

draft 1 p7

The Survey Methods discussed above all relate to information requirements. The scope of requirements is immense, but here I have focused on the things that I have perceived as being to do with how people interact with observe the structures used by wildlife, and ~~also to do with~~ are important to the structure of the protocol. It is clear that the ability to ascertain presence/absence could ~~constrain~~ rather than just presence can interact with time spent surveying, which in turn could interact with the frequency of surveys. I also suspect that these considerations will boil down to something quite simple, but too it is important to ~~not~~ <sup>sustain</sup> consider how effort should be encouraged across or across many different contexts, ~~and~~ and harnessed when found.

Biology of Species - eg. Breeding Success.

Breeding attempts.

- ~~freq. Continuity~~ X (Length of obs. + Enhanced).

Fledgling success.

- ~~freq. obs.~~ X (Length of obs. + ~~freq. obs.~~ + Enhanced).

Competition

- Indirect: ~~freq. obs.~~ X Length of obs.

- ~~freq. obs.~~ X Length of obs.

predation

~~structure~~  
# Jpp

Structure use / effectiveness.

~~freq.~~ presence / absence - [structure Monitored, not target sp.]

- ~~freq. obs.~~ X Length of obs. + Enhanced.  
- in some cases, freq. obs. X ~~length~~ of use.

uptake of structure

- Continuity. ~~rest in the context of rest box uptake~~  
~~long~~