

**APPLICATION FOR TELESCOPE TIME (OPTICAL AND INFRARED)**

1 TELESCOPE ( <i>AAT, UKST, WHT, INT or UKIRT</i> )		WHT	Reference:	Date stamp:
2 SEMESTER		2020B	3 SCIENTIFIC CATEGORY	4
4 COORDINATED PATT PROPOSALS		<i>AAT:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>UKST:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>WHT:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>INT:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>UKIRT:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>JCMT:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>GEMINI:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>LT:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>MERLIN:</i> <input type="checkbox"/>		
5 PRINCIPAL APPLICANT				
Surname: Lintott		Title: Prof	First name: Chris	
Post held: Professor of Astrophysics/Citizen Science Lead				
Address: University of Oxford Department of Astrophysics, Denys Wilkinson Building Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3RH, UK				
Telephone: 00441865273638		Fax:		
E-mail: chris.lintott@physics.ox.ac.uk		Is the applicant a possible observer? Yes		
6 COLLABORATORS				
Name:		Institute:	Observer?	
Dr Rebecca Smethurst		University of Oxford	Yes	
Mr Tobias Géron		University of Oxford	Yes	
Dr Brooke Simmons		Lancaster University	No	
7 SHORT TITLE OF PROPOSAL ( <i>maximum 12 words</i> )				
Are strong bars and weak bars distinct phenomenon?				
8 SUMMARY OF PROPOSED OBSERVATIONS				
ABSTRACT NEEDED - CHRIS?				
9 FOCAL STATION, INSTRUMENT AND DETECTOR				
Focal station:	Instrument:	Detector(s):	Gratings/Filters:	
f/11	ISIS	EEV12, RED+	R300B, R316R	
10 OBSERVING TIME REQUESTED THIS SEMESTER				
Time requested this semester	Dark: 3	Grey:	Bright:	specify nights <input type="text"/> Nights
Minimum useful allocation this semester	Dark: 3	Grey:	Bright:	or weeks:
<i>UKIRT applicants requiring dark time must justify this in section 18</i>				
11 COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF THIS IS A LONG TERM PROPOSAL				
Total time requested	Dark:	Grey:	Bright:	specify nights <input type="text"/> or weeks:

<b>12 SCHEDULING INFORMATION</b>					
		Preferred dates: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">January</div>			
		Impossible dates: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Wrong RAs</div>			
<i>Give justification for impossible dates</i>					
If observations are to be simultaneous with other telescopes or satellites, give details:					
Any other scheduling constraints: <i>Include likely clashes with other time applications, constraints on lunar position or quarter, instrument preparation requirements, etc</i>					
<b>13 SERVICE OBSERVING</b>					
		yes:		no:	
				maybe:	
<b>14 SUPPORT ASTRONOMER REQUESTED AT TELESCOPE</b>					
		every night:		no:	
				first night only:	x
<b>15 LIST OF PRINCIPAL TARGETS</b>					
Object(s):	RA(h,m):	Dec(degs):	Mag(type):	Colour:	Exp. Time:
J011242.10+010839.2 01 : 12 : 42.10 + 01 : 08 : 39.2 20.88 0.54 2000					
J015911.34 + 000635.1 01 : 59 : 11.34 + 00 : 06 : 35.1 20.71 0.52 1600					
J142049.60 + 052627.5 14 : 20 : 49.60 + 05 : 26 : 27.5 20.44 0.48 1100					
J102153.20 + 001743.3 10 : 21 : 53.20 + 00 : 17 : 43.3 20.26 0.58 900					
J092000.86 + 192200.2 09 : 20 : 00.86 + 19 : 22 : 00.2 21.71 0.59 7000					
J133524.55 + 012438.1 13 : 35 : 24.55 + 01 : 24 : 38.1 19.84 0.83 600					
J115759.03 + 230729.6 11 : 57 : 59.03 + 23 : 07 : 29.6 19.77 0.83 500					
J135256.55 + 024851.4 13 : 52 : 56.55 + 02 : 48 : 51.4 19.59 0.75 400					
J022956.39 + 011044.6 02 : 29 : 56.39 + 01 : 10 : 44.6 19.51 0.91 400					
J130300.82 + 032357.1 13 : 03 : 00.82 + 03 : 23 : 57.1 20.30 0.81 4000					
<b>16 LIST ALL SIMILAR SUPPORTING APPLICATIONS TO ANY PART OF OTHER TIME ASSIGNMENT COMMITTEE</b>					
<i>You must include a brief description of any other applications whose targets or science goals are similar to those requested here</i>					
Telescope/satellite:		Title/Description of programme:			
J141452.03 + 140733.1 14 : 14 : 52.03 + 14 : 07 : 33.1 20.21 0.57 850					
J132056.14 + 013013.2 13 : 20 : 56.14 + 01 : 30 : 13.2 20.29 0.57 900					
J100759.89 + 303215.8 10 : 07 : 59.89 + 30 : 32 : 15.8 21.26 0.5 3500					
J092032.19 + 070426.6 09 : 20 : 32.19 + 07 : 04 : 26.6 21.75 0.56 8000					
J093132.07 + 004058.5 09 : 31 : 32.07 + 00 : 40 : 58.5 21.56 0.46 5500					
J092256.93 + 012602.4 09 : 22 : 56.93 + 01 : 26 : 02.4 19.38 0.85 350					
J133706.47 + 002204.7 13 : 37 : 06.47 + 00 : 22 : 04.7 21.33 0.78 4000					
J024141.34 + 010736.6 02 : 41 : 41.34 + 01 : 07 : 36.6 21.85 0.72 8500					
J104112.96 + 060739.9 10 : 41 : 12.96 + 06 : 07 : 39.9 20.81 0.77 2000					
J023153.52 + 011234.2 02 : 31 : 53.52 + 01 : 12 : 34.2 20.51 0.75 1300					
J105231.11 + 071329.6 10 : 52 : 31.11 + 07 : 13 : 29.6 20.38 0.56 1100					
J131936.84 + 030157.3 13 : 19 : 36.84 + 03 : 01 : 57.3 19.71 0.57 450					
J101117.86 + 002632.6 10 : 11 : 17.86 + 00 : 26 : 32.6 21.25 0.48 3500					
J133558.58 + 014348.2 13 : 35 : 58.58 + 01 : 43 : 48.2 21.66 0.57 6500					
J102542.13 + 004546.8 10 : 25 : 42.13 + 00 : 45 : 46.8 20.27 0.53 900					
J023240.17 + 001536.2 02 : 32 : 40.17 + 00 : 15 : 36.2 19.25 0.82 300					
J121209.56 + 174525.3 12 : 12 : 09.56 + 17 : 45 : 25.3 18.81 0.74 200					
J021704.77 + 011439.0 02 : 17 : 04.77 + 01 : 14 : 39.0 19.02 0.82 250					
J125113.09 + 045134.9 12 : 51 : 13.09 + 04 : 51 : 34.9 19.82 0.81 550					
J140312.79 + 085344.1 14 : 03 : 12.79 + 08 : 53 : 44.1 19.45 0.77 350					

Understanding the role that internal processes play in galaxy evolution is a key goal of modern astrophysics. An increasing body of evidence shows that galaxy growth is mainly dependent on calm, secular processes rather than galaxy mergers; Kaviraj et al. (2012)<sup>[1]</sup>, for example, have shown that only 27% of star formation is triggered by major or minor mergers. The focus has therefore shifted to trying to determine the dominant mechanisms responsible for galaxy growth and the quenching (or cessation) of star formation. One such process is the funneling of gas from the outskirts of a galaxy by a galactic-scale bar (Athanasoula 1992<sup>[5]</sup>). Bars are thought to remove gas needed for star formation in the outer regions and deposit it in the centre, where it either triggers a starburst or is too dynamically hot to be used for future star formation (Zurita et al. 2004<sup>[6]</sup>, Sheth et al. 2005<sup>[7]</sup>, Jogee et al. 2005<sup>[8]</sup>). In either the case, the eventual result is that the galaxy has a lower star formation rate than before the formation of the bar, moving the galaxy from the blue cloud to the red sequence (see Figure 2).

One question that remains unanswered however is whether this only occurs in the strongest of barred galaxies, where the bar is the dominant feature. A large range of bar strengths are seen across the galaxy population with a broad distribution of bar lengths, widths and relative sizes. Strongly barred galaxies are also preferentially located on the red sequence, whereas weakly barred galaxies are found equally distributed across the colour-magnitude diagram (see Figure 2). This suggests that weak and strong bars do not affect a galaxy in the same way. Most previous studies have focussed their efforts on the strong bar population (Rosas-Guevara et al. 2020<sup>[9]</sup>, Newnham et al. 2020<sup>[10]</sup>, Khoperskov et al. 2018<sup>[14]</sup>) which are easier to detect since they are brighter and constitute the main feature of the galaxy; or don't make the distinction between weak and strong bars (Cheung et al. 2013<sup>[12]</sup>, Masters et al. 2011<sup>[13]</sup>). In contrast, there are a few studies which specifically target weaker bars to determine their effects on the galaxy (Cuomo et al. 2019<sup>[11]</sup>). However, it is not known if weak and strong bars are a continuous distribution of the same feature or two distinct phenomenon.

In this project we propose to observe a mass-matched sample ( $0.01 < z < 0.05$ ; see Figure 1) of strong and weak bars along with a control sample of unbarred galaxies. We will use the ISIS spectrograph on the WHT to obtain spectra along and perpendicular to the bar for each target (unbarred galaxies will have the slit aligned along the major and minor axes). The flexibility provided by the choice of gratings makes ISIS the ideal instrument to achieve our science goals. Whilst the same science could be achieved with data from IFU surveys, such surveys do not allow for control over the sample, as they select a broad range of galaxies to broaden the science achievable. However, this reduces the resulting samples sizes of weak and strong bars beyond those which are statistically significant. Observations with ISIS will allow us to target a mass-matched sample of strong and weak bars across the colour-magnitude diagram and supplement the small number samples from IFU surveys such as MaNGA (Bundy et al. 2015<sup>[2]</sup>).

In particular, resolving the emission lines in each target across a large range of wavelengths with ISIS will allow us to determine the gas kinematics along and perpendicular to the bar. We aim to test whether there is a significant inflow of gas along both strong and weak bars, compared to outside the bar (and compared to the control sample of unbarred galaxies) and therefore whether both populations of bars can indeed quench the star formation in a galaxy. We aim to definitely answer the question; do weak bars drive gas to the centre of a galaxy at the same or different rates to strong bars?

The simultaneous observation of both the blue and red side of the spectrum with ISIS will also allow us to determine the star formation rates within and outside strong and weak bars using  $H\alpha$  on the red side, and  $D_n4000\text{\AA}$  [OIII] on the blue side. These resolved star formation rates will be crucial to determining whether both weak and strong bar structures are responsible for any decrease in the star formation rate in these galaxies. This will allow us to determine if strong and weak bars are separate phenomenon.

We aim to publish two papers as a result of these observations: (1) determining the gas kinematics for each of our targets to determine which structures (if any) are inflowing gas to the centres and (2) constraining the star formation rates inside and outside of the bar to determine whether either strong or weak bars are directly responsible for quenching galaxies. With this work we aim to characterise the differing or similar effects of weak and strong bars to determine their overall contribution to galaxy evolution.

## 17 SCIENTIFIC JUSTIFICATION

*Continuation page for AAT, WHT and UKIRT proposals for 8 or more nights, and for all long-term and coordinated proposals*

## 18 TECHNICAL INFORMATION (I)

*Give details of the technical feasibility of the proposal (S/N,etc) AND any non-standard technical requirements*

The parent sample from which our galaxies are drawn is made up of all SDSS galaxies for which reliable bar classifications were made with Galaxy Zoo 2 (Willett et al. 2013<sup>[15]</sup>). We volume limited our sample with a redshift range,  $0.01 < z < 0.05$  and a magnitude limit  $M_r < ??$ . From our parent sample, we mass-matched 10 galaxies with strong bars, 10 with weak bars and 10 without bars, for a total of 30 galaxies. In every bar category, half are in the blue cloud and half in the red sequence (see Figure 2). All our targets also overlap with the ALFALFA footprint (Giovanelli et al. 2005<sup>[16]</sup>) in order to give global gas masses. We chose targets which were not in the MaNGA target list (Bundy et al. 2015<sup>[2]</sup>), so as to avoid unnecessary duplicate observations. Such broad IFU studies typically aim to observe a general sample of the galaxy population and thus have too few galaxies that fit our requirements to allow for a statistically robust study of the effect of strong and weak bars that we propose.

The ISIS spectrograph on the WHT is ideal for observing this sample as it allows the simultaneous observation of the red and blue sides of the spectrum in combination with the flexibility of the gratings available. This allows us to optimise the wavelength range targeted against the spectral resolution in order to maximise the science output. We therefore propose to target the  $H\alpha$  emission line in each of our sources with the R316B grating on the RED+ detector, with simultaneous observations of the  $D_n4000\text{\AA}$  break- $H\beta$ -[OIII] region ( $5067 < \lambda_{emit}[\text{\AA}] < 5250$ ) using the R300B on the EEV12 detector. Probing these regions of the spectrum specifically will allow us to observe a maximum number of emission lines to derive precise gas kinematics whilst also allowing for an accurate determination of the resolved star formation rate in and outside the bar.

In order to derive an accurate measure of the gas inflow rates in our targets, we need to be able to determine the gas kinematics. We will utilise the tried and tested PPXF spectral fitting code (Cappellari & Emsellem 2004<sup>[3]</sup>) to derive the velocity of the gas along the bar for each of our targets. To do this we require a high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) to ensure that each of the emission lines in the sample are well resolved. To achieve a  $SNR = 10$  for each of our targets we calculated an exposure time given the quantum efficiency of the detectors at the redshifted wavelength of  $H\alpha$  ( $6641 < \lambda_{emit}[\text{\AA}] < 6681$ ) and assuming negligible sky background on dark sky nights with respect to the read noise of the EEV12 and RED+ detectors. Given these requirements the total on source time is 18.7 hours, assuming a seeing of 1" and optimal airmass conditions. The minimum (maximum) exposure time for a single source is  $\sim 4$  (142) minutes.

Using this information combined with the overhead estimates from the ISIS Total Observing Time Estimator and assuming an average weather downtime of 34% in January, we calculate that we can observe all 30 targets in 3 nights of dark skies in January of the 2020 semester.

- [1] Kaviraj et al. 2013, MNRAS, 429, 40  
 [2] Bundy et al. 2015, ApJ, 798, 7  
 [3] Cappellari & Emsellem, 2004, PASP, 116, 138  
 [4] Hoyle et al. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 4  
 [5] Athanassoula 1992, MNRAS, 259  
 [6] Zurita et al. 2004, A&A, 413  
 [7] Sheth et al. 2005, ApJ, 632, 1  
 [8] Jogee et al. 2005, ApJ, 630, 2  
 [9] Rosas-Guevara et al. 2020, MNRAS, 491, 2  
 [10] Newnham et al. 2020, MNRAS, 492, 4  
 [11] Cuomo et al. 2019, A&A, 632, A51  
 [12] Cheung et al. 2013, ApJ 779, 2  
 [13] Masters et al. 2011, MNRAS 411, 3  
 [14] Khoperskov et al. 2018 A&A 609, A60  
 [15] Willett et al. 2013, MNRAS, 435, 2835  
 [16] Giovanelli et al. 2005 AJ 130, 6




Figure 1: Mosaic of SDSS *ugriz* postage stamps of targets in this sample ( $0.01 < z < 0.05$ ). The sample is split into strong bars (top), weak bars (middle) and no bars (bottom; our control sample) which are mass-matched along each column. The surface brightness of each source is also noted. The 5 leftmost columns are galaxies in the blue cloud and the 5 rightmost columns are all galaxies in the red sequence (see Figure 2). Each image is 63 arcseconds across.




Figure 2: Colour magnitude diagram showing the locations of strong (red crosses and histogram) and weak (black crosses and histogram) bars in the parent sample. Circles show targets in this proposal. We also show the overarching distribution of galaxies in SDSS across this plane (black contours and grey histogram). We see a significant difference between the distribution of colours in strong and weak bars; with strong bars found preferentially on the red sequence (68% of total strong bar population) and weak bars evenly distributed ( $6.7\sigma$  and  $0.27\sigma$  difference from the overarching SDSS galaxy population for strong and weak bars, respectively). We therefore aim to test the hypothesis that strong bars cause the quenching of star formation, driving disc galaxies from the blue cloud to the red sequence, whereas weak bars do not.

# 19 SUMMARY OF BACKUP PROGRAMME FOR POOR OBSERVING CONDITIONS

*If instrumentation or setup differs from main programme, give full details*

In the case of poor seeing we shall limit the number of sources targeted and increase exposure times in order to still achieve optimal signal-to-noise ratios for a selection of our targets (initially those with shorter calculated exposure times). This will still allow the kinematics and star formation rates to be determined but in a reduced sample size.

# 20 RELATED PATT APPLICATIONS OVER THE LAST FOUR SEMESTERS *(including unsuccessful applications)*

PATT reference:                      Award:                      Clear nights:                      Comments:

--	--	--	--

# 21 PUBLICATIONS BASED ON PATT TIME PUBLISHED DURING THE LAST FOUR SEMESTERS *(maximum 6)*

# 22 EXPERIENCE OF INTENDED OBSERVERS WHO HAVE NOT PREVIOUSLY USED THIS TELESCOPE

# 23 COMPLETE IF THE OBSERVATIONS ARE PRIMARILY FOR A STUDENT RESEARCH TRAINING PROGRAMME

Name of student:

Project title:

# 24 COMPLETE IF THE OBSERVATIONS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH A CURRENT STFC RESEARCH GRANT

Name of principal investigator:

Grant title:

Grant number:

# 25 NON-STANDARD TRAVEL AND SUBSISTENCE REQUIREMENTS *(UK observers only)*

Justify requests for travel and subsistence for more than one person:

Details of any other expenditure (eg freight, remote observing):