Peer Review Guide: Data Analysis

	Guideline]	Resi	ılt		Commentary
	What data-collection method(s) was/were used?						
S	What population did the author study?						
cr	How well does the author justify those choices?	0	1	2	3	4	
ğ	Look at the length of the analysis. Does it "feel" short, long, or just right? (Consider the number of						
5	short, long, or just right? (Consider the number of	sho	rt /	lon,	g / r	ight	
7	data-collection types being used.) How professional or serious does the text appear?						
5	How professional or serious does the text appear?	0	1	2.	2	4	
Ž	How could the visual elements be improved?	U	1	2	3	4	
	Does the document sound and function like a						
	professional explanation, or is it simply a list of						
	answers to questions? Good ¶ lengths, etc.?						

ŭ	Guideline			Rati	ng		Commentary
tio	How clearly is the research question explained? How well is the purpose of the data collection explained?	Λ	1	2	3	4	
		U	1	2	3	4	
<u>-</u> 7/	How appropriate is the data-collection method for						
P (the question(s) being asked? Would that method provide the right kind of data for what the author	٥	1	2	3	4	
		U	•	2	3	1	
_	wanted to know?						
es	Bonus: How well does the author relate the findings	Λ	1	2	3	4	
\simeq	and process to the findings of secondary research?	U	1	2	3	4	

Guideline]	Rati	ng		Commentary
	Λ	1	2	2	4	
you believe what the author claimed to find?	U	1	_	-	_	
How clearly does the author explain the implications	Λ	1	2	2	4	
of these findings on his/hear research goals?	U	1	2	3	4	
How well do you understand the potential benefits of						
these research findings? Is the new knowledge useful, (0	1	2	3	4	
valuable, or controversial?						
Assuming you read this author's stakeholder and						
	0	1	2	3	4	
data that would be meaningful to the stakeholders?						
	How convincing are the given results? How much do you believe what the author claimed to find? How clearly does the author explain the implications of these findings on his/hear research goals? How well do you understand the potential benefits of these research findings? Is the new knowledge useful, valuable, or controversial? Assuming you read this author's stakeholder and	How convincing are the given results? How much do you believe what the author claimed to find? How clearly does the author explain the implications of these findings on his/hear research goals? How well do you understand the potential benefits of these research findings? Is the new knowledge useful, 0 valuable, or controversial? Assuming you read this author's stakeholder and genre analyses, how well does this analysis provide 0	How convincing are the given results? How much do you believe what the author claimed to find? How clearly does the author explain the implications of these findings on his/hear research goals? How well do you understand the potential benefits of these research findings? Is the new knowledge useful, 0 1 valuable, or controversial? Assuming you read this author's stakeholder and genre analyses, how well does this analysis provide 0 1	How convincing are the given results? How much do you believe what the author claimed to find? How clearly does the author explain the implications of these findings on his/hear research goals? How well do you understand the potential benefits of these research findings? Is the new knowledge useful, 0 1 2 valuable, or controversial? Assuming you read this author's stakeholder and genre analyses, how well does this analysis provide 0 1 2	How convincing are the given results? How much do you believe what the author claimed to find? How clearly does the author explain the implications of these findings on his/hear research goals? How well do you understand the potential benefits of these research findings? Is the new knowledge useful, 0 1 2 3 valuable, or controversial? Assuming you read this author's stakeholder and genre analyses, how well does this analysis provide 0 1 2 3	How convincing are the given results? How much do you believe what the author claimed to find? How clearly does the author explain the implications of these findings on his/hear research goals? How well do you understand the potential benefits of these research findings? Is the new knowledge useful, 0 1 2 3 4 valuable, or controversial? Assuming you read this author's stakeholder and genre analyses, how well does this analysis provide 0 1 2 3 4

Guideline			Rat	ing		Commentary
How well does the author explain the role of this	0	1	2	2	4	
data in the larger research, project, or investigatio	n?	1	2	3	4	
Did the author present data or a data <i>analysis</i> ?						
How interesting is the "story" told about the data		1	2	2	4	
Does the analysis seem interesting? Purposeful?	U	1	2	3	4	
How much of the author's observations about the	0	1	2	2	4	
results seem insightful (rather than mundane)?	U	1	2	3	4	

all	Guideline	Commentary
ver	What impressed you most about this analysis?	
Ó	What needs the author's attention most urgently?	