CSC 143 Java

Specifications: Programming by Contract

Reading: Ch. 5

(c) University of Washington

13_1

Interfaces

- Clients and implementers of an abstraction (e.g. a method or a class) agree on the interface
 - A contract between the two parties
- · Gives rights and responsibilities of each, to their mutual benefit
- "Interface" has a broad that goes beyond the Java keyword
- "Interface" in the narrow sense usually refers to the agreed upon types of arguments and results and the possibly thrown exceptions
 - · Compliance enforced by Java's compile-time typechecker
 - But this isn't a complete contract!

(c) University of Washington

Specifications

- A specification is a (more) complete contract, that should include
 - any restrictions on the allowed argument values
 [A constraint on the client, assumed by the implementer]
 - what the return value must be, in terms of the argument values [A constraint on the implementer, assumed by the client]
 - any changes in state that might happen, and when [A constraint on the implementer, assumed by the client]
 - when any exceptions might be thrown (more on that later)
 [A constraint on the implementer, assumed by the client]
- Example:
 - myAccount.deposit(double); //allowed args? state changes?
 - aPerson.getAge(); //return values? state changes?

(c) University of Washington

13-3

Types vs Values

- Note the emphasis on values rather than types
- "any restrictions on the allowed argument values"...
- "what the return value must be, in terms of the argument values"...
- "any changes in state that might happen"...
- · Compilers are good at checking types
- · Compilers are weak at checking values
- Values are an aspect of the semantics (meaning) of a program.

(c) University of Washington

13-4

Preconditions and Postconditions

- Two particularly common types of specifications are preconditions and postconditions
- Precondition: something that must be true before a method/constructor can be called
 - · A constraint on the client (the caller)
 - \bullet Assumed true by the method implementation
- Postcondition: something that is guaranteed to be true after the method/constructor terminates execution
 - · A constraint on the implementer
- Assumed to be true by the client
- A postcondition is guaranteed only if the preconditions were true when method was called

(c) University of Washington

Examples

- · What would be reasonable preconditions for
 - a square root function?
 - a method to add a new item into a set?
 - A method to find the earliest date on a file?
- What would be reasonable postconditions for
 - a square root function?
 - a method to add a new item into a set?
 - A method to find the earliest date on a file?

(c) University of Washington

13-

Invariants

- An *invariant* is a condition that must be true at a particular point in a program
- Preconditions and postconditions are particular kinds of invariants
 - But there are invariants which are neither pre- nor post-conditions
- There generally are an infinite number of invariants

aPerson.getAge();

- "result is >= 0 and <= 150" is an invariant (postcondition)
- Others include "result is > -1", "result is > -2", "result is > -3", " result is < 200", "result is < 2000", "result is < 20000", etc. etc.

(c) University of Washington

Loop Invariants

• Definition: something that is true on each execution of a loop body

for (int index=1900; index <= 1999; index++) {

/* point A */

rainfall += rainRecord[index];

/* point B */

At points A and B, it is true that $0 \le index && index \le 1999$.

Other loop invariants may be harder to state.

"The value of rainfall is equal to the sum of the values rainRecord[0]...rainRecord[index]"

This is true at point B but not at point A!

(c) University of Washington

13-8

Data and Class Invariants

• Data invariants express a relationship between variables, especially instance variables

son.birthyear > mother.birthyear

- Data invariants often hold true over an extended portion of the program
 - · Compare with precondtions, postconditions, loop invariants: only guaranteed to be true at particular points

(c) University of Washington

Class Invariants

· Class invariants express permanent requirements on the values or relationships of instance variables

If employee.jobcode "Programmer", then employee.salary > \$50,000

0 <= this.size <= this.capacity

The list data is stored in this.elements[0..this.size-1]

- · A class invariant might not hold temporarily...
 - · while an object is being constructed
- while a method is in the middle of updating related variables,
- ...but it must always be true by the time a constructor or method terminates
- · Any class invariant is automatically:
 - · A postcondition of every constructor and method of that class
 - · A precondition of every method of every method of that class

(c) University of Washington

13-10

Invariants and Inheritance

- · When methods are overriden
 - · preconditions can be weakened in overriding methods
- · postconditions can be strengthened
- Class invariants...
- · can be strengthened since only the class itself can ensure they are respected,
- · can even be changed arbitrarily, as long as... inherited methods still have proper preconditions met when they're called and inheriting code only assumes inherited postconditions are true

(c) University of Washington

Writing Bug-Free Software

- Program bugs can often be seen as unforeseen cases of invariants being violated
- Writing down invariants of all kinds is incredibly useful in design and understanding
- In principle:
 - If you could write down all invariants, and have them checked as the program runs, practically all bugs would be found
- In reality:
 - 1. Writing down all invariants is tedious to impossible
- 2. Languages give little direct support for documenting and checking invariants
- 3. Test cases may not sufficiently exercise invariant checking

(c) University of Washington

Assertions – New Feature of Java 1.4

- Long-time feature of C/C++
- · Idea: at any point in the code where some condition should hold, we can write this type of statement:

assert <boolean expression>;

- · If
boolean expression> is true, execution continues normally
- · If false, execution stops with an error, or drops into a debugger, ..
- · Asserts can be disabled without removing them from the source code
 - · Means there is no performance penalty for production code
- · Guideline: use aggressively for consistency checking
 - · Powerful development tool; helps code to crash early
 - · Use to check all types of invariants, not just preconditions
- · Unfortunately, not all invariants can be expressed by simple Boolean conditions.

(c) University of Washington

Suggested Practice

- Include simple invariants as asserts
 - · Serves as documentation and for checking
- Include all non trivial invariants as comments in the code (use @param, @return, @throws comments if appropriate)

These are essential parts of the design

If you don't write them down, the reader (who may be you) will have to reconstruct them as best he/she can

 Whenever you update a variable, double deck any invariants that mention it to be sure the invariant still holds

May need to update related variables to make this happen

May need to add preconditions (e.g. no negative deposits) or explicit checks (e.g. for overdraft) to ensure they hold

Helps to write the code for you!

(c) University of Washington

Checking Preconditions: Issues

- Should preconditions be checked?
 - In an ideal world, no: if all clients satisfy their preconditions, no implementations would need to check them
 - In a world where programs have bugs: maybe we should Prefer programs that crash right away when a problem happens (controversial!)
- Who is responsible for checking?
 - · Most logical place is at the beginning of the called method
- How aggressive should we be about checking?
 - If check all preconditions, can clutter up code
 - · Focus on checking preconditions that wouldn't crash already, and that would lead to obscure behavior if they weren't detected

(c) University of Washington

Handling Violated Preconditions

- · Goal: to force immediate termination
 - · Reason: the contract has been broken
- · Assert the condition (and abort)?
- Throw a RuntimeException?
 - · Since these exceptions shouldn't ever be thrown, and clients shouldn't expect to handle them, they shouldn't be listed in throws clauses
 - Not possible to handle the exception to produce some different output or clean-up operation
- Write error messages to System.out or System.err?
 - · Might help you during debug
 - · Of marginal help in a production environment Neither you nor the client may have access to the console window

(c) University of Washington

When to do What?

13-16

Error Checking and Handling Toolbag

- Status returns
- Assert statements
- · Throwing checked exceptions
- · Throwing unchecked exceptions
- · try/catch blocks
- · Messages to console
- · Messages to system log

Some goals: · Correct operation

- · Efficient operation
- · Uninterrupted operation
- · Clarify of programming and design
- · Detection of bugs
- · Removal of bugs
- These goals are sometimes in conflict!
 - · Welcome to the real world...

(c) University of Washington

(c) University of Washington

Some advice

- 1. Draw a box around the code *you* are responsible for debugging
- 2. Inside the box, be aggressively aggressive
 - 1. Lavish use of assert
 - 2. Frequent invariant checking, even if redundant
 - 3. Explicit subexpressions, single-assignment variables (helpful when using Debugger)
 - 4. Console messages
- 3. Outside the box, be conservative
 - 1. Raise exceptions
 - 2. Write to system logs
 - 3. Comments and documentation
 - 4. Fail-safe recovery

(c) University of Washington

13-19