

Table 1: Fall 2025 Ring Attention Implementation: Prefill and decode performance for Ring vs Regular attention.

Strategy	Prompt	TTFT (ms)	TTFT/token	Decode (ms)	Total (ms)	Comm (ms)	Comp/Comm
Ring	256	1576.04	6.16	558.09	18318.77	12823.92	0.43
Regular	256	66.58	0.26	57.10	1779.55	0.00	N/A
Ring	512	1513.53	2.96	565.80	18487.42	12773.48	0.45
Regular	512	71.20	0.14	55.99	1751.03	0.00	N/A
Ring	1024	1667.12	1.63	566.92	18674.79	12690.79	0.47
Regular	1024	108.38	0.11	56.90	1815.44	0.00	N/A
Ring	4096	3220.51	0.79	573.09	20413.19	13001.37	0.57
Regular	4096	453.37	0.11	47.90	1890.37	0.00	N/A

Table 2: Our Implementation: Prefill performance for Ring vs Regular attention with async communication overlap. Tested on LLaMA 3.2 1B parameter model using 2 NVIDIA A16 GPUs.

Strategy	Prompt	TTFT (ms)	TTFT/token	Slowdown
Ring	256	430.61	1.68	$7.06\times$
Regular	256	60.98	0.24	—
Ring	512	508.69	0.99	$4.00\times$
Regular	512	127.15	0.25	—
Ring	1024	621.78	0.61	$2.56\times$
Regular	1024	242.92	0.24	—
Ring	4096	1386.12	0.34	$1.34\times$
Regular	4096	1035.80	0.25	—
Ring	8192	2472.77	0.30	$1.16\times$
Regular	8192	2132.00	0.26	—
Ring	16384	5414.71	0.33	$1.06\times$
Regular	16384	5085.98	0.31	—