of β_s and each j.
▶ Holding $\hat{s}_{ij}(\beta_s)$ fixed, look for w_s that solve

$$\min_w \left(s_j - \sum_{s=1}^{ns} w_s \hat{s}_{ij}(\beta_s) \right)^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \sum_{s=1}^{ns} w_s = 1, \quad w_s \geq 0 \quad \forall s$$

Even More Flexibility (Fox, Kim, Ryan, Bajari)

▶ Like other semi-/non- parametric estimators, when it works it is both flexible and very easy.

▶ We are solving a least squares problem with constraints: positive coefficients, coefficients sum to 1.

▶ It tends to produce sparse models with only a small number of β_s getting positive weights.
  - Why? There is an L_1 penalty term (We are doing non negative LASSO!)

▶ This is way easier than solving a random coefficients logit model with all but the simplest distributions.

▶ There is a bias-variance tradeoff in choosing $g(\beta_i)$.

▶ Incorporating parameters that are not random coefficients loses some of the simplicity.

▶ I have no idea how to do this with large numbers of fixed effects.

Fully Nonparametric Demand (Compiani 2019)

Takes identification arguments in Berry Haile (2014) to the data. Looks at a sieve approximation to

$$\sigma_j^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_t, \widetilde{\theta}_2)$$

Using the Bernstein Polynomials

▶ Bernstein polynomials make it possible to enforce shape restrictions and monotonicity which is important

▶ Estimates demand for strawberries (organic vs. non-organic)

▶ Suggests that both for markups and merger effects we don't have sufficiently flexible demand models.