## Peer Review Guide: Course Audit

Guideline Response Commentary

How well does the tone of this document match its intended audience? (Who is the audience the author 0 1 2 3 4 seems to be addressing, and how do you know?)

This document should not sound like an academic report. What elements stand out to affect this style?

Look at the length of the audit. Does it "feel" short, long, or just right? (Comment on which parts need short / long / right more/less, where, and why.)

Guideline	Rating			ng	Commentary
How well does the author convince you that she or he has met each of the following outcomes?					Comment on clarity & effectiveness of author's claims. Is the outcome supported with real work or with total BS?
analyze, synthesize, and respond to difficult texts	0	1	2	3	4
understand texts as claims and test those claims	0	1	2	3	4
ask meaningful questions about the literacies required in the 21st century	0	1	2	3	4
use research and analysis to seek answers to those questions	0	1	2	3	4
gather and analyze original data of various kinds	0	1	2	3	4
use technologies to help achieve writing and research goals	0	1	2	3	4
convey written ideas and research findings effectively for various audiences and purposes	0	1	2	3	4
employ revision and re-thinking as essential components of both writing and research	0	1	2	3	4
explain writing-related concepts, including intertextuality, genre, originality, plagiarism, and the technologies of writing and research	0	1	2	3	4

ty
=
ં ત્વું ,
=)

,	Guideline		F	Catii	ng		Commentary
3)	How well does the author connect progress/growth as a writer to the work done in the class?	)	1	2	3	4	
,	How well does the author relate the research			2			

ral	Guideline	Commentary
ver)	What impressed you most about this report?	