Peer Review Guide: Secondary Research Report (SRR)

Guideline	Rat	ing	Commentary
How many sources are in the list? What does that			
number suggest about the author's goals?			
Look at the length of the framing synthesis. Does it	short / long	g / right	
"feel" short, long, or just right? How professional or serious does the text appear?			
How professional or serious does the text appear?	0 1 2	3 4	
How could the visual elements be improved? (Check the annotations and the list of sources. Do they look			
the annotations and the list of sources. Do they look			
neat, organized, and consistent?)			
How easily can you tell what the author's research	0 1 2	3 4	
question is based on the discussion?			
Guideline	Rati	ng	Commentary
How clearly is the topic introduced? Do you	0 1 2	3 4	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			

	Guideline			Rati	ng		Commentary
	How clearly is the topic introduced? Do you	0	1	2	3	4	
	understand what's at issue as quickly as you expect?						
	Who is the intended audience of this document?						
	How can you tell, or why are you unsure?						
212	How well can you identify the criteria used to choose	0	1	2	3	4	
ن ا	and organize the sources?						
3	Do you know what the author hopes to do with the	0	1	2	3	4	
<u>></u>	bibliography? (In other words, how clear is the						
à	author's purpose?)						
	Depth & Progress: How well does this text illustrate	0	1	2	3	4	
Ţ	college-level thinking about the issue (rather than						
	just repeating others' words)?						
	Synthesis: How well are the sources integrated into	0	1	2	3	4	
	one discussion?						
	Relevance & Connection: How thoroughly do the	0	1	2	3	4	
	sources address the author's research question?						

	Guideline			Rat	ing		Commentary
	Citation Style: How well do the entries match the	0	1	2	3	4	
	specific requirements of formatting? What elements						
	should the author attend to?						
	Summary: How clearly do the annotations	0	1	2	3	4	
ns	summarize each source? Do you feel like you know						
<u> </u>	summarize each source? Do you feel like you know what the sources said? Evaluation: How thoroughly does the author						
E	Evaluation: How thoroughly does the author	0	1	2	3	4	
ਨੂ	evaluate the sources, questioning the reasoning or						
_	approaches?						
⋖	Relevance: Can you easily tell how each annotation	0	1	2	3	4	
	relates to the author's research question?						
	How balanced are the types of sources used? Are	0	1	2	3	4	
	there enough peer-reviewed, academic sources?						
	What types of voices should be added to this						
	collection? (How urgent is that need?)						

Guideline Commentary What impressed you most about this SRR? What needs the author's attention most urgently?

What would make the framing synthesis better?