## Tutorial 1

- Q1. Install R on your computer, which can be downloaded from here. Unfortunately, the default interface to R is extremely cumbersome and painstaking to use. Instead, you should use RStudio as your interface.
- **Q2.** Use R to generate N=1000 random variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_N \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 5^2)$ . Then, with parameters  $\beta_0=1, \ \beta_1=2, \ \sigma=3.5$ , generate data from the linear model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i, \qquad \epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2).$$
 (1)

- i. Calculate the MLE's  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ .
- ii. Plot a scatterplot of the data and superimpose the estimated regression line.
- iii. Assuming that  $\sigma = 3.5$  is known, calculate a 92% confidence interval for  $\beta_1$ .
- **Q3.** Let's see some of the theory in practice. For M = 10000, generate a data matrix  $Y_{N\times M}$ , where each column is drawn from the linear model (1), each with the same vector  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$  generated in Q2.
- i. Calculate  $\hat{\beta}_1$  for each column of Y, and plot the histogram of these  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . Superimpose the theoretical distribution  $\hat{\beta}_1 \mid x, \theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta_1, \sigma^2/S_{xx})$  onto this histogram.
- ii. Assuming  $\sigma$  is known, calculate a 92% confidence interval for  $\beta_1$  from each column of Y. Out of the M = 10000 intervals, what fraction of them cover the true value  $\beta_1 = 2$ ?
- iii. Let  $Y^{(10)}$  denote the first 10 rows of Y. Assuming  $\sigma$  is unknown, calculate  $\hat{\beta}_0$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_1$ , and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i)^2}{8}$$

for each column of  $Y^{(10)}$ . Calculate the sample mean of  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  and compare it to the true value  $\sigma^2 = 3.5^2$ .

Q4.

i. Show that

$$S_{xx}^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)^2}{n}.$$

ii. Show that  $S_{xx}^{(n)} < S_{xx}^{(n+1)}$ . Therefore, since  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_1 \mid x, \theta] = \beta_1$  and  $\operatorname{var}(\hat{\beta}_1 \mid x, \theta) = \sigma/S_{xx}$ , the quantity  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is becoming a better and better estimate of  $\beta_1$  as n increases.